



## Research review

# Effector-triggered susceptibility by the rice blast fungus Magnaporthe oryzae

Author for correspondence: Ely Oliveira-Garcia Email: [eoliveiragarcia@agcenter.lsu.edu](mailto:eoliveiragarcia@agcenter.lsu.edu)

Received: 27 June 2023 Accepted: 8 November 2023

## Ely Oliveira-Garcia<sup>[1](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0322-8716)</sup> (b), Xia Yan<sup>2</sup> (b), Miriam Oses-Ruiz<sup>[3](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3989-9071)</sup> (b), Samuel de Paula<sup>1</sup>  $\Box$  and Nicholas J. Talbot<sup>2</sup>  $\Box$

<sup>1</sup>Department of Plant Pathology and Crop Physiology, Louisiana State University Agricultural Center, Baton Rouge, LA 70803, USA; <sup>2</sup>The Sainsbury Laboratory, University of East Anglia, Norwich Research Park, Norwich, NR4 7UH, UK; <sup>3</sup>IMAB, Public University of Navarre (UPNA), Campus Arrosadia, 31006, Pamplona, Navarra, Spain

New Phytologist (2024) 241: 1007–1020 doi: 10.1111/nph.19446

Key words: biotrophic interfacial complex, effector proteins, effector secretion, effector translocation, fungal biotrophic invasion, Magnaporthe oryzae, plant endocytosis, plant susceptibility.

#### **Summary**

filamentous fungus Magnaporthe oryzae. To cause disease in plants, M. oryzae secretes a diverse range of effector proteins to suppress plant defense responses, modulate cellular processes, and support pathogen growth. Some effectors can be secreted by appressoria even before host penetration, while others accumulate in the apoplast, or enter living plant cells where they target specific plant subcellular compartments. During plant infection, the blast fungus induces the formation of a specialized plant structure known as the biotrophic interfacial complex (BIC), which appears to be crucial for effector delivery into plant cells. Here, we review recent advances in the cell biology of M. oryzae–host interactions and show how new breakthroughs in disease control have stemmed from an increased understanding of effector proteins of M. oryzae are deployed and delivered into plant cells to enable pathogen invasion and host susceptibility.

Rice blast, the most destructive disease of cultivated rice world-wide, is caused by the

#### I. Introduction

The filamentous ascomycete fungus Magnaporthe oryzae (synonym of Pyricularia oryzae) threatens global food security by causing devastating blast diseases on rice, millets, and most recently wheat (Gladieux et al., [2018](#page-11-0); Asibi et al., [2019;](#page-10-0) Valent et al., [2020](#page-13-0)). In rice alone, the fungus causes losses of  $c$ . 10-30% of the global rice production (Nalley *et al.*, [2016](#page-12-0)). The amount of rice destroyed by this disease is sufficient to feed 60 million people annually, at a cost of \$66 billion (Pennisi, [2010](#page-12-0); Nalley et al., [2016\)](#page-12-0). To durably control blast disease, the mechanisms by which the blast fungus colonizes host plants need to be understood. To colonize a host plant, M. oryzae must overcome two major layers of plant immunity (Oliveira-Garcia & Valent, [2015](#page-12-0)). The first layer involves cell surface pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) that detect conserved pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) to trigger PAMP-triggered immunity (PTI; Jones & Dangl, [2006\)](#page-11-0), while the second layer involves intracellular host resistance (R) immune proteins that recognize pathogen AVR (avirulence) effectors directly or indirectly, to trigger effector-triggered immunity (ETI; Jones & Dangl, [2006](#page-11-0); Saur et al., [2019](#page-12-0)). AVReffector recognition in blast disease occurs through cytoplasmic nucleotide-binding domain leucine-rich repeat (NLR)-type immune receptors leading to effector-triggered immunity in a classical gene-for-gene interaction (Zipfel, [2014](#page-13-0); Boutrot & Zipfel, [2017;](#page-10-0) Roudaire et al., [2020\)](#page-12-0). To overcome these two layers of immunity, M. oryzae secretes a battery of effector proteins that elude or suppress pathogen surveillance systems and hijack cellular processes (Yan et al., [2023\)](#page-13-0). Effectors typically exhibit a high level of genetic diversity due to an 'arms race'-like evolutionary dynamic between host and pathogen (Kanzaki et al., [2012;](#page-11-0) Oliveira-Garcia et al., [2021\)](#page-12-0).

A recent study of gene expression of the blast fungus during rice infection using high-resolution transcriptional profiling provided an indication of the extent of differential fungal gene expression necessary to cause blast disease (Yan et al., [2023](#page-13-0)). The pathogen transcriptome changes significantly during infection with major shifts in expression of genes involved in both primary and secondary metabolism, cell signaling, and transcriptional regulation. Fungal co-expression modules identified during plant infection revealed co-regulation of genes that encode effector proteins. These temporally co-regulated effectors possess structural similarity, even though sequence unrelated (Yan et al., [2023](#page-13-0)). How effectors are temporally co-regulated is likely to be critical for

2023 The Authors

New Phytologist © 2023 New Phytologist Foundation

New Phytologist (2024) 241: 1007-1020 1007 www.newphytologist.com

This is an open access article under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.

<span id="page-1-0"></span>disease progression and a recent study reported a forward-genetic screen, which identified mutants showing constitutive effector gene expression. This screen identified RGS1 as a key regulator of effector gene expression (Tang et al., [2023](#page-12-0)). Rgs1 is a regulator of appressorium development, but also represses effector gene expression before plant infection. This genetic screen could lead to the discovery of further novel regulators of effector gene expression and reveal the hierarchical regulatory networks that control effector expression. The blast fungus shows orchestrated control of numerous effector-encoding genes during fungal biotrophic invasion, but the function of the overwhelming majority of effectors remains unknown (Giraldo et al., [2013](#page-11-0); Oliveira-Garcia & Valent, [2015](#page-12-0)). Large-scale screening to identify effector targets in the host plant will therefore be necessary to further reveal precisely how they suppress immunity so effectively during rapid tissue colonization (Oliveira-Garcia & Valent, [2015\)](#page-12-0).

Much of our current knowledge of effector function comes from targeted mutagenesis and live cell imaging (Redkar et al., [2015](#page-12-0); Park et al., [2016;](#page-12-0) Sakulkoo et al., [2018](#page-12-0)). Live-cell imaging has demonstrated that fungal effectors are delivered to the apoplast, the gap between the fungal cell wall and host plasma membrane, or targeted to the host cell cytoplasm during infection (Mosquera et al., [2009](#page-12-0)). Apoplastic effectors protect pathogens from recognition by inhibiting enzymes or scavenging molecules that trigger extracellular immune receptors (Hückelhoven & Panstruga, [2011;](#page-11-0) Sperschneider et al., [2018](#page-12-0)). Cytoplasmic effectors, meanwhile, are translocated into host cytoplasm where they target cellular compartments to suppress immunity and manipulate host metabolism and signaling to facilitate fungal proliferation (Robin et al., [2018](#page-12-0)). In this review, we focus on new insights into the mechanism of effector delivery and effector-triggered susceptibility during biotrophic invasion by  $M$ . oryzae. We emphasize how characterization of the repertoire of fungal effectors will be vital to develop new disease control strategies.

#### II. How does M. oryzae secrete effectors in planta?

Effector secretion is key to understanding biotrophic fungal invasion (Giraldo & Valent, [2013](#page-11-0); Oliveira-Garcia et al., [2023\)](#page-12-0).

M. oryzae possesses at least 548 genes predicted to encode effectors (Yan et al., [2023\)](#page-13-0). The presence of an N-terminal signal peptide remains a crucial criterion for effector identification (Mosquera et al., [2009](#page-12-0); Yan et al., [2023](#page-13-0)), but algorithms such as EffectorP and deepredeff have been developed to synthesize characteristics of known effectors, such that more accurate predictions can be made (Kristianingsih & MacLean, [2021;](#page-11-0) Sperschneider & Dodds, [2022](#page-12-0)). When considered with other diagnostic traits, such as differential expression in plant tissue and localization to the host-derived biotrophic interfacial complex (BIC), the confidence in effector prediction in M. oryzae rises further, although experimental validation has been carried out in very few cases (Yan et al., [2023](#page-13-0)).

M. oryzae employs two distinct secretion systems to deliver cytoplasmic and apoplastic effectors (Giraldo et al., [2013\)](#page-11-0). Secretion of apoplastic effectors is mediated through the endoplasmic reticulum (ER)–Golgi-dependent secretory pathway (Fig. 1a), which has long been considered the conventional secretion pathway in filamentous fungi. Cytoplasmic effectors, however, are secreted from invasive hyphae and accumulate in the BIC, a membrane-rich structure derived from the extrainvasive hyphal membrane (EIHM) that surrounds the invading fungus (Oliveira-Garcia & Valent, [2015\)](#page-12-0). Secretion of cytoplasmic effectors is insensitive to Brefeldin A (BFA), which blocks Golgi-dependent secretion of apoplastic effectors (Fig. 1a,b; Giraldo et al., [2013](#page-11-0); Oliveira-Garcia & Valent, [2021\)](#page-12-0).

Fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP) analysis has demonstrated that cytoplasmic effectors are continuously secreted into BICs even in the presence of BFA, providing evidence for Golgi-independent secretion of cytoplasmic effectors (Giraldo et al., [2013](#page-11-0); Oliveira-Garcia & Valent, [2021](#page-12-0)). Cytoplasmic effectors are therefore secreted by a nonconventional secretion pathway (Fig. 1b), but this involves exocyst components, such as Exo70 and Sec5, as well as SNARE proteins. Exo70, Sec5, and Sso1 deletion mutants of *M. oryzae* show abnormal accumulation of cytoplasmic effectors in biotrophic hyphae and reduced virulence on rice, highlighting the importance of the effector repertoire in fungal virulence (Giraldo et al., [2013](#page-11-0)). Interestingly, oomycete effectors destined for delivery into the cytoplasm are also secreted

Fig. 1 Secretion and translocation of Magnaporthe oryzae effectors into rice cells. (a) This illustration represents the biotrophic interfacial complex (BIC) at the tip of primary hyphae (PH) at 22-24 h postinoculation (h.p.i.) delivering effectors into rice cell cytoplasm. Apoplastic effectors (green circles), including Bas4, Slp1, and Aa91, are secreted from the PH via Golgi-dependent secretion, and accumulate in the apoplast enclosed by the EIHM (green dashed line around the PH). By contrast, cytoplasmic effectors (magenta circles), including Pwl2, Pwl1, and Bas1, are secreted into BICs by a nonconventional secretion system involving the exocyst and SNARE protein Sso1 (magenta and blue curved structures). Cytoplasmic effectors are packaged in vesicles inside BICs and translocated via clathrin-mediated endocytosis (CME). The effector Bas83 binds to vesicles, possibly recruiting more host membrane to the BIC. Many cytoplasmic effectors, such as Bas1, Pwl2, and Pwl1, move through plasmodesmata into surrounding host cells to prepare them for invasion. Bas170, HTR1, and HTR2 are translocated from cytoplasm to nuclei and Pte1 to peroxisomes, where they reprogram specific cell processes associated with suppression of immunity. Key: Ap, appressorium; ER, endoplasmic reticulum; G, Golgi apparatus; N, fungal nucleus; PPM, plant plasma membrane; V, transport vesicle. Right insert shows a working model for M. oryzae effector internalization via CME. AP2, Adaptor Protein-2 complex; EIHMx, extrainvasive hyphal matrix; FCW, fungal cell wall; FPM, fungal plasma membrane. (b) Brefeldin A (BFA) blocks secretion of apoplastic effectors but not of cytoplasmic effectors. The cytoplasmic effector Pwl2:mRFP (magenta) shows BIC localization and Bas4:eGFP (green) shows apoplastic localization. In the presence of BFA, Bas4:eGFP (green) is retained in the fungal ER (asterisks), but Pwl2:mRFP remains BIC-localized, imaged with the same transformant 3 h after BFA treatment. Control: infected cell treated with 0.1% DMSO. (c) CME marker OsCLC1:eGFP (green) co-localizes with the cytoplasmic effector Pwl2:mRFP (magenta) in BICs in rice cells. (b, c) All images (bright field merged with mRFP and eGFP and mRFP and eGFP alone) are projections of confocal optical sections. Bar, 10 µm.

New<br>Phytologist





by an unconventional BFA-insensitive mechanism (Wang et al., [2017\)](#page-13-0).

A recent report has demonstrated that the unconventional secretion of cytoplasmic effector in M. oryzae depends on tRNA

modification and codon usage patterns (Li et al., [2023a\)](#page-11-0). Functional characterization of the Uba4–Urm1 sulfur relay system mediating tRNA anticodon wobble uridine 2-thiolation  $(s^2U_{34})$ demonstrated that loss of  $s^2U_{34}$  eliminates the translation of

AA-ending codon-rich mRNAs encoding cytoplasmic effectors, but mRNAs encoding apoplastic effectors are unaffected (Li et al.,  $2023a$ ). U<sub>34</sub> thiolation and codon usage therefore modulate pathogen secretion of cytoplasmic effector in host rice cells (Li et al., [2023a\)](#page-11-0).

#### III. How does M. oryzae internalize effectors into the host cell cytoplasm?

The mechanisms by which fungal plant pathogens deliver effectors across the host plasma membrane into living host cells have remained largely unknown despite extensive study (Panstruga & Dodds, [2009](#page-12-0); Giraldo & Valent, [2013](#page-11-0); Lo Presti & Kahmann, [2017\)](#page-11-0). Translocation motifs, such as the RXLR motif, have been identified for oomycete effectors, but cell entry motifs for fungal effectors have not been identified. The RXLR motif was originally shown to bind phosphatidylinositol-3-phosphate (PI3P) in the host membrane (Kale et al., [2010](#page-11-0)). This assay is controversial, however, and could not be repeated by others (Yaeno et al., [2011](#page-13-0); Wawra et al., [2013\)](#page-13-0). Moreover, the RXLR motif from P. infestans effector AVR3a is cleaved before secretion (Wawra et al., [2013\)](#page-13-0), and hence, the function of the RXLR motif in cell entry remains unclear (Trusch et al., [2018](#page-13-0)). Effectors might be translocated into plant cells via specialized translocon complexes in the plasma membrane. Recently, for example, a stable protein complex comprised of five unrelated fungal effectors and two fungal membrane proteins has been implicated in effector translocation by the corn smut fungus Ustilago maydis (Ludwig et al., [2021\)](#page-11-0). Despite these findings, the question of how effectors are taken up into plant cells remains largely unresolved in eukaryotic plant pathogens (Panstruga & Dodds, [2009](#page-12-0); Giraldo & Valent, [2013](#page-11-0); Oliveira-Garcia & Valent, [2015](#page-12-0)). In fact, visualization of effector translocation through the plant plasma membrane has been an enormous technical challenge in all fungal and oomycete systems studied to date.

The *M. oryzae-rice pathosystem possesses advantages for the* study of effector cell biology due to the specific localization pattern of cytoplasmic effectors within BICs, which are easily visible in infected cells (Khang et al., [2010](#page-11-0); Giraldo et al., [2013](#page-11-0); Oliveira-Garcia & Valent, [2015](#page-12-0), [2021](#page-12-0)). To date, all tested cytoplasmic fluorescently labelled effectors of M. oryzae localize in the outer layers of BICs and apoplastic effectors at the periphery of invasive hyphae (Khang et al., [2010](#page-11-0); Park et al., [2012;](#page-12-0) Giraldo & Valent, [2013](#page-11-0); Oliveira-Garcia et al., [2023](#page-12-0); Yan et al., [2023\)](#page-13-0). The distinct localization patterns of cytoplasmic and apoplastic effectors raise questions regarding the mechanism by which effectors are translocated by IH within living plant cells. Highresolution laser confocal images demonstrate that cytoplasmic effectors of M. oryzae are packed into vesicle-like structures inside BICs (Oliveira-Garcia et al., [2023](#page-12-0)). These vesicle-like structures are termed membranous effector compartments or MECs (Oliveira-Garcia et al., [2023](#page-12-0)). Colocalization assays of the plant plasma membrane marker LTi6b-GFP and M. oryzae effectors clearly show that BICs are plant membrane-rich structures (Giraldo et al., [2013](#page-11-0); Oliveira-Garcia et al., [2023](#page-12-0)) that accumulate fungal effectors. By contrast, colocalization between

the fungal H+ATPase Pma1 and the cytoplasmic effector Pwl2 confirms that the BIC structure does not contain fungal plasma membrane, which is inconsistent with a mechanism by which M. oryzae effectors are translocated within fungal exosomes (e.g. Giraldo et al., [2013\)](#page-11-0). Moreover, the discovery of a novel plasma membrane-associated effector, Bas83, which localizes to MECs associated with BICs, suggests it may be involved in recruiting plant plasma membrane to support rapid membrane turnover within BICs (Oliveira-Garcia et al., [2023](#page-12-0)). This is consistent with the idea that M. oryzae controls plant membrane dynamics actively, perhaps via the action of secreted effectors.

Regulation of plant membrane dynamics is therefore a necessary prerequisite to fungal infection by the blast fungus due to invagination of invasive hyphae by the EIHM and is also critical for effector delivery. Consistent with this, genes involved in host endocytosis are upregulated during early stages of infection of the Japanese apple rust fungus Gymnosporangium yamadae in host tissue (Tao et al., [2020](#page-13-0)). The main mechanism by which plant cells internalize extracellular or membrane-bound cargoes is clathrinmediated endocytosis (CME; Dejonghe et al., [2019](#page-11-0); Narasimhan et al., [2020\)](#page-12-0). CME involves invagination of the host plasma membrane which is induced by clathrin upon binding of a ligand to a receptor, resulting in clathrin-coated vesicle formation (Chen et al., [2011;](#page-10-0) Dragwidge et al., [2023](#page-11-0)). CME is initiated by association of adaptor protein complex 2 (AP2) with the plasma membrane via binding to phosphatidylinositol-4,5- bisphosphate [PI(4,5)P2]. Membrane-associated AP2 recruits clathrin and accessory proteins. Then, AP2 binds cargo proteins and continues to recruit clathrin, which polymerizes into a coat as the membrane invaginates (Narasimhan et al., [2020\)](#page-12-0). The GTPase dynaminrelated protein functions in detachment of the vesicle from the plasma membrane. The vesicles lose their clathrin coat when released to the cytoplasm and proceed through early and late endosomes to their ultimate destinations (Narasimhan et al., [2020](#page-12-0)). To test the importance of CME in effector uptake from M. oryzae at the BIC, colocalization assays using clathrin light chain 1 (CLC1), a CME marker, showed that plant clathrin focally accumulates in BICs and co-localizes with fungal effectors (Fig. [1a,](#page-1-0) [c\)](#page-1-0). Silencing rice ADAPTOR PROTEIN COMPLEX 2 subunit 2a (OsAP2x) and CLATHRIN HEAVY CHAIN 1 (CHC1)-encoding genes led to abnormal accumulation of cytoplasmic effectors into BICs and reduced virulence on rice, providing genetic evidence that CME is required for effector translocation. Similarly, chemical inhibition of CME using the clathrin heavy chain inhibitor Endosidin 9-17 (ES9-17; Dejonghe et al., [2019\)](#page-11-0) also inhibited effector uptake. Moreover, both silencing and chemical inhibition of CME led to the accumulation of cytoplasmic effectors under the appressorium pore, suggesting that some effector translocation begins during appressorium-mediated penetration (Oliveira-Garcia et al., [2023\)](#page-12-0). By contrast, inhibition of clathrinindependent endocytosis, which also occurs in plants and involves lipid raft formation, did not affect effector uptake (Mayor & Pagano, [2007](#page-12-0); Ewers & Helenius, [2011](#page-11-0); Oliveira-Garcia et al., [2023\)](#page-12-0). When considered together, these experiments suggest that clathrin-mediated endocytosis is necessary for fungal effector delivery into the cytoplasm (Oliveira-Garcia et al., [2023\)](#page-12-0).

#### IV. How do M. oryzae effectors trigger susceptibility in rice?

Table 1 Selection of well-characterized Magnaporthe oryzae effectors triggering susceptibility.

New Phytologist				Review 1011 Research review
in rice?	Internalization of effectors into host cell cytoplasm via clathrin- mediated endocytosis is not, however, unique to M. oryzae or indeed to fungi. Recently, for instance, it has been reported that the oomycete Phytophthora infestans, which causes late blight of potato, also utilizes clathrin-mediated endocytosis to internalize effectors into host cells (Wang et al., 2023). Transient silencing of NbCHC, which encodes clathrin heavy chain in Nicotiana benthamiana, RXLR effectors into host cells. The mechanisms involved in cytoplasmic effector translocation may therefore be conserved across some fungal and oomycete pathogens. Determining the extent of this conservation is an important next step, to define whether effector uptake is an ancient, conserved process likely predating the divergence of oomycete and fungal pathogens, or whether it has evolved more recently and on more than one occasion within diverse pathogen lineages. IV. How do M. oryzae effectors trigger susceptibility	attenuated P. infestans infection and reduced translocation of	being translocated initially into the cytoplasm of rice cells and then moving to noninfected neighboring cells to prepare them for subsequent infection (Khang et al., 2010; Kim et al., 2020). As with candidate cytoplasmic effectors from diverse pathogens, putative effectors from the crucifer anthracnose pathogen, Colletotrichum higginsianum, have been shown to accumulate in distinct plant cell compartments, where they have been proposed to affect immune responses in host organelles (Robin et al., 2018). A subset of M. oryzae cytoplasmic effectors are recognized by intracellular immune receptors, which are the products of dominant disease resistance (R) loci and trigger ETI (Park et al., 2016; Ortiz et al., 2017). Recent evidence suggests that some effectors are recognized directly by binding to the NLR receptor, or may interact with other proteins that function as guardees or decoys to trigger NLR activation (Takken & Goverse, 2012; Ortiz et al., 2017). Although rare, direct effector recognition can be mediated by noncanonical domains incorpo- rated into NLR receptors (Maqbool et al., 2015; Sarris et al., 2015). These integrated domains are structural mimics of proteins	
The ability to manipulate and reprogram host plant cell signaling and metabolism is a hallmark of biotrophic pathogens (Oliveira- Garcia & Valent, 2015; Presti et al., 2015; Uhse & Djamei, 2018). Effectors of M. oryzae can act in specific host cell compartments, such as chloroplasts, nuclei, or ER, to reprogram host metabolism			normally targeted by effectors for immune suppression. Effectors likely evolved as virulence factors providing benefits to the pathogen during host colonization (Giraldo & Valent, 2013; Kotsaridis et al., 2022), and recent evidence suggests that even though individual effector mutants do not display significantly reduced virulence in spray infections, when analyzed in competi-	
	and promote biotrophic growth (Khang et al., 2010; Kim et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2022; Ning et al., 2022). This is the case, for example, with the peroxisome-targeted effector protein Ptep1, which localizes to plant peroxisomes and is required for infection (Ning et al., 2022). Several M. oryzae effectors are also mobile,	Table 1 Selection of well-characterized Magnaporthe oryzae effectors triggering susceptibility.		tion assays with isogenic strains, a fitness penalty can be observed (Yan et al., 2023). M. oryzae effectors have been classified as virulence factors (Table 1) and host specificity factors, depending on whether they are known to confer a general benefit or to promote infection on specific host plants (Giraldo & Valent, 2013). Here,
Effector	In planta localization	Function		Reference
Bas3	Cytoplasmic/cell wall	Potential role in cell-to-cell movement		Mosquera et al. (2009)
Bas4 Bas83 <b>Bas113</b> <b>Bas170</b>	crossing points Apoplastic Cytoplasmic Apoplastic Cytoplasmic/nuclear	Major component of the EIHMx Plant plasma membrane-associated effector Major component of the EIHMx Potential role in host transcription reprogramming		Mosquera et al. (2009) Oliveira-Garcia et al. (2023) Giraldo et al. (2013) Oliveira-Garcia et al. (2023)
AVR-Piz-t AVR-Pii <b>AVR-Pik</b>	Cytoplasmic Cytoplasmic Cytoplasmic	Targets host ubiquitination; AVR effector for rice R gene Piz-t Targets rice exocyst complex; AVR effector for rice R gene Pii Targets rice heavy metal-associated (HMA) proteins; AVR effector		Park <i>et al.</i> (2016) De la Concepcion et al. (2022) Oikawa et al. (2020)
	Cytoplasmic	for rice R gene Pii Increasing COX activity in rice mitochondria and reduce ROS		
AVR-Pita		production		Han et al. (2021)
Molug4 lug6 lug9 MoHTR1 MoHTR2 MoPte1 Slp1 MoPMO9A*	Cytoplasmic Putative cytoplasmic Putative cytoplasmic Cytoplasmic/nuclear Cytoplasmic/nuclear Cytoplasmic/peroxisomes Apoplastic Apoplastic	Targets the rice ethylene pathway Targets both salicylic acid and ethylene pathways Targets both salicylic acid and ethylene pathways Host transcription reprogramming Host transcription reprogramming Targets peroxisomes and suppress immunity Chitin-binding effector; suppress chitin-triggered immunity CEBiP-binding effector; suppress chitin-triggered immunity		Liu et al. (2022) Dong et al. (2015) Dong et al. (2015) Kim et al. (2020) Kim et al. (2020) Ning et al. (2022) Mentlak <i>et al.</i> (2012) Li et al. (2020) and Martinez-D'Alto

we divide the effectors of M. oryzae into major classes, according to the functions they perturb in the host plant during fungal infection. However, we recognize that these classes are not exclusive because effectors can have multiple functions that may overlap.

#### 1. Effectors evading recognition of PAMPs by host PRRs

To successfully colonize host plants, M. oryzae must evade the recognition of its PAMPs (e.g., chitin, glucan, and ergosterol) by plant PRRs. In rice, two important chitin receptors have been identified, the LysM receptors CEBiP and OsCERK1, which collectively mediate chitin elicitor signaling and immunity (Desaki et al., [2018\)](#page-11-0). To suppress chitin-triggered immunity, pathogens secrete extracellular effectors containing LysM amino acid domains (carbohydrate-binding modules that bind GlcNAc into the plant cell apoplast). In the apoplast, LysM effectors can prevent the release of chitin oligosaccharides from fungal cell walls by plant chitinases and sequester released oligosaccharides to prevent their recognition by CEBiP and OsCERK1 (Fig. [2](#page-6-0)). Chitin-binding effectors have been reported to be important for virulence in a range of fungal pathogens, including Avr4 and Ecp6 effectors of the extracellular tomato leaf mold pathogen Cladosporium fulvum and Mg1LysM and Mg3LysM of Zymoseptoria tritici (Kombrink & Thomma, [2013\)](#page-11-0). In M. oryzae, the LysM effector Slp1 (Secreted LysM Protein1) binds chitin oligosaccharides and suppresses chitin-induced immunity mediated by the CEBiP PRR protein (Mentlak et al., [2012](#page-12-0); Fig. [2\)](#page-6-0). N-glycosylation of Slp1 is a posttranslational modification critical for effector function to suppress host immunity (Chen et al., [2014](#page-10-0)). Most recently, a novel apoplastic effector protein MoAa91 (Magnaporthe oryzae auxiliary activity protein, alternatively named MoPMO9A) was shown compete with the immune receptor chitin elicitor-binding protein precursor (CEBiP) for chitin binding (Fig. [2\)](#page-6-0), suppressing chitin-triggered plant immunity (Li et al., [2020;](#page-11-0) Martinez-D'Alto et al., [2023\)](#page-11-0). MoPMO9A contains a catalytic domain predicted to act on cellulose and a carbohydrate-binding domain that binds chitin (Martinez-D'Alto et al., [2023](#page-11-0)). Interestingly, MoPMO9A is not active on cellulose but shows activity on cereal-derived mixed  $(1 \rightarrow 3, 1 \rightarrow 4)$ - $\beta$ -D-glucans (MBG), which suggests it has a role in MBG degradation during rice blast infection (Martinez-D'Alto et al., [2023\)](#page-11-0). MoPMO9A is secreted extracellularly and may also play a role in appressorium-mediated plant infection by M. oryzae. MoPMO9A deletion mutants show reduced virulence which may be due to CEBiP activation byfungal GlcNAc that triggers immune responses (Li et al., [2020\)](#page-11-0) and/or its function in plant cell wall disruption (Martinez-D'Alto et al., [2023](#page-11-0)).

#### 2. Effectors targeting immune response pathways

Many effectors appear to target components of immune signaling pathways (Bos et al., [2010;](#page-10-0) Park et al., [2012\)](#page-12-0). Defense responses may entail changes in plant hormone levels, which can be mediated by ubiquitin-dependent proteolysis (Trujillo & Shirasu, [2010\)](#page-13-0). The AVR-effector Avr3a from P. infestans was, for example, characterized as targeting host ubiquitin proteolysis. Avr3a binds and stabilizes the potato U-box E3 ubiquitin ligase CMPG1,

thereby blocking Inf1-induced mediated cell death (Bos et al., [2010\)](#page-10-0). In M. oryzae, the cytoplasmic effector AvrPiz-t targets proteasome activity through interaction with RING E3 ubiquitin ligases APIP6 and APIP10. This leads to their mutual degradation and suppression of PAMP-triggered immunity in rice (Park et al., [2012](#page-12-0), [2016;](#page-12-0) Fig. [3\)](#page-7-0). Ectopic expression of AVR-Piz-t in transgenic rice suppresses flg22- and chitin-triggered immunity, induces reactive oxygen species (ROS) generation and enhances susceptibility to *M. oryzae*, indicating that AVR-Piz-t functions to suppress PTI in rice (Park et al., [2012\)](#page-12-0). Recently, Shi et al. [\(2018](#page-12-0)) reported that AVR-Piz-t can also, however, target potassium  $(K<sup>+</sup>)$ uptake in rice cells (Fig. [3\)](#page-7-0). Potassium  $(K^+)$  is essential for plant growth and development and required for immunity against pathogens (Williams & Smith, [2001](#page-13-0); Shi et al., [2018\)](#page-12-0). AVR-Piz-t interacts with the plasma membrane-localized  $K^+$  channel protein OsAKT1 and suppresses OsAKT1-mediated  $K^+$  currents, thereby disrupting  $K^+$  signal transduction. Remarkably, AVR-Piz-t interferes with the association of OsAKT1 and its upstream regulator, the cytoplasmic kinase OsCIPK23 (Fig. [3\)](#page-7-0), which leads to decreased  $K^+$  content in rice cells and suppression of plant immunity (Shi et al., [2018](#page-12-0)). How AVR-Piz-t evolved to recognize such different protein targets is, however, not clear and the relative affinities of each target await further investigation.

#### 3. Effectors targeting transcriptional regulation

Plant nuclei are the control center for the immune system against pathogens (Jagodzik et al., [2018](#page-11-0)). MAP (mitogen-activated protein) kinase-dependent signaling cascades are activated upon PAMP recognition to transfer signals to plant nuclei and promote changes in gene regulatory networks required for host immunity (Jagodzik et al., [2018](#page-11-0); Kim et al., [2020\)](#page-11-0). Genome-wide transcriptome analysis of host plants undergoing infection has demonstrated, for example, that host metabolism and immunity are reprogrammed in order to enable proliferation of the pathogen (Schaker et al., [2016](#page-12-0); Schurack et al., [2021;](#page-12-0) Yang et al., [2021](#page-13-0)).

A series of nuclear effectors associated with host immunity suppression have been identified in diverse fungal pathogens, including Ustilago maydis See1, Puccinia striiformis f.sp. tritici PstGSRE1, Colletotrichum graminicola CgEP1, Ascochyta rabiei ArPEC25, and VdSSR1 (secretory silencing repressor 1) from Verticillium dahliae (Redkar et al., [2015](#page-12-0); Vargas et al., [2016](#page-13-0); Qi et al., [2019;](#page-12-0) Kim et al., [2020](#page-11-0); Zhu et al., [2022](#page-13-0); Singh et al., [2023\)](#page-12-0). In M. oryzae, two nuclear effectors, MoHTR1 and MoHTR2 (M. oryzae Host Transcription Reprogramming Proteins 1 and 2) are delivered into the rice cell cytoplasm via the BIC, translocated into nuclei, and appear to move from cell-to-cell (Kim et al., [2020\)](#page-11-0). Both MoHTR1 and MoHTR2 affect the expression of immunityassociated genes such as PR protein-encoding genes and phytohormone signaling genes. Expression of  $MoHTRI$  and  $MoHTR2$  in transgenic rice increases susceptibility to hemibiotrophs such as M. oryzae and Xanthomonas oryzae pv oryzae. Knockout and overexpression of MoHTR1 and MoHTR2 impact the virulence of M. oryzae on rice plants, providing evidence that these two nuclear effectors may affect the regulation of plant immunity (Kim et al., [2020\)](#page-11-0).

<span id="page-6-0"></span>

Fig. 2 Secretion of the Magnaporthe oryzae effectors Slp1 and Aa91 helps the fungus evade chitin-triggered immunity. (a) Pattern recognition receptors (PRRs; e.g., CEBiP, chitin receptor) at the rice plasma membrane restrict the spectra of pathogenic microorganisms. Rice plants secrete lytic enzymes into the apoplast, such as the chitinase PR3, which releases chitin oligomers from the fungal cell wall that are recognized by CEBiP receptor triggering host immunity. (b) The EIHMx contains apoplastic effectors, such as the chitin-binding effector Slp1 and the CEBiP-binding effector MoPMO9A. Slp1 recognizes and sequesters these chitin oligomers, evading recognition by host chitin receptors. CEBiP-binding effectorMoAa91 (alternatively namedMoPMO9A) binds to CEBiP chitin receptor blocking the chitin-binding domain, thereby leading to loss of CEBiP function and effector-triggered susceptibility. EIHM, extrainvasive hyphal membrane; EIHMx, extrainvasive hyphal matrix; FPM, fungal plasma membrane; MoPMO9A, CEBiP-binding effector; PPM, plant plasma membrane; PR3, pathogenesisrelated protein 3 (plant chitinase); Slp1, Chitin-binding-like effector.

#### 4. Effectors targeting the ethylene biosynthesis pathway

Ethylene (ET) plays a major role in host responses to biotic and abiotic stresses in several plant systems (Binder, [2020](#page-10-0)). In rice, genes associated with the ethylene biosynthesis pathway enhance resistance to rice blast disease (Liu et al., [2022](#page-11-0)). For instance, transgenic rice lines expressing OsEIN2 and OsEIL1, two genes involved in ET biosynthesis, showed activation of ET signaling and enhanced resistance to M. oryzae (Liu et al., [2021\)](#page-11-0). OsEIN1

and OsEIL2 function as transcription factors that activate expression of ERF1 regulate many ET response genes (Liu et al., [2022\)](#page-11-0).

The ET pathway is a key target for plant pathogen effectors to promote infection. This is the case of the *Xanthomona*s type III effector XopD (e.g. Kim et al., [2013\)](#page-11-0), PsAvh238 of Phytophthora sojae (Yang et al., [2019\)](#page-13-0), SsERP1 of Sclerotinia sclerotiorum (Fan et al., [2021\)](#page-11-0), and the Jsi1 effector of Ustilago maydis (Darino et al., [2021\)](#page-10-0). In M. oryzae, the cytoplasmic effector Iug4 (M. oryzae <span id="page-7-0"></span>1014 Review Research review **1014** Review Research review Research of the control of



Fig. 3 Magnaporthe oryzae effector AVR-Piz-t triggers susceptibility in rice. PTI is initiated upon recognition of PAMPs by plasma membrane-localized PRRs (e.g., OsCIB1 and OsFL2), restricting fungal infection. Rice APIP6/10 are positive regulators of PTI. To suppress PTI, M. oryzae secretes effector proteins including AVR-Piz-t. AVR-Piz-t is translocated from the BIC to rice cell cytoplasm to promote the degradation of APIP6/10. The rice CBL1-CIPK23-AKT1 complex modulates K<sup>+</sup> signal transduction required for plant growth and disease resistance. AVR-Piz-t suppresses the activity of OsAKT1 and/or disrupts the OsAKT1-OsCIPK23 complex to suppress K<sup>+</sup> signal transduction, thereby triggering host susceptibility. PAMP, pathogen-associated molecular patterns; PPM, plant plasma membrane; PRRs, pattern recognition receptors; PTI, PAMP-triggered immunity; Ub, ubiquitin ligase.

isolate-unique gene 4) acts as a transcriptional repressor to target the ethylene pathway, via OsAHL1, to disrupt host immunity (Liu et al., [2022](#page-11-0); Fig. [4\)](#page-8-0). Specifically, MoIug4 binds to the promoter of rice OsEIN2 which encodes a central signal transducer in ethylene signaling. Moreover, MoIug4 interacting protein, OsAHL1, acts as an AT-hook motif-containing protein binding to the A/T-rich promoter regions and positively regulates plant immunity in response to M. oryzae infection (Liu et al., [2022](#page-11-0)). Both MoIug4 and OsAHL1 possess transcriptional regulatory activities by binding the OsEIN2 promoter region (Fig. [4](#page-8-0)). MoIug4 binds to the promoter of OsEIN2 with a higher affinity than OsAHL1 and functions as a transcription factor to repress OsEIN2 expression in planta. MoIug4 deletion mutants in M. oryzae showed reduced virulence, indicating that the effector plays a role in suppressing host immunity (Liu et al., [2022](#page-11-0)).

#### 5. Effectors targeting plant peroxisome-function

Peroxisomes are multifunctional eukaryotic organelles that play important roles in stress tolerance, metabolism, immune response signaling, and pathogen defense (Dixit et al., [2010\)](#page-11-0). In plants, peroxisomes are involved in ROS generation in response to pathogen infection (Pan et al., [2020\)](#page-12-0). The disruption of ROS production is a common strategy used by pathogens to cause

successful infection, and therefore, peroxisomes are widely targeted by pathogen effectors. Fungal pathogens and even nematodes use effectors to impair peroxisome-mediated ROS production. For instance, the anthracnose pathogen Colletotrichum higginsianum, for example, has two effectors containing a tripeptide signal sequence required for typical PTS1 (peroxisomal targeting signal 1) pathway functioning in peroxisomes and, therefore, localize to the peroxisomal matrix of tobacco cells (Robin et al., [2018\)](#page-12-0).

The cytoplasmic effector MoPtep1 (peroxisomes-targeted effector protein 1), a small secreted protein (198 aa) containing a cupredoxin domain, for example, targets host peroxisome compartments during transient expression in N. benthamiana plants (Ning et al., [2022\)](#page-12-0). Moreover, MoPtep1 interacts with a rice thaumatin-like host protein (OsIP-4) involved in host defense (de Jesús-Pires et al., [2020\)](#page-11-0). MoPTEP1 deletion mutants showed reduced virulence on rice (Ning et al., [2022](#page-12-0)). MoPtep1 therefore plays an important role in suppressing host immunity during biotrophic invasion of M. oryzae via peroxisome targeting, but it is likely that other effectors target ROS production to facilitate fungal infection.

#### 6. Effectors targeting rice exocyst complex

The exocyst complex mediates tethering of secretory vesicles to the plasma membrane before SNARE-mediated membrane fusion, in

<span id="page-8-0"></span>

Fig. 4 Magnaporthe oryzae effector Molug4 suppresses ethylene biosynthesis and OsEIN2-mediated immunity. (a) The rice OsEIN2 gene involved in the ethylene biosynthesis and plant immunity. OsEIN2 is positively regulated by AT-hook protein OsALHL1 transcription factor that enhances resistance to fungi. (b) Cytoplasmic effector MoIug4 is focally secreted into the biotrophic interfacial complex (BIC) and subsequently translocated into rice cell cytoplasm and rice nuclei during fungal infection. Molug4 binds the promoter of OsEIN2 with greater affinity than OsAHL1, thereby suppressing the expression of OsEIN2 and OsEIN2-mediated immunity. cis, cis element; ROS, reactive oxygen species.

which the Exo70 subunit of the exocyst complex plays an essential role in plant immunity (Stegmann et al., [2013\)](#page-12-0). Components of the vesicle trafficking machinery are crucial to the immune response in plants and therefore are common targets for effectors, although the expansion of the Exo70 family in plants suggests alternative functions may also exist (Robatzek et al., [2006;](#page-12-0) Smith et al., [2014\)](#page-12-0). In Arabidopsis thaliana, Exo70B2 and Exo70H1 orchestrate immune responses triggered by PAMPs (Stegmann et al., [2012\)](#page-12-0). Arabidopsis plants lacking Exo70B2, for instance, are more susceptible to the downy mildew pathogen Hyaloperonospora arabidopsidis (Hpa) and the bacterial pathogen Pseudomonas syringae pv tomato (Stegmann et al., [2013](#page-12-0)). Homologs of the Exo70 subunit and another tethering complex termed the conserved oligomeric Golgi complex (COG), Exo70F-like, and COG3 respectively, are required for penetration resistance of barley against Blumeria graminis f.sp. hordei (Ostertag et al., [2013\)](#page-12-0).

Some effectors of M. oryzae show a high degree of specificity toward host proteins of the exocyst system. This is the case for the effector AVR-Pii, for example, which targets two specific vesicletethering Exo70 subunits, OsExo70-F2 and OsExo70-F3 (De la Concepcion et al., [2022](#page-11-0)). AVR-Pii exerts its activity via a zincfinger effector fold (ZiF), which allows binding to a conserved interface of Exo70 (De la Concepcion et al., [2022](#page-11-0)). Both OsExo70- F2 and OsExo70-F3 are involved in host immune responses and constitutively expressed in both healthy and M. oryzae-infected rice plants. This supports the idea that AVR-Pii, and other effectors associate with components of the exocyst complex (De la Concepcion et al., [2022\)](#page-11-0).

#### 7. Effector targeting rice proteins containing heavy metal-associated-like domains

Proteins containing heavy metal-associated (HMA)-like domains have become massively expanded in plants (Barth et al., [2009](#page-10-0); de Abreu-Neto et al., [2013\)](#page-10-0) and play diverse roles in plant cellular processes. HMA proteins have been associated with plant defense and susceptibility toward pathogens. Effectors across different species have, for example, been shown to target HMA proteins. For instance, in Arabidopsis thaliana, the AtHMAD1 enhances resistance against virulent Pseudomonas syringae DC3000 (Imran et al., [2016](#page-11-0)) and AtHIPP27 enhances resistance against beet cyst nematode (Radakovic et al., [2018\)](#page-12-0). Similarly, in monocots, the rice sHMA, Pi2, confers partial resistance against compatible isolates of M. oryzae (Fukuoka et al., [2009\)](#page-11-0) and wheat TaHIPP1 enhanced resistance against stripe rust caused by *Puccinia striiformis* f. sp. tritici (Zhang et al., [2015](#page-13-0)). The blast fungus also targets HMA domaincontaining proteins to manipulate host immunity. For example, AVR-Pik binds a subset of related rice proteins containing a heavy metal-associated (HMA) domain (Fig. [5\)](#page-9-0), included domains that have been integrated into plant intracellular NLR immune receptors (Oikawa et al., [2020;](#page-12-0) Maidment et al., [2021](#page-11-0)). Moreover, genetic data suggest that HMA proteins are susceptibility factors required for full virulence. Knockout of the OsHIPP20 gene, for example, reduces rice susceptibility toward M. oryzae (Oikawa et al., [2020](#page-12-0)). Effectors targeting HMA proteins have been shown to contain a common structure and are termed MAX effectors (for

<span id="page-9-0"></span>1016 Review Research review **1016** Review Research review Research of the control of



Fig. 5 Magnaporthe oryzae effector AVR-Pik targets rice heavy metalassociated proteins. In the compatible interaction, AVR-Pik binds rice heavy metal-associated proteins and heavy metal-associated isoprenylated proteins (also referred as small heavy metal-associated or sHMA proteins) and stabilizes them, possibly enhancing pathogen virulence.

Magnaporthe Avrs and ToxB like), even though they are sequence unrelated (de Guillen et al., [2015](#page-11-0)), including AVR-Pik, AVR-Pia, and AVR1-Co39. Interestingly, MAX effectors are temporally co-regulated during plant infection (Yan et al., [2023\)](#page-13-0), suggesting that HMA targeting (and potentially that of other MAX targets) is critical during the early stages of plant tissue colonization (de Guillen et al., [2015\)](#page-11-0). A comprehensive survey of MAX effectors suggests that typically 58–78 MAX effector genes are present per genome in a set of 120 M. oryzae isolates representing seven hostassociated lineages. Furthermore, MAX effectors display high rates of nonsynonymous substitutions suggesting they are under

New<br>Phytologist

positive selection and may exhibit diversification in function (Le Naour-Vernet et al., [2023](#page-11-0)).

#### 8. Effectors targeting rice cytochrome c oxidase assembly protein in mitochondria

ROS plays many essential roles in plant defense signaling. Recognition of plant pathogens triggers rapid ROS bursts leading to the hypersensitive response and programmed cell death in host cells (Smirnoff & Arnaud, [2019\)](#page-12-0). To suppress ROS formation in rice, M. oryzae secretes the zinc metalloprotease protein, AVR-Pita, which inhibits host mitochondrial ROS formation (Fig. 6). Inside rice mitochondria, AVR-Pita targets cytochrome c oxidase (COX) assembly protein OsCOX11, a key regulator of mitochondrial ROS metabolism in rice, thereby inhibiting ROS accumulation and suppressing immunity (Fig. 6). Ectopic expression of AVR- $PITA$  in rice also enhances susceptibility to M. oryzae (Han et al., [2021\)](#page-11-0).

### V. Conclusion and outlook

In this review, we have focused on new research findings that have begun to reveal the mechanism of effector uptake and the likely in planta functions of the diverse effector repertoire of M. oryzae. Rice blast disease is a constant threat to rice production world-wide, but when combined with the threat to wheat caused by emergence and intercontinental spread of wheat blast disease (Latorre *et al.*, [2023\)](#page-11-0), the need for understanding effector biology and developing durably blast-resistant varieties is urgent (Oliveira-Garcia & Valent, [2015\)](#page-12-0). Although large repertoires of putative effectors have been identified in *M. oryzae* (Mosquera et al., [2009](#page-12-0); Yan et al., [2023](#page-13-0)), there are only



#### **Immunity**

**Effector-triggered susceptibility** 

Fig. 6 Magnaporthe oryzae effector AVR-Pita inhibits mitochondrial ROS formation and triggers host susceptibility. (a) PRRs recognize PAMP molecules from M. oryzae and activate PTI leading to ROS production and HR to block pathogen invasion (left). (b) In M. oryzae isolates carrying the effector AVR-Pita (right) can suppress mitochondrial ROS production. AVR-Pita binds OsCOX11 in the host mitochondria to promote COX activity, thereby inhibiting ROS accumulation and triggering host susceptibility. HR, hypersensitive response; PAMP, pathogen-associated molecular pattern; PRRs, pattern recognition receptors; PTI, PAMP-triggered immunity; ROS, reactive oxygen species.

New Phytologist (2024) 241: 1007–1020 GHTSLINK()m

limited examples of effector-host target interactions having been defined at the molecular level, most of which we have described here. Our analysis clearly reveals the need to focus on the identification of effector targets in order to understand how M. oryzae can overwhelm the plant immune system so effectively. Strikingly, many targets of effectors are conserved across different pathogens, suggesting there are key processes that lead to PTI targeted by diverse fungi, including, for example, HMA proteins required for ROS generation. However, the challenge of identifying targets of > 546 blast effectors is a daunting one and will require high-throughput approaches to be developed. In this regard, the advent of structural prediction using Alphafold has already been hugely valuable in predicting effector functions (Seong & Krasileva, [2023\)](#page-12-0). When coupled with the use of Alphafold Multimer (Evans et al., [2022](#page-11-0)) to predict protein-protein interactions, this may lead to more rapid, global identification of putative effector targets which can then be experimentally validated more rapidly. Defining the relative fitness value of individual effectors in susceptible interactions is equally important (Yan et al., [2023\)](#page-13-0) and could be achieved at higher throughput by barcoding *M. oryzae* mutants, for instance, and then using sequence analysis of infected rice tissue to determine the relative proportion of isogenic strains of the fungus during successive infections. Such analyses are required if a holistic understanding of the effector complement of the pathogen is to be achieved.

<span id="page-10-0"></span>The mechanism by which the cytoplasmic effectors are internalized and transported into plant cells is also beginning to be addressed. However, the precise mechanism of MEC uptake and effector release is not clear and requires functional studies of endocytic mutants of rice, coupled with more sensitive delivery assays. Internalization of effectors via clathrin-mediated endocytosis is a common feature in both M. oryzae and P. infestans and may therefore be applicable to other fungal and oomycete diseases. The precise roles of effectors in inducing endocytosis need to be addressed, as well as the recently identified role of fungal autophagy in modulating host plasma membrane dynamics at the BIC (Li et al., [2023b](#page-11-0)). Elucidation of the mechanism by which the Bas83 effector binds to the EIHM, for instance, could provide new insight into BIC function and CME-dependent effector uptake. A gene editing approach to identify rice mutants conferring blast resistance and, significantly, recently identified a cytidine diphosphate diacylglycerol (CDP-DAG) synthase, that is required for phospholipid biosynthesis at the BIC, perhaps impairing effector delivery as a means of conferring disease resistance (Sha et al., [2023](#page-12-0)). This demonstrates the utility of developing an understanding of effector delivery at the BIC and also in adopting large-scale genetic screens to look for novel mechanisms leading to disease resistance, distinct from NLR deployment. When considered together, these recent advances show how a knowledge of effector biology in blast disease may provide novel and durable methods to control this devastating pathogen. Now the spin of distance ergo constraints into the spin of  $\kappa$  into the spin of the spi

### Acknowledgements

This project was supported by Louisiana State University Agricultural Center, Hatch project #LAB94477, and Louisiana

Board of Regents grant #LEQSF (2022-24)-RD-A-01 (to E.O-G and S.D.P). The research was also financed by the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation Grant PID2020-120188RJ-I00 financed by MICIN/AEI/ to M.O.R. M.O.R is a recipient of Ramon y Cajal grant RYC2021-032146-I funded by MCIN/AEI/[10.13039/5011000110033](https://doi.org/10.13039/5011000110033) and by 'European Union Next Generation EU/PRTR'. N.J.T. is supported by the BBSRC Institute Strategic Programme Grant in Plant Health BBS/E/J/000PR9797 and the Gatsby Charitable Foundation.

### Competing interests

None declared.

#### Author contributions

EO-G designed the review article, performed the microscopy, created the figures, and wrote the manuscript. NJT, XY, MO-R and SdP wrote and edited the review article.

#### **ORCID**

Ely Oliveira-Garcia D https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0322-8716 Miriam Oses-Ruiz D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3989-9071 Samuel de Paula D https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9095-4032 Nicholas J. Talbot **b** https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6434-7757 Xia Yan https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4509-0137

#### References

- de Abreu-Neto JB, Turchetto-Zolet AC, de Oliveira LFV, Bodanese Zanettini MH, Margis-Pinheiro M. 2013. Heavy metal-associated isoprenylated plant protein (HIPP): characterization of a family of proteins exclusive to plants. The FEBS Journal 280: 1604–1616.
- Asibi AE, Chai Q, Coulter JA. 2019. Rice blast: a disease with implications for global food security. mBio 9: 451.
- Barth O, Vogt S, Uhlemann R, Zschiesche W, Humbeck K. 2009. Stress induced and nuclear localized HIPP26 from Arabidopsis thaliana interacts via its heavy metal associated domain with the drought stress related zinc finger transcription factor ATHB29. Plant Molecular Biology 69: 213–226.
- Binder BM. 2020. Ethylene signaling in plants. Journal of Biological Chemistry 295: 7710–7725.
- Bos JI, ArmstrongMR, Gilroy EM, Boevink PC, Hein I, Taylor RM, Zhendong T, Engelhardt S, Vetukuri RR, Harrower B et al. 2010. Phytophthora infestans effector AVR3a is essential for virulence and manipulates plant immunity by stabilizing host E3 ligase CMPG1. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA 107: 9909–9914.
- Boutrot F, Zipfel C. 2017. Function, discovery, and exploitation of plant pattern recognition receptors for broad-spectrum disease resistance. Annual Review of Phytopathology 55: 257–286.
- Chen X, Irani NG, Friml J. 2011. Clathrin-mediated endocytosis: the gateway into plant cells. Current Opinion in Plant Biology 14: 674–682.
- Chen XL, Shi T, Yang J, ShiW, Gao X, Chen D, Xu X, Xu JR, Talbot NJ, Peng YL. 2014. N-glycosylation of effector proteins by an  $\alpha$ -1,3-mannosyltransferase is required for the rice blast fungus to evade host innate immunity. Plant Cell 26: 1360–1376.
- Darino M, Chia KS, Marques J, Aleksza D, Soto-Jiménez LM, Saado I, Uhse S, Borg M, Betz R, Bindics J et al. 2021. Ustilago maydis effector Jsi1 interacts with Topless corepressor, hijacking plant jasmonate/ethylene signaling. New Phytologist 229: 3393–3407.

#### <span id="page-11-0"></span>1018 Review Research review **1018** Review Research review Research of the control of

- De la Concepcion JC, Fujisaki K, Bentham AR, Mireles NC, de Medina Hernandez VS, Shimizu M, Lawson DM, Kamoun S, Terauchi R, Banfield MJ. 2022. Binding of a blast fungus zinc-finger fold effector to a hydrophobic pocket in the host exocyst subunit Exo70 modulates immune recognition in rice. BioRxiv. doi: [10.1101/2022.06.18.496527](https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.18.496527).
- Dejonghe W, Sharma I, Denoo B, De Munck S, Lu Q, Mishev K, Bulut H, Mylle E, De Rycke R, Vasileva M et al. 2019. Disruption of endocytosis through chemical inhibition of clathrin heavy chain function. Nature Chemical Biology 15: 641–649.
- Desaki Y, Miyata K, Suzuki M, Shibuya N, Kaku H. 2018. Plant immunity and symbiosis signaling mediated by LysM receptors. Innate Immunity 24: 92-100.
- Dixit E, Boulant S, Zhang Y, Lee AS, Odendall C, Shum B, Hacohen N, Chen ZJ, Whelan SP, Fransen M. 2010. Peroxisomes are signaling platforms for antiviral innate immunity. Cell 141: 668-681.
- Dong Y, Li Y, Zhao M, Jing M, Liu X, Liu M, Guo X, Zhang X, Chen Y, Liu Y et al. 2015. Global genome and transcriptome analyses of Magnaporthe oryzaeepidemic isolate 98–06 uncover novel effectors and pathogenicity-related genes, revealing gene gain and lose dynamics in genome evolution. PLoS Pathogens 11: e1004801.
- Dragwidge JM, Wang Y, Brocard L, Meyer AD, Hudeček R, Eeckhout D, Grones P, Buridan M, Chambaud C, Pejchar P et al. 2023. Biomolecular condensation orchestrates clathrin-mediated endocytosis in plants. BioRxiv. doi: [10.](https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.03.17.484738) [1101/2022.03.17.484738.](https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.03.17.484738)
- Evans R, O'Neill M, Pritzel A, Antropova N, Senior A, Green T, Žídek A, Bates R, Blackwell S, Yim J et al. 2022. Protein complex prediction with AlphaFold-Multimer. BioRxiv. doi: [10.1101/2021.10.04.463034.](https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.10.04.463034)
- Ewers H, Helenius A. 2011. Lipid-mediated endocytosis. Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Biology 3: a004721.
- Fan H, Yang W, Nie J, Zhang W, Wu J, Wu D, Wang Y. 2021. A novel effector protein Sserp1 inhibits plant ethylene signaling to promote Sclerotinia sclerotiorum infection. Journal of Fungi 7: 825.
- Fukuoka S, Saka N, Koga H, Ono K, Shimizu T, Ebana K, Hayashi N, Takahashi A, Hirochika H, Okuno K. 2009. Loss of function of a proline-containing protein confers durable disease resistance in rice. Science 325: 998–1001.
- Giraldo MC, Dagdas YF, Gupta YK, Mentlak TA, Yi M, Martinez-Rocha AL, Saitoh H, Terauchi R, Talbot NJ, Valent B. 2013.Two distinct secretion systems facilitate tissue invasion by the rice blast fungus Magnaporthe oryzae. Nature Communications 4: 1996.
- Giraldo MC, Valent B. 2013. Filamentous plant pathogen effectors in action. Nature Reviews Microbiology 11: 800.
- Gladieux P, Condon B, Ravel S, Soanes D, Maciel JLN, Nhani A, Chen L, Terauchi R, Lebrun M-H, Tharreau D et al. 2018. Gene flow between divergent cereal- and grass-specific lineages of the rice blast fungus Magnaporthe oryzae. mBio 9: e01219-17.
- de Guillen K, Ortiz-Vallejo D, Gracy J, Fournier E, Kroj T, Padilla A. 2015. Structure analysis uncovers a highly diverse but structurally conserved effector family in phytopathogenic fungi. PLoS Pathogens 11: e1005228.
- Han J, Wang X, Wang F, Zhao Z, Li G, Zhu X, Su J, Chen L. 2021. The fungal effector Avr-Pita suppresses innate immunity by increasing COX activity in rice mitochondria. Rice 14: 12.
- Hückelhoven R, Panstruga R. 2011. Cell biology of the plant-powdery mildew interaction. Current Opinion in Plant Biology 14: 738–746.
- Imran QM, Falak N, Hussain A, Mun B-G, Sharma A, Lee S-U, Kim K-M, Yun B-W. 2016. Nitric oxide responsive heavy metal-associated gene AtHMAD1 contributes to development and disease resistance in Arabidopsis thaliana. Frontiers in Plant Science 7: 1712.
- Jagodzik P, Tajdel-Zielinska M, Ciesla A, Marczak M, Ludwikow A. 2018. Mitogen-activated protein kinase cascades in plant hormone signaling. Frontiers in Plant Science 9: 1387.
- de Jesus-Pires C, Ferreira-Neto JRC, Pacifico Bezerra-Neto J, Kido EA, de Oliveira Silva RL, Pandolfi V, Wanderley-Nogueira AC, Binneck E, da Costa AF, Pio-Ribeiro G et al. 2020. Plant thaumatin-like proteins: function, evolution and biotechnological applications. Current Protein & Peptide Science 21: 36-51.
- Jones JD, Dangl JL. 2006. The plant immune system. Nature 444: 323–329. Kale SD, Gu B, Capelluto DG, Dou D, Feldman E, Rumore A, Arredondo FD, Hanlon R, Fudal I, Rouxel T et al. 2010. External lipid PI3P mediates entry of eukaryotic pathogen effectors into plant and animal host cells. Cell 142: 284-295.
- Kanzaki H, Yoshida K, Saitoh H, Fujisaki K, Hirabuchi A, Alaux L, Fournier E, Tharreau D, Terauchi R. 2012. Arms race co-evolution of Magnaporthe oryzae AVR-Pik and rice Pik genes driven by their physical interactions. The Plant Journal 72: 894–907.
- Khang CH, Berruyer R, Giraldo MC, Kankanala P, Park S-Y, Czymmek K, Kang S, Valent B. 2010. Translocation of Magnaporthe oryzae effectors into rice cells and their subsequent cell-to-cell movement. Plant Cell 22: 1388–1403.
- Kim J-G, Stork W, Mudgett MB. 2013. Xanthomonas type III effector XopD desumoylates tomato transcription factor SlERF4 to suppress ethylene responses and promote pathogen growth. Cell Host & Microbe 13: 143-154.
- Kim S, Kim C-Y, Park S-Y, Kim K-T, Jeon J, Chung H, Choi G, Kwon S, Choi J, Jeon J et al. 2020. Two nuclear effectors of the rice blast fungus modulate host immunity via transcriptional reprogramming. Nature Communications 11: 5845.
- Kombrink A, Thomma BPHJ. 2013. LysM effectors: secreted proteins supporting fungal life. PLoS Pathogens 9: e1003769.
- Kotsaridis K, Tsakiri D, Sarris PF. 2022. Understanding enemy's weapons to an effective prevention: common virulence effects across microbial phytopathogens kingdoms. Critical Reviews in Microbiology 49: 528–542.
- Kristianingsih R, MacLean D. 2021. Accurate plant pathogen effector protein classification ab initio with deepredeff: an ensemble of convolutional neural networks. BMC Bioinformatics 22: 372.
- Latorre SM, Were VM, Foster AJ, Langner T, Malmgren A, Harant A, Asuke S, Reyes-Avila S, Gupta DR, Jensen C et al. 2023. Genomic surveillance uncovers a pandemic clonal lineage of the wheat blast fungus. PLoS Biology 21: e3002052.
- Le Naour-Vernet M, Charriat F, Gracy J, Cros-Arteil S, Ravel S, Veillet F, Meusnier I, Padilla A, Kroj T, Cesari S et al. 2023. Adaptive evolution in virulence effectors of the rice blast fungus Pyricularia oryzae. PLoS Pathogens 19: e1011294.
- Li G, Dulal N, Gong Z, Wilson RA. 2023a. Unconventional secretion of Magnaporthe oryzae effectors in rice cells is regulated by tRNA modification and codon usage control. Nature Microbiology 8: 1706–1716.
- Li G, Gong Z, Dulal N, Marroquin-Guzman M, Rocha RO, Richter M, Wilson RA. 2023b. A protein kinase coordinates cycles of autophagy and glutaminolysis in invasive hyphae of the fungus Magnaporthe oryzae within rice cells. Nature Communications 14: 4146.
- Li Y, Liu X, Liu M, Wang Y, Zou Y, You Y, Yang L, Hu J, Zhang H, Zheng X et al. 2020. Magnaporthe oryzae auxiliary activity protein MoAa91 functions as chitinbinding protein to induce appressorium formation on artificial inductive surfaces and suppress plant immunity. mBio 11: e03304-19.
- Liu M, Hu J, Zhang A, Dai Y, Chen W, He Y, Zhang H, Zheng X, Zhang Z. 2021. Auxilin-like protein MoSwa2 promotes effector secretion and virulence as a clathrin uncoating factor in the rice blast fungus Magnaporthe oryzae. New Phytologist 230: 720–736.
- Liu X, Gao Y, Guo Z, Wang N, Wegner A, Wang J, Zou X, Hu J, Liu M, Zhang H et al. 2022. MoIug4 is a novel secreted effector promoting rice blast by counteracting host OsAHL1-regulated ethylene gene transcription. New Phytologist 235: 1163–1178.
- Lo Presti L, Kahmann R. 2017. How filamentous plant pathogen effectors are translocated to host cells. Current Opinion in Plant Biology 38: 19–24.
- Ludwig N, Reissmann S, Schipper K, Gonzalez C, Assmann D, Glatter T, Moretti M, Ma L-S, Rexer K-H, Snetselaar K et al. 2021. A cell surface-exposed protein complex with an essential virulence function in Ustilago maydis. Nature Microbiology 6: 722-730.
- Maidment JHR, Franceschetti M, Maqbool A, Saitoh H, Jantasuriyarat C, Kamoun S, Terauchi R, Banfield MJ. 2021. Multiple variants of the fungal effector AVR-Pik bind the HMA domain of the rice protein OsHIPP19, providing a foundation to engineer plant defense. Journal of Biological Chemistry 296: 100371.
- Maqbool A, Saitoh H, Franceschetti M, Stevenson CEM, Uemura A, Kanzaki H, Kamoun S, Terauchi R, Banfield MJ. 2015. Structural basis of pathogen recognition by an integrated HMA domain in a plant NLR immune receptor. eLife 4: e08709.
- Martinez-D'Alto A, Yan X, Detomasi TC, Sayler RI, Thomas WC, Talbot NJ, MarlettaMA. 2023.Characterization of a unique polysaccharide monooxygenase from the plant pathogen Magnaporthe oryzae. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA 120: e2215426120.

14684147 докларовны доклар до должны до брази дальны он 12020-2020 года, не созданно созданно при доставля при доставля при доставля до доставля до должны до должны до доставля до доставля до доставля до доставля до доста

elibrary.wiley.com/terms

-and-conditions) on Wiley Online Library for rules of use; OA

articles are governed by the applicable Creative

Commons

.468137, 2024, 3, Downloaded from https://npha.orbio.com/doi/10.111/nph.19446 by University Of East Anglia, Wiley Online Library on [24/10/2024]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlin

Mayor S, Pagano RE. 2007. Pathways of clathrin-independent endocytosis. Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology 8: 603–612.

Mentlak TA, Kombrink A, Shinya T, Ryder LS, Otomo I, Saitoh H, Terauchi R, Nishizawa Y, Shibuya N, Thomma BPHJ et al. 2012. Effector-mediated suppression of chitin-triggered immunity by Magnaporthe oryzae is necessary for rice blast disease. Plant Cell 24: 322–335.

Mosquera G, Giraldo MC, Khang CH, Coughlan S, Valent B. 2009. Interaction transcriptome analysis identifies Magnaporthe oryzae BAS1-4 as biotrophyassociated secreted proteins in rice blast disease. Plant Cell 21: 1273–1290.

Nalley L, Tsiboe F, Durand-Morat A, Shew A, Thoma G. 2016. Economic and environmental impact of rice blast pathogen (Magnaporthe oryzae) alleviation in the United States. PLoS ONE 11: e0167295.

Narasimhan M, Johnson A, Prizak R, Kaufmann WA, Tan S, Casillas-Pérez B, Friml J. 2020. Evolutionarily unique mechanistic framework of clathrinmediated endocytosis in plants. eLife 9: e52067.

Ning N, Xie X, Yu H, Mei J, Li Q, Zuo S, Wu H, Liu W, Li Z. 2022. Plant peroxisome-targeting effector MoPtep1 is required for the virulence of Magnaporthe oryzae. International Journal of Molecular Sciences 23: 2515.

Oikawa K, Fujisaki K, Shimizu M, Takeda T, Saitoh H, Hirabuchi A, Hiraka Y, Białas A, Langner T, Kellner R et al. 2020. The blast pathogen effector AVR-Pik binds and stabilizes rice heavy metal-associated (HMA) proteins to co-opt their function in immunity. BioRxiv. doi: [10.1101/2020.12.01.406389.](https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.12.01.406389)

Oliveira-Garcia E, von Tiedemann A, Deising HB. 2021. The measure mix matters: multiple-component plant protection is indispensable for coping with the enormous genome plasticity and mutation rates in pathogenic microorganisms. Journal of Plant Diseases and Protection 128: 3–6.

Oliveira-Garcia E, Tamang TM, Park J, Dalby M, Martin-Urdiroz M, Rodriguez Herrero C, Vu AH, Park S, Talbot NJ, Valent B. 2023. Clathrin-mediated endocytosis facilitates the internalization of Magnaporthe oryzae effectors into rice cells. Plant Cell 35: 2527–2551.

Oliveira-Garcia E, Valent B. 2015. How eukaryotic filamentous pathogens evade plant recognition. Current Opinion in Microbiology 26: 92-101.

Oliveira-Garcia E, Valent B. 2021. Characterizing the secretion systems of Magnaporthe oryzae. In: Jacob S, ed. Magnaporthe oryzae: methods and protocols. New York, NY, USA: Springer US, 69–77.

Ortiz D, de Guillen K, Cesari S, Chalvon V, Gracy J, Padilla A, Kroj T. 2017. Recognition of the *Magnaporthe oryzae* effector AVR-Pia by the decoy domain of the rice NLR immune receptor RGA5. Plant Cell 29: 156-168.

Ostertag M, Stammler J, Douchkov D, Eichmann R, Hückelhoven R. 2013. The conserved oligomeric G olgi complex is involved in penetration resistance of barley to the barley powdery mildew fungus. Molecular Plant Pathology 14: 230-240.

Pan R, Liu J, Wang S, Hu J. 2020. Peroxisomes: versatile organelles with diverse roles in plants. New Phytologist 225: 1410–1427.

Panstruga R, Dodds PN. 2009. Terrific protein traffic: the mystery of effector protein delivery by filamentous plant pathogens. Science 324: 748-750.

Park CH, Chen S, Shirsekar G, Zhou B, Khang CH, Songkumarn P, Afzal AJ, Ning Y, Wang R, Bellizzi M et al. 2012. The Magnaporthe oryzae effector AvrPiz-t targets the RING E3 ubiquitin ligase APIP6 to suppress pathogen-associated molecular pattern-triggered immunity in rice. Plant Cell 24: 4748–4762.

Park CH, Shirsekar G, Bellizzi M, Chen S, Songkumarn P, Xie X, Shi X, Ning Y, Zhou B, Suttiviriya P et al. 2016. The E3 ligase APIP10 connects the effector AvrPiz-t to the NLR receptor Piz-t in rice. PLoS Pathogens 12: e1005529. Pennisi E. 2010. Armed and dangerous. Science 327: 804-805.

Presti LL, Lanver D, Schweizer G, Tanaka S, Liang L, Tollot M, Zuccaro A, Reissmann S, Kahmann R. 2015. Fungal effectors and plant susceptibility. Annual Review of Plant Biology 66: 513–545.

Qi T, Guo J, Liu P, He F, Wan C, Islam MA, Tyler BM, Kang Z, Guo J. 2019. Stripe rust effector PstGSRE1 disrupts nuclear localization of ROS-promoting transcription factor TaLOL2 to defeat ROS-induced defense in wheat. Molecular Plant 12: 1624–1638.

Radakovic ZS, Anjam MS, Escobar E, Chopra D, Cabrera J, Silva AC, Escobar C, Sobczak M, Grundler FM, Siddique S. 2018. Arabidopsis HIPP27 is a host susceptibility gene for the beet cyst nematode Heterodera schachtii. Molecular Plant Pathology 19: 1917-1928.

Redkar A, Hoser R, Schilling L, Zechmann B, Krzymowska M, Walbot V, Doehlemann G. 2015. A secreted effector protein of Ustilago maydis guides maize leaf cells to form tumors. Plant Cell 27: 1332–1351.

Robatzek S, Chinchilla D, Boller T. 2006. Ligand-induced endocytosis of the pattern recognition receptor FLS2 in Arabidopsis. Genes & Development 20: 537-542.

Robin GP, Kleemann J, Neumann U, Cabre L, Dallery JF, Lapalu N, O'Connell RJ. 2018. Subcellular localization screening of Colletotrichum higginsianum effector candidates identifies fungal proteins targeted to plant peroxisomes, golgi bodies, and microtubules. Frontiers in Plant Science 9: 562.

Roudaire T, Héloir MC, Wendehenne D, Zadoroznyj A, Dubrez L, Poinssot B. 2020. Cross kingdom immunity: the role of immune receptors and downstream signaling in animal and plant cell death. Frontiers in Immunology 11: 612452.

Sakulkoo W, Osés-Ruiz M, Oliveira Garcia E, Soanes DM, Littlejohn GR, Hacker C, Correia A, Valent B, Talbot NJ. 2018. A single fungal map kinase controls plant cell-to-cell invasion by the rice blast fungus. Science 359: 1399-1403.

- Sarris PF, Duxbury Z, Huh SU, Ma Y, Segonzac C, Sklenar J, Derbyshire P, Cevik V, Rallapalli G, Saucet SB. 2015. A plant immune receptor detects pathogen effectors that target WRKY transcription factors. Cell 161: 1089-1100.
- Saur IML, Bauer S, Kracher B, Lu X, Franzeskakis L, Müller MC, Sabelleck B, Kümmel F, Panstruga R, Maekawa T et al. 2019. Multiple pairs of allelic MLA immune receptor-powdery mildew AVRA effectors argue for a direct recognition mechanism. eLife 8: e44471.
- Schaker PDC, Palhares AC, Taniguti LM, Peters LP, Creste S, Aitken KS, Van Sluys M-A, Kitajima JP, Vieira MLC, Monteiro-Vitorello CB. 2016. RNAseq transcriptional profiling following whip development in sugarcane smut disease. PLoS ONE 11: e0162237.
- Schurack S, Depotter JRL, Gupta D, Thines M, Doehlemann G. 2021. Comparative transcriptome profiling identifies maize line specificity of fungal effectors in the maize-Ustilago maydis interaction. The Plant Journal 106: 733-752.

Seong K, Krasileva KV. 2023. Prediction of effector protein structures from fungal phytopathogens enables evolutionary analyses. Nature Microbiology 8: 174–187.

- Sha G, Sun P, Kong X, Han X, Sun Q, Fouillen L, Zhao J, Li Y, Yang L, Wang Y et al. 2023. Genome editing of a rice CDP-DAG synthase confers multipathogen resistance. Nature 618: 1017–1023.
- Shi X, Long Y, He F, Zhang C, Wang R, Zhang T, Wu W, Hao Z, Wang Y, Wang GL et al. 2018. The fungal pathogen Magnaporthe oryzae suppresses innate immunity by modulating a host potassium channel. PLoS Pathogens 14: e1006878.
- <span id="page-12-0"></span>Singh SK, Shree A, Verma S, Singh K, Kumar K, Srivastava V, Singh R, Saxena S, Singh AP, Pandey A et al. 2023. The nuclear effector ArPEC25 from the necrotrophic fungus Ascochyta rabiei targets the chickpea transcription factor  $Ca\beta LIM1a$  and negatively modulates lignin biosynthesis, increasing host susceptibility. Plant Cell 35: 1134-1159. Now the two states of the state of the

Smirnoff N, Arnaud D. 2019. Hydrogen peroxide metabolism and functions in plants. New Phytologist 221: 1197–1214.

Smith JM, Salamango DJ, Leslie ME, Collins CA, Heese A. 2014. Sensitivity to Flg22 is modulated by ligand-induced degradation and de novo synthesis of the endogenous flagellin-receptor FLAGELLIN-SENSING2. Plant Physiology 164: 440–454.

Sperschneider J, Dodds PN. 2022. EffectorP 3.0: prediction of apoplastic and cytoplasmic effectors in fungi and oomycetes. Molecular Plant–Microbe Interactions 35: 146–156.

Sperschneider J, Dodds PN, Singh KB, Taylor JM. 2018. ApoplastP: prediction of effectors and plant proteins in the apoplast using machine learning. New Phytologist 217: 1764–1778.

Stegmann M, Anderson RG, Ichimura K, Pecenkova T, Reuter P, Žárský V, McDowell JM, Shirasu K, Trujillo M. 2012.The ubiquitin ligase PUB22 targets a subunit of the exocyst complex required for PAMP-triggered responses in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 24: 4703–4716.

Stegmann M, Anderson RG, Westphal L, Rosahl S, McDowell JM, Trujillo M. 2013. The exocyst subunit Exo70B1 is involved in the immune response of Arabidopsis thaliana to different pathogens and cell death. Plant Signaling & Behavior 8: e27421.

- Takken FL, Goverse A. 2012. How to build a pathogen detector: structural basis of NB-LRR function. Current Opinion in Plant Biology 15: 375–384.
- Tang B, Yan X, Ryder LS, Bautista MJA, Cruz-Mireles N, Soanes DM, Molinari C, Foster AJ, Talbot NJ. 2023. Rgs1 is a regulator of effector gene expression during

<span id="page-13-0"></span>plant infection by the rice blast fungus Magnaporthe oryzae. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA 120: e2301358120.

- Tao S-Q, Auer L, Morin E, Liang Y-M, Duplessis S. 2020. Transcriptome analysis of apple leaves infected by the rust fungus Gymnosporangium yamadae at two sporulation stages. Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions 33: 444-461.
- Trujillo M, Shirasu K. 2010. Ubiquitination in plant immunity. Current Opinion in Plant Biology 13: 402–408.
- Trusch F, Loebach L,Wawra S, Durward E,Wuensch A, Iberahim NA, de Bruijn I, MacKenzie K, Willems A, Toloczko A et al. 2018. Cell entry of a host-targeting protein of oomycetes requires gp96. Nature Communications 9: 2347.
- Uhse S, Djamei A. 2018. Effectors of plant-colonizing fungi and beyond. PLoS Pathogens 14: e1006992.
- Valent B, Singh PK, He X, Farman M, Tosa Y, Braun HJ. 2020. Blast diseases: evolution and challenges of a staple food crop fungal pathogen. In: Ristaino JB, Records A, eds. Emerging plant diseases and global food security. St. Paul, MN, USA: American Phytopathological Society Press, 267–292.
- Vargas WA, Sanz-Martín JM, Rech GE, Armijos-Jaramillo VD, Rivera LP, Echeverria MM, Díaz-Mínguez JM, Thon MR, Sukno SA. 2016. A fungal effector with host nuclear localization and DNA-binding properties is required for maize anthracnose development. Molecular Plant–Microbe Interactions 29: 83– 95.
- Wang H, Wang S, Wang W, Xu L, Welsh LRJ, Gierlinski M, Whisson SC, Hemsley PA, Boevink PC, Birch PRJ. 2023. Uptake of oomycete RXLR effectors into host cells by clathrin-mediated endocytosis. Plant Cell 35: 2504–2526.
- Wang S, Boevink PC, Welsh L, Zhang R, Whisson SC, Birch PRJ. 2017. Delivery of cytoplasmic and apoplastic effectors from Phytophthora infestans haustoria by distinct secretion pathways. New Phytologist 216: 205-215.
- Wawra S, Djamei A, Albert I, Nürnberger T, Kahmann R, van West P. 2013. In  $vitrot$ ranslocation experiments with RxLR-reporter fusion proteins of Avr1b from Phytophthora sojae and AVR3a from Phytophthora infestans fail to demonstrate

specific autonomous uptake in plant and animal cells. Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions 26: 528–536.

- Williams J, Smith SG. 2001. Correcting potassium deficiency can reduce rice stem diseases. Better Crops 85: 7-9.
- Yaeno T, Li H, Chaparro-Garcia A, Schornack S, Koshiba S, Watanabe S, Kigawa T, Kamoun S, Shirasu K. 2011. Phosphatidylinositol monophosphate-binding interface in the oomycete RXLR effector AVR3a is required for its stability in host cells to modulate plant immunity. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA 108: 14682–14687.
- Yan X, Tang B, Ryder LS, MacLean D, Were VM, Eseola AB, Cruz-Mireles N, Ma W, Foster AJ, Osés-Ruiz M *et al.* 2023. The transcriptional landscape of plant infection by the rice blast fungus Magnaporthe oryzae reveals distinct families of temporally coregulated and structurally conserved effectors. Plant Cell 35: 1360–1385.
- Yang B, Wang Y, Guo B, Jing M, Zhou H, Li Y, Wang H, Huang J, Wang Y, Ye WJNP. 2019. The Phytophthora sojae RXLR effector Avh238 destabilizes soybean Type2 Gm ACS s to suppress ethylene biosynthesis and promote infection. New Phytologist 222: 425–437.
- Yang D, Li S, Xiao Y, Lu L, Zheng Z, Tang D, Cui H. 2021. Transcriptome analysis of rice response to blast fungus identified core genes involved in immunity. Plant, Cell & Environment 44: 3103–3121.
- Zhang X, Feng H, Feng C, Xu H, Huang X, Wang Q, Duan X, Wang X, Wei G, Huang LJPB. 2015. Isolation and characterisation of c DNA encoding a wheat heavy metal-associated isoprenylated protein involved in stress responses. Plant Biology 17: 1176–1186.
- Zhu C, Liu J-H, Zhao J-H, Liu T, Chen Y-Y, Wang C-H, Zhang Z-H, Guo H-S, Duan C-G. 2022. A fungal effector suppresses the nuclear export of AGO1– miRNA complex to promote infection in plants. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA 119: e2114583119.
- Zipfel C. 2014. Plant pattern-recognition receptors. Trends in Immunology 35: 345-351.