

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

Interaction of Sleep and Emotion Across the Menstrual Cycle

Jessica M. Meers, PhD¹; Joanne Bower, PhD²; Sara Nowakowski, PhD^{1,3}; & Candice Alfano, PhD⁴

¹VA HSR&D Center for Innovations in Quality, Effectiveness and Safety, Michael E. DeBakey VA Medical Center, Houston, TX; ²School of Psychology, University of East Anglia, Norwich, UK; ³Department of Medicine, Baylor College of Medicine; ⁴Department of Psychology, University of Houston, Houston, TX

Corresponding author:
Jessica M. Meers
2450 Holcombe Blvd.
Suite 01Y
Houston, TX 77021
214-796-8492
jessica.meers@bcm.edu

Declarations: The authors have no relevant financial or non-financial interests to disclose.

Abstract

Menstruating individuals experience increased risk for sleep and affective disorders, attributed in part to monthly oscillations in sex hormones. Emotional functioning and sleep continuity worsens during the perimenstrual phase of the menstrual cycle. This study examined the interactive effects of sleep, menstrual phase, and emotion in healthy women. Participants (N=51, 43% Caucasian) aged 18-35 ($m=24$ years) completed actigraphy and daily sleep/emotion diaries over two menstrual cycles (m days=51.29). Diary and actigraphic total wake time at night (TWT) and daily ratings of positive and negative affect were compared across four phases of the menstrual cycle: perimenstrual, mid-follicular, periovulatory, and mid-luteal. Relationships between phase, sleep, and emotion were estimated using multistep hierarchical linear modeling. Mean menstrual cycle length was 28.61 ± 2.69 days. Perimenstrual phase positively predicted anger ($p < .001$) but no other emotions. Additionally, perimenstrual phase predicted higher rates of TWT, such that diary TWT was 8-16 minutes longer during the perimenstrual ($m=67.54$, $SE=3.37$) compared to other phases ($p < .001$). Actigraphic TWT was also increased by 4-7 minutes ($m=61.54$, $SE=3.37$) in the perimenstrual phase ($p < .001$). Positive emotions were .05-.10 points lower (p 's=.006-.02) when TWT was greater in the perimenstrual phase. Greater rates of anger and sleep disruption are seen during the perimenstrual phase compared to other phases. When poor sleep occurred during the perimenstrual phase individuals reported reduced positive emotions. Reducing perimenstrual sleep disruptions may be an important intervention target for those at risk for affective disorders.

Keywords: menstrual cycle, sleep, emotion, luteal phase, actigraphy

54

Introduction

55 Sex differences in affective disorders emerge after pubertal onset, attributed in part to the complex
56 interactions of neuroendocrine changes associated with sexual maturation (Conley et al. 2012). Affective
57 risk can be defined (in part) by disruptions across several domains of emotional processing. Disruptions in
58 these systems, such as increased negative emotions, decreased positive emotions, and maladaptive emotion
59 regulation (e.g., reactivity, rumination) may provide transdiagnostic mechanisms that contribute to and
60 maintain affective disorders. Yet, sex-based study of these mechanisms is lacking, and the contribution of
61 menstrual phase to these processes is not well understood.

62 In healthy females, puberty initiates monthly cyclic changes in the production of various hormones,
63 including estrogen and progesterone. During the first half of the cycle (roughly the first two weeks), the
64 follicular phase, estrogen predominates and initiates the rise in other hormones (follicle stimulating
65 hormone (FSH) and luteinizing hormone (LH)), which induce ovulation and the transition into the luteal
66 phase. During the luteal phase (the final two weeks of the cycle), progesterone predominates and combined
67 with estrogen, thickens the uterine lining to support implantation of the egg, should fertilization occur. If it
68 does not, hormone levels decline, and the uterus sheds its lining through menses (Knudtson and McLaughlin
69 2017).

70 The late luteal and early follicular phases, collectively termed the perimenstrual period, and
71 encapsulating the final 3 days of one cycle and the first 3 days of the next, are associated with the greatest
72 changes in emotion. Roughly 1 in 5 women report at least mild mood changes during this phase (Wittchen
73 et al. 2002) which are observable at a neural level, as estrogen and progesterone receptors are distributed in
74 great numbers in the emotion-based structures of the amygdala, hippocampus, and hypothalamus
75 (Osterlund et al. 2000; Guerra-Araiza et al. 2003). As such, neuroimaging studies indicate menstrual-related
76 changes in areas of the brain known to affect response to emotional stimuli and reward, as well as cognitive
77 control of emotion (Sacher et al. 2013). Although these complex relationships are poorly understood,
78 evidence suggests estrogen has positive effects on mood and cognition (Comasco et al. 2014). Conversely,
79 progesterone is associated with more negative mood, e.g., irritability and depression (Lundin et al. 2017a).

80 The emotional changes during the perimenstrual phase follow the sharp increase and decline of
81 progesterone at the end of the luteal phase, typically carrying over into the early follicular phase (menses)
82 (Romans et al. 2012a; Nevatte et al. 2013).

83 Disruptions in emotional reactivity are common across all affective disorders and are often reported in
84 the perimenstrual phase. Those with depression exhibit altered affective reactivity in response to daily
85 stressors. In fact, negative emotional reactivity is predictive of depressive symptomatology (Cohen et al.
86 2005). Women have greater difficulty regulating their emotional responses during the perimenstrual phase
87 (Lusk et al. 2017a) and exhibit increased physiological reactivity to stress (Liu et al. 2017a). Increased
88 negative affect during this phase is therefore common. Although changes in positive affect have received
89 little empirical attention (Romans et al. 2012b) the lowest levels are typically observed in the perimenstrual
90 phase (Liu et al. 2017a).

91 In addition to changes in emotional outcomes, sleep changes are also common in the perimenstrual
92 phase and may provide another mechanism for increased female risk of affective disorders. At least one
93 third of women report sleep disruptions specifically related to their menstrual cycle (NSF 2007). Sleep
94 quality decreases and sleep disturbances increase during the perimenstrual phase (Kravitz et al. 2005; Baker
95 and Driver 2007; Romans et al. 2015; Baker and Lee 2018). These subjective sleep complaints mirror
96 objective findings showing decreased sleep efficiency and total sleep time just prior to menses (Sharkey et
97 al. 2014; Zheng et al. 2015). Sleep disruptions may be hormonally driven, as sleep efficiency is positively
98 associated with estrogen and negatively associated with progesterone (Li et al. 2015). Increased
99 progesterone is thought to initiate a rise in core body temperature, disrupting sleep (Sharkey et al. 2014).
100 However, research examining these complex relationships has primarily focused on mid- to late-
101 reproductive aged women (i.e., menopausal) and/or those with severe premenstrual symptoms (e.g.,
102 premenstrual dysphoric disorder). The relationship between sleep and menstrual phase in healthy,
103 reproductive-aged adults is less understood, particularly within the context of emotional changes and
104 affective risk. Impaired or misaligned circadian dynamics also represent a robust risk factor for depression.
105 An ‘eveningness chronotype,’ or preference for later bed and wake times that are misaligned with natural

106 light-dark cycles significantly increases lifetime affective risk (Merikanto et al. 2016). More women than
107 men endorse an eveningness chronotype (Duarte et al. 2014) which is associated with greater mood
108 fluctuations and depressive symptoms (Jeong et al. 2015). There is also consistent evidence for alteration
109 in the functioning of circadian rhythmicity during the perimenstrual phase, suggesting an interaction
110 between the circadian system, sleep disruption, and the menstrual cycle.

111 Better understanding of the inter-relationships between sleep, emotion, and menstrual phase holds
112 promise for improving prevention and treatment efforts of affective disorders because reciprocal links
113 between poor sleep and mental health problems are also well-established. Sleep disruption is a core
114 symptom of affective disorders and predicts treatment non-response (Harvey et al. 2013), and insomnia
115 also represents one of the most robust predictors of future depression (Baglioni et al. 2011). These pervasive
116 relationships are theorized to be rooted in the shared neurobiology of sleep and emotional processing (Yoo
117 et al. 2007) as emotional reactivity to both positive and negative stimuli is amplified after sleep deprivation
118 (Gujar et al. 2011) due to enhanced reactivity in the mesolimbic reward brain network, giving way to
119 general instability in emotion regulation (Tempesta et al. 2018). There is therefore significant overlap in
120 the neural mechanisms affecting both sleep regulation and emotional processing. Thus, delineating
121 relationships between sleep and emotional responding across menstrual phases represents an important step
122 towards better understanding the sex differences underpinning risk for affective disorders.

123 The aim of this study therefore was to evaluate the interaction of subjective (sleep diary) and objective
124 (actigraphy) sleep continuity variables and a woman's subjective experience of positive and negative emotions
125 across the menstrual cycle. We hypothesized that sleep parameters would be more disrupted during the
126 perimenstrual phase and that greater levels of sleep disruption would coincide with higher levels of negative
127 emotion and lower positive emotion during the perimenstrual phase.

128 **Method**

129 This prospective study evaluated how night-to-night sleep patterns influence aspects of emotion across
130 the menstrual cycle. Utilizing ecological momentary assessment (EMA) methodology, reproductive-aged

131 women completed daily self-reports on their sleep and emotion measures and wore actigraphy to track sleep
132 across two menstrual months. EMA, involving multiple assessments over multiple days, is well suited to
133 explore these transitory variables by providing real-time data in the context of a participant's everyday life.
134 Despite being considered the gold standard approach to menstrual cycle research, time series data collection
135 methods have rarely been employed in the study of the menstrual cycle (Romans et al. 2012b;
136 Schmalenberger et al. 2021) and therefore represent a particular strength of this study design. Importantly,
137 as data collection occurred during the COVID-19 pandemic, we additionally controlled for pandemic-
138 related stress within analyses.

139 **Participants & Recruitment**

140 Biological females aged 18-35 were recruited from web advertisements targeting the local community
141 and a research participant pool of undergraduate psychology students. Inclusion criteria included: 1) regular
142 menstruation, with cycle length of 24-35 days and self-reported month-to-month cycle variability of no
143 more than 7 days within the last 6 months and during the study period (to increase the likelihood of
144 identifying ovulatory cycles (Baker and Driver 2004; Sharkey et al. 2014); 2) body mass index (BMI) of
145 18-30; 3) no use of hormonal contraceptives within the last 3 months, including oral medications or devices
146 (i.e., IUD, implant, ring, patch, etc.); and 3) smartphone user (to allow for EMA data collection). Exclusion
147 criteria included: 1) DSM-5 criteria of Premenstrual Dysphoric Disorder (PMDD) met or provisionally met;
148 2) the presence of any reproductive health disorders (i.e., polycystic ovarian syndrome, uterine fibroids, or
149 endometriosis); 3) current or recent suicidality; 4) substance use disorders; 5) pregnancy or trying to
150 conceive; and 6) shift work. A total of 466 individuals responded to recruitment advertisements, of those,
151 98 met eligibility requirements based on initial screening measures, and a total of 64 participants were
152 determined eligible to participate after a baseline assessment. Four participants dropped out before
153 beginning data collection and data from nine participants who completed the study were removed from the
154 analyses due to observed cycle duration greater than 35 days or cycle variability >7 days (eight participants)
155 or invalid data (one participant) for a final sample of 51 participants.

156 **Measures**

157 **Participant Characteristics and Covariates.** Participants completed several questionnaires to
158 establish eligibility and provide information on menstrual health, sleep, and emotion characteristics.
159 Menstrual health measures included self-reported date of most recent menstrual bleeding, cycle length
160 variability, somatic/cognitive symptoms, and history reproductive disorders. Baseline measures of sleep
161 and emotional health were collected, but not used in analysis for the current study.

162 Data were collected between May 2020 and January 2021, beginning just as local mandatory stay-at-
163 home orders were lifting. Quantitative measures were collected to examine the potential influence of the
164 pandemic on outcomes of interest. First, the John's Hopkins report of total global and US COVID-19 case
165 and deaths as well as a local measure of case reports were used (www.ReadyHarris.org) to account for the
166 dynamic and time-varying nature of the pandemic's evolution over time. Several items from the measures
167 described by Jones and Salathé (2009), were used to provide self-reported risk perception, COVID-related
168 anxiety levels and ability to avoid infection (Jones and Salathé 2009). Finally, individuals were asked at
169 baseline and upon study end if they had been tested for, and/or received a positive test for COVID-19, as
170 well as the number of their family and/or friends diagnosed with COVID-19. One individual tested positive
171 for COVID-19 during her participation. She reported only mild symptoms and was not hospitalized.
172 Nevertheless, data from the dates she reported symptoms were excluded from these analyses.

173 **Menstrual Characteristics.** Participants completed the Modified Moos Menstrual Distress
174 Questionnaire (MDQ) (Ross et al. 2003) at baseline to characterize typical menstrual symptoms.
175 Participants were asked to report on their experience of 34 symptoms during the perimenstrual phase of the
176 most recent menstrual cycle. Each symptom was assessed on a 4-point Likert scale (*no experience of the*
177 *symptom to present, severe*). Six symptom clusters were assessed: negative affect, cognitive symptoms,
178 fluid retention, behavior change, somatic symptoms, and autonomic reactions. A total distress score was
179 computed by summing subscales.

180 Data were collected across two menstrual months. The decision to collect data across two menstrual
181 months was made to increase confidence in the reliability of cycle-dependent changes while also
182 attempting to keep participant burden as low as possible. Menstrual phase was determined based
183 on the start of menstrual bleeding and timing of a positive urine-based measures of the LH surge
184 (indicative of ovulation). The first day of menses (start of the cycle) and date of a positive urinary
185 ovulation test strip (mid-point of the cycle) were used as anchors in the determination of menstrual phase.
186 A separate study calendar was created for each participant to estimate timing of next menstrual bleeding
187 and ovulation testing window based on self-reported cycle frequency and duration. Menstrual cycles in
188 healthy women can range from 24 to 35 days, with variability driven to a great extent by follicular phase
189 length (LeRoux et al. 2014). Phase timing was estimated by calculating the projected start of the next
190 menstrual cycle and subtracting 14 days to estimate ovulation. Women were provided with ovulation test
191 strips which predict ovulation by measuring the rise in urinary luteinizing hormone (LH), signaling
192 impending ovulation. Participants were instructed to perform the test once a day at any point after the first
193 urination of the day (which could result in a false positive due to typical higher LH levels in the morning
194 hours). Ovulation testing began two days prior to the estimated date of ovulation (calculated based on date
195 of menses onset and typical cycle length) and continued once a day for five days or until a positive test.
196 These dates were then updated upon participant report of menstrual bleeding and a positive ovulation test.
197 Those with month-to-month cycle variability greater than 7 days were excluded from final analysis.

198 For the purpose of these analyses, each menstrual cycle was coded into four phases: perimenstrual (i.e.,
199 late luteal), mid-follicular, periovulatory, and mid-luteal (Schmalenberger et al. 2021). Table 1 provides a
200 description for identifying each menstrual phase.

201 **Sleep.** The Morningness/Eveningness Questionnaire (Horne and Ostberg 1976) was administered at
202 baseline and is a 19-item scale assessing preference for circadian preference for morning (“morningness”)
203 or evening hours (“eveningness”); lower scores indicate a more evening preference. Reliability in the
204 current sample was good (Cronbach’s $\alpha = .77$).

205 Objective sleep parameters were estimated via wrist actigraphy using the Actiwatch Spectrum Plus
206 (Philips Respironics). Actigraphy is a well-validated objective measure of sleep (Sadeh et al. 1994).
207 Participants were asked to wear the Actiwatch on their nondominant wrist only removing for brief breaks
208 and when swimming. They were also instructed to press an event-marking button each night when they
209 began trying to fall asleep. Data were downloaded and processed in 1-min epochs using the standard
210 medium sensitivity threshold. A combination of sleep diary reports, event markers, and light data (collected
211 from Spectrum Plus device) were used to identify sleep-wake patterns. Variables of interest included total
212 sleep time (TST), total wake time (TWT, calculated as the number of minutes scored as wake between
213 bedtime and rise time), and sleep efficiency (SE; calculated as $(TST/[Wake\ Time - Bedtime]) * 100$).
214 Additionally, mid-sleep point (MSP) was calculated and person-mean centered for each participant as a
215 measure of chronotype.

216 A Daily Sleep Diary was also collected at the first EMA assessment each day (see below). This included
217 a subjective measure of the above actigraphy variables. To differentiate objective (actigraphy) and
218 subjective (sleep diary) sleep variables, the above acronyms will be followed by the relevant subscript (e.g.,
219 TST_{obj} and TST_{sub} , respectively).

220 **Ecological Momentary Assessment of Affect & Emotion Processing.** Participants completed brief
221 emotion assessments daily via smartphone app, PACO (Personal Analytics Companion; pacoapp.com).
222 They were alerted to complete assessments via phone application 3 times per day: morning, evening, and
223 once randomly between the morning and evening timepoints (to avoid potential bias in assessment based
224 on time of day). For each alert they provided brief contextual information and current affect. Three Positive
225 (Happy, Calm, Enthusiastic) and negative (Angry, Afraid, Sad) affect variables were rated on a 9-point
226 Likert scale from 1 (*not at all*) to 9 (*very much*). Positive and negative emotions were chosen based on their
227 established relevance to both sleep (Tomaso et al. 2021) and menstrual literature (Romans et al. 2012b;
228 Beddig et al. 2020). The first daily EMA assessment included questions regarding the previous night's sleep
229 and the last EMA assessment asked about menstrual timing (i.e., *Did you menstruate today?* (Yes/No).

230 Assessments took approximately 90 seconds to complete, and participants had 60 minutes after each alert
231 to provide responses.

232 **Procedure.** Study procedures were approved by the university's institutional review board and written
233 informed consent was obtained from all participants. All participants completed a brief online questionnaire
234 assessing inclusion/exclusion criteria. Those meeting eligibility criteria then completed baseline measures
235 via a telephone interview (45-60min) followed by an online survey. Participants then downloaded EMA
236 software onto their cell phones and received a wrist actigraph, Participants completed daily EMA and wore
237 actigraphy for two menstrual months. Data collection began at any point in the menstrual cycle and
238 concluded at the same point in the cycle two cycles later.

239 **Analytic Plan**

240 To account for the time-nested structure of the data within persons and across two menstrual months,
241 the MIXED procedure in SPSS 27.0 was used for multistep hierarchical modeling (HLM). All models were
242 estimated using maximum likelihood estimation. Model fit was determined by change in -2 log likelihood
243 (Δ -2LL) of progressive models against a baseline (null) model, with significant change tested using a chi-
244 square likelihood ratio test. An autoregressive error structure controlled for correlation between errors in
245 repeated measurements (Schwartz and Stone 2007).

246 To describe changes in and relationships between emotion and sleep (outcome variables) across
247 the monthly menstrual cycle in women, models were built in a series of three steps. *Step one:* the null model
248 (no predictors) allowed for the calculation of interclass correlation coefficient (ICC), which partitions the
249 within-person variance from the between-person variance. *Step two:* this model included the addition of
250 relevant covariates. First, the addition of a Time variable, representing the number of days of participation
251 for each individual (i.e., the first day of data collection for each individual was labeled 1, the next day
252 labeled 2, and so on). The addition of the Time variable controlled for any temporal trends in the data that
253 could create potential confounding time-varying relationships between predictors and emotion (e.g.,
254 participant fatigue) beyond the inherent autocorrelation of time series data (Mccrae et al. 2008). Predictors

255 of pandemic stress were also entered at this step. Time varying covariates relating to COVID-19 stress and
256 anxiety were added at Step Two of the models described above. The addition of these covariates examined
257 the variability accounted for by pandemic-related stress, including daily case counts of COVID-19
258 (nationally and regionally), COVID-related anxiety and risk perception, and the number of people
259 participants knew personally with a diagnosis of COVID-19, reported at study end. *Step three*, the random
260 intercepts model, added a menstrual phase variable to those described above. Menstrual phase was entered
261 as a categorical predictor (peri-menstrual, mid-follicular, periovulatory, mid-luteal) of emotion and sleep
262 variables, estimating the mean effect across all participants (level 1) and between participants (level 2).
263 *Step Four* then added an interaction term to the models described above. This allowed for the examination
264 of the interactive effects of menstrual phase and sleep on emotion outcomes. This model therefore included
265 menstrual phase, sleep variables (e.g., TWT), and an interaction term (e.g., TWT*Phase) as predictors for
266 emotion variables. *Step Five* added two time-invariant covariates (chronotype, average MSP) and an
267 interaction term (e.g., Phase*MEQ) to the models as described above to explore individual-level factors
268 that may modify emotion and sleep patterns during each phase of the menstrual cycle.

269

270

Results

271 Mean cycle length among participants was 28.61 days (SD = 2.69). A mean of 51.29 nights of
272 actigraphy (range 22-78, median = 59 days, $n_{\text{reports}} = 2587$, 19% missing) and 59.31 days of EMA data (range
273 19-87) was recorded. The median EMA response rate was 83%, with 6505 out of 9403 total EMA alerts
274 across all participants completed. Models were fit with maximum likelihood estimation, which is robust to
275 missing data, particularly when missing values relate to the response variables and not the predictor
276 variables, as was the case in these analyses (Anderson et al. 2014). Demographic and menstrual
277 characteristics for the sample are presented in Table 2.

278

Preliminary Analyses

279 Analyses were first conducted to identify potential sociodemographic and individual-level variables
280 associated with emotion and sleep. Statistically significant associations were detected between
281 sociodemographic and emotion variables, although effect sizes were small (r 's ≤ 0.18 , η^2 s ≤ 0.05 ; see
282 supplemental Table 1). Significant correlations between emotion variables were also found (see
283 Supplemental Table 2). Correlations among the three positive emotions (happy, enthusiastic, calm) were
284 much higher (r 's $> .50$) than those among negative emotions (anger, afraid, sad; r 's $\leq .41$).

285 With regard to sleep, participant age was significantly associated with TWT_{obj} ($r = -.32$, $p < .001$) and
286 SE_{obj} ($r = .34$, $p < .001$), such that older participants had shorter objectively measured TWT and higher SE
287 (see Supplemental Table 3). Further, age significantly negatively correlated with MSP ($r = -.42$, $p < .001$).
288 Age was therefore included as a covariate in final models.

289 Distributions were approximately normal for all outcome variables except for negative emotions. Data
290 for negative emotions (angry, afraid, sad) were somewhat positively skewed, indicating a floor effect for
291 these emotions (skewness = 1.39 – 2.65, $SE = 0.32$). Hierarchical linear modeling is generally robust to
292 violations of normality, particularly in the case of predicting fixed effects in large numbers of data points
293 such as ours, therefore, the standard linear mixed model command was used (Bartlett 2014; Schielzeth et
294 al. 2020).

295 We examined and controlled for relationships between COVID-19 stress and daily measures of emotion
296 and sleep. These variables included two population-level daily case counts: US and region (Harris County
297 and the City of Houston). Individual-level (Level 2) COVID variables included the number of people
298 diagnosed with COVID-19 known personally to the participant, a rating of perceived risk, and COVID-19-
299 related anxiety (all measured at study end). Bivariate correlations are presented in Supplemental Tables 2
300 and 3. Most COVID-19-related predictors were significantly correlated with emotion and sleep variables;
301 however, these correlations were generally small (r 's $\leq .18$). Nevertheless, each were included in all models
302 as covariates.

303 **Cycle Dependent Variation of Emotion**

304 **Positive Emotion.** First, the intercept-only (null) model examined the degree to which the variance in
305 positive emotion variables (happy, calm, enthusiastic) differed between women. For each predictor, the
306 intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC), an index of between- and within-person variability, was calculated.
307 The ICCs for positive emotions ranged from .44-.53 (see supplemental Table 4). Thus, 44-53% of the
308 variance in positive emotions was attributable to differences between individuals, indicating HLM is
309 appropriate for these analyses (Shek and Ma 2011).

310 The random intercepts model added menstrual phase as a predictor of positive emotion variables by
311 allowing the intercept to be random. This allowed for examination of the overall effect of menstrual phase,
312 averaged across all subjects. Menstrual phase was dummy coded with the perimenstrual phase as the
313 reference variable, to which each of the other three phases (mid-follicular, periovulatory, and mid-luteal)
314 was compared. In terms of model fit statistics (change in -2LL), goodness of fit was significantly improved
315 for all models after including menstrual phase, however F tests examining menstrual phase as a predictor
316 did not reach statistical significance for any of the positive emotion variables. Results were similar when
317 phase was allowed to vary within participants (random coefficients). Positive emotion therefore did not
318 differ meaningfully across different menstrual phases, nor did it vary significantly within subjects across
319 the menstrual cycle. Supplemental Table 4 shows the model fit results for each progressive model.

320 **Negative Emotion.** For negative emotions (angry, afraid, sad), 22-35% of the variance was attributable
321 to differences between individuals. The addition of menstrual phase as a predictor again showed model fit
322 to be significantly improved for all predictors (see Supplemental Table 4), however menstrual phase
323 predicting anger was the only model to reach statistical significance ($F(3,1743.35) = 3.71, p = .01$). The
324 regression coefficients relating menstrual phase to anger were negative and statistically significant for the
325 periovulatory phases ($b = -.17, p = .006$) and approached significance for the mid-follicular phase ($b = -.10,$
326 $p = .053$), indicating that during these phases, women endorsed anger scores .10 and .17 points lower on
327 average compared to the perimenstrual phase. Table 3 shows the fixed effects of menstrual phase. When
328 phase was allowed to vary within participants (random coefficients), results did not reach statistical
329 significance, indicating these relationships did not vary significantly between participants.

330 **Cycle Dependent Variation of Sleep**

331 To describe changes in objective and subjective sleep across the menstrual cycle, separate models were
332 calculated with menstrual phase as a predictor of sleep variables. Supplemental Table 5 shows the model
333 fit results for each progressive model predicting objective and subjective sleep variables. The ICCs ranged
334 from .15-.38.

335 **Objective Sleep.** The addition of menstrual phase significantly improved model fit for all objective
336 sleep models, but only reached statistical significance for Total Wake Time (TWT_{obj} ; $F(3,1697.06^1 = 7.46)$,
337 $p < .001$) and Sleep Efficiency (SE_{obj} ; $F(3,1551.11) = 4.21$), $p = .006$). For TWT_{obj} , regression coefficients
338 were again negative and statistically significant with significantly greater wake time in the perimenstrual
339 phase than the mid-follicular and mid-luteal phases when measured objectively. During the mid-follicular
340 ($b = -6.51$, $p < .001$) and mid-luteal phases ($b = -4.43$, $p = .01$), women spent roughly 4-7 fewer minutes in
341 bed awake compared to the perimenstrual phase. Similarly, compared to the perimenstrual phase, sleep
342 efficiency was significantly higher in the mid-luteal phase ($b = 1.79$, $p < .001$). Table 4 shows the fixed
343 effects for each subjective and objective sleep outcome variable. The random coefficients model was again
344 nonsignificant indicating these relationships did not vary significantly between participants.

345 **Subjective Sleep.** For subjectively-reported sleep variables, menstrual phase significantly predicted
346 TWT_{sub} ($F(3,1831.65) = 5.73$, $p < .001$), SE_{sub} ($F(3,2077.16) = 5.47$, $p < .001$), and subjective sleepiness
347 ($F(3,653.67) = 3.15$, $p = .02$). The regression coefficients were again negative and statistically significant
348 for all phases, indicating that during the perimenstrual phase, women endorsed spending more time awake
349 in the middle of the night (by 8-16 minutes, p 's = $< .001-.02$), a greater proportion of time spent in bed
350 awake (1.3 - 3.2 percentage points, p 's = $< .001-.04$), and feeling sleepier in the morning compared to the
351 periovulatory phase ($b = -.43$, $p = .01$). The random coefficients model remained nonsignificant indicating
352 these relationships did not vary significantly between participants.

¹ SPSS computes degrees of freedom (*df*) via Satterthwaite method, therefore *df* are approximate and may not be reported as integers.

353 **Interaction of Sleep and Emotion across the Menstrual Cycle**

354 To examine the combined effects of menstrual phase and sleep on daily emotions, one additional step
355 was added to the models described above. *Step Four* included the addition of sleep variables and their
356 interactions with menstrual phase (random intercept, fixed slope). Predictors were person-mean centered.
357 As seen in Table 5, the interaction between TWT_{obj} and menstrual phase was found to predict levels of
358 happiness ($F(3,2893.04) = 4.14, p = .006$), calm ($F(3,2645.40) = 3.42, p = .02$), and enthusiasm
359 ($F(3,2658.53) = 3.54, p = .01$). In general, these positive emotions were lower when wake time was greater
360 in the perimenstrual phase compared to the mid-follicular and periovulatory phases. No other sleep
361 variables (subjective or objective) interacted with menstrual phase.

362 **Individual-Level Predictors of Emotion and Sleep**

363 To examine individual-level factors as potential moderators of relationships of interest, one final step
364 was added to the models above (*Step Five*). This step added MEQ score as well as the person-level mean
365 MSP to the models and included an interaction term to examine combined effects with menstrual phase.
366 None of these predictors, nor their interaction terms, were found to account for a significant amount of
367 variance above and beyond the findings described above.

368 **Chronotype.** The addition of MEQ into the model significantly improved model fit for all sleep
369 variables except TST_{obj} (see fit statistics listed in Supplemental Table 5, step four). MEQ negatively
370 predicted TWT_{obj} ($F(1,36.39) = 9.70, p = .004$), however the interaction term was nonsignificant. Therefore,
371 as morningness chronotype increased, objectively measured wake time decreased, and this effect was
372 similar across menstrual phases. A similar effect for SE_{obj} was also found. MEQ scores were positively
373 predicted sleep efficiency ($F(1,37.89) = 10.38, p = .003$), but the interaction term for MEQ and menstrual
374 phase was nonsignificant. An interaction was found, however, between menstrual phase and MEQ scores
375 in predicting TST_{sub} ($F(3,1765.92) = 4.09, p = .007$). As morningness chronotype increased, self-reported
376 total sleep time was significantly higher in the periovulatory ($b = 1.81, p = .01$ and mid-luteal phases ($b =$
377 $2.03, p < .001$) compared to the perimenstrual phase.

378 **Circadian Timing.** The addition of MSP statically improved model fit for all models except TST_{obj}
379 and SE_{obj} (Δ -2LL ranged from 1243 - 13398). The interaction of MSP and menstrual phase reached
380 statistical significance for predicting TST_{sub} only ($F(3,1354.38) = 3.53, p = .01$) such that TST_{sub} was
381 statistically shorter for the periovulatory phase compared to the perimenstrual phase ($b = -.005, p = .002$).
382

383 **Discussion**

384 This longitudinal study examined daily reports of sleep and emotion in healthy young women across
385 two consecutive menstrual cycles. Our findings align with previous reports indicating cycle-dependent
386 changes in emotion and sleep as well as their interaction. These findings were robust in the full sample and
387 largely observable independent of individual-level characteristics or the effects of COVID-19-related stress.

388 **Variations in Emotion across the Menstrual Cycle**

389 First, we examined positive and negative emotions by menstrual phase. Anger was the only specific
390 emotion that varied significantly in relation to menstrual phase. Compared to the perimenstrual phase,
391 reports of anger were significantly lower at the cycle midpoint (periovulatory phase). This finding is
392 consistent with a number of studies identifying anger and irritability to be of the most commonly reported
393 symptoms of premenstrual syndrome (Hartlage 2002; Nisar et al. 2008). For example, compared to other
394 menstrual phases, perimenstrual women appear to respond more quickly to negative or stressful situations,
395 have decreased ability to suppress processing of negative stimuli, recognize emotion in others with poorer
396 accuracy, and have enhanced emotional memory; thereby increasing sensitivity to stress (Sundström
397 Poromaa and Gingnell 2014; Lusk et al. 2017b; Liu et al. 2017b; Sundström-Poromaa 2018). Fluctuations
398 in progesterone, such as those seen in the latter half of the menstrual cycle, have been associated with
399 negative mood states such as irritability (Lundin et al. 2017b). Imaging studies also suggest increased
400 reactivity in areas of the brain tied to emotion, i.e., the amygdala, during the perimenstrual phase
401 (Sundström Poromaa and Gingnell 2014) Therefore, during this phase, women experience enhanced

402 sensitivity to perceptions of threat or biological harm (perhaps as a means by which to protect pregnancy,
403 should fertilization occur) (Conway et al. 2007).

404 By comparison, higher levels of estrogen (i.e., during the follicular phase) have been found to be
405 protective against the effects of stress on the limbic system. Women may therefore be most vulnerable to
406 the effects of stress when estrogen is lowest, such as during the perimenstrual phase, in turn increasing risk
407 for affective disorders (Albert et al. 2015). This may also be one explanation for the lack of an association
408 between positive emotion and menstrual phase in the current study. Women may be simply more attuned
409 or sensitive to negative rather than positive stimuli/events during this menstrual phase. Indeed, far fewer
410 studies have reported significant changes in positive emotion compared to negative between menstrual
411 phases, though this may be attributable in part to fewer studies focused on positive emotion and/or a
412 publication bias towards significant findings.

413 This literature, however, is not without inconsistencies. One review of prospective studies determined
414 no clear pattern of results for specific mood states in relation to menstrual phase (Romans et al. 2012a).
415 Similarly, two longitudinal studies examining self-reported emotion across the menstrual cycle found no
416 cycle-dependent change in positive or negative emotion in healthy women (Hengartner et al. 2017; Beddig
417 et al. 2020). One explanation for these discrepancies could lie in how emotion itself is measured. Most
418 studies have used an aggregate measure of either negative or positive emotion. Aggregating emotions by
419 valence only (positive or negative) may obscure understanding of specific emotions most influenced by
420 menstrual phase. Our findings suggest that two out of three of negative emotion variables did not vary
421 significantly across menstrual phase. Therefore, it may be that more precise measures of different emotions
422 may be required to elucidate affective risk, as certain emotions, such as anger or irritability, may be more
423 subject to fluctuations in the hormonal milieu than others. This theory appears supported by the fact that
424 we found lower correlations among negative emotion variables than positive emotions. Therefore, the
425 negative emotions measured in this study were more distinct (i.e., orthogonal) from each other than positive
426 emotions.

427 Additionally, the categorization/measurement of menstrual phase itself could underlie some of the
428 variability seen within the literature. The vast majority of studies that have examined cycle-dependent
429 attributes of mood and ovarian hormones have examined only steady state levels of hormones. More recent
430 findings, however, have determined that it is likely not the steady state hormone level that drive symptom
431 variation, but the change, or withdrawal, of these hormones (Schmidt et al. 2017). This is important because
432 examining only the points at which hormones are highest (e.g., mid-to late-luteal) may be obscuring much
433 of the true phase-related variations by failing to measure outcome variables as these hormones are shifting
434 (i.e., the entire perimenstrual period, including late-luteal through early follicular phases). Therefore,
435 methodological discrepancies in operationalizing the specific menstrual phases can limit interpretation and
436 comparison of findings. Overall, there is need to better standardize measurement and classification in
437 menstrual phase research (Schmalenberger et al. 2021).

438 **Variations in Sleep across the Menstrual Cycle**

439 Actigraphy data indicated that during the perimenstrual phase, time spent awake during the sleep period
440 was significantly greater than during the two adjacent phases (mid-follicular and mid-luteal) and sleep
441 efficiency was significantly lower as compared to the mid-luteal phase. These findings lend further support
442 to studies demonstrating sleep to be more fragmented and less efficient during the perimenstrual phase
443 (Sharkey et al. 2014; Zheng et al. 2015). Further, participants' subjective reports of their sleep mirrored
444 objective findings, with women reporting greater wake time and lower sleep efficiency during the
445 perimenstrual phase. Women's reports of subjective sleepiness were also higher during this phase. National
446 survey data have shown as many as one third of reproductive-aged women report disturbed sleep related to
447 their menstrual cycle (Francini and Tumminello 2007). Longitudinal, sleep diary-based studies also show
448 a perimenstrual decline in perceived sleep quality (Baker and Driver 2004). Again, ovarian hormones are
449 implicated. Li and colleagues found objectively measured sleep efficiency to be positively associated with
450 levels of estrogen and negatively associated with progesterone (Li et al. 2015). Progesterone is associated,

451 with a nearly one degree Fahrenheit increase in core body temperature, which may contribute to sleep
452 fragmentation (Baker and Driver 2007).

453 It is important to note, however, that studies examining phase-related differences in objectively
454 measured sleep in healthy women are equivocal, with several studies reporting no to only minimal sleep
455 disruption (Baker et al. 2012). For instance, a study examining the sleep of nine healthy women throughout
456 the menstrual cycle via polysomnography and subjective assessments of sleep quality found no differences
457 in sleep continuity across menstrual phases (Driver et al. 1996). In fact, while our findings are statistically
458 significant, clinical significance is less clear, as objectively measured sleep differences between phases
459 were between 4-7 minutes only. Although perceived sleep disruption was greater (8-16 minutes), individual
460 differences in sleep patterns and need preclude understanding of the amount of sleep disruption that is
461 clinically meaningful (Li et al. 2015). Still, it may be that even mild changes in sleep quality across the
462 menstrual cycle underlie the gender disparity that exists in sleep disorders. Insomnia occurs in women at
463 nearly two times the rates of men, suggesting a sex-specific mechanism underlying the condition (Soares
464 2005). Additionally, menstrual-related hypersomnia, although rare, is further indication of sleep
465 disturbances unique to women that require further study (Villar-Martínez et al. 2022). Indeed, it may be
466 that the variability in the occurrence of menstrual related sleep problems may represent inter-individual
467 differences in hormonal sensitivity, akin to those identified in reproductive mood disorders (Standeven et
468 al. 2020).

469 **Interactive Effects of Sleep and Menstrual Phase on Emotion Outcomes**

470 We also observed partial support for the interactive role of menstrual phase and sleep on emotion.
471 Effects emerged for all three positive emotions examined but were not detected for any of the negative
472 emotions. A number of studies suggest that poor sleep impairs positive emotions to the same or greater
473 extent than negative (Palmer and Alfano 2017). A recent meta-analysis including 64 studies of sleep and
474 emotion confirms this finding and reported no difference in the effects of partial sleep restriction versus
475 total sleep deprivation on positive emotions; suggesting that even a few hours of lost sleep in a night can

476 have the same impact as no sleep at all (Tomaso et al. 2021). In fact, this relationship between sleep and
477 positive emotion appears to be related to the development of anhedonia, a core feature of depression. Failure
478 to sustain activity in the neural circuits underlying reward learning and positive emotion may explain the
479 development of anhedonic features such as loss of pleasure (Heller et al. 2009). An intensive longitudinal
480 study that examined daily reports of sleep and emotion in women (not examining menstrual phase) also
481 found sleep variables to be more strongly associated with positive emotion, and that over time, these
482 associations were predictive of depressive risk (De Wild-Hartmann et al. 2013). Thus, diminished ability
483 to experience or maintain positive emotions after sleep loss may be one mechanism by which insomnia is
484 linked to depression (Finan et al. 2019). Our study builds on and expands these findings by examining these
485 relationships in the context of menstrual phase. On its own, menstrual phase was not predictive of positive
486 emotion. When poor sleep coincided with the perimenstrual phase, however, ratings of positive emotions
487 declined significantly. It is also notable that in our sample, only the interaction was statistically significant,
488 meaning that increased total wake time alone was not predictive of positive emotions during the
489 perimenstrual phase. Thus, sleep disruption, which is a natural occurrence in the perimenstrual phase,
490 directly enhances affective risk through its impact on positive emotion.

491 It is important to note again, however, that although statistically significant, these interactive effects
492 were small in this non-treatment-seeking sample of healthy women. However, these findings may be
493 suggestive of heightened vulnerability for women at higher risk for affective disorders. For instance, those
494 with perimenstrual syndrome have been shown to exhibit a greater tendency towards trait-based measures
495 of stress, anxiety, and ruminative thinking (Kappen et al. 2022). This risk can then be compounded by the
496 effects of poor sleep (Meers et al. 2019) to increase vulnerability to mood disorders.

497 Interestingly, although anger was found to be the only emotion impacted by menstrual phase alone,
498 we did not find anger to be enhanced by the effects of poor sleep in the perimenstrual phase. This suggests
499 that menstrual phase may have a more direct impact on negative emotions like anger, but only impacts positive
500 emotions through the biological influence on sleep disruption. Changes in progesterone may be contributing
501 to emotional vulnerability in various ways. Enhanced reactivity to negative stimuli (due to progesterone's

502 influence on emotion centers of the brain) co-occurring with reductions in positive emotion resulting from
503 poorer quality sleep (caused by progesterone's influence on thermodynamics) may set the stage for
504 enhanced susceptibility to anxiety and depressed mood in women. This again points towards greater need
505 to explore both positive and negative affective variables longitudinally across the menstrual cycle and in
506 relation to sleep.

507 **Individual-Level Predictors**

508 We found an effect of age on some sleep variables. As participant age increased, women demonstrated
509 reduced objective total wake time and better sleep efficiency. This finding could relate to the youth of our
510 sample in general (range 18 to 34 years; mean age = 24), who tended towards later bedtimes (12:31 AM)
511 than national averages (11:22 PM). Reports from the 2007 *National Sleep in America Poll*, commissioned
512 by the National Sleep Foundation, indicated that bedtimes for young adult women between the ages of 18
513 to 24 were nearly 57-87 minutes later than their 25 to 34-year-old counterparts (NSF 2007). This can be
514 attributed to normal changes in chronotype across the adult lifespan, with a preference for later bedtimes
515 during adolescence/early adulthood and advancing towards an earlier hour as age progresses (Wittmann
516 et al. 2006). This, too, was reflected in our findings, as there was a strong relationship between age and
517 MSP, with older participants showing earlier sleep timing.

518 An eveningness chronotype is generally associated with reduced sleep continuity and quality, as well
519 as "social jetlag;" a term for the discrepancy between an individual's preferred sleep-wake timing, and the
520 schedule imposed on them by social activities like work and school (Wittmann et al. 2006). We found
521 eveningness predicted greater objective total wake time and lower objective sleep efficiency, regardless of
522 menstrual phase. For subjectively reported sleep duration, however, the opposite relationship was found;
523 an effect for TST_{sub} only emerged when menstrual phase was also considered. Women reporting a stronger
524 preference for eveningness reported their total sleep time during the perimenstrual phase to be shorter. By
525 and large, evidence for menstrual phase effects on circadian timing is mixed (Baker and Driver 2007).
526 Instead, it is likely that women with a greater eveningness preference likely have more variable sleep

527 patterns and may be at greater risk for disruptions to sleep during the perimenstrual phase. We also did not
528 find an effect for chronotype or circadian timing on any of the emotion variables. This may indicate that
529 that the relationship between mood and circadian misalignment likely stems from the effects of poor sleep
530 rather than a direct relationship with emotion.

531 **Limitations & Future Directions**

532 Although this study has unique strengths, such as the use of both objective and subjective prospective
533 data across two menstrual cycles, we do note that findings must be interpreted within the context of several
534 limitations. As noted, precisely how the COVID-19 pandemic impacted outcomes cannot be fully known.
535 Although we did not find strong effects for pandemic stress on outcome variables, we cannot discount the
536 fact that the pandemic likely impacted participants' emotional experiences and sleep-wake behaviors.

537 Other limitations include methodological constraints related to measurement of self-reported emotion
538 and menstrual phase. First, our emotional measure was relatively brief as the benefit of assessing a wider
539 range of emotions had to be balanced against participant burden. Even so, the frequent administration of
540 EMA emotion measures (i.e., three times a day for two months) may have exerted some effect on negative
541 emotions such as anger/irritability due to their frequency. The physical symptoms of the perimenstrual
542 phase may have exerted similar effects such that greater menstrual-related pain, headaches, cramping,
543 and/or other similar symptoms may have impacted emotional outcomes. Similarly, although careful
544 attention was paid towards accurately identifying menstrual phase, future studies using more intensive
545 methodological approaches could further support these findings. For instance, the use of hormonal measures
546 (e.g., blood, urine, or saliva) to confirm menstrual phase could provide enhanced reliability of menstrual
547 data. A measure of core body temperature or dim light melatonin onset (DLMO) would advance
548 understanding of the mechanisms underlying the relationship between sleep disruption and menstrual phase.
549 Neuroimaging studies that explore the neurochemical underpinnings of the complex relationships between
550 emotion and cognition (i.e., neuroplasticity in emotion processing, reactivity, and reward processing
551 (Sacher et al. 2013)), as well as sleep are also warranted. Finally, while modeling the menstrual cycle as a

552 nonlinear function of time (versus the categorical approach used) could have provided a more nuanced
553 visualization of the gradual changes in sleep and emotion occurring across the menstrual cycle, its
554 complexity precluded its use in the current study (Schmalenberger et al. 2021). These represent important
555 future directions in this domain.

556 Finally, although every effort was made to recruit women from the full age range of our inclusion
557 criteria, younger women were oversampled, and therefore our mean age fell on the younger side. This likely
558 impacted sleep variables given normal circadian phase delays seen in younger adults. Other variables may
559 have been impacted as well. For instance, we know that affective stability and experience of positive
560 emotions tend to increase across the lifespan (Brose et al. 2013). Therefore, future studies with broader age
561 ranges may show different results and studies specifically investigating age-related interactions in emotion,
562 sleep, and menstrual phase are warranted. Additionally, while more than 50% of our sample was made up
563 of non-Caucasian individuals, we acknowledge that not every race/ethnicity was represented equally. We
564 therefore cannot confidently know that there are not group differences in these outcomes based on
565 race/ethnicity.

566 **Conclusions**

567 This novel study was one of the first to examine relationships between sleep and emotion in healthy
568 women across two menstrual cycles, yielding several important results. Specifically, we found that daily
569 reports of anger and disturbed sleep were highest in the perimenstrual phase (+/- 3 days of the start of
570 menstrual bleeding). When poor sleep occurred during this menstrual phase, positive emotions decreased
571 to a greater extent than when these variables were considered independently. These findings highlight the
572 importance of considering the role of sleep health in understanding affective risk across the monthly
573 menstrual cycle in reproductive-aged women. Equally important to improved understanding in this area is
574 the need to identify prevention and intervention targets and to improve approaches that could serve to reduce
575 vulnerability for mood disorders by way of reducing sleep disturbance and protecting the integrity of the
576 sleep-wake diurnal processes in women.

577

578

579

580

581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603

References

Albert K, Pruessner J, Newhouse P (2015) Estradiol levels modulate brain activity and negative responses to psychosocial stress across the menstrual cycle. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 59:14–24. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psyneuen.2015.04.022>

Anderson CJ, Kim J-S, Keller B (2014) Multilevel modeling of categorical response variables. In: Rutkowski L, von Davier M, Rutkowski D (eds) *Handbook of international large-scale assessment*. Taylor & Francis Group, Boca Raton, FL, pp 481–519

Baglioni C, Battagliese G, Feige B, et al (2011) Insomnia as a predictor of depression: A meta-analytic evaluation of longitudinal epidemiological studies. *J Affect Disord* 135:10–19

Baker FC, Driver HS (2007) Circadian rhythms, sleep, and the menstrual cycle. *Sleep Med* 8:613–622. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sleep.2006.09.011>

Baker FC, Driver HS (2004) Self-reported sleep across the menstrual cycle in young, healthy women. *J Psychosom Res* 56:239–243. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-3999\(03\)00067-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-3999(03)00067-9)

Baker FC, Lee KA (2018) Menstrual cycle effects on sleep. *Sleep Med Clin* 13:283–294. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsmc.2018.04.002>

Baker FC, Sassoon SA, Kahan T, et al (2012) Perceived poor sleep quality in the absence of polysomnographic sleep disturbance in women with severe premenstrual syndrome. *J Sleep Res* 21:535–545. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2869.2012.01007.x>

Bartlett J (2014) Robustness of linear mixed models. <https://thestatsgeek.com/2014/08/17/robustness-of-linear-mixed-models/>. Accessed 24 Jun 2021

Beddig T, Reinhard I, Ebner-Priemer U, Kuehner C (2020) Reciprocal effects between cognitive and affective states in women with Premenstrual Dysphoric Disorder: An Ecological

604 Momentary Assessment study. *Behaviour Research and Therapy* 131:103613.
605 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brat.2020.103613>

606 Brose A, Scheibe S, Schmiedek F (2013) Life contexts make a difference: Emotional stability in
607 younger and older adults. *Psychol Aging* 28:148–159. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0030047>

608 Cohen LH, Gunthert KC, Butler AC, et al (2005) Daily affective reactivity as a prospective
609 predictor of depressive symptoms. *J Pers* 73:1687–1713. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0022-](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0022-3506.2005.00363.x)
610 [3506.2005.00363.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0022-3506.2005.00363.x)

611 Comasco E, Frokjaer VG, Sundström-Poromaa I (2014) Functional and molecular neuroimaging
612 of menopause and hormone replacement therapy. *Front Neurosci* 8

613 Conley CS, Rudolph KD, Bryant FB (2012) Explaining the longitudinal association between
614 puberty and depression: Sex differences in the mediating effects of peer stress. In:
615 *Development and Psychopathology*

616 Conway CA, Jones BC, DeBruine LM, et al (2007) Salience of emotional displays of danger and
617 contagion in faces is enhanced when progesterone levels are raised. *Horm Behav* 51:202–
618 206. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yhbeh.2006.10.002>

619 De Wild-Hartmann JA, Wichers M, Van Bemmelen AL, et al (2013) Day-to-day associations
620 between subjective sleep and affect in regard to future depression in a female population-
621 based sample. *British Journal of Psychiatry* 202:407–412.
622 <https://doi.org/10.1192/bjp.bp.112.123794>

623 Driver HS, Dijk DJ, Werth E, et al (1996) Sleep and the sleep electroencephalogram across the
624 menstrual cycle in young healthy women. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism*
625 81:728–735. <https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.81.2.728>

626 Duarte LL, Menna-Barreto L, Miguel MAL, et al (2014) Chronotype ontogeny related to gender.
627 Brazilian Journal of Medical and Biological Research 47:316–320.
628 <https://doi.org/10.1590/1414-431X20143001>

629 Finan PH, Whitton AE, Letzen JE, et al (2019) Experimental sleep disruption and reward learning:
630 Moderating role of positive affect responses. Sleep 42:1–10.
631 <https://doi.org/10.1093/sleep/zsz026>

632 Francini K, Tumminello L (2007) Stressed-Out American Women Have No Time for Sleep:
633 Findings from Sleep in America Poll 2007

634 Guerra-Araiza C, Villamar-Cruz O, González-Arenas A, et al (2003) Changes in progesterone
635 receptor isoforms content in the rat brain during the oestrous cycle and after oestradiol and
636 progesterone treatments. J Neuroendocrinol 15:984–990. [https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2826.2003.01088.x)
637 [2826.2003.01088.x](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2826.2003.01088.x)

638 Gujar N, Yoo SS, Hu P, Walker MP (2011) Sleep deprivation amplifies reactivity of brain reward
639 networks, biasing the appraisal of positive emotional experiences. Journal of Neuroscience
640 31:4466–4474. <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3220-10.2011>

641 Hartlage SANN (2002) Psychological Reporis, 2002, 90, 189-202. 189–202

642 Harvey A, Alfano CA, Clarke G (2013) Mood Disorders. In: Wolfson A, Montgomery-Downs H
643 (eds) The Oxford Handbook of Infant, Child, and Adolescent Sleep: Development and
644 Problems. Oxford University Press, New York, NY

645 Heller AS, Johnstone T, Shackman AJ, et al (2009) Reduced capacity to sustain positive emotion
646 in major depression reflects diminished maintenance of fronto-striatal brain activation. Proc
647 Natl Acad Sci U S A 106:22445–22450. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0910651106>

648 Hengartner MP, Kruger THC, Geraedts K, et al (2017) Negative affect is unrelated to fluctuations
649 in hormone levels across the menstrual cycle: Evidence from a multisite observational study
650 across two successive cycles. *J Psychosom Res* 99:21–27.
651 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychores.2017.05.018>

652 Horne JA, Ostberg O (1976) A self assessment questionnaire to determine Morningness
653 Eveningness in human circadian rhythms. *Int J Chronobiol* 4:97–110

654 Jeong HJ, Moon E, Park JM, et al (2015) The relationship between chronotype and mood
655 fluctuation in the general population. *Psychiatry Res* 229:867–871.
656 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2015.07.067>

657 Jones JH, Salathé M (2009) Early assessment of anxiety and behavioral response to novel swine-
658 origin influenza a(H1N1). *PLoS One* 4:2–9. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0008032>

659 Kappen M, Raeymakers S, Weyers S, Vanderhasselt MA (2022) Stress and rumination in
660 Premenstrual Syndrome (PMS): Identifying stable and menstrual cycle-related differences in
661 PMS symptom severity. *J Affect Disord* 319:580–588.
662 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2022.09.052>

663 Knudtson J, McLaughlin JE (2017) Menstrual Cycle - Women’s Health Issues - Merck Manuals
664 Consumer Version. In: Merck Manual Consumer Version.
665 [http://www.merckmanuals.com/home/women-s-health-issues/biology-of-the-female-](http://www.merckmanuals.com/home/women-s-health-issues/biology-of-the-female-reproductive-system/menstrual-cycle)
666 [reproductive-system/menstrual-cycle](http://www.merckmanuals.com/home/women-s-health-issues/biology-of-the-female-reproductive-system/menstrual-cycle)

667 Kravitz HM, Janssen I, Santoro N, et al (2005) Relationship of day-to-day reproductive hormone
668 levels to sleep in midlife women. *Arch Intern Med* 165:2370–2376.
669 <https://doi.org/10.1001/archinte.165.20.2370>

670 LeRoux A, Wright L, Perrot T, Rusak B (2014) Impact of menstrual cycle phase on endocrine
671 effects of partial sleep restriction in healthy women. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 49:34–46.
672 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psyneuen.2014.06.002>

673 Li DX, Romans SE, De Souza MJ, et al (2015) Actigraphic and self-reported sleep quality in
674 women: Associations with ovarian hormones and mood. *Sleep Med* 16:1217–1224.
675 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sleep.2015.06.009>

676 Liu Q, Wang Y, van Heck CH, Qiao W (2017a) Stress reactivity and emotion in premenstrual
677 syndrome. *Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat* 13:1597–1602. <https://doi.org/10.2147/NDT.S132001>

678 Liu X, Chen H, Liu Z-Z, et al (2017b) Early menarche and menstrual problems are associated with
679 sleep disturbance in a large sample of Chinese adolescent girls. *Sleep* 40:.
680 <https://doi.org/10.1093/sleep/zsx107>

681 Lundin C, Danielsson KG, Bixo M, et al (2017a) Combined oral contraceptive use is associated
682 with both improvement and worsening of mood in the different phases of the treatment
683 cycle—A double-blind, placebo-controlled randomized trial. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*
684 76:135–143. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psyneuen.2016.11.033>

685 Lundin C, Danielsson KG, Bixo M, et al (2017b) Combined oral contraceptive use is associated
686 with both improvement and worsening of mood in the different phases of the treatment
687 cycle—A double-blind, placebo-controlled randomized trial. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*
688 76:135–143. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psyneuen.2016.11.033>

689 Lusk BR, Carr AR, Ranson VA, Felmingham KL (2017a) Women in the midluteal phase of the
690 menstrual cycle have difficulty suppressing the processing of negative emotional stimuli: An
691 event-related potential study. *Cogn Affect Behav Neurosci* 17:886–903.
692 <https://doi.org/10.3758/s13415-017-0520-1>

693 Lusk BR, Carr AR, Ranson VA, Felmingham KL (2017b) Women in the midluteal phase of the
694 menstrual cycle have difficulty suppressing the processing of negative emotional stimuli: An
695 event-related potential study. *Cogn Affect Behav Neurosci* 17:886–903.
696 <https://doi.org/10.3758/s13415-017-0520-1>

697 Mccrae CS, Mcnamara JPH, Rowe MA, et al (2008) Sleep and affect in older adults: Using
698 multilevel modeling to examine daily associations. *J Sleep Res* 17:42–53.
699 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2869.2008.00621.x>

700 Meers JM, Bower JL, Alfano CA (2019) Poor sleep and emotion dysregulation mediate the
701 association between depressive and premenstrual symptoms in young adult women. *Arch*
702 *Womens Ment Health*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00737-019-00984-2>

703 Merikanto I, Suvisaari J, Lahti T, Partonen T (2016) Eveningness relates to burnout and seasonal
704 sleep and mood problems among young adults. *Nord J Psychiatry* 70:72–80.
705 <https://doi.org/10.3109/08039488.2015.1053519>

706 Nevatte T, O’Brien PMS, Bäckström T, et al (2013) ISPM D consensus on the management of
707 premenstrual disorders. *Arch Womens Ment Health* 16:279–291.
708 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00737-013-0346-y>

709 Nisar N, Zehra N, Haider G, et al (2008) Frequency, intensity and impact of premenstrual
710 syndrome in medical students. *Journal of the College of Physicians and Surgeons Pakistan*
711 18:481–484. <https://doi.org/08.2008/JCPSP.48148484>

712 NSF (2007) 2007 Sleep in America Poll. Washington, DC

713 Osterlund MK, Keller E, Hurd YL (2000) The human forebrain has discrete estrogen receptor
714 alpha messenger RNA expression: high levels in the amygdaloid complex. *Neuroscience*
715 95:333–42

716 Palmer CA, Alfano CA (2017) Sleep and emotion regulation: An organizing, integrative review.
717 Sleep Med Rev 31:6–16. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.smrv.2015.12.006>

718 Romans SE, Clarkson R, Einstein G, et al (2012a) Mood and the menstrual cycle: A review of
719 prospective data studies. Gend Med 9:361–384. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.genm.2012.07.003>

720 Romans SE, Clarkson R, Einstein G, et al (2012b) Mood and the menstrual cycle: A review of
721 prospective data studies. Gend Med 9:361–384

722 Romans SE, Kreindler D, Einstein G, et al (2015) Sleep quality and the menstrual cycle. Sleep
723 Med 16:489–495. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sleep.2014.12.001>

724 Sacher J, Okon-Singer H, Villringer A (2013) Evidence from neuroimaging for the role of the
725 menstrual cycle in the interplay of emotion and cognition. Front Hum Neurosci

726 Sadeh A, Sharkey KM, Carskadon MA (1994) Activity-based sleep-wake identification: An
727 empirical test of methodological issues. Sleep 17:201–207.
728 <https://doi.org/10.1093/sleep/17.3.201>

729 Schielzeth H, Dingemanse NJ, Nakagawa S, et al (2020) Robustness of linear mixed-effects
730 models to violations of distributional assumptions. Methods Ecol Evol 11:1141–1152.
731 <https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.13434>

732 Schmalenberger KM, Tauseef HA, Barone JC, et al (2021) How to study the menstrual cycle:
733 Practical tools and recommendations. Psychoneuroendocrinology 123:104895.
734 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psyneuen.2020.104895>

735 Schmidt PJ, Martinez PE, Nieman LK, et al (2017) Premenstrual dysphoric disorder symptoms
736 following ovarian suppression: Triggered by change in ovarian steroid levels but not
737 continuous stable levels. American Journal of Psychiatry 174:980–989.
738 <https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.2017.16101113>

739 Schwartz JE, Stone AA (2007) The analysis of real-time momentary data: A practical guide. In:
740 Stone AA, Shiffman S, Atienza AA, Nebeling L (eds) The science of real-time data capture:
741 Self-reports in health research, 1st edn. Oxford University Press, New York, NY, pp 76–113

742 Sharkey KM, Crawford SL, Kim S, Joffe H (2014) Objective sleep interruption and reproductive
743 hormone dynamics in the menstrual cycle. *Sleep Med* 15:688–693.
744 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sleep.2014.02.003>.Objective

745 Shek DTL, Ma CMS (2011) Longitudinal data analyses using linear mixed models in SPSS:
746 Concepts, procedures and illustrations. *ScientificWorldJournal* 11:42–76

747 Soares CN (2005) Insomnia in women: An overlooked epidemic? *Arch Womens Ment Health*.
748 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00737-005-0100-1>

749 Standeven LR, McEvoy KO, Osborne LM (2020) Progesterone, reproduction, and psychiatric
750 illness. *Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol* 69:108–126

751 Sundström Poromaa I, Gingnell M (2014) Menstrual cycle influence on cognitive function and
752 emotion processing from a reproductive perspective. *Front Neurosci* 8:1–16.
753 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2014.00380>

754 Sundström-Poromaa I (2018) The menstrual cycle influences emotion but has limited effect on
755 cognitive function. *Vitam Horm* 107:349–376. <https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.vh.2018.01.016>

756 Tempesta D, Soggi V, De Gennaro L, Ferrara M (2018) Sleep and emotional processing. *Sleep*
757 *Med Rev* 40:183–195

758 Tomaso CC, Johnson AB, Nelson TD (2021) The effect of sleep deprivation and restriction on
759 mood, emotion, and emotion regulation: three meta-analyses in one. *Sleep* 44:1–30.
760 <https://doi.org/10.1093/sleep/zsaa289>

761 Villar-Martínez MD, Pérez-Lorensu PJ, Moreno-Ajona D, et al (2022) Menstruation-related
762 hypersomnia. Electroencephalographic and actigraphic correlation in an underrecognized
763 neuropsychiatric disorder. *Sleep Med* 91:93–95. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sleep.2022.02.009>

764 Wittchen HU, Becker E, Lieb R, Krause P (2002) Prevalence, incidence and stability of
765 premenstrual dysphoric disorder in the community. *Psychol Med* 32:119–132.
766 <https://doi.org/10.1017/s0033291701004925>

767 Wittmann M, Dinich J, Merrow M, Roenneberg T (2006) Social jetlag: Misalignment of biological
768 and social time. In: *Chronobiology International*. pp 497–509

769 Yoo SS, Gujar N, Hu P, et al (2007) The human emotional brain without sleep - a prefrontal
770 amygdala disconnect. *Current Biology* 17

771 Zheng H, Harlow SD, Kravitz HM, et al (2015) Actigraphy-defined measures of sleep and
772 movement across the menstrual cycle in midlife menstruating women: Study of Women’s
773 Health Across the Nation Sleep Study. *Menopause* 22:66–74.
774 <https://doi.org/10.1097/GME.0000000000000249>

775

776

777 **Table 1.** *Timing of menstrual cycle phases.*

Phase	Cycle Day Ranges (Assuming an idealized 28-day menstrual cycle)
Perimenstrual	3 days prior to menstrual bleeding and the first 3 days of bleeding Cycle day -3 through +3 (days 26-28 of previous cycle + days 1-3)
Mid-follicular	Cycle day 4 through the day prior to positive ovulation test Cycle days 4-13
Periovulatory	The day of positive ovulation test and the following day Cycle day 14+15
Mid-Luteal	The day after the periovulatory phase until 3 days prior to bleeding onset Cycle day 16-25

778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808

	M(SD)/ N(%)
Demographic Variables	
Age	23.67(4.68)
Race	
Caucasian	22(43.1%)
African American	5(9.8%)
American Indian/Alaska Native	1(2.0%)
Asian	15(29.4%)
Mixed Race	7(13.7%)
Not Reported	1(2.0%)
Ethnicity	
Hispanic	20(39.2%)
Non-Hispanic	29(56.49%)
Education	
High School Diploma	5(9.8%)
Some College	21(41.2%)
Bachelor's Degree	17(33.3%)
Advanced Degree	5(9.8%)
Not Reported	3(5.9%)
Menstrual Cycle Variables	
Menstrual Cycle Length (days)	28.61(2.69)
Menstrual Distress Questionnaire	
Negative Affect	6.5(5.62)
Cognitive Symptoms	2.46(2.50)
Fluid Retention	1.49(1.36)
Behavior Change	4.73(3.33)
Somatic Symptoms	7.54(3.37)
Autonomic Reactions	1.21(1.89)
Emotion Variables	
Happy	5.63(1.92)
Calm	5.46(2.05)
Enthusiastic	3.87(2.35)
Angry	1.62(1.25)
Afraid	2.47(1.89)
Sad	2.07(1.63)
Sleep Variables	
Circadian Phase Preference (MEQ)	46.94(8.02)
Actigraphy Variables	
Bedtime	12:31 AM (90 min.)
Wake Time	8:46 AM (98 min.)
Total Sleep Time min. (TST _{obj})	460.68(46.87)
Total Wake Time min. (TWT _{obj})	64.62(19.26)
% Sleep Efficiency (SE _{obj})	81.62(9.14)
Sleep Diary Variables	
Total Sleep Time min. (TST _{sub})	442.17(87.95)
Total Wake Time min. (TWT _{sub})	43.75(28.60)

% Sleep Efficiency (SE_{sub})	90.48(8.37)
Sleepiness (1-9 scale)	4.55(1.21)

COVID-19 Variables (Baseline / End of Study)

COVID-Anxiety	5.27(1.99) / 5.73(2.06)
COVID-Risk Perception	3.89(2.50) / 3.79(2.39)
# COVID-Positive People You Know	1.63(2.17) / 4.71(2.18)

Table 3. Menstrual Phase Predicting Positive and Negative Emotion

	Positive						Negative					
	Happy		Calm		Enthusiastic		Angry		Afraid		Sad	
	B	SE	B	SE	B	SE	B	SE	B	SE	B	SE
<i>Fixed Effects:</i>												
Intercept	5.61***	.21	5.49***	.22	3.96***	.27	1.70***	.10	2.48***	.19	2.22***	.15
Mid-Follicular	.05	.07	-.01	.07	-.07	.07	-.10	.05	.01	.08	-.08	.07
Periovulatory	.08	.09	.01	.08	.07	.09	-.17**	.06	.12	.10	-.16	.09
Mid-Luteal	-.01	.07	-.06	.07	-.02	.07	-.01	.05	.04	.08	-.03	.07
<i>Random Effects:</i>												
Within												
Variance	2.07***		2.26***		2.59***		1.24***		2.39***		2.05***	
<i>r</i> adjacent errors	.33***		.24***		.24***		.23***		.38***		.36***	
Between												
Variance	1.73***		1.9***		3.03***		.35***		1.35***		.82***	

Note. * $p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.01$. *** $p < 0.001$. Perimenstrual phase serves as the reference variable, to which all other phases are compared.

Table 4. Menstrual Phase Predicting Sleep

	Objective (Actigraphy)						Subjective (Sleep Diary)							
	TST _{obj}		TWT _{obj}		SE _{obj}		TST _{sub}		TWT _{sub}		SE _{sub}		Sleepy	
	B	SE	B	SE	B	SE	B	SE	B	SE	B	SE	B	SE
<i>Fixed Effects:</i>														
Intercept	456.17**	8.74	67.54**	3.37	80.71**	.82	432.38**	8.06	52.23**	5.00	89.72**	.99	4.77**	.22
Mid-Follicular	-2.07	6.34	-6.51**	1.80	.93	.52	6.74	5.04	-7.54*	3.19	1.34*	.67	-.06	.12
Periovulatory	5.72	7.63	-.07	2.16	.83	.63	3.28	6.05	-15.72**	3.81	3.21**	.80	-.43**	.15
Mid-Luteal	7.19	6.23	-4.43*	1.77	1.79**	.51	3.31	5.02	-8.41**	3.17	1.19	.67	-.11	.13
<i>Random Effects:</i>														
Within														
Variance	9609.04**		676.62**		65.41**		6487.36**		2573.51**		114.40**		2.49**	
<i>r</i> adjacent errors	.67**		.70**		.67**		.72**		.74**		.75**		.13**	
Between														
Variance of Intercept	1782.72**		332.32**		17.78**		2006.35**		751.20**		28.03**		1.58**	

Note. * $p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.01$. Perimenstrual phase serves as the reference variable, to which all other phases are compared.

Table 5. Interactions for Menstrual Phase and Sleep Predicting Positive and Negative Emotion

	Positive Emotion						Negative Emotion					
	Happy		Calm		Enthusiastic		Angry		Afraid		Sad	
	B	SE	B	SE	B	SE	B	SE	B	SE	B	SE
<i>Fixed Effects:</i>												
Intercept	5.67*	.24	5.53**	.25	4.01**	.29	1.62**	.09	2.45**	.18	2.24**	.17
Mid-Follicular	.004	.08	-.03	.08	-.18*	.08	-.09	.06	-.03	.09	-.08	.08
Periovulatory	-.01	.10	-.11	.09	-.11	.10	-.14*	.07	.14	.11	-.10	.10
Mid-Luteal	-.06	.08	-.15	.08	-.08	.08	-.03	.06	-.002	.09	.01	.08
TWT _{obj}	.001	.002	-.002	.002	.002	.002	-.002	.001	-.0002	.002	-.0005	.002
Mid-Follicular*TWT _{obj}	-.005*	.003	-.001	.003	-.006*	.003	.004	.002	.002	.003	.004	.003
Periovulatory* TWT _{obj}	-.006*	.003	-.0003	.003	-.003	.003	.005*	.002	-.0002	.003	.002	.003
Mid-Luteal* TWT _{obj}	-.002	.003	.006*	.003	.002	.003	.001	.002	-.0005	.003	-.001	.003
<i>Random Effects:</i>												
Within												
Variance	2.07*		2.21**		2.57**		1.16**		2.35**		2.14**	
<i>r</i> adjacent errors	.33**		.23**		.24**		.22**		.38**		.35**	
Between												
Variance	2.01*		2.20**		3.23**		.24**		1.05**		.91**	

Note. * $p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.01$. Perimenstrual phase serves as the reference variable, to which all other phases are compared.

Table 6. MEQ as an Individual Level Predictor of Sleep

	Objective (Actigraphy)						Subjective (Sleep Diary)								
	TST _{obj}		TWT _{obj}		SE _{obj}		TST _{sub}		TWT _{sub}		SE _{sub}		Sleepy		
	B	SE	B	SE	B	SE	B	SE	B	SE	B	SE	B	SE	
<i>Fixed Effects:</i>															
Intercept	487.79*	51.84	123.55*	17.69	66.71**	4.26	512.94*	47.08	43.45**	28.77	93.10**	5.67	6.07**	1.26	
Mid-Follicular	-52.12	37.03	-6.62	10.45	.73	3.04	-34.19	27.84	-39.10*	16.66	8.31	3.60	-.85	.68	
Periovulatory	-31.77	46.79	-14.17	13.10	9.23*	3.84	-80.86*	34.03	-	20.31	3.98	4.37	-1.18	.85	
Mid-Luteal	-66.01	37.34	-17.06	10.48	2.59**	3.07	-89.14**	28.37	25.34**	16.94	5.54	3.65	-.15	.72	
MEQ	-.67	1.11	-1.23**	.38	.31**	.09	-1.74	1.00	35.26**	.18	.61	-.07	.12	-.03	.03
Mid-Follicular*MEQ	1.11	.80	.002	.22	.01	.07	.88	.60	.68	.36	-.15	.08	.02	.01	
Periovulatory*MEQ	.80	1.00	.32	.28	-.19*	.08	1.81*	.73	.21	.43	-.01	.09	.02	.02	
Mid-Luteal*MEQ	1.56	.80	.29	.22	-.02	.07	2.03**	.60	.57	.36	-.09	.08	.002	.02	
<i>Random Effects:</i>															
Within															
Variance	9665.80**		757.99**		65.11**		6407.90**		2283.89**		107.05**		2.44**		
<i>r</i> adjacent errors	.67**		.70**		.67**		.71**		.73**		.74**		.15**		
Between															
Variance of Intercept	1831.70**		249.14*		12.43**		2048.01**		769.31**		27.69**		1.59**		

Note. * $p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.01$. Perimenstrual phase serves as the reference variable, to which all other phases are compared