

1 **© 2023, American Psychological Association. This paper is not the copy of record and**
2 **may not exactly replicate the final, authoritative version of the article. Please do not**
3 **copy or cite without authors' permission. The final article will be available, upon**
4 **publication, via its DOI: 10.1037/spy0000328**


5
6 **Coaches' Experiences of Job Crafting Through Organizational Change in High-**
7 **Performance Sport**


8
9 James L. Rumbold¹, James A. Newman¹, and Shelby Carr²


10 ¹Sheffield Hallam University, UK

11 ²University of East Anglia, UK

12 **Author Note**

13 James L. Rumbold  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1914-1036>

14 James A. Newman  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4957-836X>

15 Shelby Carr  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7301-8832>

16
17 The authors report there are no competing interests to declare.

18
19 Data availability statement: Due to the nature of this research, participants of this study did
20 not agree for their data to be shared publicly, so supporting data is not available.

21
22 Correspondence concerning this paper should be addressed to James L. Rumbold, Sport and
23 Physical Activity Research Centre, College of Health, Wellbeing and Life Sciences, Sheffield
24 Hallam University, Collegiate Crescent, Sheffield, South Yorkshire S10 2BP, United
25 Kingdom, email: J.Rumbold@shu.ac.uk

26

Abstract

27 The purpose of this study was to explore coaches' experiences of job crafting through a
28 climate of organizational change in high-performance sport environments. Semi-structured
29 interviews ($M_{duration} = 83.86$ minutes, $SD = 26.28$ minutes) were conducted with seven
30 coaches ($M_{Experience} = 22$ years, $SD = 7.55$) who had experience of coaching sport
31 performers at international, Olympic and professional level. Guided by Interpretative
32 Phenomenological Analysis (IPA), the findings revealed that "the climate of organizational
33 change" for these coaches encapsulated 'job turnover' at various stages of organizational
34 change and 'working in a vacuum and losing sight of the process'. Four subordinate themes
35 were generated to highlight coaches' experience of "crafting the job in a climate of change".
36 These themes included 'motives for job crafting'; 'appraising and re-appraising aspects of the
37 job'; 'mobilizing social and structural resources'; and 'withdrawal from aspects of the job'.
38 The findings advance job crafting theory by demonstrating how organizational change can
39 both constrain *and* stimulate coaches' job crafting efforts in particular ways. Identifying
40 opportunities for autonomy and support resources to craft their jobs helped coaches to
41 maintain enthusiasm, job satisfaction and continue in one's job. To our knowledge, this is the
42 first study in sport psychology literature to explore coaches' experiences of job crafting
43 within a climate of organizational change in sport. We conclude by outlining some
44 recommendations on how job crafting may be optimized to improve well-being and
45 performance in the elite sport working context.

46

Keywords: Coaching, coping, IPA, job demands, job resources, occupational stress

72 (Petrou et al., 2018), turnover intentions (Cunningham, 2006), and in turn influence
73 organizational survival (Russell & McGinnity, 2014).

74 One way in which coaches may proactively adapt their role when experiencing
75 organizational change is to craft their job in line with their personal needs and resources as a
76 way to experience greater purpose, motivation and satisfaction in their work. Job crafting is
77 broadly defined as the physical and mental changes that individuals make in their job roles to
78 achieve greater personal meaning and satisfaction (Demerouti, 2014). Job crafting is
79 considered a bottom-up job redesign approach which describes how individuals change the
80 type and number of tasks they do, the way they interact with others, and how they cognitively
81 frame the significance of their work (Wrzesniewski & Dutton, 2001), with the aim of
82 improving person-job fit (Tims & Bakker, 2010). While crafting one's job role(s) in high
83 performance sport has the potential to simultaneously improve stakeholders' work
84 engagement and organizational effectiveness, to date there is a scarcity of research that has
85 explored the phenomena of job crafting in sport stakeholder (e.g., coaching) contexts.

86 **Job Crafting**

87 Job crafting involves making physical and mental changes to one's job task demands
88 and the resources available to them to support their individual needs in undertaking their job
89 role (Demerouti, 2014). Workplaces that support employees' ability to modify how and when
90 work is achieved do so in the knowledge that this increases workers' engagement, job
91 satisfaction and productivity. Job crafting research in the organizational and occupational
92 psychology literature is dominated by two theoretical perspectives. The first is Wrzesniewski
93 and Dutton's (2001) theory of job crafting which refers to the process by which individuals
94 shape their jobs to find greater personal meaning at work. This theory distinguishes between
95 three forms of crafting: task, relational and cognitive crafting. Task crafting includes
96 modifying the task boundaries of one's job role, such as making changes to the type, content,

97 or number of job tasks that an individual is involved in at work. Relational crafting refers to
98 changing who one interacts with and in what fashion whilst completing their job tasks.
99 Cognitive crafting refers to changes workers make in how they view and appraise various
100 aspects of their job. In crafting some or all these aspects of one's work, workers are re-
101 designing their job and work environment (Demerouti, 2014) to better fit their personal needs
102 and capabilities without changing the core of their work (Tims & Parker, 2020).

103 The second theoretical perspective of job crafting builds on the Job Demands-
104 Resources (JD-R) model of burnout (Demerouti et al., 2001). The JD-R suggests that
105 employees experience strain, burnout and poor performance when limited job and personal
106 resources are available to manage the job demands that are encountered. Within work
107 environments where individual control and support are high, it is argued that employees have
108 the greatest potential for job crafting. In line with the JD-R model, job crafting has been
109 conceptualized as reducing hindering job demands and increasing challenging job demands
110 and job resources (Tims & Bakker, 2010). In summarizing the occupational psychology
111 literature on job crafting across a variety of non-sport occupations (e.g., surgeons, nurses,
112 police officers, teachers, construction managers, accountants, civil engineers), research
113 suggests that seeking job resources (e.g., feedback, advice from colleagues) is linked to
114 achieving goals, greater engagement in one's work, improved well-being and performance
115 (Gordon et al., 2018). In addition, seeking challenges (e.g., seeking new challenging tasks in
116 one's role, asking for more responsibility) has been related to improved motivation at work
117 and an increased sense of personal accomplishment (Petrou et al., 2012). In comparison, job
118 crafting by way of reducing hindering job demands (e.g., disengaging from cognitively,
119 emotionally or physically taxing tasks, procrastination) has been linked to a greater
120 perception of work overload, and burnout (Lazazzara et al., 2020).

121 Although these theoretical perspectives highlight distinct ways in which job crafting

122 may be linked to well-being and performance outcomes, the strategies individuals employ to
123 job craft depend on the working context (Lazazzara et al., 2020), and context (e.g.,
124 organizational change experiences) influences hermeneutics of phenomena (Smith et al.,
125 2009). Furthermore, the meaning individuals attach to job crafting may depend on how one's
126 work context (e.g., organizational change) and job role provide constraints or autonomy in
127 how they can behave and function in their work (Wrzesniewski & Dutton, 2001). Therefore,
128 it has been recommended that future research should continue to redefine and update the key
129 characteristics of job crafting in diverse working contexts (Demerouti, 2014). Coaching in
130 high-performance sport environments represents a complex and unique working context in
131 which the phenomena of job crafting may be interpreted differently for optimizing coaches'
132 well-being and reducing turnover intentions in the future.

133 ***Placing Job Crafting in the Work Context of High-Performance Coaching***

134 Sport coaches who work in elite and professional sport organizations require the skills
135 to adapt to a multitude of job demands. These demands include managing performance
136 scrutiny from a range of internal and external stakeholders, erratic working patterns, high
137 workloads and regular organizational change (for a review, see Norris et al., 2017). In recent
138 times, there has been an exponential growth in research literature highlighting that coaches
139 are not coping well with the ongoing demands that they encounter and require support
140 resources to better manage their job roles for optimized motivation, well-being, and
141 performance (Chroni et al., 2019; Didymus et al., 2019). Moreover, coaches operating in elite
142 and professional sport occupations have been found to suffer from burnout and as a result
143 often resign prematurely from their coaching roles (Galdino et al., 2022; Kilo & Hassmén,
144 2016). This is problematic since their ill-being and burnout could have deleterious
145 consequences for the health and performance development of those who coaches support
146 (e.g., athletes, sport personnel). By optimizing job crafting within coaches' working contexts,

147 there is the potential to enhance their well-being and productivity, and in turn, achieve greater
148 organizational effectiveness. Despite this, currently we know little about coaches' experience
149 of job crafting in sport working contexts and the extent to which experience of specific
150 contexts in high-performance sport (i.e., organizational change) may constrain or facilitate
151 the ability for coaches to job craft in distinct ways. The phenomenological, hermeneutical and
152 idiographic foundations of Interpretative Phenomenological Analysis (IPA) are suitable to
153 explore and interpret coaches' lived experience of job crafting. In line with theoretical
154 perspectives on job crafting (Demerouti et al., 2001; Wrzesniewski & Dutton, 2001), IPA
155 maintains a commitment to the individual, which promotes the illumination of personal
156 meaning and experiential accounts from a contextualist (e.g., organizational change
157 experiences) position (Smith et al., 2009). Moreover, given the breadth of recurring,
158 interrelating and often unpredictable changes that coaches experience, IPA suits an
159 idiographic exploration of how coaches' holistic experience of organizational change may
160 influence how they make sense of job crafting in this working context. Specifically, IPA
161 provided a detailed, nuanced analysis (Smith et al., 2017) to address the study's purpose of
162 exploring coaches' experiences of job crafting through a climate of organisational change in
163 high-performance sport.

164 **Method**

165 **Research Design and Philosophical Underpinning**

166 The present study adopted a semi-structured interview approach that was guided by
167 the principles of Interpretative Phenomenological Analysis (IPA, Dwyer et al., 2019). The
168 use of IPA was consistent with the study's aim of exploring coaches' experiences of job
169 crafting (Larkin et al., 2011) within a climate of organizational change. In addition, the
170 idiographic and phenomenological nature of IPA allowed the present study to explore the
171 individual lived experiences of the coaches within their jobs and working context (Newman

172 et al., 2021). To maintain idiographic commitment of IPA, convergences and divergences
173 were explored both within and across the participants' accounts (Smith et al., 2021). As part
174 of this process, the researcher and participant also engaged in a "double hermeneutic" which
175 allowed the participant to make sense of their experiences (Dwyer et al., 2019). By adopting
176 these approaches the study was consistent with recommendations that IPA research remains
177 grounded in an interpretivist paradigm (Quilico et al., 2021). This was exemplified by the
178 interest shown in the meanings the coaches created and credited to their experience of job
179 crafting within the context of organizational change. In doing so, the present study
180 maintained a contextualized position of IPA (Larkin et al., 2011) whilst adopting a social
181 constructionist stance (Shinebourne, 2011).

182 **Participants and Procedure**

183 Purposive sampling was used to recruit national head coaches who had experienced
184 organizational change in sport. In addition, to protect the anonymities of coaches and their
185 respective sport organizations, we used maximum variation to recruit national head coaches
186 from different sport organizations (e.g., acrobatic, water, multi-discipline, team invasion).
187 This served the purpose of exploring common and unique experiences of job crafting during
188 organizational change (Langdridge, 2007). The participants were seven coaches (Five male,
189 two female; $Mage = 49.14$ years, $SD = 6.74$) who had worked for UK sport national
190 governing body (NGB) organizations. The coaches were of English ($n = 6$) and Swedish ($n =$
191 1) nationalities. These coaches were currently coaching sport performers at international,
192 Olympic and / or professional level. Coaches had between 14- and 35-years' experience of
193 coaching ($M = 22$ years, $SD = 7.55$), and had been undertaking a lead coaching job for their
194 sport organization for at least 2 years. Whilst their main job role was to coach sport
195 performers, all coaches previously held a range of leadership and coaching roles in UK and
196 international sport organizations. Table 1 provides an overview of the coaches' demographic

197 characteristics and organizational change events encountered. Following institutional ethical
198 approval [blinded for review], head coaches and the sport organizations in which they were
199 employed were contacted by email and social media (e.g., LinkedIn) and informed of the
200 purpose of the study. Coaches were then recruited for the research if they believed that they
201 had current or prior experience of organizational change in sport. Prior to each interview,
202 participants were contacted by telephone to discuss the study purpose in more detail and to
203 confirm that each participant had experienced organizational change in sport.¹ Before each
204 interview, participants were given written and verbal information as to the purpose of the
205 study. Once coaches had been assured of voluntary participation, anonymity, and the freedom
206 to withdraw at any time, coaches had the opportunity to ask questions before completing a
207 consent form.

208 *Interview Guide*

209 A semi-structured interview guide was used to facilitate each discussion. The
210 interview guide was generated from a range of sources. Firstly, background questions were
211 developed to stimulate discussion with the coaches about their job role and organizational
212 change experiences (e.g., “can you tell me about any experiences that you have had of
213 changes that have occurred in your sport organization?”). Secondly, in line with previous
214 research that has explored the nature of organizational change in sport (e.g., Gibson &
215 Groom, 2018) and other workplace settings (e.g., Cunningham, 2006), we probed coaches on
216 their lived experience of the organizational changes that were discussed (e.g., “Who do you
217 think was involved in communicating and implementing the change?”).

218 Thirdly, we drew on broader conceptualizations of job crafting from the work
219 psychology literature (Tims & Bakker, 2010; Wrzesniewski & Dutton, 2001) to explore how
220 coaches had attempted to craft their job roles during organizational change (e.g., “In your

¹ Organizational change was outlined to represent any positive or negative changes that have occurred within the sport organization that coaches have worked, and have had an impact on coaches' role.

221 opinion, what do you think is the best way to manage organizational change?"; "Were there
222 any times when you put plans in place to manage the change better?"; How important is it to
223 have support resources when going through this change?"). Finally, coaches were encouraged
224 to summarize their views and elaborate on any issues relevant to organizational change and
225 ways in which sport organization stakeholders can job craft effectively. It should be noted
226 that to best "get at" the participants' experiences this semi-structured interview guide was
227 intended merely as a stimulus and was only used flexibly (Smith, 2019). This afforded the
228 participants freedom to explore relevant parts of their experiences as they saw them. Piloting
229 of the interview guide with different coaches ($n = 2$) to the current sample revealed that the
230 interview questions were well-understood and generated appropriate data. To provide the
231 participants with some comfort and security from discussing organizational change at their
232 workplace, the first author conducted five interviews by telephone and two interviews were
233 conducted in a private meeting room at a university.² The interviews ranged from 59 to 133
234 minutes ($M_{duration} = 83.86$ minutes, $SD = 26.28$) and were transcribed verbatim, with
235 participants' names being replaced with pseudonyms.

236 **Data Analysis**

237 Interviews were analyzed by the second author in accordance with Smith et al.'s
238 (2021) guidelines for IPA. This aligned with a bracketing approach in so far that the second
239 author was not familiar with the research literature on organizational change or job crafting.
240 Nonetheless the second author was a sport psychologist who is experienced in IPA. After the
241 audio files were transcribed, each interview was analyzed separately to maintain the
242 idiographic commitment of IPA (Newman et al., 2021). The transcripts were read and re-read

² All interviews were conducted in 2017. At the time of conducting the interviews, all participants were cognizant that UK Sport had recently faced significant scrutiny from the British media based on unanticipated funding cuts to some 'high profile' elite sport national governing bodies (BBC, 2017a), in addition to scrutiny surrounding claims of bullying in UK elite sport organizations (BBC, 2017b). On this basis, prior to being interviewed every coach was reassured that their data would be kept confidential and anonymous, and that the research study was not part of a UK sport-commissioned investigation.

243 so that the second author could immerse themselves in the lifeworld of the participant (Dwyer
244 et al., 2019). After this, exploratory comments were made in the right margin of the transcript
245 to facilitate a close analysis of the text. These notes highlighted the linguistic (e.g., “it’s the
246 way it is” encapsulated Ted’s acceptance of limited support to develop other people,
247 including himself) and experiential (e.g., “I’ve either dodged bullets or maneuvered”) nature
248 of the participants’ accounts. Then the left margin of the text was used to document emerging
249 theme titles which took the initial notes to a higher level of abstraction. This process drew on
250 psychological concepts where relevant to capture the essential meaning in the account (Smith
251 & Osborn, 2006). Through the processes of abstraction and subsumption, the emergent
252 themes were clustered into subordinate themes to illustrate participants’ accounts (Newman
253 et al., 2021). At this stage, the first author reviewed the subordinate themes with the second
254 author and framed them at the superordinate level in line with the extant occupational
255 psychology literature on job crafting. QSR NVIVO was used as a storage for the participants’
256 quotes and emerging themes, and to assist with the process of developing themes with
257 common meanings.

258 **Research Quality**

259 In accordance with the latest guidance for achieving excellence in IPA (Nizza et al.,
260 2021), the present study was guided by four quality indicators of IPA. A “compelling,
261 unfolding narrative was conducted” carefully both within and across themes. Within each
262 theme there was an alternation between carefully selected quotes and analytic interpretation
263 which went beyond the narrative. Consistent with Nizza et al.’s (2021) guidance this was
264 presented at the subtheme level. To achieve coherence each theme contributed to the
265 narrative in an interconnected fashion. Through explicitly engaging with the experiential and
266 existential significance of what the participants were reporting and paying particular attention
267 to their meaning-making a “vigorous experiential account” was developed. For example, the

268 existential significance for coaches trying to take pride and shape their job role within change
269 cultures, which often involved poor leadership and communication, was exemplified through
270 strong data and interpretation. To maintain IPA’s commitment to interpretation and
271 idiographic depth a “close analytic reading” of the participants’ quotes took place. Quotes
272 were not left to speak for themselves and a full meaning to the data was achieved by focusing
273 on what was going on in the immediate quote as well as the context of the wider transcript.
274 “Attending to convergence and divergence” was demonstrated by the present study
275 illustrating similarities and differences both between and within the participants. Through a
276 balance between commonality and individuality the present study highlighted the coaches’
277 higher order qualities, whilst keeping a focus on their idiosyncratic characteristics (Smith et
278 al., 2021). This can be seen by Matt’s account of taking time off during the season.

279 **Transparency and Openness**

280 Audio recorded interviews and transcripts are not publicly available as sharing this
281 information risks breaching participant anonymity and confidentiality. Due to the nature of
282 this research, participants did not agree for their data to be shared publicly. The information
283 presented in this article complies with the APA Style Journal Article Reporting Standards—
284 Qualitative (JARS-Q). The present study was not preregistered. A copy of the interview
285 guide is available as an electronic supplementary material.

286 **Results**

287 Consistent with recently highlighted evidence for achieving excellence in IPA studies
288 (Dwyer et al., 2019; Nizza et al., 2021), two themes are presented which firstly outline the
289 experience of organizational change in elite sport and secondly “capture the heart” of the
290 participants’ lived experiences of job crafting in this context (see Table 2). A summary of
291 “The climate of organizational change” is provided to foreground detailed coach accounts of
292 “Crafting the job in a climate of change” and its subordinate themes.

293 The Climate of Organizational Change

294 Within the theme of “The climate of organizational change”, the participants
295 discussed a climate within elite sport which left them feeling lost and to some degree that
296 they were working in a vacuum. This environment was characterized by what they viewed as
297 poor leadership, which operated without consultation. As Peter highlighted:

298 [Changes were] announced with not a lot of notice, and implemented very quickly
299 without a lot of consultation. It was “this is happening” and “this is the way it is”.
300 They said there was consultation in terms of meeting with the relevant people. But
301 they met with them to tell them what was happening as opposed to discussing how
302 they could improve or change. It was the hard line so to speak, “this is the line, this is
303 what we're doing and don't cross it”.

304 Peter’s account depicted the feeling of an authoritarian “hard line” approach to change
305 which did not engage stakeholders in their organization. This conferred a sense of deflation
306 within the participants’ accounts, and in the meantime led to chaotic circumstances which
307 Ted articulated, “we've got this worse case of ‘you can't do this’, [then] ‘we're gonna do it’,
308 and then it doesn't get done, and that just leads to frustration.” Ted’s account evoked not only
309 an emotional impact in terms of frustration, but it also inferred that this feeling resulted from
310 the “top-down” nature of how change was thwarted, promised, and then ultimately not
311 delivered. This conferred an authoritarian, conforming culture where several of the coaches
312 felt the power was concentrated in too few hands. Tristian’s account exemplified this:

313 They're all yes people...they put in people that they know will tolerate it and do as
314 they're told, and I don't think that's been good for the sport. [It's] a bit like the (car)
315 company who centralized their departments which was ok for them because it sparked
316 creativity. But when they started to separate, one in (country) or wherever it was, they
317 had the two marketing companies that had two different ideas and when they came

318 together it built a quality car. But when they centralize everything then you just
319 conform to that one thought pattern, and I think that's not a good way to do it.

320 Tristian emphasized a belief that change was delivered in a conforming fashion which
321 displayed a lack of contextual intelligence for the sport. Moreover, by drawing on parallels
322 with other industries he implied that this process may not have been delivered in such a way
323 that encourages creativity, diversity, and ultimately guarantees quality performance. Set
324 against this backdrop of a conforming culture and the deflating feelings around organizational
325 change in high performance sport that appear to result from issues around leadership, the
326 coaches portrayed a challenging, insecure context within which to craft their role.

327 In concluding the superordinate theme of the “The climate of organizational change”,
328 coaches often highlighted the fluidity of change, which echoes previous research that has
329 highlighted the repeated non-linear nature of change in high-performance sport (cf. Wagstaff
330 et al., 2016). This fluidity seemingly left many cynical of whether they could legitimize
331 change, which often was poorly delivered and caused a lot of upheaval, as a consequence of
332 poor team and organizational functioning. Linked to this, involuntary (e.g., redundancies) and
333 voluntary job turnover was a common constant spanning several sport cycles which framed
334 how coaches made sense of organizational change. For example, many participants pointed to
335 incidents where coaches had been made redundant, suggesting this was in response to
336 crafting (e.g., resisting or slowing down change, or challenging leadership over top-down
337 decisions). When colleagues voluntarily left their organizations, some coaches appeared to
338 rationalize this as a clash between personal values and the change initiatives being driven by
339 leadership teams. In most of these cases, coaches implied that a ‘backs against the wall’ or
340 ‘we are all in this together’ mentality amongst athletes and staff was required to protect one
341 another from ‘top-down’ driven change. Yet, some coach accounts reflected a realization of
342 the potentially destructive effect that this could have on individual, team and organizational

343 functioning.

344 **Crafting the Job in a Climate of Change**

345 Despite the inherent challenges posed by the coaches within a climate of
346 organizational change, they outlined a variety of approaches to shaping their job role within
347 this context. These included, from a cognitive perspective, appraising and re-appraising the
348 way they viewed aspects of their job. On a social level, they focused on mobilizing structural
349 and social resources to facilitate growth and functioning. Focusing on well-being,
350 withdrawing from negative aspects of the job was also prioritized. At the heart of this,
351 though, was the importance placed on their motives for job crafting.

352 ***Motives for Job Crafting***

353 An essential part of any attempts the coaches made to shape their job role was their
354 motives (i.e., reasons) for doing so. Across their accounts, the participants highlighted their
355 reasons to shape their role, whilst also drawing on some of the potential barriers which might
356 thwart these aspirations. For Tristian the need for control over the job was clear:

357 If you're in control of your environment and you're not having to go cap in hand to a
358 national governing body...if you strive for autonomy, you can control the
359 environment, learn and continue to progress yourself as you would, but if you're in
360 any way cap in hand for some of this stuff then you're always going to be handcuffed
361 to an extent.

362 Tristian's continued reemphasis in this extract around the need to obtain "control" and
363 "strive for autonomy" highlighted the importance of job crafting within high-performance
364 sport for this reason (Buonocore et al., 2018). Moreover, his account alluded to a sense that
365 relying on support from wider sporting institutions and governing bodies to job craft could
366 confer a feeling of having this need for control thwarted. One consistent motive for job
367 crafting according to work psychology literature is to improve one's person-job fit

368 (Demerouti, 2014). However, when person-job fit is regularly compromised, as Peter
369 highlights, there may be little motivation to job craft during change initiatives in the future:

370 I have definitely had to compromise my own values and beliefs, several times ... and
371 I have expressed those with people in the organization. But with the same point if
372 you're working for an organization and you're employed or contracted to do work for
373 them, then by signing that contract you're agreeing to their philosophy, ethos, values
374 and beliefs. So, you know, you're almost a shining beacon for that organization, you
375 can't then go against them and apply your own personal values and beliefs.

376 Despite occupying the same type of role and years in the position as Tristian (see
377 Table 1), Peter highlighted less of a sense of latitude to be able to craft his role in line with
378 his values, within the potentially authoritarian context of sport. A perceived inability to "go
379 against" the organization suggested a much less empowered position than Tristian, though
380 both participants echoed a perception that national sport organizations could be problematic
381 to liaise with in the quest for job crafting during a climate of organizational change.

382 Whilst experiencing organizational change, Verity appeared to point to individual and
383 work-related goals as motives for job crafting:

384 For me personally I've never got a lot of financial gain like the head coach they got a
385 lot of money so once the funding was gone they [head coach] were gone but I, I've not
386 ever been in it for the financial side of it. I do it, it is a great honor for me and I
387 appreciate every time, I'm still cutting that rope, so you know for me it was like
388 "right, is there going to be a [national] team?" And that's still a question you know,
389 we can't not have a [national] team, you know an international team and that's the sort
390 of [motivation] ... "what can I do to ensure this continues?"

391 In this case, Verity expressed a desire to maintain a positive work identity as one of
392 the national coaches. Verity also highlighted a motive to job craft which centered around

393 accomplishment of personal goals, rather than material items such as money. The honor and
394 appreciation she felt suggested something more self-determined and potentially fueled a
395 sense of competence. It is worth considering compared to the other participants that this may
396 be grounded in her position as an assistant national head coach rather than in a lead role. This
397 raises a question whether the requirements of this position may lead to a slightly different
398 lived experience in elite sport. Nonetheless, the uncertainty with which she spoke about the
399 future of the national team was familiar to the potentially need thwarting nature of the other
400 coaches' organizational change climates and the impact this can have on individual job
401 crafting. This was the case for Verity especially, as within her context the lack of resources
402 available seemingly led to questions around whether the national team, and therefore her role,
403 would cease to exist. Yet, she demonstrated motives to job craft regardless of the lack of
404 resources, in identifying ways to ensure that the national team continues.

405 *Appraising and Re-appraising Aspects of the Job*

406 Throughout the coaches' accounts of organizational change, at some stage all of them
407 appeared to change the way in which they viewed carrying out aspects of their work as a
408 consequence of organizational changes. In turn, this can change how individuals and groups
409 of workers approach their jobs (Petrou et al., 2018). Although the coaches outlined the
410 challenging and somewhat problematic nature of organizational change in elite sport, some
411 such as Adrian cognitively emphasized the positives for improving collaborative working:

412 You know it's starting to be much more cohesive and we have access to the senior
413 program now. The senior coaches are coming to see what we do more frequently to
414 have an understanding and probably a real understanding of what does the
415 environment that we work in look like.

416 From Adrian's perspective change seemed to facilitate a more integrated "cohesive"
417 system which resulted in developing greater shared understanding with other coaching staff

418 about each other's job roles. For others, change provided the ideal springboard for them to
419 shape an organization's philosophy within their personal vision. Matt highlighted how he was
420 "fascinated to see if I can... put my knowledge and what I believe a successful organization
421 [should] look like from not necessarily winning trophies but also the culture and the
422 environment that you create." However, it is important to highlight that Matt's views may be
423 a consequence of him transitioning from a high-profile professional club environment where
424 his personal vision towards ways of working clashed with the organization's, to a new club
425 where he could shape his vision.

426 Interestingly, though Adrian and Matt occupied similar positions in terms of their time
427 in their current roles (see Table 1), they seemed to be supported by more long-lasting coaches
428 (e.g., Verity) in reframing the need for change to benefit everyone's roles. Verity's account
429 below implies that she appraised the positive outcomes and opportunities to improve when
430 the national team she coached received an increased team of support staff:

431 What was really good were the support staff, the S&C guy. He was employed for the
432 full year and normally you're just employed for the time in camp. So, the coach knew
433 these players had to be in the best shape they've ever been in. That just doesn't
434 happen over a six-week camp that has to be over the entire season for two, three years
435 so you know that was a really positive change.

436 On the surface this appeared to positively reflect Verity outlining the need for change,
437 yet later she struck a cautionary note:

438 They [the elite performance director] were wasteful, they were trying too hard
439 sometimes because we needed help and support, you know, we were limited...I think
440 they were trying to look at [one percent gains] all of the time, where instead of really
441 sitting down and trying to work out [appraise] what we could do, they just kept seeing
442 these ideas and bringing them in without a discussion.

443 In contrast to some of the other participants Verity was in a situation where the sport
444 received a sudden injection of funding and described some of the perils of this. By perceiving
445 the change as “wasteful” with limited discussion, her account suggests that an apparent
446 positive stimulus in the change context can be more problematic than first imagined and
447 thwarting in terms of coaches expressing their viewpoints.

448 Within a different sudden organizational change context, Ted appeared to initially
449 construct a lack of fairness (Lazazzara et al., 2020) when finding out third hand that the
450 National Governing Body (NGB) had withdrawn from hosting a home world championship
451 in the lead up to the competition. Through reflecting on his ability to utilize meta-cognition,
452 he forecasted personally meaningful outcomes (Wrzesniewski & Dutton, 2001) in learning
453 how to approach his interactions with NGB staff differently in the future:

454 I'm not precious about how I get it [the information], if it had been a text message I
455 wouldn't have really given a toss, but I didn't receive anything [from the NGB]... It's
456 a pretty glaring indication that the governing body don't see fit to engage me in
457 decision making ... so understanding those, you know meta-cognitive processes, it's
458 how do we think, how do we interpret the world, how do we make decisions, why do
459 we make the decisions that we do ... if you don't reflect you don't learn, you don't
460 develop, you don't grow, you don't change ... But it's perhaps making it more action-
461 oriented, it's well, now knowing what I know about myself, how I'm perceived by
462 others, how do I change that? How do I then assess what's working well, what's not
463 working, and putting changes into place.

464 Ted's account offered a key insight into the importance of developing meta-cognition
465 within sporting stakeholders, so that they can approach their job roles differently and
466 positively shape the social environment they work within (Demerouti, 2014).

467 *Mobilizing Social and Structural Resources*

468 One means by which the participants felt they could effectively shape characteristics
469 of their work during organizational change was by drawing on social resources. This included
470 proactively building and maintaining effective relationships with performers, support staff,
471 coaches and leadership within their organizations. It also represented searching for advice
472 and counsel from other coaches external to their sport organization. At an individual level,
473 mobilizing social resources included tailoring relationships with performers and sport staff to
474 alter the quality of interactions during change. For Arla, this process was described
475 systemically in terms of working with various external partners during an ongoing cultural
476 change in philosophy towards performance development. During this change, sharing
477 knowledge and ideas with external partners about ways of working collaboratively led to a
478 sense that it was possible for all parties to “get a lot of things done” through collective
479 problem solving. Through outcomes such as “getting a lot of things done” Arla described
480 building relationships as a process where sharing ideas and collective problem solving over
481 issues preventing goal progress appeared to be a very successful crafting strategy for
482 achieving work goals for various partners during a change culture. In addition, to remedy
483 some of the demands of group working, Arla appeared to suggest dedicating more time than
484 usual to understand individuals and their ways of working. Arla neatly summarized this in
485 relation to changes pertaining to rules and regulations in their sport, “Every person is unique
486 and...every person...is worthy of being treated with respect.” Even though Arla was facing
487 challenging circumstances with this organizational change context, it demonstrated the
488 importance placed on a bespoke process to actively changing relationships. By spending
489 more time with some individuals and getting to know them despite the presence of
490 organizational changes, Arla highlighted how it is important to reinforce the value placed on
491 individuals, with the benefit that this may mobilize them as a resource. Matt echoed similar
492 sentiments placing salience on being “player owned, [letting] them take the initiative” in his

493 approach. In contrast, in the context of developing a new professional team franchise, Matt
494 revealed a slight divergence in highlighting that this tailoring of relationships needs to occur
495 at a departmental, as well as individual level:

496 I am the boss but it's not going to be “tell, tell, tell,” we're gonna figure out what we
497 want as a club and then as a department, then we're gonna figure out where you stand
498 with your own [job] responsibilities. So that their roles will be crystal clear but they
499 can take ownership as well ... I think that was really powerful for where we were
500 going.

501 The requirements Matt outlined around avoiding a “tell, tell, tell” approach with
502 individuals suggested organizational challenges faced by coaches who are trying to work
503 collaboratively, which may be reflecting the typically authoritarian nature within high-
504 performance sport. Despite this, his account offered hope in terms of the power of this
505 approach. It is noteworthy that despite the relative difference in Matt’s time in post (see Table
506 1) compared to Arla’s, this notion of working with individuals (people and/or departments)
507 was strong. However, their ability to do this instead may be stimulated in reaction to the
508 organizational changes in rules and regulations.

509 In contrast, other participants had to make greater efforts to maneuver (i.e., alter the
510 quality of relationships) into the ingroup of the leadership hierarchy of their organization.
511 Peter explained this within the context of the national squad training structure that was being
512 centralized:

513 I can maybe voice my opinion and it would be heard and hopefully that would make
514 an impact. But maybe me being on the inside a little, whereas when you're on the
515 outside [of the leadership hierarchy], you have no impact, you can't change the
516 problem.

517 Peter’s references to having influence as an “insider” showed awareness of mobilizing

518 social resources through understanding the micro-politics of their organization. For example,
519 through working on the “inside” of the organization Peter demonstrated the micro-political
520 perspective by using his influence to further his interests (Gibson & Groom, 2018). This also
521 offered potential for how adopting a micro-political perspective can be a successful, proactive
522 relationship building approach, even within cultures which are undergoing rapid change.

523 For some participants, mobilizing resources involved modifying their social resources
524 as well as optimizing their structural resources to achieve work goals and / or opportunities
525 for development. Mobilizing structural resources in the main consisted of prioritizing
526 collective learning at a team and organizational level, but it also included physical and
527 financial resources such as sport science support. During the lead up to an Olympic Games,
528 Tristian had to exert efforts to alter how they negotiated (e.g., social resources) with the NGB
529 to receive continued sport science support (i.e., structural resource).

530 So going into [Olympics Games], the biomechanist, [sport NGB] pulled him from
531 pillar to post, his hours [contract] were done by February ... So I went to [NGB
532 leader] “where is my biomechanist going? Because that’s the guy I’ve worked with
533 for seven years” ... Now I know they are leaving in a week, and the [NGB leader]
534 knows this, but the [NGB leader] has specifically told [the biomechanist] not to tell
535 me. So when [biomechanist] finally left, the [NGB leader] took huge umbrage with
536 him and said nobody can use him, but I’d already made another arrangement with
537 [biomechanist] and said “look, we still want to use you, this is what we want to do,
538 can you do these dates?” and [biomechanist] was like “yeah, that should be fine.” ...
539 I’ve got someone who can win a gold medal and I’m having to, you know, not only
540 am I having to supplement [national lottery] funding, I’m having to negotiate and
541 make this happen, and that is the huge frustration that I have with the governing body.

542 While altering the nature of the relationship with the NGB and sport scientist support
543 may have achieved the end goal of facilitating their performer's pre-Olympic training
544 preparation, Tristian's account seemingly reflected a frustration, exhaustion and futility of
545 trying to negotiate and personally work around the apparent micro-politics of their NGB.
546 Tristian's account therefore seems congruent with the notion that mobilizing resources can
547 help to address organizational demands and achieve performance goals (Demerouti et al.,
548 2001), but at what cost for the coach's job satisfaction and well-being?

549 The degree to which creating opportunities for collective learning was supported
550 within a climate of organizational change in sport varied, showing significant divergence
551 within the accounts. For Arla, putting education at the forefront of their national coach role
552 was pivotal:

553 We have had mentor programs. We have supported the coaches. We have done a lot
554 of work. We have five workshops a year with these coaches, and these programs have
555 been supported by the [national sport organization] ... and I think that's been massive
556 [for growth]. That's one of the key things we've been able to do to develop the players
557 because we [the coaching staff] are speaking the same language, we are wanting the
558 same things for the players' development and for the clubs' development.

559 The repeated use of the word "we," suggests that Arla placed value on the trickle-
560 down effect of mobilizing a team (i.e., a support resource) to develop players, to achieve
561 greater organizational effectiveness. Indeed, work psychology literature indicates that
562 individuals do not only job craft on their own, but they may also decide how work is
563 organized and conducted together with colleagues (Tims & Parker, 2020). This collective
564 crafting strategy was reflected systemically at both an individual and organizational level,
565 suggesting that mobilizing both structural and social resources was supported within this
566 change climate. Notably this was grounded in Arla's position as the oldest most established

567 participant, in terms of the time spent within their current organization, which may have
568 conferred greater decision latitude to shape her leadership role over coaches, support staff and
569 sport performers. This was in direct contrast to Ted, who although occupying a similar role
570 was afforded much less opportunity to seek advice or feel supported by the NGB:

571 I'm currently in theory being supported by our governing body to do a [sport
572 qualification] but I don't receive any financial support for that. I don't receive any
573 feedback [or] mentoring from the governing body...Maybe it's on me to go out and
574 push for it but unfortunately the person that [feedback] would be coming from is the
575 [stakeholder], who I don't think could tell me how to move my coaching forward so
576 it's a sad state of affairs but it's the way it is.

577 This was a contrasting experience to Arla's and highlighted the potentially deflating
578 experience of organizational environments which were not supportive on either a financial or
579 developmental level. Inherent in Ted's sadness is perhaps a realization that some change
580 initiatives (e.g., a change in performance director) can represent a constraining context
581 (Lazazzara et al., 2020) by which they can create and maintain opportunities to develop their
582 knowledge and skills or seek social support. Verity echoed similar sentiments around the
583 importance they place on developing the self, "I do it because it's something I've been
584 interested in and developing myself, but I don't think there is a culture of that [development]
585 within [sport]". Importantly though, Verity described this is a process that needs to be driven
586 individually. Despite Verity also occupying her role for a significant length of time, her
587 account suggests that she had much less of a structural support resource than Arla had
588 previously outlined, to drive this within their organization. The importance Verity places on
589 developing the self perhaps characterizes a 'promotion-orientation' to crafting her role
590 (Petrou et al., 2018), in demonstrating proactive attempts to complete training that satisfy a
591 desire for learning and personal growth during financial instability within their sport.

592 Withdrawal from Aspects of the Job

593 Considering the energy that was required to shape coaches' job roles, it was
594 unsurprising that they explored the need to rest within their account, which appeared to
595 constitute as a form of demand reduction (Demerouti, 2014). Matt in particular highlighted
596 how the unrelenting nature of player turnover, coupled with his "problem that I couldn't
597 switch the engine off when I was at home, so the brain was still ticking" drove a "need to take
598 time off during the week." In emphasizing a "need" rather than a "want", this seemed to
599 reflect an entrapment-commitment profile in which the only other alternative to taking time
600 off would be to transition out of their coaching role (cf. Knight et al., 2015). Elsewhere he
601 described how this manifested itself, but then this also led to reflection for shaping his role
602 going forwards:

603 It was engulfing my life ... sometimes you can't see the 'wood from the trees', so you
604 try to work even harder, it's easier to see it now, I'm not doing it... But if I got back
605 into the boiling pot again I'd definitely say "no", I need time off during the season.

606 The divergence in Matt's account was clear from the potentially intoxicating totality
607 of the institution of high-performance sport, through to a growing sense of identity and
608 perspective around having greater decision latitude in their role and meeting their own work-
609 life needs (Wrzesniewski & Dutton, 2001). His movement from being "engulfed" to
610 "definitely saying no" to taking on more work during organizational changes communicated a
611 change in belief around how he could take more control in this situation (e.g., McEwen &
612 Rowson, 2023). This may in part be explained by Matt's relatively small time in his current
613 role (see Table 1) compared to the other participants. Potentially messages taken from time
614 spent reflecting after being sacked may still have been fresh in his mind here.

615 Adrian had spent a similar time in post to Matt but described a different set of
616 circumstances which might prompt a need to withdraw from his role during an organizational

617 staff restructure rather than take time away:

618 [There is] fear around “am I having to reapply for my own job” or “my job doesn't
619 exist in the [organizational] restructure moving forward so I've got to apply for
620 something else”. I've been fortunate enough that I've either dodged bullets or
621 maneuvered my way through the process to where I am now, but there's been close
622 colleagues and good friends that have either decided to depart because of what is
623 coming or, with the uncertainty, “I'll depart on my own terms and take a redundancy
624 package”.

625 This outlined a much different perspective to Matt's around Adrian's potential to
626 shape the role, which may result from their differing roles as national and professional club
627 coaches (see Table 1). While he described how others have “departed on their own terms” the
628 fortune he described to “dodge bullets” alluded to him finding ways to ‘maneuver” in the
629 sport organization. This included avoiding direct conflict with key decision makers, and
630 removing himself from the ‘firing line’ by ensuring the roles he undertook were still required
631 after an organizational restructure. Adrian's insights seem to be congruent with the way
632 workers distance themselves from risky work situations or negative interactions with other
633 workers to protect their well-being or tenure (Demerouti, 2014). For Adrian, this need to be
634 reactive may result from the “funding pot not being as large as it was in previous Olympic
635 cycles.” In contrast for Matt the club environment (where he had just experienced the off-
636 season, as he was interviewed during pre-season) may have provided more opportunity and
637 resources for him to feel secure, rest, and reflect on the rest periods needed in the future. This
638 highlights that attempts to manage well-being and seek new job opportunities may very much
639 be localized to different sport organizations, reinforcing the salience of organizational
640 leadership and management contexts on individuals' crafting strategies.

641

Discussion

642 The purpose of this study was to explore coaches' experiences of job crafting within a
643 climate of organizational change in high-performance sport. In doing so, we extend on
644 previous calls in occupational psychology to redefine and update the key characteristics of
645 job crafting in diverse working contexts (cf. Demerouti, 2014). In the main, experiences of
646 organizational change constrained the capacity for high-performance coaches to craft their
647 job role in particular ways, leaving them feeling lost and working in silos under authoritarian
648 leadership. This climate of organizational change made the coaches feel insecure about their
649 jobs and disengaged from interactions with their leadership teams. Despite previous theories
650 highlighting that job crafting behaviors in the workplace are difficult to enact when personal
651 control and support resources are limited (Demerouti et al., 2001), our findings extend these
652 theoretical tenets by illustrating that many coaches in the present study still found ways to job
653 craft. While these findings offer a unique contribution in sport psychology literature, the
654 challenges of job crafting in constraining contexts such as organizational change have been
655 recently documented in other working domains. For example, in a meta-synthesis of
656 qualitative studies, Lazazzara et al. (2020) identified from a small sample of studies that
657 organizational change typically resulted in workers having reactive motives for job crafting
658 and operating in a constraining context. These constraining contexts included workers'
659 perceiving low social support in their workplace and pressure to adhere to authoritarian
660 leadership. Moreover, these constraining contexts led to workers enacting more maladaptive
661 methods of job crafting (e.g., reducing hindering job demands). However, in extending these
662 occupational psychology findings to the elite sport working context, some coaches were
663 involved as drivers of change at a micro- or macro-level, and, therefore appeared more likely
664 to initiate proactive motives for crafting their job. The participant accounts in this study
665 highlight the importance of leadership and organizational support for coaches during
666 organizational change, particularly when the coaches may not be delivering the change

667 initiatives. In collaborating with and supporting coaches during change, the findings highlight
668 the potential for organizations to encourage coaches' efforts to adapt their job characteristics
669 in line with their personal needs (Demerouti, 2014). Consistent with the JD-R model, altering
670 job characteristics such as personal and work resources may prevent burnout or poor
671 performance when encountering a high degree of job demands (Demerouti et al., 2001).

672 Central to the participants' accounts of job crafting was the importance placed on
673 motives for job crafting, in which a desire for control, person-job fit, accomplishment of
674 goals, and to maintain a positive work identity drove coaches' proactive job crafting efforts.
675 Conversely, when control and support was thwarted by organizational factors (cf. Demerouti,
676 2001), this led some coaches to feel less empowered and compromise their personal values to
677 remain employed under authoritarian leadership. This led to coaches withdrawing from the
678 negative aspects of their work (i.e., reducing hindering demands; Petrou et al., 2018). These
679 findings are in line with research in organizational psychology which argue the importance of
680 personal needs in driving the process of job crafting (Lazazzara et al., 2020), advocating the
681 individual needs for control in how people can behave and function at work (Wrzesniewski &
682 Dutton, 2001). As noted from the coach accounts proactively finding ways to mobilize one's
683 job resources (e.g., searching for advice, sharing knowledge, altering the quality of work
684 relationships) can fulfil one's psychological needs in one's work (Gordon et al., 2018).

685 Within coaches' accounts of appraising and re-appraising aspects of the job, many
686 cognitively emphasized the positive aspects of change for personal and organizational
687 functioning purposes and trying to foresee positive outcomes. In addition, coaches saw the
688 benefit of metacognition to explore how aspects of organizational change practices and their
689 responses to them could be improved in the future. While some of these experiences can be
690 explained by Wresniewski and Dutton's (2001) theory of job crafting, in which individuals
691 change how they view their working conditions (i.e., for better or worse), contextualizing job

692 crafting to the elite sport working context highlights a preference for coaches to proactively
693 appraise their work as a meaningful whole for others operating in the sport system (Lazazzara
694 et al., 2020). This is rather than coaches passively accepting how change might personally
695 impact their job role (e.g., Bentzen, Kenttä, & Lemyre, 2020).

696 It was evident from the coach accounts that mobilizing social and structural resources
697 was a key approach *and* avoidant method of job crafting. Coaches approached a range of
698 sport stakeholders in and outside of their organization for guidance and counsel on how best
699 to cope with organizational changes. At the same time, they also developed stronger personal
700 relationships in sport to foster greater individual and collective goal attainment in relation to
701 completing important job tasks (cf. Demerouti, 2014). Conversely, some coaches seemed to
702 alter the quality of work interactions with members of organizational leadership, such as
703 “dodging bullets” during top-down organizational change. These findings can be explained
704 theoretically in several ways. Firstly, according to Wresniewski and Dutton (2001), relational
705 crafting is an important element of re-designing who one interacts with at work and in what
706 frequency to aid completing their job tasks. Secondly, job roles and tasks are embedded in an
707 interpersonal structure (Berg et al., 2010), and others may influence how co-workers craft
708 their roles or decide how their own work is organized and conducted as part of a team (Tims
709 & Parker, 2020). Thirdly, the JD-R model of job crafting and burnout suggests that workers
710 modify the job demands and resources available to them to carry out their work meaningfully
711 (Demerouti et al., 2001). This may include seeking support resources from others to tackle
712 job demands effectively or reducing hindering interpersonal demands that protect one’s well-
713 being or prevent job tasks from being completed (Tims & Bakker 2010).

714 In line with previous conceptualizations that advocate modifying one’s job task
715 boundaries (task crafting; Wresniewski & Dutton, 2001) and seeking new challenges
716 (Demerouti et al., 2001; Tims & Bakker, 2010) to develop new knowledge and skills, the

717 findings in the current study highlighted mobilizing structural resources (often combined with
718 increasing social resources), such as creating collective opportunities for learning and
719 tangible support as a prominent method of job crafting. By the repeated reference to ‘we’ in
720 this context, developing oneself and others revises individual and collective work identities
721 (Wresniewski & Dutton, 2001). In the context of organizational change, framing this as
722 another opportunity for growth represents a shared work identity that allows coaches to
723 reinforce a collective sense of ‘wanting the same things’ for individual and organizational
724 improvement. Collective crafting in this way may enable coaches to experience greater
725 readiness to change whilst remaining committed to their organization (Demerouti, 2014).

726 The final theme that encapsulated the way coaches’ made sense of their job crafting
727 experiences was withdrawal from aspects of the job, which represented efforts to reduce the
728 aspects of their work that were cognitively, emotionally, or physically taxing. Many of the
729 coaches alluded to feeling exhausted from the ongoing commitment to adapting to
730 organizational change, citing ‘engulfed’, ‘wheeling and dealing’, ‘dodging bullets’ and
731 ‘manoeuvring through the process’. Job crafting theory and work psychology literature
732 suggests that withdrawing oneself from work, leaving jobs prematurely and ‘saying no’
733 typically represent maladaptive methods of job crafting (Demerouti et al., 2001; Lazazzara et
734 al., 2020). However, in the coaches’ accounts (e.g., Matt) finding time for rest was designed
735 to improve their well-being and protect work-life balance, particularly when they felt
736 addicted to their work (‘I couldn’t switch the engine off when I was at home’). Therefore, the
737 present findings challenge the degree to which withdrawing from negative aspects of the job
738 are maladaptive for well-being, and may sometimes be beneficial for restoring one’s health.

739 **Applied Implications**

740 From an applied perspective, the current findings suggest that in a potentially
741 constraining context of organizational change in high-performance sport, coaches still find

742 ways to persevere in crafting their job roles. However, the continued ‘dodging of bullets’ and
743 ‘wheeling and dealing’ to improve person-job fit may come at a cost to coaches’ health, well-
744 being and performance (McEwen & Rowson, 2023). Job crafting is a bottom-up job redesign
745 approach to improving working conditions (Demerouti, 2014). However, the coach accounts
746 highlight the key role management teams and wider sport NGB organizations can have in
747 emphasizing the value they place on supporting individual and collective job crafting. From
748 the current findings and previous research exploring coach well-being (e.g., Norris et al.,
749 2017), an inability to job craft in one’s sporting role may have deleterious consequences for
750 coaches’ job satisfaction, experiences of burnout, and turnover intentions, which can all
751 impact on athlete performance development and organizational effectiveness (Thompson &
752 Parent, 2021). Organizational support for job crafting may equip leaders, managers, and other
753 sport personnel with the tools to proactively re-define and modify their job roles in line with
754 their personal needs. Alongside this recommendation, clearly and openly communicated top-
755 down changes, with the space to collaborate, can help coaches make sense of the parameters
756 in which they are aided to job craft for improved personal and organizational productivity. In
757 contexts like ongoing organizational change, leaders and managers may also need to re-
758 evaluate their unrealistic expectations for coaches to job craft excessively to protect their
759 well-being and performance.

760 **Limitations and Future Research Directions**

761 Although this study makes an original contribution to sport psychology and coaching
762 literature by eliciting how coaches experience job crafting in a climate of organizational
763 change, some limitations should be acknowledged. The primary limitation of this study
764 includes the retrospective analysis of coaches’ accounts regarding their experiences of job
765 crafting through organizational change. As one reviewer of the current study critiqued,
766 coaches’ perceptions of organizational change may have changed post the COVID-19

767 pandemic. Although the research was conducted in 2017, to date limited research in sport
768 psychology has explored the phenomena of job crafting in high-performance sport. In
769 addition, researchers can study lived experience in retrospect since it still has meaning for the
770 individuals in question even though events (e.g., organizational change) may have taken
771 place a while ago (Jones et al., 2013). Secondly, we acknowledge the extensive range of
772 experiences that coaches had in relation to organizational change in sport. Although lived
773 experiences of organizational change were drawn on in this study to foreground experiences
774 of job crafting, the broad scope in which experiences of organizational change were explored
775 could have been further delimited to specific organizational change events. Moreover,
776 although organizational change can typically represent a constraining factor for facilitating
777 autonomous job crafting attempts (Lazazzara et al., 2020), it was clear from coaches'
778 accounts that not every organizational change initiative they encountered was necessarily
779 negative for their job roles, organizational functioning, or overall governance of the sport at a
780 national level. It could therefore be considered both a strength and limitation of the current
781 research that the study illustrates coaches' experiences of proactively and passively trying to
782 job craft in a potentially reactive and constraining climate of organizational change. In this
783 way, our findings cannot be generalized to other sport working contexts that may be
784 considered as proactive rather than reactive reasons for job crafting, or contexts in which
785 coaches feel supported or limited in their decision latitude to craft their job role.

786 We recommend that future research continues to explore and understand how
787 members of leadership and management in sport organizations can job craft in different
788 sporting working and personal contexts. Moreover, future research should look to better
789 understand personally effective and ineffective job crafting efforts under additional
790 leadership and management contexts in high-performance sport. Finally, although the

791 participant accounts alluded to how job crafting efforts may be linked to burnout³, well-being
792 and turnover intentions, it was not the goal of this paper to examine these links. Insofar that
793 coach job turnover can occur annually and unsettle sport performer and team dynamics,
794 future research should explore how job crafting throughout sport seasons may be linked to
795 greater health, well-being and productivity in the longer term, irrespective of broadly
796 experiencing organizational change or specific work event contexts. Leadership support for
797 job crafting could also be explored regarding developing job crafting interventions in sport
798 organizations or, assessing how perceptions of leadership support influence sport personnel's
799 job crafting efforts for enhanced well-being and performance.

800 **Conclusion**

801 In conclusion, this paper makes an important theoretical contribution to the job
802 crafting literature by exploring coaches' lived experience of job crafting in a climate of
803 organizational change. Organizational change often represented a poor person-job fit for
804 coaches who work in high-performance sport environments. Contrary to some job crafting
805 theories (Demerouti et al., 2001; Wrzesniewski & Dutton, 2001) and research literature
806 (Lazazzara et al., 2020), coaches still found ways to job craft despite a poor person-job fit.
807 Coaches' motives for job crafting were influenced by the constraining and reactive nature of
808 experiencing organizational change, which influenced proactive and passive job crafting
809 behaviors. These findings may provide important information to leaders in sport on how they
810 can support job crafting to achieve greater organizational effectiveness. We hope our findings
811 provide a stimulus for exploring job crafting in sport working contexts in the future.

³Although we did not explore burnout in this study, some of our findings align closely to strategies that have been broadly proposed in the sport coaching literature to minimize burnout and optimize well-being. These include considering time for rest and recovery, counteracting a lack of control, and prioritizing self-care (e.g., see Altfield et al., 2018; Bentzen, Kenttä, & Lemyre, 2020; Higham et al., 2023; McEwen & Rowson, 2023; Pankow et al., 2022). However, we also extend the findings on organizational change, well-being and ill-being in sport coaches by highlighting that within high-performance sport contexts where coaches may lack control, resources or a positive person-job fit, coaches can still find proactive ways to adapt their job demands and resources for improve or restore personal meaning and purpose within their roles.

References

- 1
2 Altfield, S., Schaffran, P., Kleinert, J., & Kellmann, M. (2018). Minimising the risk of coach
3 burnout: From research to practice. *International Journal of Sport Coaching*, 5, 71-78.
4 <https://doi.org/10.1123/iscj.2017-033>
- 5 BBC. (2017a, February 20). *Olympics & Paralympics 2020: Badminton among seven sports*
6 *to lose funding appeals*. Retrieved July 17, 2017, from:
7 <https://www.bbc.co.uk/sport/39027138>
- 8 BBC. (2017b, June 14). *British Cycling 'lacked good governance', says report into alleged*
9 *bullying*. Retrieved July 17, 2017, from: <https://www.bbc.co.uk/sport/cycling/39811492>
- 10 Bentzen, M., Kenttä, G., & Lemyre, P. N. (2020). Elite football coaches experiences and
11 sensemaking about being fired: An interpretative phenomenological analysis.
12 *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17, 5196.
13 <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17145196>
- 14 Bentzen, M., Kenttä, G., Richter, A., & Lemyre, P. N. (2020a). Impact of job insecurity on
15 psychological well-being and ill-being among high performance coaches.
16 *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17(19), 6939.
17 <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17196939>
- 18 Berg, J. M., Wresniewski, A., & Dutton, J. E. (2010). Perceiving and responding to
19 challenges in job crafting at different ranks: When proactivity requires adaptability.
20 *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 31(2-3), 158-186. <https://doi.org/dkwwtr>
- 21 Buonocore, F., de Gennero, D., Russo, M., & Salvatore, D. (2018). An exploratory study on
22 antecedents of job crafting among self-employed and employed accountants. In P.
23 Canonico, S. Consiglio, G. Mangia, M. Martinez, R. Mercurio, and L. Moschera.
24 (Eds.), *Organizing in the shadow of power* (pp. 477-504). Studi Moa.
- 25 Chroni, S. A., Abrahamsen, F., Skille, E., & Hemmestad, L. (2019). Sport federation

- 1 officials' practices and national team coaches' stress. *International Sport Coaching*
2 *Journal*, 6(1), 63-73. <https://doi.org/10.1123/iscj.2017-0086>
- 3 Cunningham, G. B. (2006). The relationships among commitment to change, coping with
4 change, and turnover intentions. *European Journal of Work and Organizational*
5 *Psychology*, 15, 29-45
- 6 Demerouti, E. (2014). Design your own job through job crafting. *European Psychologist*,
7 19(4), 237-247. <https://doi.org/10.1027/1016-9040/a000188>
- 8 Demerouti, E., Bakker, A. B., Nachreiner, F., & Schaufeli, W. B. (2001). The job demands-
9 resources model of burnout. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 86, 499-512.
- 10 Didymus, F. F., Rumbold, J. L., & Staff, H. (2019). Promoting and protecting coach
11 psychological well-being and performance. In R. C. Thelwell and M. Dicks,
12 *Professional advances in sports coaching: Research and practice*, pp. 261-276.
13 Routledge.
- 14 Dwyer, A., Heary, C., Ward, M., & MacNeela, P. (2019). Adding insult to brain injury:
15 young adults' experiences of residing in nursing homes following acquired brain
16 injury. *Disability and Rehabilitation*, 41(1), 33-43. <https://doi.org/ghhwrv>
- 17 Galdino, M., Lesch, L., & Wicker, P. (2022). (Un)sustainable human resource management in
18 Brazilian football? Empirical evidence on coaching recruitment and dismissal.
19 *Sustainability*, 14, 7319. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su14127319>
- 20 Gibson, L., & Groom, R. (2018). Ambiguity, manageability and the orchestration of
21 organizational change: A case study of an English Premier League Academy
22 Manager. *Sports Coaching Review*, 7(1), 23-44. <https://doi.org/gm5dh9>
- 23 Higham, A. J., Rumbold, J. L., Newman, J. A., & Stone, J. A. (2023). Using video docuseries
24 to explore male professional football head coaches' well-being experiences
25 throughout a season. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*, 69, 102488.

- 1 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychsport.2023.102488>
- 2 Jones, I., Brown, L., & Holloway, I. (2013). *Qualitative research in sport and physical*
3 *activity*. Sage.
- 4 Kilo, R. A., & Hassmén, P. (2016). Burnout and turnover intentions in Australian coaches as
5 related to organizational support and perceived control. *International Journal of Sport*
6 *Science and Coaching, 11*, 151–161. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1747954116636710>
- 7 Knight, C. J., Rodgers, W. M., Reade, I. L., Mrak, J. M., & Hall, C. R. (2015). Coach
8 transitions: Influence of interpersonal and work environmental factors. *Sport,*
9 *Exercise, and Performance Psychology, 4*, 170-187. <https://doi.org/gq7k6k>
- 10 Knights, S., & Ruddock-Hudson, M. (2016). Experiences of occupational stress and social
11 support in Australian football league senior coaches. *International Journal of Sports*
12 *Science and Coaching, 11*, 162–171. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1747954116636711>
- 13 Langdridge, D. (2007). *Phenomenological psychology: Theory, research and method*.
14 Pearson Education
- 15 Larkin, M., Watts, S., & Clifton, E. (2006). Giving voice and making sense in interpretive
16 phenomenological analysis. *Qualitative Research in Psychology, 3*(2), 102-120.
- 17 Lazazzara, A., Tims, M., & de Gennaro, D. (2020). The process of reinventing a job: A meta-
18 synthesis of qualitative job crafting research. *Journal of Vocational Behavior, 116*,
19 103267. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvb.2019.01.001>
- 20 McEwen, D., & Rowson, T. (2023). ‘Saying yes when you need to and no when you need to’
21 an interpretative phenomenological analysis on coaches’ well-being. *Coaching: An*
22 *International Journal of Theory, Research and Practice, 16*(1), 59-71.
23 <https://doi.org/10.1080/17521882.2022.2030380>
- 24 Newman, J. A., Warburton, V. E., & Russell, K. (2021). Conceptualizing bullying in adult
25 professional football: A phenomenological exploration. *Psychology of Sport and*

- 1 *Exercise*, 101883. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychsport.2021.101883>
- 2 Nizza, I. E., Farr, J., & Smith, J. A. (2021). Achieving excellence in interpretative
3 phenomenological analysis (IPA): Four markers of high quality. *Qualitative Research*
4 *in Psychology*, 18(3), 369-386. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14780887.2020.1854404>
- 5 Norris, L., Didymus, F. F., & Kaiseler, M. H. (2017). Stressors, coping, and well-being
6 among sports coaches: A systematic review. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*, 33,
7 93–112. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychsport.2017.08.005>
- 8 Pankow, K., Mosewich, A. D., McHugh, T.-L. F., & Holt, N. L. (2022). The role of mental
9 health protection and promotion among flourishing Canadian university sport
10 coaches. *Sport, Exercise, & Performance Psychology*, 11(1), 28-43.
- 11 Petrou, P., Demerouti, E., Peeters, M. C., Schaufeli, W. B., & Hetland, J. (2012). Crafting a
12 job on a daily basis: Contextual correlates and the link to work engagement. *Journal*
13 *of Organizational Behavior*, 33, 1120-1141. <https://doi.org/10.1002/job.1783>
- 14 Petrou, P., Demerouti, E., & Schaufeli, W. B. (2018). Crafting the change: The role of
15 employee job crafting behaviors for successful organizational change. *Journal of*
16 *Management*, 44(5), 1766-1792. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206315624961>
- 17 Quilico, E. L., Harvey, W. J., Caron, J. G., & Bloom, G. A. (2021). Interpretative
18 phenomenological analysis of community exercise experiences after severe traumatic
19 brain injury. *Qualitative Research in Sport, Exercise and Health*, 13(5), 800-815.
- 20 Russell, H., & McGinnity, F. (2014). Under pressure: The impact of recession on employees
21 in Ireland. *British Journal of Industrial Relations*, 52, 286-307. <https://doi.org/f55kmt>
- 22 Shinebourne, P. (2011). Interpretative phenomenological analysis. In N. Frost (Ed.),
23 *Qualitative research methods in psychology: Combining core approaches* (pp. 44-65).
24 Open University.
- 25 Smith, J. A. (2016). Interpretative phenomenological analysis in sport: Getting at experience.

- 1 In A. C. Sparkes & B. Smith (Eds.), *Routledge handbook of qualitative research in*
2 *sport and exercise* (pp. 219-229). Taylor and Francis.
- 3 Smith, J., Spiers, J., Simpson, P., & Nicholls, A. (2017). The psychological challenges of
4 living with an ileostomy: An interpretative phenomenological analysis. *Health*
5 *Psychology, 36*, 14S 151.
- 6 Smith, J. A., Flowers, P., & Larkin, M. (2009). *Interpretative phenomenological analysis:*
7 *Theory, method and research*. Sage.
- 8 Smith, J. A. (2019). Interpretative phenomenological analysis in sport: Getting at experience.
9 In A. C. Sparkes & B. Smith (Eds.), *Routledge Handbook of Qualitative Research in*
10 *Sport and Exercise* (pp. 219-229). Taylor and Francis.
- 11 Thompson, A., & Parent, M. M. (2021). Understanding the impact of radical change on the
12 effectiveness of national-level sport organizations: A multi-stakeholder perspective.
13 *Sport Management Review, 24*(1), <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.smr.2020.04.005>
- 14 Tims, M., & Bakker, A. B. (2010). Job crafting: Towards a new model of individual job
15 redesign. *South African Journal of Industrial Psychology, 36*, 1-9.
- 16 Tims, M., & Parker, S. K. (2020). How coworkers attribute, react to, and shape job crafting.
17 *Organizational Psychology Review, 10*(1), 29-54. <https://doi.org/gmzm7g>
- 18 Wagstaff, C. R. D., Gilmore, S., & Thelwell, R. C. (2015). Sport medicine and sport science
19 practitioners' experiences of organizational change. *Scandinavian Journal of Medicine*
20 *& Science in Sports, 25*, 685-698. <https://doi.org/10.1111/sms.12340>
- 21 Wagstaff, C. R. D., Gilmore, S., & Thelwell, R. C. (2016). When the show must go on:
22 Investigating repeated organizational change in elite sport. *Journal of Change*
23 *Management, 16*, 38-54. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14697017.2015.1062793>
- 24 Wrzesniewski, A., & Dutton, J. E. (2001). Crafting a job: Revisioning employees as active
25 crafters of their work. *Academy of Management Review, 26*, 179-201.

Table 1*Table of Participant Demographics*

Participant Pseudonym	Gender	Age	Coach Status	Years in Current Role	Job Roles Previously Held	Organizational Change Events Encountered	Time of Season	Interview Length
Peter	Male	36	Olympic-level individual coach	5 years	Technical advisor, coach education committee role, national coach	Change of national coach, changes to competition structure, centralization of national squad training and coaching structure	Start of season	59 mins
Tristian	Male	50	Olympic-level individual coach	5 years	National coach, club coach	Change of performance director, change of CEO, sport science support being withdrawn by the National Governing Body (NGB), training facilities being shut down, being sacked	End of season	133 mins
Arla	Female	56	National team coach	12 years	National coach, national assistant coach, professional club coach	Rules and regulations, rise in the quality of squad performers to work with, change in philosophy towards performance development	Pre-season	90 mins
Ted	Male	46	National team coach	4 years	National assistant coach, player/club coach, NGB development officer	NGB withdrawal from hosting the world championships, change of performance director, rise in the international competition profile, national squad training tour being cancelled by the NGB.	End of season	100 mins
Adrian	Male	44	National team coach	3 years	National assistant coach, Academy coach, sport development officer, talent development officer	Organizational staff restructure, turnover of staff, change in talent development pathway, centralization of national performance center	End of season	76 mins
Matt	Male	52	Professional club coach	2 years	Performance director, elite national coach, professional club head coach, professional club assistant coach, player-coach	The development of a professional club franchise, player turnover, change of chief executive, being sacked	Pre-season	64 mins
Verity	Female	53	National team assistant coach	10 years	National team head coach, professional club head coach,	New Olympic cycle, funding cuts, change of national head coaches, injection of funding, increased team of support staff	Pre-season	65 mins

Table 2*Master Table of Experiential Themes for Job Crafting and Organizational Change*

Superordinate themes	Subordinate themes
The climate of organizational change	Job turnover
	Working in a vacuum
Crafting the job	Motivation for job crafting
	Appraising and re-appraising aspects of the job
	Mobilizing social and structural resources
	Withdrawal from aspects of the job
