Bifidobacterium bacteraemia is rare with routine probiotics use in preterm infants: A further case report with literature review

Antia Acuna-Gonzalez, Magdalena Kujawska, Mayada Youssif, Thomas Atkinson, Sara Grundy, Alexandra Hutchison, Catherine Tremlett, Paul Clarke, Lindsay J. Hall

PII: S1075-9964(23)00022-7

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anaerobe.2023.102713

Reference: YANAE 102713

To appear in: Anaerobe

Received Date: 10 November 2022

Revised Date: 31 January 2023

Accepted Date: 2 February 2023

Please cite this article as: Acuna-Gonzalez A, Kujawska M, Youssif M, Atkinson T, Grundy S, Hutchison A, Tremlett C, Clarke P, Hall LJ, *Bifidobacterium* bacteraemia is rare with routine probiotics use in preterm infants: A further case report with literature review, *Anaerobe* (2023), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anaerobe.2023.102713.

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2023 Published by Elsevier Ltd.

1 Bifidobacterium bacteraemia is rare with routine probiotics use in preterm infants: a

2 further case report with literature review.

- 3 Antia Acuna-Gonzalez¹, Magdalena Kujawska², Mayada Youssif³, Thomas Atkinson¹, Sara Grundy³,
- 4 Alexandra Hutchison³, Catherine Tremlett³, Paul Clarke^{3,4*}, Lindsay J Hall^{1,2,4*}
- ⁵ ¹Gut Microbes & Health, Quadram Institute Bioscience, Norwich Research Park, Norwich, NR4 7UQ,

6 UK

- 7 ²Intestinal Microbiome, School of Life Sciences, ZIEL Institute for Food & Health, Technical
- 8 University of Munich, Freising, 80333, Germany
- 9³ Neonatal Intensive Care Unit, Norfolk and Norwich University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust,
- 10 Norwich, NR4 7UY, UK
- ⁴Norwich Medical School, University of East Anglia, Norwich Research Park, Norwich NR4 7TJ, UK

12 *Joint corresponding authors

13

14 ABSTRACT

15 Prophylactic administration of oral probiotics is associated with significant reductions in the

16 morbidity and mortality of necrotising enterocolitis in preterm infants. We document the first case

- 17 of *Bifidobacterium longum* subsp. *infantis* sub-clinical bacteraemia, in an extremely low birth weight
- 18 preterm infant, since introduction of routine probiotic treatment at the Norfolk and Norwich
- 19 University Hospital 10 years ago. Whole genome comparisons confirmed the isolated strain likely
- 20 originated from the probiotic product.

21

22

23 HIGHLIGHTS

24	•	Bifidobacterium probiotics are used to prevent preterm necrotising enterocolitis
25	•	We report our first case of Bifidobacterium bacteraemia in a 10-year period
26	•	The isolated strain was confirmed same as probiotic strain by genomic analysis
27	•	Literature review shows Bifidobacterium longum subsp. infantis bacteraemia is rare
28		

29 INTRODUCTION

Neonates, and preterm infants in particular, often develop life-threatening conditions due to an 30 immature gut microbiota and immune system[1]. Necrotising enterocolitis (NEC), the most frequent 31 32 gastrointestinal emergency in preterm infants, is a multi-factorial condition associated with 33 overgrowth of potentially pathogenic microbiota members, and may result in intestinal perforation, 34 and abdominal cavity infection [2]. In recent years, oral probiotics are estimated to be used in 17% of tertiary-level Neonate Intensive Care Units (NICUs) in England, according to a 2018 survey [3], to 35 36 alter gut microbiota profiles beneficially, and to improve preterm health outcomes reducing NEC-37 associated morbidity and mortality by ≥50% [4, 5]. Bifidobacterium species and strains are included in many currently available probiotic formulations owing to their long-standing safety track record, 38 39 ability to breakdown specific dietary components (e.g. human milk oligosaccharides), and their anti-40 inflammatory and immunomodulatory properties[6]. Although classed as 'generally recognised as 41 safe', there are concerns of potential Bifidobacterium probiotic-associated bacteraemia and/or 42 sepsis in at-risk infants, however there are only a few documented cases to date [7, 8]. 43 Here, we report a further case of non-fatal Bifidobacterium bacteraemia associated with probiotic 44 treatment in an extremely low birth weight infant. B. longum subsp. infantis was isolated from a 45 blood culture and, using comparative genomics, we confirmed that the isolate recovered from the 46 infant originated from the probiotic formulation.

47 DESCRIPTION OF THE CASE



48 **Figure 1.** Timeline of the infant's bacteraemia episodes, diagnostic, and treatment pathway.

49 Umbilical venous catheter (UCV), umbilical arterial catheter (UAC), and peripherally-inserted central

venous catheter (PICC). Surgery details; DOL 18 spontaneous intestinal perforation (SIP), and DOL 19
 laparotomy (+ ileostomy).

52

A female infant weighing 490 g was delivered at 24 weeks and 4 days' gestation by lower segment 53 Caesarean section. She was small for gestational age (birth weight <10th percentile). She was 54 admitted to NICU, required intubation and ventilation, and developed pneumothorax and 55 56 pneumatoceles. The admission blood culture was negative. Umbilical venous and arterial catheters 57 were sited on the first postnatal day of life (DOL 1) and intravenous parenteral nutrition feeds 58 commenced. On DOL 11 the umbilical arterial catheter was removed and on DOL 15 the umbilical 59 venous catheter was replaced by a peripherally-inserted central venous catheter(Figure 1). Enteral feeding with maternal colostrum commenced on DOL 2 but were stopped the same day due to 60 periodic bilious aspirates, and maternal colostrum/breastmilk was only restarted on DOL 13 when 61 62 the bilious aspirates cleared. The infant received a first dose of probiotics on DOL 2 and 63 supplementation continued daily despite enteral feeds being withheld. Multi-species oral probiotics 64 (Lactobacillus and Bifidobacterium spp.) have been routinely used in our NICU for prophylaxis of NEC

65 since January 2013. Since 2016, we have used the commercial product Labinic Drops[™] (Biofloratech, UK). The daily dosage of Labinic Drops given to the infant (5 drops; ~0.2 mL) provided ~2 billion 66 colony forming units of live bacteria (Lactobacillus acidophilus 0.67 x10⁹, Bifidobacterium bifidum 67 0.67 x10⁹, and Bifidobacterium longum subsp. infantis 0.67 x10⁹). On DOL 15 an unexpected increase 68 69 in C-reactive protein (CRP), from 7 to 52 mg/L, was noted in the routine daily blood panel. This 70 prompted an infection screen and empirical commencement of antibiotics (Figure 1). Concomitant complete blood count revealed a high white blood cell count, 35×10^9 /L (neutrophils 25.8×10^9 /L), 71 72 and low platelets 112 x10⁹/L. Manual blood film examination showed neutrophil leucocytosis and neutrophils showed a left shift. She had no overt clinical signs or symptoms of infection at this time. 73 74 Her peripheral blood culture isolated Bifidobacterium spp. after 2 days' incubation (BacT/ALERT® PF 75 Plus (PF Plus), bioMérieux Inc., USA). She remained stable over the next 3 days and CRP fell to 32 76 then 22 mg/L. However, on DOL 18, she developed acute abdominal distension and increased 77 ventilatory requirements. Abdominal perforation was suspected, enteral feeds, probiotics, and 78 dexamethasone were stopped, and abdominal x-ray confirmed pneumoperitoneum. CRP rose again 79 to 52 mg/L. A diagnosis of spontaneous ileal perforation was made at laparotomy on DOL 19, at which an ileostomy was formed. Surgical histopathology excluded NEC and was consistent with 80 81 isolated spontaneous intestinal perforation (SIP). A repeat blood culture taken pre-operatively (DOL 82 18) grew Staphylococcus epidermidis after 1 day incubation but was negative for Bifidobacterium spp. Piperacillin-tazobactam (90 mg/kg 8 hourly) was substituted for Cefotaxime, and given for 5 83 84 days, and vancomycin continued for 11 days. CRP peaked at 95 mg/L on DOL 19. Probiotic treatment 85 resumed on DOL 23, and enteral feeding on DOL 25 (Figure 1). The infant was discharged home 7 86 months after birth weighing 4.2 Kg; at discharge she required no supplementary oxygen, was being 87 fed via nasogastric tube, and still had her stoma in situ.

The *Bifidobacterium* spp. isolate recovered from the infant's blood culture (DOL 15) was retrieved
from the clinical diagnostic laboratory and cultured on de Man-Rogosa-Sharpe (MRS) (Oxoid)

4

90	medium supplemented with 0.5 g/L cysteine-HCL for 48h under anaerobic conditions (A20
91	workstation, Don Whitley Scientific, UK), and subjected to whole genome sequencing (WGS)
92	(Illumina Nextseq500) in our laboratory. Independently, the content of the Labinc Drops $^{ extsf{TM}}$ was
93	inoculated on MRS agar and incubated anaerobically as above, with the resulting isolates subjected
94	to WGS (Illumina HiSeq 2500) at the Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute (Hinxton, UK). Additionally, the
95	publicly-available sequence for the Danisco Florafit <i>B. infantis</i> Bi-26 contained in the Labinic Drops ™
96	(accession number: CP054425.1) was retrieved from NCBI Genome database [9].The genomes of
97	Bifidobacterium isolates recovered from both the infant's blood culture and the Labinic Drops ™
98	were compared with that of <i>B. infantis</i> Bi-26 using the average nucleotide identity (ANI) algorithm
99	[10] and single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) variant calling [11]. This analysis revealed the ANI
100	score above 99.9% and the SNP distance of less than 10 SNPs between the three genomes, leading
101	to the firm conclusion that the isolate in infant's blood originated from the commercial probiotic
102	product used in our NICU (Figure 2).

	Bifidobacterium longum subsp. infantis Bi-26 (CP054425.1)					
	✓ 2,608 kb					
	EE567_001935 endonuclease ex pos. 425609	EE567_005705 EE cinuclease ABC subunit UvrC HA pos. 1294164 pos	567_006515 nd D family phosphatase S s. 1436110 pos.	equence lo 2136666 p	E567_010300 JR transcriptional regulator os. 2289700	
B. infantis Bi-26	C	A C		G /	A	
BIF-1 (blood culture)	Т	A C		Т	C	
MCM6 (Labinic TM)	c	G A		G /	A	

Figure 2. Graphical representation of SNP distribution over *B. longum* subsp. *infantis* genomes.

105 For further corroboration, the genomes were screened for the presence of the antimicrobial

106 resistance genes against both an in-house sequence collection and the CARD database [12], which

- 107 revealed the presence of putative homologues associated with conferring of resistance to
- tetracycline (*tet*(*M*)/*tet*(*W*)/*tet*(*O*)/*tet*(*S*)) [13] and rifampicin (*rpoB*) [14], (**Figure 3**). These findings

¹⁰⁴

- 109 were in line with previous reports for bifidobacteria showing very limited antibiotic resistance
- 110 profiles, including for those strains used in probiotics [15, 16].



- 111 **Figure 3.** Representation of the chromosomal regions flanking putative genes associated with
- 112 conferring resistance to (a) rifampicin (rpoB) and (b) tetracycline (tetO) in selected Bifidobacterium
- strains, including isolates from this report and known probiotics (B. infantis Bi-26 and B. lactis BB-
- 114 12). Genes with amino acid identity over 30% are represented with the same colour.
- 115
- 116 **DISCUSSION**
- 117 This report describes a rare case of probiotic-associated bacteraemia in a routinely probiotic-
- 118 supplemented extremely low birth weight preterm infant whose initial clinical manifestation
- 119 comprised only abnormal laboratory markers. The bacteraemia was rapidly cleared with a standard
- 120 antibiotic combination. WGS and analysis confirmed the blood isolate to be the identical strain
- 121 present in the given commercial probiotic supplement (Labinic Drops [™]). In the last 10 years, to the

- 122 best of our knowledge, only three previous case reports of Bifidobacterium longum subsp. infantis
- 123 sepsis or bacteraemia have been published, comprising a total of six very low birth weight (<1500 g)
- 124 preterm infants, all of whom also fully recovered (Table 2). In 5 of the 6 cases, infants had suffered
- 125 from NEC or SIP, with necrosis, inflammation or surgical intervention suggested as the primary
- 126 causes of bacterial translocation [17, 18]. Histopathological difference between NEC and SIP can be
- 127 unclear, which may lead to SIP and NEC misclassification [19, 20].

h

Reference	Etiologic agent	Infection type	Gestational age, sex Weigh, birth method	Underlying conditions	Probiotic treatment (days)	Antibiotic Treatment (days)	Outcome
[21]	B. longum subsp. infantis	Sepsis, Bacteraemia, Sepsis	(1)24, Male,730 g, vaginal (2)23, Male,500 g, vaginal (3)24, Female,697 g, C,- section	 (1) sepsis, pneumoperitoneum, features of NEC (2) apnea, bradycardia, and temperature (3) NEC, Bowel perforations, ventilation 	(1,) 8 (2) 12 (3) 46	(1, 2, 3) Not specified	(1) Recovered (2) Recovered (3) Recovered
[22]	B. longum subsp. infantis	Sepsis, Bacteraemia	(1)26, Female, 867 g, vaginal (2)28, female, 1090 g, C- section,	 (1) tachycardia, ileus, intestinal distention, anastomosis, intestinal necrosis (2) nasal O2, abdominal distention, intubation, transfusion, leukopenia, pneumatosis intestinalis, NEC, necrosis, jejunal perforation, reinsertion of small intestine, anastomosis 	<i>(1)</i> 14 <i>(2)</i> 10	 (1)7, Ceftazidime & Vancomycin ; 7, Imipenem (2) 3, Amoxicillin and Gentamicin; N/A, Ceftazidime, Amikacin, and Metronidazole 	(1) Recovered (2) Recovered
[23]	B. longum subsp. infantis	Bacteraemia	28, Female, 1090 g, C- section	Abdomen distension, coagulopathy (NEC)	4	(not specified), Ceftazidime, Amikacin, Metronidazole	Recovered

Table 1. Description of 6 different *B. longum* subsp. *infantis* related sepsis and bacteraemia cases in preterm infants within the last 10 years.

130 While the infant presented in this case report also suffered temporally-proximate bowel perforation 131 requiring surgery, we have shown that the raised CRP and *Bifidobacterium*-positive blood culture 132 prefaced the perforation by 3 days. The development of local oedema and inflammation in the 133 infant's ileum could have allowed translocation of *Bifidobacterium* from the gut, as these exact 134 features were observed histopathologically in the resected gut segment. We estimate that globally to date, hundreds of thousands of preterm infants have now received multiple doses of probiotics 135 prophylactically during their NICU stays, and yet reports of probiotic bacterial sepsis/bacteraemia 136 are extremely rare. This highlights the exceptionally strong safety record of probiotics as an effective 137 138 NEC treatment, now including our own experience with only this single case of sub-clinical 139 bacteraemia among >1000 infants treated with Bifidobacterium-Lactobacillus combination 140 probiotics in our centre over the past decade, and despite individual infants typically receiving daily doses of probiotics for a duration of at least 30-60 days depending upon birth gestation. Many 141 studies have shown that Bifidobacterium is a beneficial member of the early life gut microbiota, and 142 its presence is associated with numerous health benefits including strengthening of the neonatal gut 143 144 barrier and induction of homeostatic and anti-inflammatory immune responses [24-27]. This 145 contrasts with the typical pro-inflammatory cascade associated with non-probiotic species bacterial sepsis. Moreover, regulations for probiotics state that the strains used must not have acquired 146 147 antibiotic resistance. The B. infantis contained within the Labinic formulation fulfils this requirement, 148 which links to its rapid elimination from subsequent blood cultures after second-line antibiotics. 149 We have documented a rare case of non-fatal probiotic-associated bacteraemia caused by a B. 150 longum subsp. infantis in an extremely low birth weight preterm infant. It was isolated from blood cultures taken due to a raised CRP but no clinical signs, and genomic approaches confirmed the 151 probiotic provenance of the bifidobacterial strain detected in the infant's blood. The portal of its 152 153 entry into the bloodstream was most likely translocation at the site of evolving gut pathology.

154

9

155 CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

156 The authors declare no conflict of interest relevant to this article.

157

158 CONTRIBUTION OF AUTHORS

- 159 Conceptualisation; LJH & PC. Investigations; AA-G, SG, CT, AH, PC, MK, TA, & MY. Methodology; SG,
- 160 AH & CT. Formal analysis; AA-G, MK, MY, PC & LJH. Data curation; MK. Resources; SG, PC, CT & LJH.
- 161 Writing Original Draft, AA-G, MK, PC & LJH. Writing Review and Editing; AA-G, MK, AH, PC, CT, TA,
- 162 SG, MY & LJH. Supervision, PC & LJH; Funding Acquisition; LJH.
- 163

164 SOURCE OF FUNDING

- LJ.H. is supported by Wellcome Trust Investigator Awards 100974/C/13/Z and 220876/Z/20/Z; the
- 166 Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council (BBSRC), Institute Strategic Programme Gut
- 167 Microbes and Health BB/R012490/1, and its constituent projects BBS/E/F/000PR10353 and
- 168 BBS/E/F/000PR10356.

169

170 **REFERENCES**

- Cho, S.X., et al., *The immunological landscape in necrotising enterocolitis*. Expert Reviews in
 Molecular Medicine, 2016. **18**: p. 1-17.
- Papillon, S.C., Short, Scott S., Ford H., *Necrotizing enterocolitis*. Newborn Surgery, Fourth
 Edition (2017), 653-659, 2017.
- Duffield, S.D. and P. Clarke, *Current use of probiotics to prevent necrotising enterocolitis*.
 Archives of Disease in Childhood Fetal and Neonatal Edition, 2019. **104**(2): p. F228.
- 1774.Robertson, C., et al., Incidence of necrotising enterocolitis before and after introducing178routine prophylactic Lactobacillus and Bifidobacterium probiotics. Archives of disease in179childhood. Fetal and neonatal edition, 2020. 105(4), 380–386(1468-2052 (Electronic)).
- Underwood, M.A., *Probiotics and the prevention of necrotizing enterocolitis*. Journal of
 Pediatric Surgery, 2019. 54(3): p. 405-412.

182 183	6.	Azad, M.A.K., et al., <i>Probiotic Species in the Modulation of Gut Microbiota: An Overview.</i> BioMed research international, 2018. 2018 : p. 9478630-9478630.		
184 185	7.	D'agostin, M., et al., Invasive infections associated with the use of probiotics in children: A systematic review. Children, 2021. 8 (10): p. 1-13.		
186 187	8.	Kulkarni, T., et al., <i>Probiotic sepsis in preterm neonates-a systematic review</i> . European journal of pediatrics, 2022. 181 (6): p. 2249-2262.		
188 189	9.	Sayers, E.W., et al., <i>Database resources of the National Center for Biotechnology</i> Information. Nucleic Acids Research, 2020. 48 (D1): p. D9-D16.		
190 191	10.	Jain, C., et al., <i>High throughput ANI analysis of 90K prokaryotic genomes reveals clear species boundaries.</i> Nature Communications, 2018. 9 (1): p. 1-8.		
192 193	11.	T, S., <i>Snippy: fast bacterial variant calling from NGS reads.</i> https://github.com/tseemann/snippy, 2015. Accessed J .		
194 195	12.	Alcock, B.P., et al., CARD 2020: Antibiotic resistome surveillance with the comprehensive antibiotic resistance database. Nucleic Acids Research, 2020. 48 (D1): p. D517-D525.		
196 197	13.	Wang, N., et al., <i>Analysis of newly detected tetracycline resistance genes and their flanking sequences in human intestinal bifidobacteria.</i> Scientific Reports, 2017. 7 (1): p. 1-10.		
198 199	14.	Lokesh, D., R. Parkesh, and R. kammara, <i>Bifidobacterium adolescentis is intrinsically resistant to antitubercular drugs.</i> Scientific Reports, 2018. 8 (1): p. 1-15.		
200 201	15.	Masco, L., et al., <i>Antimicrobial susceptibility of Bifidobacterium strains from humans, animals and probiotic products.</i> Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy, 2006. 58 (1): p. 85-94.		
202 203 204	16.	Rozman, V., et al., <i>Characterization of antimicrobial resistance in lactobacilli and bifidobacteria used as probiotics or starter cultures based on integration of phenotypic and in silico data</i> . International Journal of Food Microbiology, 2020. 314 : p. 108388.		
205 206 207	17.	Esaiassen, E., et al., <i>Bifidobacterium Bacteremia: Clinical Characteristics and a Genomic Approach To Assess Pathogenicity</i> . Journal of clinical microbiology, 2017. 55 (7): p. 2234-2248.		
208 209	18.	Ohishi, A., et al., <i>Bifidobacterium septicemia associated with postoperative probiotic therapy in a neonate with omphalocele.</i> The Journal of pediatrics, 2010. 156 (4): p. 679-681.		
210 211	19.	Berrington J, Embleton ND. <i>Discriminating necrotising enterocolitis and focal intestinal perforation</i> . Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed, 2022. 107 :F336–F339.		
212 213 214	20.	Berrington JE and Embleton ND. <i>Time of Onset of Necrotizing Enterocolitis and Focal Perforation in Preterm Infants: Impact on Clinical, Surgical, and Histological Features.</i> Frontiers in Pediatrics, 2021. 9 :724280.		
215 216 217	21.	Esaiassen, E., et al., <i>Bifidobacterium longum subspecies infantis bacteremia in 3 extremely preterm infants receiving probiotics</i> . Emerging Infectious Diseases, 2016. 22 (9): p. 1664-1666.		
218 219	22.	Bertelli, C., et al., <i>Bifidobacterium longum bacteremia in preterm infants receiving probiotics</i> . Clinical Infectious Diseases, 2015. 60 (6): p. 924-927.		

- 220 23. Zbinden, A., et al., *Case Series of Bifidobacterium longum Bacteremia in Three Preterm* 221 *Infants on Probiotic Therapy*. Neonatology, 2015. **107**(1): p. 56-59.
- 222 24. Alcon-Giner, C., et al., *Microbiota Supplementation with Bifidobacterium and Lactobacillus* 223 *Modifies the Preterm Infant Gut Microbiota and Metabolome: An Observational Study.* Cell
 224 Reports Medicine, 2020. 1(5): p. 100077-100077.
- 225 25. Underwood, M.A., E. Umberger, and R.M. Patel, *Safety and efficacy of probiotic*226 *administration to preterm infants: ten common questions.* Pediatric Research, 2020.
 227 88(Suppl 1): p. 48-55.
- 228 26. Ferrari, M., et al., *Efficient isolation of membrane-associated exopolysaccharides of four* 229 *commercial bifidobacterial strains.* Carbohydrate polymers, 2022. **278**: p. 118913-118913.
- 230 27. Ehrlich, A.M., et al., *Indole-3-lactic acid associated with Bifidobacterium-dominated* 231 *microbiota significantly decreases inflammation in intestinal epithelial cells.* BMC
 232 microbiology, 2020. 20(1): p. 357-357.

233

ournal Pre-

HIGHLIGHTS

- Bifidobacterium probiotics are used to prevent preterm necrotising enterocolitis •
- We report our first case of Bifidobacterium bacteraemia in a 10-year period
- The isolated strain was confirmed same as probiotic strain by genomic analysis •
- Literature review shows Bifidobacterium longum subsp. infantis bacteraemia is rare •

.infantis

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

The authors declare no conflict of interest relevant to this article.

Journal Pre-proof