## New Antimicrobials to Target Gut Pathogens

Jacob Scadden

Thesis

For the degree of PhD

University of East Anglia Quadram Institute Bioscience Norwich Research Park Rosalind Franklin Road Norwich, NR4 7UQ

Submitted September 2022

Thesis supervisors: Professor Arjan Narbad and Dr Melinda Mayer

© This copy of the thesis has been supplied on condition that anyone who consults it is understood to recognise that its copyright rests with the author and that use of any information derived therefrom must be in accordance with current UK Copyright Law. In addition, any quotation or extract must include full attribution.

#### Abstract

Nisin O is an antimicrobial peptide produced by the human gut bacterium *Blautia obeum* A2-162 which has antimicrobial activity against clinically relevant organisms, such as *Clostridium difficile* and *Clostridium perfringens*. The biosynthetic gene cluster of nisin O is unusual when compared to other nisin variants, with four putative structural peptides, two two-component regulatory systems and no leader peptide cleaving protease. This project aimed to investigate the regulation of this cluster and to search for the presence of a protease within the *B. obeum* A2-162 genome.

Various induction conditions previously used for antimicrobial production in *B. obeum* A2-162 were assessed, however no antimicrobial activity was observed. A previously designed heterologous nisin O expression system in *Lactococcus lactis* UKLc10 was optimised for nisin O production. A pan-genome analysis of 2094 *Lachnospiraceae* genomes was performed and identified six nisin O-like clusters across multiple genera, including *Blautia, Dorea* and *Ruminococcus*. Additional novel lantibiotic clusters were identified in *Pseudobutryvibrio* and *Ruminococcus* species. The *B. obeum* A2-162 genome was searched for candidate proteases, of which 10 were identified, with six cloned into inducible plasmids, and used in pre-Nisin O leader peptide cleavage assays. None of the proteases tested were able to cleave the NsoA1-3 leader peptide, however leader peptide cleavage and antimicrobial activity was observed in the presence of trypsin. The two two-component regulatory systems were constitutively expressed and used in a *pep1* gene reporter assay to assess their interaction with the predicted promoters. It was shown that the *PnsoR2K2* provided constitutive expression and that the *PnsoA* would only interact with the regulatory system from nisin A when induced with nisin A. No activity was seen with any other promoter-regulatory system interaction.

This project has identified a number of novel nisin O-like clusters. However, no candidate protease or nisin O regulatory system interaction could be elucidated.

#### **Access Condition and Agreement**

Each deposit in UEA Digital Repository is protected by copyright and other intellectual property rights, and duplication or sale of all or part of any of the Data Collections is not permitted, except that material may be duplicated by you for your research use or for educational purposes in electronic or print form. You must obtain permission from the copyright holder, usually the author, for any other use. Exceptions only apply where a deposit may be explicitly provided under a stated licence, such as a Creative Commons licence or Open Government licence.

Electronic or print copies may not be offered, whether for sale or otherwise to anyone, unless explicitly stated under a Creative Commons or Open Government license. Unauthorised reproduction, editing or reformatting for resale purposes is explicitly prohibited (except where approved by the copyright holder themselves) and UEA reserves the right to take immediate 'take down' action on behalf of the copyright and/or rights holder if this Access condition of the UEA Digital Repository is breached. Any material in this database has been supplied on the understanding that it is copyright material and that no quotation from the material may be published without proper acknowledgement.

## **Table of Contents**

| Abstract   | ii   |
|--|------|
| Table of Contents  | iii  |
| List of Figures  | vii  |
| List of Tables   | xii  |
| Abbreviations  | xiii |
| Symbols and Units  | xv   |
| Acknowledgements   | xvi  |
| Chapter 1. Introduction  | 1    |
| 1.1 Antimicrobials and Antimicrobial Resistance                | 2    |
| 1.2 Bacteriocins   | 2    |
| 1.3 Lanthipeptides   | 4    |
| 1.3.1 Type I   | 5    |
| 1.3.2 Туре II  | 6    |
| 1.3.3 Types III and IV   | 6    |
| 1.4 Lantibiotic Operon Structure                               | 6    |
| 1.5 Nisin A  | 7    |
| 1.6 Biomedical Applications of Nisin                           | 7    |
| 1.7 Nisin A Biosynthesis                                       | 8    |
| 1.8 Nisin A's Mode of action                                   | 13   |
| 1.9 Nisin Variants   | 14   |
| 1.10 Nisin O   | 15   |
| 1.11 Human Gut Microbiota                                      | 17   |
| 1.12 Aims of the Thesis  | 19   |
| Chapter 2. General Materials and Methods                       | 19   |
| Culture Media  | 20   |
| Organisms used and growth conditions                           | 22   |
| Microbiology   |      |
| 2.1 Antimicrobial Assays                                       |      |
| 2.1.1 Bacterial Overlay Assay                                  |      |
| 2.1.2 Supernatant Drop Test                                    |      |
| 2.2 B. obeum Growth and Visualisation                          |      |
| 2.2.1 Electron Microscopy and Gram Staining of <i>B. obeum</i> | 31   |
| 2.2.2 B. obeum Growth Curve Assay                              |      |
| Molecular Microbiology   |      |
| 2.3 B. obeum Genomic DNA Extraction                            |      |
| 2.4 Maxwell gDNA Extraction                                    |      |

| 2.5 Plasmid Extraction  |                  |
|---|------------------|
| 2.6 16S rRNA Gene Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR)   |                  |
| 2.7 Colony PCR for Detection of Transformants   |                  |
| 2.8 Colony PCR Using 96 Well Plates   |                  |
| 2.9 Splice Overlap Extension PCR  |                  |
| 2.10 Restriction and Ligation   |                  |
| 2.11 Transformation into E. coli  |                  |
| 2.12 L. lactis Strain Transformation  | 40               |
| 2.13 DNA Quantification   | 41               |
| 2.14 Sequencing of PCR Products   | 41               |
| 2.15 RNA extraction   | 41               |
| 2.16 Induction and Purification of pre-Nso peptides   | 42               |
| 2.17 Protein Extraction from <i>E. coli</i>   | 42               |
| 2.18 SDS Polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE)  | 43               |
| 2.19 Western Blotting   | 43               |
| 2.20 Pre-Nso Cleavage Assay   | 44               |
| Chapter 3. Identification of the Nisin O-Like Cluster in Lachnospiraceae  | 46               |
| 3.1 Introduction  | 47               |
| 3.2 Methods   |                  |
| 3.2.1 Pan-genome Analysis of <i>B. obeum</i> Strains  |                  |
| 3.2.2 BLASTP  |                  |
| 3.2.3 Identification of Nisin O Clusters in Lachnospiraceae   |                  |
| 3.2.4 Homology of Amino Acid Sequences from Nisin O like clusters   | 49               |
| 3.2.5 Mauve Alignment of Nisin O-like Clusters  |                  |
| 3.2.6 Neighbour-Joining Phylogenetic Trees  |                  |
| 3.3 Results   |                  |
| 3.3.1 ROARY Pan-Genome Analysis of <i>B. obeum</i> Strains  |                  |
| 3.3.2 BLASTP searches for nisin O-like proteins   | 53               |
| 3.3.3 Cluster identification in <i>Lachnospiraceae</i>  | 53               |
| 3.3.4 Homology Between NsoA and LanA Peptides from Nisin O-Like Clus  | s <b>ters</b> 64 |
| 3.3.5 Homology Between NsoB and NsoC with LanB and LanC from Nisin Clusters   | <b>O-like</b>    |
| 3.4 Discussion  | 73               |
| Chapter 4. Inducing Nisin O production in <i>B. obeum</i> A2-162 and the <i>L. lactis</i> heterologous gene expression system | 78               |
| 4.1 Introduction  | 79               |
| 4.2 Methods   |                  |
| 4.2.1 B. obeum A2-162 Nisin Exposure Assay  |                  |

| 4.2.2 B. obeum Co-culture Induction Assay  | 82              |
|--|-----------------|
| 4.2.3 B. obeum A2-162 and Bifidobacterium longum DSMZ 20219 Competition  | l               |
| Assay  | 83              |
| 4.2.4 Reverse Transcription (RT) PCR   | 84              |
| 4.3 Results  | 84              |
| 4.3.1 Growth of <i>B. obeum</i> A2-162   | 84              |
| 4.3.2 Imaging of B. obeum A2-162   | 85              |
| 4.3.3 B. obeum Nisin O Exposure Assay  | 88              |
| 4.3.4 Co-culture B. obeum A2-162 and Humanisation strains  | 89              |
| 4.3.5 RT PCR Analysis of Nisin O Expression in B. obeum A2-162   | 90              |
| 4.3.5 B. obeum A2-162 and B. longum DSMZ 20219 Competition assay   | 92              |
| 4.3.6 Optimisation of Nisin O production from the <i>L. lactis</i> heterologous expression system                      | 93              |
| 4.3.7 Addition of Trypsin Cleavage Site into <i>nsoA4</i> at Position 24   | 96              |
| 4.4 Discussion   | 97              |
| Chapter 5. Identification and Testing of Candidate Proteases Within the <i>B. obeum</i>                                | A2-             |
| 162 Genome   | 102             |
| 5.1 Introduction   | 103             |
| 5.2 Methods  | 105             |
| 5.2.1 BLAST Analysis within the <i>B. obeum</i> A2-162 Genome  | 105             |
| 5.2.2 Manual Searching for Proteases using LanP Conserved Domains  | 106             |
| 5.2.3 Cloning of Candidate Proteases   | 106             |
| 5.2.4 MicroMatrix Fermentation   | 108             |
| 5.2.5 Analysis of DNA from MicroMatrix Fermentation Assays   | 109             |
| 5.3 Results  | 110             |
| 5.3.1 Identifying Candidate Proteases Within the B. obeum A2-162 Genome  | 110             |
| 5.3.1.1 BLAST Searches Using <i>nisP</i> From <i>L. lactis</i>   | 110             |
| 5.3.1.2 BLAST Searches Using <i>lanP</i> From <i>B. producta</i> SCSK  | 114             |
| 5.3.1.3 Bioinformatic Analysis of New Candidate Proteases Using Conserved Domains in LanP                              | 116             |
| 5.3.2 ROARY Pan-Genome Analysis of <i>B. obeum</i> Strains   | 118             |
| 5.3.3 Splice Overlap PCR of Candidate Proteases and Transformation into E.   | coli            |
| BL21(DE3)  | 119             |
| 5.3.4 Splice Overlap PCR of <i>p62</i> and <i>p49</i> and Transformation into <i>E. coli</i> MC                        | 1022            |
|  | 119             |
| 5.3.5 Transformation of pUK200_P32_P62 and pUK200_P32_P49 into L. lacti<br>UKLc10 pnso                                 | <b>s</b><br>122 |
| 5.3.6 Creation and Transformation of pUK200_P32_p140 and pUK200_P32_k<br>into E. coli MC1022 and L. lactis UKLc10 pnso | anP<br>122      |
| 5.3.4 SDS-PAGE Analysis of P66 and P570  | 123             |
| v  |                 |

| 5.3.5 Western Blot Analysis to Detect P66 and P570  | 124                            |
|---|--------------------------------|
| 5.3.6 Overlay Assays using L. lactis Strains with Co-Expression of P62  | and <i>P49</i> 126             |
| 5.3.7 Overlay Assays using <i>L. lactis</i> Heterologous Expression System wi<br>and <i>P140</i>                  | i <b>th <i>LanP</i></b><br>129 |
| 5.3.8 pre-nso Leader Cleavage Assay using P570, P66, P62, P49, P140 a   | nd LanP                        |
|   |                                |
| 5.3.9 MicroMatrix Fermentation Assay  |                                |
| 5.4 Discussion  |                                |
| Chapter 6. Investigating the Interactions of Nisin O Regulatory Systems wi<br>Predicted Nisin O Promoters         | <b>th</b><br>147               |
| 6.1 Introduction  | 148                            |
| 6.2 Methods   | 152                            |
| 6.2.1 Cloning and Transformation of Nisin O regulatory systems  | 152                            |
| 6.2.1.1 Two step PCR  | 152                            |
| 6.2.1.2 Colony PCR  | 153                            |
| 6.2.1.3 RT-PCR  |                                |
| 6.2.1 PepI Reporter Assay   | 154                            |
| 6.2.2 AlphaFold2 Analysis of Nso1.14  | 155                            |
| 6.2.3 In silico Analysis of Predicted Promoter Positions in Nisin O-like  | clusters 155                   |
| 6.3 Results   | 156                            |
| 6.3.1 Co-expression of <i>nsoRK</i> Systems in <i>L. lactis</i>   | 156                            |
| 6.3.1.1 Transformation of Nisin O Regulatory Systems into L. lactis UK  | <b>Lc10 pnso</b>               |
| 6.3.2 Creation of pUK200_P32_nsoR1K1 and pUK200_P32_nsoR2K2   |                                |
| 6.3.3 Transformation of pPnsoA1-4_pepI, pPnsoR2K2_pepI and pPnsol into L. lactis MG1614                           | 3 <b>TC_pepI</b><br>159        |
| 6.3.4 Transformation of pUK200_P32_ <i>nsoR1K1</i> and pUK200_P32_ <i>nso.</i><br><i>L. lactis</i> MG1614 Strains | <b>R2K2 into</b><br>160        |
| 6.3.5 Identification of promoters from Nisin O-like clusters  | 160                            |
| 6.3.6 PepI Reporter Assays  | 161                            |
| 6.3.7 Analysis of RNA extracted from <i>L. lactis</i> UKLc10 pnso   | 172                            |
| 6.3.8 Identification of a Novel Gene Within the Nisin O Biosynthetic Ge   | ene Cluster                    |
|   |                                |
| 6.4 Discussion  | 179                            |
| Chapter 7. Discussion   |                                |
| References  | 197                            |

### **List of Figures**

| Figure 1.1. Enzymes involved in the construction of lanthionine rings during biosynthe    | esis of |
|---|---------|
| type I-IV lanthipeptides.   | 5       |
| Figure 1.2. Maturation of pre-nisin to active nisin. NisB dehydrates Thr and Ser residu   | les to  |
| Dhb and Dha, respectively   | 10      |
| Figure 1.3. Nisin A biosynthetic cluster with promoters indicated above.                  | 12      |
| Figure 1.4. The nisin A gene cluster and the biosynthetic pathway to produce mature, a    | active  |
| nisin A. Nisin A is initially translated to a pre-peptide which consists of an N-terminal | leader  |
| peptide and a C-terminal core peptide.  | 12      |
| Figure 1.5. Nisin A mode of action either by pore formation or inhibition of cell wall    |         |
| synthesis after binding to lipid II.  | 13      |
| Figure 1.6. Structure of the mature nisin peptide. Highlighted amino acids are involved   | d in    |
| the formation of the lanthionine rings  | 14      |
| Figure 1.7. Comparison of the nisin A and nisin O biosynthetic clusters                   | 15      |

Figure 3.3.1. Nisin O-like clusters found in *Lachnospiraceae*. All clusters are shown using the output from BAGEL4, except the cluster from Ruminococcus gnavus AF33-12 which is Figure 3.3.2. A) A Muscle alignment of LanA1 amino acid sequences from novel nisin Olike clusters compared with NsoA1 from B. obeum A2-162. B) Percentage identity heatmap Figure 3.3.3. A) A Muscle alignment of LanA2 amino acid sequences from novel nisin Olike clusters compared with NsoA2 from B. obeum A2-162. B) Percentage identity heatmap Figure 3.3.4. A) A Muscle alignment of LanA3 amino acid sequences from novel nisin Olike clusters compared with NsoA3 from B. obeum A2-162. B) Percentage identity heatmap Figure 3.3.5. A) A Muscle alignment of LanA4 amino acid sequences from novel nisin Olike clusters compared with NsoA4 from B. obeum A2-162. B) Percentage identity heatmap Figure 3.3.6. A) A Muscle alignment of LanB amino acid sequences from novel nisin O-like clusters compared with NsoB from B. obeum A2-162. B) Percentage identity heatmap of Figure 3.3.7. A) A Muscle alignment of LanC amino acid sequences from novel nisin O-like clusters compared with NsoC from B. obeum A2-162. B) Percentage identity heatmap of LanC and NsoC amino acid sequences compared with each other......70 

| Figure 4.3.5. A) TEM image of overnight grown <i>B. obeum</i> A2-162 culture. B) TEM image                     |
|--|
| of six-day old B. obeum A2-162 culture   |
| Figure 4.3.6. Antimicrobial activity overlay assay of <i>B. obeum</i> A2-162 when uninduced or                 |
| induced with 15 ng/ml or 100 ng/ml nisin A with and without the presence of 15 µg/ml                           |
| trypsin using C. perfringens as an indicator organism  |
| Figure 4.3.7. A) A supernatant spot test to assess the effect of co-culture on nisin O                         |
| expression. Plate contained NaHCO <sub>3</sub> . B) Supernatant spots were in the same order as plate          |
| A, however the base plate contains NaHCO <sub>3</sub> and $10 \mu g/ml$ trypsin in the overlay agar. L.        |
| lactis MG1614 was used as the indicator strain90   |
| <b>Figure 4.3.8.</b> A gel image of the RT PCR reaction investigating the expression of <i>nsoA1-4</i>         |
| within B. obeum A2-162 under different induction conditions  |
| Figure 4.3.9. A gel image of the RT PCR reaction investigating the expression of gyrB                          |
| within B. obeum A2-162 under different induction conditions  |
| Figure 4.3.10. A) Overlay assay using <i>B. obeum</i> (Left) and <i>B. longum</i> (Right) using <i>C</i> .     |
| perfringens as an indicator organism showing no antimicrobial activity; B) Stereo                              |
| microscopy of B. obeum (Left) and B. longum (Right) showing altered outer colony                               |
| morphology at adjacent areas   |
| Figure 4.3.11. Overlay assay to test various concentrations of trypsin in the overlay agar on                  |
| the antimicrobial activity of L. lactis strains using C. perfringens as the indicator strain. L.               |
| lactis FI5876, L. lactis UKLc10 pnso, L. lactis UKLc10 pIL253 and L. lactis MG1614 pnso                        |
| were spotted in sections 1-4, respectively. A) No trypsin in overlay agar; B) 10 $\mu$ g/ml trypsin            |
| in overlay agar; C) 15 µg/ml trypsin in overlay agar95   |
| Figure 4.3.12. Overlay assay of L. lactis UKLc10 pnso using L. lactis MG1614 pIL253 as                         |
| the indicator organism to test for inducing conditions for maximum antimicrobial activity.                     |
| A) No trypsin in either base or overlay agar and no Ery in base agar; B) No trypsin and 5                      |
| $\mu$ g/ml Ery in base agar with 10 $\mu$ g/ml trypsin overlay agar; C) 10 $\mu$ g/ml trypsin and 5 $\mu$ g/ml |
| Ery in base agar with no trypsin in overlay agar; D) $10 \mu g/ml$ trypsin and $5 \mu g/ml$ Ery in             |
| base agar with 10 µg/ml trypsin in overlay agar95  |
| Figure 4.3.13. Overlay assay to test various the antimicrobial activity of modified NsoA4                      |
| which contains a trypsin cleavage site at position 24 of the amino acid chain. L. lactis                       |
| FI5876 pIL253, L. lactis UKLc10 pnso, L. lactis UKLc10 pnso pUK200_P32_nsoA4_S24K,                             |
| L. lactis UKLc10 pIL253 and L. lactis MG1614 pIL253 were spotted in sections 1-5,                              |
| respectively. L. lactis MG1614 pIL253 was used as the indicator strain. The plate consisted                    |
| of GM17 supplement with 15 $\mu$ g/ml trypsin in the base and overlay agar and 5 $\mu$ g/ml                    |
| erythromycin in the base agar  |

| Figure 5.3.1. A) TMHMM analysis of NisP from <i>L. lactis</i> cluster, B) P66 from <i>B. obeum</i> |
|--|
| A2-162. C) P570 from <i>B. obeum</i> A2-162  |
| Figure 5.3.2. CD Batch search of amino acid sequences of A) NisP from L. lactis, B) P66            |
| and C) P570 both found within the B. obeum genome114   |
| Figure 5.3.3. A) TMHMM analysis of LanP from <i>B. producta</i> SCSK cluster B) P62 from <i>B.</i> |
| obeum C) P49 from B. obeum116  |
| Figure 5.3.4. A) CD Batch search of amino acid sequences of LanP from <i>B. producta</i> , B)      |
| P62 and C) P49 both found within the B. obeum genome   |
| Figure 5.3.5. TMHMM analysis of P140 showing a single predicted transmembrane domain               |
| and a large extracellular region118  |
| Figure 5.3.6. CD Batch search of amino acid sequence of P140 found within the <i>B. obeum</i>      |
| A2-162 genome  |
| Figure 5.3.7. A) A multiwell plate colony PCR gel loaded with a 1 kb ladder (Lane 1),              |
| negative control (Lane 2) and lanes 3-14 corresponding to mixed samples of E. coli                 |

pUK200\_P32\_p49 candidates from columns 1-12, respectively. B) Individual colony PCR Figure 5.3.8. A gel of a colony PCR for 3 potential positive candidates of pUK200\_P32\_p62. Gel loaded with 1 kb ladder, negative control and p62 candidates 1, 2 Figure 5.3.9. An SDS-PAGE gel of the crude cell free extracts (CFE) and cell wall extracts (CWE) of E. coli BL21(DE3) pET15b p66, E. coli BL21(DE3) pET15b and E. coli BL21(DE3) pET15b\_p570 at 3 and 4 hrs induction using IPTG for each culture......124 Figure 5.3.10. A western blot showing the cellular distribution of proteases P66 and P570 produced by E. coli using alterations in temperature and induction time to assess the optimal expression conditions. Crude cell free extract (CFE) and crude cell wall extract (CWE) were used. Positive controls for both P66 and P570 were produced in a previous assay assessing whether P66 or P570 could be detected in the CFE or CWE when inducing for 3 hrs with Figure 5.3.11. A) An overlay assay to assess the effect of candidate proteases on nisin O leader cleavage using, L. lactis UKLc10 pnso, L. lactis UKLc10 pnso pUK200\_P32\_p62, L. lactis UKLc10 pnso pUK200\_P32\_p49, L. lactis MG1614 pIL253 in section 1-4, respectively, with trypsin present only in the overlay agar. B) Strains are spotted in the same order as A, however there is no trypsin present in the base or overlay agar......127 Figure 5.3.12. A) An antimicrobial overlay assay using L. lactis UKLc10 pnso and 10 µg/ml cell wall extract (CWE) from E. coli BL21 (DE3) pET15b\_p570 incubated overnight at 37°C with no trypsin present in the base or overlay agar. B) L. lactis UKLc10 pnso and 10 µg/ml CWE from E. coli BL21 (DE3) pET15b\_p570 incubated overnight at 37°C with trypsin present in the overlay agar. C) L. lactis UKLc10 pnso and 10 µg/ml CWE from E. coli BL21 (DE3) pET15b\_p66 incubated overnight at 37°C with no trypsin present in the base or overlay agar. D) L. lactis UKLc10 pnso and 10 µg/ml CWE from E. coli BL21 (DE3) pET15b\_p66 incubated overnight at 37°C with trypsin present in the overlay agar.....128 Figure 5.3.13. A) An overlay assay to assess the effect of candidate proteases on nisin O leader cleavage using, L. lactis FI5876 pIL253, L. lactis UKLc10 pnso, L. lactis UKLc10 pIL253 pUK200\_P32\_p140, L. lactis UKLc10 pnso pUK200\_P32\_p140, L. lactis UKLc10 pIL253 pUK200\_P32\_lanP, and L. lactis UKLc10 pnso pUK200\_P32\_lanP, as spots 1-6, respectively. Plate contained 15 ng/ml nisin A in the base agar and NaHCO<sub>3</sub> and 10 µg/ml trypsin in the overlay agar. B) Bacteria spots were in the same order as plate A, however the base plate contains 15 ng/ml nisin and NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, with no trypsin used in the overlay agar.130 Figure 5.3.14 A) Western Blot of candidate proteases P570 and P66 crude cell wall extracts (CWE) using pre-NsoA1-4 TCA-precipitated proteins as the substrate. B) Western Blot of candidate proteases P49 and P62 CWE using pre-NsoA1-4 or pIL253 TCA-precipitated proteins as substrates. C) Western Blot of candidate proteases P140 and LanP crude cell free extracts (CFE) and crude CWEs using pre-NsoA1-4 TCA-precipitated proteins as substrate. CWE of the co-culture of B. obeum A2-162 and humanisation strains (Hu) were also used. L. lactis MG1614 was used as a negative control and trypsin was used as a positive control. D) Western blot of the CFE and CWE of L. lactis MG1614 incubated with TCA precipitated Figure 5.3.15. Shotgun metagenomic sequencing output from the QC\_module\_wf\_1.0\_nohost Galaxy pipeline showing bacterial composition of samples from Figure 5.3.16. A) An agarose gel of the extracted DNA from a MicroMatrix fermentation experiment. B) An agarose gel of the extracted DNA from a MicroMatrix fermentation 

| <b>Figure 5.3.17.</b> A) Bacterial composition of the T0 sample from the MicroMatrix assay using a new donor. B) Bacterial composition of the T24 sample from the MicroMatrix assay using  |
|--|
| a new donor  |
| supernatants from the MicroMatrix fermentation assay at T24142   |
| <b>Figure 6.1.1.</b> Mapped reads of cDNA from nisin A induced <i>L. lactis</i> UKLc10 pnso created  |
| (unpublished) (2022) 151   |
| <b>Figure 6.2.1.</b> A schematic diagram of the process by which the <i>pepI</i> reporter assay functions  |
| Figure 6.3.1 A) An overlay assay to assess the effect of NsoR1AK1 and NsoR2K2 on nisin   |
| O expression. The base agar contained NaHCO3 and 10 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ trypsin, with 10 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ trypsin   |
| in the overlay agar. B) L. lactis strain spots were in the same order as plate A, however the  |
| base plate contained 15 ng/ml nisin A and NaHCO <sub>3</sub> and 10 $\mu$ g/ml trypsin, with no trypsin in   |
| the overlay agar. C) An <i>L. lactis</i> overlay assay with the base agar containing NaHCO <sub>3</sub> and $10^{-1}$  |
| $10 \mu\text{g/ml}$ trypsin, with $10 \mu\text{g/ml}$ trypsin in the overlay agar. C. perfringens was used as the  |
| Endicator strain for all assays  |
| PCR from E coli MC1022 $152\_nsokTKT$ and pOK200_1 $52\_nsokZK2$ colony $158$  |
| <b>Figure 6.3.3.</b> A) Gel image of pP <i>nsoA1-4 pepI</i> and pP <i>nsoR2K2 pepI</i> colony PCR in <i>L</i> .  |
| lactis MG1614. B) Gel image of pPnsoBTC_pepI colony PCR in L. lactis MG1614 159  |
| Figure 6.3.4. A) The rates of activity of PepI expressed by pPnsoA1-4_pepI containing  |
| strains which also contained the regulatory systems from the nisin O cluster (NsoR1K1 and  |
| NsoR2K2) and the nisin A cluster (NisRK) when uninduced. B) Induced with nisin A. C)   |
| Induced with trypsinated pre-NsoA1-4   |
| strains which also contained the regulatory systems from the nisin O cluster (NsoB1K1 and  |
| NsoR2K2) and the nisin A cluster (NisRK) when uninduced. B) Induced with nisin A. C)   |
| Induced with trypsinated pre-NsoA1-4   |
| Figure 6.3.6. A) The rates of activity of PepI expressed by pP <i>nsoBTC_pepI</i> containing   |
| strains which also contained the regulatory systems from the nisin O cluster (NsoR1K1 and  |
| NsoR2K2) and the nisin A cluster (NisRK) when uninduced. B) Induced with nisin A. C)   |
| Induced with trypsinated pre-NsoA1-4   |
| <b>Figure 6.3.7.</b> A) The rates of activity of Pepl expressed by pP <i>nsoR2K2_pepl</i> containing   |
| strains which also contained the regulatory systems from the hisin O cluster (NsoR1K1 and NsoR2K2) and the nigin A cluster (NigRK) when uninduced P) Induced with nigin A ()   |
| $(NSOR_2R_2)$ and the misin A cluster ( $NSKR$ ) when uninduced. B) induced with misin A. C)<br>Induced with trypsinated pre-NsoA1-4 172   |
| <b>Figure 6.3.8.</b> A gel electrophoresis of reverse transcription PCR products derived from RNA  |
| extracted from nisin A induced <i>L. lactis</i> UKLc10 pnso  |
| Figure 6.3.9. A schematic diagram of the nisin O cluster and the primers used to assess  |
| whether co-transcription was taking place through the use of RT-PCR174   |
| Figure 6.3.10. A gel electrophoresis of reverse transcription PCR products of the nisin O  |
| cluster and products which span across multiple predicted operons derived from RNA   |
| extracted from nisin A induced <i>L. lactis</i> UKLc10 pnso  |
| <b>Figure 6.3.11.</b> Outputs from <i>in suico</i> analysis of Nso1.14 A) Jpred analysis of Nso1.14. Red indicates the predicted helices and green indicates predicted $\beta$ -pleated sheets. B) TMHMM analysis of Nso1.14. C) Alphafold output of the predicted tertiary structure of Nso1.14 177 |

## List of Tables

| Table 1.1. | Classification | of bacteriocins. | including | sub-groups | s and exam | ples4 | 4 |
|------------|----------------|------------------|-----------|------------|------------|-------|---|
|            |                |                  |           | 0 1        |            | 1     |   |

| Table 2.1. Concentrations and preparation method of chemicals used. | 21 |
|---|----|
| Table 2.2. Bacterial Strains and Growth Conditions.                 | 22 |
| Table 2.3. Plasmids and relevant characteristics                    | 24 |
| Table 2.4. Bacterial Transformants created and used in this work    | 25 |
| Table 2.5. Primers used in this work                                | 28 |

**Table 4.3.1.** ANI scores and IDs of five *B. obeum* genomes with an ANI score >95% **.Error! Bookmark not defined.** 

| <b>Table 5.2.1.</b> PCR conditions and primers used for cloning of candidate proteases into            |     |
|--|-----|
| expression plasmids used in this work  | 107 |
| <b>Table 5.3.1.</b> Candidate proteases from <i>B. obeum</i> A2-162 using <i>nisP</i> as the reference |     |
| sequence   | 111 |
| <b>Table 5.3.2.</b> Candidate proteases from <i>B. obeum</i> A2-162 using <i>lanP</i> as the reference |     |
| sequence   | 114 |
| Table 5.3.3. Candidate proteases identified via presence of S26_SPase_1 in B. obeum A                  | 42- |
| 162  | 117 |

| Table 6.2.1. PCR conditions and primers used for cloning of the nso regulatory systems  | and   |
|---|-------|
| nso1.14 into expression plasmids used in this work.                                     | .152  |
| Table 6.2.2. PCR conditions and primers used for confirmation of the predicted promote  | rs    |
| and nso regulatory systems in the L. lactis pepI reporter strains used in this work     | . 153 |
| Table 6.2.3. RT-PCR conditions and primers used for investigating the expression of nso | )     |
| genes in the L. lactis heterologous expression system in this work                      | .154  |
| Table 6.3.1. Predicted promoter regions of the nisin O-like biosynthetic gene clusters  | . 161 |

## Abbreviations

| Abbreviation      | Extension  |  |
|-------------------|--|--|
| Abu               | Aminobutyric acid  |  |
| Ala               | Alanine  |  |
| Arg               | Arginine   |  |
| Asn               | Asparagine   |  |
| bp                | Base pair  |  |
| BHI + C           | Brain Heart Infusion with complements                                |  |
| BPM               | Brain Heart Infusion with complements, Postgate C and de Man-Rogosa- |  |
|                   | Sharpe media (1:1:1 ratio)   |  |
| BSA               | Bovine Serum Albumin   |  |
| CFE               | Cell free extract  |  |
| CTAB              | Hexadecyltrimethyl ammonium bromide                                  |  |
| CWE               | Cell wall extract  |  |
| Cys               | Cysteine   |  |
| Dha               | Dehydroalanine   |  |
| Dhb               | Dehydrobutyrine  |  |
| DNA               | Deoxyribonucleic acid  |  |
| Ery               | Erythromycin   |  |
| Gly               | Glycine  |  |
| His               | Histidine  |  |
| hr                | Hour   |  |
| Ile               | Isoleucine   |  |
| IPTG              | Isopropylthio-β-galactoside  |  |
| kb                | Kilobase   |  |
| kDa               | Kilodalton   |  |
| LAB               | Lactic acid bacteria   |  |
| Lan               | Lantibiotic  |  |
| Leu               | Leucine  |  |
| Lys               | Lysine   |  |
| Met               | Methionine   |  |
| min               | Minute   |  |
| MRS               | de Man-Rogosa-Sharpe   |  |
| Nso               | Nisin O  |  |
| OD <sub>600</sub> | Optical density at 600 nm  |  |
| PBS               | Phosphate buffered saline  |  |

| PCR                | Polymerase chain reaction                       |  |  |
|--------------------|---|--|--|
| Pro                | Proline   |  |  |
| RNA                | Ribonucleic acid                                |  |  |
| rpm                | Revolutions per minute                          |  |  |
| RT-PCR             | Reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction |  |  |
| sec                | Second  |  |  |
| SEM                | Scanning electron microscopy                    |  |  |
| Ser                | Serine  |  |  |
| TCA                | Trichloroacetic acid                            |  |  |
| TCS                | Two-component regulatory system                 |  |  |
| TEM                | Transmission electron microscopy                |  |  |
| Thr                | Threonine                                       |  |  |
| Tm                 | Melting temperature                             |  |  |
| Val                | Valine  |  |  |
| VS                 | Versus  |  |  |
| UPH <sub>2</sub> O | Ultra-pure water                                |  |  |
| UV                 | Ultraviolet                                     |  |  |

## Symbols and Units

| Symbol      | Meaning                                      |
|-------------|--|
| %           | Percentage                                   |
| +           | Plus/with                                    |
| °C          | Degrees celsius                              |
| bp          | Base pairs                                   |
| cm          | Centimetre                                   |
| g           | Gram   |
| hr          | Hour   |
| kb          | Kilo base                                    |
| 1           | Litre  |
| µg/ml       | Microgram per millilitre                     |
| μl          | Microlitre                                   |
| μm          | Micrometre                                   |
| Μ           | Molar  |
| mg          | Milligram                                    |
| mg/ml       | Milligram per millilitre                     |
| min         | Minute                                       |
| ml          | Millilitre                                   |
| mm          | Millimetre                                   |
| mM          | Nanomolar                                    |
| ng/ml       | Nanogram per millilitre                      |
| nmol/mg/min | Nanomole per milligram of protein per minute |
| nm          | Nanometre                                    |
| pН          | Potential of hydrogen                        |
| rpm         | Revolutions per minute                       |
| sec         | Second                                       |
| Т0          | 0 hour timepoint                             |
| T24         | 24 hour timepoint                            |
| X g         | Gravity                                      |
| v/v         | Volume per volume                            |
| w/v         | Weight per volume                            |

#### Acknowledgements

I would first like to extend my deepest thanks to my supervisors, Professor Arjan Narbad and Dr Melinda Mayer for their guidance, support and encouragement throughout my PhD project. With their patience and expertise, they have helped me improve my skill set both in and out of the laboratory and I am truly grateful. I would also like to thank Professor Mark Webber for his valuable input as a team member on my supervisory team. I would like to acknowledge and thank my funders from the BBSRC Doctoral Training Programme at QIB for their contribution which allowed me to complete in this project and for providing the opportunity to enhance my proficiencies through the professional internship placement.

I sincerely thank Dr Stefano Romano, Dr Rebecca Ansorge, Dr Lizbeth Sayavedra and Dr Lee Kellingray for all of their practical, bioinformatic and conceptual support and the time they generously provided to train and guide me through parts of this PhD project. I would also like to thank former PhD students, Dr Bhavika Parmanand, Dr Saskia Neuert and Dr Enriqueta Garcia-Gutierrez for their support, motivation and inspiration that have helped me to the end of the project. I would like to thank Dr Catherine Booth and Kathryn Gotts for their assistance with electron microscopy imaging.

I would also like to thank the current PhD students Jade Davies and Bushra Schuitemaker for their constant belief, enthusiasm and coffee breaks which have got me over the line. I wish them both the very best with their own projects. I would also like to thank all of the previous members of the Narbad Group and other colleagues within QIB for their friendship and kindness during my time at QIB. I would like to thank Dr Jessica Blair from the Institute of Microbiology and Infection for giving me the best start in my academic career during both my undergraduate and master's degrees which set me on the course to this PhD project.

Finally, I owe my innermost gratitude to all my family and partner, who's with their encouragement and love have helped me through my last eight years of study. I look forward to the next chapter of my career with their continued support.

# Chapter 1.

Introduction

#### **1.1 Antimicrobials and Antimicrobial Resistance**

Antimicrobial proteins are produced by all living organisms (Boparai and Sharma 2020). These antagonistic peptides can kill or inhibit the growth of microorganisms and aid in the prevention of infections or competition from other bacteria. With the rise of antimicrobial resistance increasing the burden on worldwide healthcare systems, the identification and production of novel antimicrobials to combat infections is a fundamental part of reversing this current issue (Piddock 2012, Garcia-Gutierrez, Mayer *et al.* 2019). However, the discovery of new antimicrobials is a significant challenge with only a small number of new antimicrobials introduced to the market since the 'Golden Era' of microbial discovery in the 1960s to 1970s (Lewis 2013).

#### **1.2 Bacteriocins**

Bacteriocins are ribosomally synthesised antimicrobial peptides produced by various bacterial genera which can undergo post-translational modifications (Cotter, Ross et al. 2013). Bacteriocins offer a potential solution to the problem of antimicrobial resistance, with these antimicrobial peptides generally showing many desirable traits for novel antimicrobial applications, including in vivo potency against pathogens (Kim, Becattini et al. 2019), low toxicity (Maher and McClean 2006), broad- and narrow-spectrum activity (Kuwano, Tanaka et al. 2005, Inoue, Tomita et al. 2006) and the potential to be produced by probiotic bacteria (Flynn, van Sinderen et al. 2002). Some bacteriocins are produced by lactic acid bacteria (LAB) and have received the generally regarded as safe (GRAS) designation, leading to their use in food products (Cotter, Hill et al. 2005). Based on the most recent update of bacteriocin classification, proposed by Alvarez-Sieiro et al (2016), the authors suggested three sub-classes of bacteriocins grouped according to their biosynthesis and activity, which has previously been used in the classification of bacteriocins (Arnison, Bibb et al. 2013, Cotter, Ross et al. 2013). Both classes I and II are small peptides (<10 kDa) that are heat stable, whereas class III bacteriocins are larger (>10 kDa) and susceptible to heat (Table 1.1). Class I bacteriocins differ from class II bacteriocins as they undergo post-translational modification however class II peptides remain unmodified (Alvarez-Sieiro, Montalban-Lopez *et al.* 2016). Multiple subgroups of bacteriocins are encompassed within each class which are grouped as a result of their structure.

Class I bacteriocins are collectively known as ribosomally synthesised post-translationally modified peptides (RiPPs). Modification enzymes interact with these antimicrobial peptides during biosynthesis which alters their final structure, this can include the formation of lanthionine rings, head-to-tail cyclisation and glycosylation (Knerr and van der Donk 2012, Wang, Oman *et al.* 2014). The biosynthesis of some class I bacteriocins have been studied in depth, for example the lantibiotic nisin A (Lubelski, Rink *et al.* 2008). Within this biosynthetic cluster all the genes that are required for the maturation, activation and regulation of nisin A are present with additional immunity genes. Class I bacteriocins are organised into six distinct families (Table 1.1) (Alvarez-Sieiro, Montalban-Lopez *et al.* 2016). Peptides belonging to each of these families were categorised based on the post-translation modification that is performed during biosynthesis.

| Group                          | Examples        | Reference                     |  |  |
|--------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|--|--|
| Class I                        |                 |                               |  |  |
| Heat-stable, <10 kDa, Modified |                 |                               |  |  |
| Lanthipeptides                 | Nisin A,        | (Rogers and Whittier 1928,    |  |  |
|                                | Planosporicin,  | Castiglione, Cavaletti et al. |  |  |
|                                | Marinsedin      | 2007, Repka, Chekan et al.    |  |  |
|                                |                 | 2017, Han, Wang et al. 2022)  |  |  |
| Cyclised Peptides              | Carnocyclin A,  | (Martin-Visscher, van Belkum  |  |  |
|                                | Pumilarin       | et al. 2008, van Heel,        |  |  |
|                                |                 | Montalban-Lopez et al. 2017)  |  |  |
| Linear Azol(in)e-containing    | Streptolysin S, | (Molloy, Cotter et al. 2011,  |  |  |
| Peptides                       | Microcin B17    | Collin and Maxwell 2019)      |  |  |
| Sactibiotics                   | Subtilosin A,   | (Babasaki, Takao et al. 1985, |  |  |
|                                | Thuricin Z      | Mo, Ji <i>et al</i> . 2019)   |  |  |
| Glycocins                      | Glycocin F,     | (Venugopal, Edwards et al.    |  |  |
|                                | Enterocin F4-9  | 2011, Maky, Ishibashi et al.  |  |  |
|                                |                 | 2021)                         |  |  |

| Lasso Peptides              | Benenodin-1,   | (Zong, Wu et al. 2017, Li, Han  |  |  |
|-----------------------------|----------------|---------------------------------|--|--|
|                             | Microcin Y     | <i>et al.</i> 2021)             |  |  |
| Linaridins                  | Grisemycin     | (Claesen and Bibb 2011)         |  |  |
| Class II                    |                |                                 |  |  |
| Heat-stable, <10 kDa, Unmoo | lified         |                                 |  |  |
| Pediocin-like               | Pediocin PA-1, | (Chikindas, Garcia-Garcera et   |  |  |
|                             | Bac43          | al. 1993, Todokoro, Tomita et   |  |  |
|                             |                | al. 2006)                       |  |  |
| Two Peptide                 | Lactococcin G, | (Nissen-Meyer, Holo et al.      |  |  |
|                             | Gassericin T   | 1992, Kawai, Saitoh et al.      |  |  |
|                             |                | 2000)                           |  |  |
| Cyclic Peptide              | Peptide AS-48  | (Maqueda, Galvez et al. 2004)   |  |  |
| Non pediocin-like           | Leucocin B     | (Wan, Saris <i>et al.</i> 2015) |  |  |
| Class III                   |                |                                 |  |  |
| Heat-labile, >10 kDa        |                |                                 |  |  |
| Non-bacteriocin Lytic       | Enterolysin A, | (Nilsen, Nes et al. 2003,       |  |  |
| Peptides                    | Microcin S     | Beimfohr 2016)                  |  |  |

**Table 1.1.** Classification of bacteriocins, including sub-groups and examples.

#### **1.3 Lanthipeptides**

Lanthipeptides are a group of class I bacteriocins that are characterised by the presence of unusual amino acids such as lanthionine and methyl-lanthionine which form lanthionine rings during post-translational modifications (Arnison, Bibb *et al.* 2013). There are four types of lanthipeptides which are determined by their biosynthetic machinery to produce the mature peptide (Knerr and van der Donk 2012). However, only types I and II have antimicrobial properties and are known as lantibiotics. Therefore, as types III and IV produced by LAB have no known antimicrobial characteristics they cannot be considered as bacteriocins.



**Figure 1.1.** Enzymes involved in the construction of lanthionine rings during biosynthesis of type I-IV lanthipeptides. Conserved domains have been highlighted. Adapted from Knerr and van der Donk, 2012.

#### 1.3.1 Type I

Type I lanthipeptides have two separate proteins involved in the formation of lanthionine rings, LanB which dehydrates serine (Ser) and threonine (Thr) residues and LanC which promotes cyclisation and ring formation (Figure 1.1) (Garg, Salazar-Ocampo *et al.* 2013, Yang and van der Donk 2015). Due to the similarity between biosynthetic systems that produce lantibiotics, genes within the cluster are given the generic name of *lan* (de Vos, Kuipers *et al.* 1995). LanB (Dehydratase) is an enzyme which converts Thr and Ser into dehydrobutyrine (Dhb) and dehydroalanine (Dha), respectively. This dehydration process is then followed by cyclisation of these residues with cysteine by LanC (Cyclase) to form lanthionine and methyllanthionine rings as part of the mature core peptide (Xie and van der Donk 2004).

#### 1.3.2 Type II

Type II lanthipeptides utilise LanM for dehydration and cyclisation (Figure 1.1). This enzyme is bi-functional with the N-terminus of LanM functioning as a dehydratase which is responsible for the phosphorylation of Ser and Thr followed by removal of the phosphate group. Cyclisation and subsequent ring formation is performed by the C-terminus of LanM, which shares sequence homology with type I lanthipeptide cyclases, including zinc-binding domains (Li, Yu *et al.* 2006, Yu, Zhang *et al.* 2013).

#### 1.3.3 Types III and IV

Both types III and IV lanthipeptides use a single bi-functional enzyme that performs both dehydration and cyclisation to produce mature core peptides. LanKC and LanL (class III and IV, respectively) contain an N-terminal lyase domain, a central kinase domain and a C-terminal cyclase domain (Figure 1.1) (Knerr and van der Donk 2012, Zhang, Yu *et al.* 2012).

#### **1.4 Lantibiotic Operon Structure**

The biosynthetic clusters usually contain a structural gene (*lanA*), modification machinery (*lanB* and *lanC*, *lanM* etc.) a transmembrane transporter (*lanT*), immunity proteins (*lanI*, *lanFEG* etc.), a protease (*lanP*) and a regulatory system (*lanRK*) (Dischinger, Basi Chipalu *et al.* 2014). Nisin A is one of the most studied bacteriocins, it is produced by *Lactococcus lactis* and has a broad range of activity against Gram-positive bacteria (Severina, Severin *et al.* 1998, Lubelski, Rink *et al.* 2008, Shin, Ateia *et al.* 2015). The cluster is organised into four operons: *nisABTCIPRK*, *nisI*, *nisRK* and *nisFEG*, each with a separate promoter. The *nisI* and *nisRK* operons are constitutively expressed, therefore providing the producer organism with a constant level of immunity and regulation of the biosynthesis cluster (de Ruyter, Kuipers *et al.* 1996). However, other lanthipeptides, such as the type II lanthipeptide ruminococcin A produced by the strict anaerobic bacterium *Ruminococcus gnavus* E1 have differences in their biosynthetic gene cluster (Dabard, Bridonneau *et al.* 2001). Within the ruminococcin A cluster the first 5 open reading frames (ORFs), *rumFEGHR2*, are arranged in a 3' to 5' direction comparative to the other genes in the cluster. The cluster also contains genes required for

regulation, *rumRK*, three genes encoding core peptides, *rumA1-3*, and the modification and transport machinery, *rumM* and *rumT*, respectively. An additional gene *rumX* is also found at the end of the cluster, however its function has not yet been elucidated (Gomez, Ladire *et al.* 2002). This shows that although the genes involved in modification, immunity and regulation of lantibiotics are present within different biosynthetic gene clusters, the structure of these clusters can be varied.

#### 1.5 Nisin A

The aforementioned nisin A is a type I lantibiotic that is produced by *L. lactis* which was first identified by Rogers and Whittier in 1928 during a study investigating the lactic fermentation of milk cultures (Rogers and Whittier 1928). From 1953 nisin A was marketed as an antimicrobial agent in England and was recognised as a safe food additive by the World Health Organisation and the Joint Food and Agriculture Organisation in 1969 (Cotter, Hill *et al.* 2005, Shin, Gwak *et al.* 2016). Nisin A was added to the European food additive list as E234 in the 1980s and was also approved by the United States of America Food and Drug Agency to be used in selected cheese products (Cotter, Hill *et al.* 2005, Younes, Aggett *et al.* 2017). As this antimicrobial has shown its efficacy to prevent food spoilage and safety over approximately 100 years since its discovery, more than 50 countries use nisin A as a food additive (Shin, Gwak *et al.* 2016).

#### **1.6 Biomedical Applications of Nisin**

Not only is nisin A used as a food preservative but studies have shown that it can have potential applications in other fields. Nisin has been studied in the context of dentistry as an antimicrobial agent. In 2015, a study by Shin *et al.* (2015), showed that nisin was able to disrupt biofilms formed by multiple species which were isolated from saliva, additionally, there were no cytotoxic effects on human oral cells (Shin, Ateia *et al.* 2015). Furthermore, a recent study observed that both the use of nisin and the presence of the producer organism *L. lactis* significantly decreased the level of periodontal pathogens, the host inflammatory

response, alveolar bone loss and returned the oral microbiome to a healthy, control state (Gao, Kuraji *et al.* 2022).

Nisin has also been shown in a number of studies to have anti-cancer properties. Nisin has been shown to induce apoptosis of cervical, hepatic and skin cancer cell lines, with minimal cytotoxicity against healthy cell lines (Rana, Sharma *et al.* 2019, Zainodini, Hajizadeh *et al.* 2021, Sadri, Aghaei *et al.* 2022). Nisin and its variants have also been shown to be capable of combating drug resistant pathogens and gastrointestinal infections both individually and in combination with other antimicrobials (Severina, Severin *et al.* 1998, Alves, Albano *et al.* 2020, O'Reilly, O'Connor *et al.* 2022). Although nisin has been used for many decades only now is the true scale of potential applications of nisin being realised.

Additionally, nisin has been use in treatment for mastitis in both humans and dairy cattle (Cao, Wu *et al.* 2007, Fernández, Delgado *et al.* 2008). Fernández *et al* (2008), showed that application of a nisin containing solution to the nipple and mammary areola of women with staphylococcal mastitis significantly lowered the colony forming units compared to the control group and the clinical signs were no longer observed (Fernández, Delgado *et al.* 2008). In a recent study by Huang *et al* (2022), the authors showed that nisin Z inhibited the activation of ERK1/2 and p38 mitogen activated kinase pathway and decreased IL-10 in lipopolysaccharide induced MCF10A cells and promoted the blood milk barrier (Huang, Teng *et al.* 2022).

#### **1.7 Nisin A Biosynthesis**

The nisin A biosynthetic pathway is well understood due to its use as a food spoilage prevention molecule. The core peptide is encoded by *nisA* and when expressed forms a prepeptide containing 57 amino acid residues, including the N-terminal leader peptide which consists of 23 amino acids (Gross and Morell 1971). This pre-nisin molecule is targeted to the modification machinery NisBTC, which recently has been isolated as a complete complex from the cytoplasmic membrane through affinity purification (Chen and Kuipers 2021). NisB, as previously mentioned, is responsible for dehydration of Ser and Thr residues to Dha and Dhb at positions 25, 26, 28, 31, 36, 46, 48 and 56 of pre-nisin (Figure 1.2). Inactivation of

nisB has been shown to give a complete loss of nisin post-translational modification, indicating NisB's fundamental role in the modification of pre-nisin (Koponen, Tolonen et al. 2002). Conversely, fourfold overexpression of *nisB* resulted in significantly increased dehydration at the Ser56 (Karakas Sen, Narbad et al. 1999). Although both Ser and Thr residues are present in the leader peptide these are not dehydrated, this is most likely due to the leader peptide's function in binding to NisB and therefore preventing interaction with the catalytic site (Lubelski, Rink et al. 2008). Once the residues are dehydrated the formation of five lanthionine rings is performed by NisC (Figure 1.2). Chromosomal deletion of nisC prevented the formation of these rings, however dehydration still occurred, indicating that the NisB can still function, albeit with a reduced efficiency, even in the absence of NisC from the NisBTC complex (Koponen, Tolonen et al. 2002). The leader peptide of pre-nisin is required for interaction with NisC, independent of maturation state. Mutagenesis of the leader peptide identified that phenylalanine (Phe) and leucine (Leu) at positions six and eight of pre-nisin, respectively, are essential for NisC binding (Abts, Montalban-Lopez et al. 2013). Following the formation of the lanthionine rings the modified pre-nisin export of the pre-peptide is performed by the ABC half-transporter, NisT (Figure 1.2). NisT only contains two nucleotide binding domains and two transmembrane segments rather than the usual four, thus labelling it an ABC half-transporter, however it is assumed that in order to function correctly NisT forms a homodimer (Lubelski, Rink et al. 2008). In deletion experiments to remove nisT, the relative abundance of nisin in the extracellular space was reduced and there was an accumulation of nisin within the cells. This subsequently reduced the growth rate of the bacteria by 30% (van den Berg van Saparoea, Bakkes et al. 2008). In a recent study by Lagedroste et al (2020), an ATP hydrolysis deficient mutant of NisT exported no nisin A and the authors found that NisB and NisC were required for secretion. Furthermore, it was observed that it was the creation of the lanthionine rings by NisC rather than the interaction of the enzyme with NisT that was required for transport, as catalytically inactive NisC mutants reduced the secretion level (Lagedroste, Reiners et al. 2020).

Once exported out of the cell mature pre-nisin has the leader peptide cleaved by NisP which leads to mature, active nisin in the extracellular environment (Figure 1.2). NisP contains 682 amino acids (54 kDa) and is a subtilisin-like protease (van der Meer, Polman *et al.* 1993, Siezen, Rollema *et al.* 1995). NisP is anchored to the cell wall by the LPXTG motif after NisP is exported to the extracellular side of the membrane by a Sec-signalling sequence (van der Meer, Polman *et al.* 1993). The specificity of NisP was shown to be slightly promiscuous, however through leader peptide mutagenesis it was shown that the arginine at position one of the leader peptide was crucial for cleavage (Montalban-Lopez, Deng *et al.* 2018). Furthermore, Lagedroste *et al* (2017), found substrate recognition by NisP was reliant on the presence of lanthionine rings within the core peptide (Lagedroste, Smits *et al.* 2017).



**Figure 1.2.** Maturation of pre-nisin to active nisin. NisB dehydrates Thr and Ser residues to Dhb and Dha, respectively. Cyclisation by NisC forms lanthionine and methyllanthionine rings to form mature pre-nisin. Secretion (NisT) and leader peptide cleavage (NisP) are responsible for the export and activation of the nisin peptide.

Once cleavage of the leader peptide has occurred nisin A becomes an active antimicrobial molecule. Therefore, to protect itself from the bioactive peptide, the biosynthetic cluster in *L*. *lactis* contains genes involved in immunity. These immunity genes consist of *nisI* and *nisFEG* 

which encode a lipoprotein and ABC transporter, respectively (Figure 1.3) (Siegers and Entian 1995). NisI is located on the outer leaflet of the cytoplasmic membrane and is 25.8 kDa in size (Qiao, Immonen *et al.* 1995). NisI provides immunity through interactions with nisin to form an insoluble complex, whereas NisFEG is an efflux pump which can export any nisin A molecules that enter the cytoplasm (Stein, Heinzmann *et al.* 2003, Hacker, Christ *et al.* 2015). Deletions of either *nisFEG* or *nisI* increased the sensitivity of the producer strain to nisin, with a greater effect observed when *nisI* was absent (Siegers and Entian 1995, Draper, Ross *et al.* 2008).

The regulation of the nisin A cluster relies on the two-component regulatory system (TCS) NisRK (Figure 1.3 and 1.4). The *nisI* and *nisRK* operons are constitutively expressed, providing the producer organism with a constant level of immunity and regulation of the biosynthesis cluster (de Ruyter, Kuipers et al. 1996, Li and O'Sullivan 2006). However, both the *nisABTCIPRK* and *nisFEG* are regulated by the NisRK system via the promoters PnisA and PnisF, respectively (Figure 1.3). NisK is a histidine kinase that auto-phosphorylates when bound to the correct substrate, in this case the N-terminal rings A and B of nisin A (Kuipers, Beerthuyzen et al. 1995, Ge, Teng et al. 2016). Conserved residues in the extracellular domain of NisK are important for binding of nisin A as Ge et al (2017), demonstrated via mutations to key residues in NisK which inhibited binding of nisin A (Ge, Teng et al. 2017). After autophosphorylation of NisK the response regulator NisR interacts and becomes phosphorylated and then activates transcription of nisABTCIPRK and nisFEG. Van der Meer et al (1993), showed that when *nisR* expression was prevented then the expression of *nisA* was reduced, indicated that this protein was important for the production of nisin A (van der Meer, Polman et al. 1993). Therefore, the NisRK system is responsible for a positive feedback loop during the production of nisin A, as through quorum sensing any nisin A present in the extracellular environment will activate both the *nisABTCIPRK* operon to increase *nisA* transcription but also *nisFEG* which will increase immunity of the cell. This will therefore allow the L. lactis producer to remain active whilst simultaneously out-competing other bacteria for resources via its antimicrobial activity.



**Figure 1.3.** Nisin A biosynthetic cluster with promoters indicated above. The structural (Green), maturation and transport (Red and light green, respectively), immunity (Orange and yellow), regulatory (Blue) and leader peptide protease (Dark green) genes are indicated.



**Figure 1.4.** The nisin A gene cluster and the biosynthetic pathway to produce mature, active nisin A. Nisin A is initially translated to a pre-peptide which consists of an N-terminal leader peptide and a C-terminal core peptide. A) NisB dehydrates residues on the pre-peptide, followed by cyclisation through NisC and transport across the membrane by NisT; B) Cleavage of the leader peptide by the protease NisP; C) Immunity is provided by NisI, and the efflux pump NisFEG; D) Regulation of nisin A expression is controlled by the histidine kinase NisK and the response regulator NisR. Adapted from Perez, Zendo and Sonomoto (2014).

#### 1.8 Nisin A's Mode of action

Due to nisin A's potent antimicrobial activity against Gram-positive bacteria, such as *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Clostridium difficile*, *Streptococcus pneumoniae* and Enterococci, multiple studies have been carried out to understand the mode of action of this antimicrobial (Goldstein, Wei *et al.* 1998, Severina, Severin *et al.* 1998, Bartoloni, Mantella *et al.* 2004, Piper, Draper *et al.* 2009). Nisin A causes cell death by binding to lipid II, which is vital for cell wall production as a peptidoglycan precursor, and therefore causes pore formation in the cell membrane (Figure 1.5) (Scherer, Spille *et al.* 2015). The pore formation involves four lipid II and eight nisin molecules (Hasper, de Kruijff *et al.* 2004).



**Figure 1.5.** Nisin A mode of action either by pore formation or inhibition of cell wall synthesis after binding to lipid II. Adapted from Gharsallaoui *et al.*, (2016).

Furthermore, nisin A can also prevent cell wall synthesis and thus disrupt the integrity of the membrane through segregation and loss of lipid II (Figure 1.5) (Reisinger, Seidel *et al.* 1980, Bauer and Dicks 2005). In 2001 a study was performed to investigate nisin A's interaction with lipid II through the creation of nisin A mutants (Wiedemann, Breukink *et al.* 2001). The authors observed that even when mutant nisin did not form pores in the cell membrane antimicrobial effects were still seen, indicating an alternative antimicrobial mode of action of the nisin A molecule. Additionally, the authors also showed that the N-terminus of the nisin A molecule was required for initial binding to lipid II. The C-terminus was assumed to then

translocate across the membrane as a result of the hinge region found within nisin A which was vital for pore formation (Figure 1.5 and 1.6) (Wiedemann, Breukink *et al.* 2001). The mode of action of nisin A to bind and/or disrupt lipid II in the cell membrane leads to increased permeability of the membrane and therefore disruption of membrane potential which causes bactericidal effects (Brotz, Josten *et al.* 1998). In 2016, Prince *et al* (2016), showed a novel mechanism of nisin A binding to lipid II whereby oligomerisation and peptide crowding lead to distortion of the membrane and consequent cell death (Prince, Sandhu *et al.* 2016).



**Figure 1.6.** Structure of the mature nisin peptide. Highlighted amino acids are involved in the formation of the lanthionine rings. Dha, dehydroalanine; Dhb, dehydrobutyrine; Ala-S-Ala, lanthionine; Abu-S-Ala,  $\beta$ -methyllanthionine.

#### **1.9 Nisin Variants**

Since the first description of nisin A in 1928 there have been many nisin variants which share a high homology to that originally discovered nisin, which is still used as a 'standard' when comparing variants and other lantibiotics. Nisin Z is the most similar variant with a single residue change at position 27 of the core peptide from asparagine to a histidine. This variant was isolated from *L. lactis* NIZO22186 by Mulders *et al.*, in 1991 and has antimicrobial effects against *Clostridium*, *Listeria* and *Bacillus* spp. (Mulders, Boerrigter *et al.* 1991, de Vos, Mulders *et al.* 1993). *L. lactis* strains also produce nisin F and nisin Q which differ from nisin A by two (positions 27 and 30) and four (positions 15, 21, 27 and 30) residue substitutions of the core peptide, respectively (Zendo, Fukao *et al.* 2003, de Kwaadsteniet, Ten Doeschate *et al.* 2008). However, nisin variants are not limited to *L. lactis* species. A number of nisin variants have been identified from *Streptococcus* species, these include nisin U/U2, nisin H and nisin P which are produced by *S. uberis*, *S. hyointestinalis* and *S. gallolyticus* subsp. *pateuranus*, respectively (Wirawan, Klesse *et al.* 2006, Zhang, Yu *et al.* 2012, O'Connor,

O'Shea *et al.* 2015). A recent study has identified a nisin J produced by *Staphylococcus capitis* APC 2923 which has antimicrobial activity against methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) and *Cutibacterium acnes*. Furthermore, this cluster does not contain a TCS or the nisin immunity gene *nisI* (O'Sullivan, O'Connor *et al.* 2020). Nisin P which was previously identified by O'Connor *et al.*, in the genome of *S. gallolyticus* subsp. *pateuranus* was recently shown to be actively produced by faecal isolate *Streptococcus agalactiae* DPC7040 and had antimicrobial activity, although lower than nisin A and nisin H (O'Connor, O'Shea *et al.* 2015, Garcia-Gutierrez, O'Connor *et al.* 2020).

#### 1.10 Nisin O

In addition to nisin variants being identified in streptococcal and staphylococcal species a nisin variant, nisin O, was identified in human gut bacterium *Blautia obeum* A2-162 (Hatziioanou, Gherghisan-Filip *et al.* 2017). Although the biosynthetic gene cluster consists of 'standard' immunity and modification genes (*nsoF*, *nsoE*, *nsoG*, *nsoI*, *nsoB*, *nsoT*, *nsoC*) observed in other nisin variant clusters (Figure 1.7), this cluster is unusual as it contains four putative structural genes, the first three of sharing very high homology, with the NsoA1 and NsoA2 being identical and NsoA3 having a single G2A substitution. The fourth peptide, NsoA4, is very dissimilar in its amino acid sequence when compared to the others within the cluster and other nisin variants.



**Figure 1.7.** Comparison of the nisin A and nisin O biosynthetic clusters. Promoters and predicted promoters are indicated above the nisin A and nisin O clusters, respectively (Gherghisan-Filip 2016). The structural (Green), maturation and transport (Red and light

green, respectively), immunity (Orange and yellow), regulatory (Blue) and leader peptide protease (Dark green) genes are indicated.

Comparisons between nisin variants show that NsoA1-3 have a high level of sequence homology to nisin U (Hatziioanou, Gherghisan-Filip *et al.* 2017). Additionally, unlike nisin A which contains a single TCS or nisin J which completely lacks any regulatory system the nisin O biosynthetic gene cluster contains two TCSs either side of the putative structural genes (Figure 1.7) (Hatziioanou, Gherghisan-Filip *et al.* 2017). Furthermore, the cluster in *B. obeum* A2-162 does not contain a protease which is required for leader peptide cleavage and therefore activation of the mature nisin O molecule. Antimicrobial activity against *Clostridium perfringens, C. difficile* and *L. lactis* strains has been observed in both the original producer *B. obeum* A2-162 and a heterologous expression system in *L. lactis* UKLc10. However, activity was only seen when trypsin was used in the solid media as there are trypsin cleavage sites at the end of the NsoA1-3 leader peptide (Hatziioanou, Gherghisan-Filip *et al.* 2017). Although trypsin has the ability to act a protease and remove the leader peptide it may also have an inducing function as observed by Gomez *et al.*, in ruminococcin A produced by *R. gnavus* (Gomez, Ladire *et al.* 2002).

An additional study investigating the structure of nisin O demonstrated that fully dehydrated peptides preNsoA1, A2 and A3 were present, and also gave evidence of NsoA4 peptide production (Gherghisan-Filip, Saalbach *et al.* 2018). Furthermore, the authors observed that there was incomplete dehydration of the NsoA1-3 core peptide occurring, but analysis of ring formation showed that all five lanthionine rings were being created. However, experiments analysing the N- and C-termini identified that lanthionine rings A, B and C located at the N-terminus were not formed in the majority of the preNsoA1-3 core peptides. On the other hand, lanthionine rings C and D located at the C-terminus had a formation rate of 22% (Gherghisan-Filip, Saalbach *et al.* 2018). The authors determined that there was reduced efficiency of mature nisin O production due to incomplete dehydration and ring formation, however, the

nisin O molecules that were successfully modified and exported were able to confer an antimicrobial effect (Gherghisan-Filip, Saalbach *et al.* 2018).

In a recent study by Kim *et al* (2019), the authors identified a novel bacteriocin cluster within the genome of *Blautia producta* SCSK (Kim, Becattini *et al.* 2019). This lantibiotic was shown to reduce the growth of vancomycin resistant *Enterococcus faecium* (VRE) yet had minimal bactericidal effects on gut commensal bacteria in murine *in vivo* models. The germ-free mice were given faecal transplants which contained high concentrations of lantibiotic genes, which prevented establishment and subsequent infection from VRE, whereas mice given faecal samples with lower concentrations of this lantibiotic producing strain had a greater risk of VRE colonisation (Kim, Becattini *et al.* 2019). Not only was the cluster found within another *Blautia* species but the cluster to which the lantibiotic belongs is very similar to the biosynthetic gene cluster of nisin O, albeit with slight differences. The *B. producta* SCSK cluster contains five structural genes rather than the four of the nisin O cluster and contains a protease at the start of the cluster (Kim, Becattini *et al.* 2019). This demonstrates that a fully operational cluster with high similarities to the nisin O cluster is present in nature and has the capability to modulate the gut microbiome.

#### 1.11 Human Gut Microbiota

The human gastrointestinal tract is an environment within the host body where dietary, microbial and host factors are able to interact with each other (Thursby and Juge 2017, Heintz-Buschart and Wilmes 2018). The microbial community that resides within the gut differs between hosts and includes bacterial, viral, eukaryotic and archaeal species, which are known collectively as the gut microbiota (Backhed, Ley *et al.* 2005, Passos and Moraes-Filho 2017).

Initial colonisation occurs during birth and alters over time as the diet and environment of the host changes (De Filippo, Cavalieri *et al.* 2010, Koenig, Spor *et al.* 2011, Backhed, Roswall *et al.* 2015, Rodriguez, Murphy *et al.* 2015, Hojsak, Benninga *et al.* 2022). Studies have shown that *Bacteroidetes, Firmicutes, Proteobacteria* and *Actinobacteria* are the most abundant bacterial phyla in the gut microbiota (Shapira 2016). The gut microbiota is responsible for a

number of functions important to human health including metabolism, growth and development and resistance to infection (Karczewski, Troost *et al.* 2010, LeBlanc, Milani *et al.* 2013, Louis and Flint 2017, Thursby and Juge 2017, Robertson, Manges *et al.* 2019). The gut microbiota have also been shown to influence brain function through production of lipoproteins and lipopolysaccharides which in turn alter autoimmune function, leading to the release of cytokines which can cross the blood brain barrier and hence alter behaviour and mood (Sampson and Mazmanian 2015).

However, if dysbiosis of the gut microbiota occurs there are a number of potential impacts on the host's health. These alterations to the composition of the microbiota have been associated with an increased risk of gastrointestinal diseases such as irritable bowel syndrome and inflammatory bowel disease (Vich Vila, Imhann *et al.* 2018, Glassner, Abraham *et al.* 2020, Staudacher, Scholz *et al.* 2021). Furthermore, the pathophysiology of obesity has been shown to be impacted by the gut microbiota and the microbiota should be considered an important factor in addition to diet, lifestyle and host genomics (Turnbaugh, Ley *et al.* 2006). Conditions such as cardiovascular disease, host vs graft disease, oncological disease and neural diseases such as mood disorders and Alzheimer's disease have also been associated with changes to the microbiota composition (Kostic, Gevers *et al.* 2012, Kelly, Borre *et al.* 2016, Tang, Kitai *et al.* 2017, Fredricks 2019, Qian, Xie *et al.* 2022). Therefore, the gut microbiota is a major factor in human health and disease and the modulation of this microbial composition is fundamental to decrease the risk of dysbiosis and potential negative health effects.

Recent studies have focused on how bacteriocins can perform microbiome-shaping roles (Garcia-Gutierrez, Mayer *et al.* 2019). The production of these antimicrobial peptides have been suggested to aid in facilitating community invasion through clearing niches, preventing invasion from colonising bacteria and promoting community differentiation (Heilbronner, Krismer *et al.* 2021). It has been observed that the human pathogen *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium strain SL1344, which produces colicin Ib, had a competitive advantage over commensal *Escherichia coli* during an inflammation-inflicted bloom of

*Enterobacteriaceae* (Nedialkova, Denzler *et al.* 2014). Furthermore, Kim *et al*, (2019) showed that *B. producta* SCSK produced a lantibiotic which provided resistance against VRE. The effects of purified nisin A on *C. difficile* were assessed using a *ex vivo* model of the colon, which showed that *C. difficile* was not viable in the presence of 50-500  $\mu$ M nisin A (O'Reilly, O'Connor *et al.* 2022). Furthermore, the authors showed that there was a relative increase in the abundance of Gram-negative bacteria (O'Reilly, O'Connor *et al.* 2022). Therefore, not only can the gut microbiome be a source of novel antimicrobials but they can also influence the composition of the microbiota.

#### 1.12 Aims of the Thesis

With the world facing a crisis in the development of new antimicrobials it is important to identify antimicrobials that are active against clinically relevant pathogens. Nisin O has been shown to be active against *C. perfringens* and *C. difficile* therefore further understanding of how this antimicrobial is produced is important to understanding its role in the human gut environment and the potential for this bacteriocin to be produced for clinical use.

This thesis aimed to induce expression of nisin O from *B. obeum* A2-162 and assess under what conditions nisin O has increased expression. Since the original activity of nisin O being observed from *B. obeum* A2-162 there has been multiple attempts to observe antimicrobial activity that have been unsuccessful (Gherghisan-Filip 2016). We hypothesised that through co-culturing *B. obeum* A2-162 with bacteria found within the gut, expression of nisin O will be regained and antimicrobial activity will be observed. To test this, co-culturing assays in an *in vitro* fermentation model, competition assays and nisin A exposure assays were used to attempt induce nisin O production from *B. obeum* A2-162.

As *B. obeum* A2-162 is present in the human gut environment an investigation to study how widespread the biosynthetic gene cluster was in other bacteria was performed, as horizontal gene transfer would be possible in this environment. We hypothesised that there will be other *Blautia* species that contain the nisin O biosynthetic cluster. A pan-genome analysis was used on *Lachnospiraceae* genomes to observe how widespread the nisin O biosynthetic cluster is
found. This allowed us to see whether the cluster is identical in all cases or whether there were any differences between clusters within the family, as seen in the *B. obeum* A2-162 and *B. producta* SCSK lantibiotic clusters (Hatziioanou, Gherghisan-Filip *et al.* 2017, Kim, Becattini *et al.* 2019).

Furthermore, we aimed to investigate the regulation of the nisin O cluster, by looking at the interactions between the two TCSs and the previously identified predicted promoters of the cluster, as these interactions are currently unknown (Gherghisan-Filip 2016). These assays aimed to understand how the cluster is regulated and how this could be manipulated to produce nisin O at a greater level. The investigation's hypothesis was that the NsoR1K1 and NsoR2K2 regulatory systems would interact with at least one of the predicted promoters within the nisin O biosynthetic gene cluster. This will provide information on which of the regulatory systems can increase the expression of nisin O.

The nisin O biosynthetic gene cluster does not contain a protease required for leader peptide cleavage. Therefore, identification of potential candidate proteases within the *B. obeum* A2-162 genome was important to understand how this antimicrobial molecule can become active in the gut environment. In this set of experiments, we hypothesised that *B. obeum* A2-162 contains a protease within its genome capable of cleaving the leader peptide of nisin O. Proteases identified through genome searches were cloned into expression plasmids and their capability to cleave the leader peptide of nisin O was assessed through nisin O cleavage assays and co-expression in the *L. lactis* heterologous expression system.

Additionally, we aimed to assess the effect the effect nisin O has on the composition of the gut microbiota using a human gut model system. We used both *B. obeum* A2-162 and the *L. lactis* heterologous expression system in a MicroMatrix *in vitro* gut model system. We hypothesised that nisin O producing strains will decrease the number of Gram-positive bacteria in the sample.

# Chapter 2.

# **General Materials and Methods**

#### **Culture Media**

All chemicals were purchased from Sigma unless stated otherwise.

M17 media (Oxoid), Brain Heart Infusion (BHI) (Oxoid), de Man-Rogosa-Sharpe (MRS) (Oxoid) were all made from powder as per manufacturer's instructions. For GM17, glucose was added at 5 g/l to M17 prior to autoclaving. BHI plus complement was made by the addition of 50 mg/l vitamin K, 1 mg/l resazurin, 5 mg/l hemin and 0.5 g/l L-cysteine to BHI media before autoclaving. Cooked Double Meat Broth (Robertson's) was purchased from Southern Group Laboratory, UK. Postgate C media was made as per Zdanowski et al., (2017) (NaC<sub>3</sub>H<sub>5</sub>O<sub>3</sub> 6 g/l, Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> 4.5 g/l, NH<sub>4</sub>Cl 1 g/l, BD yeast extract 1 g/l, K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub> 0.5 g/l, HOC(COONa)(CH2COONa)2.2H2O 0.3 g/l, MgSO4.7H2O 0.06 g/l, FeSO4.7H2O 1 ml/l (0.4 g in 100 ml, filter sterilised), CaCl<sub>2</sub>.2H<sub>2</sub>O 0.04 g/l, cysteine.HCl 0.5 g/l, 0.02% resazurin 4 ml/l). A mixed media consisting of BHI plus complement, Postgate C and MRS (BPM) was created for the growth of humanisation bacterial strains (Becker, Kunath et al. 2011). This media was formed in a 1:1:1 ratio of these pre-made media under sterile conditions. Luria (L) Broth (BD tryptone 10 g/l, BD yeast extract 5 g/l, NaCl 5 g/l, D-glucose 1 g/l). For MicroMatrix assays Colon Model Media and Complex Intestinal Media were tested. Colon Model Media was made as per Parmanand et al (2019) (Peptone water 2 g/l, yeast extract 2 g/l, NaCl 0.1 g/l, K2HPO4 0.04 g/l, KH2PO4 0.04 g/l, MgSO4.7H2O 0.01 g/l, CaCl2.2H2O 0.01 g/l, NaHCO3 2 g/l, L-cysteine.HCl 0.5 g/l, bile salts 0.5 g/l, tween-80 2 ml/l, hemin 0.02 g/l, vitamin K 10  $\mu$ l/l, glucose 10 g/l, pH 7.0) and Complex Intestinal Media was made as per Krause *et al* (2020) (Arabinogalactan (Larch Wood) 2 g/l, bile salts 0.5 g/l, CaCl<sub>2</sub>.2H<sub>2</sub>O 0.01 g/l, casein peptone (pancreatic) 4.3 g/l, K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub> 0.04 g/l, hemin 0.005 g/l, inulin 1 g/l, L-cysteine.HCl 0.5 g/l, MgSO<sub>4</sub>.7H<sub>2</sub>O 0.02 g/l, vitamin K3 0.001 g/l, mucin (porcine gastric type II) 4 g/l, pectin 2 g/l, KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> 0.04 g/l, NaCl 0.72 g/l, NaHCO<sub>3</sub> 2 g/l, starch 5 g/l, xylo-oligosaccharide 2 g/l, yeast extract 2 g/l, pH 6.7). Both media were autoclaved before use. Antibiotics and additional chemicals were supplemented after autoclaving under sterile conditions.

Solid media was made by the addition of 1.5% (w/v) agar to the media prior to autoclaving. When making GM17 plates the stock of 95 ml M17 agar was melted in a 95°C water bath followed by addition of 5 ml sterile 10% glucose, 0.2 g NaHCO<sub>3</sub> and relevant antibiotics. For soft agar 0.7% (w/v) agar was added prior to autoclaving. When antibiotics and other chemicals were added to the media, the solid media was melted using a 95°C water bath and allowed to cool to ~40°C before antibiotics were added.

All manipulations requiring anaerobic conditions were carried out in an anaerobic cabinet (Don Whitley, UK) with materials pre-reduced overnight in the cabinet in an atmosphere of 5% CO<sub>2</sub>, 10%  $H_2$  in  $N_2$  at 37°C.

Trypsin (Sigma) stocks (10 mg/ml) were made by resuspending the trypsin in 50 mM acetic acid. Aliquots were stored at -20°C.

Nisin A (Merck) stocks were made at a concentration of 1 mg/ml in 50% diluted HCl pH 3.0 and filter sterilised using a 0.25  $\mu$ m filter (Sartorius). Aliquots of 100  $\mu$ g/ml were made by diluting in 1 M phosphate buffer pH 6.0. Aliquots were stored at -20°C

| Chemical              | Preparation   | <b>Final Concentration</b>   | Final Concentration |
|-----------------------|---|------------------------------|---------------------|
|                       |   | for <i>L. lactis</i> Strains | for <i>E. coli</i>  |
| Erythromycin (Ery)    | Dissolved in ethanol  | 5 µg/ml                      | 100 µg/ml           |
| Chloramphenicol (Chl) | Dissolved in ethanol  | 5 µg/ml                      | 15 µg/ml            |
| Ampicillin (Amp)      | Dissolved in ultra<br>pure (UP)H <sub>2</sub> O; Filter<br>sterilised | N/A                          | 100 μg/ml           |
| Nisin A               | Dissolved in 50%<br>HCl pH 3  | 10 ng/ml (Inducing)          | N/A                 |
| Trypsin               | Dissolved in 50 mM acetic acid  | 10 µg/ml (Cleaving)          | N/A                 |

**Table 2.1.** Concentrations and preparation method of chemicals used.

## Organisms used and growth conditions

| Strains                            | Relevant<br>Characterist<br>ics  | Storage                                 | Growth Conditions                                    | Reference/Source   |
|------------------------------------|--|---|--|--|
| <i>B. obeum</i> A2-162             | Strain<br>isolated from<br>human GI<br>tract <sup>*</sup>  | 40%<br>glycerol                         | Reduced BHI with<br>complements; 37°C;<br>Anaerobic. | (Hatziioanou,<br>Gherghisan-Filip <i>et</i><br><i>al</i> . 2017) |
| L. lactis MG1614                   | L. lactis<br>subsp lactis<br>712<br>containing no<br>plasmids or<br>prophage                                       | 40%<br>glycerol                         | GM17; 30°C; Static.                                  | (Gasson 1984)  |
| L. lactis FI5876                   | L. lactis<br>MG1614<br>containing<br>nisin A<br>lantibiotic<br>cluster   | 40%<br>glycerol                         | GM17; 30°C; Static.                                  | (Dodd, Horn <i>et al.</i><br>1990)                               |
| L. lactis MG1614<br>pIL253         | MG1614<br>containing<br>pIl253 (Ery<br>resistance)   | 40%<br>glycerol                         | GM17; 30°C; Static; 5<br>µg/ml erythromycin          | This Study   |
| <i>L. lactis</i> FI5876<br>pIL253  | FI5876<br>containing<br>pI1253(Ery<br>resistance)  | 40%<br>glycerol                         | GM17; 30°C; Static; 5<br>µg/ml erythromycin          | This Study   |
| L. lactis UKLc10<br>pnso           | nisRK<br>integrated on<br>the<br>chromosome;<br>Nisin O<br>lantibiotic<br>cluster in<br>pIL253 (Ery<br>resistance) | 40%<br>glycerol                         | GM17; 30°C; Static; 5<br>µg/ml erythromycin          | (Hatziioanou,<br>Gherghisan-Filip <i>et</i><br><i>al</i> . 2017) |
| <i>L. lactis</i> UKLc10 pIL253     | nisRK<br>integrated on<br>the<br>chromosome;<br>containing<br>pIL253 (Ery<br>resistance)                           | 40%<br>glycerol                         | GM17; 30°C; Static; 5<br>µg/ml erythromycin          | (Gherghisan-Filip,<br>Saalbach <i>et al</i> .<br>2018)           |
| <i>C. perfringens</i><br>NCTC 3110 | Indicator<br>Strain  | Robertson'<br>s Cooked<br>Meat<br>Media | Reduced BHI with<br>complements; 37°C;<br>Anaerobic. | National Collection<br>of Type Cultures                          |

Table 2.2. Bacterial Strains and Growth Conditions.

| Escherichia coli<br>MC1022                    | Shuttle<br>vector  | 40%<br>glycerol | L broth; 37°C; Shaking.  | (Casadaban and<br>Cohen 1980)  |
|---|--|-----------------|--|--|
| <i>E. coli</i> BL21<br>(DE3)                  | Chemically<br>competent<br>protein<br>expression<br>strain | 40%<br>glycerol | L broth; 37°C; Shaking.  | Invitrogen   |
| Anaerostipes<br>caccae DSM<br>14662           | Potential<br>competitor<br>and<br>humanisation<br>strain   | 40%<br>glycerol | Reduced BHI with<br>complements/MRS<br>mixed media (1:1);<br>37°C; Anaerobic | Deutsche<br>Sammlung von<br>Mikroorganismen<br>und Zellkulturen<br>GmbH (DSMZ) |
| Bacteroides<br>thetaiotaomicron<br>DSM 2079   | Potential<br>competitor<br>and<br>humanisation<br>strain   | 40%<br>glycerol | Reduced BHI with<br>complements/MRS<br>mixed media (1:1);<br>37°C; Anaerobic | DSMZ   |
| Blautia producta<br>DSM 2950                  | Potential<br>competitor<br>and<br>humanisation<br>strain   | 40%<br>glycerol | Reduced BHI with<br>complements/MRS<br>mixed media (1:1);<br>37°C; Anaerobic | DSMZ   |
| Lactobacillus<br>plantarum DSM<br>20174       | Potential<br>competitor<br>and<br>humanisation<br>strain   | 40%<br>glycerol | Reduced BHI with<br>complements/MRS<br>mixed media (1:1);<br>37°C; Anaerobic | DSMZ   |
| <i>E. coli</i> K-12<br>MG1655                 | Potential<br>competitor<br>and<br>humanisation<br>strain   | 40%<br>glycerol | Reduced BHI with<br>complements/MRS<br>mixed media (1:1);<br>37°C; Anaerobic | DSMZ   |
| <i>Clostridium<br/>butyricum</i> DSM<br>10702 | Potential<br>competitor<br>and<br>humanisation<br>strain   | 40%<br>glycerol | Reduced BHI with<br>complements/MRS<br>mixed media (1:1);<br>37°C; Anaerobic | DSMZ   |
| Clostridium<br>ramosum DSM<br>1402            | Potential<br>competitor<br>and<br>humanisation<br>strain   | 40%<br>glycerol | Reduced BHI with<br>complements/MRS<br>mixed media (1:1);<br>37°C; Anaerobic | DSMZ   |
| Bifidobacterium<br>longum DSMZ<br>20219       | Potential<br>competitor<br>and<br>humanisation<br>strain   | 40%<br>glycerol | Reduced BHI with<br>complements/MRS<br>mixed media (1:1);<br>37°C; Anaerobic | DSMZ   |

\*Kindly provided by Prof Harry Flint and Dr Sylvia Duncan, Rowett Institute, University of Aberdeen.

 Table 2.3. Plasmids and relevant characteristics

| Plasmids                   | <b>Relevant Characteristics</b>                  | <b>Reference/Source</b> |
|----------------------------|--|-------------------------|
| pIL253                     | Lactococcus plasmid; Erythromycin                | (Simon and              |
|                            | resistance                                       | Chopin 1988)            |
| pnso                       | Nisin O lantibiotic cluster in pIL253            | (Hatziioanou,           |
|                            |  | Gherghisan-Filip        |
|                            |  | <i>et al.</i> 2017)     |
| pORI280                    | P32 promoter-containing plasmid                  | (Leenhouts, Kok         |
|                            | containing <i>lacZ</i> ; Erythromycin            | <i>et al.</i> 1991)     |
|                            | resistance                                       |                         |
| pUK200                     | <i>E. coli/Lactococcus</i> shuttle vector;       | (Wegmann, Klein         |
|                            | Chloramphenicol resistance                       | <i>et al.</i> 1999)     |
| pUK200_P32                 | Shuttle vector with P32 promoter                 | This Study              |
| pUK200_P32_P62             | Candidate protease <i>P62</i> insertion and      | This Study              |
|                            | <i>Lactococcus</i> promoter P32 in pUK200        |                         |
| pUK200_P32_P49             | Candidate protease P49 insertion and             | This Study              |
|                            | <i>Lactococcus</i> promoter P32 in pUK200        |                         |
| pUK200_P32_lanP            | LanP protease from B. producta                   | This Study              |
|                            | cluster insertion (Kim, Becattini <i>et al.</i>  |                         |
|                            | 2019) and <i>Lactococcus</i> promoter P32        |                         |
| 111/2000 D22 D1/0          | in pUK200  |                         |
| pUK200_P32_P140            | Candidate protease <i>P140</i> insertion         | This Study              |
|                            | with Lactococcus promoter P32 in                 |                         |
|                            | pUK200   | (Charabiaan Eilin       |
| puk200_k2k2                | nsor2K2 insertion in pUK200                      | (Gherghisan-Filip       |
| nUV200 D22 D1V1            | ngo P1K1 incortion with Lastoppagus              | 2010)<br>This Study     |
| puk200_F32_KIKI            | promoter P32 in pUK200                           | This Study              |
| pUK200 D32 D2K2            | promoter 1 52 in port200                         | This Study              |
| por200_132_7272            | promoter P32 in pLIK200                          | This Study              |
| pUK200 P32 nsoA4           | nsoA4 S24K insertion with                        | This Study              |
| S24K                       | Lactococcus promoter P32 in pUK200               | This Study              |
| pTG262                     | <i>E. coli/Lactococcus</i> shuttle vector:       | (Fernandez, Horn        |
| P10202                     | Chloramphenicol resistance                       | <i>et al.</i> 2009)     |
| pTG262 P32 nsoR1A          | Modified <i>nsoR1</i> insertion with             | M. Maver                |
| <b>I I I I I I I I I I</b> | Lactococcus promoter P32 in pTG262               |                         |
| pTG262_P32_nsoR1A          | Modified <i>nsoR1</i> and <i>nsoK1</i> insertion | M. Mayer                |
| K1                         | with Lactococcus promoter P32 in                 |                         |
|                            | pTG262   |                         |
| pPnsoA_pepI                | pepI with predicted promotor region              | (Gherghisan-Filip       |
|                            | PnsoA in pIL253                                  | 2016)                   |
| pPnsoR2K2_pepI             | pepI with predicted promotor region              | (Gherghisan-Filip       |
|                            | PnsoR2K2 in pIL253                               | 2016)                   |
| pPnsoBTC_pepI              | pepI with predicted promotor region              | (Gherghisan-Filip       |
|                            | PnsoBTC in pIL253                                | 2016)                   |
| pPnsoFEG_pepI              | <i>pepI</i> with predicted promotor region       | (Gherghisan-Filip       |
|                            | PnsoFEG in pIL253                                | 2016)                   |
| pET15b                     | Expression vector; Contains N-                   | Novogen                 |
|                            | terminal His-tag                                 |                         |
| pET15b_P66                 | Candidate protease <i>P66</i> insertion in       | This Study              |
|                            | pETI5b   |                         |
| pET15b_P570                | Candidate protease <i>P570</i> insertion in      | This Study              |
|                            | pETI5b   |                         |

| pET15b_P49  | Candidate protease <i>P49</i> insertion in pET15b  | This Study |
|-------------|--|------------|
| pET15b_P62  | Candidate protease <i>P62</i> insertion in pET15b  | This Study |
| pET15b_lanP | Candidate protease <i>lanP</i> insertion in pET15b | This Study |
| pET15b_P140 | Candidate protease <i>P140</i> insertion in pET15b | This Study |

### Table 2.4. Bacterial Transformants created and used in this work

| Strains  | <b>Relevant Characteristics</b>  | Storage         | Growth   |
|--|--|-----------------|--|
| L. lactis FI5876 pIL253                        | <i>L. lactis</i> MG1614 containing<br>nisin A lantibiotic cluster<br>containing pIL253 (Ery<br>resistance)   | 40%<br>glycerol | GM17; 30°C;<br>Static; 5<br>µg/ml Ery                    |
| <i>L. lactis</i> UKLc10 pnso<br>pUK200_P32     | <i>nisRK</i> integrated on the<br>chromosome; nisin O operon<br>in pIL253; containing<br>pUK200 with the P32<br>promoter (Ery and Chl<br>resistance)                                       | 40%<br>glycerol | GM17; 30°C;<br>Static; 5<br>µg/ml Ery and<br>5 µg/ml Chl |
| L. lactis UKLc10 pnso<br>pUK200_P32_P62        | <i>nisRK</i> integrated on the<br>chromosome; nisin O operon<br>in pIL253; containing<br>pUK200 with the P32<br>promoter and candidate<br>protease <i>P62</i> (Ery and Chl<br>resistance)  | 40%<br>glycerol | GM17; 30°C;<br>Static; 5<br>µg/ml Ery and<br>5 µg/ml Chl |
| <i>L. lactis</i> UKLc10 pnso<br>pUK200_P32_P49 | <i>nisRK</i> integrated on the<br>chromosome; nisin O operon<br>in pIL253; containing<br>pUK200 with the P32<br>promoter and candidate<br>protease <i>P49</i> (Ery and Chl<br>resistance)  | 40%<br>glycerol | GM17; 30°C;<br>Static; 5<br>µg/ml Ery and<br>5 µg/ml Chl |
| L. lactis UKLc10 pnso<br>pUK200_P32_P140       | <i>nisRK</i> integrated on the<br>chromosome; nisin O operon<br>in pIL253; containing<br>pUK200 with the P32<br>promoter and candidate<br>protease <i>P140</i> (Ery and Chl<br>resistance) | 40%<br>glycerol | GM17; 30°C;<br>Static; 5<br>µg/ml Ery and<br>5 µg/ml Chl |
| L. lactis UKLc10 pnso<br>pUK200_P32_lanP       | <i>nisRK</i> integrated on the<br>chromosome; nisin O operon<br>in pIL253; containing<br>pUK200 with the P32<br>promoter and candidate<br>protease <i>lanP</i> (Ery and Chl<br>resistance) | 40%<br>glycerol | GM17; 30°C;<br>Static; 5<br>µg/ml Ery and<br>5 µg/ml Chl |
| L. lactis UKLc10 pnso<br>pUK200 P32 nsoA4 S24K | <i>nisRK</i> integrated on the chromosome; nisin O operon  | 40%<br>glycerol | GM17; 30°C;<br>Static; 5                                 |

| <i>E. coli</i> MC1022<br>pUK200_P32_R1K1                     | in pIL253; containing<br>pUK200 with the P32<br>promoter and candidate<br>protease <i>P62</i> (Ery and Chl<br>resistance)<br>Shuttle cloning vector<br>containing <i>nsoR1K1</i><br>insertion with <i>Lactococcus</i><br>promoter P32 in pUK200 | 40%<br>glycerol | μg/ml Ery and<br>5 μg/ml Chl<br>L broth; 37°C;<br>Shaking; 15<br>μg/ml Chl |
|--|---|-----------------|--|
| <i>E. coli</i> MC1022<br>pUK200_P32_R2K2                     | (Chl resistance)<br>Shuttle cloning vector<br>containing <i>nsoR2K2</i><br>insertion with <i>Lactococcus</i><br>promoter P32 in pUK200<br>(Chl resistance)  | 40%<br>glycerol | L broth; 37°C;<br>Shaking; 15<br>µg/ml Chl                                 |
| L. lactis MG1614<br>pPclosA_pepI<br>pUK200_P32_R1K1          | <i>pepI</i> with predicted promotor<br>region <i>PnsoA</i> in pIL253 and<br><i>nsoR1K1</i> insertion with<br><i>Lactococcus</i> promoter P32 in<br>pUK200 with no <i>nisRK</i><br>system in the chromosome<br>(Ery and Chl resistance)          | 40%<br>glycerol | GM17; 30°C;<br>Static; 5<br>µg/ml Ery and<br>5 µg/ml Chl                   |
| L. lactis MG1614<br>pPclosA_pepI<br>pUK200_P32_R2K2          | <i>pepI</i> with predicted promotor<br>region <i>PnsoA</i> in pIL253 and<br><i>nsoR2K2</i> insertion with<br><i>Lactococcus</i> promoter P32 in<br>pUK200 with no <i>nisRK</i><br>system in the chromosome<br>(Ery and Chl resistance)          | 40%<br>glycerol | GM17; 30°C;<br>Static; 5<br>µg/ml Ery and<br>5 µg/ml Chl                   |
| <i>L. lactis</i> MG1614<br>pPclosA_pepI pUK200_P32           | <i>pepI</i> with predicted promotor<br>region <i>PnsoA</i> in pIL253 and<br><i>Lactococcus</i> promoter P32 in<br>pUK200 with no <i>nisRK</i><br>system in the chromosome<br>(Ery and Chl resistance)   | 40%<br>glycerol | GM17; 30°C;<br>Static; 5<br>µg/ml Ery and<br>5 µg/ml Chl                   |
| <i>L. lactis</i> MG1614<br>pPclosFEG_pepI<br>pUK200_P32_R1K1 | <i>pepI</i> with predicted promotor<br>region <i>PnsoFEG</i> in pIL253<br>and <i>nsoR1K1</i> insertion with<br><i>Lactococcus</i> promoter P32 in<br>pUK200 with no <i>nisRK</i><br>system in the chromosome<br>(Ery and Chl resistance)        | 40%<br>glycerol | GM17; 30°C;<br>Static; 5<br>µg/ml Ery and<br>5 µg/ml Chl                   |
| L. lactis MG1614<br>pPclosFEG_pepI<br>pUK200_P32_R2K2        | <i>pepI</i> with predicted promotor<br>region <i>PnsoFEG</i> in pIL253<br>and <i>nsoR2K2</i> insertion with<br><i>Lactococcus</i> promoter P32 in<br>pUK200 with no <i>nisRK</i><br>system in the chromosome<br>(Ery and Chl resistance)        | 40%<br>glycerol | GM17; 30°C;<br>Static; 5<br>µg/ml Ery and<br>5 µg/ml Chl                   |
| L. lactis MG1614<br>pPclosFEG_pepI<br>pUK200_P32             | <i>pepI</i> with predicted promotor<br>region <i>PnsoFEG</i> in pIL253<br>and <i>Lactococcus</i> promoter<br>P32 in pUK200 with no<br><i>nisRK</i> system in the  | 40%<br>glycerol | GM17; 30°C;<br>Static; 5<br>µg/ml Ery and<br>5 µg/ml Chl                   |

|   | chromosome (Ery and Chl resistance)  |                 |  |
|---|--|-----------------|--|
| <i>L. lactis</i> MG1614<br>pPclosBTC_pepI<br>pUK200_P32_R1K1  | <i>pepI</i> with predicted promotor<br>region <i>PnsoBTC</i> in pIL253<br>and <i>nsoR1K1</i> insertion with<br><i>Lactococcus</i> promoter P32 in<br>pUK200 with no <i>nisRK</i><br>system in the chromosome<br>(Ery and Chl resistance) | 40%<br>glycerol | GM17; 30°C;<br>Static; 5<br>µg/ml Ery and<br>5 µl/ml Chl |
| <i>L. lactis</i> MG1614<br>pPclosBTC_pepI<br>pUK200_P32_R2K2  | <i>pepI</i> with predicted promotor<br>region <i>PnsoBTC</i> in pIL253<br>and <i>nsoR2K2</i> insertion with<br><i>Lactococcus</i> promoter P32 in<br>pUK200 with no <i>nisRK</i><br>system in the chromosome<br>(Ery and Chl resistance) | 40%<br>glycerol | GM17; 30°C;<br>Static; 5<br>μg/ml Ery and<br>5 μg/ml Chl |
| <i>L. lactis</i> MG1614<br>pPclosBTC_pepI<br>pUK200_P32       | <i>pepI</i> with predicted promotor<br>region <i>PnsoBTC</i> in pIL253<br>and <i>Lactococcus</i> promoter<br>P32 in pUK200 with no<br><i>nisRK</i> system in the<br>chromosome (Ery and Chl<br>resistance)                               | 40%<br>glycerol | GM17; 30°C;<br>Static; 5<br>µg/ml Ery and<br>5 µg/ml Chl |
| <i>L. lactis</i> MG1614<br>pPclosR2K2_pepI<br>pUK200_P32_R1K1 | <i>pepI</i> with predicted promotor<br>region PnsoR2K2 in pIL253<br>and nsoR1K1 insertion with<br>Lactococcus promoter P32 in<br>pUK200 with no nisRK<br>system in the chromosome<br>(Ery and Chl resistance)                            | 40%<br>glycerol | GM17; 30°C;<br>Static; 5<br>µg/ml Ery and<br>5 µg/ml Chl |
| L. lactis MG1614<br>pPclosR2K2_pepI<br>pUK200_P32_R2K2        | <i>pepI</i> with predicted promotor<br>region PnsoR2K2 in pIL253<br>and nsoR2K2 insertion with<br>Lactococcus promoter P32 in<br>pUK200 with no nisRK<br>system in the chromosome<br>(Ery and Chl resistance)                            | 40%<br>glycerol | GM17; 30°C;<br>Static; 5<br>µg/ml Ery and<br>5 µg/ml Chl |
| L. lactis MG1614<br>pPclosR2K2_pepI<br>pUK200_P32             | <i>pepI</i> with predicted promotor<br>region PnsoR2K2 in pIL253<br>and Lactococcus promoter<br>P32 in pUK200 with no<br>nisRK system in the<br>chromosome (Ery and Chl<br>resistance)   | 40%<br>glycerol | GM17; 30°C;<br>Static; 5<br>µg/ml Ery and<br>5 µg/ml Chl |
| E. coli BL21 (DE3) pET15b                                     | Expression strain containing<br>empty expression vector  | 40%<br>glycerol | L broth; 37°C;<br>Shaking; 100<br>µg/ml Amp              |
| <i>E. coli</i> BL21 (DE3)<br>pET15b_ <i>P66</i>               | Expression strain containing<br>expression vector with<br>candidate protease <i>P66</i>  | 40%<br>glycerol | L broth; 37°C;<br>Shaking; 100<br>µg/ml Amp              |
| pET15b_ <i>P570</i>   | expression vector with candidate protease <i>P570</i>  | glycerol        | Shaking; 100<br>μg/ml Amp                                |

| E. coli BL21 (DE3)        | Expression strain containing | 40%      | L broth; 37°C; |
|---------------------------|------------------------------|----------|----------------|
| pET15b_P49                | expression vector with       | glycerol | Shaking; 100   |
|                           | candidate protease P49       |          | µg/ml Amp      |
| <i>E. coli</i> BL21 (DE3) | Expression strain containing | 40%      | L broth; 37°C; |
| pET15b_P62                | expression vector with       | glycerol | Shaking; 100   |
|                           | candidate protease P62       |          | µg/ml Amp      |
| <i>E. coli</i> BL21 (DE3) | Expression strain containing | 40%      | L broth; 37°C; |
| pET15b_lanP               | expression vector with       | glycerol | Shaking; 100   |
|                           | candidate protease lanP      |          | µg/ml Amp      |
| <i>E. coli</i> BL21 (DE3) | Expression strain containing | 40%      | L broth; 37°C; |
| pET15b_P140               | expression vector with       | glycerol | Shaking; 100   |
|                           | candidate protease P140      |          | µg/ml Amp      |

 Table 2.5. Primers used in this work

| Name                             | Sequence (5' -> 3')              |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| nsoC3_F (Hatziioanou 2011)       | TGCGATATTTTAGCTATGACC            |
| nsoF5_R (Hatziioanou 2011)       | AATATCAGTTGACATTCACGG            |
| pIL253_F (Hatziioanou 2011)      | CGACAATGATTGTATTTGC              |
| pIL253_R (Hatziioanou 2011)      | TAGTTCTTGTGGTTACGTGG             |
| Universal (Hatziioanou 2011)     | GTTTTCCCAGTCACGACGTTGT           |
| Reverse (Hatziioanou 2011)       | AGCGGATAACAATTTCACACAGGA         |
| p54 (Hatziioanou 2011)           | CGGCTCTGATTAAATTCTGAAG           |
| p181 (Hatziioanou 2011)          | GCGAAGATAACAGTGACTCTA            |
| Amp_F (Baker, Smith et al. 2003) | GAGAGTTTGATYCTGGCTCAG            |
| Amp_R (Baker, Smith et al. 2003) | AAGGAGGTGATCCARCCGCA             |
| P66NDE_F                         | GTATACATATGAATCAGCCATTTTATACTGG  |
| P66XHO_R                         | CTGACTCGAGGATTTCCGTCAATACTTTC    |
| P66CAC_F                         | AAAAAAATAGCACATGATGACAATGGAC     |
| P66CAC_R                         | CATTGTCATCATGTGCTATTTTTTTTTTTTTT |
| P570NDE_F                        | CACCATATGAACGACGAAGAAAAATGG      |
| P570XHO_R                        | GTAAACTCGAGTTTTTATAAATTCAGTCAGC  |
| T7P2 (Cebeci 2017)               | TGAGCGGATAACAATTCCC              |
| T7T(Cebeci 2017)                 | GCTAGTTATTGCTCAGCGG              |
| P32_F                            | TCGAGATCTACCAATTCGGTCCT          |
| P32_R                            | CTTCCATGGCAAAATTCCTCCGAA         |
| pUK_F (pUK200)                   | TGAGATAATGCCGACTGTACTT           |
| P49_Splice32_F                   | GAATTTTGCCATGCGAATTGCGGAA        |
| P49_PstI_R                       | CCCTGCAGTGCCATAATTTTCACT         |
| pUK_BglII_F                      | CAAGATCTACCAATTCGGTCCTCGG        |
| pUK_Splice49_R                   | CCGCAATTCGCATGGCAAAATTCCTC       |
| P62_Splice32_F                   | GGAATTTTGCCATGAAAGCACTTCGT       |
| P62_Splice32Ext_F                | GAATTTTGCCATGAAAGCACTTCGTAATTT   |
| P62_PstI_R                       | CCCTGCAGATACTCTCACCTTATCC        |
| P62_BglIITGA_F                   | TATGTGCGGGTGATCTGCTGCTTTATTACA   |
| P62_BglIITGA_R                   | TAATAAAGCATCAGATCACCCGCACATACC   |

| pUK_Splice62_R       | GAAGTGCTTTCATGGCAAAATTCCTC           |
|----------------------|--------------------------------------|
| P32_PstI_F           | GCCTGCAGGTCGACCA                     |
| P32_SpliceR2K2_R     | ACAAGTATATTTTCCATTTCAAAATTCCTCCG     |
| R2K2_SpliceP32_F     | AGGAATTTTGAAATGGAAAATATACTTGTGATT    |
| P32_SpliceR1K1_R     | ACTAACATCCACCATTTCAAAATTCCTCCG       |
| R1K1_SpliceP32_F     | AGGAATTTTGAAATGGTGGATGTTAGTAAAC      |
| P32_PstF2            | GTAAAACGACGGCCAGTGC                  |
| P32_R1_R             | CGTGTCCTCTATTCTGTATCAGCAA            |
| P32_K1 _F            | CGTTGACGATCATAGGTGGT                 |
| P32_R2_R             | TGTGCATTGGCATCTAAGTCATA              |
| P32_K2_F             | GGGCAAGATGGAGGAATACATT               |
| P32_StuI_F (pUK200)  | TCAGATAGGCCTAATGACTGG                |
| P32_NcoI_R (pUK200)  | TACCCATGGCAAAATTCCT                  |
| P32_R (pUK200)       | ATGGCAAAATTCCTCCGAA                  |
| LanP_SpliceP32_F     | GAGGAATTTTGAAATGCGCAAAAAGACCTAC      |
| P32_BglII_F (pUK200) | CCAAGATCTACCAATTCGGTC                |
| LanP_NcoI_R          | TAGACGAGTCCATGGGCT                   |
| P32_SpliceLanP_R(2)  | TCTTTTTGCGCATGGCAAAATTCCT            |
| P32_BglII_F (pTG262) | GCAGATCTACCAATTCGGTCCT               |
| P140_NcoI_F          | TGACCATGGATATAAAAAATTGGAAAC          |
| P140_BamHI_R         | GCAGGATCCGCCTTATTTAGAAG              |
| P140_Ext_F           | TTCCTGAATCACATTCTGTAT                |
| P140_Ext_R           | TTAGCATGGAGAGTATAGTATAAT             |
| P32_SpliceP140_R     | ATTTTTTATATCCATGGCAAAATTCCTC         |
| P140_SpliceP32_F     | AGGAATTTTGCCATGGATATAAAAAATTGGA      |
| nsoA4_NdeI_F         | AGCCATATGGCAAAATTTGATGATT            |
| nsoA4_splice_R       | GTGTTGACTTGTAATTTTCGCAGGTATAAT       |
| nsoA4_splice_F       | GATATTATACCTGCGAAAATTACAAGTCAA       |
| nsoA4_BamHI_R        | CCATTATCGGATCCTTTATGTTTTTACTAACTAAT  |
| nsoA4_NcoI_F         | GCGCCATGGCAAAATTTGATGATTTTG          |
| pclosFEG_R           | GAAATTATCTCATGGATAAAATAACTC          |
| pclosFEG_F           | GTGACTAACACAATTAGTGG                 |
| pepI_R               | TGCTCTCTGACATTTTCCA                  |
| nisR_F (UKLc10)      | AGGAGTAAGTTTGCACCATA                 |
| nisK_R (UKLc10)      | GAACGAAGCGTATGATTTGTA                |
| nsoA1_F (B. obeum)   | GGGAAAATTTGATGATTTCGATTT             |
| nsoA1_R (B. obeum)   | TTTACCTGTGATATGGCATC                 |
| P49_NdeI_F           | TTTCATATGCGAATTGCGGAAAAAGC           |
| P62_NdeI_F           | TTTCATATGAAAGCACTTCGTAATTTTCTGATC    |
| P62_SpliceR_NdeKO    | CTCTGAATCATAAGCCGGTGTCGTAT           |
| P62_SpliceF_NdeKO    | ATACGACACCGGCTTATGATTCAGAG           |
| pUK200_XhoI_R        | TGCACTCGAGCTTAAAGACATTACAC           |
| lanP_NdeI_F          | TTTCATATGCGCAAAAAGACCTACCT           |
| lanP_XhoI_R          | CAGACTCGAGGTACAGGACGAC               |
| P140_NdeI_F          | TTTCATATGGATATAAAAAATTGGAAAACAAAGTCC |

| P140_XhoI_R          | ATGACTCGAGTACCACTGTACGTTCA         |
|----------------------|------------------------------------|
| nsoR2_F              | CTATGTTGACAATACTAATATTGG           |
| nsoR2_R              | CAAATTTCTTCTTAATGCAACT             |
| P32_BglII_F (pUK200) | CCAAGATCTACCAATTCGGTC              |
| P32_SpliceNso1_14_R  | CTTCTTTTGTTTTCATGGCAAAATTCCTC      |
| Nso1_14_spliceP32_F  | GAGGAATTTTGCCATGAAAACAAAAGAAG      |
| Nso1_14_BamHI_R      | GGGGGATCCGTAAATTTGGTC              |
| nsoF_F               | ATGGAAACATTACTGAGAACAG             |
| nsoF_R               | TTAAATAATCTCCCTTCTATTTCTTTT        |
| nsoE_R               | CCTTCCACCTCTCTGAATTTT              |
| nsoG_R               | ACTATTCTTCATAATTTTTTCTTCCTTC       |
| nsoI_R               | CTAATCTTTTAATATAGCATATACACATTTAT   |
| nsoR1_R              | TTACTCCCATTTATATCCTATCCC           |
| nsoK1_R              | CTATATAATAATATCTACTTCTGCTCCTC      |
| nsoA1_F              | ATGGGAAAATTTGATGATTTCGA            |
| nsoA1/2/3_R          | TTATTTACCTGTGATATGGCATC            |
| nsoA4_R              | TTATTGACCCTTTAATTTACATGTG          |
| nsoR2_F              | ATGGAAAATATACTTGTGATTGACG          |
| nsoR2_R              | TTATTTTATATCCCATTTATAACCTATCCC     |
| nsoK2_R              | CTATGTGATCGGTAATTTTATGCTT          |
| nsoB_F               | ATGAAAAATTTATACTATAATACTCAAAAGTTTA |
| nsoB_R               | TTATTCATGATCTTCATTCCTCTTC          |
| nsoT_R               | CTACATGTCCTCTCTTTCATATG            |
| nsoC_R               | TTATGCCAATAAAAAAGCTTTCTT           |
| nsoR1_F              | ATTAAAATTCTAGTTATAGATGATGAAGAG     |
| nso1_14_F            | ATGAAAACAAAAGAAGAACTTCTAC          |
| nso1_14_R            | TTACATATCCGTGTCACTTTCT             |
| nsoB_R_Short         | ATTTGATCTCTTGAATTTAACGACG          |
| gyrB_F               | ATGGGCGGAGAGAATACA                 |
| gyrB_R               | AATATGTGTACCACCTTCCG               |

## Microbiology

#### 2.1 Antimicrobial Assays

#### 2.1.1 Bacterial Overlay Assay

Producer bacteria were grown overnight in liquid media.  $5 \,\mu$ l of overnight culture was spotted onto a dried agar plate and grown overnight. The indicator bacterium was also grown overnight. The following day the strains plated on solid media were killed by exposure to ultra-violet (UV) radiation for 15 mins using a UV Stratalinker 2400 (Stratagene). The plate was then overlaid with soft agar (0.7%) seeded with 2% (v/v) of the indicator bacterium. The indicator strain was grown overnight. The following day the plates were analysed visually for any zone of inhibition caused by the producer strain. When performing antimicrobial assays using nisin A and nisin O producing strains, 10 ng/ml nisin A (Sigma) was used to induce antimicrobial production in liquid media and was used in the base agar of the plates, unless stated otherwise (Hatziioanou, Gherghisan-Filip *et al.* 2017). For pre-NsoA1-3 leader peptide cleavage 10  $\mu$ g/ml trypsin (Sigma) was added to both the base agar and soft agar.

#### 2.1.2 Supernatant Drop Test

An overnight culture of producer bacteria was centrifuged for 10-15 min at 1,700 x g. The supernatant was removed and filtered through a 0.22  $\mu$ m filter (Millipore) to remove bacteria and stored at 4°C. The overnight culture of the indicator strain was diluted 1:100 in sterile PBS and a sterile cotton swab was used to make a lawn of the indicator strain on solid media and allowed to air dry. The plate was divided into sections, one per supernatant, allowing space for spreading. 10  $\mu$ l of supernatant was pipetted on to plate, air dried and incubated as appropriate for each indicator strain. The zone of inhibition was measured the following day.

#### 2.2 B. obeum Growth and Visualisation

#### 2.2.1 Electron Microscopy and Gram Staining of B. obeum

For both scanning electron microscopy (SEM) using the Nova NanoSEM (Field Electron and Ion Company) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) using the Talos F200C TEM (Fiel Electron and Ion Company), *B. obeum* was either grown over six days on BHI agar or overnight in liquid pre-reduced BHI with complements. A sample of the six-day culture was taken with a sterile toothpick and resuspended in 15  $\mu$ l PBS. 1 ml of the fresh liquid culture was removed, centrifuged for 1 min at 16,000 x g and the supernatant removed, followed by resuspension of the pellet in 15  $\mu$ l PBS. Two samples of fresh and 6 day old culture were prepared and sent to the Quadram Institute Biosciences Microscopy laboratory for processing and image capture which were performed by Kathryn Gotts and Dr Catherine Booth.

Gram staining (Remel) was carried out as described in Smith and Hussey (2005). Slides were analysed and images captured using light microscopy with a Nikon Eclipse 50i microscope

#### 2.2.2 B. obeum Growth Curve Assay

*B. obeum* was grown overnight in pre-reduced BHI with complements at 37°C in an anaerobic cabinet. 25 ml of pre-reduced BHI with complements was inoculated with 1% (v/v) of overnight culture. All sampling and plating occurred within the anaerobic cabinet to minimise exposure of *B. obeum* to oxygen. 1 ml of inoculum was used for optical density measurements at 600nm (OD<sub>600</sub>) using a 6715 UV/Vis spectrophotometer (Jenway). An additional 100 µl was diluted 1:10 in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) and used to make a serial dilution (10<sup>-1</sup> to 10<sup>-6</sup>). OD<sub>600</sub> was recorded undiluted every hour, until it had reached  $\approx$  0.4, after which it was diluted 1:10 in BHI with complements. At each timepoint, a 1:10 dilution series of the sample in PBS was prepared. Sampling ceased once the OD<sub>600</sub> measurements of three consecutive samples indicated that stationary phase had been reached. Three 10 µl samples of each 1:10 dilution (10<sup>-2</sup> to 10<sup>-5</sup> before OD<sub>600</sub>  $\approx$  0.4; 10<sup>-3</sup> to 10<sup>-6</sup> after OD<sub>600</sub>  $\approx$  0.4) were spotted on BHI agar plates and incubated overnight in the anaerobic cabinet at 37°C. Colonies were counted for each serial dilution at each time point where the no confluent growth was seen.

### **Molecular Microbiology**

#### 2.3 B. obeum Genomic DNA Extraction

Genomic DNA was extracted by the method of hexadecyltrimethyl ammonium bromide (CTAB) (Sigma) extraction, with the protocol adapted from Wilson (2001). An overnight culture of B. obeum was prepared in pre-reduced BHI with complements at 37°C in the anaerobic cabinet. Pre-reduced BHI with complements was inoculated with 2% (v/v) of overnight culture. Once  $OD_{600}$  had reached  $\approx 1$ , the culture was centrifuged at 2000 x g for 15 mins at 4°C. The supernatant was discarded and the pellet resuspended in 3 ml 10 mM Tris-HCl Buffer pH 8.5 (EB Buffer). The following steps were carried out in triplicate for each sample. The sample was centrifuged at 16,000 x g for 2 mins and the supernatant discarded. The pellet was washed in 400 µl colony wash buffer (100 mM NaCl, 10 mM Tris-HCl pH 7, 1 mM EDTA). The suspension was centrifuged again at 16,000 x g for 2 mins and the supernatant discarded. The pellet was resuspended in 400 µl EB buffer and 20 µl lysozyme (Sigma, 100 mg/ml), 45 µl proteinase K (Roche, 20 mg/ml) and 1 µl RNAse A (Sigma, 10 mg/ml) were added. The mixture was incubated at 37°C for 15 mins, followed by the addition of 70 µl 10% SDS and the sample mixed by inversion. The solution was incubated at 65°C for 10 mins. 100 µl 5M NaCl was added, immediately followed by addition of 100 µl CTAB (10% in 0.7 M NaCl). The solution was vortexed until white and was incubated for a further 10 mins at 65°C. 500 µl phenol/chloroform/isoamyl (25:24:1, Sigma) was added in a fume hood and vortexed for at least 10 s. The solution was centrifuged at 16,000 x g for 5 mins. The clear upper layer was removed and transferred into a new 1.7 ml Eppendorf tube. An equal volume of chloroform was added, the mixture vortexed for 10 sec and centrifuged at 16,000 x g for 5 mins. Again, the clear upper layer was carefully removed and transferred to a new 1.7 ml Eppendorf, followed by addition of 0.1x volume 3 M NaOAc pH 5.2 and 2.5x volumes of icecold 100% ethanol. The solution was inverted five times to mix and incubated for 30 mins on ice. The DNA was retrieved from the tube using a closed Pasteur pipette tip. The DNA was soaked in 70% ethanol for 2 mins. The DNA was retrieved and air-dried until no visible drops of ethanol were left. The DNA was then resuspended in 50  $\mu$ l EB buffer supplemented with 1  $\mu$ l RNAse A (10 mg/ml) and incubated at 37°C for 30 mins. Post incubation, 5  $\mu$ l 3 M NaOAc pH 5.2 and 100  $\mu$ l ice-cold ethanol were added and the sample inverted to mix. The Pasteur retrieval procedure was repeated, and the DNA was eluted in 50  $\mu$ l EB buffer. Concentration and quality of DNA was assessed using a Nanodrop spectrophotometer (ThermoFisher Scientific) and the samples were analysed by agarose gel electrophoresis on a 0.9% agarose gel (Melford) in 0.5x Tris-borate-EDTA (TBE) buffer (Sigma) to ensure that the DNA had not been fragmented during extraction. Samples were stored at 4°C for use in future experiments.

#### 2.4 Maxwell gDNA Extraction

Cell cultures were harvested by centrifugation (minimum 2 ml) at 1,700 x g for 15 mins at 4°C using a Centrifuge 5810R (Eppendorf). The pellet was resuspended in 300  $\mu$ l TE buffer provide by the Maxwell RSC Culture Cells DNA Kit (Promega) with the addition of 100  $\mu$ l lysozyme (25 mg/ml) (Sigma) and 3  $\mu$ l mutanolysin (10 U/ $\mu$ l, Sigma). This was incubated for 30 mins at 37°C. The Cartridges were prepared as per the manufacturer's instructions and placed in the Maxwell RSC 48 Instrument (Promega). Final elutions were quantified using Qubit Broad Range DNA Quantification Kit (Thermo Scientific) and Qubit 3.0 fluorometer (Life Technologies) as per the manufacturer's instructions and stored at -20°C.

#### **2.5 Plasmid Extraction**

An overnight culture of the bacterial strain containing the desired plasmid was grown in the appropriate conditions and with the correct antibiotic if the plasmid confers antibiotic resistance. Plasmid extraction and purification were performed using an EZNA kit (Omega Bio-Tek). For *E. coli*, 3 ml of an overnight culture was used. From Gram-positive bacteria an additional lysis step was added. The 10 ml overnight culture was centrifuged at 1,700 x g for 10 mins at 4°C, the pellet was resuspended in a lysis solution (250  $\mu$ l EZNA Solution 1 containing 5 mg/ml lysozyme (Sigma) and 3  $\mu$ l 10 U/ $\mu$ l mutanolysin (Sigma)). The suspension was then incubated for 15 mins at 37°C in a water bath. The protocol was performed as per

the EZNA kit manufacturer's instructions, following cell lysis. Once eluted in EB buffer, DNA was quantified using a Nanodrop spectrophotometer and analysed by agarose gel electrophoresis. 9  $\mu$ l of sample is mixed with 1  $\mu$ l 10x loading buffer (0.15% bromophenol blue, 25 ml glycerol, 5 ml 50x TBE). Electrophoresis used 90 V. Staining used ethidium bromide for 20 min followed by analysis by Alphaimager transilluminator or by using 1  $\mu$ l of Midori Green Xtra (Nippon Genetics) per 25 ml 0.9% agarose gel for products >200 bp and visualised by a blue light-emitting diode. For products with a length <200 bp Midori Green Direct (Nippon Genetics) was used where 1  $\mu$ l was directly added to each 10  $\mu$ l sample prior to loading.

#### 2.6 16S rRNA Gene Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR)

An overnight culture was grown using appropriate conditions and 150  $\mu$ l of culture was centrifuged at 16,000 x g for 1 min. The supernatant was removed, the pellet was resuspended in 150  $\mu$ l of colony wash buffer (100 mM NaCl, 10 mM Tris pH 7, 1 mM EDTA) and centrifuged again at 16,000 x g for 1 min. The supernatant was removed, and a final resuspension made in 15  $\mu$ l UPH<sub>2</sub>O. The sample was heated at 95°C for 5 mins. PCR was performed using a 50  $\mu$ l PCR mixture, see below. Oligonucleotide primers were provided by Sigma Genosys (Table 2.5).

| Master Mix     |                    |           |         |         |           |              |
|----------------|--------------------|-----------|---------|---------|-----------|--------------|
| 5x GoTaq       | UPH <sub>2</sub> O | dNTP      | Amp_F   | Amp_R   |           | GoTag G2     |
| Buffer (Clear) |                    | mix       | (20 µM) | (20 µM) | Bacterial | polymerase   |
| (Promega)      |                    | (Bioline) |         |         | Template  | (Durante ase |
|                |                    | (100      |         |         |           | (Promega)    |
|                |                    | mM)       |         |         |           |              |
| 10 µl          | 36.35 µl           | 0.4 µl    | 1 µl    | 1 µl    | 1 µl      | 0.25 µl      |

Conditions for 16S rRNA gene PCR were as follows:

| 95°C   | 95°C   | 55°C   | 72°C           | 72°C   |
|--------|--------|--------|----------------|--------|
| 2 mins | 30 sec | 30 sec | 1 min (1.5 kb) | 5 mins |
|        |        | γ      |                |        |
|        |        | X 25   |                |        |

10  $\mu$ l of PCR product was electrophoresed on an 0.9% agarose gel with 5  $\mu$ l 1x loading buffer. The samples were then purified using the SureClean Plus kit (Bioline) using 6  $\mu$ l pink coprecipitate. The manufacturer's protocol was followed with the following modifications; times for incubation, and first and second centrifugation were increased to 30 mins, 30 mins and 5 mins, respectively. Pellets were resuspended in 50  $\mu$ l EB buffer. Samples were left on ice for 15 mins before DNA quantification by nanodrop.

#### 2.7 Colony PCR for Detection of Transformants

Colonies from agar plates were picked with a sterile toothpick into 10  $\mu$ l UPH<sub>2</sub>O. PCR reaction mixes were assembled as described in Method 2.6, with the buffer being replaced by 5x GoTaq Green Buffer (Promega). PCR reaction conditions were adjusted according to the primer melting temperature (T<sub>m</sub>) and expected product sizes according to the manufacturer's protocol (Promega) using the maximum annealing temperature of 3°C below the lowest primer T<sub>m</sub> and a maximum extension time of 1 min/kb. Analysis of the plasmid DNA sequence using CloneManager V9 (Scientific and Educational software) enabled primers design; Universal and Reverse were used for pTG262 and its variants, and p54 and p181 were used for pUK200 (designed by Nikki Horn, Quadram Institute Bioscience).



\*Adjust according to primer T<sub>m</sub>

\*\*Adjust based on predicted product size (1 kb in 1 min)

Successful transformation was indicated by presence of a band at the expected size after gel electrophoresis. Size of the PCR product was predicted using CloneManager and the respective primers for each plasmid.

#### 2.8 Colony PCR Using 96 Well Plates

The method previously described in method 2.7 is followed, however, individual colonies were picked and mixed with 50  $\mu$ l UPH<sub>2</sub>O in a 96 well sterile plate in rows A-G. 10  $\mu$ l of each sample were mixed in row H in their respective columns. 10  $\mu$ l of this mix was used as a template, with the volume of UPH<sub>2</sub>O in the master mix (Method 2.6) reduced to 26.35  $\mu$ l per sample. The PCR and gel electrophoresis were performed as standard. When analysing the agarose gel, if a band of the correct size was present, a PCR reaction would be repeated using the sample within each well from that column as the template for the reaction. The final gel electrophoresis showed which of the samples in the original mix had the correct sized band, which was then taken and used to create an overnight culture.

#### 2.9 Splice Overlap Extension PCR

Splice overlap extension was performed following the method of Horton (Horton, Cai *et al.* 1990). Primers were designed (Table 2.5) with a 100% match sequence and a tail region matching that of the other gene or plasmid region that is being spliced. Each gene was amplified using Phusion PCR (New England Biolabs (NEB)) with reactions made as follows:

| Master Mix        |                    |           |      |         |         | Phusion    |            |
|-------------------|--------------------|-----------|------|---------|---------|------------|------------|
| 5x Phusion Buffer | UPH <sub>2</sub> O | dNTP      | mix  | Forward | Reverse | Sample DNA | polymerase |
| (NEB)             |                    | (Bioline) | (100 | primer  | primer  | Template   | (NFB)      |
|                   |                    | mM)       |      | (20 µM) | (20 µM) |            |            |
| 10 µ1             | 34.7 µl            | 0.4 µl    |      | 1.25 µl | 1.25 µl | 1 µl       | 0.4 µ1     |

PCR reaction conditions were adjusted according to the primer melting temperature  $(T_m)$ (calculated using Finnzymes calculator, where the annealing temperature is the  $T_m$  for primers <20 nt or  $T_m + 3^{o}C$  for primers of >20 nt or OligoCalc to give the Nearest Neighbour and expected product sizes).

Finnzymes: <u>https://www.thermofisher.com/uk/en/home/brands/thermo-scientific/molecular-biology/molecular-biology-learning-center/molecular-biology-resource-library/thermo-scientific-web-tools/tm-calculator.html</u>

OligoCalc: http://biotools.nubic.northwestern.edu/OligoCalc.html



5 cycles annealing temperature (Ta<sub>1</sub>) Nearest Neighbour of the part of the primer sequence that is a 100% (linear) match to the template<sup>\*</sup>, 20 cycles Ta<sub>2</sub> Nearest Neighbour of the whole primer<sup>\*\*</sup>. The extension time varied depending on the length of the predicted product, 15-30  $secs/kb^{***}$ )

The products were electrophoresed to confirm that a band of the correct size was present. Products were purified by SureClean Plus (Bioline), as per the manufacturer's instructions, with alterations (See Method 2.6). Templates were dilyted to 1 ng/ $\mu$ l then the outermost primers for both products were used to perform the overlap extension, using the Nearest Neighbour of the overlap sequence or the Finnzymes calculated Ta of the outer primers if it is lower. The final product was analysed on a gel to confirm that the correct size of the product had been produced and the product was purified using SureClean extended method (See Method 2.6).

#### 2.10 Restriction and Ligation

The restriction enzymes selected were based on the restriction sites present in the plasmid that was being manipulated. Additionally, corresponding restriction sites were inserted into the products during the splice overlap extension PCR. Restriction reactions used 1-2  $\mu$ g of the product and followed manufacturer's instructions (New England Biolabs).

Restriction enzymes were heat denatured as per the NEB recommendation. The restricted products were then purified by the extended SureClean method described in method 2.6. The vector plasmid was prepared using the method 2.5 and restricted as above, with the final volume dephosphorylated with Antarctic phosphatase (NEB) following the manufacturer's instructions with a final heat step of 5 min at 65<sup>o</sup>C to inactivate the enzyme.

All incubations were performed in a water bath. The restricted vector plasmid was purified by the extended SureClean method (Method 2.6). Ligation was performed after quantification (2:1 molar ratio insert to vector, x and y, respectively) in a final volume of 15  $\mu$ l using the Fast-Link DNA Ligase (Epicentre Biotechnologies). The ligation reaction was performed overnight at room temperature and the ligation mix was heated for 15 mins at 70°C before transformation.

| DNA Insert           | x µ1     |
|----------------------|----------|
| DNA vector           | y µl     |
| 10x Fast-Link buffer | 1.5 µl   |
| ATP                  | 1.5 µl   |
| FastLink ligase      | 1 µl     |
| H <sub>2</sub> O     | to 15 µ1 |

#### 2.11 Transformation into E. coli

*E. coli* MC1022 was grown overnight in 10 ml L broth at 37°C with shaking at 250 rpm. 800  $\mu$ l of overnight culture was added to 40 ml pre-warmed L broth and was grown until an OD<sub>600</sub> of 0.45-0.55. The culture was split between 2 chilled Oakridge tubes and centrifuged at 3000 x g for 10 mins at 4°C (Avanti J-26 XP, Beckman Coulter, JA17 4.5K). The supernatant was discarded and 1 ml freshly prepared ice-cold 10% glycerol was used to resuspend the pellet, followed by the addition of 19 ml ice-cold 10% glycerol. This centrifugation and resuspension step was repeated. The cell suspension was centrifuged again using the same conditions and the supernatant removed with the final pellet resuspended in 200  $\mu$ l 10% glycerol. This was aliquoted into 40  $\mu$ l amounts of the competent cells which were stored at -80°C until use.

100-500 ng of plasmid DNA was added and mixed using the pipette tip. This sample was incubated on ice for 1 min and transferred to a 0.2 cm gap electroporation cuvette (CellProjects). The mixture was pulsed at 2.5 kV, 200  $\Omega$  and 25 uF using a Bio-rad GenePulser Xcell. Immediately after, 460 µl SOC broth (2% tryptone (Oxoid), 0.5% yeast extract (BD), 10 mM NaCl, 2.5 mM KCl, 10 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 10 mM MgSO<sub>4</sub>, 20 mM glucose, pH 7) was added to the electroporation cuvette. This mixture was transferred to 2 ml screw capped tubes and incubated at 37°C for 2 hrs shaking at 250 rpm. 100 µl of undiluted sample and 10<sup>-1</sup> dilutions in PBS were inoculated on selective L agar plates and grown at 37°C for two days.

For protein expression, 1-2  $\mu$ l ligation mixes were transformed into chemically competent *E. coli* TOP10 (Invitrogen) as the manufacturer's instructions. After selective growth, colony PCR and sequence confirmation plasmids were extracted and transformed into chemically competent *E. coli* expression strain BL21(DE3) (Invitrogen) as manufacturer's recommendations.

#### 2.12 L. lactis Strain Transformation

The protocol for *L. lactis* transformation was adapted from the method developed by Wells, Wilson and Le Page (1993). The *L. lactis* strain used for generation of electro-competent cells was grown overnight at 30°C in GM17. All solutions used were made fresh using UPH<sub>2</sub>O water and filter sterilised then chilled on ice. 3 ml of overnight culture was added to 47.5 ml M17 broth containing 2.5% glycine and 2.4 ml 10% glucose pre-warmed to 30°C and incubated at 30°C until the OD<sub>600</sub> had reached ~ 0.5. The culture was centrifuged for 10 mins at 3,000 x g at 4°C. The supernatant was discarded, and the pellet resuspended gently in 5 ml of ice-cold electroporation buffer (0.5M sucrose in 10% glycerol), after initial resuspension in 500  $\mu$ l. Centrifugation and the resuspension step were repeated. The final resuspension of the pellet was made in 500  $\mu$ l electroporation buffer. The electrocompetent cells were dispensed in 40  $\mu$ l aliquots and those that were not needed for the current transformation were then flash frozen using dry ice and stored at -80°C. Electroporation occurred as per method 2.11, however the reanimation media used was 460  $\mu$ l GM17/0.5 M sucrose containing 20 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub> and 2 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub> followed by incubation on ice for 10 mins. A water bath was used for 2 hrs at 30°C for incubation before plating of undiluted and 10-fold diluted aliquots onto selective GM17 agar.

#### 2.13 DNA Quantification

Purified plasmid DNA, gDNA and purified PCR products were quantified using a NanoDrop 1000 (Thermo Scientific). 1  $\mu$ l of sample was used per reading with the NanoDrop 1000 after initial calibration using UPH<sub>2</sub>O followed by blanking with the selected buffer used to resuspend or wash the DNA in the previous assay. The NanoDrop 1000 was cleaned using lint-free Kimchi wipes between each sample. DNA samples were stored at -20°C.

#### 2.14 Sequencing of PCR Products

Purified PCR products were quantified (See Method 2.13). Forward and reverse amplicon sequencing was carried out at Eurofins Genomics (Germany) using the Mix2Seq kit. The concentration of each sample was normalised to 10 ng/µl with the addition of 5 µl of either forward or reverse primer (20 mM) in separate tubes. The total volume was made to 10 µl using UPH<sub>2</sub>O. Sequence results were visualised and quality assessed using FinchTV (version 1.4.0) followed by alignment using Geneious (version 11.1.5, Biomatters, New Zealand) to provide the consensus sequence. Species identification from 16S rDNA PCR products was made with the consensus sequence using the Ribosomal Database Project (http://rdp.cme.msu.edu/).

#### 2.15 RNA extraction

RNA extractions were performed using the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen) following the manufacturer's instructions with alterations. All optional steps were followed, and the oncolumn DNase treatment was performed twice with an extended incubation time of 30 mins. Additionally, once the RNA had been eluted and quantified using Qubit Broad Range RNA Quantification Kit (Thermo Scientific) and Qubit 3.0 fluorometer (Life Technologies) another DNase treatment using the Turbo DNase treatment (Thermo Scientific) was performed as per manufacturer's instructions. Quantification using Qubit Broad Range RNA Quantification Kit was repeated. The samples were flash frozen using dry ice and stored at -80°C.

## **Protein Extractions and analysis**

#### 2.16 Induction and Purification of pre-Nso peptides

*L. lactis* strains were grown overnight in 10 ml GM17. The following day the culture was used to inoculate 100 ml pre-warmed GM17 to  $OD_{600}$  of 0.1 with antibiotic addition to select for the appropriate plasmids. At  $OD_{600}$  0.5-0.6 the cultures were induced with 10 ng/ml nisin A and incubated for 3 hrs at 30°C. 50 ml portions were centrifuged at 1700 x g for 15 mins at 4°C. The supernatants were collected and filtered using a 0.45 µm filter. Proteins were precipitated via the addition of 10 ml 100% trichloroacetic acid (TCA) to 40 ml supernatant incubated at 4°C overnight. The proteins were collected by centrifugation at 1,700 x g for 30 mins at 4°C. The pellet was washed using 1/5<sup>th</sup> volume ice-cold acetone for 2 hrs at -20°C, recentrifuged, the supernatant removed and the pellet air dried for 10 mins, followed by resuspension in 800 µl 50 mM sodium acetate pH 5.5.

Additionally, the cell pellets from the initial culture were washed once with 0.3 M Tris-HCl pH 7.4 and soluble protein extracts were produced via bead beating with 500  $\mu$ l Tris buffer and 200  $\mu$ l sterile acid-washed 0.1 mm glass beads using a FastPrep FR120 cell disrupter (MP Biomedicals) for 4 x for 30 sec at speed 6 with 5-10 mins on ice between beating. The tubes were centrifuged for 20 mins at 13,000 x g at 4°C, supernatant removed and stored at 4°C. Protein concentration was measured using the Bradford reagent (BioRad).

#### 2.17 Protein Extraction from E. coli

The strain of *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) was grown overnight in 10 ml L broth with appropriate antibiotic. The following day a fresh 10 ml prewarmed broth with antibiotic was inoculated with 200  $\mu$ l of the overnight culture. This was grown until OD<sub>600</sub> 0.4 after which it was induced with 0.5 mM isopropylthio- $\beta$ -galactoside (IPTG) for 3 hrs or 4 hrs at either 37°C or 18°C. The

cells were harvested by centrifugation for 15 min at 1,700 x g at 4°C. The supernatant was removed and the pellet was resuspended in 500  $\mu$ l of TN buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl pH 8, 50 mM NaCl). The bacteria were removed to a 2 ml screw cap tube containing ~100  $\mu$ l sterile acid washed beads (0.1 mm) on ice. A FastPrep FR120 Cell Disruptor was used to bead beat the cells. Beatings consisted of 30 sec at speed 6 repeated 4 times with 5 mins on ice between each repeat. The tubes were centrifuged at 13,000 x g for 30 mins at 4°C and the supernatant was removed and transferred to a sterile Eppendorf tube and stored at 4°C.

#### **2.18 SDS Polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE)**

SDS-PAGE was performed using materials and protocols from Invitrogen. Samples were made to  $10 \ \mu g$  total protein in either 10, 15 or  $20 \ \mu l$  reaction mixes as follows:

| Sample + UPH <sub>2</sub> O | 6.5        | 9.75 | 13 |
|-----------------------------|------------|------|----|
| Reducing agent              | 1          | 1.5  | 2  |
| LDS Sample buffer           | <u>2.5</u> | 3.75 | 5  |
|                             | 10         | 15   | 20 |

The samples were heated at 70°C for 10 mins followed by chilling on ice for 2 mins. The samples were briefly centrifuged to collect any sample on the sides of the tube. 600 ml of MES running buffer was prepared. A pre-made 4-12% NuPage Novex Bis-Tris 12 well gel (Invitrogen) was rinsed with UPH<sub>2</sub>O and loaded with the samples. If the samples were reduced, then 500  $\mu$ l of NuPage antioxidant (Invitrogen) were added to the inner chamber of the gel tank. The gel was run using 200 V for approximately 35 mins. The gel was washed 3 times for 5 mins in UPH<sub>2</sub>O with gentle shaking then strained for 1 hr with 20 ml Simply Blue Safestain (Themo Scientific). The gel was destained in 100 ml UPH<sub>2</sub>O for 1 hr with gentle shaking.

#### 2.19 Western Blotting

1 l of 1X NuPage Transfer Buffer was prepared and used to soak blotting pads. The transfer membranes (poly(vinylidene fluroride)  $0.2 \mu m$  pore size) were prepared as recommended by the manufacturer (Invitrogen). The filter paper was briefly soaked in NuPage Transfer Buffer and placed on top of the gel, this was then turned over, the transfer membrane applied to the

other side of the gel and a second piece of soaked filter paper placed on top. The gel and transfer membrane were placed in between the blotting pads and inserted into the lower buffer chamber of the gel tank. This chamber was filled with 1X NuPage Transfer Buffer and the outer chamber was filled with 650 ml UPH<sub>2</sub>O. The transfer used 30 V for 1 hr.

Once completed the transfer membrane was removed and washed twice for 10 mins in Trisbuffered Saline (TBS) buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl) at room temperature with gentle shaking. The membrane was then incubated with 3% Bovine Serum Albumin (BSA) (Sigma) in TBS buffer at 4°C overnight on a rotating tilter. The membrane was washed twice for 10 mins using TBS-Tween/Triton buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 500 mM NaCl, 0.05% (v/v) Tween 20 (Sigma), 0.2% (v/v) Triton X-100 (Sigma)) at room temperature, followed by a 10 min wash using TBS buffer. The primary antibody incubation was performed using 2.5  $\mu$ l (1:2000 dilution) Anti-His-Tag (Novagen) in 5 ml 3% BSA for 1 hr at room temperature on a tilter, this was followed a repeat of the wash steps previously described. Incubation with the secondary antibody was performed using 2.5  $\mu$ l horse radish peroxidase (HRP) conjugated anti-mouse IgG (1:2000 dilution) in 5 ml 3% BSA in TBS (Sigma) for 1 hr at room temperature. The membrane was washed 4 x for 10 mins using TBS-Tween/Triton buffer at room temperature. The membrane was stained with 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indoyl phosphate (B-CIP/NBT) tablets (Sigma) for up to 15 mins. The reaction was stopped by washing with UPH<sub>2</sub>O twice.

#### 2.20 Pre-Nso Cleavage Assay

Pre-Nso peptides were induced and purified (See Method 2.16) and crude soluble extracts of cultures expressing the candidate proteases were quantified via Bradford reagent (BioRad). An incubation mix of 30  $\mu$ g pre-Nso and 10  $\mu$ g crude extract was made to 13  $\mu$ l using 100 mM Tris HCl pH 6 supplemented with 5 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub> and left at 37°C overnight, as recommended by Montalban-Lopez *et al* (2018). TCA precipitated extract from *L. lactis* pIL253 was used as a negative control in combination with the candidate proteases. A positive control using 15  $\mu$ g/ml trypsin was also used. Samples were loaded in an SDS-PAGE gel

followed by a Western Blot transfer to visualise the results (Methods 2.18 and 2.19). The protocols for these two methods remained the same as described, however, a Clos leader antibody CL-1 (Genscript Corp) (Hatziioanou 2011) (1:200 dilution) was used as the primary antibody (25  $\mu$ l in 5 ml 3% BSA in TBS) and HRP conjugated anti-rabbit IgG (1:5000 dilution) used as secondary antibody (1  $\mu$ l in 5 ml 3% BSA in TBS).

# Chapter 3.

# **Identification of the Nisin O-Like**

# **Cluster in** *Lachnospiraceae*

#### 3.1 Introduction

With four structural genes, two regulatory systems and no protease within the cluster the nisin O biosynthetic gene cluster has a number of distinct features that differentiate it from other nisin variants (Chinachoti, Matsusaki *et al.* 1998, O'Connor, O'Shea *et al.* 2015, Hatziioanou, Gherghisan-Filip *et al.* 2017, M, Field *et al.* 2020, O'Sullivan, O'Connor *et al.* 2020). In a recent study by Kim *et al.*, a novel lantibiotic biosynthetic gene cluster was identified which, like the nisin O cluster, contained two regulatory systems and genes involved in its maturation and immunity. However, this cluster contained five structural genes and a protease was present (Kim, Becattini *et al.* 2019). This cluster was identified in *Blautia producta* SCSK which is of the same genus as *B. obeum* A2-162 indicating that similar clusters are present within the same genus in nature.

*Blautia* is a member of the *Lachnospiraceae* family and is relatively abundant in the human gut, representing between 2-8% of the gut microbiome (Arumugam, Raes *et al.* 2011, Eren, Sogin *et al.* 2015). In recent years the *Blautia* genus has become better studied and has been shown to have roles in carbohydrate degradation and short chain fatty acid production (Zhang, Zhao *et al.* 2015, P, Martin *et al.* 2016). In an investigation looking into the potential of *Blautia* species as probiotic strains, Liu *et al.*, analysed 74 *Blautia* strains and found 261 secondary metabolic biosynthetic gene clusters; these included sactipeptides, lanthipeptides, lasso peptides, beta-lactones, trans-AT polyketide synthases and non-ribosomal peptides (Liu, Mao *et al.* 2021). Azevedo *et al.* identified a biosynthetic gene cluster in *Blautia schinkii* DSM 10518 and *Blautia* sp. SF-50 which encodes a sactipeptide (Azevedo, Bento *et al.* 2015).

In this chapter strains within the *B. obeum* species were analysed *in silico* to see whether the nisin O cluster was present. Furthermore, this analysis was widened to include genomes from members of the *Lachnospiraceae* family. The hypothesis of this work was that nisin O-like clusters are found across multiple genera within *Lachnospiraceae*.

#### **3.2 Methods**

#### 3.2.1 Pan-genome Analysis of B. obeum Strains

To identify proteases that were specific to *B. obeum* strains that contained the nisin O cluster, all available (50) *B. obeum* genomes were downloaded from National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI). FastANI was used to ensure that the strains to be used in the pan-genome analysis were correctly assigned as *B. obeum* using *B. obeum* A2-162 as the reference strain (Taxon ID: 657314.3.fna). An Average Nucleotide Identity (ANI) score of 95% was used as the minimum score required for the genome to be included, as this a well-accepted cut-off for ANI similarity (Jain, Rodriguez *et al.* 2018). The five *B. obeum* genomes with an ANI score greater than 95% were used to estimate their pan-genome using ROARY (Sanger, version 3.11.2)\*. To identify the presence or absence of the nisin O cluster the FASTA file of each of the *B. obeum* genomes that passed the 95% ANI score threshold was analysed using Geneious R11 (version 11.1.5) and BAGEL4 (van Heel, de Jong *et al.* 2018).

\*I would like to acknowledge the contribution of Dr Lizbeth Sayavedra for her guidance with running the ROARY analysis.

#### 3.2.2 BLASTP

Initial searches for proteins with homology to the NsoB amino acid sequence were analysed using BLASTP (<u>https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi?PAGE=Proteins</u>) using standard databases and no specific organisms selected (Johnson, Zaretskaya *et al.* 2008, Hatziioanou 2011). Sequences produced by this computational search were copied into Geneious R11 and further analysed using the method described in 3.2.4.

#### 3.2.3 Identification of Nisin O Clusters in Lachnospiraceae

To identify the prevalence of clusters in *Lachnospiraceae* and identify the presence of proteases, 2094 *Lachnospiraceae* genomes were downloaded from the Genome Taxonomy Database (GTDB) (Parks, Chuvochina *et al.* 2020). Genome completeness and quality was assessed using CheckM (Parks, Imelfort *et al.* 2015) and the marker gene set for the

Lachnospiraceae family (version 1.0.18) which excluded 664 genomes which had <95% completeness or > 5% contamination, leaving 1430 *Lachnospiraceae* genomes for further analysis. These genomes were then annotated using Prokka (Seemann 2014). Once annotated the genomes underwent analysis by AntiSmash 5.0 (Bacterial Version) for identification of secondary metabolites (Blin, Shaw et al. 2019) and BiG-SCAPE to look at cluster similarity (Navarro-Muñoz, Selem-Mojica et al. 2020). Additionally, protein sequences of the 1430 clustered using OrthoFinder (version 2.5.2) genomes were (https://genomebiology.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s13059-019-1832-y). nsoB and *nsoC* were chosen as markers of the nisin O cluster and genomes with proteins that clustered together with one or both of the markers were further investigated for the presence of the nisin O cluster\*\*. All hits from both of the methods above were then downloaded individually and analysed manually using Geneious R11, BAGEL4 (van Heel, de Jong et al. 2018) and AntiSmash 5.0 (Bacterial Version) (Blin, Shaw et al. 2019) to confirm the presence of the nisin O cluster.

\*\*I would like to acknowledge the contribution of Dr Rebecca Ansorge and Dr Stefano Romano with their assistance developing this method and running the initial pan-genome analysis on the *Lachnospiraceae* genomes.

#### 3.2.4 Homology of Amino Acid Sequences from Nisin O like clusters

To assess the sequence homology of proteins within the identified nisin O-like clusters the genomes of those bacteria which contained the nisin O-like cluster were downloaded into Geneious R11 from the NCBI genome database. The genome was then searched manually using "nisin" as the search criteria to identify the cluster within the annotated genome. The cluster was then extracted, and each gene extracted and translated using the extraction and translation tools within the Geneious R11 software. This was performed for the putative structural genes (NsoA1, NsoA2, NsoA3 and NsoA4) as well as the modification proteins NsoB and NsoC. Each translated sequence from these examples were then aligned using Muscle 3.8.425 (Edgar 2004).

#### **3.2.5 Mauve Alignment of Nisin O-like Clusters**

Alignment of the nisin O-like clusters (nucleotide), downloaded as described in method 4.2.4, was performed using the progressive Mauve algorithm (Geneious R11 plugin) using the standard conditions pre-selected as part of the Geneious R11 software (Darling, Mau *et al.* 2004).

#### 3.2.6 Neighbour-Joining Phylogenetic Trees

To determine the similarity of the nisin O-like clusters phylogenetic trees were created using Geneious R11's tree builder. The nucleotide sequences of the concatenated *nsoB-nsoC* genes and the whole clusters were globally aligned with free end gaps and a cost matrix of 65% similarity. Neighbour-joining trees were reconstructed using the Tamura-Nei genetic distance model.

#### **3.3 Results**

#### 3.3.1 ROARY Pan-Genome Analysis of B. obeum Strains

A ROARY pan-genome analysis of 50 *B. obeum* strain genomes downloaded from NBCI was performed to identify any new nisin O biosynthetic gene clusters that were present in *B. obeum* strains. The *B. obeum* strains underwent a FastANI test using *B. obeum* A2-162 as a reference genome to confirm that the species of these strains was annotated correctly in the database. Out of the 50 genomes only 5 had an ANI score greater than the cut-off of 95, see Table 3.3.1. These 5 strains were then used in a ROARY pan-genome analysis to identify the core and accessory genomes. Manual searches using BAGEL4 and AntiSmash for the nisin O cluster showed that only *B. obeum* A2-162 contained the nisin O cluster from these 5 genomes.

| <i>B. obeum</i><br>Strain | Genome ID<br>(PATRIC) | ANI Score |
|---------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|
| A2-162                    | 657314.3              | 99.9998   |
| MGYG-                     |                       |           |
| HGUT-                     | 40520.1588            | 98.7192   |
| 00252                     |                       |           |
| AM28-23                   | 40520.96              | 98.6974   |
| AM32-41                   | 40520.89              | 98.6357   |
| AM32-                     | 40520.93              | 98.3287   |
| IUAC                      |                       |           |

Table 3.3.1. ANI scores and IDs of five B. obeum genomes with an ANI score >95%

The remaining genomes were searched using a word search of 'nisin' for the presence of the nisin O biosynthetic cluster and it was found that *B. obeum* TM09-13AC and *B. obeum* AM27-32LB each contained a cluster that included four putative structural peptides. Additionally, 33 of the 50 genomes (66%) contained lantibiotic immunity genes including a *nisI*-like gene and a lantibiotic ABC efflux transporter although no other genes that would normally constitute a biosynthetic gene cluster, such as modification machinery or regulatory systems, were present (Table 3.3.2). Furthermore, manual searches were performed on all 50 genomes to investigate if any other lantibiotic-like clusters were present. Within these genomes 14 (28%) contained a *lanM* gene and a lantibiotic which was similar to plantaricin C (Table 3.3.2). Additionally, in the genomes of *B. obeum* AF35-14AC, *B. obeum* AF14-52, *B. obeum* MGYG-HGUT-00252, *B. obeum* AM28-23, *B. obeum* AF14-23 and *B. obeum* AM29-25AC two *lanM* genes and two plantaricin C-like genes were present (Table 3.3.2).

| Strain              | Nisin O<br>Cluster<br>Present | Immunity<br>Genes<br>Present | Other<br>Lantibiotic<br>Genes Present | Additional<br>Lantibiotic<br>Details |
|---------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| A2-162              | $\checkmark$                  | $\checkmark$                 | ×                                     | N/A                                  |
| AM22-9LB            | ×                             | $\checkmark$                 | ✓                                     | <i>lanM</i> + lantibiotic            |
| AM18-2AC            | ×                             | $\checkmark$                 | ×                                     | N/A                                  |
| AF39-4              | ×                             | ×                            | ×                                     | N/A                                  |
| AF37-6AC            | ×                             | ×                            | ✓                                     | <i>lanM</i> + lantibiotic            |
| AF35-14AC           | ×                             | ~                            | ~                                     | lanM + lantibiotic x2                |
| AF29-2BH            | ×                             | ×                            | ×                                     | N/A                                  |
| AF25-21             | ×                             | ✓                            | ×                                     | N/A                                  |
| AF24-10LB           | ×                             | ×                            | ×                                     | N/A                                  |
| AF21-24             | ×                             | ×                            | ×                                     | N/A                                  |
| AF14-52             | ×                             | ~                            | ~                                     | lanM + lantibiotic x2                |
| AM43-41             | ×                             | ✓                            | ×                                     | N/A                                  |
| AM32-10AC           | ×                             | ×                            | ×                                     | N/A                                  |
| TM09-13AC           | ~                             | $\checkmark$                 | ×                                     | N/A                                  |
| OM06-11A            | ×                             | ~                            | ~                                     | <i>lanM</i> + lantibiotic,           |
| OM03-6              | ×                             | ~                            | ×                                     | N/A                                  |
| SSTS_Bg7063         | ×                             | ✓                            | ×                                     | N/A                                  |
| ATCC 29174          | ×                             | ~                            | ×                                     | Potential Class II                   |
| MGYG-HGUT-<br>00212 | ×                             | ×                            | ×                                     | N/A                                  |
| MGYG-HGUT-<br>00252 | ×                             | ~                            | ~                                     | lanM + lantibiotic x2                |

| 2789STDY560883<br>8 | ×            | $\checkmark$ | ×            | N/A   |
|---------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|---|
| 2789STDY560883<br>7 | ×            | ×            | ×            | N/A   |
| 2789STDY583486<br>1 | ×            | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | <i>lanM</i> only                              |
| 2789STDY583492<br>1 | *            | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | <i>lanM</i> + lantibiotic                     |
| 2789STDY583495<br>7 | *            | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | <i>lanM</i> only                              |
| MSK.18.40           | ×            | ×            | $\checkmark$ | <i>lanM</i> +<br>lantibiotic,<br>Sactipeptide |
| MSK.20.67           | ×            | $\checkmark$ | ×            | N/A   |
| MSK.20.66           | ×            | $\checkmark$ | ×            | N/A   |
| AM32-10AC           | *            | *            | *            | N/A   |
| AM28-23             | *            | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | lanM + lantibiotic x2                         |
| af_0058             | *            | *            | ×            | N/A   |
| 1001271st1_E5       | *            | *            | *            | N/A   |
| S11A.meta.bin_7     | *            | *            | *            | N/A   |
| LMAG:45             | ×            | *            | ×            | N/A   |
| TF09-10AC           | ×            | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | <i>lanM</i> and lantibiotic                   |
| OF03-14             | ×            | $\checkmark$ | ×            | N/A   |
| AM54-7NE            | ×            | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | <i>lanM</i> and lantibiotic                   |
| AF14-23             | ×            | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | lanM + lantibiotic x2                         |
| AM41-5              | *            | $\checkmark$ | *            | N/A   |
| AM27-32LB           | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | *            | N/A   |
| AM39-5AC            | ×            | ×            | *            | N/A   |
| AM37-4AC            | ×            | ×            | *            | N/A   |
| AM29-25AC           | ×            | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | lanM + lantibiotic x2                         |
| AM34-12             | ×            | $\checkmark$ | ×            | N/A   |
| MSK.14.21           | ×            | $\checkmark$ | ×            | N/A   |
| MSK.13.37           | ×            | $\checkmark$ | ×            | N/A   |
| MSK.20.89           | ×            | $\checkmark$ | ×            | N/A   |
| MSK.17.81           | ×            | $\checkmark$ | ×            | N/A   |
| MSK.18.90           | ×            | $\checkmark$ | ×            | N/A   |
| BIOML-A1            | ×            | *            | ×            | N/A   |

**Table 3.3.2.** Analysis of the 50 *B. obeum* strains downloaded from NCBI assessing the presence of the nisin O cluster, lantibiotic immunity genes and other lantibiotic genes. Gene presence is indicated by a tick ( $\checkmark$ ) and gene absence indicated by a cross (\*).

#### **3.3.2 BLASTP searches for nisin O-like proteins**

To investigate whether there were any other nisin O-like clusters present within bacterial species an initial screening using the NsoB amino acid sequence from *B. obeum* A2-162 was performed. This would give an insight into which bacteria contained this protein and hence whether the cluster has a similar genetic structure or whether other clusters may have contained a potential candidate protease or altered regulatory systems. This initial screening identified many similar proteins however most notably all the bacterial species (*Blautia, Dorea* and *Ruminococcus* species) that contained a homologue of NsoB with 100% identity were from the family *Lachnospiraceae* (Table 3.3.3). Manual searches of these genomes using BAGEL4 and AntiSmash identified that these species did indeed contain a nisin O-like cluster. However due to the prevalence of nisin O-like clusters identified via BLAST searches, a pangenome analysis was performed on *Lachnospiraceae* genomes.

| Bacteria                         | GenBank Assembly<br>Accession |  |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| <i>B. obeum</i> A2-162           | GCA_000210015.1               |  |
| B. obeum AM27-32LB               | GCA_003469945.1               |  |
| B. obeum TM09-13AC               | GCA_003436075.1               |  |
| Blautia sp AM47-4                | GCA_003479865.1               |  |
| Ruminococcus sp. AM50-15BH       | GCA_003478325.1               |  |
| Ruminococcus gnavus AF33-12      | GCA_003475365.1               |  |
| Dorea formicigenerans AM37-5     | GCA_003467665.1               |  |
| Dorea logicatena 1001136B-160425 | NZ_JADNJR00000000             |  |

 Table 3.3.3. Lachnospiraceae strains with nisin O-like clusters identified through manual searches.

#### 3.3.3 Cluster identification in *Lachnospiraceae*

To help understand the nisin O cluster and its prevalence amongst bacteria, a bioinformatic analysis was performed of the *Lachnospiraceae* family. The 2094 genomes downloaded from GTDB underwent a quality and completeness check using CheckM, after which 1460 genomes were kept for further analysis. An analysis to identify the cluster was performed using AntiSmash and BiG-Scape, however no new clusters were identified via this method using the *nsoA2/3* genes as marker genes. This was due to issues with the assembly of the genome as the structural peptides in the nisin O cluster were very similar and could potentially
be mis-assembled, therefore making the identification of the cluster unlikely. However, using *nsoB* and *nsoC* as reference genes in OrthoFinder, 59 genomes contained either *nsoB*, *nsoC* or both, with 34 of these containing both genes (57.6%) (Table 3.3.4). All 59 genomes were manually searched using BAGEL4 to determine whether they contained any bacteriocin biosynthetic gene clusters. Only 12 of the genomes did not contain a cluster containing structural bacteriocin genes, however these genomes did contain individual genes involved in bacteriocin maturation. Interestingly, 71.2% (42 of the 59 genomes) contained genes involved in lantibiotic immunity, even in cases where there was no cluster present, such as *Clostridium* sp. AF36-18BH. Furthermore, only 5 genomes (8.5%) were not isolated from animal faecal material, with 48 of the remaining genomes being isolated from the human gut or faeces (Table 3.3.4).

| Bacteria  | Strain              | <i>nsoC-</i><br>like<br>gene<br>present | <i>nsoB-</i><br>like<br>gene<br>present | Structural<br>peptides  | Lantibiotic<br>Immunity<br>Genes | Isolation<br>Source |
|---|---------------------|---|---|---|----------------------------------|---------------------|
| uncultured<br>Butyrivibrio sp.                    | UMGS920             | 0                                       | 1                                       | Rathipeptide,<br>Thiopeptide,<br>LAP, Zoocin A                | No                               | Human Gut           |
| uncultured<br><i>Lachnospiraceae</i><br>bacterium | UMGS1368            | 0                                       | 1                                       | Zoocin A  | No                               | Human Gut           |
| Luxibacter<br>massiliensis                        | Marseille-<br>P5551 | 0                                       | 1                                       | Number of<br>potential clusters<br>and structural<br>peptides | Yes                              | Human Stool         |
| Dorea<br>formicigenerans                          | AM42-8              | 2                                       | 1                                       | LanB present but<br>no structural                             | Yes                              | Human Faeces        |
| <u>Dorea</u><br><u>formicigenerans</u>            | <u>AM37-5</u>       | 1                                       | 1                                       | 4 - Lanthipeptide   | Yes                              | Human Faeces        |

| <u>Blautia obeum</u>     | <u>AM27-</u><br><u>32LB</u> | 2 | 2 | 4 - Lanthipeptide  | Yes | Human Faeces |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------|---|---|--|-----|--------------|
| Dorea longicatena        | AM23-13                     | 1 | 0 | Plantaricin A<br>family structural<br>peptide (LanM)             | Yes | Human Faeces |
| [Eubacterium]<br>rectale | AF39-<br>14AC               | 0 | 2 | No Cluster<br>Present  | Yes | Human Faeces |
| Eubacterium rectale      | AF38-24                     | 0 | 1 | 1 - Class IIb<br>bacteriocin<br>(lactobin A/cerein<br>7B family) | Yes | Human Faeces |
| Ruminococcus<br>gnavus   | AF33-12                     | 4 | 2 | 4 - Lanthipeptide  | Yes | Human Faeces |
| Clostridium sp.          | AF37-7                      | 1 | 0 | No Cluster<br>present  | No  | Human Faeces |
| Clostridium sp.          | AF36-<br>18BH               | 0 | 1 | No Cluster<br>present  | Yes | Human Faeces |
| Clostridium sp.          | AF34-<br>10BH               | 0 | 1 | Lasso Peptide<br>Biosynthesis<br>Machinery                       | Yes | Human Faeces |
| Firmicutes<br>bacterium  | AM59-13                     | 0 | 1 | Colicin, Zoocin A  | No  | Human Faeces |
| <u>Ruminococcus sp.</u>  | <u>AM50-</u><br><u>15BH</u> | 2 | 1 | 3 - Lanthipeptide  | Yes | Human Faeces |
| Firmicutes<br>bacterium  | AF22-6AC                    | 0 | 1 | Zoocin A   | Yes | Human Faeces |
| <u>Blautia sp.</u>       | <u>AM47-4</u>               | 2 | 1 | 4 - Lanthipeptide  | Yes | Human Faeces |
| Clostridium sp.          | AM43-3BH                    | 1 | 1 | 1 structural peptide and LanB                                    | No  | Human Faeces |

| Firmicutes<br>bacterium            | AM43-<br>11BH | 0 | 1 | Ranthipeptide,<br>NRPS (Dipeptide<br>Aldehydes)   | Yes | Human Faeces  |
|------------------------------------|---------------|---|---|---|-----|---|
| <i>Clostridium</i> sp.             | AM34-9AC      | 0 | 2 | Zoocin A, Lasso<br>Peptide  | Yes | Human Faeces  |
| <u>Blautia obeum</u>               | <u>A2-162</u> | 1 | 2 | 4 - Lanthipeptide   | Yes | European<br>Bioinformatics<br>Institute   |
| Dorea                              | 4_6_53AF      | 3 | 1 | LanB present but  | Yes | Gastrointestinal  |
| Jormicigenerans                    | AA            |   |   |   |     | Tract   |
| Lachnospiraceae<br>bacterium MD308 | 03_02         | 3 | 1 | 1 structural class I<br>lanthipeptide   | Yes | Mouse Ceca  |
| Dorea longicatena                  | AGR2136       | 1 | 0 | Plantaricin A<br>family structural<br>peptide (lanM)<br>and BlpK-like<br>bacteriocin cluster<br>Lasso Peptide<br>Biosynthesis | Yes | The Hungate<br>1000. A<br>catalogue of<br>reference<br>genomes from<br>the rumen<br>microbiome. |
| Clostridium sp.                    | TF08-15       | 0 | 1 | Machinery,<br>Ranthipeptide,<br>Zoocin A  | Yes | Human Faeces  |
| Lachnospiraceae<br>bacterium       | GAM79         | 1 | 2 | 1 structural class I<br>lanthipeptide,<br>lasso peptide   | No  | Human Faeces  |
| <i>Butyrivibrio</i> sp.            | X503          | 1 | 0 | 7 - Type II<br>lanthipeptide<br>(LanM)  | No  | Buffalo Rumen   |

|                           |               |   |   | 1 structural class I |              |               |
|---------------------------|---------------|---|---|----------------------|--------------|---------------|
| Clostridium sp.           | E02           | 1 | 1 | lanthipeptide, 1     | Yes          | River Dee     |
|                           |               |   |   |                      |              | Seament       |
|                           |               |   |   | lanthipeptide        |              |               |
| Dorea longicatena         | 1001175st1    | 2 | 1 | No Cluster           | Yes          | Human Stool   |
| 0                         | _H1           |   |   | present              |              |               |
|                           | D2 (11        | 1 | 1 | No Cluster           | NT.          | II            |
| Dorea longicatena         | PSWCII        | 1 | 1 | present              | NO           | Human Faeces  |
| uncultured                | 2789STDY      |   |   |                      |              |               |
| Clostridium sp.           | 5834873       | 0 | 1 | Lasso peptide        | No           | Human Faeces  |
|                           |               |   |   | 1 structural class I |              |               |
|                           | Marseille-    |   |   | lanthipeptide, 1     |              |               |
| Clostridium sp.           | P2538         | 1 | 1 | class II             | Yes          | Human Faeces  |
|                           | 12000         |   |   | lanthinentide        |              |               |
|                           |               |   |   | lanunpeptide         |              |               |
|                           |               |   |   |                      |              | The Hungate   |
|                           |               |   |   |                      |              | 1000. A       |
| Pseudobutvrivibrio        |               |   |   |                      |              | catalogue of  |
| <u>sn</u>                 | <u>49</u>     | 1 | 1 | 4 - Lanthipeptide    | Yes          | reference     |
| <u>sp.</u>                |               |   |   |                      | genomes from |               |
|                           |               |   |   |                      |              | the rumen     |
|                           |               |   |   |                      |              | microbiome.   |
|                           |               |   |   |                      |              | The Hungate   |
|                           |               |   |   |                      |              | 1000. A       |
|                           |               |   |   |                      |              | catalogue of  |
| <u>Pseudobutyrivibrio</u> | <u>UC1225</u> | 1 | 1 | 4 - Lanthipeptide    | Yes          | reference     |
| <u>sp.</u>                |               |   |   |                      |              | genomes from  |
|                           |               |   |   |                      |              | the rumen     |
|                           |               |   |   |                      |              | microbiomo    |
|                           |               |   |   | 4                    |              | incrobiolile. |
| Dorea sp.                 | Marseille-    |   | 1 | I structural class I | Yes          | Human Stool   |
|                           | P4042         |   |   | lanthipeptide        |              |               |

| uncultured           |   |   |                      | lanthipeptides                        |                |               |
|----------------------|---|---|----------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------|---------------|
|                      |   |   |                      | (LanM) and 2                          |                |               |
| Clostridiales        | UMGS361                                 | 0 | 1                    | structural                            | No             | Human Gut     |
| bacterium            |   |   |                      | gallidermin like                      |                |               |
|                      |   |   |                      | structural genes                      |                |               |
|                      |   |   | 1 structural class I |                                       |                |               |
| Clastridium in diaum | DI \$10                                 |   |                      | lanthipeptide, 2                      |                | Sludge of     |
|                      | utes) A1B 1 1 class II<br>lanthipeptide |   | class II             | Yes                                   | industrial     |               |
| (firmicutes)         |   |   | lanthipeptide        |                                       | effluent plant |               |
|                      |   |   |                      | (lanM)                                |                |               |
| ~                    | AM25-                                   |   |                      | UviB like                             |                |               |
| Clostridium sp.      | 23AC                                    | 1 | 0                    | structural peptides                   | No             | Human Faeces  |
| Clostridium sp.      | AE28 12                                 | 1 | 1                    | No cluster present                    | No             | Human Eagoos  |
| (firmicutes)         | AI 20-12                                | 1 | 1                    | No cluster present                    | NO             | Human Pacces  |
| Clostridiaceae       |   |   |                      |                                       |                |               |
| bacterium            | AF02-42                                 | 1 | 1                    | Ranthipeptide                         | No             | Human Faeces  |
| (firmicutes)         |   |   |                      |                                       |                |               |
| Clostridiaceae       |   |   |                      | Partial cluster, no                   |                |               |
| bacterium            | TF01-6                                  | 2 | 1                    | structural genes                      | No             | Human Faeces  |
| (firmicutes)         |   |   |                      | sir detarar genes                     |                |               |
| <u>Blautia obeum</u> | <u>TM09-</u>                            | 1 | 1                    | 4 - Lanthinentide                     | Ves            | Human Faeces  |
| (firmicutes)         | <u>13AC</u>                             | 1 | 1                    | + Lanunpeptide                        | 105            | Human Facees  |
| Dorea                | TF09-3                                  | 0 | 1                    | No cluster present                    | Yes            | Human Faeces  |
| formicigenerans      |   | ÷ |                      | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |                |               |
| Ruminococcus         | AE27 ADU                                | 2 | 1                    | 3 class II                            | Vas            | Humon Econos  |
| gnavus (firmicutes)  | АГ27-4ВН                                | 3 | 1                    | lantibiotic                           | 1 88           | riuman Faeces |
| Eubacterium rectale  | AF25-15                                 | 0 | 1                    | No Cluster                            | Yes            | Human Faeces  |
|                      | _                                       |   |                      | present                               |                |               |

|                                       |                     |   |   | No Cluster  |     |                   |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------|---|---|---|-----|-------------------|
| Dorea longicatena                     | AF17-8AC            | 1 | 0 | present (2 class I<br>structural genes)           | Yes | Human Faeces      |
| [Eubacterium]                         |                     |   |   | 3 - bacteriocin                                   |     |                   |
| rectale                               | AF17-27             | 0 | 1 | structural genes                                  | Yes | Human Faeces      |
| <i>Ruminococcus</i> sp. (firmicutes)  | AF32-2AC            | 1 | 1 | 1 class I<br>lanthipeptide                        | Yes | Human Faeces      |
| Ruminococcus sp. (firmicutes)         | AM49-8              | 1 | 1 | 1 class I<br>lanthipeptide                        | Yes | Human Faeces      |
| Ruminococcus sp. (firmicutes)         | AM49-<br>10BH       | 1 | 1 | 1 class I<br>lanthipeptide                        | Yes | Human Faeces      |
| Ruminococcus sp.                      | OM04-4AA            | 0 | 2 | 1 class I<br>lanthipeptide                        | Yes | Human Faeces      |
| [Ruminococcus]<br>gnavus (firmicutes) | AF13-14A            | 3 | 1 | 1 class I<br>lanthipeptide                        | Yes | Human Faeces      |
| [Eubacterium]<br>rectale              | 2789STDY<br>5834884 | 0 | 1 | Bacteriocin<br>cluster - no<br>structural peptide | No  | Human Faeces      |
| <i>Blautia</i> sp. (firmicutes)       | YL58                | 1 | 1 | 5 - Lanthipeptide                                 | Yes | Mouse Ceca        |
| <i>Merdimonas faecis</i> (firmicutes) | BR31                | 2 | 1 | 2 class I<br>lanthipeptide (1<br>with lanM)       | Yes | Human Stool       |
| Drancourtella sp. (firmicutes)        | An177               | 1 | 1 | 1 class I<br>lanthipeptide                        | No  | Chicken<br>Caecum |
| Blautia coccoides<br>(firmicutes)     | YL58                | 1 | 1 | 5 - Lanthipeptide                                 | Yes | Mouse Ceca        |
| Hungatella sp. (firmicutes)           | UBA3048             | 1 | 1 | 1 class I<br>lanthipeptide                        | No  | Wood              |
|                                       |                     |   |   |   |     |                   |

| Hungatella<br>xylanolytica<br>(firmicutes) | DSM 3808 | 1 | 1 | 1 class I<br>lanthipeptide | Yes | Sequencing as<br>part of the<br>Genomic<br>Encyclopedia of<br>Archaeal and<br>Bacterial Type<br>Strains, Phase II |
|--|----------|---|---|----------------------------|-----|---|
|  |          |   |   |                            |     | Strains, Phase II   |
|  |          |   |   |                            |     | project   |

**Table 3.3.4.** Analysis of the 59 *Lachnospiraceae* genomes that contained either *nsoB* and/or *nsoC*. The presence or absence of the *nsoB* or *nsoC* genes, the bacteriocin biosynthetic gene cluster, presence or absence of immunity genes and isolation source are displayed. Bacterial and strain names in bold and underlined indicate those genomes which contained four putative structural peptides within the biosynthetic gene cluster.

The 34 genomes that contained both *nsoB* and *nsoC* were used to manually search for the presence of the nisin O cluster and an additional two genomes with nisin O-like clusters were found, *Pseudobutyrivibrio* sp. 49 and *Pseudobutyrivibrio* sp. UC1225, based on the presence of four putative structural peptides (Table 3.3.4). However, both clusters were split between two contigs (Figure 3.3.1). This was because the assembly was broken into two scaffolds with partial genes where the annotation pipeline does not correctly recognise the start and the end of the genes, thus splitting the cluster into two. With the addition of these two genomes 10 nisin O-like clusters have been identified in members of the *Lachnospiraceae* family. It was also seen in a number of other nisin gene operons that the clusters appear to be split over two contigs, particularly around the putative structural genes, which was likely due to the repetitive nature of the genes causing a break in the assembly (Figure 3.3.1).

The number of structural peptides differed across the clusters; however, this was most likely an assembly breakage point from the automatic annotation performed in Geneious R11. This can clearly be seen in the BAGEL4 output for *B. obeum* A2-162 which only identifies one structural gene rather than four (Figure 3.3.1). Interestingly, none of the clusters identified have a protease like that of LanP in *B. producta* SCSK (Kim, Becattini *et al.* 2019). In the case of the cluster found in *R. gnavus* AF33-12 the cluster was identified in AntiSmash, however it was not recognised in BAGEL4. Additionally, the analysis using Orthofinder failed to identify *D. longicatena* 1001136B-160425 as a genome that contained both *nsoB* and *nsoC*.





#### Ruminococcus gnavus AF33-12



**Figure 3.3.1.** Nisin O-like clusters found in *Lachnospiraceae*. All clusters are shown using the output from BAGEL4, except the cluster from *Ruminococcus gnavus* AF33-12 which is displayed using AntiSmash output.

Curiously, the clusters identified in Blautia sp. AM47-4, Ruminococcus sp. AM50-15BH, D. formicigenerans AM37-5 and D. longicatena 1001136B-160425 had very high synteny with the original nisin O cluster found in B. obeum A2-162. The BAGEL4 output for B. obeum AM27-32LB and B. obeum TM09-13AC identified only half of a nisin O-like cluster, with the cluster further identified through manual analysis of the genome in Geneious R11, which showed similar synteny to the B. obeum A2-162 cluster. However, the clusters for the two *Pseudobutyrivibrio* species, although identical to each other in terms of their gene presence and order, differed from the nisin O cluster in B. obeum A2-162. This can clearly be observed using the BAGEL4 analysis as although there are four structural genes present the locations of the modification, immunity and regulatory genes are found in different places when compared to the B. obeum A2-162 cluster. This is also seen for the cluster identified in R. gnavus AF33-12, where the location of the modification and regulatory genes are found in different *loci* compared to the nisin O cluster. From all the clusters identified either directly using the BAGEL4 analysis or further analysis searching the whole genome, two TCSs were present within the biosynthetic gene clusters, except those from the *Pseudobutyrivibrio* strains and R. gnavus AF33-12 which only contained one regulatory system. Additionally, in all clusters no putative protease, which is required for leader peptide cleavage and hence activation of the antimicrobial peptide was found within the cluster.

#### 3.3.4 Homology Between NsoA and LanA Peptides from Nisin O-Like Clusters

To investigate whether the amino acid homology between NsoA1-4 and the sequences from the LanA1-4 genes, termed LanA as it was unknown at this stage of the study whether these peptides were indeed homologues of nisin O, were aligned to assess the conserved residues. Overall NsoA1 and the corresponding LanA1 had a similarity of 66.7% across all protein sequences, with a conserved DFDLD region within the leader peptide and CTPGC in the core peptide across all peptides (Figure 3.3.2 A). These residues in the leader and core peptides are also conserved in other nisin variants (Hatziioanou, Gherghisan-Filip *et al.* 2017). However, it was clearly observed that the LanA1 peptides from *R. gnavus* A533-12, *Pseudobutyrivibrio* sp. 49 and *Pseudobutyrivibrio* sp. UC1225 had very different amino acid percentage identity to NsoA1 at 30.51%, 43.10% and 43.10%, respectively (Figure 3.3.2 B). Within the core peptide there was a high level of conservation of serine, cysteine and threonine residues which is important for lanthionine ring formation. This was also seen across the LanA2, LanA3 and LanA4 peptides. However, there was less conservation in the *Pseudobutyrivibrio* species and *R. gnavus* A533-12 when compared to the structural peptide from *B. obeum* A2-162 (Figures 3.3.2-5A).

| <b>A</b> )                    |   |                      |             |        |        |                      |         |                   |                    |
|-------------------------------|---|----------------------|-------------|--------|--------|----------------------|---------|-------------------|--------------------|
|                               | 1                                       | 10                   | 20          |        | 30     | 37                   | 40      | 50                | 60                 |
| Consensus                     | MAKE-DDFD                               | ) L D V T - I        | KTAAQG      | GEPKY  | KSKSAC | C T P G C P T        | GILMTCP | LKTATCGC          | - H T G K          |
|                               |   |                      |             |        |        |                      |         |                   |                    |
| <i>B. obeum</i> A2-162        | MGKF-DDFD                               | ) L D V T - I        | KTAAQG      | GEPKY  | KSKSAC | CTPGCPT              | GILMTCP | LKTATCGC-         | H T G K            |
| B. obeum AM27-32LB            | M                                       | ) L D V T - I        | KTAAQG      | GEPKY  | KSKSAC | CTPGCPT              | GILMTCP | LKTATCGC-         | H I T G K          |
| <i>B. obeum</i> TM09-13AC     | MAKE-DDFD                               | ) L D V T - [        | KTAAQG      | GEPKY  | KSKSAC | СТРБСРТ              | GILMTCP | LKTATCGC-         | H I T G K          |
| Blautia AM47-4                | MAKF-DDFD                               | ) L D V T - [        | KTAARG      | GEPKY  | KSKSAC | CTPGCPT              | GILMTCP | LKTATCGC-         | H T G K            |
| D. longicatena 1001136B       | M                                       | ) L D V T - I        | KTAAQG      | GEPKY  | KSKSAC | CTPGCPT              | GILMTCP | LKTATCGC-         | H T G K            |
| D. formicigenerans AM37-5     | $M \land K \models - D \vdash F \vdash$ | ) L D V T - I        | KTAAQG      | GEPKY  | KSKSVO | C T P G C P T        | GILMTCP | LKTATCGC-         | H T G K            |
| Ruminococcus AM50-15BH        | M                                       | ) L D V T - I        | KTAAQG      | GEPKY  | KSKSAC | <u>C T P G C P T</u> | GILMTGP | LKTATCGC          | H T G K            |
| <i>R. gnavus</i> A533-12      | MQMNKDFD                                | <u>d l n l k</u> a i | D K S T T E | GPAPRI | ΤSVAY( | СТРССТ               | GDLCGSS | ECCLTRNCT         | r g s 🛛 l c A      |
| Pseudobutyrivibrio sp. 49     | MAKLKNDFD                               | DLDLTV               | SKDNTK      | SADVQF | KSLSLO | <u>C T P G C P T</u> | GILMGCH | - K C P S G S D - | T V Y T K          |
| Pseudobutyrivibrio sp. UC1225 | MAKLKNDFD                               | DLDLTV               | SKDNTK      | SADVQF | KSISLO | <u>СТР G С РТ</u>    | GILMGCH | - K C P S G S D - | $ \top V Y \top K$ |

| <b>B</b> )                            | tion   | B. obeum Al | B.obeum P. | ACT-3218 | Bautia AN | AT.A. D. Iongicul | P. P. Pomiciel | Penerons<br>Ruminococ<br>Lash | R. Branch | Pseudohumin<br>Pseudohumin<br>Land LC | ibrio. |
|---------------------------------------|--------|-------------|------------|----------|-----------|-------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|-----------|---------------------------------------|--------|
| NsoA1 - B. obeum A2-162               | -      | 100%        | 98.21%     | 96.43%   | 100%      | 96.43%            | 98.21%         | 30.51%                        | 43.10%    | 43.10%                                |        |
| LanA1 - B. obeum AM27-32LB            | 100%   | -           | 98.21%     | 96.43%   | 100%      | 96.43%            | 98.21%         | 30.51%                        | 43.10%    | 43.10%                                |        |
| LanA1 - B. obeum TM09-13AC            | 98.21% | 98.21%      | -          | 98.21%   | 98.21%    | 98.21%            | 96.43%         | 30.51%                        | 44.83%    | 44.83%                                |        |
| LanA1 - Blautia AM47-4                | 96.43% | 96.43%      | 98.21%     | -        | 96.43%    | 96.43%            | 94.64%         | 30.51%                        | 44.83%    | 44.83%                                |        |
| LanA1 - D. longicatena 1001136B       | 100%   | 100%        | 98.21%     | 96.43%   | -         | 96.43%            | 98.21%         | 30.51%                        | 43.10%    | 43.10%                                |        |
| LanA1 - D. formicigenerans AM37-5     | 96.43% | 96.43%      | 98.21%     | 96.43%   | 96.43%    | -                 | 94.64%         | 30.51%                        | 44.83%    | 44.83%                                |        |
| LanA1 - Ruminoc occus AM50-15BH       | 98.21% | 98.21%      | 96.43%     | 94.64%   | 98.21%    | 94.64%            | -              | 30.51%                        | 41.38%    | 41.38%                                |        |
| LanA1 - R. gnavus A533-12             | 30.51% | 30.51%      | 30.51%     | 30.51%   | 30.51%    | 30.51%            | 30.51%         | -                             | 30.51%    | 30.51%                                |        |
| LanA1 - Pseudobuytrivibrio sp. 49     | 43.10% | 43.10%      | 44.83%     | 44.83%   | 43.10%    | 44.83%            | 41.38%         | 30.51%                        | -         | 100%                                  |        |
| LanA1 - Pseudobuytrivibrio sp. UC1225 | 43.10% | 43.10%      | 44.83%     | 44.83%   | 43.10%    | 44.83%            | 41.38%         | 30.51%                        | 100%      | -                                     |        |

**Figure 3.3.2.** A) A Muscle alignment of LanA1 amino acid sequences from novel nisin Olike clusters compared with NsoA1 from *B. obeum* A2-162. B) Percentage identity heatmap of LanA1 and NsoA1 amino acid sequences compared with each other.

Further analysis of the NsoA2 and NsoA3 homologues, termed LanA2 and LanA3 from those found in the new nisin O-like cluster, respectively, was performed in the same manner as NsoA1. Again, it was found that LanA1 peptides from *R. gnavus* A533-12, *Pseudobutyrivibrio* sp. 49 and *Pseudobutyrivibrio* sp. UC1225 LanA2 and LanA3 peptides shared far less homology to NsoA2 (36.21%, 50.00% and 50.00%, respectively) and NsoA3 (31.58%, 46.55% and 46.55%, respectively) compared with the other LanA2 and LanA3 amino acid sequences from the other bacterial species which were all greater that 98.21% for both LanA2 and LanA3 (Figure 3.3.3 B and Figure 3.3.4 B). The same conserved regions as seen in the NsoA1 comparison were present in this alignment (Figure 3.3.3 A and Figure 3.3.4 A). Curiously, *D. longicatena* 100136B-160425 and *Ruminococcus* AM50-15BH did not contain a *lanA3* in their annotated genome, however, as both NsoA2 and NsoA3 are identical in their amino acid sequence it is possible that the LanA3 was mis-annotated during the assembly of the genome and was therefore missing from the biosynthetic gene cluster. Furthermore, the amino similarities between LanA2 and LanA3 with NsoA2 and NsoA3, respectively, was not an exact match within all clusters with the glutamine residue at position 19 replaced with an

arginine in the LanA2 peptide of *B. obeum* TM09-13AC, *Blautia* AM47-4 and *D. formicigenerans* AM37-5 and the alanine at position 31 in NsoA3 replaced with a valine in *D. formicigenerans* AM37-5 (Figure 3.3.3A). This is unlike what is observed in *B. obeum* A2-162 where the NsoA2 and NsoA3 are identical.



**Figure 3.3.3.** A) A Muscle alignment of LanA2 amino acid sequences from novel nisin Olike clusters compared with NsoA2 from *B. obeum* A2-162. B) Percentage identity heatmap of LanA2 and NsoA2 amino acid sequences compared with each other.

50.00%

50.00%

50.00%

50.00%

39.66%

100%

LanA2 - Pseudobuytrivibrio sp. UC1225

50.00%

50.00%

50.00%



| Consensus MAKED - DEDLD  | □ - K T A A   | 20<br><b>QGGIE</b>                                 | PKYKS   | <sup>30</sup><br>K S A C T   | PGCPT  | <sup>40</sup><br>GILMT   | C P L   |  | 5©H∎TGK                |
|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|---|--|------------------------|
| B. obeum A2-162 MAKED - DEDLDY<br>B. obeum AM27-32LB MAKED - DEDLDY<br>B. obeum TM09-13AC MAKED - DEDLDY<br>Blautia AM47-4 MAKED - DEDLDY<br>D. formicigenerans AM37-5 MAKED - DEDLDY<br>R. gnavus A533-12 MAKED - DEDLDY<br>Pseudobutryivibrio sp. 49 MEKENNDENLDY<br>Pseudobutryvibrio sp. UC1225 MEKENNDENLDY | Image: Total and the second state     Image: Total and the second state <td></td> <td>P K Y K S<br/>P R I T R<br/>V K I T S</td> <td>K S A C T<br/>K S A C T<br/>K S A C T<br/>K S A C T<br/>K S V C T<br/>V A Y C T<br/>K S L C T<br/>K S L C T</td> <td><u>P G C P T</u><br/><u>P G C P T</u><br/><u>P G C P T</u><br/><u>P G C P T</u><br/><u>P G C V T</u><br/><u>P G C V T</u><br/><u>P G C V T</u></td> <td></td> <td>P     -     -     L       P     -     -     L       P     -     -     L       P     -     -     L       C     P     -     -     L       C     P     -     -     L       C     P     -     -     L       C     P     -     -     L       C     A     -     -     G       C     A     -     -     G</td> <td>K T A T C (<br/>K T A T C (<br/>L T R N C (<br/>S S A T C (<br/>S S A T C (</td> <td></td> |  | P K Y K S<br>P R I T R<br>V K I T S | K S A C T<br>K S A C T<br>K S A C T<br>K S A C T<br>K S V C T<br>V A Y C T<br>K S L C T<br>K S L C T | <u>P G C P T</u><br><u>P G C P T</u><br><u>P G C P T</u><br><u>P G C P T</u><br><u>P G C V T</u><br><u>P G C V T</u><br><u>P G C V T</u> |  | P     -     -     L       P     -     -     L       P     -     -     L       P     -     -     L       C     P     -     -     L       C     P     -     -     L       C     P     -     -     L       C     P     -     -     L       C     A     -     -     G       C     A     -     -     G | K T A T C (<br>K T A T C (<br>L T R N C (<br>S S A T C (<br>S S A T C ( |                        |
| <b>B</b> )   | - PA  | B.oheun A.I  | a oberna A  | B. obenning  | and and a start a  | formicigener   | uns Renaus AS   | Pseudoputyini  | orioso interioritation |
|  | ÷.  | 1201   | 1251  | 1 an   | 1 2 v  | ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~                             | · * %   | <u>چ</u> ک   |                        |
| NsoA3 - B. obeum A2-162  | ~~<br>-   | 100%   | 100%  | 100%   | → <sub>A</sub> S <sup>A</sup><br>98.21%  | 31.58%   | 46.55%  | چې<br>46.55%   |                        |
| NsoA3 - B. obeum A2-162<br>LanA3 - B. obeum AM27-32LB  | -<br>100%   | 100%   | 100%  | 100%   | 98.21%<br>98.21%   | 31.58%<br>31.58%   | 46.55%<br>46.55%  | 46.55%<br>46.55%   |                        |
| NsoA3 - B. obeum A2-162<br>LanA3 - B. obeum AM27-32LB<br>LanA3 - B. obeum TM09-13AC  | -<br>100%<br>100%   | 100%   | 100%<br>100%<br>-   | 7 <sup>300</sup><br>100%<br>100%   | 98.21%<br>98.21%<br>98.21%   | 31.58%<br>31.58%<br>31.58%   | 46.55%<br>46.55%<br>46.55%  | 46.55%<br>46.55%<br>46.55%   |                        |
| NsoA3 - B. obeum A2-162<br>LanA3 - B. obeum AM27-32LB<br>LanA3 - B. obeum TM09-13AC<br>LanA3 - Blautia AM47-4  | -<br>100%<br>100%   | -<br>100%<br>-<br>100%<br>100%                     | 100%<br>100%<br>-<br>100%   | 100%<br>100%<br>100%   | 98.21%<br>98.21%<br>98.21%<br>98.21%   | 31.58%<br>31.58%<br>31.58%<br>31.58%                               | 46.55%<br>46.55%<br>46.55%<br>46.55%  | 46.55%<br>46.55%<br>46.55%<br>46.55%   |                        |
| NsoA3 - B. obeum A2-162<br>LanA3 - B. obeum AM27-32LB<br>LanA3 - B. obeum TM09-13AC<br>LanA3 - Blautia AM47-4<br>LanA3 - D. formicigenerans AM37-5   | -<br>100%<br>100%<br>100%<br>98.21%   | 100%<br>-<br>100%<br>100%<br>98.21%                | 28 <sup>50</sup><br>100%<br>100%<br>-<br>100%<br>98.21%                                 | √ <sup>25</sup> <sup>10</sup><br>100%<br>100%<br>-<br>98.21%   | 98.21%<br>98.21%<br>98.21%<br>98.21%   | 31.58%<br>31.58%<br>31.58%<br>31.58%<br>31.58%                     | 46.55%<br>46.55%<br>46.55%<br>46.55%<br>46.55%  | 46.55%<br>46.55%<br>46.55%<br>46.55%<br>46.55%   |                        |
| NsoA3 - B. obeum A2-162<br>LanA3 - B. obeum AM27-32LB<br>LanA3 - B. obeum TM09-13AC<br>LanA3 - Blautia AM47-4<br>LanA3 - D. formicigenerans AM37-5<br>LanA3 - R. gnavus A533-12  | -<br>100%<br>100%<br>100%<br>98.21%<br>31.58%   | 100%<br>100%<br>100%<br>98.21%<br>31.58%           | 28 <sup>10</sup><br>100%<br>100%<br>-<br>100%<br>98.21%<br>31.58%                       | 100%<br>100%<br>100%<br>-<br>98.21%<br>31.58%  | 98.21%<br>98.21%<br>98.21%<br>98.21%<br>98.21%<br>-<br>31.58%  | 31.58%<br>31.58%<br>31.58%<br>31.58%<br>31.58%<br>31.58%           | 46.55%<br>46.55%<br>46.55%<br>46.55%<br>46.55%<br>35.09%  | 46.55%<br>46.55%<br>46.55%<br>46.55%<br>46.55%<br>35.09%   |                        |
| NsoA3 - B. obeum A2-162<br>LanA3 - B. obeum AM27-32LB<br>LanA3 - B. obeum TM09-13AC<br>LanA3 - Blautia AM47-4<br>LanA3 - D. formicigenerans AM37-5<br>LanA3 - R. gnavus A533-12<br>LanA3 - Pseudobuytrivibrio sp. 49   | -<br>100%<br>100%<br>100%<br>98.21%<br>31.58%<br>46.55%   | 100%<br>100%<br>100%<br>98.21%<br>31.58%<br>46.55% | × <sup>35</sup><br>100%<br>100%<br>-<br>100%<br>98.21%<br>31.58%<br>46.55%              | ×3 <sup>5</sup><br>100%<br>100%<br>100%<br>98.21%<br>31.58%<br>46.55%                                | 98.21%<br>98.21%<br>98.21%<br>98.21%<br>98.21%<br>-<br>31.58%<br>46.55%  | 31.58%<br>31.58%<br>31.58%<br>31.58%<br>31.58%<br>31.58%<br>31.58% | 46.55%<br>46.55%<br>46.55%<br>46.55%<br>46.55%<br>35.09%  | 46.55%<br>46.55%<br>46.55%<br>46.55%<br>46.55%<br>35.09%<br>100%   |                        |

**Figure 3.3.4.** A) A Muscle alignment of LanA3 amino acid sequences from novel nisin Olike clusters compared with NsoA3 from *B. obeum* A2-162. B) Percentage identity heatmap of LanA3 and NsoA3 amino acid sequences compared with each other.

The homology to NsoA4 was the lowest of the four structural peptides with an overall identity percentage of 57.3%. The protein sequences from LanA4 from *R. gnavus* A533-12, *Pseudobutyrivibrio* sp. 49 and *Pseudobutyrivibrio* sp. UC1225 as with the other three structural peptides have largely dissimilar sequence identity at 33.93%, 37.29% and 37.29%, respectively, when compared to NsoA4 (Figure 3.3.5 B). Furthermore, the LanA4 of *D. formicigenerans* AM37-5 also has a low LanA4 amino acid percentage identity when compared to NsoA4 at 32.14%. A conserved domain of DFDLD is maintained in the leader peptide and all predicted core peptides begin with ITS (Figure 3.3.5 A), which is also conserved in the core peptides of nisin A, F, Q, Z and U (Hatziioanou, Gherghisan-Filip *et al.* 2017).



| LanA4 - B. obeum AM27-32LB            | 100%   | -      | 94.74% | 96.49% | 100%   | 32.14% | 100%   | 33.93% | 37.29% | 37.29% |
|---------------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| LanA4 - B. obeum TM09-13AC            | 94.74% | 94.74% | -      | 98%    | 94.74% | 32.14% | 94.74% | 33.33% | 35.59% | 35.59% |
| LanA4 - Blautia AM47-4                | 96.49% | 96.49% | 98%    | -      | 96.49% | 33.93% | 96.49% | 33.33% | 37.29% | 37.29% |
| LanA4 - D. longicatena 1001136B       | 100%   | 100%   | 94.74% | 96.49% | -      | 32.14% | 100%   | 33.93% | 37.29% | 37.29% |
| LanA4 - D. formicigenerans AM37-5     | 32.14% | 32.14% | 32.14% | 33.93% | 32.14% | -      | 32.14% | 24.07% | 36.84% | 36.84% |
| LanA4 - Ruminococcus AM50-15BH        | 100%   | 100%   | 94.74% | 96.49% | 100%   | 32.14% | -      | 33.93% | 37.29% | 37.29% |
| LanA4 - R. gnavus A533-12             | 33.93% | 33.93% | 33.33% | 33.33% | 33.93% | 24.07% | 33.93% | -      | 38.18% | 38.18% |
| LanA4 - Pseudobuytrivibrio sp. 49     | 37.29% | 37.29% | 35.59% | 37.29% | 37.29% | 36.84% | 37.29% | 38.18% | -      | 100%   |
| LanA4 - Pseudobuytrivibrio sp. UC1225 | 37.29% | 37.29% | 35.59% | 37.29% | 37.29% | 36.84% | 37.29% | 38.18% | 100%   | -      |

**Figure 3.3.5.** A) A Muscle alignment of LanA4 amino acid sequences from novel nisin Olike clusters compared with NsoA4 from *B. obeum* A2-162. B) Percentage identity heatmap of LanA4 and NsoA4 amino acid sequences compared with each other.

## 3.3.5 Homology Between NsoB and NsoC with LanB and LanC from Nisin O-

## like Clusters

Analysis of the LanB and LanC amino acid sequences from the nisin O-like clusters aligned using NsoB and NsoC as template sequences, respectively, again showed a high level of similarity between the proteins produced by the *B. obeum* A2-162 cluster and those found in *B. obeum* TM09-13AC, *Blautia* sp. AM47-4, *Ruminococcus* sp. AM50-15BH, *D. formicigenerans* AM37-5 and *D. longicatena* 1001136B-160425 (Figure 3.3.6 A). This residue amino acid percentage identity ranged from 98.72% to 100% identity (Figure 3.3.6 B). Interestingly, the sequence for the LanB found in the *B. obeum* AM27-32LB cluster only had a similarity of 56.70% (Figure 3.3.6 B). Upon inspection of this sequence, it was confirmed that the N-terminus of the LanB was an exact match to NsoB however beyond position 549 of the protein sequence there was very little sequence homology at the C-terminal end of the protein (Figure 3.3.6 A). Furthermore, it was observed that the amino acid sequence identity between *R. gnavus* AF33-12 and the two *Pseudobutyrivibrio* sp. 49 and *Pseudobutyrivibrio* sp. UC1225 had minimal homology to NsoB at 28.27%, 34.51% and 34.70%, respectively (Figure 3.3.6 B).



**Figure 3.3.6.** A) A Muscle alignment of LanB amino acid sequences from novel nisin O-like clusters compared with NsoB from *B. obeum* A2-162. B) Percentage identity heatmap of LanB and NsoB amino acid sequences compared with each other.

Further analysis of the NsoC like proteins from the novel clusters showed that LanC of *B. obeum* AM27-32LB, *B. obeum* TM09-13AC, *Blautia* sp. AM47-4, *Ruminococcus* sp. AM50-15BH, *D. formicigenerans* AM37-5 and *D. longicatena* 1001136B-160425 all had very high

amino acid sequence similarity, ranging from 86.31% to 100% amino acid sequence identity (Figure 3.3.7 A and B). Curiously, from residue 392 of NsoC the similarity of LanC amino acid sequence from the strains *B. obeum* AM27-LB and *Blautia* AM47-4 is greatly reduced, as seen in Figure 3.3.7 A. As previously seen the homology of *R. gnavus* AF33-12 and the two *Pseudobutyrivibrio* sp. 49 and *Pseudobutyrivibrio* sp. UC1225 was poor when compared to NsoB at 23.62%, 30.09% and 30.09%, respectively (Figure 3.3.7 B).



|                                      |        | *      | Ŷ      | Ŷ      | Ŷ      | ,      | *      |        | × .    | ~~~    |  |
|--------------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--|
| NsoC - B. obeum A2-162               | -      | 86.31% | 99.11% | 87.81% | 99.11% | 100%   | 88.71% | 23.62% | 30.09% | 30.09% |  |
| LanC - B. obeum AM27-32LB            | 86.31% | -      | 85.65% | 86.26% | 85.65% | 86.07% | 86.94% | 19.43% | 27.52% | 27.52% |  |
| LanC - B. obeum TM09-13AC            | 99.11% | 85.65% | -      | 89%    | 100%   | 99.09% | 87.81% | 23.84% | 30.09% | 30.09% |  |
| LanC - Blantia AM47-4                | 87.81% | 86.26% | 89%    | -      | 88.71% | 87.59% | 99.02% | 20.81% | 27.46% | 27.46% |  |
| LanC - D. longicatena 1001136B       | 99.11% | 85.65% | 100%   | 88.71% | -      | 99.09% | 87.81% | 23.84% | 30.09% | 30.09% |  |
| LanC - D. formicigenerans AM37-5     | 100%   | 86.07% | 99.09% | 87.59% | 99.09% | -      | 88.51% | 23.94% | 30.09% | 30.09% |  |
| LanC - Ruminococcus AM50-15BH        | 88.71% | 86.94% | 87.81% | 99.02% | 87.81% | 88.51% | -      | 20.58% | 27.46% | 27.46% |  |
| LanC - R. gnavus A533-12             | 23.62% | 19.43% | 23.84% | 20.81% | 23.84% | 23.94% | 20.58% | -      | 25.74% | 25.74% |  |
| LanC - Pseudobuytrivibrio sp. 49     | 30.09% | 27.52% | 30.09% | 27.46% | 30.09% | 30.09% | 27.46% | 25.74% | -      | 100%   |  |
| LanC - Pseudobuytrivibrio sp. UC1225 | 30.09% | 27.52% | 30.09% | 27.46% | 30.09% | 30.09% | 27.46% | 25.74% | 100%   | -      |  |

**Figure 3.3.7.** A) A Muscle alignment of LanC amino acid sequences from novel nisin O-like clusters compared with NsoC from *B. obeum* A2-162. B) Percentage identity heatmap of LanC and NsoC amino acid sequences compared with each other.

To further assess the relatedness of the modification machinery within the nisin O-like clusters the nsoB and nsoC genes were concatenated and used to make a neighbour-joining phylogenetic tree (Figure 3.3.8). This was in order to understand the similarity of these genes

within the cluster and, when used with the homology data previously described, would allow for a greater understanding of which clusters are more similar when compared to each other. In addition to the nisin O-like clusters the *nisB-nisC* and *nszB-nszC* from the nisin A (*L. lactis* sp. *lactis*) and nisin Z (*L. lactis* IO-1) clusters, respectively, were also included as reference genes. The phylogenetic tree shows that both *Pseudobutyrivibrio* species cluster together as well as both *L. lactis* species. The clustering of *B. obeum* AM27-32LB, *B. obeum* TM09-13AC, *Blautia* sp. AM47-4, *Ruminococcus* sp. AM50-15BH, *D. formicigenerans* AM37-5 and *D. longicatena* 1001136B-160425 all cluster together with a slight sub-clustering of *D. formicigenerans* AM37-5 and *B. obeum* TM09-13AC. Interestingly, *Blautia* AM47-4 has the least similar *lanB-lanC* genes of the clusters that had high percentage identities (Figure 3.3.8).





An additional neighbour-joining phylogenetic tree was created using all genes within the novel clusters to investigate whether the data seen in figure 3.3.8 was repeatable on a whole cluster comparison. The lantibiotic cluster identified in *B. producta* SCSK was also included as a reference as this cluster has a high similarity to the nisin O cluster in terms of the location of genes within the cluster (Kim, Becattini *et al.* 2019). The nisin O-like clusters form two sub-groups, one containing *B. obeum* A2-162, *B. obeum* AM27-32LB, *Ruminococcus* sp. AM50-15BH and *D. longicatena* 1001136B-160425 and the other cluster containing *B. obeum* TM09-13AC, *Blautia* sp. AM47-4 and *D. formicigenerans* AM37-5 (Figure 3.3.9).

As with the previous tree the two *Pseudobutyrivibrio* species, which cluster together, and the *R. gnavus* A533-12 are the least similar to the nisin O cluster from *B. obeum* A2-126. The lantibiotic cluster in *B. producta* SCSK however is more similar comparatively to the biosynthetic gene cluster of nisin O than these species (Figure 3.3.9).



Figure 3.3.9. Neighbour-joining tree of nisin O-like clusters.

Based on the data from both the homology analysis of the LanA1-4, LanB and LanC peptides, as well as the phylogenetic tree using the concatenated *lanB-lanC* and whole clusters, it could be determined that the clusters from both *Pseudobutyrivibrio* species and *R. gnavus* A533-12 were not the nisin O cluster and the remaining novel clusters identified were very likely to be highly similar variants of nisin O. Therefore, to investigate these clusters in more detail a Mauve analysis was used to compare these candidate clusters together to look for similar regions (Figure 3.3.10). Unlike the BAGEL4 analysis, the clusters were manually identified within the genome and the nucleotide sequence extracted prior to the Mauve alignments, therefore selecting all genes within the cluster. All these clusters shared identical syntemy, with one exception in *D. formicigenerans* AM37-5 which contained an IS1182 family transposase within the cluster between the structural peptides and the second regulatory system (Figure 3.3.10). This, therefore, led to a total nucleotide pairwise identity of 89.7% across all seven clusters.



Figure 3.3.10. Mauve alignment of nisin O-like clusters.

# 3.4 Discussion

The structure of the nisin O cluster is unusual when compared to other nisin variants (Kuipers, Beerthuyzen *et al.* 1993, O'Connor, O'Shea *et al.* 2015, Hatziioanou, Gherghisan-Filip *et al.* 2017). However, the investigation by Kim *et al* (2019), which identified a lantibiotic cluster not only similar to nisin O but also produced by a *Blautia* species from the gut environment showed that there is the potential for this cluster to be more widespread than originally thought and not only present in *B. obeum* A2-162 (Kim, Becattini *et al.* 2019).

An initial search in *B. obeum* strains to investigate whether the cluster was present in any other strains led to the discovery of two further strains of *B. obeum*, *B. obeum* AM27-32LB and *B. obeum* TM09-13AC, which contained a four peptide lantibiotic cluster. This analysis also identified that 66% of the 50 genomes analysed had lantibiotic immunity genes present, many of which did not contain any lantibiotic gene cluster. This is a very interesting result as it suggests that the immunity genes that provide protection against nisin O are more widely found in nature and therefore may provide a competitive advantage to the bacteria that have these immunity genes while not having to bear the fitness cost and energy expenditure of maintaining a full lantibiotic cluster. Additionally, this may imply that the nisin O cluster is found in a greater number of species than those identified in this study considering the number of strains which carry immunity genes is so high. Alternatively, these immunity genes may

provide cross immunity from several nisin variants. This result was also seen in a recent study where the *nisFEG* and *nisI* genes were present in 9% of *L. lactis* ssp. *lactis*, *L. lactis* ssp. *cremoris* and *L. lactis* ssp. *cremoris* with *lactis* phenotype, however other elements of the cluster were not. These strains were still able to grow in high nisin concentration environments (van Gijtenbeek, Eckhardt *et al.* 2021). Furthermore, 28% of the *B. obeum* genomes contained a type II lantibiotic with the presence of a *lanM* gene and a plantaricin C-like bacteriocin. Plantaricin C is produced by the dairy strain *Lactobacillus plantarum* LL441 and had been shown to inhibit several Gram-positive bacteria (González, Arca *et al.* 1994, Flórez and Mayo 2018). This analysis of the *B. obeum* genomes discovered a potential new group of lantibiotics that may be used by this species within the gut environment. This indicates that within *B. obeum* strains there is a complex production of multiple bacteriocins to maintain a competitive advantage in the gut and that some of these strains can have immunity without the production of antimicrobials, enabling occupation of niches provided by other bacteriocin-producing strains. However, due to the focus on the nisin O cluster these novel type II lantibiotics were not further investigated in this thesis.

Through BLASTP analysis using the nisin O modification proteins NsoB and NsoC as markers seven clusters were identified through manual searches using BAGEL4 and AntiSmash. Intriguingly, not all these *nsoB* and *nsoC* containing bacteria were from the *Blautia* genus but these genes were also found in *Ruminococcus* and *Dorea* species. Due to the spread of this cluster potentially being widespread over many genera a pan-genome analysis was performed using 2094 *Lachnospiraceae* genomes. This distribution of bacteriocins across multiple genera has been seen in glycocins where 220 new putative glycocin biosynthesis clusters were spread across 153 bacterial species across seven phyla (Singh and Rao 2021).

The pan-genome analysis of *Lachnospiraceae* genoems identified 59 genomes that contained both *nsoB*, *nsoC* or both. The marker genes chosen were *nsoB* and *nsoC* as these were the most specific for the nisin O cluster as the *nsoA1-4* genes were deemed too small and could be mis-matched during the analysis. Additionally, the immunity genes and regulatory genes were not selected as these were shown to be present in bacteria that did not contain the full biosynthetic gene cluster. Each of these 59 genomes were then searched manually using key word searches, BAGEL4 and AntiSmash for the presence of the nisin O biosynthetic cluster, after which two new clusters containing four structural gene were identified in Pseudobutyrivibrio sp. 49 and Pseudobutyrivibrio sp. UC1225. This resulted in 9 novel nisin O-like clusters being identified from a combination of bioinformatic techniques, including BLASTP searches and pan-genome analysis for further investigation. All the bacteria that contained a novel nisin O-like cluster were isolated from the human gut or faeces suggesting that this bacteriocin may play a role within this environment. The gut environment has previously been suggested to be a source for novel antimicrobials as these bacteriocins would co-evolve with both commensal microbes and the human host and therefore could control pathogens with minor disturbance to the commensal gut organisms (Garcia-Gutierrez, Mayer et al. 2019). Furthermore, many other bacteriocins which use modification proteins as part of their biosynthesis were identified as part of the manual curation of these genomes, including zoocin A, lasso family peptides and ranthipeptides. This demonstrates the diversity of bacteriocins present within the family of *Lachnospiraceae* that just contain *nsoB*-like and/or nsoC-like genes. Not only is the variety of bacteriocins diverse but also single strains can contain multiple bacteriocin core peptides ranging from just a singular copy to seven type II lantibiotic peptides in the case of Butyrivibrio sp. X503, which was isolated from buffalo rumen.

Analysis of the amino acid sequence homology of the LanA1-4, LanB and LanC peptides to their equivalents from the nisin O cluster in *B. obeum* A2-162 showed that the amino acid sequences from *B. obeum* AM27-32LB, *B. obeum* TM09-13AC, *Blautia* sp. AM47-4, *Ruminococcus* sp. AM50-15BH, *D. formicigenerans* AM37-5 and *D. longicatena* 1001136B-160425 were indeed nisin O as they had consistently high percentage identities across all residue sequences analysed. However, *R. gnavus* A533-12 had consistently low sequence homology to the reference amino acid sequence of nisin O and could not therefore be deemed

as a nisin O cluster. Furthermore, the homology and percentage identity from both Pseudobutyrivibrio sp. P49 and Pseudobutyrivibrio sp. UC1225 were again consistently low, yet slightly higher than R. gnavus A533-12. Intriguingly, the clusters from these Pseudobutyrivibrio strains were nearly identical both in terms of the gene synteny and the amino acid sequence of the proteins tested. This therefore indicates that even if a cluster is identified that contains a similar gene synteny and the same number of structural peptides it is important to analyse the data on a deeper level to avoid the risk of incorrectly assuming that the cluster of origin, in this case the nisin O cluster, is present. It was observed that for the LanB sequence of B. obeum AM27-32LB and the LanC sequence of B. obeum AM27-32LB, Blautia AM47-4 and Ruminococcus AM50-15BH the C-terminal region had a reduced homology to NsoB and NsoC, respectively. It has been shown in a study analysing the function of the NisBTC complex and the degradation of NisB that there is a potential leader peptide binding domain in the C-terminal part of NisB (Chen and Kuipers 2021). This could therefore explain the difference seen in the C-terminus of the LanB from B. obeum AM27-32LB as this binding domain may have been altered yet remains functional at the same level or has a great efficiency. Interestingly, the leader peptides for the NsoA1-4-like proteins are identical in B. obeum AM27-32-LB and NsoA1-4 from B. obeum A2-162. This may indicate that the binding of the structural peptide to the modification machinery relies on the conserved residues within the leader peptide and that alterations to the C-terminus may have minimal effect on leader peptide binding.

Final confirmation that the novel clusters were indeed related to nisin O was performed using neighbour-joining phylogenetic trees. By using concatenated *lanB-lanC* genes to initially test whether there would be clustering of the sequences with higher homology it was observed that the clustering occurred as expected with those with higher homology clustering together. This method was then used on the whole cluster with similar results, indicating that the clusters found in *B. obeum* AM27-32LB, *B. obeum* TM09-13AC, *Blautia* sp. AM47-4, *Ruminococcus* sp. AM50-15BH, *D. formicigenerans* AM37-5 and *D. longicatena* 1001136B-160425 were indeed nisin O. This result was also confirmed using a whole cluster Mauve alignment.

Interestingly, a transposase element was present in the middle of the *D. formicigenerans* AM37-5 cluster which may suggest that these genes can undergo horizontal gene transfer (HGT), especially as it was observed that many of the strains identified in this work contained the immunity genes without the presence of the whole cluster. The human gut microbiome is a complex environment comprising of host, bacterial, viral, archaeal and fungal factors (Schmidt, Raes *et al.* 2018). Within this environment bacterial have the capability to exchange genetic information through HGT (Moralez, Szenkiel *et al.* 2021). HGT could be occurring which would cause the spread of the nisin O cluster in the bacteria isolated from the human gut. This would explain why nisin O-like clusters were identified within different genera which were all isolated from the human gut environment.

Furthermore, of the six nisin O-like clusters identified all contained two TCSs and all lacked a protease within the biosynthetic gene cluster. This is the same gene structure as the nisin O cluster, which is unusual for nisin variant clusters. The absence of a protease is also seen in the ruminococcin A biosynthetic gene cluster which requires trypsin both to induce expression as well as to cleave the leader peptide due the lack of this protein, however this cluster only contains one regulatory system (Gomez, Ladire *et al.* 2002, Ongey, Giessmann *et al.* 2018). Intriguingly, as with *B. obeum* A2-162 the producer of ruminococcin A, *R. gnavus* E1, is also a member of the *Lachnospiraceae* family and was isolated from the human gut. Chapters five and six will investigate the candidate proteases within the *B. obeum* A2-162 genome and the function of the regulatory systems, respectively.

In these sets of *in silico* analyses six nisin O clusters have been identified across the *Blautia*, *Dorea* and *Ruminococcus* genera, confirming the hypothesis that this biosynthetic gene cluster is present across multiple genera. Additionally, two novel bacteriocin clusters have been identified in *R. gnavus* and in the two *Pseudobutyrivibrio* species. This shows that not only can the same bacteriocin cluster be found in multiple genera but that other elements of the gene cluster are also present, indicating that HGT may play a role in the dissemination of bacteriocin gene clusters and cluster elements between bacteria within the human gut.

# Chapter 4.

# Inducing Nisin O production in B. obeum

# A2-162 and the L. lactis heterologous gene

expression system

# 4.1 Introduction

The expression of genes within the nisin A biosynthetic gene clusters has been widely studied due to its importance as a food preservative and therefore understanding the regulation and induction of the cluster is important for efficient mass production of this antimicrobial peptide. Production of nisin A has been observed to be correlated with increases in cell-density of the producer organism (Kuipers, Beerthuyzen et al. 1995, de Ruyter, Kuipers et al. 1996). Nisin A is expressed in both monocistronic and polycistronic mRNA transcripts with the former being transcribed at a higher level due to a terminator sequence downstream of *nisA* (Figure 4.1.1) (van der Meer, Polman et al. 1993, Kuipers, Beerthuyzen et al. 1995). In a study by Kuipers *et al.*, a four base pair deletion was made in the structural sequence of *nisA*, which the authors termed  $\Delta nisA$ , which resulted in the abolition of *nisA* transcription. However, through addition of sub-inhibitory concentrations of nisin A to the extracellular medium transcription was restored. This proved that nisin A acted as an autoinducer for its own biosynthetic gene cluster and thus acted not only as an antimicrobial peptide but also as a signalling molecule (Kuipers, Beerthuyzen et al. 1995). The authors also observed that mutation of nisK led to the elimination of transcription of the  $\Delta nisA$  strain even with supplemented, unmodified nisin A in the medium indicating an interactive relationship between unmodified NisA and NisK (Kuipers, Beerthuyzen et al. 1995).



**Figure 4.1.1.** Organisation of the nisin A (A) and nisin O (B) biosynthetic gene clusters. The structural (Green), maturation and transport (Red and light green, respectively), immunity (Orange and yellow), regulatory (Blue) and leader peptide protease (Dark green) genes are indicated. Promoters are indicated by arrows above the clusters, in the case of nisin O these are predicted promoters (de Ruyter, Kuipers *et al.* 1996, Gherghisan-Filip 2016). Nisin A transcripts derived from these promoters are indicated in the lines below the cluster.

The production of nisin on a commercial scale relies on increasing the efficacy of production by L. lactis. The purification procedure itself is costly and therefore maximising nisin production while maintaining biomass is important for production (Khelissa, Chihib et al. 2021). It has been shown that nisin production occurs during the exponential phase of growth and plateaus during stationary phase (De Vuyst and Vandamme 1992, Chinachoti, Matsusaki et al. 1998, Mitra, Chakrabartty et al. 2005). As previously mentioned nisin can act as an autoinducing molecule for its expression within the biosynthetic gene cluster, however studies have shown that other factors may impact the production of nisin. These factors included alterations to the carbon source used and the concentration, which is supplemented to the growth media (De Vuyst and Vandamme 1992). In a recent study investigating nisin Z production from L. lactis strains isolated from fermented sausages different carbon sources affected production, with sucrose and fructose being the most efficient for three and one isolate, respectively, whereas glucose supplementation yielded poor nisin Z production (Saraiva, Birri et al. 2020). Additionally, pH, nitrogen source, and temperature are all extracellular factors that have been identified to influence the production of nisin (Pongtharangkul and Demirci 2006, Liu, Zheng et al. 2010, Liu, Zhou et al. 2017, Papiran and Hamedi 2021).

Studies have also shown that co-culturing nisin producing strains can increase the production of the antimicrobial peptide. A co-culture is defined as an incubation of different specified microbial strains in anaerobic or aerobic conditions (Bader, Mast-Gerlach *et al.* 2010). In the case of *L. lactis* and nisin A production a study by Ariana and Hamedi (2017) used *Yarrowia* 

*lipolytica* and *L. lactis* co-cultured in a medium derived from sugar beet molasses where *L. lactis* growth and nisin production were increased by 49% and 50%, respectively (Ariana and Hamedi 2017). Furthermore, in a recent investigation by Qiao *et al* (2022), nisin yields were improved by 85% when *L. lactis* was grown in co-culture with *Enterobacter* sp. (Qiao, Qiao *et al*. 2022).

The activity of nisin O was first described in *B. obeum* A2-162 where it was sub-cultured twice in liquid medium then plated on solid medium with and without trypsin and incubated for 1 to 7 days before its use in antimicrobial assays (Hatziioanou, Gherghisan-Filip *et al.* 2017). Through induction experiments the authors determined that nisin A could be used to induce the expression of the *nsoA* genes and therefore that there is a degree of promiscuity across the regulatory systems of nisin variants (Wirawan, Klesse *et al.* 2006, Hatziioanou, Gherghisan-Filip *et al.* 2017). Additionally, ruminococcin A has the capability of being induced by trypsin and therefore trypsin may act as an additional inducing factor as well as a leader peptide cleavage protein in the case of nisin O (Marcille, Gomez et al. 2002, Hatziioanou, Gherghisan-Filip *et al.* 2017, Gherghisan-Filip, Saalbach et al. 2018).

The aim of this work was to test further inducing conditions that might increase the production of nisin O in *B. obeum* A2-162, as repeating the induction conditions established in Hatziioanou *et al* (2017) did not show any antimicrobial activity (Hatziioanou, Gherghisan-Filip *et al.* 2017).

## 4.2 Methods

#### 4.2.1 B. obeum A2-162 Nisin Exposure Assay

*B. obeum* A2-162 was grown overnight in BHI with complements. This was followed with an increase in the concentration of nisin A (Sigma) every alternate sub-culture. The concentrations used were 15, 20, 25, 30, 35, 40, 45, 50, 60, 70, 80, 90 and 100 ng/ml nisin A. When 100 ng/ml nisin A was used to induce the culture an antimicrobial overlay assay was used to assess antimicrobial activity from the culture. In addition to the 100 ng/ml culture both an uninduced culture and a *B. obeum* A2-162 culture induced with 15 ng/ml nisin A were used

in the assay. *C. perfringens* was used as an indicator organism and the method described in Method 2.1.1 was followed with an adjustment of a 4 day growth period on solid media as per the original antimicrobial activity method developed by Hatziioanou *et al.*, (Hatziioanou, Gherghisan-Filip *et al.* 2017). Cultures induced with 15, 30, 60 and 100 ng/ml nisin A had 1 ml of culture aspirated, centrifuged, supernatant removed, and the pellet treated with RNAlater for future transcriptomic analysis.

#### 4.2.2 B. obeum Co-culture Induction Assay

Overnight cultures of the eight humanisation strains (Anaerostipes caccae DSM 14662, Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron DSM 2079, Blautia producta DSM 2950, Lactobacillus plantarum DSM 20174, E. coli K-12 MG1655, Clostridium butyricum DSM 10702, Clostridium ramosum DSM 1402 and Bifidobacterium longum DSMZ 20219) were grown in BPM media in anaerobic conditions. These strains were selected as they had previously been used in animal models as a simplified human intestinal microbiota that could be used in germfree rats and thus provide a quick and efficient way to assess the effect of microbiome associated bacteria on the expression of nisin O in B. obeum A2-162 (Becker, Kunath et al. 2011). B. obeum A2-162 was also grown overnight in BPM media in anaerobic conditions. 200 µl of each of the humanisation strains were mixed in the base of an Eppendorf tube and a 0.22 µm filter insert (ThermoFisher Scientific) was added to the top of the Eppendorf (Figure 4.2.1). The volume of the humanisation cultures was required to surround the base of the filter insert prior to addition of 200 µl of B. obeum A2-162 within the insert. The culture was incubated overnight after which the B. obeum A2-162 culture was aspirated from the insert tube and centrifuged at 13'000 x g for 2 mins, the supernatant removed and stored in a sterile Eppendorf, and the pellet stored in 500 µl RNAlater at -80°C. The supernatant was filtersterilised using a  $0.22 \,\mu m$  filter and used in an antimicrobial drop test (Method 2.1.2).



**Figure 4.2.1.** Schematic diagram of the co-culture of humanisation strains in the bottom of the Eppendorf and *B. obeum* A2-162 in the within filter insert. The humanisation strain mixed culture was required to surround the base of the filter insert to allow for exchange of potential inducing molecules.

# 4.2.3 B. obeum A2-162 and Bifidobacterium longum DSMZ 20219 Competition

#### Assay

Both *B. obeum* A2-162 and *B. longum* DSMZ 20219 were grown in standard conditions, with *B. obeum* A2-162 induced with 15 ng/ml nisin A and used to streak a solid agar BHI with complements plate using a sterile inoculation loop (Camlab). The cultures were plated as close to each other as possible without touching (~5 mm) and incubated overnight. The strains were killed using 15 mins UV exposure using a UV Stratalinker 2400 (Stratagene) followed by overlay with soft BHI with complements agar inoculated with 2% *C. perfringens* as the indicator strain. The plate was incubated overnight using standard growth conditions. The growth of the plate was imaged using a Canon EOS 200D and a Leica M165c Stereo Microscope.

#### 4.2.4 Reverse Transcription (RT) PCR

RT PCR was performed using the SuperScript IV One-Step RT-PCR System (ThermoFisher) as per the manufacturer's instructions. RNA extracted using method 2.15 was thawed on ice and 2  $\mu$ l of sample was used in the reaction mix including 25  $\mu$ l 2X Platinum SuperFi RT-PCR Master Mix, 2.5  $\mu$ l each of Forward (nsoA1\_F and gyrB\_F) and Reverse (nsoA4\_R and gyrB\_R) primers (10  $\mu$ M) (See table 2.5) and made to 50  $\mu$ l using Nuclease Free H<sub>2</sub>O. The reverse transcription temperature of 50°C was selected based on the manufacturer's recommendations. The T<sub>m</sub> was selected based on the primers described above. The amplification time was selected based on the product size of *gyrB* (861 bp).



Resulting products were electrophoresed on a 0.9% agarose gel stained with Midori Green Xtra as per method 2.5.

# 4.3 Results

#### 4.3.1 Growth of *B. obeum* A2-162

To ensure that *B. obeum* A2-162 could grow within a time period suitable for induction experiments a growth curve was determined in duplicate. Stationary phase was reached at 7 hrs, with exponential phase beginning at 3 hrs. The peak  $OD_{600}$  at stationary phase was ~ 4.0 for both repeats (Figure 4.3.1). In addition to  $OD_{600}$  measurements, the number of colony forming units (cfu) was measured and used in conjunction with the  $OD_{600}$  at each time point. This provided a growth curve where the cfu/ml could be estimated based on a specific  $OD_{600}$  (Figure 4.3.2).



**Figure 4.3.1.** Mean  $OD_{600}$  of *B. obeum* A2-162 over 9 hrs growth in BHI with complements liquid media. Results are in duplicate. Errors bars are from standard error of the mean.



Figure 4.3.2. Log cfu/ml growth curve versus OD<sub>600</sub> of *B. obeum* A2-162.

#### 4.3.2 Imaging of B. obeum A2-162

To confirm that *B. obeum* A2-162 was indeed a Gram-positive bacterium, to analyse if there were any unknown cell surface or structural features and to assess whether this bacterium was capable of forming spores a range of imaging techniques were used. Gram staining confirmed that these bacteria were Gram-positive (Figure 4.3.3). A range of bacterial shapes was also seen, including long and shorter ovoid rods and chain forming structures. Further analysis by SEM of an overnight *B. obeum* A2-162 culture, showed a rough surface morphology, with the majority of bacteria being rod-shaped with tapered ends and unusual striations on the cell surface. SEM image analysis of the six-day old culture showed no clear evidence of spore

formation although there was an increase in extracellular debris, possibly from cell lysis (Figure 4.3.4).

TEM analysis of the overnight grown culture showed that small nodes were present on the cell surface. Interestingly, *B. obeum* A2162 had polar regions that appeared to contain vesicles (Figure 4.3.5 B). These features were also seen in bacteria from the six-day old culture, however, cellular material appeared more condensed and greater separation between the cell wall and the cytoplasm was observed.



**Figure 4.3.3.** Gram staining of fresh *B. obeum* A2-162 culture. Bacteria present are Grampositive, bacillus shaped and, in some cases, form chains of bacteria.



**Figure 4.3.4.** A) SEM image of overnight grown *B. obeum* culture. B) SEM image of six-day old *B. obeum* culture.



**Figure 4.3.5.** A) TEM image of overnight grown *B. obeum* A2-162 culture. B) TEM image of six-day old *B. obeum* A2-162 culture.

#### 4.3.3 B. obeum Nisin O Exposure Assay

An antimicrobial overlay assay using *C. perfringens* as the indicator organism was used to assess the production of nisin O by *B. obeum* A2-162 following exposure to an increasing concentration of nisin A as an inducing molecule. The cultures of *B. obeum* A2-162 were able to grow overnight as the concentration of nisin A was increased in the BHI with complements liquid media. Additionally, the cultures were able to grow on solid BHI with complements medium overnight and were left on the plate as per the technique used in Hatziioanou *et al.*, (Hatziioanou, Gherghisan-Filip *et al.* 2017). However, for control samples without induction with nisin A, 15 ng/ml and 100 ng/ml, no antimicrobial activity was seen against *C*.

*perfringens* (Figure 4.3.6). This result occurred on plates where trypsin was both present and absent in the agar.



**Figure 4.3.6.** Antimicrobial activity overlay assay of *B. obeum* A2-162 when uninduced or induced with 15 ng/ml or 100 ng/ml nisin A with and without the presence of  $15 \mu$ g/ml trypsin using *C. perfringens* as an indicator organism.

#### 4.3.4 Co-culture B. obeum A2-162 and Humanisation strains

The co-culture of *B. obeum* A2-162 and humanisation strains (Becker, Kunath *et al.* 2011) was performed to investigate if the presence of additional bacteria could induce nisin O expression and could lead to the cleavage of nisin O without the presence of trypsin via general bacterial proteases. The cell free supernatants of each of the co-culture and mono-culture strains were used in a spot test using a 1:100 dilution *L. lactis* MG1614 in PBS as the indicator organism. However, no zones of inhibition were seen for any of the cultures containing *B. obeum* A2-162 (Figure 4.3.7, sections 2-6). Additionally, no zones of inhibition were seen from supernatants that were taken from the samples with 200  $\mu$ g/ml trypsin added. A zone of inhibition was observed from the supernatant of *L. lactis* FI5876 which is a known nisin A producer (Figure 4.3.7, section 1) and was used a positive control. The results were seen on both plates containing 15  $\mu$ g/ml trypsin and without.


- 1. L. lactis FI5876
- Humanisation strains (Becker, Kunath *et al.* 2011) + *B. obeum* A2-162 + 200 μg/ml trypsin
- 3. Humanisation strains  $+ 200 \mu g/ml$  trypsin
- 4. Humanisation strains + *B. obeum* A2-162
- 5. Humanisation strains
- 6. *B. obeum* A2-162

**Figure 4.3.7.** A) A supernatant spot test to assess the effect of co-culture on nisin O expression. Plate contained NaHCO<sub>3</sub>. B) Supernatant spots were in the same order as plate A, however the base plate contains NaHCO<sub>3</sub> and 10  $\mu$ g/ml trypsin in the overlay agar. *L. lactis* MG1614 was used as the indicator strain

#### 4.3.5 RT PCR Analysis of Nisin O Expression in B. obeum A2-162

An RT-PCR assay was used to assess whether there was expression of the *nsoA1-4* genes within the nisin O cluster from the various *B. obeum* A2-162 induction experiments tested. Quantification of the RNA extractions from the nisin A increasing exposure induction assay, humanisation co-culture assay and samples from a MicroMatrix experiment in combination with human faecal samples (Described in detail in Chapter 5) showed that there were very low

concentrations of RNA within the samples being tested, however, the RT PCR was continued. The primers nsoA1\_F and nsoA4\_R (Table 2.5) were used to assess if there was expression of the nisin O structural genes under any of these conditions, however there were no bands present from any of these conditions apart from the positive control of an RNA extraction from *L. lactis* UKLc10 pnso (Heterologous expression system) (Figure 4.3.8). Furthermore, primers were designed for the gyrB gene in *B. obeum* A2-162 (gyrB\_F and gyrB\_R (Table 2.5)) as this would act as a housekeeping gene and should be present in all the samples. However, only *B. obeum* A2-162 induced with 100 ng/ml nisin A and RNA extracted from the *B. obeum* A2-162 co-cultured with the humanisation strains with the addition of trypsin contained bands of the correct size for the gyrB product (Figure 4.3.9).



**Figure 4.3.8.** A gel image of the RT PCR reaction investigating the expression of *nsoA1-4* within *B. obeum* A2-162 under different induction conditions.

- 1. 1 kb ladder
- 2. Negative Control
- 3. No induction (Nisin A evolution assay)
- 4. 25 ng/ml nisin A (Nisin A evolution assay)
- 5. 100 ng/ml nisin A (Nisin A evolution assay)
- 6. 5 µg TCA precipitated *L. lactis* UKLc10 pnso supernatant (MicroMatrix)
- 7. No induction (MicroMatrix)
- 8. Faecal Water (MicroMatrix)
- 9. Faecal Water and trypsin (MicroMatrix)
- 10. Humanisation strains (Co-culture)
- 11. Humanisation strains and trypsin (Co-culture)
- 12. No induction (Co-culture)
- 13. L. lactis UKLc10 pnso (Positive Control)
- 14. 1 kb ladder





B. obeum A2-162 under different induction conditions.

- 1. 1 kb ladder
- 2. Negative Control
- 3. 5 µg TCA precipitated *L. lactis* UKLc10 pnso supernatant (MicroMatrix)
- 4. No induction (Nisin A evolution assay)
- 5. 25 ng/ml nisin A (Nisin A evolution assay)
- 6. 100 ng/ml nisin A (Nisin A evolution assay)
- 7. No induction (MicroMatrix)
- 8. Faecal Water (MicroMatrix)
- 9. Faecal Water and trypsin (MicroMatrix)
- 10. Humanisation strains (Co-culture)
- 11. Humanisation strains and trypsin (Co-culture)
- 12. No induction (Co-culture)
- 13. 1 kb ladder

#### 4.3.5 B. obeum A2-162 and B. longum DSMZ 20219 Competition assay

B. obeum A2-162 and B. longum DSMZ 20219 were grown together on BHI agar containing

10 µg/ml trypsin to investigate if competition was required for nisin expression. Following

growth for 6 days the streaks were overlaid with C. perfringens. No antimicrobial activity was

seen caused by B. obeum A2-162 (Figure 4.3.10 A). However, the growth of both B. obeum

A2-162 and B. longum DSMZ 20219 was altered in areas that were adjacent to each other with

a reduced outer colony 'roughness' (Figure 4.3.10 B).



**Figure 4.3.10.** A) Overlay assay using *B. obeum* (Left) and *B. longum* (Right) using *C. perfringens* as an indicator organism showing no antimicrobial activity; B) Stereo microscopy of *B. obeum* (Left) and *B. longum* (Right) showing altered outer colony morphology at adjacent areas.

#### 4.3.6 Optimisation of Nisin O production from the L. lactis heterologous

#### expression system

Overlay assays using *L. lactis* UKLc10 *pnso* (strain carrying the nisin A *nisRK* genes on the chromosome, plasmid pIL253 containing the entire nisin O cluster from *B. obeum* A2-162 (Hatziioanou, Gherghisan-Filip *et al.* 2017)) with the addition of trypsin showed antimicrobial activity against both *C. perfringens* and *L. lactis* as indicator strains (Figure 4.3.11), confirming the results produced by Hatziioanou *et al* (2017). As previously demonstrated, when no trypsin was added no activity was observed. As trypsin has multiple cleavage sites within modified pre-nisin it was important to understand the correct concentrations to use to have optimal pre-NsoA1-3 leader cleavage with minimal degradation of the core peptide. The concentrations of trypsin (10 and 15  $\mu$ g/ml) used by the authors were tested and showed no difference in the zone of inhibition caused by the nisin-producing strain using *C. perfringens* as the indicator strain (Figure 4.3.11 A-C). This assay confirmed that the antimicrobial activity observed in *L. lactis* UKLc10 *pnso* was dependent on the presence of trypsin (Hatziioanou,

Gherghisan-Filip et al. 2017). Following this, a concentration of 15  $\mu$ g/ml trypsin was used for future experiments.

Experiments were performed to investigate the best method to use trypsin to provide maximum antimicrobial activity. When *L. lactis* MG1614 pIL253 was used as an indicator strain, the presence of trypsin in either the base agar or the overlay agar had no effect on the size of the zone of inhibition (Figure 4.3.12 A-D), although a clearer edge to the zone of inhibition was seen when trypsin was present in the base agar.

To try to maximise the expression from p*nso* erythromycin (Ery) was used in the agar to maintain the nisin O gene cluster-containing plasmid and this consequently resulted in improved antimicrobial activity. The use of Ery was made possible through transformation of *L. lactis* MG1614 with pIL253, conferring Ery resistance to the indicator strain. This enabled Ery to be used within the base agar of the overlay assay and act as a selecting agent to maintain the presence of p*nso* within *L. lactis* UKLc10. Again, overlay assays showed that trypsin was required for antimicrobial activity to occur, however the addition of Ery gave more defined zones of inhibition (Figure 4.3.12 A-D).





**Figure 4.3.11.** Overlay assay to test various concentrations of trypsin in the overlay agar on the antimicrobial activity of *L. lactis* strains using *C. perfringens* as the indicator strain. *L. lactis* FI5876, *L. lactis* UKLc10 pnso, *L. lactis* UKLc10 pIL253 and *L. lactis* MG1614 pnso were spotted in sections 1-4, respectively. A) No trypsin in overlay agar; B) 10  $\mu$ g/ml trypsin in overlay agar; C) 15  $\mu$ g/ml trypsin in overlay agar.



**Figure 4.3.12.** Overlay assay of *L. lactis* UKLc10 *pnso* using *L. lactis* MG1614 *pIL253* as the indicator organism to test for inducing conditions for maximum antimicrobial activity. A) No trypsin in either base or overlay agar and no Ery in base agar; B) No trypsin and 5  $\mu$ g/ml Ery in base agar with 10  $\mu$ g/ml trypsin overlay agar; C) 10  $\mu$ g/ml trypsin and 5  $\mu$ g/ml Ery in base agar with no trypsin in overlay agar; D) 10  $\mu$ g/ml trypsin and 5  $\mu$ g/ml Ery in base agar with 10  $\mu$ g/ml trypsin in overlay agar.

#### 4.3.7 Addition of Trypsin Cleavage Site into nsoA4 at Position 24

In order to assess the antimicrobial and inductive potential of NsoA4, which naturally does not contain a trypsin cleavage site, primers (nsoA4\_splice\_R; nsoA4\_splice\_F; nsoA4\_BamHI\_R; nsoA4\_NcoI\_F. See Table 2.5 for details) were designed to change the serine (S) at position 24 to a lysine (K). Splice overlap extension PCR was successful, followed by restriction and ligation in pUK200\_P32 to express the peptide from the strong constitutive promoter P32. Transformation was performed into *E. coli* MC1022 as a production vector. Purification of the pUK200\_P32\_*nsoA4\_S24K* was performed followed by successful transformation into *L. lactis* UKLc10 *pnso* and confirmed via sequencing. Assessment of the antimicrobial activity of constitutively expression *nsoA4\_S24K* showed no zone of inhibition against *L. lactis* MG1614 pIL253 with trypsin present in the base agar. However, zones of inhibition were seen for both the nisin A and nisin O producing strains of *L. lactis* UKLc10 pnso, respectively (Figure 4.3.13). Both negative controls of *L. lactis* UKLc10 pIL253 and *L. lactis* MG1614 pIL253 showed no zones of inhibition (Figure 4.3.13).



96

**Figure 4.3.13.** Overlay assay to test various the antimicrobial activity of modified NsoA4 which contains a trypsin cleavage site at position 24 of the amino acid chain. *L. lactis* FI5876 pIL253, *L. lactis* UKLc10 pnso, *L. lactis* UKLc10 pnso pUK200\_P32\_nsoA4\_S24K, *L. lactis* UKLc10 pIL253 and *L. lactis* MG1614 pIL253 were spotted in sections 1-5, respectively. *L. lactis* MG1614 pIL253 was used as the indicator strain. The plate consisted of GM17 supplement with 15  $\mu$ g/ml trypsin in the base and overlay agar and 5  $\mu$ g/ml erythromycin in the base agar.

#### **4.4 Discussion**

The production of nisin O was previously described by Hatziioanou *et al.*, from a heterologous expression system using nisin A to induce nisin O biosynthesis. The authors also tested several other induction techniques, however none of these resulted in antimicrobial activity from *B. obeum* A2-162 (Hatziioanou 2011, Hatziioanou, Gherghisan-Filip *et al.* 2017). However, since that initial discovery the production of antimicrobial activity from *B. obeum* A2-162 has been unable to be repeated. Therefore, new induction techniques were tested to attempt to observe production of antimicrobial activity from the original producer organism.

Analysis of the growth rate of *B. obeum* A2-162 demonstrated that this bacterium could reach stationary phase by ~7 hrs. This result was important as many of the induction experiments relied on the sub-culturing of *B. obeum* A2-162 and therefore by understanding the growth rate and cell number it could be determined at which stage to induce *B. obeum* A2-162. Previous work on inducing nisin A production in *L. lactis* strains have determined that nisin production occurs at exponential and stationary phase and therefore induction of *B. obeum* A2-162 could occur during an overnight culture (Buchman, Banerjee *et al.* 1988, Chinachoti, Matsusaki *et al.* 1998, Mitra, Chakrabartty *et al.* 2005). Furthermore, microscopy image analysis of *B. obeum* A2-162 indicated that these bacteria were indeed Gram-positive and showed several different cell morphologies, ranging from bacillus-shaped to long chains of bacteria. TEM analysis indicated a region at the poles of the cell which appeared to contain

vesicles and was more condensed, however the function of these regions is unknown. Interestingly, in a recent study by Hill the author demonstrated that the biosynthetic machinery proteins involved in nisin A maturation NisBC were located at the "old" pole of the dividing cell in *L. lactis* (Hill 2020). SEM analysis indicated that there was no spore formation after 6 days in liquid culture, which is consistent with other *Blautia* species (Durand, Pham *et al.* 2017, Lu, Yang *et al.* 2021, Wang, Abdugheni *et al.* 2021).

Induction of nisin O from B. obeum A2-162 using increasing concentrations of nisin A to induce over several subcultures resulted in no antimicrobial activity being seen. The addition of trypsin to agar plates did not alter the experimental outcome. Similar results were seen when B. obeum was co-cultured with humanisation strains (Becker, Kunath et al. 2011). Again, this suggests that nisin O production was not occurring as a result of competition or sensing from other bacteria found within the human microbiota. However, nisin O may be being produced at low concentrations that do not provide noticeable antimicrobial activity. In recent studies the production of other nisin variants have been increased through co-culture with other bacterial species (Ariana and Hamedi 2017, Gao, Fan et al. 2021, Qiao, Qiao et al. 2022). During the competition assay using *B. longum* as an antagonist organism, no antimicrobial activity was observed from *B. obeum* A2-162. However, interestingly there was an alteration to the colony surface morphology of both B. obeum and B. longum. This indicates that there is some effect of interaction between these two organisms however the nature of this is still unknown, and no bactericidal effects were recorded. In a recent study by Stincone et al., it was shown that nisin is able to have an influence on the cell surface proteins, down-regulating virulence proteins of Listeria monocytogenes at sub-lethal concentrations (Stincone, Miyamoto et al. 2020). Therefore, it could be possible that B. obeum is producing nisin O at sub-lethal concentrations which would explain the lack of antimicrobial activity against B. longum, however, this does not show the same level of activity seen against C. difficile and C. perfringens seen from the initial discovery of nisin O where, using very similar induction conditions, nisin O was produced at concentrations that produced an antimicrobial effect

(Hatziioanou, Gherghisan-Filip *et al.* 2017). The results from the RT PCR analysis indicated that there was no expression of *nsoA1-4* from *B. obeum* A2-162, however, in all but two samples tested there was no product for the housekeeping *gyrB* gene. This indicates that the concentration of RNA extracted from these assays was too low for the RT PCR to occur and therefore could not provide conclusive evidence that *nsoA1-4* were not being expressed. However, the two samples which contained the correct band for *gyrB* and no *nsoA1-4* band suggest that the two induction methods used, in this case the nisin A evolution assay using 100 ng/ml nisin A and the co-culture with humanisation strains with trypsin, did not induce *nsoA1-4* expression in *B. obeum* A2-162. Additionally, as the positive control from the heterologous expression system provided bands for the RT PCR for *nsoA1-4* it indicates that the assay would be able to amplify the *nsoA1-4* transcripts. Interestingly, multiple bands were seen in the *L. lactis* UKLc10 *pnso* RT PCR sample. This most likely due to non-specific binding of the nsoA1\_F primer and nsoA4\_R primer to the four structural genes within the cluster.

The heterologous expression system in *L. lactis* was optimised for nisin O expression. Not only was it found that nisin O required trypsin for antimicrobial activity to be observed, confirming the results from Hatziioanou and Gherghisan-Filip, but also that erythromycin provided increased consistency of antimicrobial activity from *L. lactis* UKLc10 pnso (Hatziioanou, Gherghisan-Filip *et al.* 2017, Gherghisan-Filip, Saalbach *et al.* 2018). Minor variations in the concentration of trypsin (10 or 15  $\mu$ g/ml) had no effect on the zone of inhibition of nisin O, therefore indicating that 10  $\mu$ g/ml is sufficient to cleave the leader peptide to form active nisin O. Importantly, no antimicrobial activity was seen without the presence of trypsin. Trypsin is able to cleave the pre-NsoA1-3 leader peptide due to a lysine found at position 24 of the nisin O peptide, however in regulation of ruminococcin A trypsin can act as an inducer of ruminococcin A expression (Gomez, Ladire *et al.* 2002). Therefore, this may also be the case for the *L. lactis* heterologous system. On the other hand, in the *B*.

*obeum* A2-162 co-culture with the humanisation strains 200  $\mu$ g/ml trypsin was added in addition and no antimicrobial activity was seen.

Creation of a modified gene for the NsoA4 peptide that changed a serine to lysine providing a trypsin cleavage site for leader removal was successful, and it was inserted into a plasmid with a constitutive promoter and transformed into L. lactis UKLc10 pnso. Upon testing using an antimicrobial overlay assay there was no activity from this strain, indicating that NsoA4 produced by the heterologous expression system did not have antimicrobial potential against the indicator organism of L. lactis MG1614 pIL253. Intriguingly, as there was activity for the positive control of L. lactis UKLc10 pnso, but no activity for the L. lactis UKLc10 pnso producing NsoA4\_S24K on a second plasmid, this peptide might be a regulatory peptide which down-regulates the production of NsoA1-3. In a study by Straume et al., the authors showed that by truncating the gene regulator *plnC* produced by Lactobacillus plantarum C11 the bacteriocin production was repressed (Straume, Kjos et al. 2007). This effect might be occurring when NsoA4 is cleaved by trypsin therefore allowing it to have this gene repressing effect. Additionally, it is important to note that the use of a second plasmid may affect expression levels as a study has shown that the addition of multiple plasmids within a single bacterium can result in a larger fitness cost and therefore reduce the level of expression for both plasmids (San Millan and MacLean 2017). Within the core peptide of NsoA4 there are three trypsin cleavage sites present which may indicate that there is an increased level of peptide degradation and therefore a reduction in antimicrobial activity (Gherghisan-Filip, Saalbach et al. 2018).

Therefore, it was observed that *B. obeum* A2-162 did not produce an antimicrobial effect when induced either with increasing concentrations of nisin A, co-culture with humanisation strains or with competition with *B. longum*. The *L. lactis* heterologous expression system was able to produce an antimicrobial effect only when trypsin was present, which was made more reliable with the presence of erythromycin to maintain the pnso plasmid. Additionally, leader-cleaved

NsoA4 did not produce an antimicrobial effect but might play a role in the regulation of the nisin O biosynthetic gene cluster.

# Chapter 5.

## **Identification and Testing of Candidate**

### Proteases Within the *B. obeum* A2-162

Genome

#### 5.1 Introduction

The protease NisP is an important protein in the biosynthesis of nisin A - it cleaves the leader peptide, resulting in the mature nisin A and enabling antimicrobial activity (Lubelski, Rink et al. 2008). This protease is composed of 682 amino acids and provides a signal peptidase Imediated interaction with the modified pre-nisin peptide after transport across the cell membrane (van der Meer, Polman et al. 1993, Siezen, Rollema et al. 1995). The interaction between NisP and the pre-nisin occurs extracellularly, with NisP translocated across the membrane via the Sec pathway and anchored in the cell wall based on the LPXTG sequence located at the C-terminus of the protein (van der Meer, Polman et al. 1993, Schneewind and Missiakas 2014, Lagedroste, Smits et al. 2017). A study investigating the substrate specificity of NisP using mutations in the leader peptide showed that NisP has a greater tolerance to alterations in the leader peptide than originally thought, although the exact motif of recognition has not yet been elucidated (Montalban-Lopez, Deng et al. 2018). Interestingly single alanine mutations in the (D)FNLD box did not prevent NisP cleavage of the leader peptide, however, multiple mutations of this site were not made and therefore it could not be stated whether multiple mutations in this conserved motif would prevent recognition (Montalban-Lopez, Deng et al. 2018). A study by Lagedroste et al, showed that the presence of at least one lanthionine ring is crucial for recognition of the substrate and therefore subsequent cleavage of the leader peptide (Lagedroste, Smits et al. 2017).

The nisin variants P, U, U2, J, H, Z, F, Q and H contain a protease within their biosynthetic clusters which are thought to function in the same way as NisP (O'Sullivan, O'Connor *et al.* 2020). However, within the nisin O cluster no protease is present (Hatziioanou, Gherghisan-Filip *et al.* 2017). This is unusual as the proteolytic function of the protease is important for leader peptide cleavage and hence providing antimicrobial activity (Kuipers, Rollema *et al.* 1993). Interestingly, the biosynthetic gene cluster identified in *B. producta* SCSK by Kim *et al.*, contains a protease. However, unlike the larger protease found in the nisin A cluster this protease is much smaller with a 146 amino acid sequence length compared to 682 amino acids

(van der Meer, Polman et al. 1993, Kim, Becattini et al. 2019). However, the nisin O cluster from B. obeum A2-162 is not unique in its lack of a protease within its biosynthetic gene cluster. Subtilin is a lantibiotic produced by Bacillus subtilis ATCC 6633 which also does not contain a protease within its biosynthetic gene cluster (Gross, Kiltz et al. 1973). In a study which used the protease inhibitor PMSF, an accumulation of pre-cursor subtilin was found in the supernatant, indicating that leader peptide cleavage was occurring extracellularly (Stein and Entian 2002). A study by Corvey et al, identified three proteases within the B. subtilis genome (AprE, WprA and Vpr) that were capable of leader peptide cleavage of subtilin although were not directly associated with its biosynthetic gene cluster (Corvey, Stein et al. 2003). Furthermore, ruminococcin A produced by R. gnavus E1 also does not contain a specific protease as part of its biosynthetic gene cluster, however it has been shown that leader peptide cleavage can occur due to a cleavage domain within the transport protein RumT, therefore providing a bi-functional role in the biosynthesis of ruminococcin A (Ongey, Giessmann et al. 2018). Originally it was thought that trypsin was required for leader peptide cleavage, however it was subsequently shown that trypsin is required for the regulation of RumA production (Ramare, Nicoli et al. 1993, Gomez, Ladire et al. 2002). Trypsin is a serine protease and cleaves between the carboxyl group of arginine or lysine and the amino group of the subsequent adjacent amino acid (Simpson 2006). Although a trypsin site is present within the core peptide of RumA at position six, no cleavage occurs here due to the post-translational modifications made to the neighbouring threonine residue (Dabard, Bridonneau et al. 2001). Trypsin has been previously shown to cleave the leader from the NsoA1-3 pre-peptides and produce antimicrobial activity, but can also cut within the amino acid sequence of the mature core peptide, resulting in a family of products (Hatziioanou, Gherghisan-Filip et al. 2017, Gherghisan-Filip, Saalbach et al. 2018). Furthermore, work described in chapter 3 of this thesis identified six nisin O-like clusters in the genera Blautia, Ruminococcus and Dorea, all of which did not contain a protease within the biosynthetic gene cluster. This indicates that the lack of a protease within the cluster is a shared feature across bacterial strains that contain

this antimicrobial gene cluster and therefore identification of candidate proteases would require analysis of the whole genome of *B. obeum* A2-162.

In this chapter the genome of *B. obeum* A2-162 was interrogated for candidate proteases, and those identified were cloned into plasmids to assess their ability to cleave the leader peptides of pre-NsoA1-4 peptides. Additionally, the candidate proteases identified were co-expressed with the nisin O biosynthetic gene cluster within the *L. lactis* heterologous expression system to observe any antimicrobial activity in the absence of trypsin. A MicroMatrix fermentation assay was performed to observe any induction or leader peptide cleavage of nisin O due to the presence of gut bacterial communities, and whether nisin O has an influence on the composition of the gut microbiota.

The hypothesis of this work is that the *B. obeum* A2-162 genome contains a protease which will be capable of cleaving the leader peptide/s of nisin O and provide antimicrobial activity in the absence of trypsin. We also hypothesise that the bacterial composition within the fermentation assay will change to predominantly Gram-negative due to the antimicrobial activity of nisin O.

I would like to thank Professor Eric Pamer and Dr Zhenrun Zhang, University of Chicago for providing the *lanP* gene from *B. producta* SCSK for use in this work.

#### **5.2 Methods**

#### 5.2.1 BLAST Analysis within the B. obeum A2-162 Genome

Candidate protease and regulatory amino acid sequence analysis was performed using BLAST (Altschul, Madden *et al.* 1997) to compare known sequences of *nisP* from *L. lactis* and *lanP* from *B. producta* to the genome of *B. obeum* A2-162 (Gao, Lu *et al.* 2011, Kim, Becattini *et al.* 2019). Amino acid sequence alignment was performed on Geneious R11. Conserved regions of the candidate proteases were examined using CD Batch Search (Lu, Wang *et al.* 2020). Predictive modelling of the transmembrane domains using Transmembrane Helices; Hidden Markov Model (TMHMM) online software was used to assess the structural similarity

between the candidate proteases and those known to cleave the leader peptide of pre-nisin (Sonnhammer, von Heijne *et al.* 1998, Drozdetskiy, Cole *et al.* 2015).

#### 5.2.2 Manual Searching for Proteases using LanP Conserved Domains

New candidate proteases were identified using a CD batch search (Lu, Wang *et al.* 2020) using the amino acid sequence of LanP from *B. producta* to look for conserved domains within this protease (Kim, Becattini *et al.* 2019). The conserved domain S26\_SPase\_1 was identified and the Pfam domain (PF10502) for this region was used in Uniprot (UniProt-Consortium 2021). The proteins found via this method were then used to search in the *B. obeum* A2-162 genome in Geneious R11. Predictive modelling was also used to assess the similarity between the candidate proteases and those known to cleave the leader peptide of pre-nisin. This was performed using TMHMM (Sonnhammer, von Heijne *et al.* 1998, Drozdetskiy, Cole *et al.* 2015).

#### **5.2.3 Cloning of Candidate Proteases**

Cloning of the candidate proteases identified through bioinformatic searches was performed using the methods described in methods 2.6, 2.9, 2.10, 2.11 and 2.12. Candidate proteases were cloned into pET15b to insert them behind a His-tag which were then transformed into an *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) expression strain and others were cloned into pUK200 after the P32 promoter and they were then transformed into the *L. lactis* heterologous expression strain (van der Vossen, van der Lelie *et al.* 1987). Primer names and reaction conditions for each PCR and splice overlap PCR are given below (Table 5.2.1) (See Table 2.5 for primer details). See example below of splice overlap PCR conditions with five cycles at a lower annealing temperature followed by 20 cycles using a higher annealing temperature.



|  | Forward<br>Primer     | Reverse<br>Primer       | Product<br>Size (bp) | Annealing<br>Temperature | Annealing<br>Temperature | Extension<br>Time (Sec) |
|--|-----------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|
|  |                       |                         |                      | (°C) (First 5<br>cycles) | (°C) (Next 20<br>cycles) |                         |
| Cloning of                                   | P66NDE_F              | P66CAC_R                | 150                  | 59                       | 61                       | 4                       |
| p66 into                                     | P66CAC_F              | P66XHO_R                | 783                  | 58                       | 63                       | 23                      |
| pET15b                                       | P66NDE_F              | P66XHO_R                | 943                  | 51                       | 62                       | 27                      |
| Cloning of<br>p570 into<br>pET15b            | P570NDE_F             | P570XHO_<br>R           | 1534                 | 55                       | 65                       | 46                      |
| Cloning of                                   | P62_NdeI_F            | P62_spliceR<br>_NdeKO   | 336                  | 66                       | 68                       | 11                      |
| Cloning of<br><i>p62</i> into<br>pET15b      | P62_spliceF_<br>NdeKO | pUK_XhoI_<br>R          | 403                  | 69                       | 69                       | 11                      |
|  | P62_NdeI_F            | pUK_XhoI_<br>R          | 714                  | 59                       | 68                       | 22                      |
| Cloning of<br>p49 into<br>pET15b             | P49_NdeI_F            | pUK_XhoI_<br>R          | 725                  | 66                       | 69                       | 22                      |
| Cloning of<br><i>lanP</i> into<br>pET15b     | lanP_NdeI_F           | lanP_XhoI_<br>R         | 444                  | 66                       | 69                       | 14                      |
| Cloning of<br><i>p140</i> into<br>pET15b     | P140_NdeI_<br>F       | P140_XhoI_<br>R         | 625                  | 56                       | 68                       | 19                      |
| Cloning of<br><i>p62</i> into<br>pUK200_P32  | P62_Splice3<br>2_F    | P62_BglIIT<br>GA_R      | 158                  | 39                       | 67                       | 5                       |
|  | P62_BglIITG<br>A_F    | P62_PstI_R              | 430                  | 39                       | 66                       | 13                      |
|  | P62_splice32<br>_F    | P62_PstI_R              | 558                  | 39                       | 66                       | 17                      |
|  | pUK_BglII_<br>F       | pUK_Splice<br>62_R      | 200                  | 40                       | 65                       | 7                       |
|  | pUK_BglII_<br>F       | P62_PstI_R              | 735                  | 59                       | 66                       | 22                      |
| Cloning of<br><i>p49</i> into<br>pUK200_P32  | P49_Splice3<br>2_F    | P49_PstI_R              | 530                  | 44                       | 65                       | 17                      |
|  | pUK_BglII_<br>F       | pUKSplice4<br>9_R       | 200                  | 44                       | 65                       | 7                       |
|  | pUK_BglII_<br>F       | P49_PstI_R              | 707                  | 57                       | 65                       | 21                      |
| Cloning of<br><i>p140</i> into<br>pUK200_P32 | P140_spliceP<br>32_F  | P140_BamH<br>I_R        | 815                  | 53                       | 67                       | 24                      |
|  | P32_StuI_F            | P32_spliceP<br>140_R    | 320                  | 52                       | 64                       | 9                       |
|  | P32_StuI_F            | P140_BamH<br>I_R        | 1093                 | 52                       | 64                       | 33                      |
| Cloning of<br><i>lanP</i> into<br>pUK200_P32 | LanP_Splice<br>32_F   | lanP_NcoI_<br>R         | 517                  | 58                       | 62                       | 16                      |
|  | P32_BglII_F           | P32_Splicela<br>nP_R(2) | 206                  | 50                       | 64                       | 7                       |
|  | P32_BglII_F           | LanP_NcoI_<br>R         | 683                  | 55                       | 62                       | 21                      |

 Table 5.2.1. PCR conditions and primers used for cloning of candidate proteases into

expression plasmids used in this work.

#### **5.2.4 MicroMatrix Fermentation**

Faecal samples used in the colon model experiments were obtained from participants recruited onto the QIB Colon Model study. For experiments three donors were used who each provided a single faecal sample, however due to COVID-19 infections for each of the donors' repeats were unable to be performed due to revised QIB health and safety criteria for the use of the MicroMatrix. Men and women aged 18 years or older who live or work within 10 miles of the Norwich Research Park were recruited onto the QIB Colon Model study if they satisfied the following criteria. The study was approved by the Quadram Institute Bioscience (formally Institute of Food Research) Human Research Governance committee (IFR01/2015), and London-Westminster Research Ethics Committee (15/LO/2169). Participants who were assessed to have a normal bowel habit, regular defecation between three times a day and three times a week, with an average stool type of 3–5 on the Bristol Stool Chart, and no diagnosed chronic gastrointestinal health problems, such as irritable bowel syndrome, inflammatory bowel disease, or coeliac disease were deemed eligible. Demographic information was gathered, and a brief health questionnaire was completed as part of the eligibility screening. Participants were asked additional questions immediately prior to donating a stool sample to confirm that they had not taken antibiotics or probiotics within the last four weeks, had not experienced a gastrointestinal complaint, such as vomiting or diarrhoea, within the last 72 h, were not currently pregnant or breast-feeding, had not recently had an operation requiring general anaesthetic.

The preparation of the human faecal slurry was prepared as per the method described by Parmanand *et al* (2019). The faecal slurry was prepared by weighing 20 g faeces and mixing with PBS until a total mass of 200 g, followed by homogenisation using a stomacher 400 (Seward, UK) and filtration. The samples were used immediately and made into a 10% stock solution using PBS. Using a MicroMatrix 24-well cassette (Applikon Biotechnology) 600  $\mu$ l of faecal slurry was used in the wells which required its presence as performed in the method described by Garcia-Gutierrez *et al* (2020). For *B. obeum* A2-162 and *L. lactis* UKLc10 pnso  $300 \ \mu$ l of culture was used. Where TCA precipitated pre-NsoA1-4 and trypsin were added to the wells a concentration of 10  $\mu$ g/ml and 400  $\mu$ g/ml trypsin were added, respectively, in appropriate wells. Cassette loading occurred in anaerobic conditions and an initial 1 ml of sample was taken before fermentation as a T0 timepoint. Fermentation took place for 24 hrs at 37°C at pH 6.8 using Colon Model Media (Described in Chapter 2) in a MicroMatrix Fermenter (Applikon Biotechnology). Samples were stored at -80°C for further analysis. DNA and RNA extractions were performed as per Methods 2.4 and 2.15, respectively.

#### 5.2.5 Analysis of DNA from MicroMatrix Fermentation Assays

Genomic DNA was normalised to 0.5ng/µl with EB (10mM Tris-HCl). Library construction and sequencing were performed by Dr David Baker and Rhiannon Evans described in the following method. 0.9 µl of TD Tagment DNA Buffer (Illumina) was mixed with 0.09 µl TDE1, Tagment DNA Enzyme (Illumina) and 2 µl PCR grade water in a master mix and 3ul added to a chilled 96 well plate. 2 µl of normalised DNA (1ng total) was pipette mixed with the 3 µl of the Tagmentation mix and heated to 55 °C for 10 minutes in a PCR block. A PCR master mix was made up using 4 ul kapa2G buffer, 0.4 µl dNTP's, 0.08 µl Polymerase and 6.52 µl PCR grade water, contained in the Kap2G Robust PCR kit (Sigma) per sample and 11 µl added to each well in a 96-well plate. 2 µl of each P7 and P5 of Nextera XT Index Kit v2 index primers (Illumina) were added to each well. Finally, the 5 µl of Tagmentation mix was added to each well and mixed. The PCR was run at 72°C for 3 mins, 95°C for 1 min, 14 cycles of 95°C for 10 secs, 55°C for 20 secs and 72°C for 3 mins. Following the PCR the libraries were quantified using the Quant-iT dsDNA Assay Kit, high sensitivity kit and run on a FLUOstar Optima plate reader. Libraries were pooled following quantification in equal quantities. The final pool was double-SPRI size selected between 0.5 and 0.7X bead volumes using KAPA Pure Beads (Roche). The final pool was quantified on a Qubit 3.0 instrument and run on a High Sensitivity D1000 ScreenTape (Agilent) using the Agilent Tapestation 4200 to calculate the final library pool molarity.

The pool was run at a final concentration of 1.8 pM on an Illumina Nextseq500 instrument using a Mid Output Flowcell (NSQ® 500 Mid Output KT v2(300 CYS) Illumina Catalogue FC-404-2003) following the Illumina recommended denaturation and loading recommendations which included a 1% PhiX spike in (PhiX Control v3 Illumina Catalogue FC-110-3001). Data was uploaded to Basespace (www. basespace.illumina.com) where the raw data was converted to 8 FASTQ files for each sample.

The sequence data was analysed using the Galaxy (release\_19.05) pipeline QC\_module\_wf\_1.0\_nohost created by Dr Rebecca Ansorge (Afgan, Baker *et al.* 2018, Matthews, Bristow *et al.* 2018). RNA was used in an RT-PCR as described in Method 3.2.4.

#### **5.3 Results**

## **5.3.1 Identifying Candidate Proteases Within the** *B. obeum* A2-162 Genome

#### 5.3.1.1 BLAST Searches Using nisP From L. lactis

Bioinformatic analysis was used to investigate and identify candidate proteases. Six proteases were identified in the *B. obeum* A2-162 genome using Geneious R11 software, with annotations identifying them as subtilisin-like or serine proteases. These were subsequently aligned with NisP from *L. lactis* (Table 5.3.1).

| Geneious ID   | Length<br>(bp) | Protein ID | % Similarity<br>with NisP |
|---|----------------|------------|---------------------------|
| Trypsin-like serine proteases,<br>typically periplasmic, contain C-<br>terminal PDZ domain CDS (P712) | 1,509          | CBL22712.1 | 14.8                      |
| Trypsin-like serine proteases,<br>typically periplasmic, contain C-<br>terminal PDZ domain CDS (P570) | 1,509          | CBL24570.1 | 14.6                      |
| Trypsin-like serine proteases,<br>typically periplasmic, contain C-<br>terminal PDZ domain CDS (P954) | 1,332          | CBL21954.1 | 12.7                      |
| Subtilisin-like serine proteases CDS (P31)  | 1,722          | CBL23031.1 | 16.1                      |
| Subtilisin-like serine proteases CDS (P66)  | 837            | CBL24066.1 | 18.0                      |
| Subtilase family. CDS (P550)  | 1,689          | CBL23550.1 | 13.8                      |

**Table 5.3.1.** Candidate proteases from *B. obeum* A2-162 using *nisP* as the reference sequence.

 Protein names, first column in brackets, were based on the last three digits of the Protein ID.

p66 and p570 were selected for further investigation due to the percentage similarity when compared to the amino acid sequence of NisP of 18.0% and 14.6%, respectively, across 100% of the protein sequence of NisP. p570 was chosen ahead of p31 and p712 due to the latter both having restriction sites within their nucleotide sequence which would require removal before insertion into the pET15b and pUK200\_P32 plasmids. Although a restriction site was present within p66, due to the increased percentage amino acid similarity with NisP it was selected to be cloned into *E. coli* TOP10. When the predicted transmembrane domains of NisP, P66 and P570 were analysed (Figure 5.3.1), for both NisP and P66 there was a low probability of a transmembrane domain occurring, although a slightly increased probability at the C-terminus of NisP. However, P570 had a very high probability of a transmembrane domain approximately between residues 50-80. Additionally, the nucleotide sequences of *nisP* and *P570* were identical in length at 1,509, whereas the length of p66 was shorter at 837 bp (Table 5.3.1).



Figure 5.3.1. A) TMHMM analysis of NisP from *L. lactis* cluster, B) P66 from *B. obeum* A2-162. C) P570 from *B. obeum* A2-162.

Furthermore, analysis of the conserved domains within NisP, P66 and P570 identified that both NisP and P66 had similar conserved domains, particularly Peptidase\_S8. P66 also contained predicted catalytic sites in the same pattern as NisP (Figure 5.3.2). P570 on the other hand although identical in size to NisP, had no conserved domains shared between them (Figure 5.3.2).



**Figure 5.3.2.** CD Batch search of amino acid sequences of A) NisP from *L. lactis*, B) P66 and C) P570 both found within the *B. obeum* genome. Predicted catalytic sites are indicated by green arrows beneath the query sequence.

#### 5.3.1.2 BLAST Searches Using *lanP* From *B. producta* SCSK

BLAST analysis identified two novel candidate proteases in *B. obeum* A2-162 when *lanP* from *B. producta* SCSK was used as the reference sequence (Kim, Becattini *et al.* 2019). Proteases P62 and P49 were identified and have amino acid similarities of 25.6% and 17.8%, respectively, across 100% of the amino acid sequence of LanP (Table 5.3.2).

| Geneious ID   | Length (bp) | Protein ID | % Similarity<br>with LanP |
|---|-------------|------------|---------------------------|
| Signal<br>peptidase I,<br>bacterial type<br>CDS (P62) | 486         | CBL24462.1 | 25.6                      |
| Signal<br>peptidase I<br>archael type<br>CDS (P49)    | 486         | CBL24349.1 | 17.8                      |

 Table 5.3.2. Candidate proteases from *B. obeum* A2-162 using *lanP* as the reference sequence.

 Protein names, first column in brackets, were based on the last two digits of the Protein ID.

P62 and P49 were investigated further due to their similarity with LanP. Conserved regions within the amino acid sequence were of interest. CD Batch searches and TMHMM analysis were used to predict and compare conserved regions and transmembrane domains, respectively (Figure 5.3.3 and 5.3.4). The predicted transmembrane domains of LanP and P62 were identical (Figure 5.3.3) with a transmembrane domain predicted at the N-terminus followed by an extracellular domain. Interestingly, P49 although also containing a transmembrane domain at the N-terminus followed by an extracellular domain at the N-terminus followed by an extracellular domain at the C-terminus (Figure 5.3.3). This analysis showed that P62 had greater similarity to LanP compared to P49 in terms of their shared conserved regions with both LepB-like and 26\_SPase\_I-like domains identified across the majority of the peptide. P49 also contained 26\_SPase\_I-like and LepB-like domains however they were only found in

a considerably smaller proportion of the candidate protease. However, there were no predicted catalytic sites for P62 whereas catalytic sites were predicted in P49 (Figure 5.3.4).



TMHMM posterior probabilities for WEBSEQUENCE

**Figure 5.3.3.** A) TMHMM analysis of LanP from *B. producta* SCSK cluster showing a single predicted transmembrane domain and a large extracellular region. B) P62 from *B. obeum* with a predicted single transmembrane and extracellular domain. C) P49 from *B. obeum* with two predicted transmembrane domains with a large extracellular region in between.



**Figure 5.3.4.** A) CD Batch search of amino acid sequences of LanP from *B. producta*, B) P62 and C) P49 both found within the *B. obeum* genome. Predicted catalytic sites are indicated by green arrows beneath the sequence.

#### 5.3.1.3 Bioinformatic Analysis of New Candidate Proteases Using Conserved

#### **Domains in LanP**

From the bioinformatic analysis of the LanP, P62 and P49 amino acid sequences the 26\_SPase\_I domain was conserved in all these candidates, therefore this conserved domain

was used to search the *B. obeum* A2-162 genome. Using the Pfam domain of S26\_SPase\_1 (PF10502) to search, three proteases were found to contain this domain (Table 5.3.1). This domain is conserved in a family of serine signal peptidases that can process newly synthesised peptides. Three candidate proteases were identified using this method, including the previously identified P62. P928 was not selected for further investigation due to the presence of an internal NcoI site which would have affected the Splice Overlap PCR with P32. However, P140 had a greater percentage similarity with LanP and also had similar predicted transmembrane domains, conserved domains and predicted catalytic sites (Figures 5.3.5 and 5.3.6).

| Candidate Name   | Length (bp) | Protein ID | % Similarity<br>with LanP |
|--|-------------|------------|---------------------------|
| Signal peptidase I.<br>Serine peptidase.<br>MEROPS family S26A<br>CDS (P928) | 558         | CBL23928.1 | 22.6                      |
| Signal peptidase I,<br>bacterial type CDS<br>(P140)                          | 594         | CBL24140.1 | 23.8                      |
| Signal peptidase I,<br>bacterial type CDS (P62)                              | 486         | CBL24462.1 | 25.6                      |

 Table 5.3.3. Candidate proteases identified via presence of S26\_SPase\_1 in *B. obeum* A2 

 162.

P140 was selected due to its greatest percentage amino acid similarity with LanP of the new candidates, as P62 had already been identified from the BLAST search using LanP as the reference sequence.



**Figure 5.3.5.** TMHMM analysis of P140 showing a single predicted transmembrane domain and a large extracellular region.



**Figure 5.3.6.** CD Batch search of amino acid sequence of P140 found within the *B. obeum* A2-162 genome. Predicted catalytic sites are indicated by green arrows beneath the sequence.

#### 5.3.2 ROARY Pan-Genome Analysis of B. obeum Strains

The ROARY pan-genome analysis of 50 *B. obeum* strain genomes downloaded from NBCI, previously described in chapter 3, was also used to identify any new proteases that were only present in *B. obeum* strains that contained the nisin O cluster or similar cluster. The 5 genomes that had a FastANI score greater than 95% were analysed for the nisin O cluster, of which only *B. obeum* A2-162 contained the cluster (Table 3.3.1). Therefore, only proteins that were present in *B. obeum* A2-162 and not present in the other four genomes were studied through

a manual search. However, none of the proteins that were found only in *B. obeum* A2-162 had any similarity to known nisin proteases/signal peptidases or were annotated as such (Table 3.3.1).

### **5.3.3 Splice Overlap PCR of Candidate Proteases and Transformation into** *E. coli* BL21(DE3)

For expression of the candidate proteases identified within the *B. obeum* A2-162 genome, splice overlap PCR was used to prepare these candidates for cloning into vector plasmids, described in Method 2.9, 2.10, 2.11 and 2.12. All candidate proteases were successfully incorporated into pET15b with the addition of an N-terminal His-tag. For *p66* insertion, primers P66NDE\_F and P66XHO\_R were used with the *p66* internal NdeI restriction site removed using P66CAC\_F and P66CAC\_R (Table 2.5). For P570 insertion, the P570NDE\_F and P570XHO\_R primers were used (Table 2.5). *p62* required the removal of an internal NdeI restriction site using the primers P62\_NdeI\_F, P62\_SpliceR\_NdeKO, P62\_SpliceF\_NdeKO, pUK200\_XhoI\_R to remove this and for insertion into pET15b. For *p49* the primers P49\_NdeI\_F and pUK200\_XhoI\_R were used (Table 2.5). Both *lanP* and *P140* were also successfully cloned into pET15b using the primers lanP\_NdeI\_F, lanP\_XhoI\_R, P140\_NdeI\_F and P140\_XhoI\_R, respectively (Table 2.5). The modified plasmids were transformed into *E. coli* BL21(DE3) in preparation for candidate protease expression and analysis.

## 5.3.4 Splice Overlap PCR of *p62* and *p49* and Transformation into *E. coli* MC1022

Both *p62* and *p49* were successfully cloned into pUK200. As *p62* contained a BgIII restriction site within the sequence it was removed through an additional splice PCR using primers P62\_BgIIITGA\_F and P62\_BgIIITGA\_R. Both *p62* (P62\_Splice32\_F, P62\_PstI\_R, pUK\_BgIII\_F and pUK\_Splice62\_R) and *p49* (P49\_Splice32\_F, P49\_PstI\_R, pUK\_BgIII\_F

and pUK\_Splice49\_R) were spliced with the constitutive promoter P32 to increase the expression of the candidate proteases (van der Vossen, van der Lelie *et al.* 1987).

Each candidate protease was first transformed into *E. coli* MC1022. This would enable increased plasmid production, and therefore, increase the transformation rate in *L. lactis* UKLc10 pnso. All candidate proteases were successfully transformed into *E. coli*. Positive colonies were analysed on a 0.9% agarose gel to check for the presence of a band at the correct predicted size based on CloneManager prediction. Figure 5.3.7A shows the results from a 96-well colony PCR where columns 1, 2, 3 and 12 contained a band of the correct size (821 bp). The samples from column 1 underwent colony PCR individually (Figure 5.3.7B) where one sample produced a band at the correct size. Transformations involving *P62* did not require multiwell PCR (Figure 5.3.8).



**Figure 5.3.7.** A) A multiwell plate colony PCR gel loaded with a 1 kb ladder (Lane 1), negative control (Lane 2) and lanes 3-14 corresponding to mixed samples of *E. coli* pUK200\_P32\_*p49* candidates from columns 1-12, respectively. B) Individual colony PCR of samples that made the mix for column one with one sample, lane 9, containing a band of the correct predicted size (821 bp).



**Figure 5.3.8.** A gel of a colony PCR for 3 potential positive candidates of pUK200\_P32\_*p62*. Gel loaded with 1 kb ladder, negative control and *p62* candidates 1, 2 and 3 in lanes 1-5, respectively (823 bp).

## 5.3.5 Transformation of pUK200\_P32\_P62 and pUK200\_P32\_P49 into *L. lactis* UKLc10 pnso

Both pUK200\_P32\_*p62* and pUK200\_P32\_*p49* were purified from *E. coli* MC1022 cultures and transformed into *L. lactis* UKLc10 pnso and MG1614; transformations were all successful, as confirmed by sequencing. The transformations into *L. lactis* MG1614 were performed initially to ensure that the plasmid containing the new proteases would be able to function and replicate within an *L. lactis* strain.

Sequencing found that there was insertion of a thymine residue within the P32 promoter sequence of both candidate protease p62 and p49. However, as this insertion did not affect the binding site of the promoter region the planned assays went ahead with these proteases.

#### 5.3.6 Creation and Transformation of pUK200\_P32\_p140 and

#### pUK200\_P32\_lanP into E. coli MC1022 and L. lactis UKLc10 pnso

To test the activity of both P140 and LanP, both genes were amplified. *p140* was amplified from *B. obeum* A2-162 gDNA, whereas *lanP* was amplified from a plasmid provided by the Pamer group, Chicago. Both *lanP* (LanP\_SpliceP32\_F, P32\_BglII\_F (pUK200), LanP\_NcoI\_R and P32\_SpliceLanP\_R(2)) and *p140* (P140\_NcoI\_F, P140\_BamHI\_R,

P32\_SpliceP140\_R and P140\_SpliceP32\_F) were successfully cloned into pUK200\_P32, which would provide constitutive expression of both these proteases due to the presence of the P32 promoter. Each protease was transformed into *E. coli* MC1022, to enable increased plasmid replication and purification before transformation into the *L. lactis* heterologous expression system.

The proteases were purified from *E. coli* cultures and successfully transformed into *L. lactis* UKLc10 pnso and *L. lactis* UKLc10 pIL253. Confirmation that the correct plasmid had been transformed was performed by sequencing of colony PCR products.

#### 5.3.4 SDS-PAGE Analysis of P66 and P570

The production and cellular location of candidate proteases was analysed using SDS-PAGE gels. Both the crude cell free extract (CFE) and crude cell wall extract (CWE) were used to determine, in conjunction with western blot analysis using antibodies to detect the His tag, the presence of the candidate proteases P66 and P570. Both proteases were expressed in *E. coli* BL21(DE3) and were His-tagged. As we expected the lantibiotic cleavage proteases to be located at the cell wall, we tested different induction times and temperatures to try to maximise the protein yield in the soluble fraction. Although different induction times (3 hrs and 4 hrs) were used, there was no clear increase in production of these proteases across these induction times. His-tagged P66 and His-tagged P570 were predicted to be 31.8 kDa and 55.1 kDa, respectively. The soluble fractions for the candidate proteases P66 did appear to show a strong band at the correct size after both 3 hrs and 4 hrs induction with IPTG induction (Figure 5.3.9). Furthermore, due to smearing of the CWEs for both proteases it was unclear if the final position of the proteases is within the cell wall (Figure 5.3.9, lanes 9 and 11).



- 1. pET15b\_*p66* 3 hr Cell Free Extract (CFE)
- 2. pET15b\_*p66* 4 hr CFE
- 3. pET15b 3 hr CFE
- 4. pET15b 4 hr CFE
- 5. pET15b\_p570 3 hr CFE
- 6. pET15b\_p570 4 hr CFE
- 7. SeeBlue<sup>TM</sup> Plus2 Pre-stained Protein Standard
- 8. pET15b\_*p66* Uninduced Cell Wall Extract (CWE)
- 9. pET15b\_*p66* CWE
- 10. pET15b CWE
- 11. pET15b\_*p570* CWE

**Figure 5.3.9.** An SDS-PAGE gel of the crude cell free extracts (CFE) and cell wall extracts (CWE) of *E. coli* BL21(DE3) pET15b\_*p66*, *E. coli* BL21(DE3) pET15b and *E. coli* BL21(DE3) pET15b\_*p570* at 3 and 4 hrs induction using IPTG for each culture.

#### 5.3.5 Western Blot Analysis to Detect P66 and P570

Further analysis of the candidate proteases P66 and P570 showed that P66 had a greater proportion of the expressed protein present in the cell wall compared to the cytoplasm (Figure 5.3.10 Lane 7). However, optimisation of the conditions using an incubation time of 4 hrs at 18°C increased the proportion of P66 present in the CFE (Figure 5.3.10 Lanes 2 and 3). In the figure presented, a further cell wall extraction of *E. coli* pET15b\_*p66* (Lane 10) showed no presence of the protease, which was contrary to previous experimental results, but loading cell wall samples was problematic due to viscosity. Conversely, P570 did not show a presence in the cell wall however there was a band at the correct size in the cytoplasmic fraction of the

expression vector. Increasing the IPTG induction time from 3 hrs to 4 hrs showed a marginal increase in the expression of both proteases, yet changes to the induction temperature to  $18^{\circ}$ C had no effect on the amount of protein in the soluble fraction for the expression of *p570* whereas this change in temperature increased the amount of *p66* expressed.



- 1. E. coli pET15b\_p66 incubated for 3 hrs at 18°C Cell free extract
- 2. *E. coli* pET15b\_*p66* incubated for 4 hrs at 37°C (CFE)
- 3. E. coli pET15b incubated for 4 hrs at 18°C (CFE)
- 4. *E. coli* pET15b\_*p570* incubated for 3 hrs at 18°C (CFE)
- 5. Positive *E. coli* pET15b\_*p570* sample (4 hrs at 37°C) (CFE)
- 6. Positive *E. coli* pET15b\_*p66* sample Cell wall extract (4 hrs at 37°C) (CWE)
- 7. SeeBlue<sup>™</sup> Plus2 Pre-stained Protein Standard
- 8. *E. coli* pET15b\_*p66* incubated at for 3 hrs at 18°C (CWE)
- 9. *E. coli* pET15b\_*p66* incubated for 4 hrs at 37°C (CWE)
- 10. E. coli pET15b\_p570 incubated for 3 hrs at 18°C (CWE)

Figure 5.3.10. A western blot showing the cellular distribution of proteases P66 and P570

produced by E. coli using alterations in temperature and induction time to assess the optimal

expression conditions. Crude cell free extract (CFE) and crude cell wall extract (CWE) were

used. Positive controls for both P66 and P570 were produced in a previous assay assessing
whether P66 or P570 could be detected in the CFE or CWE when inducing for 3 hrs with IPTG at 37°C.

#### 5.3.6 Overlay Assays using L. lactis Strains with Co-Expression of P62 and P49

Overlay assays were used to assess the antimicrobial activity of a variety of created strains to test the efficacy of the candidate proteases. For all overlay assays *C. perfringens* was used as an indicator organism.

P62 and P49 were used to attempt cleavage of the leader peptides of nisin O by heterologous expression in L. lactis. These candidate proteases were selected as they had the highest percentage similarity to the LanP from the *B. producta* SCSK biosynthetic gene cluster. There was no zone of inhibition seen surrounding either p62 or p49 expressing L. lactis strain, either when trypsin was present in the overlay agar or absent (Figure 5.3.11A and B). A zone of inhibition was only observed for the positive control of L. lactis UKLc10 pnso when trypsin was present in the overlay agar (5.3.11A). No trypsin was used in the base or overlay agar for these overlay assays. Therefore, due to the lack of antimicrobial activity seen by both the p62and p49 co-expressing strains without the presence of trypsin it was determined that these candidate proteases could not cleave the leader peptide or have an efficiency such that no antimicrobial activity could be seen. Additionally, it must be noted that no antimicrobial activity was observed for the p62 and p49 expression L. lactis strains even when trypsin was present. This should have occurred as the nsoA1-4 genes should still be expressed and therefore provide a zone of inhibition. This may have occurred due to the constitutive expression of the two candidate proteases within these heterologous expression systems causing a downregulation of the nsoA1-4 genes and hence not providing the antimicrobial activity expected.



**Figure 5.3.11.** A) An overlay assay to assess the effect of candidate proteases on nisin O leader cleavage using, *L. lactis* UKLc10 pnso, *L. lactis* UKLc10 pnso pUK200\_P32\_p62, *L. lactis* UKLc10 pnso pUK200\_P32\_p49, *L. lactis* MG1614 pIL253 in section 1-4, respectively,

with trypsin present only in the overlay agar. B) Strains are spotted in the same order as A, however there is no trypsin present in the base or overlay agar.



**Figure 5.3.12.** A) An antimicrobial overlay assay using *L. lactis* UKLc10 pnso and 10 μg/ml cell wall extract (CWE) from *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) pET15b\_p570 incubated overnight at 37°C with no trypsin present in the base or overlay agar. B) *L. lactis* UKLc10 pnso and 10 μg/ml CWE from *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) pET15b\_p570 incubated overnight at 37°C with trypsin present in the overlay agar. C) *L. lactis* UKLc10 pnso and 10 μg/ml CWE from *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) pET15b\_p570 incubated overnight at 37°C with trypsin present in the overlay agar. C) *L. lactis* UKLc10 pnso and 10 μg/ml CWE from *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) pET15b\_p66 incubated overnight at 37°C with no trypsin present in the base or overlay agar. D) *L. lactis* UKLc10 pnso and 10 μg/ml CWE from *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) pET15b\_p66 incubated overnight at 37°C with no trypsin present in the base or overlay agar. D) *L. lactis* UKLc10 pnso and 10 μg/ml CWE from *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) pET15b\_p66 incubated overnight at 37°C with no trypsin present in the base or overlay agar. D) *L. lactis* UKLc10 pnso and 10 μg/ml CWE from *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) pET15b\_p66 incubated overnight at 37°C with no trypsin present in the base or overlay agar. D) *L. lactis* UKLc10 pnso and 10 μg/ml CWE from *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) pET15b\_p66 incubated overnight at 37°C with trypsin present in the overlay agar. *C. perfringens* was used as the indicator organism.

Overlay assays were also used for both P570 and P66 crude CWEs after being incubated for 3 hrs with IPTG at 37°C, with the *E. coli* crude extract mixed with *L. lactis* UKLc10 pnso and incubated overnight at 37°C before the latter was grown and overlaid. However, no zones of inhibition were observed for either P66 or P570 samples in the presence or absence of trypsin

(Figure 5.3.12). Again, this was not expected as in the presence of trypsin antimicrobial activity should occur, and as there is no co-expressing of the candidate proteases, the CWEs might be interacting with either *L. lactis* or trypsin to prevent the cleavage and therefore activity of pre-NsoA1-4.

# 5.3.7 Overlay Assays using *L. lactis* Heterologous Expression System with *LanP* and *P140*

Overlay assays were used to assess whether cleavage of the leader peptides of NsoA1-4 were occurring with the co-expression of *lanP* or *p140*, using *C. perfringens* as an indicator organism. However, there was no zone of inhibition around either *lanP* or *p140* co-expressing strains without the presence of trypsin (Figure 5.3.13B). This suggests that neither of these candidate proteases are able to cleave the leader peptide of NsoA1-4 or cannot cleave nisin O efficiently to provide an antimicrobial zone of inhibition. On both plates, the nisin A producing positive control (*L. lactis* FI5876 pIL253) provided a zone of inhibition and the nisin O producing strain (*L. lactis* UKLc10 *pnso*) was only observed to have a zone of inhibition when trypsin was present in the overlay agar. However, as with the previous overlay assays, no antimicrobial activity was observed for the *p140* and *lanP* producing strains in the presence of trypsin, which was unexpected. This again may be due to reduced expression of the nisin O peptides to a level below that for an observable antimicrobial effect due to the constitutive expression of the two candidate proteases within the strain.



**Figure 5.3.13.** A) An overlay assay to assess the effect of candidate proteases on nisin O leader cleavage using, *L. lactis* FI5876 pIL253, *L. lactis* UKLc10 pnso, *L. lactis* UKLc10 pIL253 pUK200\_P32\_p140, *L. lactis* UKLc10 pnso pUK200\_P32\_p140, *L. lactis* UKLc10 pnso pUK200\_P32\_lanP, as spots 1-6,

respectively. Plate contained 15 ng/ml nisin A in the base agar and NaHCO<sub>3</sub> and 10  $\mu$ g/ml trypsin in the overlay agar. B) Bacteria spots were in the same order as plate A, however the base plate contains 15 ng/ml nisin and NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, with no trypsin used in the overlay agar. *C. perfringens* was used as an indicator strain

#### 5.3.8 pre-nso Leader Cleavage Assay using P570, P66, P62, P49, P140 and LanP

To investigate whether the candidate proteases were capable of cleaving the NsoA1-3 prepeptides, a protease incubation assay using pre-Nso (5.8 kDa) as the substrate was performed followed by a Western Blot with an antibody for the NsoA1-3 leader peptide to check for leader peptide cleavage. No notable leader cleavage of pre-Nso was seen for any candidate protease as bands are visible in all incubations with candidate proteases at approximately 6 kDa (Fig 5.3.14). Crude CWEs were used for all candidate proteases, and CFEs were also used for P140 and LanP strains. The CWEs were selected as NisP is found anchored in the cell wall and most of the candidate proteases assessed contained predicted transmembrane domains, indicating that they are bound on the cell surface. Interestingly, the non-specific bands seen in the P49 and P62 western were absent in the P140 and LanP Western Blot.

The CWEs of the empty vector pET15b, *p570* and *p66* expressing strains were used in an incubation with TCA precipitated pre-NsoA1-4 (Figure 5.3.14A). Bands were clearly in all wells that contained the CWEs from the *E. coli* strains at the correct size of 5.8 kDa. This therefore indicates that neither P66 or P570 are able to cleave the leader peptide of pre-NsoA1-3 and hence cannot activate the peptide. A positive control using 15  $\mu$ g/ml trypsin and 15  $\mu$ g/ml trypsin that had been adjusted to pH 6.8 was used and no bands were observed on the Western Blot transfer membrane after antibody treatment. This confirms that trypsin is capable of cleaving the leader peptide of pre-NsoA1-3 (Figure 5.3.14A).

With the results of this experiment established, the p49 and p62 producing strains were induced using IPTG with the conditions optimised previously (4 hrs incubation at  $18^{\circ}$ C). These crude CWEs were used in an overnight incubation with the TCA precipitated preNsoA1-4 and the precipitated supernatant from an empty pIL253 vector strain to act as a negative control. No bands were observed in the pIL253 control lanes as expected as there were no pre-NsoA1-3 peptides for the leader binding antibody to attach to (Figure 5.3.14B). Furthermore, clear bands were observed for P49, P62 and pET15b CWEs after the incubation indicating that no leader peptide cleavage was occurring in the presence of these proteases (Figure 5.3.14B). Additionally, the positive trypsin control showed no bands in the pIL253 precipitated supernatant or that of the pre-NsoA1-4 supernatant, indicating that trypsin is able to cleave the leader peptide of pre-NsoA1-3 (Figure 5.3.14B).

Both LanP and P140 were assessed for their ability to cleave the leader peptide from pre-NsoA1-3. Both the CFEs as well as the CWEs was used in the overnight incubation with the TCA precipitated pre-NsoA1-4 supernatant. However, clear bands at approximately 6 kDa were observed for all the extracts, again indicating that the pre-NsoA1-3 leader peptide was not cleaved by these candidate proteases (Figure 5.3.14C). In this assay the CWEs from both B. obeum and the humanisation strain co-culture in addition to the mix of humanisation strains were used in order to observe whether any proteases on the cell surfaces of these bacteria were capable of cleaving the leader peptide. However, bands were observed using these CWEs of mixed culture, which means that there was no protease found attached to the cell wall of these bacteria that was able to cleave the leader peptide of pre-NsoA1-3 (Figure 5.3.14C). Furthermore, the CWEs and CFEs of L. lactis MG1614 was tested to ensure that there was no protein capable of cleaving the leader from L. lactis, therefore confirming that the antimicrobial activity seen in the heterologous expression system was indeed activated by trypsin. A band was observed when the L. lactis MG1614 CFE was used indicating that no leader peptide cleavage occurred. However, the L. lactis MG1614 the CWEs, along with the trypsin positive control did not have a band within their respective lanes (Figure 5.3.14C). This was likely due to poor transfer as when this assay was repeated using L. lactis MG1614 CWE and CFE clear bands were visible using both extracts (Figure 5.3.14D). These results further confirm that none of the candidate proteases selected for cloning were able to cleave

the leader peptide of pre-NsoA1-3 and furthermore, trypsin has been shown to cleave the leader peptide resulting in no bands being observed as the fragment remaining (2.65 kDa) after leader peptide cleavage is too small and would either be electrophoresed off the gel or the product was within the dye front and therefore was not observable.



- 1. SeeBlue<sup>TM</sup> Plus2 Standard
- 2. Pre-nso with pET15b CWE
- 3. Pre-nso with P570 CWE
- 4. Pre-nso with P66 CWE
- 5. Pre-nso with trypsin
- 6. Pre-nso with trypsin (pH adjusted)





- 1. pIL253 with P62 CWE
- 2. pIL253 with P49 CWE
- 3. pIL253 with pET15b CWE
- 4. Pre-nso with P62 CWE
- 5. Pre-nso with P49 CWE
- 6. Pre-nso with pET15b CWE
- 7. SeeBlue<sup>TM</sup> Plus2 Standard
- 8. pIL253 with trypsin
- 9. Pre-nso with trypsin

6 kDa



- 1. Pre-nso with P140 CFE
- 2. Pre-nso with LanP CFE
- 3. Pre-nso with P140 CWE
- 4. Pre-nso with LanP CWE
- 5. SeeBlue<sup>TM</sup> Plus2 Standard
- 6. Pre-nso
- 7. Pre-nso with Hu + B. *obeum* CWE
- 8. Pre-nso with Hu CWE
- 9. Pre-nso with MG1614 CFE
- 10. Pre-nso with MG1614 CWE
- 11. Pre-nso with 10  $\mu$ g/ml trypsin



- 1. SeeBlue<sup>TM</sup> Plus2 Standard
- 2. Pre-nso
- 3. Pre-nso with MG1614 CFE
- 4. Pre-nso with MG1614 CWE
- 5. Pre-nso with  $10 \mu g/ml$  trypsin
- 6. Pre-nso with 50  $\mu$ g/ml trypsin

**Figure 5.3.14** A) Western Blot of candidate proteases P570 and P66 crude cell wall extracts (CWE) using pre-NsoA1-4 TCA-precipitated proteins as the substrate. B) Western Blot of candidate proteases P49 and P62 CWE using pre-NsoA1-4 or pIL253 TCA-precipitated proteins as substrates. C) Western Blot of candidate proteases P140 and LanP crude cell free extracts (CFE) and crude CWEs using pre-NsoA1-4 TCA-precipitated proteins as substrate.

CWE of the co-culture of *B. obeum* A2-162 and humanisation strains (Hu) were also used. *L. lactis* MG1614 was used as a negative control and trypsin was used as a positive control. D) Western blot of the CFE and CWE of *L. lactis* MG1614 incubated with TCA precipitated pre-NsoA1-4. Trypsin was used a positive control for all assays.

#### **5.3.9 MicroMatrix Fermentation Assay**

A MicroMatrix fermentation experiment was performed to assess whether mature active nisin O could be produced within a simulated gut environment and whether the levels of production were sufficient for antimicrobial activity or changes in the microbiome profile to be observed. Both L. lactis UKLc10 pnso and B. obeum A2-162 were used in combination with human faecal slurry which would enable any antimicrobial effect on the microbial composition to be observed. Additionally, trypsin was added to a subset of samples to replicate the concentrations found in the gut and to ensure that the leader peptide of nisin O was cleaved within the fermentation model (Goldberg, Campbell et al. 1969). Samples were taken at timepoints 0 hrs and 24 hrs and the samples were pelleted and prepared for DNA and RNA extraction. DNA samples were sequenced using Illumina metagenomic shotgun sequencing with the data received being applied to the QC\_module\_wf\_1.0\_nohost pipeline on Galaxy to assess the bacterial composition (Figure 5.3.15). In all samples when comparing the T0 and T24 timepoint samples there was an increased proportion of *Escherichia coli* present within the samples after 24 hrs, indicated in pink in figure 5.3.15. However, the increase in reads being annotated as *E. coli* were also observed in the *L. lactis* UKLc10 pnso only control wells. This was very unexpected as the samples were treated under aseptic conditions prior to the experiment preparation and sampling. This result was also seen in a repeat of this experiment using the same donor. This increase in *E. coli* reads may have been due to the cassettes for the MicroMatrix being sterilised and re-used for multiple experiments, therefore it is possible that some strains, in this case E. coli, were able to survive the sterilisation of the cassettes and therefore contaminate the growth medium including that of single strain only wells. Because of this likely contamination there was no indication that the presence of nisin O producing

strains in the presence or absence of trypsin caused a change in the bacterial composition. It can also be stated that the E. coli growth was not due to the nisin O antimicrobial activity as the faecal slurry only wells also had an increase in E. coli mapped reads. Furthermore, B. obeum A2-162 was not identified within the key produced by the QC\_module\_wf\_1.0\_nohost Galaxy pipeline. This was likely due to the B. obeum A2-162 reads being mis-annotated by the pipeline, therefore explaining its absence from the key. Only one *Blautia* species, *Blautia* hansenii was present within the analysed data, of which it consisted of just 0.5%, 0.01%, 0.5% and 0.02% of the B. obeum A2-162 + Faecal T0, B. obeum A2-162 + Faecal T24, B. obeum A2-162 + Faecal + 400 µg/ml trypsin T0 and B. obeum A2-162 + Faecal + 400 µg/ml trypsin T24 samples. This shows that B. obeum A2-162 was not mis-annotated as another Blautia species as the L. lactis samples contained a very high percentage of L. lactis reads at T0, between 31% to 90%. Additionally, the presence of trypsin also did not appear to influence the growth of E. coli between the different conditions. The extracted DNA was used in a PCR to observe if the nisin O cluster was present in the sample using the nsoA1\_F and nsoA1/2/3\_R primers. In all samples which contained either B. obeum A2-162 or the L. lactis heterologous expression system, bands were present which indicated the presence of the nsoA1-3 genes within the samples (Figure 5.3.16A and B).



|   | Lactococcus lactis e [Eubacterium] retale faecalibacterium prausnizii Echerichia coli [Eubacterium] edigens Bacteroides vulgatus Roseburia hominis Biflobacterium adoiescentis Rominococcus bicirculans Anaerostipes hadrus Echerichia coli [Eubacterium] edigens Bacteroides vulgatus Roseburia hominis Biflobacterium adoiescentium catenulatum Parabacteroides gits consolitations and second advective splanchicus Prevotella actoroides voltatus Parabacteroides distanois Prevotella scopos Trevotella actoroides voltatus Parabacteroides distanois Prevotella scopos Prevotella encocapacia Prevotella encocapacia Prevotella actoroides voltatus Parabacteroides distanois Prevotella scopos Prevotella encocapacia Prevotella encoca Collinsteri e Parabilita Prevotella encoca prevotella encoca prevotella encocapacia Prevotella encoca Prevotella encocapacia Prevotella encoca Prevotella encocapacia Prevotella encoca Prevotella encocapacia Prevotella encoca Prevotella encoc |
|---|--|
|   | Burkholderia stabilis Burkholderia territorii Burkholderia ubonensis Burkholderia vietnamiensis Carnobacterium divergens   |
|   | Citrobacter freundii complex sp. CFNIH3 Clostridium butyricum Cronobacter condimenti Enterococcus casseliflavus Enterococcus durans<br>Enterococcus sp. CR-Ec1 Enterococcus sp. FDAARGOS_375 Eubacterium limosum Fusobacterium periodonticum Haemophilus pittmaniae Klebsiella oxytoca   |
| C | Klebsiella quasipneumoniae 🔴 Lachnospiraceae bacterium oral taxon 500 💧 Lactobacillus helveticus 🛑 Lactococcus garvieae 🍈 Lactococcus phage 98201  |
| • | 🕽 Lactococcus piscium 🛛 🥚 Lactococcus raffinolactis 🔹 🌒 Leclercia sp. LSNIH1 🔹 Leuconostoc sp. C2 👘 Megasphaera elsdenii 🔹 Mogibacterium diversum  |
|   | Myroides odoratimimus 💿 Paraburkholderia phytofirmans 💿 Porphyromonas gingivalis 💿 Pseudomonas putida 🔍 Ruminococcaceae bacterium CPB6 🔗 Ruminococcus albus  |
|   | Steptoroccus capitus Statemore Streptoroccus en portanti Streptoroccus infantarius Streptoroccus infantarius Streptoroccus state Streptoroccus en and |
|   | Vibrio harveyi 🥚 Vibrio parahaemolyticus   |
|   | <b>Figure 5.3.15.</b> Shotgun metagenomic sequencing output from the   |

QC\_module\_wf\_1.0\_nohost Galaxy pipeline showing bacterial composition of samples from timepoint 0 hrs (T0) and timepoint 24 hrs (T24).



- 1. 1 kB ladder
- 2. Negative
- 3. B. obeum gDNA
- 4. Faecal slurry T0
- 5. Faecal slurry T24
- 6. Faecal slurry + trypsin T0
- 7. Faecal slurry + trypsin T24
- 8. Faecal slurry + L. *lactis* pnso T0
- 9. Faecal slurry + L. lactis pnso T24
- 10. Faecal slurry + *L. lactis* pnso + trypsin T0
- 11. Faecal slurry + L. lactis pnso + trypsin T24
- 12. 1 kB ladder



1 kB ladder
 Negative
 B. obeum gDNA
 L. lactis pnso T0
 L. lactis pnso T24
 L. lactis pnso + trypsin T0
 L. lactis pnso + trypsin T24
 Faecal slurry + B. obeum T0
 Faecal slurry + B. obeum T24
 Faecal slurry + B. obeum + trypsin T0
 Faecal slurry + B. obeum + trypsin T24
 Kaecal slurry + B. obeum + trypsin T24

13. 1 kB ladder

**Figure 5.3.16.** A) An agarose gel of the extracted DNA from a MicroMatrix fermentation experiment (lanes 4-11). B) An agarose gel of the extracted DNA from a MicroMatrix fermentation experiment (lanes 4-11).

A repeat of the assay was performed using a new donor (as the original donor became infected with SARS CoV 19), with an additional test sample of 25 µg/ml TCA-precipitated L. lactis UKLc10 pnso supernatant in the presence and absence of trypsin. Again, as with the previous assay, there was a large increase in the abundance of specific bacteria, in this case E. coli (Dark Green) and Enterobacter cloacae (Orange) when comparing T0 and T24 samples. This result was seen in all samples that contained the faecal slurry, including the faecal slurry only control. In this experiment a new MicroMatrix cassette was used and aseptic conditions were observed through well loading and transfer to the MicroMatrix fermenter. However, the fact that this pattern was also seen in the Faecal slurry only control indicated that this was not due to the presence or action of nisin O (Figure 5.3.17A and B). The conditions of L. lactis UKLc10 pnso + faecal, L. lactis UKLc10 pnso + faecal + trypsin, B. obeum + faecal, B. obeum + faecal + trypsin, Pre-nso + faecal and Pre-nso + faecal + trypsin were all performed in triplicate in the same cassette. There was no observable difference in the percentage of B. salanitronis and E. cloacae reads present between each of the conditions (Figure 5.3.17A and B). Furthermore, the *B. obeum* A2-162 sample, as with the previous assay, did not align to the B. obeum A2-162 genome, instead members of the Terrabacteria group and Proteobacteria were present in the sample. However, in this case the composition remained the same between T0 and T24 indicating that this potentially was *B. obeum* A2-162 but the reads had been misannotated to the database used by the Galaxy pipeline. In the case of the *L. lactis* UKLc10 *pnso* only well, there was an observed maintainance of the strain across the 24 hr experiment, with the percentage of *L. lactis* mapped reads within the samples dropping only 1% from 91% to 90% (Figure 5.3.17A and B). Due to this apparent absence of contamination in the single strain only wells and overgrowth of *E. coli* and *E. cloacae* occuring only in wells containing the faecal slurry, it lead to the possibility that these strains were outcompeting the other strains within the donor sample and therefore that nisin O did not have an observable effect because of this growth.

An antimicrobial assay was performed using the supernatants of each of the conditions both at the T0 and T24 timepoints (Figure 5.3.18A) but no zones of inhibition were seen when using *C. perfringens* as the indicator. Additionally the T24 supernatant samples were concentrated and used in a supernatant drop test assay; again no antimicrobial activity was observed except from the positive control, nisin A producing strain *L. lactis* FI876 (Figure 5.3.18B). This indicates that there was no expression of an antimicrobial either by the nisin O containing strains or by those within the faecal slurry to a level that would produce observable antimicrobial activity.



B)

| Faecal                              |  |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| L. Lactis pnso                      |  |
| B. obeum                            |  |
| L. Lactis FI5876                    |  |
| L. Lactis pnso + Faecal 1 🔳         |  |
| L. Lactis pnso + Faecal 2           |  |
| L. Lactis pnso + Faecal 3           |  |
| L. Lactis pnso + Faecal + trypsin 1 |  |
| L. Lactis pnso + Faecal + trypsin 2 |  |
| L. Lactis pnso + Faecal + trypsin 3 |  |
| B. obeum + Faecal 1                 |  |
| B. obeum + Faecal 2                 |  |
| B. obeum + Faecal 3                 |  |
| B. obeum + Faecal + trypsin 1       |  |
| B. obeum + Faecal + trypsin 2■      |  |
| B. obeum + Faecal + trypsin 3       |  |
| Pre-nso + Faecal 1                  |  |
| Pre-nso + Faecal 2                  |  |
| Pre-nso + Faecal 3                  |  |
| Pre-nso + Faecal + trypsin 1        |  |
| Pre-nso + Faecal + trypsin 2        |  |
| Pre-nso + Faecal + trypsin 3        |  |

💿 Faecalibacterium prausnitzii 🛛 🜒 [Eubacterium] rectale 💦 🗧 Bacteroides vulgatus 👋 🧧 [Eubacterium] eligens 🔹 💿 Lactobacillus plantarum Streptococcus salivarius Roseburia hominis Bifidobacterium longum
 Bifidobacterium adolescentis
 Mordavella sp. Marseille-P3756
 Bacteroides dorei
 Ruminococcus bicirculans
 Bacteroides cellulosilyticus
 Streptococcus thermophilus
 Odoribacter splanchnicus
 Parabacteroides sp. CT06
 [Eubacterium] hallii
 Bacteroides fragilis
 Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron
 F Faecalitalea cylindroides Streptococcus parasanguinis Clostridium] bolteae Streptococcus equinus Eateroides over Streptococcus factium Eateroides distances Enterobacter cloacae Eateroides caccae Eater Burkholderia cenocepacia Streptococcus sp. A12
 Blautia sp. YL58
 Haemophilus parainfluenzae
 Blidobacterium catenulatum
 Streptococcus infantarius
 Alistipes finegoldii
 Prevotella intermedia
 Klebsiella oxytoca
 Veillonella parvula
 Blidobacterium breve
 Streptococcus sp. FDAARGOS\_192
 Intestinimonas butyriciproducens
 Bacteroides salanitronis
 Streptococcus infantarius Streptococcus australis Ruminiclostridium sp. KB18 
Acutalibacter muris
Campylobacter coli
Lachnoclostridium phocaeense
Gifdobacterium kashiwanohense
Clostridium sp. SY8519
Lachnoclostridium sp. YL32
Streptococcus sp. I–G2
Ruminiclaginosa
Streptococcus sp. I–P16
Streptococcus acidominimus
Cordonibacter pamelaeae
Lachoologina Lachnoclostridium sp. YL32 Campylobacter jejuni 🔴 Bifidobacterium angulatum 🛛 🔘 Christ 🗧 Flavonifractor plautii 🛛 😑 Streptococcus mitis 🔹 🔵 Escherichia coli Turicibacter sp. H121 Ruminococcus champanellensis Cronobacter sakazakii Clostridium] saccharolyticum Adverse sakazakii Adverse sakazakii Adverse sakazakii Streptococcus sa Streptococcus pneumoniae Burkholderia cepacia
 Eucontanto guardacti
 Costrolium (Control of Costrolium)
 Streptococcus gordonii
 Streptococcus gordonii
 Streptococcus gordonii
 Streptococcus gordonii
 Streptococcus cerv
 Streptococcus cerv
 Clostridium chauvoei
 Erysipelotrichaceae bacterium Ide
 Streptococcus cerv
 Streptococcus cerv
 Streptococcus cerv
 Streptococcus cerv
 Streptococcus saguinis
 Streptocococus saguinis
 Streptocococus saguinis
 Streptococcus saguini Pediococcus claussenii 🔍 Klebsiella michiganensis S Streptococcus sp. oral taxon 431 O Prevotella enoeca Streptococcus sintermedius Muribaculum intestinale Streptococcus sanginosus Gordonibacter urolithinfaciens Agregatibacter aphrophilus influenzae Klebsiella pneumoniae Clostridium perfringens Leuconostoc citreum Klebsiella sp. M5al Phoenicibacter massiliensis Bacteroides zoogleoformans Agregatibacter aphrophilus O Oscillibacter valericigenes Bacteroides heparinolyticus Murdochiella vaginalis 

 Clostridium baratii
 Prevotella scopo
 Lactobacillus acidipiscis
 Lactobacillus acidipiscis
 Lactobacillus paracollinoides
 Prevotella melaninogenica
 Burkholderia multivorans

 Butyrivibrio fibrisolvens
 Lactobacillus delbrueckii
 Citrobacter freundii
 Eggerthella sp. YY7918
 Lactobacillus backii
 Streptococcus cristatus
 Euberlouice

 Prevotella ruminicola
 Lactobacillus delbrueckii
 Citrobacter freundii
 Eggerthella sp. YY7918
 Lactobacillus backii
 Streptococcus cristatus
 Euberlouice
 Elefsonia xyli

 Bifdobacterium pseudolongum
 Butyrivibrio hungatei
 Haemophilus pittmaniae
 Prevotella fusca
 Cronobacter universalis
 Streptococcus pseudopneumoniae

 Streptococcus sp. oral taxon 064
 Myroides odoratimimus
 Lactobacillus ruminis
 Lactobacillus pruninis
 Lactobacillus anylovorus
 Streptococcus sp. NPS 308

 Lactobacillus sakei 😑 Mogibacterium diversum 🔹 Prevotella dentalis 🛑 Lachnospiraceae bacterium oral taxon 500 💿 Lactobacillus pentosus 💿 Streptococcus macedonicus Paraburkholderia phytofirmans
 Aggregatibacter segnis
 Treponema sp. OMZ 838
 Paeniclostridium sordellii
 Lactobacillus coryniformis
 Rothia dentocariosa
 Bifidobacterium thermophilum
 Pediococcus acidilactici
 Ruminococcaceae bacterium CP86
 Anaerotignum propionicum
 Treponema putidum Treponema succinifaciens Enterobacter freundli complex sp. CFNIH3
 Cronobacter dublinensis
 Klebsiella sp. LTCPAF-G
 Cronobacter freundli complex sp. CFNIH3
 Cronobacter dublinensis
 Klebsiella sp. LTCPAF-G
 Cronobacter dublinensis
 Klebsiella sp. LTCPAF-G
 Cronobacter freundli complex sp. CFNIH3
 Cronobacter dublinensis
 Klebsiella sp. LEVIH3
 Cronobacter freundli complex sp. CFNIH3
 Cronobacter dublinensis
 Klebsiella sp. LEVIH3
 Cronobacter dublinensis
 Klebsiella sp. LEVIH3
 Cronobacter dublinensis
 Klebsiella sp. LEVIH3
 Cronobacter freundli complex sp. CFNIH3
 Cronobacter dublinensis
 Klebsiella sp. LEVIH3
 Cronobacter dublinensis
 Cronobacter dublinensis
 Cronobacter sp. LEVIH3
 Cro Cronobacter turicensis 

Leclercia sp. LSNIH3 
Lelliottia sp. WB101

Citrobacter koseri ● Citrobacter amalonaticus ● Shigella dysenteriae ● Enterobacter xiangfangensis Cronobacter turicensis electercia sp. LSNH3 elelilottia sp. WB101 Citrobacter koseri elitotta sp. WB101 Citrobacter amalonaticus Shigella dysenteria enterobacter xiangfangensis Citrobacter sp. FDAARGOS\_156 Clostridium argentinense Klebsiella quasipneumoniae Raoultella ornithinolytica Citrobacter sp. CPU-NII Desulfovibrio piger Escherichia albertii Kosakonia oryzae Enterobacter sp. E20 Lelliottia amnigena Cupriavidus gilardii Ecclercia adecarboxylata Citorbacter sp. CPL-VII Desulfovibrio piger Escherichia fergusonii Citrobacter sp. FY-07 Klebsiella quasivariicola Leclercia sp. LSNIH1 Shewanella sp. ANA-3 Enterobacter cloacae complex sp. FDA-CDC-AR\_0164 Kosakonia sacchari Citrobacter farmeri Citrobacter sp. CFNIH10 Pluralitater gergovia
 Statistica gergovia
 Consobacteri una Sub-8
 Chererobacter s. CRENT-193
 Cherobacter s. CRENT-193
 Chererobacter Enterobacter jugnolyticus
 Conobacter sp. CBAI
 Schweizer Candidatus Sodalis pierantonius
 Candidatus Symbiobacter mobilis
 Carnobacterium divergens
 Citrobacter sp. 92
 Cloactidatus Symbiobacter mobilis
 Carnobacterium divergens
 Clostridium cellulovorans
 Clostridium formicaceticum
 Clostridium formicaceticum
 Clostridium formicaceticum
 Clostridium gibsoniae
 Desulfovibrio desulfuricans
 Desulfovibrio fairfieldensis Citrobacter rodentium Dickeya dadantii 
Dickeya solani 
Edwardsiella sp. EA181011
Enterobacteria phage fiAA91-ss
Enterobacterial phage mEp390
Enterocccus sp. FDAAGOS\_375
Erysipelothrix rhusiopathiae
Escherichia marmotae
Escherichia phage TL-2011b
Escherichia phage TL-2011b
Escherichia phage TL-2011b
Escherichia phage TL-2011b
Dickeya solani
Enterocccus facalis
Escherichia phage TL-2011b
Dickeya solani
Escherichia phage TL-2011b
Dickeya solani
Dickeya solani
Dickeya solani
Enterococcus facalis
Escherichia phage TL-2011b
Dickeya solani
Dickeya solani
Escherichia phage TL-2011b
Dickeya solani
Dickeya solani
Dickeya solani
Escherichia phage TL-2011b
Dickeya solani
Dickeya solani
Dickeya solani
Escherichia phage TL-2011b
Dickeya solani
Dickeya Finegoldia magna 🗣 Fusobacterium gonidiaformans 🔶 Fusobacterium hwasookii 🔍 Fusobacterium nucleatum 🔍 Fusobacterium sp. oral taxon 203 🔹 Gemella morbillorum Gibbsiella quercinecans 🔶 Haemophilus haemolyticus 💿 Haemophilus sp. oral taxon 036 👄 Jeotgalibaca sp. PTS2502 🔶 Klebsiella phage phiKO2 💿 Kosakonia radicincitans Lactobacillus alimentarius
 Lactobacillus amylophilus
 Lactobacillus annylophilus
 Lactobacillus annylophilus Lactococcus pnage bl.286
 Lactococus pnage bl.286
 Lactococus pnage bl.286
 Lactococus pn Periotococcus pentosaceus
 Peptonipinius sp. INU2-DIC
 Peptostreptococcaceae bacterium ora taxon 929
 Proteus mirabilis
 Pseudomonas aeruginosa
 Pseudomonas putida
 Pseu Yersinia pestis 🔍 Yersinia virus L413C 🔍 Clostridium] cellulolyticum 🔍 Clostridium] sphenoides 🔍 Eubacterium] sulci 🔍 [Haemophilus] ducreyi

Figure 5.3.17. A) Bacterial composition of the T0 sample from the MicroMatrix assay using a new donor. B) Bacterial composition of the T24 sample from the MicroMatrix assay using a new donor.



- 8. Faecal slurry + L. lactis pnso +Trypsin T24
- 8. Faecal slurry + B. obeum +Trypsin T24



- 4. Faecal slurry + L. *lactis* pnso + trypsin
- 5. L. lactis FI5876
- 4. Faecal slurry + B. obeum + trypsin
- 5. BHI + C

**Figure 5.3.18.** A) Supernatant drop test assay of the supernatants from the MicroMatrix fermentation assay at T0 and T24. B) Supernatant drop test assay of the concentrated supernatants from the MicroMatrix fermentation assay at T24. *C. perfringens* was used as the indicator strain in both assays.

#### **5.4 Discussion**

The nisin O cluster in *B. obeum* A2-162 is unusual as it does not contain a protease which is required in other nisin variant clusters to cleave the leader peptide and therefore activate the antimicrobial characteristic of the molecule (Lubelski, Rink *et al.* 2008, Hatziioanou, Gherghisan-Filip *et al.* 2017). Analysis of the *B. obeum* A2-162 genome using the *nisP* or *lanP* sequences from *L. lactis* and *B. producta* SCSK (Kim, Becattini *et al.* 2019), respectively, indicated a number of candidates with the *B. obeum* A2-162 genome that had homology to the amino acid sequence of these two reference proteins (P66, P570, P62 and P49). It was decided to look at the entire *B. obeum* A2-162 genome, rather than upstream and downstream of the cluster as there are examples of proteases capable of cleaving the leader peptide of some lantibiotics which are not found within the biosynthetic gene cluster, such as

the AprE, WprA and Vpr proteins which can cleave the leader peptide of subtilin (Corvey, Stein et al. 2003, Hatziioanou, Gherghisan-Filip et al. 2017). Furthermore, when looking at the conserved domains and predicted structure of B. producta SCSK LanP, candidates were also identified which shared these domains and structures (P140). The candidate proteases P66, P570, P49, P62, P140 and LanP were selected as they either had the greatest percentage homology to NisP, LanP or shared conserved domains with LanP. All the candidate protease genes selected were successfully inserted into inducible plasmids and transformed into E. coli BL21(DE3) and the signal peptide-like proteases p62, p49, p140 and lanP were cloned into a pUK200 P32 plasmid which enabled constitutive expression of the candidate proteases in a co-expression system in L. lactis UKLc10 pnso. However, no antimicrobial activity was observed when the nisin O gene cluster was co-expressed with the candidate proteases. Interestingly, activity was observed only when trypsin was present in the L. lactis UKLc10 pnso strain, yet not when also expressing the candidate proteases. This may be because the heterologous expression system has an increased energy expenditure to maintain and express genes from two plasmids rather than only one, therefore the concentration of nisin O produced may be lower leading to a lack of observable antimicrobial activity. Although transcription itself is not considered a major fitness cost it is thought that the energy expenditure mostly arises from translation, with highly expressed foreign genes being a major factor in the use of host bacterium tRNA (Plotkin and Kudla 2011, San Millan and MacLean 2017).

Additionally, a nisin O cleavage assay was performed for all candidate proteases using the crude CWEs in an incubation with TCA-precipitated supernatant from induced *L. lactis* UKLc10 pnso. The pre-Nso peptide was detected using the NsoA1-3 leader antibody, with loss of the 6kDa band indicating cleavage. In all cases there was no evidence of leader peptide cleavage when incubated with crude CWEs of *E. coli* expressing candidate proteases tested, or the crude CWEs from co-culture humanisation strains (Becker, Kunath *et al.* 2011). This indicates that the candidate proteases identified and selected through BLAST searching are not able to cleave the leader peptides of NsoA1-3. However, it is important to note that only

the crude CWEs and CFEs were used and that purification of the His-tagged proteins would have been more desirable, but due to the problems producing the candidate proteases in the CFE for nickel column chromatography this line of enquiry was stopped. Additionally, it shows that there are no extracellular proteases expressed by the humanisation strains that can cleave the NsoA1-3 leader peptides. However, it must be stated that not all candidate proteases from B. obeum were tested; the B. producta LanP protease is a very different protein to the NisP and this cluster may use a protease with no homology to these enzymes. Conversely, the crude CWEs of B. obeum A2-162 was used in the incubation with pre-NsoA1-3 in the TCA precipitated supernatant and no cleavage was observed, implying that there are no cell surface proteases expressed under the growth conditions used that can cleave NsoA1-2 under the conditions tested in this assay. However, cleavage of nisin O was observed when trypsin was used. This confirms the results of Hatziioanou et al (2017), where antimicrobial activity of nisin O was only observed when trypsin was present. This differs slightly from the work performed on ruminococcin A, which also does not contain a protease, which originally stated that trypsin performed leader peptide cleavage but further work indicated its role as an inducing molecule (Dabard, Bridonneau et al. 2001, Gomez, Ladire et al. 2002, Ongey, Giessmann et al. 2018).

A MicroMatrix Fermentation assay was performed to investigated whether nisin O could be induced and cleaved into its functional form when in an environment which mimics the human gut with multiple species present. The data from this assay showed that, even though the nisin O genes were present in the DNA extracted from the samples, there was no alteration in the bacterial composition specifically due to the production of this lantibiotic. This was observed as the faecal slurry only negative control changed composition in highly similar way as the samples which contained a nisin O producing organism, indicating that these alterations in microbial composition were due to specific bacteria (*E. coli* in Donor 1 and *E. coli* and *E. cloacae* in Donor 2) being a contaminant or out-competing others. Additionally, the supernatants of these samples showed no antimicrobial activity against *C. perfringens*,

implying that they either are not expressing nisin O or not at high enough levels to inhibit the growth of the indicator strain. Interestingly, the addition of trypsin had no effect on the microbial profile or expression of antimicrobial activity of nisin O. This implies that trypsin does not act as an inducing molecule at the concentrations tested for the expression of nisin O. In a recent study, nisin A was used in a range of concentrations (0-500 µM) in a MicroMatrix fermentation assay to assess its activity against C. difficile (O'Reilly, O'Connor et al. 2022). The authors found that no viable C. difficile was detected between 50 and 500  $\mu$ M and that the diversity of the microbiota decreased as the concentration of nisin increased, with an increase in the relative abundance of Gram-negative bacteria at higher nisin concentrations (O'Reilly, O'Connor et al. 2022). Additionally, another nisin variant, nisin P, was used in a MicroMatrix assay along with nisin A and nisin H. In this study, an analysis of the total live bacteria was performed and showed that nisin A had the greatest increase on cell mortality whereas nisin P and nisin H had minimal decreases in the total proportion of dead cells (Garcia-Gutierrez, O'Connor et al. 2020). These experiments not only indicate that nisin variants can have an effect on the human gut microbiome composition, but also can be used for more targeted approaches due to their specificity to Gram-positive bacteria. This indicates that further work can be performed using nisin O and the strains in which it is expressed in order to understand the role that this antimicrobial has in the human gut microbiome.

Trypsin has a higher concentration within the intestinal tract compared to the gastric tract, 143.0  $\mu$ g/ml to 19.3  $\mu$ g/ml, respectively (Metheny, Stewart *et al.* 1997). Trypsin cleaves between either a lysine or arginine residue and the following amino acid in the sequence, with the rate of cleavage slower when the lysine or arginine are followed either by a cysteine or an acidic amino acid (Simpson 2006). Furthermore, trypsin cleavage does not occur when proline follows either arginine or lysine (Simpson 2006). NsoA1-3 contain six trypsin cleavage sites within its pre-peptide, two of which are at the end of the leader peptide, however there are two sites within the core peptide (Gherghisan-Filip, Saalbach *et al.* 2018). However, in a recent study investigating *sapT* in an *E. coli* expression system it was shown that the lanthionine

rings of the lantibiotic are able to provide protection of the core peptide from proteolytic degradation (Sarksian, Hegemann *et al.* 2022). This might explain what is occurring with nisin O in an environment with high concentrations of trypsin. Additionally, a study has recently shown the trypsin degrading characteristics of commensals in the large intestinal, which would in turn reduce the concentration of trypsin in the gut and therefore reducing the risk of the core peptide being cleaved due to its further protection through its post-translational modifications while allowing the leader peptide cleavage to occur (Li, Watanabe *et al.* 2022).

This work has identified candidate lantibiotic proteases from the *B. obeum* A2-162 genome and following insertion to inducible and constitutive expression plasmids, showed no leader peptide cleavage in pre-NsoA1-3 incubation assays or co-expression assays. Additionally, no antimicrobial activity was observed in the MicroMatrix fermentation assays and any changes in bacterial composition were likely due to overgrowth or out-competing other strains within the system.

# Chapter 6.

### **Investigating the Interactions of Nisin O**

### **Regulatory Systems with Predicted Nisin O**

**Promoters** 

#### 6.1 Introduction

In order to increase expression of antimicrobial peptides produced by bacteria it is important to understand how these biosynthetic gene clusters are regulated. There are four operons which comprise the nisin A biosynthetic gene cluster, nisABTCIPRK, nisI, nisRK and nisFEG with each operon being preceded by its own promoter (de Ruyter, Kuipers et al. 1996). However, interestingly the *nisI* and *nisRK* promoters act constitutively and therefore provide a constant provision of immunity and regulatory capabilities within L. lactis (de Ruyter, Kuipers et al. 1996). The regulation of the operons of *nisABTCIPRK* and *nisFEG* are controlled by the TCS NisRK. TCSs are highly prevalent in bacteria and are used to sense changes in the extracellular environment through ligand binding with a sensor histidine kinase which auto-phosphorylates which in turn phosphorylates a response regulator allowing it to bind to target DNA and hence alter gene expression levels (Tierney and Rather 2019). In the case of nisin A regulation, NisK is a histidine kinase which recognises the N-terminal A and B lanthionine rings of nisin A and auto-phosphorylates (Kuipers, Beerthuyzen et al. 1995, Ge, Teng et al. 2016). This is followed by phosphorylation of NisR, the response regulator, which then activates the transcription of the genes within the nisin A biosynthetic gene cluster by binding to the specific region of the operon (de Ruyter, Kuipers et al. 1996). In this regard nisin A acts as its own inducer, increasing its own expression levels (Kuipers, Beerthuyzen et al. 1995). As a result of this method of regulation within the cluster, the Nisin-controlled gene expression system was first developed by de Ruyter et al (1996) which uses the NisRK system and the nisin A promoter (PnisA) cloned upstream of a gene of interest to provide an inducible expression of the gene upon the addition of nisin, the subsequent protein can then accumulate inside the cell or be transported into the extracellular space dependent on the signal sequence (Mierau, Leij et al. 2005, Zhou, Li et al. 2006).

Although nisin A is regarded as the standard used for the regulation of lantibiotics other antimicrobials have been identified that have alternative regulatory pathways. For example, mutacin I, found in *Streptococcus mutans*, is an interesting example of a type I lantibiotic

which has multiple pathways of regulation. In the biosynthetic cluster this lantibiotic contains *mutR*, which was predicted to be a positive regulator for the cluster due to similarities with the mutacin II and III operons, type II and I lanthipeptides respectively (Qi, Chen et al. 1999, Qi, Chen et al. 1999, Qi, Chen et al. 2000). Furthermore, mutacin I has undergone detailed study via construction of mutagenesis libraries to identify both positive and negative regulators of the gene cluster (Tsang, Merritt et al. 2005, Nguyen, Zhang et al. 2009). As a result of these studies 25 genes were identified that were involved in a positive regulation of mutacin I. These genes encoded TCS, stress response, energy metabolism and other cellular processes (Tsang, Merritt et al. 2005). Whereas 17 genes were identified as negative regulators involved in surface binding, cell wall metabolism, sugar transport, peptide hydrolysis and amino acid/nucleotide synthesis (Nguyen, Zhang et al. 2009). Additionally, LuxS and CiaH have been reported to be required for mutacin I production, although these were not identified as part of the mutagenesis library described previously. Merritt et al (2005), described that mutacin I expression increased at a high cell density and that deletion of luxS, involved in interspecies signalling, abolished both *mutR* expression and the expression of the entire operon itself. It was also showed that constitutively expressing 'inducible repressor of virulence', *irvA*, supressed expression of *mutA*. Therefore, it was concluded that LuxS is a negative regulator of *irvA*, which in turn is a repressor of *mutR* (Merritt, Kreth *et al.* 2005). An additional study by Qi et al., demonstrated that disruption of histidine kinase ciaH, part of the TCS CiaRH, abolished mutacin I production, however this effect was not seen when response regulator *ciaR* was inactivated. It is important to note that inactivation of *ciaH* also altered biofilm formation and reduced acid tolerance, indicating that this TCS is involved in multiple regulatory pathways (Qi, Merritt et al. 2004). Additionally, quorum sensing by Streptococcus pneumoniae can also regulate the regulation of lantibiotic expression (Hoover, Perez et al. 2015). In this study the authors observed that the Phr peptide quorum sensing system induced the expression of the lantibiotic in the presence of galactose at high cell density, indicating that expression is influenced by cell density nutritional signals (Hoover, Perez et al. 2015).

Furthermore, a recent study by Meng et al (2021), showed that the presence of acetate activated the kinase activity of PlnB, SppK and HpK3 which in turn increased the yields of their respective bacteriocins of plantaricin EF, sakacin A and rhamnosin B (Meng, Zhao et al. 2021). This result was further verified through antimicrobial activity analysis against *Staphylococcus aureus* where the cultures treated with acetate increased their antimicrobial activity by 298% (plantaricin EF), 198% (sakacin A) and 289% (rhamnosin B) (Meng, Zhao et al. 2021). This indicates that environmental factors can influence the expression of bacteriocins.

The nisin O cluster in B. obeum A2-162 contains two TCSs unlike the single TCS found in the nisin A biosynthetic gene cluster (Hatziioanou, Gherghisan-Filip et al. 2017). Additionally, there were four *in silico* predicted promoters within the nisin O biosynthetic gene cluster, preceding nsoF, nsoA1, nsoR2 and nsoB (Gherghisan-Filip 2016). These promoters were analysed for interaction using a *pepI* gene reporter assay, however, the two TCSs from the nisin O cluster were inserted into a plasmid under the control of the PnisA promoter and required the presence of NisRK into order to express the NsoR1K1 and NsoR2K2 systems. However, activity was observed from an interaction between NisRK and PnsoA thus any result from this assay may have been due to interactions from this system and the predicted promoters from the nisin O cluster rather than the nisin O TCSs (Gherghisan-Filip 2016). Interestingly, the biosynthetic gene cluster identified by Kim et al. (2019) in B. producta SCSK also contained two TCSs, however the function of these systems was not characterised. In unpublished work performed by Romano et al, the RNA from the nisin O heterologous expression system in L. lactis UKLc10 induced with nisin A was extracted and used in an Oxford Nanopore long read sequencing assay. The results of this sequencing were mapped back to the cluster sequence to determine which genes were being expressed under this induction condition (Figure 6.1.1). It was clear that there were high levels of expression of the nsoA1-4 and nsoR2K2 genes with a slight increase in expression of nsoBTC. There was limited expression for *nsoFEG*, *nsoI* and *nsoR1K1*. However most interesting was the level of expression of a previously unidentified gene between *nsoK2* and *nsoB*, named *nso1.14*, which had greatly increased levels of expression and the function of which has yet to be determined (Romano *et al.*, Unpublished) (Figure 6.1.1).



**Figure 6.1.1.** Mapped reads of cDNA from nisin A induced *L. lactis* UKLc10 pnso created from long strand RNA using Nanopore sequencing. Courtesy of Romano *et al*, (unpublished) (2022).

Understanding the regulation of antimicrobial peptides is important as this provides valuable information into how these naturally derived products not only are regulated within their original niches but also how over-expression can be achieved to help to further study the effect of these bacteriocins. In this work the relationship between the predicted promoters and the nisin O regulatory systems was investigated and RT-PCR was used to ascertain whether co-transcription across promoters is occurring within the nisin O cluster.

The hypothesis of this study is that either NsoR1K1 or NsoR2K2, or both, interact with the predicted promoters when induced with trypsinated pre-NsoA1-4. Furthermore, co-transcription will occur within the nisin O biosynthetic gene cluster.

I would like to acknowledge Dr Stefano Romano and his co-authors for allowing me to use their unpublished data in this chapter.

#### 6.2 Methods

#### 6.2.1 Cloning and Transformation of Nisin O regulatory systems

Methods used for the splice overlap PCR, restriction, ligation and transformation into *E. coli* and *L. lactis* strains and reverse transcription PCR were performed as described in methods 2.9, 2.10, 2.11, 2.12 and 3.2.4. Reaction conditions and primers used (See table 2.5 for primer details) for each of the PCRs performed are described below in table 6.2.1. Below are examples of two step PCR (used in splice overlay PCRs), colony PCRs and RT-PCRs

#### 6.2.1.1 Two step PCR:

| 98°C   | 98°C   | 43°C     | 72°C        | 98°C   | 59°C      | 72°C        | 72°C   |
|--------|--------|----------|-------------|--------|-----------|-------------|--------|
| 30 sec | 10 sec | 30 sec   | 1 min 7 sec | 10 sec | 30 sec    | 1 min 7 sec | 5 mins |
|        |        | γ<br>Χ 5 |             |        | γ<br>X 20 |             |        |

|                                | Forward<br>Primer       | Reverse<br>Primer       | Product<br>Size (bp) | Annealing<br>Temperature<br>(°C) (First 5<br>cycles) | Annealing<br>Temperature<br>(°C) (Next 20<br>cycles) | Extension<br>Time (Sec) |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|--|--|-------------------------|
| Cloning of                     | R2K2_Splice<br>P32_F    | p181                    | 2257                 | 43   | 59   | 67                      |
| <i>nsoR2K2</i> into pUK200_P32 | P32_PstIF2              | P32_SpliceR<br>2K2_R    | 250                  | 54   | 64   | 7                       |
|                                | P32_PstIF2              | p181                    | 2518                 | 53   | 63   | 73                      |
| Cloning of                     | R1K1_Splice<br>P32_F    | p181                    | 2164                 | 55   | 60   | 65                      |
| nsoR1K1 into pUK200_P32        | P32_PstIF2              | P32_SpliceR<br>1K1_R    | 250                  | 54   | 64   | 7                       |
|                                | P32_PstIF2              | p181                    | 2288                 | 54   | 63   | 67                      |
|                                | Nso1_14_spl<br>iceP32_F | Nso1_14_Ba<br>mHI_R     | 252                  | 57   | 66   | 8                       |
| Cloning<br>nso1.14 into        | P32_BglII_F             | P32_SpliceN<br>so1_14_R | 191                  | 37   | 65   | 6                       |
| pUK200_P32                     | P32_BglII_F             | Nso1_14_Ba<br>mHI_R     | 431                  | 40   | 64   | 14                      |
|                                | pUK_F                   | p181                    | 552                  | 58   | 58   | 17                      |

 Table 6.2.1. PCR conditions and primers used for cloning of the *nso* regulatory systems and

 *nso1.14* into expression plasmids used in this work.

#### 6.2.1.2 Colony PCR:

| 98°C   | 98°C   | 56°C    | 72°C   | 72°C   |
|--------|--------|---------|--------|--------|
| 30 sec | 10 sec | 30  sec | 54 sec | 5 mins |
|        |        | X 25    | )      |        |

|  | Forward<br>Primer | Reverse<br>Primer | Product<br>Size (bp) | Annealing<br>Temperature<br>(°C) (25<br>cycles) | Extension<br>Time (Sec) |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|----------------------|---|-------------------------|
| <i>pPnsoA1-</i><br><i>4_pepI</i><br>promoter<br>confirmation | pIL253_F          | pIL253_R          | 1815                 | 56  | 54                      |
| <i>pPnsoR2K2_</i><br><i>pepI</i><br>promoter<br>confirmation | pIL253_F          | pIL253_R          | 1498                 | 56  | 45                      |
| <i>pPnsoBTC_p</i><br><i>epI</i> promoter<br>confirmation     | pIL253_F          | pIL253_R          | 1645                 | 56  | 50                      |
| <i>nsoR1</i> system confirmation                             | P32_F             | P32_R1_R          | 585                  | 59  | 18                      |
| <i>nsoR2</i> system confirmation                             | P32_F             | P32_R2_R          | 739                  | 59  | 22                      |
| <i>nsoK1</i> system confirmation                             | P32_K1_F          | p181              | 706                  | 59  | 22                      |
| <i>nsoK2</i> system confirmation                             | P32_K2_           | p181              | 755                  | 59  | 22                      |

 Table 6.2.2. PCR conditions and primers used for confirmation of the predicted promoters

 and *nso* regulatory systems in the *L. lactis* pepI reporter strains used in this work.

#### 6.2.1.3 RT-PCR:

| 50°C   | 98°C   | 98°C   | 59°C   | 72°C   | 72°C   |
|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| 10 min | 2 mins | 10 sec | 10 sec | 23 sec | 5 mins |
|        |        |        | Y      |        |        |
|        |        |        | X 45   |        |        |

|                              | Forward<br>Primer | Reverse<br>Primer | Product<br>Size (bp) | Annealing<br>Temperature<br>(°C) (45<br>cycles) | Extension<br>Time (Sec) |
|------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------------|---|-------------------------|
| <i>nsoA1-4</i><br>expression | nsoA1_F           | nsoA4_R           | 752                  | 59  | 23                      |
| nsoR2<br>expression          | nsoR2_F           | nsoR2_R           | 666                  | 59  | 23                      |

| nsoF<br>expression                          | nsoF_F  | nsoF_R           | 705  | 59 | 23 |
|---|---------|------------------|------|----|----|
| nsoB<br>expression                          | nsoB_F  | nsoB_R           | 3060 | 59 | 90 |
| nsoR1-<br>nsoA1-4 co-<br>expression         | nsoR1_F | nsoA4_R          | 3269 | 59 | 98 |
| <i>nsoA1-4-<br/>nsoK2</i> co-<br>expression | nsoA1_F | nsoK2_R          | 2903 | 59 | 87 |
| <i>nsoR2-nsoB</i><br>co-expression          | nsoR2_F | nsoB_R_Sho<br>rt | 3084 | 59 | 93 |

**Table 6.2.3**. RT-PCR conditions and primers used for investigating the expression of nso genes in the *L. lactis* heterologous expression system in this work.

#### 6.2.1 PepI Reporter Assay

*L. lactis* strains containing the regulatory systems and *pepI* with *nso* promoter plasmids were grown overnight using appropriate conditions. A 2% inoculum was used to inoculate 10 ml GM17 and grown until the OD<sub>600</sub> reached 0.5. The cultures were normalised to 10 OD units and harvested by centrifugation at 10,000 x g for 15 mins at 4°C. The supernatant was discarded, and the pellets washed with 5 ml 50 mM Tris HCl (pH 7.4) and centrifuged. The pellets were resuspended in 250 µl 50 mM Tris HCl (pH 7.4) and transferred to a screw cap tube with 100 µl acid washed beads. The samples were bead beaten using a FastPrep FR120 cell disrupter for 4 x for 30 secs at speed 6 with 5-10 mins on ice between beating. A final centrifugation at 14,000 x g for 30 mins at 4°C was performed followed by removal of the crude cell free extract. Dilutions of 10x and 100x were made in 50 mM Tris HCl (pH 7.4). Using a flat-bottomed clear plate (Greiner) 50 µl of undiluted or diluted cell free samples with 0.7 mM L-proline p-nitroanilide trifluoroacetate salt was loaded and PepI activity measured using a Benchmark Plus plate reader (Biorad) at A<sub>405</sub> at 32°C for 15 mins with readings every 30s. The protein quantification was performed using the Bradfords reagent (Biorad). Data were analysed *in silico* and the rate of activity was calculated in nmol/mg/min.



**Figure 6.2.1.** A schematic diagram of the process by which the *pepI* reporter assay functions. Where Pnso'x' represents one of the four predicted promoters within the nisin O cluster (Gherghisan-Filip 2016).

#### 6.2.2 AlphaFold2 Analysis of Nso1.14

To analyse the predicted tertiary of Nso1.14 an AlphaFold2\_mmseqs2 analysis was performed (Jumper, Evans *et al.* 2021). The amino acid sequence of Nso1.14 was used at the input and programme was performed using:

https://colab.research.google.com/github/sokrypton/ColabFold/blob/main/AlphaFold2.ipynb

#### 6.2.3 In silico Analysis of Predicted Promoter Positions in Nisin O-like clusters

To predict the promoter positions within the nisin O-like clusters identified in chapter 3 Bprom:(<u>http://www.softberry.com/berry.phtml?topic=bprom&group=programs&subgroup=</u> <u>gfindb</u>) was used across the whole of the clusters and the positions of the predicted promoters within each cluster were compared to those previous described by Gherghisan-Filip (2016).

#### 6.3 Results

#### 6.3.1 Co-expression of *nsoRK* Systems in *L. lactis*

### 6.3.1.1 Transformation of Nisin O Regulatory Systems into *L. lactis* UKLc10 pnso

Transformation of pUK200\_*nsoR2K2* in *L. lactis* UKLc10 *pnso* was successful which provided a range of the receptor-kinase systems found in *B. obeum* being co-expressed in *L. lactis*, as *nsoR1A* (R1 with 5' extension to match the start site of similar regulators identified by BLASTP, Mayer unpublished) and *nsoR1AK1* had previously been transformed. However, unlike *nsoR2K2*, these two modified *nsoR1K1* elements had been cloned into pTG262 rather than pUK200. When transforming into *L. lactis* UKLc10 *pnso* both the plasmid containing the regulatory system and the plasmid containing the nisin O cluster were checked to ensure that they were maintained in the bacterium. All candidates maintained both the p*nso* plasmid and had successful transformation of pUK200\_*nsoR2K2*.

#### 6.3.1.2 Co-expression of nsoR1A, nsoR1AK1 and nsoR2K2 in L. lactis UKLc10 pnso

To investigate whether nisin O expression could be increased by overexpression of the regulatory systems *nsoR2K2* and the 5' extended version of *nsoR1K1*, *nsoR1AK1*, both regulatory systems were transformed into the *L. lactis* UKLc10 heterologous expression system. The transformations were successful, and an overlay assay was performed (Figure 6.3.1). Although the positive control did not work, a clear zone of inhibition was seen with the addition of the *nsoR2K2* systems in the presence of trypsin (Figure 6.3.1A and B). Interestingly, the *nsoR2K2* expressing strain with no nisin A induction during growth only showed inhibition when trypsin was present in both the overlay and base agar. However, when there was nisin A induction during growth of the overlay agar (Figure 6.3.1A and B). This indicates that nisin A induction is required for antimicrobial activity from this strain. However, in this assay the positive control did not produce a zone of inhibition so no comparison could

be made regarding the size of the zone of inhibition. Furthermore, a faint zone of inhibition was observed when *nsoR1AK1* was expressed however no zone of inhibition was observed for the *nsoR2K2* expressing strain in this repeat of the assay. In this assay all overnight cultures were induced with nisin A prior to inoculation on the agar. The positive control of the nisin O heterologous expression system with no additional regulatory system also produced a zone of inhibition. The zone of inhibition for NsoR1AK1 expressing strains was not any greater than that of the positive control indicating that this TCS does not increase the level of nisin O expression more than previously observed (Figure 6.3.1C).



- 1. L. lactis UKLc10 pnso
- 2. L. lactis UKLc10 pnso pTG262\_P32\_R1AK1
- 3. L. lactis UKLc10 pnso pUK200\_R2K2 (without nisin)
- 4. L. lactis UKLc10 pnso pUK200\_R2K2 (with nisin)
- 5. *L. lactis* MG1614 pTG262



- 1. L. lactis UKLc10 pnso
- 2. L. lactis UKLc10 pnso pTG262\_P32\_nsoR1AK1
- 3. L. lactis UKLc10 pnso pUK200\_nsoR2K2
- 4. *L. lactis* MG1614 pIL253

**Figure 6.3.1** A) An overlay assay to assess the effect of NsoR1AK1 and NsoR2K2 on nisin O expression. The base agar contained NaHCO<sub>3</sub> and 10  $\mu$ g/ml trypsin, with no trypsin in the overlay agar. B) *L. lactis* strain spots were in the same order as plate A, however the base plate contained NaHCO<sub>3</sub> and 10  $\mu$ g/ml trypsin, with 10  $\mu$ g/ml trypsin in the overlay agar. C) An *L. lactis* overlay assay with the base agar containing NaHCO<sub>3</sub> and 10  $\mu$ g/ml trypsin, with 10  $\mu$ g/ml trypsin in the overlay agar. C) An *L. lactis* overlay assay with the base agar containing NaHCO<sub>3</sub> and 10  $\mu$ g/ml trypsin, with 10  $\mu$ g/ml trypsin in the overlay agar. C) As a strain spot set of the base agar containing NaHCO<sub>3</sub> and 10  $\mu$ g/ml trypsin, with 10  $\mu$ g/ml trypsin in the overlay agar. *C. perfringens* was used as the indicator strain for all assays.

#### 6.3.2 Creation of pUK200\_P32\_nsoR1K1 and pUK200\_P32\_nsoR2K2

Both pUK200\_P32\_*nsoR1K1* and pUK200\_P32\_*nsoR2K2* plasmids were successfully created using splice overlap PCR, followed by restriction and ligation into pUK200 and transformed into *E. coli* MC1022 (Figure 6.3.2).



- 1. 1 kB ladder
- 2. Negative
- 3. pUK200\_P32 control
- 4. pUK200\_P32\_nsoR1K1 (2288 bp)
- 5. pUK200\_P32\_nsoR2K2 (2518 bp)

Figure 6.3.2. Gel image of pUK200\_P32\_*nsoR1K1* and pUK200\_P32\_*nsoR2K2* colony PCR from *E. coli* MC1022.

### 6.3.3 Transformation of pPnsoA1-4\_pepI, pPnsoR2K2\_pepI and pPnsoBTC\_pepI into L. lactis MG1614

In order to remove the effect of the nisin A *nisRK* system found on the chromosome of *L. lactis* UKLc10, which was thought to have interfered with the *pepI* reporter assay shown in previous work (Gherghisan-Filip 2016), transformation of previously made plasmids containing the promoters of nisin O upstream of *pepI* were co-expressed with the *nsoRK* genes under the control of a constitutive promoter instead of the nisin A promoter *PnisA*. The plasmid which contains the predicted promoter preceding the *pepI* gene was pIL253, which will be further referenced in this chapter as the 'p' prior to the predicted promoter. The pPnsoA1-4\_pepI, pPnsoR2K2\_pepI (Figure 6.3.3A) and pPnsoBTC\_pepI plasmids (Figure 6.3.3B) were purified and transformed successfully into *L. lactis* MG1614, which does not have a *nisRK* system on its chromosome. The pPnsoFEG\_pepI had previously been transformed into *L. lactis* MG1614 and was used in this work (Gherghisan-Filip 2016).



**Figure 6.3.3.** A) Gel image of pP*nsoA1-4\_pepI* and pP*nsoR2K2\_pepI* colony PCR in *L. lactis* MG1614. B) Gel image of pP*nsoBTC\_pepI* colony PCR in *L. lactis* MG1614.

### 6.3.4 Transformation of pUK200\_P32\_*nsoR1K1* and pUK200\_P32\_*nsoR2K2* into *L. lactis* MG1614 Strains

Electrocompetent cells were made for the *L. lactis* MG1614 nisin O promoter strains and pUK200\_P32\_nsoR1K1, pUK200\_P32\_nsoR2K2 were successfully transformed into *L. lactis* MG1614 pPnsoA1-4\_pepI, *L. lactis* MG1614 pPnsoR2K2\_pepI and *L. lactis* MG1614 pPnsoBTC\_pepI. In each case the nsoR and nsoK genes from each regulatory system were amplified and their sequences confirmed via sequencing.

#### 6.3.5 Identification of promoters from Nisin O-like clusters

The pan-genome analysis described in chapter 3 identified six nisin O-like clusters within *B. obeum* AM27-32LB, *B. obeum* TM09-13AC, *Blautia* AM47-4, *D. longicatena* 1001136B, *D. formicigenerans* AM37-5 and *Ruminococcus* AM50-15BH. *In silico* analysis of the clusters identified that all of these clusters contained two TCSs with the nucleotide percentage identity across all nucleotide sequences of *nsoR1*, *nsoK1*, *nsoR2* and *nsoK2* being 99.4%, 99.5%, 99.5% and 99.5%, respectively. Analysis of the regions upstream of all the genes within the nisin O cluster identified the same promoter positions predicted in previous work using Bprom (Softberry) (Gherghisan-Filip 2016). The predicted promoters from this analysis contain the same -10 and -35 boxes upstream of the genes (Table 6.3.1), additionally the scores predicted, based on the weighted matrices of the prediction model, were exactly the same between all seven nisin O-like clusters, including from *B. obeum* A2-162.

| Promoter (nucleotide    | -10 box  |                        | -35 box |                   |                 |       |
|-------------------------|----------|------------------------|---------|-------------------|-----------------|-------|
| upstream of start site) | Promot   | Promoter position      |         | Promoter position |                 | Score |
|                         | upstream | upstream of start site |         | upstream          | n of start site |       |
| PnsoFEG (57)            | 72       | TTTTAATCT              | 55      | 90                | TTTACA          | 47    |
| PnsoA1-4 (28)           | 44       | TTTTATATT              | 66      | 54                | TTGATA          | 58    |
| PnsoR2K2 (22)           | 37       | TGTTATTAT              | 79      | 56                | GTGATT          | 20    |
| PnsoBTC (33)            | 48       | TTTGATAAT              | 50      | 69                | TTCAAA          | 40    |

**Table 6.3.1.** Predicted promoter regions of the nisin O-like biosynthetic gene clusters. The scores for the -10 and -35 boxes represents the weighted matrices of different conserved promoter motifs combined with linear discriminant analysis (Yona, Alm *et al.* 2018).

#### 6.3.6 PepI Reporter Assays

To investigate the relationship between the regulatory systems found in the nisin O clusters and which of the four predicted promoters identified by Bprom (Gherghisan-Filip 2016) they interact with, a *pepI* reporter assay was performed. Using *L. lactis* UKLc10 pUK200\_PnisA\_pepI in a strain with the *nisRK* system on the chromosome as a positive control for all assays and *L. lactis* MIG1614 pUK200\_P32 negative control an assessment could be made of which regulatory systems interact with individual promoters based on the rate of activity of PepI in the presence of the substrate. All experiments had three technical and two biological replicates. The work performed by Romano *et al*, indicated that the start site of *nsoR1K1* was indeed correct and therefore the *nsoR1AK1* strains were not used in this analysis.

## 6.3.6.1 Interactions Between PnsoA1-4 and Regulatory Systems from the Nisin O and Nisin A Biosynthetic Gene Clusters

When uninduced no PepI activity was observed with any regulatory system, with background activity from the regulatory system containing strains ranging from 9.2 to 11.3 nmol/mg/min (Figure 6.3.4A). The positive control resulted in significantly higher PepI activity (P-value < 0.001) when compared to any other regulatory system. When nisin A was used to induce the culture prior to bead beating there was an increase in both the level of activity seen in the positive control, from 359.9 to 883.4 nmol/mg/min, and *L. lactis* UKLc10 strain which contained the *nisRK* system on its chromosome from the nisin A cluster to 448.0 nmol/mg/min. The increase in PepI activity observed when the *nisRK* was expressed was significantly different to the other regulatory systems, the empty vector control and the negative control with a P-value of < 0.05 (Figure 6.3.4B). A significant difference (P-value <
0.05) was seen between the positive control, where the *nisRK* system can interact with the *PnisA* promoter from the nisin A cluster, and the *nisRK* system interacting with the *PnsoA* promoter from the nisin O cluster. However, neither of the two regulatory systems from the nisin O cluster showed a significant increase in PepI activity, indicating that the level of expression was very low and therefore there was no interaction between NsoR1K1 or NsoR2K2 and the *PnsoA1-4* promoter. Additionally, when the trypsinated TCA-precipitated supernatant of a nisin A induced *L. lactis* UKLc10 *pnso* culture, further referred to as trypsinated pre-NsoA1-4, was used to induce the cultures there was minimal activity from PepI observed, with only the *nisRK* expressing strain increasing its level of PepI activity to 44.5 nmol/mg/min, which was not significant compared to any other strain. Again, the positive control resulted in an increased level of activity of 539.6 nmol/mg/ml which was significant when compared to all the other strains tested (Figure 6.3.4C).



**Regulatory Plasmids** 



**Figure 6.3.4.** A) The rates of activity of PepI expressed by pP*nsoA1-4\_pepI* containing strains which also contained the regulatory systems from the nisin O cluster (NsoR1K1 and NsoR2K2) and the nisin A cluster (NisRK) when uninduced. B) Induced with nisin A. C) Induced with trypsinated pre-NsoA1-4. The empty vector control in all assays contained

pUK200\_P32 with no regulatory system. The positive control was a *L. lactis* UKLc10 strain with the *nisRK* on the chromosome with the promoter for nisin A preceding the *pepI* gene. The negative control was *L. lactis* MG1614 pIL253. Significance was determined by a One-way ANOVA followed by a Tukey Multiple Comparison Test. A P-value of < 0.05, < 0.01 and < 0.001 are indicated by '\*', '\*\*' and '\*\*\*', respectively. The asterisks directly above each column indicate the significance compared to all other conditions unless indicated otherwise. Error bars were made using the standard deviation of the mean. Each induction condition was repeated in triplicate.

## 6.3.6.2 Interactions Between PnsoFEG and Regulatory Systems from the Nisin O and Nisin A Biosynthetic Gene Clusters

When uninduced no PepI activity was seen for any strain except for the positive control, which showed an activity level of 571.4 nmol.mg/min. The PepI activity of the three regulatory systems tested ranged from 7.3 to 10.4 nmol/mg/min which was not significantly different to either the empty vector or the negative control (Figure 6.3.5A). This result was also seen when using nisin A to induce the cultures, however the positive control had an increased level of activity at 1012.9 nmol/mg/min, yet no activity seen for any strain containing a regulatory system, ranging from 11.2 to 13.8 nmol/mg/min (Figure 6.3.5B). When nisin O was used to induce the cultures there was no significant increase in the level of PepI activity from any of the regulatory systems. However, there was a slight PepI activity increase seen in the *nisRK* expressing strain, 34.9 nmol/mg/min, although this was not significantly different to any other strain except the positive control. Again, the level of activity observed for the *nsoR1K1* and nsoR2K2 expressing strains was similar to the uninduced and nisin A induced levels of activity seen, at 9.12 and 11.7 nmol/mg/min, respectively (Figure 6.3.5C). Interestingly, there was a slight increase in the empty vector (pUK200\_P32) PepI activity at 17.5 nmol/mg/min when compared to uninduced and nisin A induced, and a decrease in the activity of the positive control at 618.2 nmol/mg/min when compared to the nisin A induced assay condition (Figure 6.3.5C).





**Figure 6.3.5.** A) The rates of activity of PepI expressed by pP*nsoFEG\_pepI* containing strains which also contained the regulatory systems from the nisin O cluster (NsoR1K1 and NsoR2K2) and the nisin A cluster (NisRK) when uninduced. B) Induced with nisin A. C) Induced with trypsinated pre-NsoA1-4. The empty vector control in all assays contained pUK200\_P32 with no regulatory system. The positive control was a *L. lactis* UKLc10 strain with the *nisRK* on the chromosome with the promoter for nisin A preceding the *pepI* gene. The negative control was *L. lactis* MG1614 pIL253. Significance was determined by a One-way ANOVA followed by a Tukey Multiple Comparison Test. A P-value of < 0.05, < 0.01 and < 0.001 are indicated by '\*', '\*\*' and '\*\*\*', respectively. The asterisks directly above each column indicate the significance compared to all other conditions unless indicated otherwise. Error bars were made using the standard deviation of the mean. Each induction condition was repeated in triplicate.

## 6.3.6.3 Interactions Between P*nsoBTC* and Regulatory Systems from the Nisin O and Nisin A Biosynthetic Gene Clusters

The interactions between the *nsoBTC* promoter and the regulatory systems in the nisin O and nisin A cluster showed a greater level of PepI activity when uninduced when compared to that of the *nsoA1-4* and *nsoFEG* promoters. Although not significantly different from either the negative or empty vector controls the NsoR1K1, NsoR2K2 and NisRK systems had an increased level of activity than those assays previously described, with PepI activity levels of 54.0, 39.6 and 35.0 nmol/mg/min, respectively. Also of note is the activity levels seen in the empty vector control were also increased at 51.6 nmol/mg/min. As previously seen the positive control was significantly different when compared to all other conditions (P-value < 0.001) at 466.1 nmol/m/min (Figure 6.3.6A). The assay using nisin A to induce resulted in a similar pattern of results seen for the uninduced conditions, however most interestingly, the P-value of the positive control when compared to the nsoR1K1, nsoR2K2, nisRK and empty vector containing strains was reduced to < 0.01. The activity rates of these strains were 48.9, 87.63, 40.0 and 28.1 nmol/mg/min for nsoR1K1, nsoR2K2, nisRK and empty vector containing strains, respectively (Figure 6.3.6B). However, it must be noted that the standard deviation for the positive control was larger than previously recorded using other induction conditions, at 355.3 nmol/mg/min. Nisin O induction again showed higher rates of PepI activity for nsoR1K1, nsoR2K2, nisRK and empty vector containing strains at 87.8, 49.2, 74.1 and 26.4 nmol/mg/min, respectively, however there was no significant difference between in the PepI activity rate and the negative control (Figure 6.3.6C).





**Regulatory Plasmids** 

**Figure 6.3.6.** A) The rates of activity of PepI expressed by pP*nsoBTC\_pepI* containing strains which also contained the regulatory systems from the nisin O cluster (NsoR1K1 and NsoR2K2) and the nisin A cluster (NisRK) when uninduced. B) Induced with nisin A. C) Induced with trypsinated pre-NsoA1-4. The empty vector control in all assays contained pUK200\_P32 with no regulatory system. The positive control was a *L. lactis* UKLc10 strain with the *nisRK* on the chromosome with the promoter for nisin A preceding the *pepI* gene. The negative control was *L. lactis* MG1614 pIL253. Significance was determined by a One-way ANOVA followed by a Tukey Multiple Comparison Test. A P-value of < 0.05, < 0.01 and < 0.001 are indicated by '\*', '\*\*' and '\*\*\*', respectively. The asterisks directly above each column indicate the significance compared to all other conditions unless indicated otherwise. Error bars were made using the standard deviation of the mean. Each induction condition was repeated in triplicate.

## 6.3.6.4 Interactions Between PnsoR2K2 and Regulatory Systems from the Nisin O and Nisin A Biosynthetic Gene Clusters

When using the *nsoR2K2* promoter in the *pepI* reporter assay a much greater level of activity was seen for all strains which expressed a regulatory system. The rate of PepI activity was greatest in the strain expressing nsoR1K1 (653.4 nmol/mg/min) when uninduced which was significantly higher than the negative control (P-value < 0.001) and the NisRK and empty vector strains (P-value < 0.05). Both the strains expressing nsoR2K2 and nisRK had significantly greater activity rates when compared to the negative control at P-value < 0.01and < 0.05, respectively. Most interesting were the values observed for the empty vector control, which did not express any regulatory system, which produced a PepI activity rate of 338.8 nmol/mg/min, which was significantly different compared to the negative control (P value < 0.05) (Figure 6.3.7A). This pattern of results was seen when the cultures were induced with nisin A and nisin O. However, when these nisin variants were used to induce the cultures the level of PepI activity was increased for the positive control at 1384.9 and 1436.6 nmol/mg/min for nisin A and nisin O induction, respectively (Figure 6.3.7B and C). The significance of these results was lower than expected due to the variability in activity seen in during the repeats of the assays which lead to larger error bars. Furthermore, the strain expressing *nsoR1K1* had an increased level of activity when induced with both nisin A and nisin O (864.6 and 1067.5 nmol/mg/min, respectively) when compared to the strains expressing *nsoR2K2* and *nisRK*, however this difference was only significantly greater when induced with nisin O (Figure 6.3.7B and C). Again, the empty vector controls showed a high level of PepI activity under both induction conditions producing 369.1 and 645.5 nmol/mg/min during nisin A and nisin O induction, respectively (Figure 6.3.7B and C).





**Figure 6.3.7.** A) The rates of activity of PepI expressed by pP*nsoR2K2\_pepI* containing strains which also contained the regulatory systems from the nisin O cluster (NsoR1K1 and NsoR2K2) and the nisin A cluster (NisRK) when uninduced. B) Induced with nisin A. C) Induced with trypsinated pre-NsoA1-4. The empty vector control in all assays contained pUK200\_P32 with no regulatory system. The positive control was a *L. lactis* UKLc10 strain with the *nisRK* on the chromosome with the promoter for nisin A preceding the *pepI* gene. The negative control was *L. lactis* MG1614 Pil253. Significance was determined by a One-way ANOVA followed by a Tukey Multiple Comparison Test. A P-value of < 0.05, < 0.01 and < 0.001 are indicated by '\*', '\*\*' and '\*\*\*', respectively. The asterisks directly above each column indicate the significance compared to the negative control unless indicated otherwise. Error bars were made using the standard deviation of the mean. Each induction condition was repeated in triplicate.

#### 6.3.7 Analysis of RNA extracted from L. lactis UKLc10 pnso

To assess whether any co-transcription of operons occurs within the nisin O cluster the RNA from a nisin A induced *L. lactis* UKLc10 pnso culture was performed and used in a RT-PCR.

Both the *nsoA1-4* and *nsoR2K2* genes were clearly amplified, indicating that these genes were being expressed (Figure 6.3.8). Interestingly, multiple bands were observed for the *nsoA1-4* PCR products, which were expected to be 171 bp, 364 bp, 558 bp and 751 bp for *nsoA1, nsoA1-2, nsoA1-3* and *nsoA1-4*, respectively, ranging from approximately 300 bp to 1500 bp in size. This could potentially be due to non-specific binding of the primers. However, no bands were clearly present for the *nsoF* and *nsoB* products, suggesting that no or very minimal expression of these genes was occurring using nisin A induction of the heterologous expression system. This assay was performed using RNA extracted as part of work from this project and by Dr Stefano Romano (SR) as part of a separate project, however, in both experiments the bands observed after RT-PCR and gel electrophoresis were the same, with only slight differences product sizes for the *nsoA1-4* product.





**Figure 6.3.8.** A gel electrophoresis of RT-PCR products derived from RNA extracted from nisin A induced *L. lactis* UKLc10 pnso. RNA extracted by Dr Stefano Romano is termed SR. To assess whether co-transcription of the predicted operons within the nisin O cluster was occurring, the RNA extracted from the *L. lactis* heterologous expression system was used in a RT-PCR, however in this reaction primers were used in various combinations using a forward primer from the end of one operon and a reverse primer from the beginning of the

next (Figure 6.3.9). Therefore, if a product of the correct size is present this would indicate that the RNA transcript spans genes found in both operons. The RT-PCR was also repeated for first genes within each operon and analysed via gel electrophoresis. The repeats of the RT-PCR, seen in lanes 2, 3, 4 and 5 of figure 6.3.10, show the same product sizes produced by the previous reaction observed in figure 6.3.8. However, a band is present for the *nsoB* product at approximately 1500 bp which is considerably smaller than the product size expected of 3060 bp. No bands were visible for the products spanning the *nsoR1-nsoA4* containing operons and the *nsoR1-nsoK2* containing operons. Most notably, a product of the correct size was observed for the *nsoR2-nsoB* containing operons, which indicates that a transcript is expressed within the *L lactis* heterologous expression system when induced with nisin A (Figure 6.3.10).



**Figure 6.3.9.** A schematic diagram of the nisin O cluster and the primers used to assess whether co-transcription was taking place through the use of RT-PCR. Black circles indicate position of promoters. Primers used are indicated by arrows above and below the cluster (labelled). The three predicted co-expressed transcripts are indicated by the lines at the bottom of the figure with the product size given.



1. Ladder 2. *nsoF* (705bp) nsoA1 - nsoA4 (752bp) 3. 4. *nsoR2* (666bp) 5. *nsoB* (3060bp) 6.

- *nsoR1->nsoA4* (3249 bp)
- *nsoA1->nsoK2* (2903 bp)
- $nsoR2 \rightarrow nsoB$  (3084 bp) 8.

Figure 6.3.10. A gel electrophoresis of RT-PCR products of the nisin O cluster and products which span across multiple predicted operons derived from RNA extracted from nisin A induced L. lactis UKLc10 pnso.

#### 6.3.8 Identification of a Novel Gene Within the Nisin O Biosynthetic Gene

#### Cluster

In a project performed by Romano *et al* (unpublished), using Oxford Nanopore sequencing techniques to analyse the transcription of bacterial gene clusters, the authors were able to identify a gene within the nisin O biosynthetic operon which had not been characterised that was highly expressed (Figure 6.1.1). This gene was termed *nsol.14* as it was the fourteenth gene within the nisin O biosynthetic gene cluster and is found on the reverse strand of the cluster. Further in silico anlaysis of the protein derived from nso1.14 indicated that it was 67 amino acids in length and Jpred analysis predicted a helix-turn-helix structure for this protein (Figure 6.3.11A). TMHMM analysis predicted that Nso1.14 did not contain any transmembrane domains and had a high probability of being extracellular in nature (Figure 6.3.11B). Furthermore, using AlphaFold2 to predict the tertiary structure and folding of Nso1.14 correlated with the results predicted by Jpred of containing a helix-turn-helix domain.

It must be noted that the confidence of this model was a maximum confidence of 68 predicted local distance difference test (pIDDT) at the N-terminus and reached as low as 41 pIDDT at the C-terminus and the middle of the protein, both of which are below the lower acceptable cut-off of 70 pIDDT (Figure 6.3.11C) (Tunyasuvunakool, Adler *et al.* 2021). BLAST searches of the amino acid sequence yielded no positive hits and a search for the conserved domains using CD Batch Search (Lu, Wang *et al.* 2020) indicated that there was no conserved domain family present within the amino acid sequence. Analysis of the six nisin O-like clusters showed that this gene was also present there, although not annotated, with an overall percentage identity of 99.7% when compared to the *B. obeum* A2-162 *nso1.14*.



**Figure 6.3.11.** Outputs from *in silico* analysis of Nso1.14 A) Jpred analysis of Nso1.14. Red indicates the predicted helices and green indicates predicted  $\beta$ -pleated sheets. B) TMHMM analysis of Nso1.14. C) Alphafold2 output of the predicted tertiary structure of Nso1.14.

As a helix-turn-helix structure is a common structure of DNA binding transcription factors it was decided to clone *nso1.14* into a plasmid which would provide constitutive expression followed by transformation into *L. lactis* MG1614 pP*nsoA1-4\_pepI* to investigate if this protein had any interaction with the promoter of the *nsoA1-4* genes and therefore potential regulation of the antimicrobial activity observed in the *L. lactis* heterologous expression system.

The *nso1.14* gene was successfully inserted into pUK200 with the the P32 promoter via splice overlap PCR. The insertion of *nso1.14* was confirmed via sequencing the purified product. This was followed by successful transformation in *L. lactis* MG1614 pP*nsoA1-4\_pep1*, which enabled the reporter assay using uninduced, nisin A induced and trypsinated pre-NsoA1-4 induced conditions to be assessed to observe any alterations in PepI activity, therefore indicating whether this protein was able to affect the level of *nsoA1-4* expression.

The *pepI* reporter assay using the *nsoA1-4* promoter preceding the *pepI* gene and the constitutively expressed *nso1.14* gene was performed in triplicate. The *nso1.14* containing strains were analysed after either remaining uninduced or being induced with nisin A or trypsinated pre-NsoA1-4. Furthermore, all the controls in this experiment were induced using trypsinated pre-NsoA1-4. Analysis of the data from this assay determined that there was very low PepI activity from the the strains expressing *nso1.14* in any induction condition at 24.1, 19.6 and 21.8 nmol/mg/min for uninduced, nisin A induced and trypsinated pre-NsoA1-4 induced, respectively (Figure 6.3.12).



**Regulatory Plasmids** 

**Figure 6.3.12.** The rates of activity of PepI expressed by pP*nsoA1-4\_pepI* containing strains which also contained a plasmid which constitutively expressed nso1.14 when uninduced, induced with nisin A and induced with trypsinated pre-NsoA1-4. The empty vector control in all assays contained pUK200\_P32 with no regulatory system. The positive control was a *L. lactis* UKLc10 strain with the *nisRK* on the chromosome with the promoter for nisin A preceding the *pepI* gene. The negative control was *L. lactis* MG1614 pIL253. All controls were induced with trypsinated pre-NsoA1-4. Significance was determined by a One-way ANOVA followed by a Tukey Multiple Comparison Test. A P-value of < 0.05, < 0.01 and < 0.001 are indicated by '\*', '\*\*' and '\*\*\*', respectively. The asterisks directly above each column indicate the significance compared to all other strains unless indicated otherwise. Error bars were made using the standard deviation of the mean. Each induction condition was repeated in triplicate.

#### 6.4 Discussion

Understanding the regulation of antimicrobial biosynthetic gene clusters is important to maximise their potential as part of industries such as healthcare and food preservation. This work aimed to investigate the regulation of the nisin O biosynthetic gene cluster through analysis of the two TCSs found within the cluster.

The lantibiotic cluster discovered in *B. producta* SCSK by Kim *et al* (2019), contained two TCSs as seen in the nisin O biosynthetic gene cluster in B. obeum A2-162 (Hatziioanou, Gherghisan-Filip et al. 2017, Kim, Becattini et al. 2019). This differed from the nisin A gene cluster which only contains one TCS, NisRK, which has previously been shown to interact with the promoters PnisA and PnisFEG (de Ruyter, Kuipers et al. 1996). However, due to the presence of an additional regulatory system in the B. obeum A2-162 cluster and also that of the *B. producta* SCSK cluster further study of these regulatory systems was required to assess how the cluster is regulated. This additional regulatory system was also observed in the nisin O-like clusters found in B. obeum AM27-32LB, B. obeum TM09-13AC, Blautia sp. AM47-4, Ruminococcus sp. AM50-15BH, D. formicigenerans AM37-5 and D. longicatena 1001136B-160425, indicating that the presence of these two regulatory systems is conserved within these biosynthetic gene clusters. Although the presence of two regulatory systems is not seen in many bacteriocin biosynthetic gene clusters there are examples of multiple regulatory systems found within a single biosynthetic gene cluster (Azevedo, Bento et al. 2015). Mersacidin, produced by Bacillus sp. HIL Y-85,54728, is a lantibiotic that has three regulatory genes within its biosynthetic gene cluster (Chatterjee, Chatterjee et al. 1992). A TCS, MrsR2/K2 is primarily involved in immunity and the induction of mersacidin biosynthesis in the presence of mersacidin (Schmitz, Hoffmann et al. 2006). Furthermore, MrsR1, which does not have a histidine kinase gene up- or downstream was found to be essential for mersacidin expression (Guder, Schmitter et al. 2002). A lantibiotic cluster found within Bifidobacterium longum DJO10A, has also been identified having three genes involved in regulation, with two forming a LanR2K system and a third lanR1 gene, with the authors suggesting that LanR1 may act as

a repressor of *lanA* transcription (Lee, Li *et al.* 2011). This therefore suggests that multiple regulatory genes can not only be present within a biosynthetic gene cluster but also can be functional in regard to the expression of genes within the cluster.

To assess whether the nisin O regulatory systems could increase the expression of nisin O and therefore increase the antimicrobial activity observed, NsoR2K2 and NsoR1AK1, which had a 5' extended version of *nsoR1*, were included in this assay. This *nsoR1A* regulatory system was selected as this had a greater nucleotide sequence length and was more similar to the *nisR* at 666 bp and 690 bp, respectively, whereas the *nsoR1* nucleotide sequence was only 561 bp. Activity was observed when both regulatory systems were present, although this only occurred on separate plates indicating that antimicrobial activity was not consistently observed during these assays. Furthermore, the NsoR2K2 strains showed activity when the nisin A was used to induce. This indicates that the nisin A could potential interact with these regulatory systems and enable interaction with the PnsoA1-4 promoter to increase expression of the antimicrobial peptide. However, it must be noted that the *nsoR1AK1* genes were being constitutively expressed whereas the *nsoR2K2* genes were under the expression of the *PnisA* promoter. It should also be taken into account that in this co-expression assay in the L. lactis heterologous expression system the *nisRK* system from the nisin A biosynthetic gene cluster was present on the chromosome and therefore this regulatory system may have been interacting with the promoters of the nisin O system thus causing the antimicrobial effect. The interaction between NisRK and PnsoA1-4 has previously been demonstrated in a pepI reporter assay, indicating that the antimicrobial activity from this system is at least partially due to this regulatory system (Gherghisan-Filip 2016). However, B. obeum A2-162 was shown to produce an antimicrobial effect indicating that the regulation of these antimicrobial peptides can occur in the native host without the presence of NisRK (Hatziioanou, Gherghisan-Filip et al. 2017).

During this study, work performed by Romano *et al* (unpublished) to investigate the potential of nanopore technology for long read RNA sequencing, using the nisin O cluster in the *L*. *lactis* heterologous expression system with nisin A induction, indicated that there were high

levels of nsoA1-4 and nsoR2K2 expression (Romano, Ansorge et al. 2022). This study also indicated that the start site for the *nsoR1* gene was as originally published and not extended. Therefore to assess the function of the NsoR1K1 and NsoR2K2 regulatory systems these systems were successfully cloned into pUK200 with the P32 promoter (van der Vossen, van der Lelie et al. 1987) which would provide constitutive expression. Additionally, the pIL253 plasmids containing the PnsoA1-4, PnsoFEG, PnsoBTC and PnsoR2K2 promoters were transformed into L. lactis MG1614, which did not contain the nisRK system on the chromosome. The pUK200\_P32\_nsoR1K1 and pUK200\_P32\_nsoR2K2 were then successfully transformed into the nisin O promoter strains in L. lactis MG1614 and the pepI reporter assays were performed. There was no activity seen using PnsoFEG with any regulatory system including the NisRK system. This was surprising as the immunity of nisin A is regulated by the NisRK system within its biosynthetic gene cluster and is important to prevent cell death caused by production of nisin A (de Ruyter, Kuipers et al. 1996, AlKhatib, Lagedroste et al. 2014). This may be due to the predicted promoter position being incorrect, although as there are transcripts observed by Romano *et al* (unpublished), there may be very low constitutive expression of the *nsoFEG* genes which was not detectable during the reporter assay. Furthermore, initial analysis of the nisin O cluster identified half a transcriptional regulator upstream of *nsoF* with frameshifts, therefore it is possible that a promoter upstream from this gene is responsible for expression of *nsoFEG* (Hatziioanou, Gherghisan-Filip et al. 2017). A greater level of PepI activity was seen in the pPnsoBTC\_pepI strains in all conditions, including the empty vector control, however these were not significantly different when compared to the negative control. Again, this was surprising as the NisRK system in L. lactis can regulate the expression of these genes in the nisin A cluster and therefore it was expected that either NsoR1K1 or NsoR2K2 would perform this role. However, due to the low level of activity seen in the empty vector control it suggests a very low level of expression occurring in the absence of any inductive conditions or regulatory systems. This is also supported by the mapped reads from the work performed by Romano et al (unpublished), which also shows an

increased level of reads mapped to the *nsoBTC* genes compared to the *nsoFEG* genes. This may indicate that the modification machinery is expressed at low levels even without induction, which can be increased under the correct inducing conditions to maximise nisin O maturation.

Interestingly, neither the NsoR1K1 nor NsoR2K2 systems interacted with the PnsoA1-4 promoter in any induction conditions tested. This indicates that these TCSs do not increase the expression of the NsoA1-4 peptides when induced with nisin A or nisin O. However, when the NisRK system was present an increase in PepI activity was observed only when induced with nisin A indicating that the regulatory system from the nisin A cluster is able to interact with a promoter from a different nisin variant cluster. This explains the antimicrobial activity seen in the L. lactis UKLc10 pnso strain when induced with nisin A. Cross induction of nisin promoters has been shown before in a reporter assay using green fluorescent protein downstream of the nisin A promoter (Garcia-Gutierrez, O'Connor et al. 2020). The authors used nisin A, nisin H and nisin P to induce the L. lactis reporter strain and reported that the promoter was more sensitive to nisin A induction (1 ng/ml - 1 µg/ml) compared to that of nisin H (10 ng/ml – 1  $\mu$ g/ml) and nisin P (100 ng/ml – 10  $\mu$ g/ml) (Garcia-Gutierrez, O'Connor et al. 2020). This therefore indicates that different nisin variants are capable of interacting with regulatory systems and inducing activity from promoters from other nisin variants. This data also not only confirms the results produced by Gherghisan-Filip (2016) but demonstrates that it is the nisin A-activated *nisRK* system acting on the nsoA1-4 promoter and not the nisin A acting via either of the nsoRK systems. The lack of activity produced by the NsoR1K1 and NsoR2K2 systems may suggest that other inducing factors or ligands may be required to interact with these regulatory systems and therefore interact with the PnsoA1-4 promoter, however further work is required to investigate this using the *pepI* reporter system designed as part of this study.

Finally, the promoter preceding *nsoR2K2* indicated a high level of activity when present with all the regulatory systems tested. Furthermore, activity was also observed in the empty vector

control, indicating that this promoter acts constitutively. When the NsoR1K1 system is present in all inducing conditions a significant increase in PepI activity was observed compared to the empty vector control, indicating that this TCS is able to interact with the PnsoR2K2 promoter and increase the expression of the genes downstream. Similar results are also seen in the nisin A system where the *nisRK* promoter is also constitutively expressed, this suggested that there are some genes within the clusters which are important to express at a high level (de Ruyter, Kuipers et al. 1996). This data was also observed by Romano et al, where there was a high level read mapping against the *nsoR2K2* genes, indicating that the genes are indeed being expressed (Romano et al, unpublished). However, unlike the NisRK system, the NsoR2K2 system did not show any interaction with any of the predicted promoters using the conditions tested. This again indicates that the inducing factor or factors required for nisin O expression are still to be discovered. Additionally, further work must be performed to confirm the position of the promoters, particularly those that of PnsoFEG. It must also be noted that the nisin O regulatory systems may be repressing expression of the nisin O cluster and require a specific agonist to activate expression. This has been observed in the regulation of the subtilin biosynthetic gene cluster, where expression is controlled by the TCS SpaRK, however *spaRK* expression itself is regulated by sigma H (Stein, Borchert et al. 2002). Therefore, there may be other regulatory systems or sigma factors within B. obeum A21-162 that may influence the level of expression of genes within the nisin O cluster.

The nisin O cluster was also analysed for co-expression of the operons within the cluster using RT-PCR. Using various primer combinations which would provide a product that overlapped each operon, it was found that only the *nsoR2K2BTC* genes were co-expressed. This data also helps to confirm the results produced by Romano *et al* (unpublished), further explaining why a greater number of reads were mapped to *nsoBTC*, indicating a higher level of expression. Furthermore, due to the lack of a clear terminator between *nisP* and *nisRK* in the nisin A cluster, the two operons on which these genes are found may also be co-expressed (de Ruyter, Kuipers *et al.* 1996, Kleerebezem 2004). This assay also showed that there was very low

expression of *nsoFEG*. This is again surprising as it is expected that expression of these genes is required to provide host immunity against the antimicrobial effects of nisin O.

The work undertaken by Romano *et al* (unpublished), also indicated a novel gene within the nisin O biosynthetic cluster that is highly expressed, termed nso1.14. This protein was predicted to have a helix-turn-helix domain and did not have any recognised conserved domains. Interestingly, helix-turn-helix domains are a common structure found within both global and specific transcriptional regulators in all organisms (Aravind, Anantharaman et al. 2005). This gene was successfully cloned into the pUK200\_P32 plasmid and transformed into the L. lactis MG1614 pPnsoA1-4\_pepI strains to elucidate whether this protein can interact with the promoter for the nisin O structural genes. However, no PepI activity was observed when this small protein was present therefore showing that this protein did not activate with PnsoA1-4 using these inducing conditions. Although the thought that this protein could be repressing the expression of *nsoA1-4* was considered the evidence provided by the RT-PCR and from Romano et al (unpublished), clearly showed that nsoA1-4 was being expressed when there were high expression levels of *nso1.14*. Furthermore, this gene was identified in all six nisin O-like clusters implying that there must be a function performed in order to conserve this gene across these clusters. Alternatively, this sequence may be a small non-coding RNA, which have been shown previously to increase the expression of nisin A in the L. lactis F44 using s015 (Qi, Caiyin et al. 2017). Further work needs to be performed to ascertain the function of this sequence in the context of the nisin O cluster.

This work has shown that the nisin O regulatory systems did not activate with PnsoFEG, PnsoBTC or PnsoA1-4 using either nisin A or nisin O induction, although the NisRK system does interact with PnsoA1-4 when induced with nisin A, which disagrees with the original hypothesis that one of the two TCSs from the nisin O cluster would activate the predicted promoters. Furthermore, there is constitutive expression of the PnsoR2K2 promoter with an increase in expression levels when NsoR1K1 is present. Moreover, analysis of the RNA transcripts of the nisin O cluster identified that nsoA1-4 and nsoR2K2 are highly expressed in

the *L. lactis* heterologous expression system and that there is co-expression of the *nsoR2K2* and *nsoBTC* containing operons, which was predicted in the hypothesis. A novel highly expressed sequence, *nso1.14*, was found in all six nisin O-like clusters, however, there was no positive interaction between the Nso1.14 and *PnsoA1-4* and its function remains to be determined.

# Chapter 7.

Discussion

Dysbiosis of the gut microbiome has been linked with a number of human diseases, including irritable bowel syndrome, inflammatory bowel disease, cardiovascular disease, host vs graft disease and Alzheimer's (Kostic, Gevers *et al.* 2012, Tang, Kitai *et al.* 2017, Vich Vila, Imhann *et al.* 2018, Fredricks 2019, Glassner, Abraham *et al.* 2020, Staudacher, Scholz *et al.* 2021, Qian, Xie *et al.* 2022). This therefore implies that maintenance of a health gut microbiome is important in preventing a number of diseases. Bacteriocins are a family of antimicrobial peptides that show promise for being used in human health, including the potential to modulate the gut microbiome and provide targeted antimicrobial effects with minimal disturbance of commensal bacteria (Cotter, Ross *et al.* 2013, Kim, Becattini *et al.* 2019, O'Reilly, O'Connor *et al.* 2022). The gut microbiome has also been shown to be a source of novel antimicrobials, with the work in this thesis particularly interested in the nisin O biosynthetic cluster identified in the human gut bacterium *B. obeum* A2-162 which has been shown to have antimicrobial activity against human pathogens *C. difficile* and *C. perfringens* (Hatziioanou, Gherghisan-Filip *et al.* 2017, Garcia-Gutierrez, Mayer *et al.* 2019).

This thesis aimed to ascertain the inducing conditions necessary for nisin O production from *B. obeum* A2-162, to identify nisin O-like biosynthetic gene clusters present within the *Lachnospiraceae* family, detect candidate proteases that would be able to cleave the leader peptides from the pre-NsoA1-4 peptides and to elucidate the whether the two TCSs in the nisin O cluster can activate gene expression by binding to the predicted promoters within the cluster as part of a *pepI* reporter assay.

In chapter 3 attempts were made to identify the presence of homologues of the nisin O cluster in *Lachnospiraceae*. Due to nisin O's unusual cluster structure and the publication of a cluster identified in *B. producta* SCSK with a very similar structure to that of nisin O, it was decided to determine how widely the cluster is distributed among bacterial genera within the *Lachnospiraceae* family (Kim, Becattini *et al.* 2019). Initial BLASTP analyses using NsoB and NsoC identified nisin O-like clusters present not only in *Blautia* species but also in *Dorea* and *Ruminococcus* species. Due to this result a pan-genome analysis of 2094 *Lachnospiraceae* 

genomes was performed which identified 59 genomes with nsoB, nsoC or both. After manual searches of each of these genomes clusters additional clusters were found in Dorea and Pseudobutyrivibrio species. Further analysis of the proteins encoded within these clusters identified that B. obeum AM27-32LB, B. obeum TM09-13AC, Blautia sp. AM47-4, Ruminococcus sp. AM50-15BH, D. formicigenerans AM37-5 and D. longicatena 1001136B-160425 did indeed carry nisin O-like clusters with high percentage identities to each of the NsoA1-4 peptides as well as NsoB and NsoC. Additionally, all nisin O-like clusters also contained two TCSs and no protease within their biosynthetic gene clusters. Interestingly, all of the bacteria were initially found within human faecal samples. This not only shows that the very similar clusters are identified within multiple genera but also that the human gut can be a major source of novel antimicrobials. This area of study is becoming increasingly significant as antibiotic resistance continues to be a major global issue, with recent studies investigating how the gut microbiota can be not only a source of bacteriocins but also how they can play a role in modulating the human gut microbiome (Piddock 2012, Garcia-Gutierrez, Mayer et al. 2019, Kim, Becattini et al. 2019, Wang, Yu et al. 2019, O'Reilly, O'Connor et al. 2022, Teng, Huang et al. 2022).

Furthermore, this work has identified a number of novel lantibiotic clusters within the family *Lachnospiraceae*, with in depth analysis of the clusters identified in *Pseudobutyrivibrio* sp. P49, *Pseudobutyrivibrio* sp. UC1225 and *R. gnavus* A533-12. This shows that genome analysis of bacteria is an efficient process by which to identify novel biosynthetic gene clusters, however, it must be considered that functional analysis and demonstration of antimicrobial activity must occur. Curiously, the fact that the nisin O cluster is found in multiple bacterial species across genera could indicate the possibility that this cluster might be disseminated via horizontal gene transfer. A recent study has shown that phages are able encode biosynthetic gene clusters and can transfer a fully functional cluster between *B. subtilis* species which provided a competitive advantage when compared to the ancestor species (Dragoš, Andersen *et al.* 2021). Analysis of 50 *B. obeum* genomes identified the presence of

lantibiotic immunity genes which were present in 66% of genomes even in genomes where no lantibiotic cluster was identified. This shows the potential of the immunity genes to be transferred by horizontal gene transfer across different strains to provide immunity to antimicrobials produced by a few bacterial species which produce the lantibiotic. This has been shown in studies investigating the dissemination of nisin A immunity genes in L. lactis strains and also cross-immunity assays using nisin A, nisin U, nisin H and nisin P producing bacteria which showed that some of these bacteria were resistant to other nisin variants (Garcia-Gutierrez, O'Connor et al. 2020, van Gijtenbeek, Eckhardt et al. 2021). This suggests that in a complex environment such as the human gut microbiome there is scope for the potential of the nisin O biosynthetic gene cluster or the immunity genes being able to be transferred between bacteria to provide a competitive advantage. In chapter 4, attempts were made to repeat the antimicrobial activity produced by the original nisin O producing organism B. obeum A2-162 (Hatziioanou, Gherghisan-Filip et al. 2017). However, repeating the conditions tested by Hatziioanou et al, performing an increasing nisin A exposure assay and co-culturing with humanisation strains resulted in no antimicrobial activity being observed from B. obeum A2-162. It may be possible that due to the storage and sub-culturing of B. obeum A2-162 the pathways that were active in samples taken from fresh faeces have been switched off over time and use in *in vitro* experiments. However, interestingly, in a competition assay using a B. longum strain grown adjacent to B. obeum A2-162 there were observable changes to the cell surface morphology on both cultures. This may indicate that nisin O may be being produced at a sub-lethal concentration and therefore affects the growth and morphology of neighbouring bacteria. Alternatively, the observed phenotype may be due to a different signalling system between the two strains. It has previously been shown that nisin A is able to down-regulate virulence proteins at sub-lethal concentrations, thus changing the protein composition of the cell surface (Stincone, Miyamoto et al. 2020).

Previous work investigating the nisin O cluster showed antimicrobial activity using the *L*. *lactis* heterologous expression system where trypsin was used to cleave the leader peptide of

NsoA1-3 (Hatziioanou, Gherghisan-Filip et al. 2017, Gherghisan-Filip, Saalbach et al. 2018). NsoA4 does not have a trypsin cleavage site upstream of the core peptide and therefore the antimicrobial activity of the NsoA4 could not be assessed. A nsoA4 mutant was created which added a trypsin cleavage site at the end of the leader peptide and was then tested for potential antimicrobial activity, however, no antimicrobial activity was seen when this peptide was produced in the L. lactis heterologous expression system. This indicates that NsoA4\_S24K has no antimicrobial activity when the leader peptide is cleaved as activity was seen in the positive control demonstrating the trypsin was functioning correctly to cleave the leader peptides of NsoA1-3. However, as no zone of inhibition was seen for the strain expression NsoA4\_S24K this may suggest that this peptide may act as a repressor in the nisin O cluster. Additionally, the presence of two plasmids both expressing genes may have led to a decreased level of expression of the antimicrobial NsoA1-3 peptides, therefore not producing an antimicrobial affect (San Millan and MacLean 2017). It must be noted that the use of trypsin for leader peptide cleavage might lead to the degradation of the core peptide due to trypsin cleavage sites present in the core peptide, this in turn would then affect the potential antimicrobial activity.

Microscopy of *B. obeum* A2-162 revealed that this bacterium is found as both bacillus-shaped individuals and as part of longer chains, with no evidence that this strain produces spores after 6 days of growth. Furthermore, condensed vesicle-like regions were present at the poles of the cell. Intriguingly, recent studies have illustrated that the nisin A modification machinery, NisBTC, are found at the 'old' dividing pole of the cell which might explain a reason for the location of these condensed regions within *B. obeum* A2-162 (Chen, van Heel *et al.* 2020, Hill 2020).

The expression of nisin O from the *L. lactis* heterologous expression system was optimised to consistently produce an antimicrobial effect. This required the use of 15 ng/ml nisin A, 10  $\mu$ g/ml trypsin and 5  $\mu$ g/ml erythromycin in the base agar and 10  $\mu$ g/ml trypsin added to the overlay agar. The use of erythromycin was important as this helped to maintain the pIL253

plasmid which contained the nisin O biosynthetic gene cluster and conferred erythromycin resistance. Furthermore, trypsin was added in order to cleave the nisin O leader peptide due to there being a trypsin cleavage site present at the C-terminus of the leader peptide (Hatziioanou, Gherghisan-Filip *et al.* 2017, Gherghisan-Filip, Saalbach *et al.* 2018). However, trypsin can also act as an inducing molecule in the case of ruminococcin A expression (Gomez, Ladire *et al.* 2002).

This work was able to demonstrate that optimisation of lantibiotic expression systems can be performed and can potentially help to increase expression of the antimicrobial peptides. However, further work should be invested into understanding the conditions under which *B. obeum* A2-162 can express nisin O and therefore those which correlate to conditions found in the human gut. This work also shows that antimicrobial activity of structural peptides should not be assumed, and full characterisation assays should be performed.

The nisin O cluster is unusual as it does not contain a protease which is required for leader peptide cleavage and thus activation of the antimicrobial peptide (Hatziioanou, Gherghisan-Filip *et al.* 2017). In chapter 5 identification and testing of candidate proteases found in the *B. obeum* A2-162 genome was performed. In all other known nisin variant clusters, a protease is always present, including the cluster within *B. producta* SCSK, although this protease is of a different type to other NisP proteins (de Vos, Mulders *et al.* 1993, O'Connor, O'Shea *et al.* 2015, Kim, Becattini *et al.* 2019, Garcia-Gutierrez, O'Connor *et al.* 2020, M, Field *et al.* 2020, O'Sullivan, O'Connor *et al.* 2020). Therefore the *B. obeum* A2-162 genome was analysed for candidate proteases using both NisP from the nisin A cluster and LanP from the *B. producta* SCSK lantibiotic cluster to search. This led to the identification of 10 candidate protease of which the six with the greatest homology to NisP or LanP or shared conserved domains were chosen for further analysis.

These candidate proteases were used in co-expression antimicrobial assays which did not result in any antimicrobial activity in the absence of trypsin for any candidate protease. Furthermore, the leader peptide cleavage ability of these candidate proteases was assessed using an incubation assay using pre-NsoA1-4 as a substrate. This assay showed that there was no leader peptide cleavage of pre-NsoA1-3 by any candidate protease or indeed the cell wall fractions of the humanisation strains (Becker, Kunath et al. 2011). Cleavage of the leader peptide was only observed when trypsin was used within the assay, confirming the results of Hatziioanou et al and Gherghisan-Filip et al (Hatziioanou, Gherghisan-Filip et al. 2017, Gherghisan-Filip, Saalbach et al. 2018). Trypsin is present within the human gut and therefore could be acting in place of a protease within the B. obeum A2-162 genome to provide leader peptide cleavage (Metheny, Stewart et al. 1997, Koshikawa, Hasegawa et al. 1998). Additionally, the results of the pan-genome analysis identified six nisin O-like cluster which all did not contain a protease within their cluster. However, it must also be noted that trypsin can also act as an inducing molecule as seen in the expression regulation of ruminococcin A, which incidentally also does not contain a protease within its cluster (Dabard, Bridonneau et al. 2001, Gomez, Ladire et al. 2002). However, there are two trypsin cleavage sites with the core peptide of NsoA1-3 which, although the lanthionine rings may offer some protection against degradation, could lead to the cleavage of the core peptide and hence a decrease in observable antimicrobial activity.

MicroMatrix fermentation assays using the nisin O producing bacteria, *B. obeum* A2-162 and *L. lactis* UKLc10 pnso, did not show an alteration in the composition of the bacterial composition when compared with the faecal slurry only control. Additionally, the addition of human gut concentrations of trypsin to either of the nisin O producers also had no notable influence on bacterial composition when compared to the faecal slurry control. Additionally, in repeats of the assays there appeared to be a disproportionate growth of a small group of bacteria which was also seen the faecal only control. This indicated that the protocol needed greater development to prevent either contamination or overgrowth of specific bacteria. It can be stated that the effects seen are not due to the antimicrobial activity of nisin O due to the composition of faecal slurry only wells following the same pattern as those with the nisin O

producers. However, it would be interesting to repeat this work as recent studies using nisin A and nisin P in MicroMatrix fermentation models have shown how these antimicrobial peptides can influence the composition of the human gut microbiota (Garcia-Gutierrez, O'Connor *et al.* 2020, O'Reilly, O'Connor *et al.* 2022). The hypothesis of this work stated that a protease would be present within the *B. obeum* A2-162 genome that could cleave pre-NsoA1-3 and produce an antimicrobial effect. None of the proteases tested were able to cleave the leader peptide and no antimicrobial effect was observed.

There are two TCSs within the nisin O cluster in *B. obeum* A2-162 (Hatziioanou, Gherghisan-Filip *et al.* 2017). In chapter 6 the interactions between these two TCS and the predicted promoters in the nisin O biosynthetic gene cluster were investigated. These two regulatory systems were also identified within the six nisin O-like clusters identified in chapter 3 and additionally in the lantibiotic cluster within *B. producta* SCSK (Kim, Becattini *et al.* 2019). Co-expression of plasmid expressed NsoR1AK1, a 5' extended version of NsoR1K1, and NsoR2K2 with the nisin O cluster demonstrated activity could occur in their presence. However, no increased antimicrobial activity was observed when these regulatory systems were overexpressed in the heterologous expression system when compared to the heterologous expression system without the *nsoR1AK1* and *nsoR2K2* expressing plasmids.

To assess whether the NsoR1K1 or NsoR2K2 regulatory systems interact with the predicted promoters from the nisin O cluster, which are also the same within the six nisin O-like clusters, a *pepI* reporter assay was used. NsoR1K1 was used instead of NsoR1AK1 as unpublished data from Romano *et al*, demonstrated that the cDNA produced from RNA extracted from a nisin A induced *L. lactis* UKLc10 pnso culture, mapped to the *nsoR1K1* sequence. No interaction was observed between the two nisin O regulatory systems and the promoters PnsoFEG, PnsoA1-4 and PnsoBTC when uninduced or induced with nisin A or nisin O. This differs from the regulation of the nisin A cluster where nisin A is able to interact with the NisRK system and therefore activate expression of the cluster (de Ruyter, Kuipers *et al.* 1996). Activity was only seen for the PnsoA1-4 promoter when it interacted with NisRK when induced with nisin

A. This explains why nisin A could be used to induce the heterologous expression system (Hatziioanou, Gherghisan-Filip et al. 2017) and that there is a level of promiscuity between the regulatory system and promoters from different nisin clusters. Interestingly, the PnsoR2K2 promoter provided constitutive expression of *pepI* as activity of PepI was observed even when a regulatory system was not being expressed. This has been reported in previous literature which showed that the *PnisRK* promoter is also constitutive (de Ruyter, Kuipers *et al.* 1996). Furthermore, the results from these assays using PnsoR2K2 indicated that NsoR1K1 was able to significantly increase expression of *pepI* when compared to the empty vector control for all induction conditions. Significant increases in PepI activity were also seen when NsoR1K1 was present when compared to NisRK when uninduced and induced with trypsinated pre-NsoA1-4 and NsoR2K2 only when induced trypsinated pre-NsoA1-4. This indicates that NsoR1K1 is able to activate PnsoR2K2 and is induced by both nisin A and trypsinated pre-NsoA1-4, which shows that this regulatory system may be more promiscuous than previously though in terms of its inducing factors and that one regulatory system interacts with the promoter of the other. Further work could look to ascertain the exact function of this system and how it relates to the expression of other genes within the nisin O cluster.

RT-PCR from a nisin A induced *L lactis* UKLc10 pnso culture confirmed that the *nsoA1-4* as well as *nsoR2K2* genes were being expressed. Co-expression of the operons containing *nsoR2K2* and *nsoBTC* was also observed. This confirms that the results from the *pepI* reporter assay and from the data obtained by Romano *et al* on the nisin O transcripts (Romano, Ansorge *et al.* 2022). Interestingly, the immunity genes and the first regulatory system did not appear to be expressed, or at least not at detectable levels. This was not expected as in the nisin A cluster the *nisFEG* genes are regulated by NisRK and *nisI* is preceded by a constitutive promoter to provide immunity against nisin A (de Ruyter, Kuipers *et al.* 1996).

A novel sequence that was highly expressed in the nisin O cluster, termed *nso1.14*, was identified by Romano *et al*, which encoded a protein that was predicted to have a helix-turn-helix domain (Romano, Ansorge *et al.* 2022). This domain is characteristic of transcriptional

regulators and therefore this gene was cloned into a plasmid to provide constitutive expression. However, when used in a *pepI* reporter assay with *PnsoA1-4* no activity was seen. It was determined that this protein was not a repressor of *PnsoA1-4* as there was still a high level of expression even when *nso1.14* was expressed (Romano, Ansorge *et al.* 2022). However, this protein could still interact with other promoters within the nisin O cluster and repress expression, but further work would be required to investigate this.

The work performed in this chapter has investigated the interactions between the nisin O regulatory systems and the predicted promoters within the cluster. However, neither of these systems indicated any interaction with the *PnsoA1-4* promoter which is in contrast to the hypothesis. Furthermore, it was shown that the *PnsoR2K2* promoter produced constitutive expression. The only activity from *PnsoA1-4* was observed when NisRK was induced with nisin A. These results were confirmed by RT-PCR, which also show co-expression of the *nsoR2K2BTC* genes. Additionally, *nso1.14* was identified however the function of this protein is yet to be discovered which could be a target for further study. Further work can also use the *pep1* reporter assay system to determine which inducing conditions are required for interaction between the nisin O regulatory systems and the predicted promoters of the nisin O cluster.

It has been demonstrated in this thesis that a lantibiotic biosynthetic gene cluster with very high similarity to the nisin O cluster is found across multiple genera. Optimisation of the *L. lactis* heterologous expression was performed, showing that this antimicrobial does have biological activity against gut pathogens. Additionally, candidate proteases were identified from the *B. obeum* A2-162 genome, however, these demonstrated no cleavage function against the leader peptide of pre-NsoA1-3, although trypsin was able to cleave the leader peptide. Furthermore, the regulation of the cluster was assessed, and it was found that there was no interaction between the NsoR1K1 and NsoR2K2 systems and the PnsoFEG, PnsoA1-4 and PnsoBTC promoters using the induction conditions tested. However, constitutive expression was observed from the PnsoR2K2 promoter. RNA analysis identified that nsoA1-4 and

*nsoR2K2* were expressed as well as co-expression between the *nsoR2K2* and *nsoBTC* containing operons. Finally, a novel, highly expressed sequence was identified within the nisin O biosynthetic cluster however the function was not established.

The work presented in this thesis provides an opportunity for future work to be performed. It would be prudent to perform further experiments to identify the inducing factors required for nisin O expression from B. obeum A2-162. This could either be performed through testing a wider range of inducing molecules and conditions and assessing antimicrobial activity, but also the use of the reporter assay could determine exactly which TCS is responsible for the increase in expression. The conditions to use could be using a greater array of sugars (Such as fructose, xylose and sucrose), pH conditions and metabolites in the growth media as well as investigating the role that quorum sensing could have in the regulation of nisin O expression (Chandrapati and O'Sullivan 1999, Pongtharangkul and Demirci 2006, Liu, Zheng et al. 2010, Hoover, Perez et al. 2015, Girgin Ersoy, Kayıhan et al. 2020, Zhang, Han et al. 2020). It would also be important to understand which protease is required for the leader peptide cleavage of nisin O. This could involve transcriptomic analysis of B. obeum A2-162 once the inducing condition has been determined and therefore assess which genes are also upregulated, if any, when *nsoA1-4* is being expressed. Furthermore, as nisin O is active against clinically relevant pathogens such as C. difficile, it would be interesting to understand how the presence of this strain can influence the human gut microbiota. This work could be performed in vitro using fermentation assays or potentially in vivo using murine models. This would provide evidence on whether nisin O can act as an important antimicrobial in the human gut and additionally, whether B. obeum A2-162 could act as a probiotic strain to aid in human health and preventative medicine.

### References

- Abts, A., M. Montalban-Lopez, O. P. Kuipers, S. H. Smits and L. Schmitt (2013). "NisC binds the FxLx motif of the nisin leader peptide." <u>Biochemistry</u> 52(32): 5387-5395.
- Afgan, E., D. Baker, B. Batut, M. van den Beek, D. Bouvier, M. Cech, J. Chilton, D. Clements, N. Coraor, B. A. Grüning, A. Guerler, J. Hillman-Jackson, S. Hiltemann, V. Jalili, H. Rasche, N. Soranzo, J. Goecks, J. Taylor, A. Nekrutenko and D. Blankenberg (2018). "The Galaxy platform for accessible, reproducible and collaborative biomedical analyses: 2018 update." <u>Nucleic Acids Res</u> 46(W1): W537-w544.
- AlKhatib, Z., M. Lagedroste, J. Zaschke, M. Wagner, A. Abts, I. Fey, D. Kleinschrodt and S. H. Smits (2014). "The C-terminus of nisin is important for the ABC transporter NisFEG to confer immunity in *Lactococcus lactis*." <u>Microbiologyopen</u> 3(5): 752-763.
- Altschul, S. F., T. L. Madden, A. A. Schaffer, J. Zhang, Z. Zhang, W. Miller and D. J. Lipman (1997). "Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search programs." <u>Nucleic Acids Res</u> 25(17): 3389-3402.
- Alvarez-Sieiro, P., M. Montalban-Lopez, D. Mu and O. P. Kuipers (2016). "Bacteriocins of lactic acid bacteria: extending the family." <u>Appl Microbiol</u> <u>Biotechnol</u> 100(7): 2939-2951.
- Alves, F. C. B., M. Albano, B. Andrade, J. L. Chechi, A. F. M. Pereira, A. Furlanetto, V. L. M. Rall, A. A. H. Fernandes, L. D. Dos Santos, L. N. Barbosa and A. Fernandes Junior (2020). "Comparative Proteomics of Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* Subjected to Synergistic Effects of the Lantibiotic Nisin and Oxacillin." <u>Microb Drug Resist</u> 26(3): 179-189.
- Aravind, L., V. Anantharaman, S. Balaji, M. M. Babu and L. M. Iyer (2005). "The many faces of the helix-turn-helix domain: transcription regulation and beyond." <u>FEMS Microbiol Rev</u> 29(2): 231-262.
- Ariana, M. and J. Hamedi (2017). "Enhanced production of nisin by co-culture of Lactococcus lactis sub sp. lactis and Yarrowia lipolytica in molasses based medium." J Biotechnol 256: 21-26.
- 9. Arnison, P. G., M. J. Bibb, G. Bierbaum, A. A. Bowers, T. S. Bugni, G. Bulaj, J. A. Camarero, D. J. Campopiano, G. L. Challis, J. Clardy, P. D. Cotter, D. J. Craik, M. Dawson, E. Dittmann, S. Donadio, P. C. Dorrestein, K. D. Entian, M. A. Fischbach, J. S. Garavelli, U. Goransson, C. W. Gruber, D. H. Haft, T. K. Hemscheidt, C. Hertweck, C. Hill, A. R. Horswill, M. Jaspars, W. L. Kelly, J. P. Klinman, O. P. Kuipers, A. J. Link, W. Liu, M. A. Marahiel, D. A. Mitchell, G. N. Moll, B. S. Moore, R. Muller, S. K. Nair, I. F. Nes, G. E. Norris, B. M. Olivera, H. Onaka, M. L. Patchett, J. Piel, M. J. Reaney, S. Rebuffat, R. P. Ross, H. G. Sahl, E. W. Schmidt, M. E. Selsted, K. Severinov, B. Shen, K. Sivonen, L. Smith, T. Stein, R. D. Sussmuth, J. R. Tagg, G. L. Tang, A. W. Truman, J. C. Vederas, C. T. Walsh, J. D. Walton, S. C. Wenzel, J. M. Willey and W. A. van der Donk (2013). "Ribosomally synthesized and products: post-translationally modified peptide natural overview and recommendations for a universal nomenclature." Nat Prod Rep 30(1): 108-160.
- Arumugam, M., J. Raes, E. Pelletier, D. Le Paslier, T. Yamada, D. R. Mende, G. R. Fernandes, J. Tap, T. Bruls, J. M. Batto, M. Bertalan, N. Borruel, F. Casellas, L. Fernandez, L. Gautier, T. Hansen, M. Hattori, T. Hayashi, M. Kleerebezem, K. Kurokawa, M. Leclerc, F. Levenez, C. Manichanh, H. B. Nielsen, T. Nielsen, N. Pons, J. Poulain, J. Qin, T. Sicheritz-Ponten, S. Tims, D. Torrents, E. Ugarte, E. G. Zoetendal, J. Wang, F. Guarner, O. Pedersen, W. M. de Vos, S. Brunak, J. Doré, M. Antolín, F. Artiguenave, H. M. Blottiere, M. Almeida, C. Brechot, C. Cara, C. Chervaux, A. Cultrone, C. Delorme, G. Denariaz, R. Dervyn, K. U. Foerstner, C. Friss, M. van de Guchte, E. Guedon, F. Haimet, W. Huber, J. van Hylckama-Vlieg,
A. Jamet, C. Juste, G. Kaci, J. Knol, O. Lakhdari, S. Layec, K. Le Roux, E. Maguin,
A. Mérieux, R. Melo Minardi, C. M'Rini, J. Muller, R. Oozeer, J. Parkhill, P. Renault,
M. Rescigno, N. Sanchez, S. Sunagawa, A. Torrejon, K. Turner, G. Vandemeulebrouck, E. Varela, Y. Winogradsky, G. Zeller, J. Weissenbach, S. D. Ehrlich and P. Bork (2011). "Enterotypes of the human gut microbiome." <u>Nature</u> 473(7346): 174-180.

- Azevedo, A. C., C. B. Bento, J. C. Ruiz, M. V. Queiroz and H. C. Mantovani (2015). "Distribution and Genetic Diversity of Bacteriocin Gene Clusters in Rumen Microbial Genomes." <u>Appl Environ Microbiol</u> 81(20): 7290-7304.
- Babasaki, K., T. Takao, Y. Shimonishi and K. Kurahashi (1985). "Subtilosin A, a new antibiotic peptide produced by *Bacillus subtilis* 168: isolation, structural analysis, and biogenesis." J Biochem 98(3): 585-603.
- 13. Backhed, F., R. E. Ley, J. L. Sonnenburg, D. A. Peterson and J. I. Gordon (2005). "Host-bacterial mutualism in the human intestine." <u>Science</u> **307**(5717): 1915-1920.
- Backhed, F., J. Roswall, Y. Peng, Q. Feng, H. Jia, P. Kovatcheva-Datchary, Y. Li, Y. Xia, H. Xie, H. Zhong, M. T. Khan, J. Zhang, J. Li, L. Xiao, J. Al-Aama, D. Zhang, Y. S. Lee, D. Kotowska, C. Colding, V. Tremaroli, Y. Yin, S. Bergman, X. Xu, L. Madsen, K. Kristiansen, J. Dahlgren and J. Wang (2015). "Dynamics and Stabilization of the Human Gut Microbiome during the First Year of Life." <u>Cell Host Microbe</u> 17(6): 852.
- Bader, J., E. Mast-Gerlach, M. K. Popović, R. Bajpai and U. Stahl (2010). "Relevance of microbial coculture fermentations in biotechnology." <u>J Appl Microbiol</u> 109(2): 371-387.
- Baker, G. C., J. J. Smith and D. A. Cowan (2003). "Review and re-analysis of domainspecific 16S primers." <u>J Microbiol Methods</u> 55(3): 541-555.
- Bartoloni, A., A. Mantella, B. P. Goldstein, R. Dei, M. Benedetti, S. Sbaragli and F. Paradisi (2004). "In-vitro activity of nisin against clinical isolates of *Clostridium difficile*." J Chemother 16(2): 119-121.
- Bauer, R. and L. M. Dicks (2005). "Mode of action of lipid II-targeting lantibiotics." Int J Food Microbiol 101(2): 201-216.
- Becker, N., J. Kunath, G. Loh and M. Blaut (2011). "Human intestinal microbiota: characterization of a simplified and stable gnotobiotic rat model." <u>Gut Microbes</u> 2(1): 25-33.
- Beimfohr, C. (2016). "A Review of Research Conducted with Probiotic *E. coli* Marketed as Symbioflor." <u>Int J Bacteriol</u> 2016: 3535621.
- Blin, K., S. Shaw, K. Steinke, R. Villebro, N. Ziemert, S. Y. Lee, M. H. Medema and T. Weber (2019). "antiSMASH 5.0: updates to the secondary metabolite genome mining pipeline." <u>Nucleic Acids Res</u> 47(W1): W81-w87.
- 22. Boparai, J. K. and P. K. Sharma (2020). "Mini Review on Antimicrobial Peptides, Sources, Mechanism and Recent Applications." <u>Protein Pept Lett</u> **27**(1): 4-16.
- Brotz, H., M. Josten, I. Wiedemann, U. Schneider, F. Gotz, G. Bierbaum and H. G. Sahl (1998). "Role of lipid-bound peptidoglycan precursors in the formation of pores by nisin, epidermin and other lantibiotics." <u>Mol Microbiol</u> 30(2): 317-327.
- Buchman, G. W., S. Banerjee and J. N. Hansen (1988). "Structure, expression, and evolution of a gene encoding the precursor of nisin, a small protein antibiotic." <u>J Biol</u> <u>Chem</u> 263(31): 16260-16266.
- 25. Cao, L. T., J. Q. Wu, F. Xie, S. H. Hu and Y. Mo (2007). "Efficacy of nisin in treatment of clinical mastitis in lactating dairy cows." J Dairy Sci **90**(8): 3980-3985.
- 26. Casadaban, M. J. and S. N. Cohen (1980). "Analysis of gene control signals by DNA fusion and cloning in *Escherichia coli*." J Mol Biol **138**(2): 179-207.
- Castiglione, F., L. Cavaletti, D. Losi, A. Lazzarini, L. Carrano, M. Feroggio, I. Ciciliato, E. Corti, G. Candiani, F. Marinelli and E. Selva (2007). "A novel lantibiotic acting on bacterial cell wall synthesis produced by the uncommon actinomycete *Planomonospora* sp." <u>Biochemistry</u> 46(20): 5884-5895.

- Cebeci, F. (2017). <u>The metabolism of plant glucosinolates by gut bacteria</u>. PhD PhD Thesis, University of East Anglia.
- Chandrapati, S. and D. J. O'Sullivan (1999). "Nisin independent induction of the nisA promoter in *Lactococcus lactis* during growth in lactose or galactose." <u>FEMS</u> <u>Microbiol Lett</u> **170**(1): 191-198.
- Chatterjee, S., S. Chatterjee, S. J. Lad, M. S. Phansalkar, R. H. Rupp, B. N. Ganguli, H. W. Fehlhaber and H. Kogler (1992). "Mersacidin, a new antibiotic from *Bacillus*. Fermentation, isolation, purification and chemical characterization." <u>J Antibiot</u> (Tokyo) 45(6): 832-838.
- Chen, J. and O. P. Kuipers (2021). "Isolation and Analysis of the Nisin Biosynthesis Complex NisBTC: further Insights into Their Cooperative Action." <u>mBio</u> 12(5): e0258521.
- Chen, J., A. J. van Heel and O. P. Kuipers (2020). "Subcellular Localization and Assembly Process of the Nisin Biosynthesis Machinery in *Lactococcus lactis*." <u>mBio</u> 11(6).
- 33. Chikindas, M. L., M. J. Garcia-Garcera, A. J. Driessen, A. M. Ledeboer, J. Nissen-Meyer, I. F. Nes, T. Abee, W. N. Konings and G. Venema (1993). "Pediocin PA-1, a bacteriocin from *Pediococcus acidilactici* PAC1.0, forms hydrophilic pores in the cytoplasmic membrane of target cells." Appl Environ Microbiol **59**(11): 3577-3584.
- Chinachoti, N., H. Matsusaki, K. Sonomoto and A. Ishizaki (1998). "Nisin Z Production by *Lactococcus lactis* IO-1 Using Xylose as a Carbon Source." <u>Biosci</u> <u>Biotechnol Biochem</u> 62(5): 1022-1024.
- Claesen, J. and M. J. Bibb (2011). "Biosynthesis and regulation of grisemycin, a new member of the linaridin family of ribosomally synthesized peptides produced by Streptomyces griseus IFO 13350." J Bacteriol 193(10): 2510-2516.
- 36. Collin, F. and A. Maxwell (2019). "The Microbial Toxin Microcin B17: Prospects for the Development of New Antibacterial Agents." J Mol Biol **431**(18): 3400-3426.
- Corvey, C., T. Stein, S. Dusterhus, M. Karas and K. D. Entian (2003). "Activation of subtilin precursors by *Bacillus subtilis* extracellular serine proteases subtilisin (AprE), WprA, and Vpr." <u>Biochem Biophys Res Commun</u> **304**(1): 48-54.
- Cotter, P. D., C. Hill and R. P. Ross (2005). "Bacteriocins: developing innate immunity for food." <u>Nat Rev Microbiol</u> 3(10): 777-788.
- Cotter, P. D., R. P. Ross and C. Hill (2013). "Bacteriocins a viable alternative to antibiotics?" <u>Nat Rev Microbiol</u> 11(2): 95-105.
- Dabard, J., C. Bridonneau, C. Phillipe, P. Anglade, D. Molle, M. Nardi, M. Ladiré, H. Girardin, F. Marcille, A. Gomez and M. Fons (2001). "Ruminococcin A, a new lantibiotic produced by a *Ruminococcus gnavus* strain isolated from human feces." <u>Appl Environ Microbiol</u> 67(9): 4111-4118.
- Darling, A. C., B. Mau, F. R. Blattner and N. T. Perna (2004). "Mauve: multiple alignment of conserved genomic sequence with rearrangements." <u>Genome Res</u> 14(7): 1394-1403.
- 42. De Filippo, C., D. Cavalieri, M. Di Paola, M. Ramazzotti, J. B. Poullet, S. Massart, S. Collini, G. Pieraccini and P. Lionetti (2010). "Impact of diet in shaping gut microbiota revealed by a comparative study in children from Europe and rural Africa." <u>Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A</u> 107(33): 14691-14696.
- 43. de Kwaadsteniet, M., K. Ten Doeschate and L. M. Dicks (2008). "Characterization of the structural gene encoding nisin F, a new lantibiotic produced by a *Lactococcus lactis* subsp. *lactis* isolate from freshwater catfish (*Clarias gariepinus*)." <u>Appl</u> <u>Environ Microbiol</u> 74(2): 547-549.
- de Ruyter, P. G., O. P. Kuipers, M. M. Beerthuyzen, I. van Alen-Boerrigter and W. M. de Vos (1996). "Functional analysis of promoters in the nisin gene cluster of *Lactococcus lactis*." J Bacteriol 178(12): 3434-3439.

- 45. de Vos, W. M., O. P. Kuipers, J. R. van der Meer and R. J. Siezen (1995). "Maturation pathway of nisin and other lantibiotics: post-translationally modified antimicrobial peptides exported by gram-positive bacteria." <u>Mol Microbiol</u> 17(3): 427-437.
- de Vos, W. M., J. W. Mulders, R. J. Siezen, J. Hugenholtz and O. P. Kuipers (1993). "Properties of nisin Z and distribution of its gene, nisZ, in *Lactococcus lactis*." <u>Appl Environ Microbiol</u> 59(1): 213-218.
- De Vuyst, L. and E. J. Vandamme (1992). "Influence of the carbon source on nisin production in *Lactococcus lactis* subsp. *lactis* batch fermentations." <u>J Gen Microbiol</u> 138(3): 571-578.
- 48. Dischinger, J., S. Basi Chipalu and G. Bierbaum (2014). "Lantibiotics: promising candidates for future applications in health care." Int J Med Microbiol **304**(1): 51-62.
- 49. Dodd, H. M., N. Horn and M. J. Gasson (1990). "Analysis of the genetic determinant for production of the peptide antibiotic nisin." J Gen Microbiol **136**(3): 555-566.
- Dragoš, A., A. J. C. Andersen, C. N. Lozano-Andrade, P. J. Kempen, T. Kovács Á and M. L. Strube (2021). "Phages carry interbacterial weapons encoded by biosynthetic gene clusters." <u>Curr Biol</u> 31(16): 3479-3489.e3475.
- Draper, L. A., R. P. Ross, C. Hill and P. D. Cotter (2008). "Lantibiotic immunity." <u>Curr Protein Pept Sci</u> 9(1): 39-49.
- 52. Drozdetskiy, A., C. Cole, J. Procter and G. J. Barton (2015). "JPred4: a protein secondary structure prediction server." <u>Nucleic Acids Res</u> **43**(W1): W389-394.
- 53. Durand, G. A., T. Pham, S. Ndongo, S. I. Traore, G. Dubourg, J. C. Lagier, C. Michelle, N. Armstrong, P. E. Fournier, D. Raoult and M. Million (2017). "Blautia massiliensis sp. nov., isolated from a fresh human fecal sample and emended description of the genus Blautia." <u>Anaerobe</u> 43: 47-55.
- 54. Edgar, R. C. (2004). "MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput." <u>Nucleic Acids Res</u> **32**(5): 1792-1797.
- 55. Eren, A. M., M. L. Sogin, H. G. Morrison, J. H. Vineis, J. C. Fisher, R. J. Newton and S. L. McLellan (2015). "A single genus in the gut microbiome reflects host preference and specificity." <u>Isme j</u> 9(1): 90-100.
- Fernandez, A., N. Horn, U. Wegmann, C. Nicoletti, M. J. Gasson and A. Narbad (2009). "Enhanced secretion of biologically active murine interleukin-12 by *Lactococcus lactis*." <u>Appl Environ Microbiol</u> **75**(3): 869-871.
- Fernández, L., S. Delgado, H. Herrero, A. Maldonado and J. M. Rodríguez (2008). "The bacteriocin nisin, an effective agent for the treatment of *staphylococcal* mastitis during lactation." J Hum Lact **24**(3): 311-316.
- Flórez, A. B. and B. Mayo (2018). "Genome Analysis of *Lactobacillus plantarum* LL441 and Genetic Characterisation of the Locus for the Lantibiotic Plantaricin C." <u>Front Microbiol</u> 9: 1916.
- Flynn, S., D. van Sinderen, G. M. Thornton, H. Holo, I. F. Nes and J. K. Collins (2002). "Characterization of the genetic locus responsible for the production of ABP-118, a novel bacteriocin produced by the probiotic bacterium *Lactobacillus salivarius* subsp. *salivarius* UCC118." <u>Microbiology</u> 148(Pt 4): 973-984.
- 60. Fredricks, D. N. (2019). "The gut microbiota and graft-versus-host disease." J Clin Invest 129(5): 1808-1817.
- Gao, G., H. Fan, Y. Zhang, Y. Cao, T. Li, W. Qiao, M. Wu, T. Ma and G. Li (2021). "Production of nisin-containing bacterial cellulose nanomaterials with antimicrobial properties through co-culturing *Enterobacter* sp. FY-07 and *Lactococcus lactis* N8." <u>Carbohydr Polym</u> 251: 117131.
- 62. Gao, L., R. Kuraji, M. J. Zhang, A. Martinez, A. Radaic, P. Kamarajan, C. Le, L. Zhan, C. Ye, H. Rangé, M. R. Sailani and Y. L. Kapila (2022). "Nisin probiotic prevents inflammatory bone loss while promoting reparative proliferation and a healthy microbiome." <u>NPJ Biofilms Microbiomes</u> 8(1): 45.

- 63. Gao, Y., Y. Lu, K. L. Teng, M. L. Chen, H. J. Zheng, Y. Q. Zhu and J. Zhong (2011). "Complete genome sequence of *Lactococcus lactis* subsp. *lactis* CV56, a probiotic strain isolated from the vaginas of healthy women." J Bacteriol **193**(11): 2886-2887.
- 64. Garcia-Gutierrez, E., M. J. Mayer, P. D. Cotter and A. Narbad (2019). "Gut microbiota as a source of novel antimicrobials." <u>Gut Microbes</u> **10**(1): 1-21.
- Garcia-Gutierrez, E., P. M. O'Connor, G. Saalbach, C. J. Walsh, J. W. Hegarty, C. M. Guinane, M. J. Mayer, A. Narbad and P. D. Cotter (2020). "First evidence of production of the lantibiotic nisin P." <u>Sci Rep</u> 10(1): 3738.
- 66. Garg, N., L. M. Salazar-Ocampo and W. A. van der Donk (2013). "In vitro activity of the nisin dehydratase NisB." <u>Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A</u> **110**(18): 7258-7263.
- 67. Gasson, M. J. (1984). "Transfer of sucrose fermenting ability, nisin resistance and nisin production into *Streptococcus lactis* 712." <u>FEMS Microbiol Lett</u> 1(21): 7-10.
- Ge, X., K. Teng, J. Wang, F. Zhao, F. Wang, J. Zhang and J. Zhong (2016). "Ligand determinants of nisin for its induction activity." <u>J Dairy Sci</u> 99(7): 5022-5031.
- Ge, X., K. Teng, J. Wang, F. Zhao, J. Zhang and J. Zhong (2017). "Identification of Key Residues in the NisK Sensor Region for Nisin Biosynthesis Regulation." <u>Front</u> <u>Microbiol</u> 8: 106.
- Gharsallaoui, A., N. Oulahal, C. Joly and P. Degraeve (2016). "Nisin as a Food Preservative: Part 1: Physicochemical Properties, Antimicrobial Activity, and Main Uses." <u>Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr</u> 56(8): 1262-1274.
- 71. Gherghisan-Filip, C. (2016). <u>Engineering novel lantibiotics to target gut pathogens</u>. PhD Thesis, University of East Anglia.
- 72. Gherghisan-Filip, C., G. Saalbach, D. Hatziioanou, A. Narbad and M. J. Mayer (2018). "Processing and Structure of the Lantibiotic Peptide Nso From the Human Gut Bacterium *Blautia obeum* A2-162 analysed by Mass Spectrometry." <u>Sci Rep</u> 8(1): 10077.
- Girgin Ersoy, Z., C. Kayıhan and S. Tunca (2020). "Higher nisin yield is reached with glutathione and pyruvate compared with heme in *Lactococcus lactis* N8." <u>Braz J</u> <u>Microbiol</u> 51(3): 1247-1257.
- Glassner, K. L., B. P. Abraham and E. M. M. Quigley (2020). "The microbiome and inflammatory bowel disease." <u>J Allergy Clin Immunol</u> 145(1): 16-27.
- 75. Goldberg, D. M., R. Campbell and A. D. Roy (1969). "Fate of trypsin and chymotrypsin in the human small intestine." <u>Gut</u> **10**(6): 477-483.
- 76. Goldstein, B. P., J. Wei, K. Greenberg and R. Novick (1998). "Activity of nisin against *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, in vitro, and in a mouse infection model." J <u>Antimicrob Chemother</u> 42(2): 277-278.
- 77. Gomez, A., M. Ladire, F. Marcille and M. Fons (2002). "Trypsin mediates growth phase-dependent transcriptional tegulation of genes involved in biosynthesis of ruminococcin A, a lantibiotic produced by a *Ruminococcus gnavus* strain from a human intestinal microbiota." J Bacteriol **184**(1): 18-28.
- González, B., P. Arca, B. Mayo and J. E. Suárez (1994). "Detection, purification, and partial characterization of plantaricin C, a bacteriocin produced by a *Lactobacillus plantarum* strain of dairy origin." <u>Appl Environ Microbiol</u> 60(6): 2158-2163.
- 79. Gross, E., H. H. Kiltz and E. Nebelin (1973). "[Subtilin, VI: the structure of subtilin (author's transl)]." <u>Hoppe Seylers Z Physiol Chem</u> **354**(7): 810-812.
- 80. Gross, E. and J. L. Morell (1971). "The structure of nisin." <u>J Am Chem Soc</u> **93**(18): 4634-4635.
- 81. Guder, A., T. Schmitter, I. Wiedemann, H. G. Sahl and G. Bierbaum (2002). "Role of the single regulator MrsR1 and the two-component system MrsR2/K2 in the regulation of mersacidin production and immunity." <u>Appl Environ Microbiol</u> 68(1): 106-113.
- 82. Hacker, C., N. A. Christ, E. Duchardt-Ferner, S. Korn, C. Göbl, L. Berninger, S. Düsterhus, U. A. Hellmich, T. Madl, P. Kötter, K. D. Entian and J. Wöhnert (2015).

"The Solution Structure of the Lantibiotic Immunity Protein NisI and Its Interactions with Nisin." J Biol Chem **290**(48): 28869-28886.

- Han, Y., X. Wang, Y. Zhang and L. Huo (2022). "Discovery and Characterization of Marinsedin, a New Class II Lanthipeptide Derived from Marine Bacterium *Marinicella sediminis* F2(T)." <u>ACS Chem Biol</u> 17(4): 785-790.
- Hasper, H. E., B. de Kruijff and E. Breukink (2004). "Assembly and stability of nisinlipid II pores." <u>Biochemistry</u> 43(36): 11567-11575.
- 85. Hatziioanou, D. (2011). <u>Discovery and analysis of novel bacteriocins from gut</u> <u>bacteria</u>. PhD PhD Thesis, University of East Anglia.
- 86. Hatziioanou, D., C. Gherghisan-Filip, G. Saalbach, N. Horn, U. Wegmann, S. H. Duncan, H. J. Flint, M. J. Mayer and A. Narbad (2017). "Discovery of a novel lantibiotic nisin O from *Blautia obeum* A2-162, isolated from the human gastrointestinal tract." <u>Microbiology</u> 163(9): 1292-1305.
- 87. Heilbronner, S., B. Krismer, H. Brötz-Oesterhelt and A. Peschel (2021). "The microbiome-shaping roles of bacteriocins." <u>Nat Rev Microbiol</u>.
- 88. Heintz-Buschart, A. and P. Wilmes (2018). "Human Gut Microbiome: Function Matters." <u>Trends Microbiol</u> 26(7): 563-574.
- 89. Hill, C. (2020). "Poles Apart: Where and How Cells Construct Nisin." mBio 11(6).
- Hojsak, I., M. A. Benninga, B. Hauser, A. Kansu, V. B. Kelly, A. M. Stephen, A. Morais Lopez, J. Slavin and K. Tuohy (2022). "Benefits of dietary fibre for children in health and disease." <u>Arch Dis Child</u>.
- 91. Hoover, S. E., A. J. Perez, H. C. Tsui, D. Sinha, D. L. Smiley, R. D. DiMarchi, M. E. Winkler and B. A. Lazazzera (2015). "A new quorum-sensing system (TprA/PhrA) for *Streptococcus pneumoniae* D39 that regulates a lantibiotic biosynthesis gene cluster." <u>Mol Microbiol</u> 97(2): 229-243.
- Horton, R. M., Z. L. Cai, S. N. Ho and L. R. Pease (1990). "Gene splicing by overlap extension: tailor-made genes using the polymerase chain reaction." <u>Biotechniques</u> 8(5): 528-535.
- 93. Huang, F., K. Teng, Y. Liu, T. Wang, T. Xia, F. Yun and J. Zhong (2022). "Nisin Z attenuates lipopolysaccharide-induced mastitis by inhibiting the ERK1/2 and p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase signaling pathways." J Dairy Sci 105(4): 3530-3543.
- 94. Inoue, T., H. Tomita and Y. Ike (2006). "Bac 32, a novel bacteriocin widely disseminated among clinical isolates of *Enterococcus faecium*." <u>Antimicrob Agents</u> <u>Chemother</u> **50**(4): 1202-1212.
- Jain, C., R. L. Rodriguez, A. M. Phillippy, K. T. Konstantinidis and S. Aluru (2018). "High throughput ANI analysis of 90K prokaryotic genomes reveals clear species boundaries." <u>Nat Commun</u> 9(1): 5114.
- Johnson, M., I. Zaretskaya, Y. Raytselis, Y. Merezhuk, S. McGinnis and T. L. Madden (2008). "NCBI BLAST: a better web interface." <u>Nucleic Acids Res</u> 36(Web Server issue): W5-9.
- Jumper, J., R. Evans, A. Pritzel, T. Green, M. Figurnov, O. Ronneberger, K. Tunyasuvunakool, R. Bates, A. Žídek, A. Potapenko, A. Bridgland, C. Meyer, S. A. A. Kohl, A. J. Ballard, A. Cowie, B. Romera-Paredes, S. Nikolov, R. Jain, J. Adler, T. Back, S. Petersen, D. Reiman, E. Clancy, M. Zielinski, M. Steinegger, M. Pacholska, T. Berghammer, S. Bodenstein, D. Silver, O. Vinyals, A. W. Senior, K. Kavukcuoglu, P. Kohli and D. Hassabis (2021). "Highly accurate protein structure prediction with AlphaFold." Nature 596(7873): 583-589.
- Karakas Sen, A., A. Narbad, N. Horn, H. M. Dodd, A. J. Parr, I. Colquhoun and M. J. Gasson (1999). "Post-translational modification of nisin. The involvement of NisB in the dehydration process." <u>Eur J Biochem</u> 261(2): 524-532.
- Karczewski, J., F. J. Troost, I. Konings, J. Dekker, M. Kleerebezem, R. J. Brummer and J. M. Wells (2010). "Regulation of human epithelial tight junction proteins by *Lactobacillus plantarum* in vivo and protective effects on the epithelial barrier." <u>Am</u> <u>J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol</u> 298(6): G851-859.

- Kawai, Y., B. Saitoh, O. Takahashi, H. Kitazawa, T. Saito, H. Nakajima and T. Itoh (2000). "Primary amino acid and DNA sequences of gassericin T, a lactacin F-family bacteriocin produced by *Lactobacillus gasseri* SBT2055." <u>Biosci Biotechnol</u> <u>Biochem</u> 64(10): 2201-2208.
- 101. Kelly, J. R., Y. Borre, O. B. C, E. Patterson, S. El Aidy, J. Deane, P. J. Kennedy, S. Beers, K. Scott, G. Moloney, A. E. Hoban, L. Scott, P. Fitzgerald, P. Ross, C. Stanton, G. Clarke, J. F. Cryan and T. G. Dinan (2016). "Transferring the blues: Depression-associated gut microbiota induces neurobehavioural changes in the rat." J Psychiatr Res 82: 109-118.
- 102. Khelissa, S., N. E. Chihib and A. Gharsallaoui (2021). "Conditions of nisin production by *Lactococcus lactis* subsp. *lactis* and its main uses as a food preservative." <u>Arch Microbiol</u> **203**(2): 465-480.
- 103. Kim, S. G., S. Becattini, T. U. Moody, P. V. Shliaha, E. R. Littmann, R. Seok, M. Gjonbalaj, V. Eaton, E. Fontana, L. Amoretti, R. Wright, S. Caballero, Z. X. Wang, H. J. Jung, S. M. Morjaria, I. M. Leiner, W. Qin, R. Ramos, J. R. Cross, S. Narushima, K. Honda, J. U. Peled, R. C. Hendrickson, Y. Taur, M. R. M. van den Brink and E. G. Pamer (2019). "Microbiota-derived lantibiotic restores resistance against vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus*." <u>Nature</u>.
- 104. Kleerebezem, M. (2004). "Quorum sensing control of lantibiotic production; nisin and subtilin autoregulate their own biosynthesis." <u>Peptides</u> 25(9): 1405-1414.
  105. Knerr, P. J. and W. A. van der Donk (2012). "Discovery, biosynthesis, and
- 105. Knerr, P. J. and W. A. van der Donk (2012). "Discovery, biosynthesis, and engineering of lantipeptides." <u>Annu Rev Biochem</u> **81**: 479-505.
- 106. Koenig, J. E., A. Spor, N. Scalfone, A. D. Fricker, J. Stombaugh, R. Knight, L. T. Angenent and R. E. Ley (2011). "Succession of microbial consortia in the developing infant gut microbiome." <u>Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A</u> 108 Suppl 1: 4578-4585.
- 107. Koponen, O., M. Tolonen, M. Qiao, G. Wahlström, J. Helin and P. E. J. Saris (2002). "NisB is required for the dehydration and NisC for the lanthionine formation in the post-translational modification of nisin." <u>Microbiology (Reading)</u> 148(Pt 11): 3561-3568.
- 108. Koshikawa, N., S. Hasegawa, Y. Nagashima, K. Mitsuhashi, Y. Tsubota, S. Miyata, Y. Miyagi, H. Yasumitsu and K. Miyazaki (1998). "Expression of trypsin by epithelial cells of various tissues, leukocytes, and neurons in human and mouse." <u>Am J Pathol</u> 153(3): 937-944.
- 109. Kostic, A. D., D. Gevers, C. S. Pedamallu, M. Michaud, F. Duke, A. M. Earl, A. I. Ojesina, J. Jung, A. J. Bass, J. Tabernero, J. Baselga, C. Liu, R. A. Shivdasani, S. Ogino, B. W. Birren, C. Huttenhower, W. S. Garrett and M. Meyerson (2012). "Genomic analysis identifies association of *Fusobacterium* with colorectal carcinoma." <u>Genome Res</u> 22(2): 292-298.
- Krause, J. L., S. S. Schaepe, K. Fritz-Wallace, B. Engelmann, U. Rolle-Kampczyk, S. Kleinsteuber, F. Schattenberg, Z. Liu, S. Mueller, N. Jehmlich, M. Von Bergen and G. Herberth (2020). "Following the community development of SIHUMIx a new intestinal in vitro model for bioreactor use." <u>Gut Microbes</u> 11(4): 1116-1129.
- 111. Kuipers, O. P., M. M. Beerthuyzen, P. G. de Ruyter, E. J. Luesink and W. M. de Vos (1995). "Autoregulation of nisin biosynthesis in *Lactococcus lactis* by signal transduction." J Biol Chem 270(45): 27299-27304.
- Kuipers, O. P., M. M. Beerthuyzen, R. J. Siezen and W. M. De Vos (1993).
   "Characterization of the nisin gene cluster nisABTCIPR of *Lactococcus lactis*. Requirement of expression of the nisA and nisI genes for development of immunity." <u>Eur J Biochem</u> 216(1): 281-291.
- 113. Kuipers, O. P., H. S. Rollema, W. M. de Vos and R. J. Siezen (1993). "Biosynthesis and secretion of a precursor of nisin Z by *Lactococcus lactis*, directed

by the leader peptide of the homologous lantibiotic subtilin from *Bacillus subtilis*." <u>FEBS Lett</u> **330**(1): 23-27.

- 114. Kuwano, K., N. Tanaka, T. Shimizu, K. Nagatoshi, S. Nou and K. Sonomoto (2005). "Dual antibacterial mechanisms of nisin Z against Gram-positive and Gramnegative bacteria." <u>Int J Antimicrob Agents</u> 26(5): 396-402.
- 115. Lagedroste, M., J. Reiners, S. H. J. Smits and L. Schmitt (2020). "Impact of the nisin modification machinery on the transport kinetics of NisT." <u>Sci Rep</u> 10(1): 12295.
- 116. Lagedroste, M., S. H. J. Smits and L. Schmitt (2017). "Substrate Specificity of the Secreted Nisin Leader Peptidase NisP." <u>Biochemistry</u> **56**(30): 4005-4014.
- 117. LeBlanc, J. G., C. Milani, G. S. de Giori, F. Sesma, D. van Sinderen and M. Ventura (2013). "Bacteria as vitamin suppliers to their host: a gut microbiota perspective." <u>Curr Opin Biotechnol</u> 24(2): 160-168.
- 118. Lee, J. H., X. Li and D. J. O'Sullivan (2011). "Transcription analysis of a lantibiotic gene cluster from *Bifidobacterium longum* DJO10A." <u>Appl Environ Microbiol</u> **77**(17): 5879-5887.
- Leenhouts, K. J., J. Kok and G. Venema (1991). "Lactococcal plasmid pWV01 as an integration vector for *lactococci*." <u>Appl Environ Microbiol</u> 57(9): 2562-2567.
- Lewis, K. (2013). "Platforms for antibiotic discovery." <u>Nat Rev Drug Discov</u> 12(5): 371-387.
- 121. Li, B., J. P. Yu, J. S. Brunzelle, G. N. Moll, W. A. van der Donk and S. K. Nair (2006). "Structure and mechanism of the lantibiotic cyclase involved in nisin biosynthesis." <u>Science</u> **311**(5766): 1464-1467.
- 122. Li, H. and D. J. O'Sullivan (2006). "Identification of a nisI promoter within the nisABCTIP operon that may enable establishment of nisin immunity prior to induction of the operon via signal transduction." J Bacteriol **188**(24): 8496-8503.
- 123. Li, Y., Y. Han, Z. Zeng, W. Li, S. Feng and W. Cao (2021). "Discovery and Bioactivity of the Novel Lasso Peptide Microcin Y." J Agric Food Chem 69(31): 8758-8767.
- 124. Li, Y., E. Watanabe, Y. Kawashima, D. R. Plichta, Z. Wang, M. Ujike, Q. Y. Ang, R. Wu, M. Furuichi, K. Takeshita, K. Yoshida, K. Nishiyama, S. M. Kearney, W. Suda, M. Hattori, S. Sasajima, T. Matsunaga, X. Zhang, K. Watanabe, J. Fujishiro, J. M. Norman, B. Olle, S. Matsuyama, H. Namkoong, Y. Uwamino, M. Ishii, K. Fukunaga, N. Hasegawa, O. Ohara, R. J. Xavier, K. Atarashi and K. Honda (2022). "Identification of trypsin-degrading commensals in the large intestine." <u>Nature</u>.
- 125. Liu, J., J. Zhou, L. Wang, Z. Ma, G. Zhao, Z. Ge, H. Zhu and J. Qiao (2017). "Improving nitrogen source utilization from defatted soybean meal for nisin production by enhancing proteolytic function of *Lactococcus lactis* F44." <u>Sci Rep</u> 7(1): 6189.
- 126. Liu, W., H. Zheng, Z. Wu and Y. Wang (2010). "Effects of pH profiles on nisin fermentation coupling with foam separation." <u>Appl Microbiol Biotechnol</u> 85(5): 1401-1407.
- 127. Liu, X., B. Mao, J. Gu, J. Wu, S. Cui, G. Wang, J. Zhao, H. Zhang and W. Chen (2021). "*Blautia-a new functional genus with potential probiotic properties?*" <u>Gut Microbes</u> 13(1): 1-21.
- 128. Louis, P. and H. J. Flint (2017). "Formation of propionate and butyrate by the human colonic microbiota." <u>Environ Microbiol</u> **19**(1): 29-41.
- 129. Lu, L. F., Y. Yang, L. J. Chai, Z. M. Lu, L. Q. Zhang, H. Qin, P. Yang, Z. H. Xu and C. H. Shen (2021). "*Blautia liquoris* sp. nov., isolated from the mud in a fermentation cellar used for the production of Chinese strong-flavour liquor." <u>Int J Syst Evol Microbiol</u> **71**(10).
- 130. Lu, S., J. Wang, F. Chitsaz, M. K. Derbyshire, R. C. Geer, N. R. Gonzales, M. Gwadz, D. I. Hurwitz, G. H. Marchler, J. S. Song, N. Thanki, R. A. Yamashita,

M. Yang, D. Zhang, C. Zheng, C. J. Lanczycki and A. Marchler-Bauer (2020). "CDD/SPARCLE: the conserved domain database in 2020." <u>Nucleic Acids Res</u> **48**(D1): D265-d268.

- Lubelski, J., R. Rink, R. Khusainov, G. N. Moll and O. P. Kuipers (2008).
   "Biosynthesis, immunity, regulation, mode of action and engineering of the model lantibiotic nisin." <u>Cell Mol Life Sci</u> 65(3): 455-476.
- 132. M, O. C., D. Field, A. Grainger, O. C. PM, L. Draper, R. P. Ross and C. Hill (2020). "Nisin M: a Bioengineered Nisin A Variant That Retains Full Induction Capacity but Has Significantly Reduced Antimicrobial Activity." <u>Appl Environ</u> <u>Microbiol</u> 86(15).
- 133. Maher, S. and S. McClean (2006). "Investigation of the cytotoxicity of eukaryotic and prokaryotic antimicrobial peptides in intestinal epithelial cells in vitro." <u>Biochem Pharmacol</u> **71**(9): 1289-1298.
- Maky, M. A., N. Ishibashi, J. Nakayama and T. Zendo (2021).
   "Characterization of the Biosynthetic Gene Cluster of Enterocin F4-9, a Glycosylated Bacteriocin." <u>Microorganisms</u> 9(11).
- Maqueda, M., A. Galvez, M. M. Bueno, M. J. Sanchez-Barrena, C. Gonzalez, A. Albert, M. Rico and E. Valdivia (2004). "Peptide AS-48: prototype of a new class of cyclic bacteriocins." <u>Curr Protein Pept Sci</u> 5(5): 399-416.
- 136. Marcille, F., A. Gomez, P. Joubert, M. Ladiré, G. Veau, A. Clara, F. Gavini, A. Willems and M. Fons (2002). "Distribution of genes encoding the trypsindependent lantibiotic ruminococcin A among bacteria isolated from human fecal microbiota." <u>Appl Environ Microbiol</u> 68(7): 3424-3431.
- 137. Martin-Visscher, L. A., M. J. van Belkum, S. Garneau-Tsodikova, R. M. Whittal, J. Zheng, L. M. McMullen and J. C. Vederas (2008). "Isolation and characterization of carnocyclin a, a novel circular bacteriocin produced by *Carnobacterium maltaromaticum* UAL307." <u>Appl Environ Microbiol</u> **74**(15): 4756-4763.
- 138. Matthews, T. C., F. R. Bristow, E. J. Griffiths, A. Petkau, J. Adam, D. Dooley, P. Kruczkiewicz, J. Curatcha, J. Cabral, D. Fornika, G. L. Winsor, M. Courtot, C. Bertelli, A. Roudgar, P. Feijao, P. Mabon, E. Enns, J. Thiessen, A. Keddy, J. Isaac-Renton, J. L. Gardy, P. Tang, T. I. C. João A Carriço, L. Chindelevitch, C. Chauve, M. R. Graham, A. G. McArthur, E. N. Taboada, R. G. Beiko, F. S. Brinkman, W. W. Hsiao and G. V. Domselaar (2018). "The Integrated Rapid Infectious Disease Analysis (IRIDA) Platform." bioRxiv: 381830.
- 139. Meng, F., H. Zhao, T. Nie, F. Lu, C. Zhang, Y. Lu and Z. Lu (2021). "Acetate Activates *Lactobacillus* Bacteriocin Synthesis by Controlling Quorum Sensing." <u>Appl</u> <u>Environ Microbiol</u> 87(13): e0072021.
- 140. Merritt, J., J. Kreth, W. Shi and F. Qi (2005). "LuxS controls bacteriocin production in *Streptococcus mutans* through a novel regulatory component." <u>Mol</u> <u>Microbiol</u> 57(4): 960-969.
- 141. Metheny, N. A., B. J. Stewart, L. Smith, H. Yan, M. Diebold and R. E. Clouse (1997). "pH and concentrations of pepsin and trypsin in feeding tube aspirates as predictors of tube placement." JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr 21(5): 279-285.
- 142. Mierau, I., P. Leij, I. van Swam, B. Blommestein, E. Floris, J. Mond and E. J. Smid (2005). "Industrial-scale production and purification of a heterologous protein in *Lactococcus lactis* using the nisin-controlled gene expression system NICE: the case of lysostaphin." <u>Microb Cell Fact 4</u>: 15.
- 143. Mitra, S., P. K. Chakrabartty and S. R. Biswas (2005). "Production and characterization of nisin-like peptide produced by a strain of *Lactococcus lactis* isolated from fermented milk." <u>Curr Microbiol</u> **51**(3): 183-187.
- Mo, T., X. Ji, W. Yuan, D. Mandalapu, F. Wang, Y. Zhong, F. Li, Q. Chen, W. Ding, Z. Deng, S. Yu and Q. Zhang (2019). "Thuricin Z: A Narrow-Spectrum

Sactibiotic that Targets the Cell Membrane." <u>Angew Chem Int Ed Engl</u> 58(52): 18793-18797.

- Molloy, E. M., P. D. Cotter, C. Hill, D. A. Mitchell and R. P. Ross (2011).
  "Streptolysin S-like virulence factors: the continuing sagA." <u>Nat Rev Microbiol</u> 9(9): 670-681.
- Montalban-Lopez, M., J. Deng, A. J. van Heel and O. P. Kuipers (2018).
  "Specificity and Application of the Lantibiotic Protease NisP." <u>Front Microbiol</u> 9: 160.
- Moralez, J., K. Szenkiel, K. Hamilton, A. Pruden and A. J. Lopatkin (2021).
   "Quantitative analysis of horizontal gene transfer in complex systems." <u>Curr Opin</u> <u>Microbiol</u> 62: 103-109.
- Mulders, J. W., I. J. Boerrigter, H. S. Rollema, R. J. Siezen and W. M. de Vos (1991). "Identification and characterization of the lantibiotic nisin Z, a natural nisin variant." <u>Eur J Biochem</u> 201(3): 581-584.
- 149. Navarro-Muñoz, J. C., N. Selem-Mojica, M. W. Mullowney, S. A. Kautsar, J. H. Tryon, E. I. Parkinson, E. L. C. De Los Santos, M. Yeong, P. Cruz-Morales, S. Abubucker, A. Roeters, W. Lokhorst, A. Fernandez-Guerra, L. T. D. Cappelini, A. W. Goering, R. J. Thomson, W. W. Metcalf, N. L. Kelleher, F. Barona-Gomez and M. H. Medema (2020). "A computational framework to explore large-scale biosynthetic diversity." <u>Nat Chem Biol</u> 16(1): 60-68.
- 150. Nedialkova, L. P., R. Denzler, M. B. Koeppel, M. Diehl, D. Ring, T. Wille, R. G. Gerlach and B. Stecher (2014). "Inflammation fuels colicin Ib-dependent competition of *Salmonella* serovar *Typhimurium* and *E. coli* in enterobacterial blooms." <u>PLoS Pathog</u> 10(1): e1003844.
- 151. Nguyen, T., Z. Zhang, I. H. Huang, C. Wu, J. Merritt, W. Shi and F. Qi (2009). "Genes involved in the repression of mutacin I production in *Streptococcus mutans*." <u>Microbiology</u> 155(Pt 2): 551-556.
- 152. Nilsen, T., I. F. Nes and H. Holo (2003). "Enterolysin A, a cell wall-degrading bacteriocin from *Enterococcus faecalis* LMG 2333." <u>Appl Environ Microbiol</u> 69(5): 2975-2984.
- 153. Nissen-Meyer, J., H. Holo, L. S. Havarstein, K. Sletten and I. F. Nes (1992). "A novel *lactococcal* bacteriocin whose activity depends on the complementary action of two peptides." J Bacteriol **174**(17): 5686-5692.
- 154. O'Connor, P. M., E. F. O'Shea, C. M. Guinane, O. O'Sullivan, P. D. Cotter, R. P. Ross and C. Hill (2015). "Nisin H Is a New Nisin Variant Produced by the Gut-Derived Strain *Streptococcus hyointestinalis* DPC6484." <u>Appl Environ Microbiol</u> 81(12): 3953-3960.
- 155. O'Reilly, C., P. M. O'Connor, Ó. O'Sullivan, M. C. Rea, C. Hill and R. P. Ross (2022). "Impact of nisin on *Clostridioides difficile* and microbiota composition in a faecal fermentation model of the human colon." J Appl Microbiol 132(2): 1397-1408.
- 156. O'Sullivan, J. N., P. M. O'Connor, M. C. Rea, O. O'Sullivan, C. J. Walsh, B. Healy, H. Mathur, D. Field, C. Hill and R. P. Ross (2020). "Nisin J, a Novel Natural Nisin Variant, Is Produced by *Staphylococcus capitis* Sourced from the Human Skin Microbiota." J Bacteriol 202(3).
- 157. Ongey, E. L., R. T. Giessmann, M. Fons, J. Rappsilber, L. Adrian and P. Neubauer (2018). "Heterologous Biosynthesis, Modifications and Structural Characterization of Ruminococcin-A, a Lanthipeptide From the Gut Bacterium *Ruminococcus gnavus* E1, in Escherichia coli." <u>Front Microbiol</u> **9**: 1688.
- 158. P, O. S., J. C. Martin, T. D. Lawley, H. P. Browne, H. M. B. Harris, A. Bernalier-Donadille, S. H. Duncan, P. W. O'Toole, P. S. K and J. F. H (2016). "Polysaccharide utilization loci and nutritional specialization in a dominant group of butyrate-producing human colonic Firmicutes." <u>Microb Genom</u> 2(2): e000043.

- 159. Papiran, R. and J. Hamedi (2021). "Adaptive Evolution of *Lactococcus lactis* to Thermal and Oxidative Stress Increases Biomass and Nisin Production." <u>Appl</u> Biochem Biotechnol **193**(11): 3425-3441.
- Parks, D. H., M. Chuvochina, P. A. Chaumeil, C. Rinke, A. J. Mussig and P. Hugenholtz (2020). "A complete domain-to-species taxonomy for Bacteria and Archaea." <u>Nat Biotechnol</u> 38(9): 1079-1086.
- Parks, D. H., M. Imelfort, C. T. Skennerton, P. Hugenholtz and G. W. Tyson (2015). "CheckM: assessing the quality of microbial genomes recovered from isolates, single cells, and metagenomes." <u>Genome Res</u> 25(7): 1043-1055.
- 162. Parmanand, B. A., L. Kellingray, G. Le Gall, A. W. Basit, S. Fairweather-Tait and A. Narbad (2019). "A decrease in iron availability to human gut microbiome reduces the growth of potentially pathogenic gut bacteria; an in vitro colonic fermentation study." J Nutr Biochem **67**: 20-27.
- Passos, M. and J. P. Moraes-Filho (2017). "Intestinal Microbiota in Digestive Diseases." <u>Arq Gastroenterol</u> 54(3): 255-262.
- Perez, R. H., T. Zendo and K. Sonomoto (2014). "Novel bacteriocins from lactic acid bacteria (LAB): various structures and applications." <u>Microb Cell Fact</u> 13 Suppl 1: S3.
- 165. Piddock, L. J. (2012). "The crisis of no new antibiotics--what is the way forward?" Lancet Infect Dis **12**(3): 249-253.
- 166. Piper, C., L. A. Draper, P. D. Cotter, R. P. Ross and C. Hill (2009). "A comparison of the activities of lacticin 3147 and nisin against drug-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Enterococcus* species." J Antimicrob Chemother **64**(3): 546-551.
- 167. Plotkin, J. B. and G. Kudla (2011). "Synonymous but not the same: the causes and consequences of codon bias." <u>Nat Rev Genet</u> **12**(1): 32-42.
- 168. Pongtharangkul, T. and A. Demirci (2006). "Effects of pH profiles on nisin production in biofilm reactor." <u>Appl Microbiol Biotechnol</u> **71**(6): 804-811.
- 169. Prince, A., P. Sandhu, P. Ror, E. Dash, S. Sharma, M. Arakha, S. Jha, Y. Akhter and M. Saleem (2016). "Lipid-II Independent Antimicrobial Mechanism of Nisin Depends On Its Crowding And Degree Of Oligomerization." <u>Sci Rep</u> 6: 37908.
- 170. Qi, F., P. Chen and P. W. Caufield (1999). "Functional analyses of the promoters in the lantibiotic mutacin II biosynthetic locus in *Streptococcus mutans*." Appl Environ Microbiol **65**(2): 652-658.
- 171. Qi, F., P. Chen and P. W. Caufield (1999). "Purification of mutacin III from group III *Streptococcus mutans* UA787 and genetic analyses of mutacin III biosynthesis genes." <u>Appl Environ Microbiol</u> **65**(9): 3880-3887.
- 172. Qi, F., P. Chen and P. W. Caufield (2000). "Purification and biochemical characterization of mutacin I from the group I strain of *Streptococcus mutans*, CH43, and genetic analysis of mutacin I biosynthesis genes." <u>Appl Environ Microbiol</u> **66**(8): 3221-3229.
- 173. Qi, F., J. Merritt, R. Lux and W. Shi (2004). "Inactivation of the ciaH Gene in Streptococcus mutans diminishes mutacin production and competence development, alters sucrose-dependent biofilm formation, and reduces stress tolerance." <u>Infect Immun</u> **72**(8): 4895-4899.
- 174. Qi, J., Q. Caiyin, H. Wu, K. Tian, B. Wang, Y. Li and J. Qiao (2017). "The novel sRNA s015 improves nisin yield by increasing acid tolerance of *Lactococcus lactis* F44." <u>Appl Microbiol Biotechnol</u> **101**(16): 6483-6493.
- 175. Qian, X. H., R. Y. Xie, X. L. Liu, S. D. Chen and H. D. Tang (2022).
  "Mechanisms of Short-Chain Fatty Acids Derived from Gut Microbiota in Alzheimer's Disease." <u>Aging Dis</u> 13(4): 1252-1266.
- 176. Qiao, M., T. Immonen, O. Koponen and P. E. Saris (1995). "The cellular location and effect on nisin immunity of the NisI protein from *Lactococcus lactis* N8 expressed in *Escherichia coli* and *L. lactis*." FEMS Microbiol Lett **131**(1): 75-80.

- Qiao, W., Y. Qiao, G. Gao, Z. Liao, Z. Wu, P. E. J. Saris, H. Xu and M. Qiao (2022). "A novel co-cultivation strategy to generate low-crystallinity bacterial cellulose and increase nisin yields." <u>Int J Biol Macromol</u> 202: 388-396.
- 178. Ramare, F., J. Nicoli, J. Dabard, T. Corring, M. Ladire, A. M. Gueugneau and P. Raibaud (1993). "Trypsin-dependent production of an antibacterial substance by a human *Peptostreptococcus* strain in gnotobiotic rats and in vitro." <u>Appl Environ</u> <u>Microbiol</u> 59(9): 2876-2883.
- 179. Rana, K., R. Sharma and S. Preet (2019). "Augmented therapeutic efficacy of 5-fluorouracil in conjunction with lantibiotic nisin against skin cancer." <u>Biochem Biophys Res Commun</u> 520(3): 551-559.
- 180. Reisinger, P., H. Seidel, H. Tschesche and W. P. Hammes (1980). "The effect of nisin on murein synthesis." <u>Arch Microbiol</u> **127**(3): 187-193.
- 181. Repka, L. M., J. R. Chekan, S. K. Nair and W. A. van der Donk (2017).
  "Mechanistic Understanding of Lanthipeptide Biosynthetic Enzymes." <u>Chem Rev</u> 117(8): 5457-5520.
- Robertson, R. C., A. R. Manges, B. B. Finlay and A. J. Prendergast (2019).
   "The Human Microbiome and Child Growth First 1000 Days and Beyond." <u>Trends</u> <u>Microbiol</u> 27(2): 131-147.
- 183. Rodriguez, J. M., K. Murphy, C. Stanton, R. P. Ross, O. I. Kober, N. Juge, E. Avershina, K. Rudi, A. Narbad, M. C. Jenmalm, J. R. Marchesi and M. C. Collado (2015). "The composition of the gut microbiota throughout life, with an emphasis on early life." <u>Microb Ecol Health Dis</u> 26: 26050.
- 184. Rogers, L. A. and E. O. Whittier (1928). "Limiting Factors in the Lactic Fermentation." J Bacteriol 16(4): 211-229.
- 185. Romano, S., R. Ansorge, A. Telatin, P. Ray, D. Baker and R. Evans (2022). Use of ONT for RNASeq. Unpublished.
- 186. Sadri, H., M. Aghaei and V. Akbari (2022). "Nisin induces apoptosis in cervical cancer cells via reactive oxygen species generation and mitochondrial membrane potential changes." <u>Biochem Cell Biol</u> **100**(2): 136-141.
- 187. Sampson, T. R. and S. K. Mazmanian (2015). "Control of brain development, function, and behavior by the microbiome." <u>Cell Host Microbe</u> **17**(5): 565-576.
- 188. San Millan, A. and R. C. MacLean (2017). "Fitness Costs of Plasmids: a Limit to Plasmid Transmission." <u>Microbiol Spectr</u> **5**(5).
- 189. Saraiva, M. A. F., D. J. Birri, D. A. Brede, M. C. Baracat-Pereira, M. V. de Queiroz, I. F. Nes and C. A. de Moraes (2020). "Nisin Z Production by Wild Strains of *Lactococcus lactis* Isolated from Brazilian (Italian Type) Fermented Sausage." <u>Int</u> <u>J Microbiol</u> 2020: 9309628.
- 190. Sarksian, R., J. D. Hegemann, M. A. Simon, J. Z. Acedo and W. A. van der Donk (2022). "Unexpected Methyllanthionine Stereochemistry in the Morphogenetic Lanthipeptide SapT." J Am Chem Soc 144(14): 6373-6382.
- Scherer, K. M., J. H. Spille, H. G. Sahl, F. Grein and U. Kubitscheck (2015).
  "The lantibiotic nisin induces lipid II aggregation, causing membrane instability and vesicle budding." <u>Biophys J</u> 108(5): 1114-1124.
- 192. Schmidt, T. S. B., J. Raes and P. Bork (2018). "The Human Gut Microbiome: From Association to Modulation." <u>Cell</u> **172**(6): 1198-1215.
- 193. Schmitz, S., A. Hoffmann, C. Szekat, B. Rudd and G. Bierbaum (2006). "The lantibiotic mersacidin is an autoinducing peptide." <u>Appl Environ Microbiol</u> 72(11): 7270-7277.
- 194. Schneewind, O. and D. Missiakas (2014). "Sec-secretion and sortasemediated anchoring of proteins in Gram-positive bacteria." <u>Biochim Biophys Acta</u> **1843**(8): 1687-1697.
- 195. Seemann, T. (2014). "Prokka: rapid prokaryotic genome annotation." <u>Bioinformatics</u> **30**(14): 2068-2069.

- Severina, E., A. Severin and A. Tomasz (1998). "Antibacterial efficacy of nisin against multidrug-resistant Gram-positive pathogens." J Antimicrob Chemother 41(3): 341-347.
- 197. Shapira, M. (2016). "Gut Microbiotas and Host Evolution: Scaling Up Symbiosis." <u>Trends Ecol Evol</u> **31**(7): 539-549.
- Shin, J. M., I. Ateia, J. R. Paulus, H. Liu, J. C. Fenno, A. H. Rickard and Y. L. Kapila (2015). "Antimicrobial nisin acts against saliva derived multi-species biofilms without cytotoxicity to human oral cells." Front Microbiol 6: 617.
- 199. Shin, J. M., J. W. Gwak, P. Kamarajan, J. C. Fenno, A. H. Rickard and Y. L. Kapila (2016). "Biomedical applications of nisin." <u>J Appl Microbiol</u> **120**(6): 1449-1465.
- Siegers, K. and K. D. Entian (1995). "Genes involved in immunity to the lantibiotic nisin produced by *Lactococcus lactis* 6F3." <u>Appl Environ Microbiol</u> 61(3): 1082-1089.
- 201. Siezen, R. J., H. S. Rollema, O. P. Kuipers and W. M. de Vos (1995). "Homology modelling of the *Lactococcus lactis* leader peptidase NisP and its interaction with the precursor of the lantibiotic nisin." <u>Protein Eng</u> 8(2): 117-125.
- 202. Simon, D. and A. Chopin (1988). "Construction of a vector plasmid family and its use for molecular cloning in *Streptococcus lactis*." <u>Biochimie</u> **70**(4): 559-566.
- 203. Simpson, R. J. (2006). "Fragmentation of protein using trypsin." <u>CSH Protoc</u> 2006(5).
- 204. Singh, V. and A. Rao (2021). "Distribution and diversity of glycocin biosynthesis gene clusters beyond Firmicutes." <u>Glycobiology</u> **31**(2): 89-102.
- 205. Smith, A., Hussey MA (2005). "Gram Stain Protocols "<u>American Society for</u> <u>Microbiology</u> **Protocols**: 9.
- 206. Sonnhammer, E. L., G. von Heijne and A. Krogh (1998). "A hidden Markov model for predicting transmembrane helices in protein sequences." <u>Proc Int Conf Intell Syst Mol Biol</u> 6: 175-182.
- 207. Staudacher, H. M., M. Scholz, M. C. Lomer, F. S. Ralph, P. M. Irving, J. O. Lindsay, F. Fava, K. Tuohy and K. Whelan (2021). "Gut microbiota associations with diet in irritable bowel syndrome and the effect of low FODMAP diet and probiotics." <u>Clin Nutr</u> 40(4): 1861-1870.
- 208. Stein, T., S. Borchert, P. Kiesau, S. Heinzmann, S. Kloss, C. Klein, M. Helfrich and K. D. Entian (2002). "Dual control of subtilin biosynthesis and immunity in *Bacillus subtilis*." <u>Mol Microbiol</u> **44**(2): 403-416.
- 209. Stein, T. and K. D. Entian (2002). "Maturation of the lantibiotic subtilin: matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry to monitor precursors and their proteolytic processing in crude bacterial cultures." <u>Rapid</u> <u>Commun Mass Spectrom</u> **16**(2): 103-110.
- 210. Stein, T., S. Heinzmann, I. Solovieva and K. D. Entian (2003). "Function of *Lactococcus lactis* nisin immunity genes nisI and nisFEG after coordinated expression in the surrogate host *Bacillus subtilis*." J Biol Chem 278(1): 89-94.
- Stincone, P., K. N. Miyamoto, P. P. R. Timbe, I. Lieske and A. Brandelli (2020). "Nisin influence on the expression of *Listeria monocytogenes* surface proteins." J Proteomics 226: 103906.
- 212. Straume, D., M. Kjos, I. F. Nes and D. B. Diep (2007). "Quorum-sensing based bacteriocin production is down-regulated by N-terminally truncated species of gene activators." <u>Mol Genet Genomics</u> 278(3): 283-293.
- 213. Tang, W. H., T. Kitai and S. L. Hazen (2017). "Gut Microbiota in Cardiovascular Health and Disease." <u>Circ Res</u> **120**(7): 1183-1196.
- Teng, K., F. Huang, Y. Liu, Y. Wang, T. Xia, F. Yun and J. Zhong (2022).
   "Food and gut originated bacteriocins involved in gut microbe-host interactions." <u>Crit</u> <u>Rev Microbiol</u>: 1-13.

- 215. Thursby, E. and N. Juge (2017). "Introduction to the human gut microbiota." <u>Biochem J</u> 474(11): 1823-1836.
- 216. Tierney, A. R. and P. N. Rather (2019). "Roles of two-component regulatory systems in antibiotic resistance." <u>Future Microbiol</u> **14**(6): 533-552.
- 217. Todokoro, D., H. Tomita, T. Inoue and Y. Ike (2006). "Genetic analysis of bacteriocin 43 of vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus faecium*." <u>Appl Environ</u> Microbiol **72**(11): 6955-6964.
- Tsang, P., J. Merritt, T. Nguyen, W. Shi and F. Qi (2005). "Identification of genes associated with mutacin I production in *Streptococcus mutans* using random insertional mutagenesis." <u>Microbiology</u> 151(Pt 12): 3947-3955.
- 219. Tunyasuvunakool, K., J. Adler, Z. Wu, T. Green, M. Zielinski, A. Žídek, A. Bridgland, A. Cowie, C. Meyer, A. Laydon, S. Velankar, G. J. Kleywegt, A. Bateman, R. Evans, A. Pritzel, M. Figurnov, O. Ronneberger, R. Bates, S. A. A. Kohl, A. Potapenko, A. J. Ballard, B. Romera-Paredes, S. Nikolov, R. Jain, E. Clancy, D. Reiman, S. Petersen, A. W. Senior, K. Kavukcuoglu, E. Birney, P. Kohli, J. Jumper and D. Hassabis (2021). "Highly accurate protein structure prediction for the human proteome." <u>Nature</u> 596(7873): 590-596.
- 220. Turnbaugh, P. J., R. E. Ley, M. A. Mahowald, V. Magrini, E. R. Mardis and J. I. Gordon (2006). "An obesity-associated gut microbiome with increased capacity for energy harvest." <u>Nature</u> 444(7122): 1027-1031.
- 221. UniProt-Consortium (2021). "UniProt: the universal protein knowledgebase in 2021." <u>Nucleic Acids Res</u> **49**(D1): D480-d489.
- 222. van den Berg van Saparoea, H. B., P. J. Bakkes, G. N. Moll and A. J. Driessen (2008). "Distinct contributions of the nisin biosynthesis enzymes NisB and NisC and transporter NisT to prenisin production by *Lactococcus lactis*." <u>Appl Environ Microbiol</u> **74**(17): 5541-5548.
- 223. van der Meer, J. R., J. Polman, M. M. Beerthuyzen, R. J. Siezen, O. P. Kuipers and W. M. De Vos (1993). "Characterization of the *Lactococcus lactis* nisin A operon genes nisP, encoding a subtilisin-like serine protease involved in precursor processing, and nisR, encoding a regulatory protein involved in nisin biosynthesis." J <u>Bacteriol</u> 175(9): 2578-2588.
- 224. van der Vossen, J. M., D. van der Lelie and G. Venema (1987). "Isolation and characterization of *Streptococcus cremoris* Wg2-specific promoters." <u>Appl Environ Microbiol</u> **53**(10): 2452-2457.
- 225. van Gijtenbeek, L. A., T. H. Eckhardt, L. Herrera-Domínguez, E. Brockmann, K. Jensen, A. Geppel, K. F. Nielsen, J. Vindeloev, A. R. Neves and G. Oregaard (2021). "Gene-Trait Matching and Prevalence of Nisin Tolerance Systems in *Lactococus lactis.*" <u>Front Bioeng Biotechnol</u> **9**: 622835.
- van Heel, A. J., A. de Jong, C. Song, J. H. Viel, J. Kok and O. P. Kuipers (2018). "BAGEL4: a user-friendly web server to thoroughly mine RiPPs and bacteriocins." <u>Nucleic Acids Res</u> 46(W1): W278-w281.
- 227. van Heel, A. J., M. Montalban-Lopez, Q. Oliveau and O. P. Kuipers (2017). "Genome-guided identification of novel head-to-tail cyclized antimicrobial peptides, exemplified by the discovery of pumilarin." <u>Microb Genom</u> **3**(10): e000134.
- 228. Venugopal, H., P. J. Edwards, M. Schwalbe, J. K. Claridge, D. S. Libich, J. Stepper, T. Loo, M. L. Patchett, G. E. Norris and S. M. Pascal (2011). "Structural, dynamic, and chemical characterization of a novel S-glycosylated bacteriocin." <u>Biochemistry</u> 50(14): 2748-2755.
- 229. Vich Vila, A., F. Imhann, V. Collij, S. A. Jankipersadsing, T. Gurry, Z. Mujagic, A. Kurilshikov, M. J. Bonder, X. Jiang, E. F. Tigchelaar, J. Dekens, V. Peters, M. D. Voskuil, M. C. Visschedijk, H. M. van Dullemen, D. Keszthelyi, M. A. Swertz, L. Franke, R. Alberts, E. A. M. Festen, G. Dijkstra, A. A. M. Masclee, M. H. Hofker, R. J. Xavier, E. J. Alm, J. Fu, C. Wijmenga, D. Jonkers, A. Zhernakova and

R. K. Weersma (2018). "Gut microbiota composition and functional changes in inflammatory bowel disease and irritable bowel syndrome." <u>Sci Transl Med</u> **10**(472).

- 230. Wan, X., P. E. Saris and T. M. Takala (2015). "Genetic characterization and expression of leucocin B, a class IId bacteriocin from *Leuconostoc carnosum* 4010." Res Microbiol **166**(6): 494-503.
- 231. Wang, G., Y. Yu, E. Garcia-Gutierrez, X. Jin, Y. He, L. Wang, P. Tian, Z. Liu, J. Zhao, H. Zhang and W. Chen (2019). "*Lactobacillus acidophilus* JCM 1132 Strain and Its Mutant with Different Bacteriocin-Producing Behaviour Have Various in Situ Effects on the Gut Microbiota of Healthy Mice." <u>Microorganisms</u> 8(1).
- Wang, H., T. J. Oman, R. Zhang, C. V. Garcia De Gonzalo, Q. Zhang and W.
   A. van der Donk (2014). "The glycosyltransferase involved in thurandacin biosynthesis catalyzes both O- and S-glycosylation." J Am Chem Soc 136(1): 84-87.
- 233. Wang, Y. J., R. Abdugheni, C. Liu, N. Zhou, X. You and S. J. Liu (2021). "*Blautia intestinalis* sp. nov., isolated from human feces." <u>Int J Syst Evol Microbiol</u> **71**(9).
- 234. Wegmann, U., J. R. Klein, I. Drumm, O. P. Kuipers and B. Henrich (1999). "Introduction of peptidase genes from *Lactobacillus delbrueckii* subsp. *lactis* into *Lactococcus lactis* and controlled expression." <u>Appl Environ Microbiol</u> 65(11): 4729-4733.
- Wells, J. M., P. W. Wilson and R. W. Le Page (1993). "Improved cloning vectors and transformation procedure for *Lactococcus lactis*." J Appl Bacteriol 74(6): 629-636.
- 236. Wiedemann, I., E. Breukink, C. van Kraaij, O. P. Kuipers, G. Bierbaum, B. de Kruijff and H. G. Sahl (2001). "Specific binding of nisin to the peptidoglycan precursor lipid II combines pore formation and inhibition of cell wall biosynthesis for potent antibiotic activity." J Biol Chem 276(3): 1772-1779.
- 237. Wilson, K. (2001). "Preparation of genomic DNA from bacteria." <u>Curr Protoc</u> <u>Mol Biol</u> Chapter 2: Unit 2.4.
- 238. Wirawan, R. E., N. A. Klesse, R. W. Jack and J. R. Tagg (2006). "Molecular and genetic characterization of a novel nisin variant produced by *Streptococcus uberis*." <u>Appl Environ Microbiol</u> 72(2): 1148-1156.
- 239. Xie, L. and W. A. van der Donk (2004). "Post-translational modifications during lantibiotic biosynthesis." <u>Curr Opin Chem Biol</u> **8**(5): 498-507.
- 240. Yang, X. and W. A. van der Donk (2015). "Michael-type cyclizations in lantibiotic biosynthesis are reversible." <u>ACS Chem Biol</u> **10**(5): 1234-1238.
- 241. Yona, A. H., E. J. Alm and J. Gore (2018). "Random sequences rapidly evolve into *de novo* promoters." <u>Nat Commun</u> **9**(1): 1530.
- Younes, M., P. Aggett, F. Aguilar, R. Crebelli, B. Dusemund, M. Filipič, M. J. Frutos, P. Galtier, U. Gundert-Remy and G. G. Kuhnle (2017). "Safety of nisin (E 234) as a food additive in the light of new toxicological data and the proposed extension of use." <u>EFSA Journal</u> 15(12).
- 243. Yu, Y., Q. Zhang and W. A. van der Donk (2013). "Insights into the evolution of lanthipeptide biosynthesis." <u>Protein Sci</u> **22**(11): 1478-1489.
- 244. Zainodini, N., M. R. Hajizadeh and M. R. Mirzaei (2021). "Evaluation of Apoptotic Gene Expression in Hepatoma Cell Line (HepG2) Following Nisin Treatment." <u>Asian Pac J Cancer Prev</u> 22(5): 1413-1419.
- 245. Zdanowski, M. K., A. Bogdanowicz, J. Gawor, R. Gromadka, D. Wolicka and J. Grzesiak (2017). "Enrichment of Cryoconite Hole Anaerobes: Implications for the Subglacial Microbiome." <u>Microb Ecol</u> **73**(3): 532-538.
- Zendo, T., M. Fukao, K. Ueda, T. Higuchi, J. Nakayama and K. Sonomoto (2003). "Identification of the lantibiotic nisin Q, a new natural nisin variant produced by *Lactococcus lactis* 61-14 isolated from a river in Japan." <u>Biosci Biotechnol Biochem</u> 67(7): 1616-1619.

- 247. Zhang, J., X. Han, L. Zhang, H. Yi, S. Chen and P. Gong (2020). "Effects of Fructose and Overexpression of Shock-Related Gene groL on Plantaricin Q7 Production." <u>Probiotics Antimicrob Proteins</u> 12(1): 32-38.
- 248. Zhang, Q., Y. Yu, J. E. Velasquez and W. A. van der Donk (2012). "Evolution of lanthipeptide synthetases." <u>Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A</u> **109**(45): 18361-18366.
- Zhang, X., Y. Zhao, J. Xu, Z. Xue, M. Zhang, X. Pang, X. Zhang and L. Zhao (2015). "Modulation of gut microbiota by berberine and metformin during the treatment of high-fat diet-induced obesity in rats." <u>Sci Rep</u> 5: 14405.
- 250. Zhou, X. X., W. F. Li, G. X. Ma and Y. J. Pan (2006). "The nisin-controlled gene expression system: construction, application and improvements." <u>Biotechnol</u> Adv **24**(3): 285-295.
- 251. Zong, C., M. J. Wu, J. Z. Qin and A. J. Link (2017). "Lasso Peptide Benenodin-1 Is a Thermally Actuated [1]Rotaxane Switch." J Am Chem Soc 139(30): 10403-10409.