


RESEARCH

Open Access



# Cross-sectional and longitudinal associations of active travel, organised sport and physical education with accelerometer-assessed moderate-to-vigorous physical activity in young people: the International Children's Accelerometry Database

Erika Ikeda<sup>1\*</sup> , Justin M. Guagliano<sup>1,2</sup>, Andrew J. Atkin<sup>3,4</sup>, Lauren B. Sherar<sup>5</sup>, Ulf Ekelund<sup>6</sup>, Børge Hansen<sup>6,7</sup>, Kate Northstone<sup>8</sup>, Esther van Sluijs<sup>1</sup> and On behalf of the International Children's Accelerometry Database (ICAD) Collaborators

## Abstract

**Background:** Physical activity (PA) declines during childhood. Important sources of PA are active travel, organised sport and physical education (PE), but it is unclear how these domain-specific PA sources contribute to (changes in) daily moderate-to-vigorous PA (MVPA) in young people. This study aimed to examine (1) the cross-sectional association between domain-specific physical activity (i.e., active travel, organised sport and PE) and daily minutes in accelerometer-assessed MVPA; and (2) the longitudinal association between domain-specific physical activity at baseline and change in daily minutes in MVPA.

**Methods:** Participants (baseline age  $11.3 \pm 1.2$  years) were drawn from three studies in the International Children's Accelerometry Database. The contribution of self-reported standardised active travel, organised sport and PE to accelerometer-measured daily minutes in MVPA was examined using linear regression. In cross-sectional analyses, MVPA was regressed on each PA domain in separate models, adjusted for study, age, sex, maternal education, season, and monitor wear time. In longitudinal analyses, change in MVPA was regressed on each of the baseline PA domains, additionally adjusting for changes in season and wear time, follow-up duration, and baseline MVPA. R-squared was used to compare variance explained by each PA domain.

**Results:** In the cross-sectional analyses ( $n = 3871$ ), organised sport (standardised  $\beta = 3.81$ , 95% confidence interval [95%CI] = 3.06, 4.56) and active travel ( $\beta = 3.46$ , 95%CI = 2.73, 4.19) contributed more to daily MVPA than PE ( $\beta = 0.82$ , 95%CI = -0.02, 1.66). Compared to the base model which included only covariates ( $R^2 = 21.5\%$ ), organised sport (absolute change: + 1.9%) and active travel (+ 1.7%) models explained more of the variance than the PE model ( $\pm < 0.1\%$ ). Associations followed a similar pattern in the longitudinal analyses ( $n = 2302$ ), but none of the PA domains predicted

\*Correspondence: erika.ikeda@mrc-epid.cam.ac.uk

<sup>1</sup> MRC Epidemiology Unit, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK  
Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2022. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

change in MVPA (organised sport: standardised  $\beta = 0.85$ , 95%CI = -0.03, 1.72; active travel:  $\beta = 0.68$ , 95%CI = -0.14, 1.50; PE:  $\beta = 0.02$ , 95%CI = -0.87, 0.91).

**Conclusions:** A multi-sectoral approach covering a wide range of PA domains should be promoted to minimise the age-related decline in MVPA during childhood.

**Keywords:** Active transport, Adolescent, Children, ICAD, MVPA, Organized sport, PE

## Background

The recent physical activity guidelines published by the World Health Organization (WHO) recommend that children and adolescents aged 5–17 years should do at least an average of 60 min of daily moderate-to-vigorous-intensity physical activity (MVPA) across the week [1, 2]. This recommendation is supported by evidence demonstrating the association between physical activity and health benefits such as physical fitness, cardiometabolic health, cognitive outcomes, mental health and body composition [3]. In general, physical activity accumulated at higher intensities (e.g., MVPA) is more strongly associated with cardiometabolic health than sedentary behaviour and light physical activity [4, 5]. Despite this evidence, the global prevalence of self-reported physical inactivity (i.e., not meeting the physical activity recommendation) among adolescents (aged 11–17 years) from 146 countries was estimated at 81% in 2016 [6]. Likewise, results from the Global Matrix 3.0 of Report Cards, which graded the best available national population-level physical activity data between 2013 and 2018 in children and adolescents (aged 5–17 years) from 49 countries, showed that more than two thirds were physically inactive [7]. These global figures demonstrate the need for prompt political and practical actions to increase physical activity among children and adolescents globally.

Among school-aged children and adolescents, physical activity occurs predominantly in the contexts of active travel (e.g., walking and cycling to school), organised sport (e.g., swimming or playing football at a club), leisure activities (e.g., play), and education (e.g., physical education (PE) at school) [8, 9]. Existing cross-sectional studies have separately explored associations between a single domain of physical activity (e.g., active travel, organised sport or PE) measured using surveys and MVPA measured using accelerometers in children and adolescents (e.g., [10–12]). They found that participation in active travel and the frequency and duration of organised sports and PE were positively associated with time spent in MVPA. However, little is known about the relative contributions of different domains of activity to MVPA cross-sectionally as well as to change in MVPA longitudinally. Understanding which type or domain of physical activity should be promoted to most efficiently

increase MVPA is informative for intervention design, policy development and infrastructure investment [8].

The current study aimed to examine how active travel, organised sport and PE contribute to MVPA in school-aged children and adolescents. Specifically, we examined (1) the cross-sectional association between domain-specific physical activity (i.e., active travel, organised sport and PE) and accelerometer-assessed daily minutes in MVPA; and (2) the longitudinal association between domain-specific physical activity at baseline and change in daily minutes in MVPA. Secondary analyses explored associations for moderate (MPA) and vigorous (VPA) physical activity separately. We considered active travel, organised sport and PE as the key domains where policy actions might have the most influence [13, 14].

## Methods

The reporting of this study follows the STrengthening the Reporting of OBServational studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) guidelines [15] (Additional file 1).

### Study selection and participants

The International Children's Accelerometry Database (ICAD) project is a consortium including 23 international studies ([www.mrc-epid.cam.ac.uk/research/studies/icad/](http://www.mrc-epid.cam.ac.uk/research/studies/icad/)). The ICAD project pooled, processed and harmonised raw accelerometer (Actigraph) and health-related (behavioural, sociodemographic, anthropometric and metabolic) data collected on children and adolescents [16, 17]. This analysis uses data from ICAD 2.0. Three longitudinal studies included data on all three physical activity domains of interest: active travel (i.e., mode of travel to school), organised sport (i.e., weekly frequency of organised sports), and PE (i.e., weekly duration of PE). Thus, participants from the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (ALSPAC; England) [18, 19], the Children Living in Active Neighbourhoods (CLAN; Australia) [20] and the Sport, Physical activity and Eating behaviour: Environmental Determinants in Young people (SPEEDY; England) [21] were included in the analyses. All studies conducted three waves of data collection. CLAN Wave 1 data were not included as some participants were 5–6 years of age and we assumed that the nature of activities and developmental skills (e.g., limited fundamental motor skills including running, throwing and kicking)

among this population might differ from middle to late childhood and adolescence [22], which was the age-range covered by other studies included in the analysis.

## Measures

### Physical activity

The validity of the Actigraph for measuring physical activity in children under free-living conditions has been established against the doubly labelled water method as the criterion measure [23]. Due to a mix of the older and newer generations of Actigraph accelerometers used across the studies as well as between their waves, shorter epochs were reintegrated to 60s [17]. A period of non-wear was defined as at least 60 min of consecutive zeros, allowing for 2 minutes of non-zero interruptions. All data were analysed using KineSoft version 3.3.20 (KineSoft, Loughborough, UK). For the current analyses, a valid day was defined as at least 8 hours of wear time between 06:00 and 23:59. Participants were included if they provided  $\geq 4$  valid days (including at least 1 weekend day). Established cut points were used to classify sedentary time (0–99 counts per minute [cpm]) and physical activity intensity (light: 100–2295 cpm, moderate: 2296–4011 cpm, vigorous:  $\geq 4012$  cpm, and moderate-to-vigorous:  $\geq 2296$  cpm) [24]. Primary outcomes were (1) the average minutes of MVPA per valid day at baseline for cross-sectional analyses, and (2) change in the average minutes of MVPA per valid day from baseline to follow-up. Average daily minutes of MPA and VPA were secondary outcomes. A binary variable of meeting physical activity guidelines was also created for descriptive purposes based on the primary outcome of the average minutes of daily MVPA at baseline: *Meeting guidelines* ( $\geq 60$  min of average daily MVPA) and *Not meeting guidelines* ( $< 60$  min of average daily MVPA).

### Active travel

Travel mode to school was child- or parent-reported. The current analyses used a harmonised mode of travel to school variable in which responses were categorised into *Active* mode (i.e., walk or cycle) or *Other* mode (i.e., public transport, car or other). A description of the original questionnaire items used to collect this data and the harmonisation process are included in Additional file 2.

### Organised sport

Participation in organised sports was child- or parent-reported. For the purposes of harmonisation, organised sports were defined as being (1) formed by rules, facilities, equipment, normative beliefs and policies, and (2) formally arranged by a club, association, school (except for PE) or other types of organisation [25]. Other leisure-time physical activities such as play, bike riding

and aerobics were not considered as organised sport, and therefore not included. The current analyses used the weekly frequency of organised sports (range: 0–59.5 times/week). The distribution of this variable varied by study as different numbers of organised sports were included in each study (i.e., ALSPAC: 2 activities; CLAN: 20; SPEEDY: 14). Thus, study-specific cut-offs were applied to classify this variable into five groups: *Never*, *Occasionally*, *Sometimes*, *Often* and *Usually*. Additional file 3 presents details of original questionnaire items and the harmonisation and categorisation process.

### Physical education

Teacher-, child- or parent-reported data was used to indicate the weekly duration of PE. The responses were categorised into five groups: 0–59, 60–89, 90–119, 120–149, and  $\geq 150$  min/week. These cut-offs were chosen based on the distribution of the harmonised data as well as national and state governments' recommendations of time spent on PE per week in England and Australia (Victoria) (e.g., [26–28]). Additional file 4 provides further information on harmonisation and classification of PE duration.

### Covariates

Participant age, sex and maternal education reported at baseline were treated as continuous, binary (i.e., male, female) and nominal (i.e., high school, college, university) variables, respectively. Maternal education was used as a proxy for socio-economic position, and its harmonisation notes are available on the ICAD website ([www.mrc-epid.cam.ac.uk/research/studies/icad/data-harmonisation/](http://www.mrc-epid.cam.ac.uk/research/studies/icad/data-harmonisation/)). Harmonised categories for maternal education were 'compulsory education', 'some post-compulsory or vocational training', and 'undergraduate or postgraduate education', which were labelled as *High school*, *College* and *University*, respectively.

Season was established using the month when accelerometers were deployed. Each season was determined by the Earth's exposure to the sun (daylight) rather than meteorological seasons (weather). For the ALSPAC and SPEEDY studies (northern hemisphere), winter is in January–March, spring in April–June, summer in July–September, and autumn in October–December. For the CLAN study, the opposite seasons were applied as the study was conducted in the southern hemisphere. The four seasons (i.e., *Winter*, *Spring*, *Summer* and *Autumn*) during the baseline year were used for cross-sectional analyses. For longitudinal analyses, a new variable was generated for the difference in seasons between baseline and follow-ups. Responses were categorised into *Same*, *Shorter/colder* (i.e., spring/summer at baseline and winter/autumn at follow-up) and *Longer/warmer*

(i.e., winter/autumn at baseline and spring/summer at follow-up).

Accelerometer wear time was assessed using the average minutes of valid wear time per valid day at baseline for cross-sectional analyses and change in the average minutes of valid wear time per valid day from baseline to follow-up for longitudinal analyses. In longitudinal analyses, follow-up duration was calculated in years based on elapsed time between the first day of accelerometer wear at baseline and follow-up assessments.

### Data analyses

All statistical analyses were conducted using Stata version 16.1 (StataCorp, USA). Frequencies, percentages and summary statistics including central tendency (means, median) and dispersion (standard deviation [SD], quartiles) were calculated for categorical and continuous variables. The distributions of physical activity outcome variables were examined before inferential statistics. No imputation was performed to replace missing values as the missing time per day in accelerometer data, for example, varies across days and between participants [29]. Differences in baseline data (i.e., age, sex, maternal education, MVPA) of participants included and excluded from cross-sectional and longitudinal analyses were tested using either independent t-tests or chi-squared tests.

In both cross-sectional and longitudinal analyses, linear regression was used to obtain measures of association (i.e., regression coefficients) and R-squared (i.e., the proportion of variance in the outcome variable that is explained by the exposure variable and covariates in the model). Separate models were computed for each exposure variable (active travel, organised sport and PE), adjusting for the same covariates (age, sex, maternal education, season and monitor wear time) and study (ALSPAC, CLAN and SPEEDY). For longitudinal analyses, data from two follow-ups were modelled as repeated observations on individuals, and non-independence of these observations was accounted for using robust standard errors. In addition to the covariates listed above, longitudinal models were adjusted for follow-up duration and the outcome variable at baseline to account for the initial level of physical activity which was negatively correlated with the magnitude of change in physical activity at follow-up (e.g., MVPA:  $r = -0.51$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ; MPA and VPA:  $r = -0.50$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ). The exposure variables were standardised to enable direct comparison of associations between models. Standardised coefficients represent change in the outcome variable associated with a one SD change in the exposure variable. The exposure variables were not included in the same model because there may be collinearity

(despite a variance inflation factor (VIF)  $\leq 10$ ), and this model (and its R-squared) would not provide their relative strength of associations with the outcome variables. Significance (alpha) and confidence levels were set at 5 and 95%, respectively.

## Results

### Study and participant characteristics

Characteristics of the three studies included in the analyses are summarised in Additional file 5. Across the three included studies, 7607 (of 8551; 89.0%), 4678 (of 5757; 81.3%), and 2330 (of 2891; 80.6%) participants provided valid accelerometer data at baseline, 1st follow-up and 2nd follow-up, respectively, after excluding unreliable data [17] and data with invalid wear time. Of these, 3871 (of 7607; 50.9%), 2078 (of 4678; 44.4%) and 1024 (of 2330; 43.9%) provided valid data on exposure variables and covariates at baseline, 1st follow-up and 2nd follow-up, respectively, and constituted the samples for cross-sectional ( $N = 3871$ ) and longitudinal ( $N = 2302$ ) analyses. Participants included in the cross-sectional and longitudinal analyses were younger, more likely to have a mother with a high school and university degree, and accumulated less MVPA than those excluded from the analyses (Additional file 6). Regarding domain-specific physical activities (Table 1), approximately 42% of participants actively travelled to school, 90% engaged in organised sports at least occasionally, and over half took part in PE for at least 120 min per week. The average duration of daily MVPA at baseline was (mean  $\pm$  SD)  $54.5 \pm 26.0$  min in the cross-sectional sample and  $54.7 \pm 25.6$  min in the longitudinal sample, and 35.9 and 36.4% of the samples met current physical activity guidelines, respectively. In the longitudinal sample, monitor wear time increased by  $9.9 \pm 69.7$  min per day, but time spent in MVPA decreased by  $6.2 \pm 25.5$  min per day over  $2.5 \pm 1.0$  years of follow-up across all included studies.

### Cross-sectional associations

Participants who actively travelled to school had significantly higher MVPA than those using other travel modes (Table 2; see Additional file 7 for full results including the covariates). Compared to participants who never took part in organised sports, those who ever engaged in organised sports had incrementally greater amounts of MVPA as the frequency increased. The weekly duration of PE between 60 and 89 and  $\geq 150$  min was positively associated with MVPA, but their coefficients did not enlarge as the duration increased. In secondary analyses, similar patterns of the associations with active travel, organised sport and PE were observed in MPA and VPA.

**Table 1** Descriptive characteristics of participants included in the cross-sectional ( $N = 3871$ ) and longitudinal analyses ( $N = 2302$ )

	Cross-sectional analysis Frequency (%) or Mean $\pm$ SD	Longitudinal analysis Frequency (%) or Mean $\pm$ SD
<b>At baseline</b>		
Age (year)	11.3 $\pm$ 1.2	11.4 $\pm$ 1.2
Sex		
<i>Male</i>	1801 (46.5)	1048 (45.5)
<i>Female</i>	2070 (53.5)	1254 (54.5)
Maternal education		
<i>High school</i>	1243 (32.1)	709 (30.8)
<i>College</i>	1739 (44.9)	1005 (43.7)
<i>University</i>	889 (23.0)	588 (25.5)
Active travel		
<i>Active mode</i>	1654 (42.7)	970 (42.1)
<i>Other mode</i>	2217 (57.3)	1332 (57.9)
Organised sport		
<i>Never</i>	391 (10.1)	240 (10.4)
<i>Occasionally</i>	1060 (27.4)	651 (28.3)
<i>Sometimes</i>	794 (20.5)	480 (20.9)
<i>Often</i>	908 (23.5)	545 (23.7)
<i>Usually</i>	718 (18.6)	386 (16.8)
Physical education		
<i>0–59 min</i>	207 (5.4)	144 (6.3)
<i>60–89 min</i>	546 (14.1)	381 (16.6)
<i>90–119 min</i>	949 (24.5)	574 (24.9)
<i>120–149 min</i>	1737 (44.9)	952 (41.4)
<i><math>\geq 150</math> min</i>	432 (11.2)	251 (10.9)
Season		
<i>Winter</i>	698 (18.0)	473 (20.6)
<i>Spring</i>	1971 (50.9)	1119 (48.6)
<i>Summer</i>	717 (18.5)	397 (17.3)
<i>Autumn</i>	485 (12.5)	313 (13.6)
MVPA (min/day)	54.5 $\pm$ 26.0	54.7 $\pm$ 25.6
MPA (min/day)	38.7 $\pm$ 16.2	38.6 $\pm$ 15.9
VPA (min/day)	15.8 $\pm$ 12.6	16.0 $\pm$ 12.4
PA guidelines		
<i>Meeting guidelines</i>	1391 (35.9)	837 (36.4)
<i>Not meeting guidelines</i>	2480 (64.1)	1465 (63.6)
Monitor wear time (min/day)	765.4 $\pm$ 60.6	773.4 $\pm$ 57.4
<b>From baseline to follow-ups<sup>a</sup></b>		
Follow-up duration (year)	–	2.5 $\pm$ 1.0
Difference in seasons		
<i>Same</i>	–	2052 (66.2)
<i>Shorter/colder</i>	–	573 (18.5)
<i>Longer/warmer</i>	–	477 (15.4)
Annual change in MVPA (min/day)	–	–2.5 $\pm$ 12.6
Annual change in MPA (min/day)	–	–2.2 $\pm$ 8.3
Annual change in VPA (min/day)	–	–0.2 $\pm$ 6.8
Annual change in monitor wear time (min/day)	–	5.1 $\pm$ 37.9
Annual change in number of valid days	–	–0.1 $\pm$ 0.6

MPA moderate physical activity, MVPA moderate-to-vigorous physical activity, N number, PA physical activity, SD standard deviation, VPA vigorous physical activity

<sup>a</sup> Data from follow-ups 1 and 2 (3102 observation) were included. Annual change was calculated as: (Change in MVPA/MPA/VPA/wear time) / (Follow-up duration)

**Table 2** Cross-sectional association between domain-specific physical activity and daily accelerometer-assessed MVPA, MPA and VPA (N = 3871)

	MVPA			P-value <sup>†</sup>	MPA			P-value <sup>†</sup>	VPA			
	Coefficient	95% CI			Coefficient	95% CI			Coefficient	95% CI		P-value <sup>†</sup>
		Lower	Upper			Lower	Upper			Lower	Upper	
<b>Active travel</b> (ref. Other mode)												
Active mode	6.97	5.50	8.45	<0.001	4.62	3.70	5.54	<0.001	2.36	1.61	3.10	<0.001
<b>Organised sport</b> (ref. Never)												
Occasionally	3.08	0.42	5.75	<b>0.023</b>	2.40	0.73	4.07	<b>0.005</b>	0.69	-0.67	2.04	0.321
Sometimes	4.90	2.10	7.70	<b>0.001</b>	3.30	1.55	5.06	<0.001	1.59	0.17	3.02	<b>0.028</b>
Often	9.23	6.51	11.96	<0.001	6.00	4.29	7.71	<0.001	3.23	1.85	4.61	<0.001
Usually	11.40	8.54	14.26	<0.001	7.31	5.52	9.10	<0.001	4.09	2.64	5.54	<0.001
<b>Physical education</b> (ref. 0–59 min)												
60–89 min	4.81	0.81	8.81	<b>0.019</b>	2.43	-0.07	4.93	0.057	2.37	0.35	4.39	<b>0.021</b>
90–119 min	5.16	1.22	9.11	<b>0.010</b>	2.85	0.38	5.32	<b>0.024</b>	2.32	0.33	4.31	<b>0.023</b>
120–149 min	4.70	0.74	8.66	<b>0.020</b>	1.90	-0.58	4.38	0.133	2.80	0.80	4.80	<b>0.006</b>
≥ 150 min	6.57	2.26	10.87	<b>0.003</b>	3.54	0.85	6.24	<b>0.010</b>	3.02	0.85	5.20	<b>0.006</b>

The models were adjusted for age, sex, maternal education, season, monitor wear time, and study

CI confidence interval, MPA moderate physical activity, MVPA moderate-to-vigorous physical activity, VPA vigorous physical activity

<sup>†</sup> Bold: Significance level at 5%

**Longitudinal associations**

In contrast to the cross-sectional associations, baseline active travel, organised sport and PE were not associated with change in MVPA, MPA or VPA (Table 3; see Additional file 8 for full results including the covariates).

However, there were trends that the direction of associations were generally positive for active travel and organised sport, despite small coefficients and wide confidence intervals. In particular, those who *often* participated in organised sports at baseline increased MVPA

**Table 3** Longitudinal association between domain-specific physical activity and daily accelerometer-assessed MVPA, MPA and VPA (N = 2302)

	Change in MVPA			P-value <sup>†</sup>	Change in MPA			P-value <sup>†</sup>	Change in VPA			
	Coefficient	95% CI			Coefficient	95% CI			Coefficient	95% CI		P-value <sup>†</sup>
		Lower	Upper			Lower	Upper			Lower	Upper	
<b>Active travel</b> (ref. Other mode)												
Active mode	1.37	-0.28	3.02	0.105	0.84	-0.21	1.88	0.117	0.70	-0.23	1.62	0.140
<b>Organised sport</b> (ref. Never)												
Occasionally	0.25	-2.68	3.19	0.866	-0.21	-2.13	1.72	0.832	0.51	-1.12	2.14	0.541
Sometimes	0.28	-2.66	3.23	0.850	0.54	-1.42	2.49	0.589	-0.16	-1.81	1.48	0.845
Often	3.01	-0.12	6.14	0.060	2.02	0.02	4.03	<b>0.048</b>	1.17	-0.56	2.91	0.185
Usually	1.41	-1.93	4.74	0.408	1.86	-0.29	4.02	0.091	-0.20	-2.02	1.62	0.831
<b>Physical education</b> (ref. 0–59 min)												
60–89 min	0.50	-3.84	4.83	0.823	0.35	-2.29	3.00	0.793	0.24	-2.13	2.62	0.841
90–119 min	-0.05	-4.41	4.31	0.983	0.44	-2.26	3.14	0.750	-0.36	-2.69	1.97	0.763
120–149 min	0.69	-3.64	5.03	0.754	0.79	-1.89	3.46	0.564	0.03	-2.30	2.37	0.977
≥ 150 min	-0.08	-4.73	4.56	0.972	0.27	-2.65	3.19	0.857	-0.25	-2.74	2.24	0.842

The models were adjusted for age, sex, maternal education, change in season, change in monitor wear time, follow-up duration, baseline value of the outcome (MVPA/MPA/VPA) and study

CI confidence interval, MPA moderate physical activity, MVPA moderate-to-vigorous physical activity, VPA vigorous physical activity

<sup>†</sup> Bold: Significance level at 5%

by 3 minutes per day compared to those who never joined organised sports. However, an overall reduction in MVPA annually was observed in both groups (*often*:  $-2.3 \pm 13.0$  min/day [ $N = 731$ ], *never*:  $-1.7 \pm 10.5$  min/day [ $N = 320$ ]; data not shown in Table 1). These contradicting results (i.e., the *often* group had increased MVPA compared to the *never* group, but the *often* had a larger reduction in MVPA annually than the *never*) indicate that the reduction in MVPA might be associated with the covariates included in the model.

### Comparisons between models

To examine which domain of physical activity contributed more to MVPA, standardised exposure variables were computed in both cross-sectional and longitudinal analyses (Table 4; see Additional files 9–10 for full results including the covariates). Cross-sectionally, compared to base models (which included only covariates and explained 21.5% of variance in MVPA), organised sport and active travel additionally explained 1.9 and 1.7% of variance (absolute change), respectively. There were no differences in variance explained between the PE model and the base model. In terms of standardised associations, organised sport generally had the strongest magnitude of associations with MVPA, followed by active travel and PE. PE had a trivial and non-significant association with MVPA (beta coefficient = 0.82; 95% CI:  $-0.02, 1.66$ ;  $p = 0.056$ ), but significant association with VPA (beta coefficient = 0.47, 95% CI: 0.05, 0.90;  $p = 0.029$ ) (Additional file 9).

In longitudinal analyses, differences in R-squared values between models were very small for MVPA, MPA and VPA. The magnitude of associations with MVPA and MPA followed a similar pattern to the cross-sectional

analyses (organised sport > active travel > PE), but active travel had the strongest magnitude with VPA. All these standardised associations, however, were non-significant except the one between organised sport and MPA (beta coefficient = 0.90, 95% CI: 0.35, 1.45;  $p = 0.001$ ) (Additional file 10).

## Discussion

### Summary of main findings

Analyses of this large, heterogeneous dataset showed that cross-sectionally, organised sport, active travel and PE were all positively associated with daily minutes spent in MVPA. Associations were generally stronger for organised sport and active travel than PE, as reflected in both standardised effect sizes and proportion of explained variance. Patterns were similar for MPA and VPA. In longitudinal analyses, none of the examined behaviours predicted change in MVPA, MPA and VPA over the average 2-year follow-up.

### Existing literature on cross-sectional and longitudinal associations

Our findings are consistent with previous research which also reported that active travel [10, 30] and organised sport [31] were cross-sectionally associated with accelerometer-assessed MVPA. Findings from existing research are mixed with regards to the association between PE and MVPA [32]. The current study demonstrated that weekly duration of PE was weakly associated with MVPA. However, a German study showed that children and adolescents aged 6–17 years who participated in PE and organised sports were more likely to meet the physical activity guidelines than those who did not [11]. PE in the UK and Australia is supposed to be a compulsory subject

**Table 4** Comparison of R-squared and standardised coefficients between models with different exposure variables

Model	MVPA		MPA		VPA	
	R-squared (%)	Beta coefficient <sup>†</sup>	R-squared (%)	Beta coefficient <sup>†</sup>	R-squared (%)	Beta coefficient <sup>†</sup>
<b>Cross-sectional analyses (N = 3871)</b>						
Base*	21.5	–	21.4	–	15.2	–
Active travel	23.2	<b>3.46</b>	23.4	<b>2.29</b>	16.0	<b>1.17</b>
Organised sport	23.4	<b>3.81</b>	23.4	<b>2.35</b>	16.4	<b>1.46</b>
Physical education	21.5	0.82	21.5	0.34	15.3	<b>0.47</b>
<b>Longitudinal analyses (N = 2302)</b>						
Base*	32.3	–	33.6	–	29.1	–
Active travel	32.4	0.68	33.6	0.41	29.2	0.35
Organised sport	32.4	0.85	33.8	<b>0.90</b>	29.1	0.03
Physical education	32.3	0.02	33.6	0.10	29.1	–0.06

MPA moderate physical activity, MVPA moderate-to-vigorous physical activity, VPA vigorous physical activity

\*Base models include only covariates

<sup>†</sup> Bold: Significant at  $p < 0.05$

with a minimum of 2 hours per week up to the end of secondary education (age 16) [26, 33]. However, the current study showed that 47.8% of participants reported less than 2 hours of PE per week (Table 1). This disagreement may be because PE is more likely to be marginalised than the other core subjects (e.g., mathematics and languages) for the sake of academic achievement in the education system, particularly in secondary education [33]. Another possible explanation of the weak associations with MVPA may be the majority of PE time could be spent in sedentary (e.g., listening to instructions) [32] or in light activity (e.g., practising skills) rather than accumulating MVPA which may not be the main purpose of PE. Nevertheless, it is important to recognise that PE plays a key role supporting most children and adolescents obtaining fundamental movement skills and confidence to maintain an active life later on [34]. Therefore, the inconsistent findings may depend on the accuracy of reporting (e.g., scheduled or actual PE duration reported by teacher, child or parent) and the amount of MVPA allocated in a PE class.

We found that none of the physical activity domains predicted change in MVPA. Previous research has also shown a lack of longitudinal associations between active travel, organised sport and PE and change in accelerometer-assessed MVPA. A study with Norwegian children reported no associations between active travel or organised sport and change in MVPA from age nine to 15 years [35]. No previous studies have examined the association between multiple behaviours at baseline and change in device-assessed MVPA. Brooke et al. [36] showed that neither baseline weekly physical activity variety (i.e., the number of different activities) or frequency (i.e., number of activity sessions) nor changes in variety and frequency were associated with change in MVPA. These findings consistently show that domain-specific activity participation at baseline does not predict change in MVPA, and even change in activity participation might not be associated with change in MVPA. Given that data on physical activity domains were self-reported, the accuracy of reporting particularly with high level of granularity (e.g., number, frequency, duration) might be warranted. Further development in the accuracy of self-reported (the number, frequency, duration of) physical activity domains will be required.

Can we therefore predict future MVPA using domain-specific physical activity (e.g., active travel, organised sport and PE) during childhood? Given the average decline and change in the modes of activity during childhood and adolescence [37] as well as changes in other factors (e.g., individual, social, environmental) associated with these behavioural changes, long-term prediction is highly challenging compared to establishing

cross-sectional associations. For example, changes in travel behaviour often occur during the transition between schools (e.g., from primary to secondary school), which tends to be related to distance to school, safety and independent mobility [38–40]. Werneck et al. [41] studied the association between change in active travel to school and change in MPA and VPA using the same ICAD dataset (six longitudinal studies including ALSPAC, CLAN and SPEEDY). They found that, compared to adolescents (aged 10–13 years) maintaining active travel, those who maintained or changed to passive travel showed large decreases in MPA and VPA. In contrast, those who took up active travel showed smaller decreases in VPA compared to those maintaining active travel. Some sports, such as football and dance, are still popular during adolescence, whereas participation in gymnastics, for example, is high during childhood but tends to wane during adolescence [36, 42]. As most participants included in the current longitudinal analyses experienced school transitions (i.e., from primary or secondary to secondary or senior secondary) and developmental transitions (i.e., from childhood to adolescence), the absence of longitudinal associations might be partially because we modelled baseline physical activity domain data as the exposures. Unfortunately, change in domain-specific physical activity participation was not available for the studies included in this analysis. Thus, future research examining longitudinal associations between changes in domain-specific physical activity and changes in device-assessed MVPA may be worthwhile.

The current study showed that organised sport, active travel and PE independently accounted for less than 2% of the variance in MVPA, in addition to the base model. This finding indicates that active travel, organised sport and PE may not be major contributing factors to MVPA, but the potential impact of methodological and analytical issues should be also considered. For example, active travel was only captured in the context of 'to school', and activities included in organised sport were diverse in terms of their type and number because they were retrospectively determined and harmonised on the basis of our definition. MVPA was measured on weekdays and over the weekend, but active travel to school and PE occurred mainly on weekdays. Furthermore, it is uncertain whether interactions between the examined behaviours (e.g., those who actively travelled to school were more likely to participate in organised sport) might impact MVPA. Despite these caveats, the lack of associations suggest that a wide range of domain-specific physical activity should be promoted to accumulate sufficient MVPA and to minimise the age-related decline in MVPA during childhood and adolescence. Creating supportive environments (including schools and social and built



environment) and providing enough opportunities and grassroots support may enable young people, and particularly adolescents, to maintain and/or improve MVPA in the longer term [13, 43].

### Strengths and limitations

The current study applied novel harmonisation and analytical approaches to examine how different domain-specific physical activities contributed to MVPA cross-sectionally and longitudinally. We focused on policy-relevant physical activity domains with the potential for investments and interventions, and used accelerometer-assessed MVPA as well as MPA and VPA separately. Covariates were carefully selected based on the literature. Furthermore, we included a relatively heterogeneous and large sample size. Despite these strengths, this study has limitations. Three domains of physical activity might not capture the full breadth of activity behaviours. In relation to school, for example, other classes (than PE), recess and before- and after-school programmes can provide opportunities to be physically active [32, 44]. Household chores and active play (e.g., games and play in the playground, street or backyard) can also contribute to daily MVPA [45]. No data were available in ICAD to include these behaviours in the current analysis, but they may be worth of study in future research. In the process of harmonisation, we made effort to minimise self-reported bias (e.g., prioritising teacher over child reports for PE). Although self-reports have been the most feasible approach to collect domain-specific physical activity, potentially erroneous data were unavoidable due to the nature of self-reports. To overcome this issue, we used categorical rather than continuous variables to adjust subjective assessment of frequency and duration of activities. The data were collected between 2003 and 2011. While there may have been secular changes in the prevalence of behaviours, we consider it unlikely that these will have impacted on the associations studied here. While the ICAD project includes data from more countries, only three studies (one from Australia and two from England) collected the necessary data to be included in the current analyses.

### Implications and future recommendations

Interventions and policy actions should support a multi-sectoral approach where sports, transport, urban design, and civic and private organisations synergise with each other [46]. Our findings suggest that participation in organised sport and active travel may have potential positive implications for MVPA in children and adolescent, but that participation at a single time point is not predictive for future activity levels. Accordingly, it is important to provide all children and adolescents with safe,

equitable and varied opportunities to be active. Collecting consistent and repeated measures of domain-specific physical activity is also critical to further our understanding of how physical activity accumulated in different domains impacts on overall activity levels. In the ICAD project, only two (i.e., CLAN and SPEEDY) of 23 studies simultaneously measured active travel, organised sport (frequency) and PE (duration) at multiple time points. To advance the current knowledge, longitudinal studies which measure domain-specific physical activity (including its type, frequency and duration) and device-based physical activity simultaneously and on repeated occasions are required.

### Conclusions

Among children and adolescents, their participation in organised sport and active travel contributed more to MVPA than PE cross-sectionally. Longitudinally, however, none of the physical activity domains predicted change in MVPA. A multi-sectoral approach covering a wide range of physical activity domains should be promoted to minimise the age-related decline in MVPA during childhood.

### Abbreviations

ALSPAC: Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children; CLAN: Children Living in Active Neighbourhoods; ICAD: International Children's Accelerometry Database; MPA: Moderate physical activity; MVPA: Moderate-to-vigorous physical activity; PE: Physical education; SPEEDY: Sport, Physical activity and Eating behaviour: Environmental Determinants in Young people; VPA: Vigorous physical activity.

### Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12966-022-01282-4>.

- Additional file 1.
- Additional file 2.
- Additional file 3.
- Additional file 4.
- Additional file 5.
- Additional file 6.
- Additional file 7.
- Additional file 8.
- Additional file 9.
- Additional file 10.

### Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the ICAD collaborator Prof Jo Salmon, all participants and funders of the original studies that contributed data to ICAD. We gratefully acknowledge the past contributions of Prof Chris Riddoch, Prof Ken Judge, Prof Ashley Cooper and Dr. Pippa Griew to the development of ICAD. The ICAD was made possible thanks to the sharing of data from the following contributors (study name): Prof LB Andersen, Faculty of Teacher Education and Sport, Western Norway University of Applied Sciences, Sogndal, Norway

(Copenhagen School Child Intervention Study (CoSCIS)); Prof S Anderssen, Norwegian School for Sport Science, Oslo, Norway (European Youth Heart Study (EYHS), Norway); Prof G Cardon, Department of Movement and Sports Sciences, Ghent University, Belgium (Belgium Pre-School Study); Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS), Hyattsville, MD USA (National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES)); Dr. R Davey, Centre for Research and Action in Public Health, University of Canberra, Australia (Children's Health and Activity Monitoring for Schools (CHAMPS)); Dr. P Hallal, Postgraduate Program in Epidemiology, Federal University of Pelotas, Brazil (1993 Pelotas Birth Cohort); Prof R Jago, Centre for Exercise, Nutrition and Health Sciences, University of Bristol, UK (Personal and Environmental Associations with Children's Health (PEACH)); Prof KF Janz, Department of Health and Human Physiology, Department of Epidemiology, University of Iowa, Iowa City, US (Iowa Bone Development Study); Prof S Kriemler, Epidemiology, Biostatistics and Prevention Institute, University of Zürich, Switzerland (Kinder-Sportstudie (KISS)); Dr. N Møller, University of Southern Denmark, Odense, Denmark (European Youth Heart Study (EYHS), Denmark); Dr. K Northstone, Population Health Sciences, Bristol School of Medicine, University of Bristol, UK (Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (ALSPAC)); Prof R Pate, Department of Exercise Science, University of South Carolina, Columbia, US (Physical Activity in Pre-school Children (CHAMPS-US) and Project Trial of Activity for Adolescent Girls (Project TAAG)); Dr. JJ Puder, Service of Endocrinology, Diabetes and Metabolism, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Vaudois, University of Lausanne, Switzerland (Ballabeina Study); Prof J Reilly, Physical Activity for Health Group, School of Psychological Sciences and Health, University of Strathclyde, Glasgow, UK (Movement and Activity Glasgow Intervention in Children (MAGIC)); Prof J Salmon, Institute for Physical Activity and Nutrition (IPAN), School of Exercise and Nutrition Sciences, Deakin University, Geelong, Australia (Children Living in Active Neighbourhoods (CLAN) & Healthy Eating and Play Study (HEAPS)); Prof LB Sardinha, Exercise and Health Laboratory, Faculty of Human Movement, Universidade de Lisboa, Lisbon, Portugal (European Youth Heart Study (EYHS), Portugal); Dr. EMF van Sluijs, MRC Epidemiology Unit & Centre for Diet and Activity Research, University of Cambridge, UK (Sport, Physical activity and Eating behaviour: Environmental Determinants in Young people (SPEEDY)). We are extremely grateful to all the families who took part in the ALSPAC study, the midwives for their help in recruiting them, and the whole ALSPAC team, which includes interviewers, computer and laboratory technicians, clerical workers, research scientists, volunteers, managers, receptionists and nurses.

#### Authors' contributions

EI and EvS designed the research question and analysis plan, to which AA, LS, UE, BH, and JG provided critical input. EvS, KN, and JS led original data acquisition. EvS, UE, BH, LS, and AA led on ICAD data collaboration and harmonisation. EI harmonised, analysed and interpreted the data, to which EvS, JG, AA, LS, UE, and BH made substantial contributions. EI wrote the initial draft. All authors read and revised the manuscript, and approved the final version.

#### Funding

The ICAD data pooling project and SPEEDY study for the collection of data were funded through grants from the National Prevention Research Initiative (Grant Numbers: G0501294; G0701877) (<http://www.mrc.ac.uk/research/initiatives/national-prevention-research-initiative-npri/>). The funding partners relevant to this award and role of the funding (i.e., data pooling and collection) are: British Heart Foundation; Cancer Research UK; Department of Health; Diabetes UK; Economic and Social Research Council; Medical Research Council; Research and Development Office for the Northern Ireland Health and Social Services; Chief Scientist Office; Scottish Executive Health Department; The Stroke Association; Welsh Assembly Government and World Cancer Research Fund. The UK Medical Research Council and Wellcome (Grant reference: 217065/Z/19/Z) and the University of Bristol provide core support for the ALSPAC study in the collection of data. The CLAN study was supported by grants from the Financial Markets Foundation for Children and the National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC, ID: 274309 and 374241) for the collection of data. This work was additionally supported by the Medical Research Council (Grant numbers: MC\_UU\_12015/3; MC\_UU\_12015/6; MC\_UU\_12015/7), the Research Council of Norway (249932/F20), Bristol University, Loughborough University and Norwegian School of Sport Sciences for the harmonisation of data in the ICAD project.

EvS and EI are supported by the Medical Research Council (Grant number: MC\_UU\_00006/5) in the design of the study, analysis and interpretation of data, and in writing the manuscript.

The funding sources had no role in the design of this study, neither during its execution, analyses, interpretation of the data, or decision to submit results.

#### Availability of data and materials

The original data request and pre-specified analysis plan are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request. De-identified participant data can be also made available upon reasonable request, following standard ICAD data request procedures (see for information: <http://www.mrc-epid.cam.ac.uk/research/studies/icad/>).

#### Declarations

##### Ethics approval and consent to participate

All studies in the ICAD were approved by local ethics committees, and informed consent was obtained from all participants and their legal authorised representatives. Ethical approval for the studies were obtained from the ALSPAC Ethics and Law Committee and the Local Research Ethics Committees (<http://www.bristol.ac.uk/alspac/researchers/research-ethics/>) for ALSPAC; the Deakin University Ethics Committee and the Department of Education, Victoria for CLAN [47], and the University of East Anglia Research Ethics Committee for SPEEDY [48].

##### Consent for publication

Not applicable.

##### Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

##### Author details

<sup>1</sup>MRC Epidemiology Unit, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK. <sup>2</sup>School of Health Sciences, Western Sydney University, Sydney, Australia. <sup>3</sup>School of Health Sciences, University of East Anglia, Norwich, UK. <sup>4</sup>Norwich Epidemiology Centre, University of East Anglia, Norwich, UK. <sup>5</sup>School of Sport, Exercise, and Health Sciences, Loughborough University, Loughborough, UK. <sup>6</sup>Department of Sports Medicine, Norwegian School of Sport Sciences, Oslo, Norway. <sup>7</sup>Department of Sport Science and Physical Education, University of Agder, Kristiansand, Norway. <sup>8</sup>Population Health Sciences, Bristol School of Medicine, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK.

Received: 19 November 2021 Accepted: 22 March 2022

Published online: 02 April 2022

#### References

1. World Health Organization. WHO guidelines on physical activity and sedentary behaviour; 2020. p. 1–104.
2. Bull FC, Al-Ansari SS, Biddle S, Borodulin K, Buman MP, Cardon G, et al. World Health Organization 2020 guidelines on physical activity and sedentary behaviour. *Br J Sports Med*. 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2020-102955>.
3. Chaput J-P, Willumsen J, Bull F, Chou R, Ekelund U, Firth J, et al. 2020 WHO guidelines on physical activity and sedentary behaviour for children and adolescents aged 5–17 years: summary of the evidence. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act*. 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12966-020-01037-z>.
4. Tarp J, Child A, White T, Westgate K, Bugge A, Grøntved A, et al. Physical activity intensity, bout-duration, and cardiometabolic risk markers in children and adolescents. *Int J Obes*. 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41366-018-0152-8>.
5. Hansen BH, Anderssen SA, Andersen LB, Hildebrand M, Kolle E, Steene-Johannessen J, et al. Cross-sectional associations of reallocating time between sedentary and active Behaviours on Cardiometabolic risk factors in young people: an international Children's Accelerometry database (ICAD) analysis. *Sports Med*. 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-018-0909-1>.
6. Guthold R, Stevens GA, Riley LM, Bull FC. Global trends in insufficient physical activity among adolescents: a pooled analysis of 298

- population-based surveys with 1.6 million participants. *Lancet Child Adolesc Health*. 2020. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2352-4642\(19\)30323-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2352-4642(19)30323-2).
7. Aubert S, Barnes JD, Abdeta C, Nader PA, Adeniyi AF, Aguilar-Farías N, et al. Global matrix 3.0 physical activity report card grades for children and youth: results and analysis from 49 countries. *J Phys Act Health*. 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1123/jpah.2018-0472>.
  8. Pratt M, Macera CA, Sallis JF, O'Donnell M, Frank LD. Economic interventions to promote physical activity: application of the SLOTH model. *Am J Prev Med*. 2004. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2004.06.015>.
  9. Reimers AK, Brzoska P, Niessner C, Schmidt SCE, Worth A, Woll A. Are there disparities in different domains of physical activity between school-aged migrant and non-migrant children and adolescents? Insights from Germany. *PLoS One*. 2019. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0214022>.
  10. Stewart T, Duncan S, Schipperijn J. Adolescents who engage in active school transport are also more active in other contexts: a space-time investigation. *Health Place*. 2017. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.healthplace.2016.11.009>.
  11. Sprengeler O, Buck C, Hebestreit A, Wirsik N, Ahrens W. Sports contribute to total moderate to vigorous physical activity in school children. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 2019. <https://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.0000000000001948>.
  12. Nilsson A, Bo Andersen L, Ommundsen Y, Froberg K, Sardinha LB, Piehl-Aulin K, et al. Correlates of objectively assessed physical activity and sedentary time in children: a cross-sectional study (the European youth heart study). *BMC Public Health*. 2009. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-9-322>.
  13. van Sluijs EMF, Ekelund U, Crochemore-Silva I, Guthold R, Ha A, Lubans D, et al. Physical activity behaviours in adolescence: current evidence and opportunities for intervention. *Lancet*. 2021. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(21\)01259-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(21)01259-9).
  14. International Society for Physical Activity and Health (ISPAH). ISPAH's eight investments that work for physical activity. 2020. <https://www.ispah.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/11/English-Eight-Investments-That-Work-FINAL.pdf>.
  15. von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, Pocock SJ, Gøtzsche PC, Vandenbroucke JP. The Strengthening of reporting of observational studies in epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. *Lancet*. 2007. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(07\)61602-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(07)61602-X).
  16. Atkin AJ, Biddle SJH, Broyles ST, Chinapaw M, Ekelund U, Esliger DW, et al. Harmonising data on the correlates of physical activity and sedentary behaviour in young people: methods and lessons learnt from the international Children's Accelerometry database (ICAD). *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act*. 2017. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12966-017-0631-7>.
  17. Sherar LB, Griew P, Esliger DW, Cooper AR, Ekelund U, Judge K, et al. International children's accelerometry database (ICAD): design and methods. *BMC Public Health*. 2011. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-11-485>.
  18. Boyd A, Golding J, Macleod J, Lawlor DA, Fraser A, Henderson J, et al. Cohort profile: the 'children of the 90s'—the index offspring of the Avon longitudinal study of parents and children. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2013. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dys064>.
  19. Fraser A, Macdonald-Wallis C, Tilling K, Boyd A, Golding J, Davey Smith G, et al. Cohort profile: the Avon longitudinal study of parents and children: ALSPAC mothers cohort. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2012. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dys066>.
  20. Telford A, Salmon J, Timperio A, Crawford D. Quantifying and characterizing physical activity among 5- to 6- and 10- to 12-year-old children: the Children's leisure activities study (CLASS). *Pediatr Exerc Sci*. 2005. <https://doi.org/10.1123/pes.17.3.266>.
  21. van Sluijs EMF, Skidmore PML, Mwanza K, Jones AP, Callaghan AM, Ekelund U, et al. Physical activity and dietary behaviour in a population-based sample of British 10-year old children: the SPEEDY study (sport, physical activity and eating behaviour: environmental determinants in young people). *BMC Public Health*. 2008. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-8-388>.
  22. Purcell L. Canadian Paediatric society, Paediatric sports and exercise medicine section. Sport readiness in children and youth. *Paediatr Child Health*. 2005. <https://doi.org/10.1093/pch/10.6.343>.
  23. Ekelund U, Sjöström M, Yngve A, Poortvliet E, Nilsson A, Froberg K, et al. Physical activity assessed by activity monitor and doubly labeled water in children. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 2001. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00005768-200102000-00017>.
  24. Evenson KR, Catellier DJ, Gill K, Ondrak KS, McMurray RG. Calibration of two objective measures of physical activity for children. *J Sports Sci*. 2008. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02640410802334196>.
  25. Howie EK, Guagliano JM, Milton K, Vella SA, Gomersall SR, Kolbe-Alexander TL, et al. Ten research priorities related to youth sport, physical activity, and health. *J Phys Act Health*. 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1123/jpah.2020-0151>.
  26. Foster D, Roberts N. Physical education, physical activity and sport in schools. In: UK Parliament; 2019. p. 1–28.
  27. Department for Education. Healthy schools rating scheme: guidance for schools. 2019. [https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment\\_data/file/906875/Healthy\\_schools\\_rating\\_scheme.pdf](https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/906875/Healthy_schools_rating_scheme.pdf).
  28. Physical and sport education - delivery requirements. <https://www2.education.vic.gov.au/pal/physical-and-sport-education-delivery-requirements/policy>. Accessed 27 May 2021.
  29. Ae Lee J, Gill J. Missing value imputation for physical activity data measured by accelerometer. *Stat Methods Med Res*. 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0962280216663248>.
  30. van Sluijs EMF, Fearn VA, Mattocks C, Riddoch C, Griffin SJ, Ness A. The contribution of active travel to children's physical activity levels: cross-sectional results from the ALSPAC study. *Prev Med*. 2009. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ypmed.2009.03.002>.
  31. Koorts H, Timperio A, Arundell L, Parker K, Abbott G, Salmon J. Is sport enough? Contribution of sport to overall moderate- to vigorous-intensity physical activity among adolescents. *J Sci Med Sport*. 2019. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsams.2019.06.009>.
  32. Nettlefold L, McKay HA, Warburton DER, McGuire KA, Bredin SSD, Naylor PJ. The challenge of low physical activity during the school day: at recess, lunch and in physical education. *Br J Sports Med*. 2011. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsm.2009.068072>.
  33. Hardman K, Murphy C, Routen A, Tones S. World-wide survey of school physical education: Final report; 2014.
  34. Trudeau F, Laurencelle L, Shephard RJ. Tracking of physical activity from childhood to adulthood. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 2004. <https://doi.org/10.1249/01.mss.0000145525.29140.3b>.
  35. Dalene KE, Anderssen SA, Andersen LB, Steene-Johannessen J, Ekelund U, Hansen BH, et al. Cross-sectional and prospective associations between sleep, screen time, active school travel, sports/exercise participation and physical activity in children and adolescents. *BMC Public Health*. 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-018-5610-7>.
  36. Brooke HL, Corder K, Griffin SJ, van Sluijs EMF. Physical activity maintenance in the transition to adolescence: a longitudinal study of the roles of sport and lifestyle activities in British youth. *PLoS One*. 2014. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0089028>.
  37. Kemp BJ, Cliff DP, Chong KH, Parrish A-M. Longitudinal changes in domains of physical activity during childhood and adolescence: a systematic review. *J Sci Med Sport*. 2019. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsams.2018.12.012>.
  38. Carver A, Timperio AF, Hesketh KD, Ridgers ND, Salmon JL, Crawford DA. How is active transport associated with children's and adolescents' physical activity over time? *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act*. 2011. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1479-5868-8-126>.
  39. Carver A, Panter JR, Jones AP, van Sluijs EMF. Independent mobility on the journey to school: a joint cross-sectional and prospective exploration of social and physical environmental influences. *J Transp Health*. 2014;1:25–32.
  40. Ikeda E, Hinckson E, Witten K, Smith M. Assessment of direct and indirect associations between children active school travel and environmental, household and child factors using structural equation modelling. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act*. 2019. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12966-019-0794-5>.
  41. Werneck AO, Jago R, Kriemler S, Andersen LB, Wedderkopp N, Northstone K, et al. On behalf of the international Children's Accelerometry database (ICAD) collaborators. Association of change in the school travel mode with changes in different physical activity intensities and sedentary time: a international Children's Accelerometry database study. *Prev Med*. 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ypmed.2021.106862>.
  42. Murphy MH, Rowe DA, Woods CB. Impact of physical activity domains on subsequent physical activity in youth: a 5-year longitudinal study. *J Sports Sci*. 2017. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2016.1161219>.

43. Public Health England. What works in schools and colleges to increase physical activity? A resource for head teachers, college principals, staff working in education settings, school nurses, directors of public health, Active Partnerships and wider partners. 2020. [https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment\\_data/file/876242/Guidance\\_to\\_increase\\_physical\\_activity\\_among\\_children\\_and\\_young\\_people\\_in\\_schools\\_and\\_colleges.pdf](https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/876242/Guidance_to_increase_physical_activity_among_children_and_young_people_in_schools_and_colleges.pdf).
44. Daly-Smith A, Hobbs M, Morris JL, Defeyter MA, Resaland GK, McKenna J. Moderate-to-vigorous physical activity in primary school children: inactive lessons are dominated by maths and English. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2021. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18030990>.
45. Janssen I. Active play: an important physical activity strategy in the fight against childhood obesity. *Can J Public Health*. 2014. <https://doi.org/10.17269/cjph.105.4154>.
46. World Health Organization. Global action plan on physical activity 2018–2030: more active people for a healthier world. 2018. <http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/272722/9789241514187-eng.pdf?ua=1>.
47. Telford A, Salmon J, Jolley D, Crawford D. Reliability and validity of physical activity questionnaires for children: the Children's leisure activities study survey (CLASS). *Pediatr Exerc Sci*. 2004. <https://doi.org/10.1123/pes.16.1.64>.
48. Corder K, van Sluijs EMF, Ekelund U, Jones AP, Griffin SJ. Changes in children's physical activity over 12 months: longitudinal results from the SPEEDY study. *Pediatrics*. 2010. <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2010-0048>.

### Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more [biomedcentral.com/submissions](https://biomedcentral.com/submissions)

