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Abstract: Non-uniform mechanical strain can be easily induced at the interface of a piezoelectric semiconductive (PS) PN junction with variable cross sections by using piezoactive acoustic waves, and thus produces a giant piezoelectric field to significantly enhance the piezotronic effect. For revealing the piezotronic performance modulation in the non-uniform PS PN junction, the electromechanical field under a pair of applied end mechanical forces is studied from perspectives of theoretical analysis and numerical simulations. A one-dimensional linearized model for the PS fiber is established, which is applied for the mechanical analysis of a selected profile with the cross section varying in a specific quadratic function. Numerical results indicate that the acoustoelectric fields in the space charge region of the non-uniform PS PN junction are more sensitive to the applied mechanical forces, compared with that of the uniform junction, especially for a heterogeneous PN junction. Furthermore, the current-voltage relations of a necking PS PN junction can be modulated more easily by the end mechanical forces. Both qualitative conclusions and quantitative results can offer guidance for the piezotronic device design.
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1. Introduction

Owing to the unique coupling of piezoelectricity and semiconductor properties, piezoelectric semiconductor (PS) materials are attracting tremendous attention in recent years [1-5]. Accompanying with that, more and more PS devices based on piezoactive acoustic waves are successfully developed and applied, such as nanogenerators [6, 7], piezotronic field-effect transistors [8, 9], piezotronic and chemical sensors [10, 11], piezotronic logic nanodevices [12], piezotronic acoustic tweezers [13] and so forth. As known, semiconductor heterostructures are able to generate broadband or monochromatic coherent acoustic phonons with ultra-high frequency [4, 14]. This is because that the space charge density of the semiconductor screens the piezoelectric field and instantaneously modifies the lattice equilibrium.

As one of the most important components in semiconductor devices, PN junction, made of a n-type semiconductor with electrons as majority carriers and a p-type semiconductor with holes, is usually encountered during device design and application. Physically, near the interface of the two type semiconductors, holes (electrons) are driven from the p-zone (n-zone) to the n-zone (p-zone), forming a space charge region usually called depletion layer, whose width and height are two key parameters for the current-voltage relation of a PN junction [15]. Different from the conventional PN junction, the characteristics of PS PN junctions can be manipulated by applied mechanical loading, which is the novel advantage of PS materials and thus becomes a hotspot issue in piezotronics [16, 17]. For example, the research work from Liu et al [18] concerns the current-voltage characteristics of a PS PN junction after considering the piezo-charges distribute at the interface within a small width. Luo et al [19] used the linearized method to deal with the
nonlinearity in the electrons and holes current equations and investigated the electromechanical field distributions of the junction. Additionally, the exact theoretical solution in a piezoelectric PN junction subjected to a pair of tensile/compressive stresses is proposed by Fan et al [20] with the aid of multi-field coupling theory, and the modulation mechanism related to external forces are investigated in detail.

However, it should be stressed that the works conducted above are based on uniform PS fibers with flat profiles. To the author’s knowledge, theoretical researches on non-uniform PS fibers with variable cross sections are relatively limited because of the mathematical challenge induced by the partial differential governing equations with variable coefficients [3, 21, 22], especially for a non-uniform PS PN junction. On the one hand, defects during mechanical processing can inevitably lead to a contoured profile with surface roughness. On the other hand, a non-uniform PS fiber with a variable cross section is sometimes designed, with the aim of capturing some higher performance indices. For instance, results displayed by Araneo et al [3] demonstrate that the PS fiber with a non-uniform strain gives substantially higher piezopotential and conversion efficiency and may increase the on-off ratios. Therefore, it is necessary to analyze the mechanical displacements of non-uniform PS materials that may be induced by piezoactive acoustic waves, which is the origin of this contribution.

Inspired by previous literatures [3, 23], a non-uniform strain can be indeed produced in a non-uniform PS fiber with a contoured profile under the applied axial end mechanical forces due to piezoelectric effect. Therefore, the electromechanical fields of in a PS PN junction with variable cross sections are investigated in this paper, aiming at capturing higher sensitivity to the external mechanical force. Based on the fully coupled theory of PS materials [24-27], the linearized one dimensional model (1D) for the acoustic waves in non-uniform PS fibers is
established in Section 2. Following that, the theoretical analysis of a specific PS PN junction with the area variation varying in a particular quadratic function is performed in Section 3. Here, the partial differential equation with variable coefficients has been transformed into the commonly used Helmholtz equation. After numerical validation, the working performances for homogeneous and heterogeneous junctions are compared in Section 4, and the merit of non-uniform PS fibers is revealed. Finally, some conclusions are drawn in Section 5. To some extent, the governing equation in the functionally graded (FG) PS materials has the same expression with the governing equation induced by a contoured profile [28]. Therefore, the methodologies in this paper, as well as the results illustrated here, are also suitable for an FG PS fiber.

2. Basic Equations for Acoustic Waves of a 1D PS Fiber with Variable Cross Sections

As usual, a phenomenological, coupled-field theory for acoustic wave propagation consisting of the equations of linear piezoelectricity and charge conservation for electrons and holes can be adopted to describe dynamic behaviors of PS materials [29, 30], i.e.,

\[
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{ij} &= \rho \frac{\partial^2 u_i}{\partial t^2}, \\
D_{ij} &= q(p - n + N_{D}^+ - N_{A}^-), \\
J_{pi}^p &= -q \frac{\partial p}{\partial t}, \\
J_{pi}^n &= q \frac{\partial n}{\partial t},
\end{align*}
\]

(1)

where \( \sigma_{ij}, u_i \) and \( D_i \) are the components of stress tensor, mechanical displacement vector, and electric displacement vector, respectively. The elementary charge \( q = 1.6 \times 10^{-19} \) C, and \( \rho \) denotes mass density. \( p \) and \( n \) respectively stand for the concentrations of holes and electrons, with \( J_p i \) and \( J_n i \) being their current densities. Correspondingly, \( N^+ D \) and \( N^- A \) are the impurity
concentration of donors and accepters. In this paper, a comma followed by a suffix denotes a material derivative operation, and the repeated subscript index implies a summation operation with respect to itself. In addition, it is assumed that the net recombination rate is zero in the continuity equations of carriers. The constitutive relations are as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{ij} &= e_{ijkl}S_{kl} - e_{jik}E_k, \\
D_i &= \varepsilon_{ij}E_j + e_{ijk}S_k, \\
J^e_i &= q\mu^p_{ij}E_j - qD^p_{ij}p_j, \\
J^n_i &= q\mu^n_{ij}E_j + qD^n_{ij}n_j.
\end{align*}
\]  

(2)

Here \(S_{kl}\) and \(E_j\) are the components of strain tensor and electric field vector. \(e_{ijkl}, e_{klj}, \varepsilon_{ij}, \mu_{ij}(\mu_{ij})\) and \(D_{p ij}(D_{n ij})\) represent the elastic stiffness constant, piezoelectric constants, dielectric constants, carrier mobility, and carrier diffusion constants, respectively. The generalized strain-displacement relation and the electric field-potential relation are:

\[
\begin{align*}
S_{ij} &= \frac{1}{2}(u_{i,j} + u_{j,i}), \\
E_i &= -\varphi_j.
\end{align*}
\]  

(3)

The nonlinear terms in the last two equations of Eq. (2) makes it difficult to obtain an analytical solution. Employing the linearized method described in Refs. [31] and [32], the electron and hole concentrations in the piezoelectric semiconductors can be written as:

\[
\begin{align*}
p &= p_0 + \Delta p, & n &= n_0 + \Delta n, \\
p_0 &= N'_s, & n_0 &= N'_n.
\end{align*}
\]  

(4)

where \(\Delta n\) and \(\Delta p\) are perturbations of the carrier concentrations, and \(n_0\) and \(p_0\) denote the carrier concentrations in the reference state before stress applied. This linearized method is acceptable when \(|\Delta n| < 0.2n_0\) or \(|\Delta p| < 0.2p_0\) [33], which will be followed in this paper. Considering the case of uniform doping with small \(\Delta p\) and \(\Delta n\), some expressions in Eqs. (1) and (2) become:
\[D_{ij} = q(\Delta p - \Delta n),\]
\[q \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\Delta p) = -J_{ij}^p,\]
\[q \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\Delta n) = J_{ij}^n.\]  
\[J_{ij}^p = q \mu_g \mu_{ij}^p E_j - q D_{ij}^p (\Delta p)_{,j},\]
\[J_{ij}^n = q n_0 \mu_{ij}^n E_j + q D_{ij}^n (\Delta n)_{,j}.\]  

For a one-dimensional PS PN junction with a variable circular cross section shown in Fig. 1, the extensional deformation is considered in this paper, and then all the physical fields are independent of time. The whole length is \(2L\) with equal n-type and p-type zones. For convinence, the \(x_3\) coordinate locates along the central line of the fiber with its origin at the interface between n-type and p-type zones. \(d_0\) and \(d_1\) are the diameters of the interface and the end surface, respectively. The PS material is polarized along the axial direction and a pair of extensional forces \(F\) is applied at the two ends. For the equilibrium and the steady states considered, the governing equations become [34]:

\[(A\sigma_{33})_{,3} = [A(\xi_{33} u_{3,3} + \xi_{33} \varphi_{3,3})]_{,3} = 0,\]
\[(A\sigma_{33})_{,3} = [A(\bar{\xi}_{33} u_{3,3} - \bar{\xi}_{33} \varphi_{3,3})]_{,3} = -q A (\Delta n - \Delta p),\]
\[(A\sigma_{33})_{,3} = [A(-q n_0 \mu_{3,3} \varphi_{3,3} + q D_{33}^n \Delta n_{,3})]_{,3} = 0,\]
\[(A\sigma_{33})_{,3} = [A(-q n_0 \mu_{3,3} \varphi_{3,3} - q D_{33}^p \Delta p_{,3})]_{,3} = 0.\]  

Here \(A\) is the area of the cross section, which is dependent of \(x_3\). \(\bar{\xi}_{33}, \bar{\xi}_{33}\) and \(\bar{\xi}_{33}\) are the effective one-dimensional elastic, piezoelectric, and dielectric constants introduced by the one-dimensional stress relaxation condition [35], i.e.,

\[\bar{\xi}_{33} = 1 / s_{33}^E,\]
\[\bar{\xi}_{33} = d_{33} / s_{33}^E,\]
\[\bar{\xi}_{33} = e_{33}^T - d_{33}^2 / s_{33}^E.\]
Fig. 1. Sketch of non-uniform PS PN junctions

The isolated boundary condition at two ends \(x_3 = \pm L\) require zero currents, which leads to \(J_n^3 = J_p^3 = 0\) in Eq. (7), and

\[
\Delta n_3 = n_0 \frac{\mu^p_{33}}{D^p_{33}} \varphi_3, \\
\Delta p_3 = -p_0 \frac{\mu^n_{33}}{D^n_{33}} \varphi_3.
\] (9)

Subtracting these two equations from each other, we get:

\[
(\Delta n - \Delta p)_3 = \left( n_0 \frac{\mu^p_{33}}{D^p_{33}} + p_0 \frac{\mu^n_{33}}{D^n_{33}} \right) \varphi_3.
\] (10)

The carried mobility \(\mu p 33(\mu n 33)\) and the carrier diffusion constants \(D p 33(D n 33)\) satisfy the Einstein relation:

\[
\frac{\mu^p_{33}}{D^p_{33}} = \frac{\mu^n_{33}}{D^n_{33}} = \frac{q}{k_B T}.
\] (11)

where \(k_B\) is the Boltzmann constant and \(T\) represents the absolute temperature. The first equation in Eq. (7) indicates that the axial force \(A\sigma_{33}\) is a constant. Meanwhile, the mechanical boundary conditions at \(x_3 = \pm L\) require \(A\sigma_{33} = F\), and then we can achieve

\[
u_{3,3} = \frac{F}{\varepsilon_{33} A} - \frac{\varepsilon_{33}}{\varepsilon_{33}} \varphi_3 = \frac{F}{\varepsilon_{33} A} - \frac{\varepsilon_{33}}{\varepsilon_{33}} \frac{1}{n_0 \frac{\mu^p_{33}}{D^p_{33}} + p_0 \frac{\mu^p_{33}}{D^p_{33}}} (\Delta n - \Delta p)_3,
\] (12)

Substituting Eq. (10) and Eq. (12) into the second equation of Eq. (7) yields
\((\Delta n - \Delta p)_{33} + \frac{A_3}{A}(\Delta n - \Delta p)_{33} - \kappa^2 (\Delta n - \Delta p) = 0.\)  

(13)

with

\[
\kappa^2 = \frac{q(n_0 \frac{\mu_{31}^n}{D_{33}^n} + p_0 \frac{\mu_{33}^p}{D_{33}^p})}{\varepsilon_{33}\left(\frac{\varepsilon_{33}^2}{\varepsilon_{33}^0} + 1\right)}.
\]

(14)

3. Analysis of Electromechanical Fields in a PS PN Junction with a Variational Area

The area variation function of the PN junction shown in Fig. 1 is chosen as

\[A = A_0 (1 + \alpha \frac{x_3}{L})^2\]

(15)

as an example, where \(A_0\) is the cross section area at the interface \(x_3 = 0\), and the coefficient \(\alpha\) determines the area variation pattern. Admittedly, Eq. (15) can’t represent a non-uniform PS PN junction with any arbitrary profile, but the investigation methodology proposed and results obtained in this paper are meaningful for the design of high-performance PS devices. With the aid of Eq. (15), Eq. (13) can be transformed into a Helmholtz equation [36, 37], i.e.,

\[[(\Delta n - \Delta p)\psi]_{33} - \kappa^2 (\Delta n - \Delta p)\psi = 0,\]

(16)

with

\[\psi = 1 + \alpha \frac{x_3}{L}\]

(17)

In the following part of this paper, superscripts ‘l’ and ‘r’ respectively denote the quantities in the left and the right regions of the PN junction, i.e., \(x_3 \leq 0\) and \(x_3 \geq 0\). Taking the region \(x_3 \geq 0\) for instance, the solution of Eq. (16) can be written as

\[(\Delta n - \Delta p)\psi' = \kappa' C_1 \sinh(\kappa' x_3) + \kappa' C_2 \cosh(\kappa' x_3).\]

(18)
Then
\[ \Delta n - \Delta p = \frac{\kappa' C_1 \sinh(\kappa' x_3) + \kappa' C_2 \cosh(\kappa' x_3)}{1 + \alpha' \frac{x_3}{L}}. \]  

(19)

From Eq. (10), the electrical potential function can be achieved as
\[ \phi = \frac{\kappa' C_1 \sinh(\kappa' x_3) + \kappa' C_2 \cosh(\kappa' x_3)}{(n_0' \mu_{33}^{nr} + p_0' \mu_{33}^{pr}) (1 + \alpha' \frac{x_3}{L})} + C_3. \]  

(20)

After that, the variation of electron concentrations can be derived further by utilizing the first equation of Eq. (9):
\[ \Delta n = n_0' \frac{\mu_{33}^{mr}}{D_{33}^{nr}} \kappa' C_1 \sinh(\kappa' x_3) + \kappa' C_2 \cosh(\kappa' x_3) + n_0' \frac{\mu_{33}^{mr}}{D_{33}^{nr}} C_3 + C_4. \]  

\[ \frac{(n_0' \frac{\mu_{33}^{mr}}{D_{33}^{nr}} + p_0' \frac{\mu_{33}^{mr}}{D_{33}^{nr}})(1 + \alpha' \frac{x_3}{L})}{1 + \alpha' \frac{x_3}{L}} \]  

(21)

Combining Eqs. (19) and (21), we have:
\[ \Delta p = n_0' \frac{\mu_{33}^{mr}}{D_{33}^{nr}} \kappa' C_1 \sinh(\kappa' x_3) + \kappa' C_2 \cosh(\kappa' x_3) + n_0' \frac{\mu_{33}^{mr}}{D_{33}^{nr}} C_3 + C_4 - \frac{\kappa' C_1 \sinh(\kappa' x_3) + \kappa' C_2 \cosh(\kappa' x_3)}{1 + \alpha' \frac{x_3}{L}}. \]  

(22)

which can lead to
\[ u_3 = -\frac{F}{C_{33} A_0 (1 + \alpha' \frac{x_3}{L})} - \frac{\kappa' C_1 \sinh(\kappa' x_3) + \kappa' C_2 \cosh(\kappa' x_3)}{C_{33}^r} - \frac{\kappa' C_1 \sinh(\kappa' x_3) + \kappa' C_2 \cosh(\kappa' x_3)}{n_0' \frac{\mu_{33}^{mr}}{D_{33}^{nr}} + p_0' \frac{\mu_{33}^{mr}}{D_{33}^{nr}}(1 + \alpha' \frac{x_3}{L})}. \]  

(23)

Similarly, for the region \( x_3 \leq 0 \), the fields are:
The continuity conditions at the boundary conditions are:

\[ \Delta n - \Delta p = \frac{\kappa' C_6 \sinh(\kappa' x_3) + \kappa' C_7 \cosh(\kappa' x_3)}{1 + \alpha' \frac{x_3}{L}}, \]

\[ \varphi = \frac{\kappa' C_6 \sinh(\kappa' x_3) + \kappa' C_7 \cosh(\kappa' x_3)}{(n_0' \frac{\mu_{33}^n}{D_{33}^n} + p_0' \frac{\beta_{33}^n}{D_{33}^n})(1 + \alpha' \frac{x_3}{L})}, \]

\[ \Delta n = n_0' \frac{\mu_{33}^n}{D_{33}^n} \kappa' C_6 \sinh(\kappa' x_3) + \kappa' C_7 \cosh(\kappa' x_3) + n_0' \frac{\mu_{33}^n}{D_{33}^n} C_8 + C_9, \]

\[ \Delta p = n_0' \frac{\mu_{33}^n}{D_{33}^n} \kappa' C_6 \sinh(\kappa' x_3) + \kappa' C_7 \cosh(\kappa' x_3) + n_0' \frac{\mu_{33}^n}{D_{33}^n} C_8 + C_9 - \frac{\kappa' C_6 \sinh(\kappa' x_3) + \kappa' C_7 \cosh(\kappa' x_3)}{1 + \alpha' \frac{x_3}{L}}, \]

\[ u_3 = \frac{-F}{\overline{c}_{33} A_0(1 + \alpha' \frac{x_3}{L})} \alpha' - \frac{\overline{c}_{33}}{\alpha' n_0' \frac{\mu_{33}^n}{D_{33}^n} + p_0' \frac{\beta_{33}^n}{D_{33}^n})(1 + \alpha' \frac{x_3}{L})} \overline{c}_{33} C_6 + C_{10}. \]

\[ C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_{10} \text{ are ten unknown constants that need to be determined after considering the boundary conditions at the two ends } x_3 = \pm L \text{ and the continuity conditions at the interface } x_3 = 0. \]

At \( x_3 = \pm L \), the boundary conditions are:

\[ A\sigma_{33}(\pm L) = F, \quad AD_3(\pm L) = 0, \quad AJ_{33}^n(\pm L) = AJ_{33}^p(\pm L) = 0. \] (25)

The continuity conditions at \( x_3 = 0 \) requires

\[ A\sigma_{33}(0^+) = A\sigma_{33}(0^-), \quad AD_3(0^+) = AD_3(0^-), \quad AJ_{33}^n(0^+) = AJ_{33}^p(0^-), \]

\[ u_3(0^+) = u_3(0^-), \quad \varphi(0^+) = \varphi(0^-), \]

\[ n(0^+) = n(0^-), \quad p(0^+) = p(0^-). \] (26)

It should be stressed that the boundary conditions \( A\sigma_{33}(\pm L) = F \) and \( AJ n 3(\pm L) = AJ p 3(\pm L) = 0 \) have been satisfied in Eqs. (9) and (12). Meanwhile, the continuity conditions \( A\sigma_{33}(0^+) = A\sigma_{33}(0^-) \) and \( AJ n 3(0^+) = AJ p 3(0^-) \) are also automatically satisfied. To uniquely determine the arbitrary constants in the mechanical displacement and the electric potential, we may set [19, 31]:

\[ \varphi(0) = 0, \quad u_3(0) = 0. \] (27)
Up to now, we have nine available conditions in Eq. (25), (26) and (27). In addition, the total electrons and holes after the formation of PN junction should be the same as their initial values, which requires:

\[
\int_{-L}^{L} A\Delta n dx = 0, \quad \int_{-L}^{L} A\Delta p dx = 0. \tag{28}
\]

Actually, the two expressions in Eq. (28) are correlative, and only one of them is independent.

Utilizing the boundary conditions, continuity conditions and conservation conditions mentioned above, the ten linear algebraic equations can be solved numerically, and the electromechanical fields in a PS PN junction with variable cross sections can be analytically achieved.

4. Numerical Results and Discussion

As a typical example, the material of the n-type and p-type PS fiber is chosen as wurtzite ZnO, which is commonly used to fabricate PS devices. For a homogeneous junction, the material is uniform and the c-axis of the crystal is along the \( x_3 \)-axis. Meanwhile, for a heterogeneous junction, the \( c \)-axis of the right region is reversed, just like the work in Refs. [17, 33]. The length of the PN junction is \( 2L = 3 \mu m \) with the material constants of ZnO from Ref. [31]. During the following analysis, a simple doping profile is given by \( p l 0 = n r 0 = 10^{21} m^{-3} \), and \( p r 0 = n l 0 = 7 \times 10^{20} m^{-3} \) unless otherwise stated. For convenience, the section areas of the two end faces \( x_3 = \pm L \) at are marked as \( A_1 \).

4.1 Numerical validation
Before numerical analysis, it is necessary to validate the theoretical results of a non-uniform PS PN junction derived above. Considering this, we set $L = 0.6 \, \mu m$, $n_l 0 = n_r 0 = 10^{21} \, m^{-3}$, $p_r 0 = p_l 0 = 0$, $\alpha_l = \alpha_r = 0$ and $A_0 = 2.598 \times 10^{-14} \, m^2$, which means that the PN junction is transformed to a single doped n-type PS fiber with a constant cross section as in Ref. [31]. When a pair of forces $F = 8.5 \, nN$ are applied, the distributions of electric potential function $\phi$ and electron concentration perturbation $\Delta n$ calculated via the present method are demonstrated in Fig. 2, as well as those from Ref. [31]. The curves match very well with each other, indicating that the present theoretical model has a high calculation accuracy to analyze the electromechanical fields in a PS PN junction.

4.2 The influence of cross area variation

Fig. 2. A comparison of the present results with the results in Ref. [31]. (a) Electric potential function, (b) Electron concentration perturbation.
To investigate the influence of cross area variation on the PS PN junction, some electromechanical fields including electric potential function $\varphi$, electric field $E_3$, strain $S_3$, and mechanical displacement $u_3$ near the interface of a homogeneous junction and a heterogeneous junction when $F = 0$ are depicted and shown in Fig. 3. Here, $A_1$ is kept constant to be $2.598 \times 10^{-14} \text{m}^{-2}$ and $A_0$ is variable. $d_0 > d_1$ stands for a bulge profile and $d_0 < d_1$ represents a concave one. Figs. 3(a), 3(c), 3(e), and 3(g) belong to the homogenous junction and Figs. 3(b), 3(d), 3(f) and 3(h) are corresponding to the heterogeneous junction. Since the fields near $x_3 = \pm 1 \mu\text{m}$ have already stabilized, only the region within $|x_3| < 1 \mu\text{m}$ is shown. As known from the semiconductor theory [15], for a uniform PS PN junction with traction free, the junction properties, e.g., the height and width of the space charge region, are only dependent on carrier concentrations and material properties. However, electromechanical fields in a non-uniform PS PN junction are also related to its geometric parameter, especially for a concave profile with $d_0 < d_1$ in Fig. 3. In Figs. 3(a), 3(b), 3(c), and 3(d), a shrinking cross section at the interface leads to a narrow depletion layer width and a stronger electric field, and the fields distributions for a homogeneous junctions are similar to those for heterogeneous junctions. However, for the mechanical fields in Figs. 3(e), 3(f), 3(g) and 3(h), their distributions in the n-type part of heterogeneous junctions are opposite to those in homogeneous junctions, which is induced by the reversed $c$-axis.
Fig. 3. Electromechanical fields for different diameters at the interface. (a), (c), (e), and (g) are respectively the electric potential function $\varphi$, electric field $E_3$, strain $S_3$, and mechanical displacement $u_3$ for a uniform $c$-axis, and (b), (d), (f) and (h) are those fields for an opposite $c$-axis.

4.3 The working performance comparison between homogeneous and heterogeneous junctions

When a pair of axial mechanical forces are applied at the two ends of the non-uniform PS PN junction, the induced electromechanical fields accompanied by acoustic waves may be different from those in the uniform junction. In order to demonstrate the working performance of PS devices, we compare the electromechanical fields respectively in a uniform and non-uniform homogeneous PS PN junction with the diameter ratio of $5d_0 = d_1$ under different values of applied force $F$, such as in Fig. 4. Again, $A_1$ is kept as $2.598 \times 10^{-14}$ m$^{-2}$ and $A_0$ is variable. Performances of the uniform and the non-uniform PS PN junctions in Fig. 4 (a) – 4(h) are similar. When axial forces are applied at the two ends of the homogeneous junction, the electric potential function $\varphi$, electric field $E_3$, electron concentration distribution $n$ and hole concentration distribution $p$ change rapidly near the
two ends and almost keep stable in the middle region due to the screen effect of free carriers, which is in accordance with the results in Ref. [20]. Furthermore, comparing figures in the left and the right column, it can be concluded that the influence caused by the cross section area variation seems trivial. However, in Figs. 4(i), 4(j), 4(k) and 4(l), it becomes more prominent. Fig. 4(i) indicates that the strain is almost constant in the most part of the uniform fiber. Meanwhile, the uniform junction in the middle part always tends to shrink regardless of the sign of $F$, which is because the electric field in this region is always negative and shrinks the fiber via piezoelectric effect, such as Fig. 4(c). Nevertheless, for a non-uniform junction in Fig. 4(j), the strain always varies along $x_3$ and the thinner part possesses larger strain as anticipated, which furthermore induces more polarization and larger electric displacement distributions, such as Figs. 4(k) and 4(l).
Fig. 4. Electromechanical fields of homogeneous PS PN junctions for different values of $F$. (a), (c), (e), (g), (i) and (k) respectively stand for the distributions of the electric potential function $\varphi$, electric field $E_3$, electron concentration $n$, hole concentration $p$, strain $S_3$, and electric displacement $D_3$ of a uniform PN junction with a constant cross section, and (b), (d), (f), (h), (j) and (l) are those fields for the case of a variable cross section.

Beyond of electromechanical fields of homogeneous PS PN junctions, we also investigate the heterogeneous PS PN junctions, with the results shown in Fig. 5. All parameters here are the same.
as those in Fig. 4 except the reversed $c$-axis of the n-type region. Comparing Figs. 5 and 4, we may notice that fields near the interface in heterogeneous junctions are more sensitive to the external applied forces, including the constant cross sections and variable cross sections, which is also the reason that a heterogeneous PS PN junction is preferred to manipulate the current [18, 23]. Especially, in Fig. 5(b), with the magnitude increase (decrease) of $F$, a potential barrier (well) appears at the interface, whose height (depth) is a key parameter for the current adjustment and control. Actually, the potential barrier (well) also appears in Fig. 5(a) for a uniform junction. However, it is too tiny to be observed. This phenomenon demonstrates that PS PN junctions with variable cross sections may be more advantageous in current manipulation than those with constant cross sections. In any case, however, potential barriers and wells are more likely to appear in heterogeneous junctions than in homogeneous junctions, which will be explained later. Because of these potential barriers and wells, the electric fields are discontinuous across the interface as they depend on the gradient of the electric potential. The carrier concentration distributions are not plotted here since they look very like the potential distributions. Unlike Figs. 4(i) and 4(j), the strains in 5(e) and 5(f) are not continuous at the interface, which is caused by the reversed $c$-axis of the n-zone. For the homogeneous junctions, the $c$-axis of the two halves is in the same direction, and then the negative electric field shown in Fig. 4(c) will stretch or compress both sides of the interface at the same time via piezoelectric effect. However when it comes to the heterogeneous junctions whose $c$-axes reverse at the interface, the negative electric field in Fig. 5(c) tends to stretch one side of the fiber while compressing the other, which leads to the strain discontinuity. As expected, the electric displacement in Fig. 5(h) varies more rapidly in the thinner part of the junction, and its sign is related to the poling direction of the two regions.
Fig. 5. Electromechanical fields of heterogeneous PS PN junctions for different values of $F$. (a), (c), (e) and (g) respectively stand for the distributions of the electric potential function $\varphi$, electric field $E_3$, strain $S_3$, and electric displacement $D_3$ of a uniform PN junction with a constant cross section, and (b), (d), (f) and (h) are those fields for the case of a variable cross section.

The difference of the potential distributions near the interface between homogeneous and heterogeneous junctions can be explained by the interface polarization charge density $\rho_s$. It can be calculated from Ref. [17], i.e.,

$$P_3 = D_3 - \varepsilon_0 E_3, \quad \rho^s = P_3(0^-) - P_3(0^+), \quad (29)$$

with $P_3$ representing the axial electric polarization. The $\rho^s$ variation versus the diameter ratio $d_1/d_0$ for homogeneous and heterogeneous junctions are illustrated in Fig. 6 when $F = 1$ nN and $d_1$ is kept constant. For the homogeneous junction, $\rho^s$ is almost zero for all values of $d_1/d_0$, indicating that there is no piezoelectric charge at the interface. Obviously, the electrical field won’t be changed. Compared with that, for the heterogeneous junctions, the reversed $c$-axis of the n-type zone leads to a discontinuous $P_3$ at the interface, resulting in net positive charges and thus changes the potential distributions near the interface.
Fig. 6 Interface polarization charge density $\rho^s$ versus the diameter ratio $d_1/d_0$

Fig. 5 indicates that the height (depth) of the potential barrier (well) at the interface of a PS PN junction can be adjusted by the applied end force. In order to explicitly demonstrate their relationship, we define the potential difference between the interface and the stable part of the p-type zone under $F = 0$ as $V_{0D}$, and their potential difference when $F > 0$ as $V_D$. Therefore, the dependences of $\bar{V} = V_D / V_{0D}$ upon $F$ for homogeneous junctions and heterogeneous junctions with different cross sections can be calculated and depicted in Figs. 7(a) and 7(b). Meanwhile, $\bar{V}$ versus the diameter ratio $d_1/d_0$ for homogeneous junctions and heterogeneous junctions when $F$ is kept as 1 nN is also plotted in Fig. 7(c). In Fig. 7(a) for the homogeneous junction, $\bar{V}$ increases with the rise of $F$ with a very tiny magnitude, which also coincides with Figs. 4(a) and 4(b). By contrast, in Fig. 7(b) for the heterogeneous junction, $\bar{V}$ increases rapidly with the value much larger than that in Fig. 7(a). As expected, Fig. 7(c) looks much similar to Fig. 6, demonstrating the potential change near the interface is induced by the net piezoelectric charges. In addition, a smaller $d_0$ will lead to a faster increcement of $\bar{V}$. Therefore, a heterogeneous PS PN junction is more suitable to work as a mechanical gated transistor since the potential barrier height (well depth)
can be controlled much more easily. Furthermore, a thinner and necking junction leads to a higher manipulation efficiency, which is beneficial for the piezotronic device design. In addition, we note that all the conclusions above are obtained based on the linearized model. To prove its accuracy, we compare some results with the nonlinear one-dimensional model in COMSOL Multiphysics software, and details are shown in the Appendix.
Fig. 7. The potential difference $V$ versus: (a) the applied end forces $F$ in a homogeneous junction; (b) the applied end forces $F$ in a heterogeneous junction; (c) the diameter ratio $d_1/d_0$ when $F = 1$ nN.

As circuit elements concerned, the current-voltage relation ($I$-$V$ curves) of PN junctions is discussed finally. Considering the $I$-$V$ relation is strongly nonlinear, a COMSOL analysis is performed using the nonlinear current constitutive relations. The electrical boundary conditions applied at the two ends of the PS PN junction is:

$$
\varphi(-L) = V, \quad \varphi(L) = -V,
$$

and the results for the homogeneous junctions when $5d_0 = d_1$ are shown in Fig. 8(a). As expected, the $I$-$V$ curves are not sensitive to the applied end force. For the heterogeneous junctions, $I$-$V$ curves for different values of $F$ and diameter ratios are also plotted in Figs. 8(b) and 8(c), respectively. It shows that the current-voltage relations are controlled by the applied end force $F$ and the fiber diameter, which are also consistent with the conclusions obtained in previous sections.
Fig. 8. *I-V* curves respectively for a homogeneous junction with $5d_0 = d_1$ (a), heterogeneous junction with $5d_0 = d_1$ (b), and heterogeneous junction with $F = 1$ nN (c).

5. Conclusions

Totally speaking, the modulation of a piezoelectric semiconductive PN junction with variable cross sections is analyzed from the views of theoretical analysis and numerical simulations, with the electromechanical fields of piezoactive acoustic wave and current-voltage relations demonstrated in detail. The analytical solutions of the fields in homogeneous and heterogeneous junctions are obtained and compared under the framework of the linearized one-dimensional model. The governing equation with variable coefficients is transformed to a commonly used Helmholtz equation for a selected area variation function. The results obtained by this linearized analytical model are validated via the comparison with those from the FEM software COMSOL Multiphysics, and some conclusions can be summarized as follows:
(1) The electrical fields of in heterogeneous PS PN junctions are more sensitive to the cross section area variation than those in homogeneous junctions. The height of the produced potential barrier in a heterogeneous junction reaches up to approximate 2.7 times than that in a homogeneous one. (2) The current-voltage relations of a heterogeneous PS PN junction can be manipulated by piezoelectric fields more conveniently in a non-uniform necking fiber. When applied voltage is 0.06V, the current flow through a non-uniform junction with diameter ratio of 5 is almost 10 times than that through a uniform one.

The theoretical and numerical results reported herein not only clarify some key issues in piezotronic devices, such as the current regulation, heterogeneous junction, potential barrier, and so forth, but also provide a new methodology, i.e., variable cross-section, to produce high-sensitivity devices.
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Appendix

In this appendix, we show some comparisons of the analytical solutions with those obtained from the nonlinear one-dimensional model in COMSOL Multiphysics software. Only the fields in the heterogeneous junction when $F = 1$ nN are plotted since they vary the most dramatically in Figs. 4 and 5. It can be observed that the field distributions using the linearized model agree well with those calculated by COMSOL, which indicates the validity of the derived linearized analytical solutions.
Fig. A. Comparisons of linear analytical and nonlinear numerical solutions at a heterogeneous junction for $F = 1$ nN: (a) Electric potential $\varphi$, (b) Electric field $E_3$, (c) Strain $S_3$, and (d) Mechanical displacement $u_3$.
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Highlights

- The electromechanical fields of the extensional mechanical deformation of a non-uniform PS PN junction are solved.
- The piezoelectric fields in the non-uniform PS PN junction are more sensitive to the applied mechanical forces.
- A necking PS PN junction can be modulated more easily by end applied mechanical forces.
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