1	A 20-year study of melt processes over Larsen C Ice Shelf using a high-resolution
2	regional atmospheric model: Part 1, Model configuration and validation
3 4	E. Gilbert <sup>1,2,</sup> <sup>†</sup> , A. Orr <sup>1</sup> , J. C. King <sup>1</sup> , I. A. Renfrew <sup>2</sup> and T. Lachlan-Cope <sup>1</sup>
5 6	<sup>1</sup> British Antarctic Survey, High Cross, Madingley Road, Cambridge CB3 0ET, United Kingdom.
7 8	<sup>2</sup> School of Environmental Sciences, University of East Anglia, Norwich NR4 7TJ, United Kingdom.
9	Corresponding author: Ella Gilbert (ella.gilbert@reading.ac.uk)
10 11	† Present address: Department of Meteorology, University of Reading, Whiteknights Road, Reading RG6 6ET, United Kingdom.
12 13 14 15	<b>Key words:</b> ice shelves; Antarctic Peninsula; regional climate modelling; surface melt; meteorology; model hindcast
16	Key Points:
17 18	• We present a new high-resolution, multi-decadal hindcast of atmospheric conditions and surface melt processes over the Larsen C ice shelf
19 20	• The MetUM hindcast captures the observed location, frequency and interannual variability of foehn events on Larsen C
21 22 23	• The hindcast captures the foehn-induced distribution and interannual variability of surface melt patterns on Larsen C

## 24 Abstract

Following collapses of the neighbouring Larsen A and B ice shelves, Larsen C has become a 25 focus of increased attention. Determining how the prevailing meteorological conditions influence 26 27 its surface melt regime is of paramount importance for understanding the dominant processes 28 causing melt and ultimately for predicting its future. To this end, a new, high-resolution (4 km grid spacing) Met Office Unified Model (MetUM) hindcast of atmospheric conditions and 29 surface melt processes over the central Antarctic Peninsula is introduced. The hindcast is capable 30 31 of simulating observed near-surface meteorology and surface melt conditions over Larsen C. In 32 contrast with previous model simulations, the MetUM captures the observed east-west gradient in surface melting associated with foehn winds, as well as the inter-annual variability in melt 33 shown in previous observational studies. As exemplars, we focus on two case studies - the 34 months preceding the collapse of the Larsen B ice shelf in March 2002 and the high-foehn, high-35 melt period of March-May 2016 - to test the hindcast's ability to reproduce the atmospheric 36 effects that contributed to considerable melting during those periods. The results suggest that the 37 MetUM hindcast is a useful tool with which to explore the dominant causes of surface melting 38 on Larsen C. 39

40

#### 41 Plain Language Summary

42 Scientists are concerned about floating ice shelves on the Antarctic Peninsula because several shelves have collapsed there in recent decades, due partly to melting at the surface. However, our 43 44 understanding of what causes these ice shelves to melt is limited by the lack of observations in the region, and so numerical models are an extremely useful tool to explore melt processes. This 45 study showcases a new high-quality model dataset that is able to capture the major patterns of 46 surface melting and atmospheric conditions over ice shelves on the Antarctic Peninula. It 47 48 represents an improvement on previous studies and can therefore be used to examine melt and 49 meteorology on ice shelves like Larsen C. The ability of the hindcast to capture these processes is illutrated via two case studies – the period just before the collapse of the Larsen B ice shelf in 50 March 2002, and a period in March-May 2016 when exceptionally high melt and intense foehn 51 winds were observed on the Larsen C ice shelf. Simulations of reasonable accuracy suggest that 52 the hindcast is suitable for exploring the causes of ice shelf surface melting in the region. 53

#### 54 **1 Introduction**

The Antarctic Peninsula has become a recent focus of attention because of the pace of 55 environmental change there. Changes in the atmosphere and cryosphere have co-occurred: 56 57 notably, surface warming of up to 3°C between 1951-2000 in the northern Antarctic Peninsula (Turner et al., 2016) coincided with the loss of mass from more than half of the twelve ice 58 shelves surrounding the Antarctic Peninsula since 1947, including the dramatic collapse of the 59 Larsen A and B ice shelves (Cook & Vaughan, 2010). Following a cooling during the 2000s and 60 61 early 2010s, warming trends have recently resumed (Turner et al., 2016; Bozkurt et al., 2020; Carrasco et al., 2021). The loss or thinning of ice shelves contributes to sea level rise because 62 their ability to buttress upstream grounded ice is reduced, accelerating tributary glaciers and 63 hence the input of ice into the ocean (Rignot et al., 2004, Borstad et al., 2013; Trusel et al., 2015, 64 Fürst et al., 2016). 65

The collapse of the Larsen A (in 1995) and B (in 2002) ice shelves on the east side of the 66 Antarctic Peninsula was induced by hydrofracturing, whereby water-filled crevasses widen as a 67 68 result of the hydrostatic pressure acting at the crevasse tip to break apart the ice shelf (Scambos et al., 2000; Kuipers Munneke, 2014). Surface melting is the most important driver of 69 destabilisation via this mechanism because it triggers a series of glaciological processes that 70 begins with firn densification (Scambos et al., 2000; van den Broeke, 2005; Holland et al., 2011). 71 72 Surface meltwater percolates into the porous firn layer during summer, and once the firn becomes saturated with refrozen meltwater over many seasons, water is forced to collect in 73 74 surface melt ponds (Scambos et al., 2000; Kuipers Munneke et al., 2014). This leads to 75 hydrofracturing and ice shelves disintegrating extremely rapidly: over about a month in the case 76 of Larsen B (Scambos et al., 2003). The southward progression of ice shelf collapse on the east 77 side of the Antarctic Peninsula indicates that Larsen C, the largest remaining ice shelf in this 78 region, may become unstable in the near future (Rott et al., 1996; 2002; Scambos et al., 2003; 79 Trusel et al., 2015; Bevan et al., 2017).

Larsen C is located at approximately  $66^{\circ}S - 69^{\circ}S$  and has an area of ~47,000 km<sup>2</sup>. Its climate is dominated by the influence of cold, continental air masses that flow off Antarctica plateau as southerly barrier jets (Parish, 1983). However, foehn winds, which are generated when air is

forced over the steep terrain of the Antarctic Peninsula mountains, are also observed over Larsen 83 C between 6-20% of the time, especially during periods of westerly and north-westerly flow 84 (King et al., 2017; Turton et al., 2018; Wiesenekker et al., 2018; Datta et al., 2019; Elvidge et al., 85 2020). Foehn dramatically alter the local climate and surface energy balance (SEB) for hours or 86 days at a time, generating downward turbulent heat fluxes of the order of 100s of W m<sup>-2</sup> 87 compared to non-foehn values of 10s W m<sup>-2</sup>, which are often directed away from the surface 88 (Cape et al., 2015; Elvidge et al., 2015; 2016; 2020; Elvidge & Renfrew, 2016; Kuipers 89 90 Munneke et al., 2012; 2018). Luckman et al. (2014) and Bevan et al. (2018) used satellite measurements to show that the annual melt duration on Larsen C is highest in the north, where 91 temperatures are closer to the melting point, and in inlets close to the mountains, where foehn 92 winds are most intense and frequent (Turton et al., 2018; Elvidge et al., 2015; 2020). These 93 94 foehn induced east-west gradients in melt are also seen in borehole and firn measurements (Hubbard et al., 2016; Bevan et al., 2017; Holland et al., 2011). 95

Foehn flows are associated with both "jet" and "wake" regions over Larsen C, with jet regions
downwind of mountain passes being relatively windier-but-cooler and wake regions downwind
of mountain peaks being relatively calmer-but-warmer (Elvidge et al., 2015; Elvidge et al., 2020;
Orr et al., 2021).

However, despite their importance for inducing melt over Larsen C, a comprehensive long-term
estimate of how frequently foehn events occur and their associated impacts on atmospheric
conditions and the SEB over the Larsen C ice shelf has not yet been made. Several estimates of
foehn frequency have been made using Automatic Weather Stations (AWSs) on the ice shelf
(Turton et al., 2018; 2020; Weisenekker et al., 2019; Laffin et al., 2021) or over relatively short
time periods of a year or less (King et al., 2017; Kirchgaessner et al., 2019; Elvidge et al. 2020).

Regional climate models have been increasingly used in recent years to assess melting and nearsurface meteorology on Larsen C. These models successfully simulate the temperature and solar
radiation-driven north-south gradient in melting, but many struggle to reproduce the east-west
gradient in melt associated with foehn winds (e.g. van Wessem et al., 2016; Datta et al., 2019).
This is largely a result of the use of hydrostatic models or models with insufficient horizontal
resolution to adequately simulate the dynamics of foehn winds in complex orography, and

therefore its impact on SEB and consequently melting. For example, although Datta et al. (2018 & 2019) find enhanced surface melting and foehn occurrence in inlets on the southern part of Larsen C, it is only found in the strongest foehn cases, resulting in a much weaker east-west gradient in climatological melting than observed. This is perhaps partly because they use the hydrostatic Modèle Atmosphérique Regionale at a spatial resolution of 10 and 7.5 km, which may be too coarse to resolve the complex dynamics of foehn winds on the Antarctic Peninsula.

Recently Elvidge et al. (2020) using the UK Met Office Unified Model (MetUM) at a spatial resolution of 1.5 km became the first study to adequately capture the east-west gradient of foehndriven melting on Larsen C and, importantly, to explain the drivers of melt in terms of boundarylayer processes affecting the SEB. However, the relatively short (6 month) period considered by that study highlights the need for a comprehensive and long-term (multi-decadal) model dataset that realistically includes the primary atmospheric processes contributing to the SEB and surface melt on Larsen C. This study addresses this need.

Firstly, and most importantly, this study presents a regional configuration of the MetUM at a 125 spatial resolution of 4 km, which is able to resolve the foehn-driven melting over the Larsen ice 126 shelves. Second, the ability of the MetUM to capture the observed spatial gradients and absolute 127 totals of surface melting, and determine the dominant atmospheric drivers of these, will be 128 presented. The fidelity of the hindcast will be examined by evaluating surface melt and foehn 129 130 occurrence over Larsen C during the main hindcast period 1998-2017, and by simulating two case studies: 1) the Larsen B ice shelf prior to its collapse in 2002, and 2) the Larsen C ice shelf 131 132 during a concerted period of high melt / high foehn that occurred in 2016 (Kuipers-Munneke et al., 2018). In this paper, we present model-based multi-decadal climatological maps of surface 133 melt and foehn occurrence for Larsen C. Note that analyses of these, including an evaluation of 134 the primary causes of surface melt, will be developed further in a subsequent manuscript. 135

#### 137 **2 Data and Methods**

## 138 2.1 Observational data

We use available AWS data from four stations, AWS 14, 15, 17, and 18 (Figure 1). The longest 139 record of any of the stations is from AWS 14, which covers the period January 2009 – December 140 2017, while AWSs 15, 17 and 18 cover the periods January 2009 – June 2014, February 2011 – 141 March 2016 and November 2014 – December 2017, respectively. AWS 14 and 15 are both 142 located on a flat and homogeneous region of the Larsen C ice shelf, meaning that measurements 143 taken at these stations are representative of a wider area, as demonstrated in King et al. (2015). 144 Conversely, both AWS 17 and 18 are located in inlets at the base of steep topography, where the 145 meteorology is highly localised. AWS 17 sits on the remnant Larsen B ice shelf, in Scar Inlet, 146 while AWS 18 is located in Cabinet Inlet, close to the foot of the mountains in the north-west of 147 the Larsen C ice shelf. 148

All stations measure the near-surface meteorology (air temperatures, pressure, humidity and

150 wind speeds – with air temperature and humidity interpolated to 2 m and wind speed

151 extrapolated to 10 m) and radiative and turbulent fluxes, from which surface temperature and the

152 SEB can be determined. Turbulent flux estimates were not available from AWS 15 at the time of

analysis. The instrumentation used at the AWSs is described in detail in Kuipers Munneke et al.

154 (2012). The turbulent fluxes are calculated using the bulk method, by applying the SEB model of

Kuipers Munneke et al. (2009). Corrections are made to the unventilated temperature data to

adjust for positive biases in calm, sunny conditions after Smeets (2006) and Smeets et al. (2018),

while shortwave fluxes are corrected for the tilt of the sensor according to the routine of Wang etal. (2016).

159 The SEB is formulated as follows:

160

 $E_{tot} = LW_{\uparrow} + LW_{\downarrow} + SW_{\uparrow} + SW_{\downarrow} + H_S + H_L + G_S \tag{1}$ 

where  $E_{tot}$  is the net sum of energy received at the surface,  $LW_{\uparrow}$  and  $LW_{\downarrow}$  are the surface upwelling and downwelling components of LW radiation, respectively,  $SW_{\uparrow}$  and  $SW_{\downarrow}$  are the

surface upwelling and downwelling components of SW radiation, respectively, and  $H_S$ ,  $H_L$  and

 $G_S$  are the surface sensible, latent and ground heat fluxes, respectively. All fluxes are defined as positive when directed towards the surface.

166 Surface melt energy  $E_{melt}$  is defined as in King et al. (2015), as:

167 
$$E_{melt} = \begin{cases} E_{tot} & T_S \ge 0^{\circ} C\\ 0 & T_S < 0^{\circ} C \end{cases}$$
(2)

such that melt only occurs when there is a surplus of energy at the surface ( $E_{tot}$  in Equation 1 is positive) and the surface temperature,  $T_s$ , is at or above the melting point.

## 170 2.2 Reanalysis data

ERA5 reanalysis data (Hersbach et al., 2020) is used to validate the MetUM hindcast. ERA5 is the latest reanalysis dataset from the European Centre for Medium Range Weather Forecasting, with a horizontal resolution of 31 km and hourly temporal output. We use monthly reanalysis averaged by hour of day to compare with the MetUM hindcast.

## 175 2.3 Regional climate model description

In this study the MetUM is run in atmosphere-only limited area configuration. The MetUM 176 contains a non-hydrostatic, fully compressible dynamical core, referred to as ENDGAME (Even 177 Newer Dynamics for General Atmosphere Modelling of the Environment), with semi-implicit 178 time stepping and semi-Lagrangian advection. Atmospheric prognostic variables are the dry 179 virtual potential temperature, Exner pressure, dry density and three-dimensional winds, and 180 moist prognostics such as hydrometeors and specific humidity are advected as atmospheric 181 182 tracers (Walters et al., 2017). Prognostic variables are discretised horizontally on an Arakawa-C grid and a terrain-following hybrid vertical coordinate with Charney-Phillips staggering used in 183 the vertical. 184

An inner model domain that includes much of the Antarctic Peninsula and surrounding waters (Figure 1) is nested within a global version of the MetUM to dynamically downscale the global model output to higher resolution, as in Orr et al. (2014) and Gilbert et al. (2020). The global model is run using the Global Atmosphere 6.1 configuration (Walters et al., 2017) and has N768 resolution (equivalent to a horizontal resolution of approximately 17 km at mid-latitudes). The

inner domain has 70 vertical levels (with 40 below 5500 m), and uses a rotated latitude-longitude
grid to maintain a uniform horizontal resolution of 4.0 km. Although Elvidge et al. (2015) argued
that a horizontal grid spacing of around 1.5 km was necessary to resolve foehn winds over
Larsen C, this argument was based on the previous version of the dynamical core. Recent
improvements incorporated in ENDGAME (Wood et al., 2014) have resulted in a more accurate
representation of the flow response to orography, meaning a spatial resolution of ~4 km is now
sufficient (Gilbert, 2020).

The inner domain runs using the Regional Atmosphere (RA) configuration 'RA1M' as described in Bush et al. (2020), with modifications to the parameterisation of large-scale cloud and precipitation as described in Gilbert et al. (2020). Gilbert et al. (2020) showed that this was the most suitable model configuration currently available for this region. Full details of the model physics and parameterisations used are given in Gilbert et al. (2020) and Orr et al. (2021).

This configuration is limited by a simple zero-layer snow surface scheme that does not allow liquid to penetrate into the snowpack, nor to refreeze (Best et al., 2011). The snow albedo parameterisation is diagnostic, based on the surface temperature (see section S1 of the supplementary material for further details).

Because of the important influence of the mountains (and land-sea interactions – see Orr et al.

207 (2005, 2014)), the default model orography, land/sea mask and coastline were updated. The

updated land-sea mask is based on the Scientific Committee on Antarctic Research Antarctic

209 Digital Database coastline, version 7.0 (released January 2016 and available at

210 <u>https://www.add.scar.org/</u>) and does not include the Larsen A and B ice shelves. The orography

is based on the Ohio State University Radarsat Antarctic Mapping Project (RAMP) 200 m

resolution Antarctic digital elevation model (Liu, 2015), and is converted for use in the MetUM

by interpolating the dataset onto the 4.0 km inner domain and applying a 2D 1-2-1 filter with
convolution.

215



Figure 1. Map of the Antarctic Peninsula MetUM inner model domain with the locations of the 216 217 four AWSs used for validation indicated with green crosses. The map is centred on the Larsen C ice shelf, on which AWS 14, 15 and 17 are located. The map also shows the remnant Larsen B 218 ice shelf on which AWS 17 is located. The height of the model orography is indicated with 219 220 coloured contours and is derived from the RAMP 200 m elevation model (Liu, 2015). Ice shelves 221 are shown in white – note the absence of the Larsen A and B ice shelves. Note that an additional shorter run, that focuses on conditions prior to the collapse of Larsen B, uses the same domain 222 but includes both Larsen A and B ice shelves. 223

224

## 225 2.4 Hindcast set-up

Our main a model hindcast of the northern and central Antarctic Peninsula and Larsen C region is over the period 1 January 1998 to 31 December 2017. An additional shorter run is undertaken to focus on the conditions over Larsen B prior to its collapse, spanning 1 September 2001 - 31 March 2002, and uses a modified land-sea mask that includes both the Larsen A and B iceshelves.

231

In both the 20-year hindcast and shorter case study run, the global model is initialised from 232 ERA-Interim reanalysis (Dee et al., 2011), and its output is used to provide forcing for the 233 regional climate model / inner domain at 4.0 km horizontal resolution (Figure 1). The model is 234 re-initialised every 12 hours and runs for 24 hours. The first 12-hours are considered spin-up 235 236 periods and discarded; while the second 12-hour periods are concatenated together to produce a continuous time series. Frequent re-initialisation ensures that the circulation in the inner domain 237 is well constrained and does not drift (Sedlar et al., 2020; Lo et al., 2008), while the discarding of 238 spin-up periods ensures that smaller-scale features are adequately represented. Surface (2D) 239 240 variables are outputted 3-hourly and 3D variables are 6-hourly, which is considered sufficient temporal resolution to capture key processes such as foehn. Model variables are outputted at the 241 surface (e.g. radiative fluxes), 'near-surface' 1.5 m (e.g. relative humidity), 10 m (e.g. winds) or 242 on model levels. Model outputs are validated against available observations and against ERA5 243 244 reanalysis.

245

## 246 2.5 Diagnosis of foehn conditions

247

The occurrence of foehn winds in the model is calculated using two methods that vary in computational expense. To compute the occurrence of model foehn winds at grid points corresponding to AWSs (Tables 3 and 4), an isentrope-based method adapted from the broadscale approach of King et al. (2017) is adopted, with an additional stipulation that surface warming and/or drying must also be simulated. Whereas King et al. diagnose foehn occurrence across the ice shelf as a whole, in this study the algorithm is used to detect foehn occurrence at each model grid cell. The algorithm is as follows:

255

• For each model grid cell in which the foehn calculation is being performed, determine the strength of the westerly component of the wind, u, at a location at least one Rossby radius of deformation,  $\lambda_R$ , westwards / upwind of the Antarctic Peninsula.  $\lambda_R$  is calculated as  $\lambda_R$ = Nh/f, where N is the Brunt-Väisälä frequency, typically 0.01 s<sup>-1</sup>, h is the height of the

260	m	ountain barrier, approximately 1500-2000 m on the Antarctic Peninsula, and f is the
261	Co	priolis parameter – it is approximately 150 km. The wind is averaged between 250 m
262	an	d a height Z1 in a manner similar to Elvidge et al. (2015) at the same latitude and the
263	loi	ngitude where the distance from the mountains is equal to $\lambda_R$ . The mean zonal wind
264	wi	thin this column is referred to as $u_{Z1}$ , where Z1 is just above the peak height of
265	or	ography, i.e. it is characteristic of the average westerly flow impinging on the Larsen C
266	ice	e shelf at the latitude of interest.
267	• Fo	r each model grid point in which the foehn calculation is being performed, if $u_{Z1} \geq 2\ m$
268	s	(and there is therefore a clear west-east cross-barrier flow) then:
269		$\circ$ Find the potential temperature at Z1, $\theta_{Z1}$ , and trace this isentrope directly
270		eastwards across the mountain barrier
271		• Determine the minimum elevation, Z2, of $\theta_{Z1}$ on the lee side of the mountains
272		over Larsen C
273		$\circ$ Determine the maximum change in height of the isentrope $\theta_{Z1}$ upwind and
274		downwind of the barrier, i.e. $Z3 = Z1 - Z2$ .
275		$\circ$ For a model grid point, if over any 6 hour period Z3 > 500 m AND 1.5 m air
276		temperature, $T_{air}$ , increases AND 1.5 m relative humidity, RH, decreases, then
277		foehn conditions are detected.
278	The metho	od is summarised in Figure S1. As this approach is extremely computationally
279	expensive	it cannot be used for every grid point in the model domain. Hence, to produce spatial
280	maps of fe	behn occurrence over the entire Larsen C ice shelf, the method of Turton et al. (2018)
281	was adapt	ed. Turton et al. detect foehn conditions when, over a 12-hour period, one of the
282	following	conditions is met:
283	a)	Decrease in RH, below the 10 <sup>th</sup> percentile
284	b)	Decrease in RH below a location-specific threshold
285	c)	Decrease in RH below the $15^{th}$ percentile plus a 3°C increase in T <sub>air</sub>
286	We adopt	conditions a) and c), plus include a further stipulation that there be a westerly wind
287	componer	at $(u_{Z1} > 2.0 \text{ m s}^{-1})$ , as above. Sensitivity tests (detailed in section S2 of the supplement)
288	showed th	at the two methods of identifying foehn events produced comparable results.
289		

It should be noted that the algorithm detects foehn occurrence but not intensity.

291

## **3 Results and Discussion**

## 293 3.1 Model hindcast validation

294 The MetUM hindcast is validated at all AWSs shown in Figure 1 using all available observations and taking the closest model gridpoint. Missing data are linearly interpolated for validation 295 296 purposes. Initial inspection of time series at each station (not shown), reveals that AWS 17/18 and AWS 14/15 are similar enough to justify being grouped. The means of the time series at 297 AWS 14/15 and AWS 17/18 are hereafter presented as "ice shelf" and "inlet" stations, 298 respectively. Because the full SEB was not available at AWS 15, ice shelf values for T<sub>S</sub>, H<sub>L</sub>, H<sub>S</sub>, 299 300 Etot and Emelt are taken from AWS 14 only. The full SEB is available at both inlet stations. Table 1 shows observed and modelled annual mean values and the 5th and 95th percentiles for surface 301 302 variables at ice shelf and inlet stations during the hindcast period. Observed and modelled statistics in Table 1 are given for the observational period available for each station. Scatterplots 303 of observed vs. modelled near-surface variables at AWS 14 during the entire observational 304 period for that station (January 2009 - December 2017) are shown in Figure 2. Validation results 305 at all stations are broadly similar to those for AWS 14, so for brevity, only results from AWS 14 306 are shown in Figure 2 because it has the longest observational record. These are discussed below. 307

As also shown by Kuipers Munneke et al. (2018), Gilbert et al. (2020) and Elvidge et al. (2020),

the MetUM model at a spatial resolution of 4 km or finer is able to simulate meteorological

310 conditions and consequently the SEB and surface melt over Larsen C in all seasons with

reasonable accuracy. This is confirmed by both Figure 2 and Table 1. As shown in Table 1,

annual mean  $T_{air}$ ,  $T_S$ , wind speed and RH are positively biased by 2.1°C, 2.4°C, 0.91 m s<sup>-1</sup> and

313 2.7%, respectively, at inlet stations and 2.1°C,  $3.1^{\circ}$ C,  $0.81 \text{ m s}^{-1}$  and 3.8%, respectively, at ice

314 shelf stations. This makes the MetUM hindcast on average slightly warmer, windier and moister

than observations, which is also clear from Figure 2.

The warm bias in air and surface temperatures is likely to be at least partially related to the

representation of boundary layer and sub-grid scale turbulent mixing in the MetUM and a

documented warm bias in ERA-Interim (Orr et al., 2021; Fréville et al., 2014; Dutra et al., 2015).

 $T_{air}$  is consistently more positively biased than  $T_s$  in all seasons (Table 1), suggesting that the 319 modelled near-surface temperature gradient is weaker than observed, which may contribute to 320 biases in H<sub>s</sub>. Wind, temperature and RH biases may be related to the representation of features 321 and processes such as orography, form drag and surface roughness (Wood & Mason, 1993), the 322 representation of foehn events and foehn jets, the surface and snow schemes, or the influence of 323 the coastline (Orr et al., 2005; 2014; 2021). For example, the representation of topography and 324 surface features in the complex terrain of the Antarctic Peninsula has been shown to strongly 325 influence modelled winds and the simulation of foehn events, which may consequently impact 326 how well temperatures and RH are simulated (e.g. Orr et al., 2008; 2021). Further, Walters et al. 327 (2019) show that the use of the 'zero-layer' MetUM snow scheme produces temperature biases 328 over Greenland, suggesting similar biases may be experienced here. 329

The inter-percentile range for most variables in Table 1 is captured relatively well by the hindcast, except for RH and H<sub>S</sub>. The 5<sup>th</sup> percentile of observed RH and the 95<sup>th</sup> percentile of H<sub>S</sub> are much lower and higher, respectively, at inlet stations than ice shelf stations due to the effect of foehn winds. However, the hindcast does not capture this completely: the 5<sup>th</sup> percentile of modelled inlet RH is over-estimated by 7.9% while the 95<sup>th</sup> percentile of H<sub>S</sub> is 7.1 W m<sup>-2</sup> too large in the model. This is likely caused by the positive temperature bias discussed above.

In Table 1 the daily mean downwelling radiative fluxes are simulated to within 10% of their observed values at all stations and the model SW albedo ( $SW_{\downarrow}/SW_{\uparrow}$ ) is simulated to within 1%

and 3% of observed values at inlet and ice shelf stations, respectively. Positive biases in T<sub>s</sub> and

 $T_{air}$  cause LW<sub>1</sub> to be over-estimated by 2.9% annually at all stations, generating an energy deficit

340 at the surface (and negatively biased mean net radiation  $R_{net}$ , (calculated as  $LW_{net} + SW_{net}$ ),

shown in Figure 2). This contributes to biases in the annual mean of daily mean  $E_{melt}$  (Table 1),

342 which is under-estimated by 17-31%. The simplicity of the snow scheme may also contribute to

this under-estimation: for example because it does not include sub-surface melting that can occur

when the surface is below the freezing point due to the penetration of SW radiation.

Tables S3 and S4 contain additional validation information, showing seasonal statistics for all

346 stations during foehn/non-foehn conditions at inlet (S3) and ice shelf (S4) stations. Negative E<sub>melt</sub>

<sup>347</sup> biases are largest at inlet stations, during December-February (DJF, Tables S3, S4) when the

majority of melting occurs, and during foehn events (Tables S3, S4). The exception is during 348 DJF at inlet stations, where the relatively low E<sub>melt</sub> bias (-0.13 W m<sup>-2</sup>, Table S3) arises because of 349 compensating biases at AWS17 and AWS18 (-2.26 W m<sup>-2</sup> and -3.46 W m<sup>-2</sup>, respectively, during 350 foehn and non-foehn conditions at AWS17 vs. 2.0 W m<sup>-2</sup> and 0.34 W m<sup>-2</sup>, respectively, at 351 AWS18). In non-summer seasons, observed and modelled E<sub>melt</sub> and E<sub>tot</sub> series are more strongly 352 correlated during foehn, although biases are typically larger, frequently because LW<sub>net</sub> fluxes are 353 too low and/or T<sub>S</sub> does not reach the melting point often enough. This suggests that the hindcast 354 355 is able to capture the timing of foehn events well, but that the remaining temperature and SEB biases - and potentially errors introduced by the surface scheme - cause the magnitude of E<sub>tot</sub> and 356 E<sub>melt</sub> to be under-estimated. This is consistent with previous findings (e.g. Gilbert, 2020) that 357 although the MetUM is able to capture the timing and duration of the foehn cases examined, the 358 359 magnitude of E<sub>melt</sub> is under-estimated.

We additionally compare the hindcast against ERA5 reanalysis. Mean values are given in Table 360 2 for several pertinent variables, including SEB components, albedo,  $\alpha$ , and T<sub>S</sub> calculated from 361 MetUM output and ERA5 reanalysis over the Larsen C ice shelf. The modelled diurnal cycle of 362 the SEB at inlet and ice shelf stations is also shown for MetUM and ERA5 output in the 363 364 supplementary material for various seasons (Figures S2 and S3, respectively). Table 2 and Figures S2 and S3 show that there is broad agreement between the MetUM and ERA5. However, 365 the MetUM simulates lower  $E_{melt}$  than ERA5 in all seasons except DJF (Table 2), which is 366 consistent with the documented warm temperature bias allowing the surface to reach the melt 367 point more frequently in summer. Figures S2 and S3 show that in DJF ERA5 simulates a slightly 368 positive Etot flux at both inlet and ice shelf stations, whereas the MetUM simulates positive Etot at 369 inlet stations only. This is because H<sub>S</sub>, H<sub>L</sub> and LW<sub>net</sub> fluxes - especially around midday - are 370 more negative at ice shelf stations in the MetUM, which results in a higher E<sub>tot</sub> flux. The DJF 371 E<sub>melt</sub> flux at inlet stations is much higher in the MetUM than ERA5, likely because of the higher 372 Etot flux and the surface reaching the melting point more frequently (MetUM-simulated Ts is 373 consistently warmer than ERA5 in Table 2). These differences result from the discrepancies in 374 model resolution between the hindcast and ERA5, and demonstrate that the 4 km resolution 375

hindcast is more able to represent foehn events – which we expect in inlets – than the much

377 coarser (31 km) ERA5.

To summarise, at all stations and in all seasons, the hindcast is able to simulate observed surface

379 meteorological variables with reasonable accuracy and to broadly capture SEB components,

although E<sub>melt</sub> is under-estimated, especially during foehn. Many of the biases in SEB terms stem

from a warm temperature bias, which is also evident from further comparison with ERA5.

382 However, other errors may be introduced from the surface scheme.

383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411

412

413 414

415

416 **Table 1.** Summary statistics for ice shelf and inlet stations in observations and model output.

417 Mean values, as well as the fifth and ninety-fifth percentiles of daily mean surface variables are

418 given, where abbreviations and units are as follows:  $T_{air}$ : 1.5 m air temperature (°C);  $T_S$ : surface

419 temperature (°C); FF: 10 m wind speed (m s<sup>-1</sup>); P: surface pressure (hPa); RH: relative humidity

- 420 (%); SW<sub>1</sub>: downwelling shortwave radiation (W m<sup>-2</sup>), SW<sub>1</sub>: upwelling shortwave radiation (W
- 421  $m^{-2}$ ; SW<sub>net</sub>: net shortwave radiation (W  $m^{-2}$ ); LW<sub>1</sub>: downwelling shortwave radiation (W  $m^{-2}$ );
- 422  $LW_{\uparrow}$ : upwelling shortwave radiation (W m<sup>-2</sup>);  $LW_{net}$ : net shortwave radiation (W m<sup>-2</sup>);  $H_s$ :
- 423 sensible heat flux (W m<sup>-2</sup>);  $H_L$ : latent heat flux (W m<sup>-2</sup>);  $E_{tot}$ : sum of all (W m<sup>-2</sup>);  $E_{melt}$ : melt flux
- 424 (W m<sup>-2</sup>). All fluxes are positive when directed towards the surface.

	Observed					Modelled						
		Ice shelf			Inlet			Ice shelf			Inlet	
	Mean	5 <sup>th</sup>	95th	Mean	5th	95th	Mean	5th	95th	Mean	5th	95th
Tair	-15.4	-32.1	-1.5	-14.0	-30.1	0.3	-12.2	-25.7	-1.4	-11.9	-24.9	-1.1
$T_S$	-14.9	-31.5	-1.2	-14.4	-30.4	-1.1	-12.7	-26.6	-1.5	-12.8	-26.1	-1.7
FF	4.2	1.1	9.2	4.2	1.0	11.2	5.2	2.4	10.1	5.3	1.8	12.1
Р	985.0	966.4	1003.9	984.5	965.0	1004.1	983.4	965.0	1002.3	983.0	964.0	1001.7
RH	93.1	80.2	100.0	91.1	65.6	99.1	97.2	83.6	109.0	93.6	73.5	107.3
$SW_{\downarrow}$	128.2	0.3	345.5	126.9	1.3	332.8	124.9	0.0	357.6	124.1	0.0	365.7
$SW_{\uparrow}$	-111.5	-0.1	-289.8	-107.7	-1.2	-276.2	-105.1	-292.4	0.0	-104.1	-297.1	0.0
SWnet	18.7	0.0	63.5	19.2	0.1	64.4	19.8	0.0	65.8	20.0	0.0	68.4
$LW_{\downarrow}$	236.1	181.0	295.9	237.8	185.7	293.9	234.0	162.7	298.3	231.5	167.5	293.3
$LW_{\uparrow}$	-254.5	-193.6	-310.3	-256.5	-197.0	-310.5	-262.5	-308.6	-209.3	-261.8	-307.8	-211.0
LWnet	-15.7	-47.3	1.2	-18.6	-53.5	1.3	-28.5	-68.3	0.7	-30.3	-66.9	-2.1
Hs	-0.9	-14.8	22.6	3.4	-13.1	47.4	4.0	-11.3	34.3	7.2	-10.7	54.5
$H_L$	-3.2	-14.0	2.0	-4.2	-14.9	0.9	-1.8	-11.6	4.6	-3.8	-15.2	2.3
<b>E</b> tot	-3.4	-28.4	24.5	-3.4	-29.1	26.3	-6.5	-35.3	18.7	-6.9	-33.7	20.2
Emelt	2.7	0.0	19.8	3.4	0.0	24.2	1.8	0.0	13.2	2.4	0.0	15.8
425												



428 Figure 2. Scatterplots of observed vs. modelled daily means of surface and near-surface variables at AWS 14. Correlation coefficients (r values) are given in the bottom right-hand 429 corner of each panel: all values are statistically significant at the 99% level. The dashed line in 430 each plot indicates perfect agreement between model and observations, while the solid line 431 432 shows the line of best fit, calculated by a linear least-squares regression. Panels a - d show surface meteorological variables: surface temperature, T<sub>s</sub>; near-surface (1.5 m) air temperature, 433  $T_{air}$ ; 1.5 m relative humidity, RH; and 10 m wind speed, FF; and panels e – h show surface 434 energy budget terms: downwelling longwave, LW<sub>1</sub>; downwelling shortwave, SW<sub>1</sub>; net radiative, 435 R<sub>net</sub> and melt, E<sub>melt</sub>, fluxes, defined as positive towards the surface. 436

Λ	3	7
+	0	1

**Table 2.** Comparison of mean values of pertinent parameters calculated from daily mean ERA5

439	reanalysis data and dai	ly mean MetUM output	. Data are averaged over	er the Larsen C ice shelf and

	DJF		MAM		JJA		SON	
	ERA5	MetUM	ERA5	MetUM	ERA5	MetUM	ERA5	MetUM
$SW_{net}$	57.61	42.87	12.07	6.96	2.66	1.23	31.67	28.51
$LW_{net}$	-42.42	-31.51	-26.88	-23.77	-27.16	-25.08	-37.18	-32.89
Hs	0.16	0.77	4.39	6.36	8.74	14.31	5.61	7.42
H∟	-8.48	-6.35	-2.99	-0.84	-2.04	0.30	-4.69	-3.30
α	0.85	0.84	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.84	0.85	0.84
$T_{s}$	268.28	269.50	257.35	258.47	250.54	250.46	259.30	259.58
$E_{tot}$	108.35	80.20	34.53	80.18	21.80	79.23	67.92	81.22
E <sub>melt</sub>	1.57	6.46	0.93	0.24	0.00	0.00	1.43	0.38

shown for each season. Abbreviations are as in Table 1, and with  $\alpha$  signifying albedo.

441 442

443

## 3.2 Modelled meltwater production: Larsen C, from 1998 to 2017

Figure 3 shows cumulative annual simulated meltwater production for all full melt years included in the hindcast period (a total of 19 melt years, starting August 1998 and ending July 2017), where melt years are defined as in Bevan et al. (2018) from August-July. Figure 4 shows mean and maximum cumulative annual melt totals for the whole Larsen C ice shelf and shows that mean cumulative melt ranges from 86 mm w.e. yr<sup>-1</sup> in 2010/11 to 188 mm w.e. yr<sup>-1</sup> in 2006/07, with maxima simulated in inlets peaking at 1025 mm w.e. yr<sup>-1</sup> in 2016/17.

450

The simulated spatial pattern of meltwater production (Figure 3) and number of melt days 451 452 (Figure S4) is consistent with satellite observations of the annual number of days that surface melting occurs (e.g. Bevan et al., 2018; Luckman et al., 2014), with a clear north-south gradient 453 across the ice shelf, and more melting observed in inlets. The hindcast also simulates peak mean 454 meltwater production during the high melt years identified in Bevan et al. (2018), for example 455 the 2006/07, 2015/16 and 2016/17 melt seasons, when ice-shelf averaged cumulative annual melt 456 of 187 mm w.e., 157 mm w.e. and 161 mm w.e. over 56 d, 48 d and 54 d, respectively, is 457 modelled. The spatial patterns of surface melt shown in Bevan et al. (their Figure 6) are quite 458 closely reproduced in Figure S4, which shows the number of melt days per year. For example 459

more intense melting in inlets during 1999/2000, 2004/05, 2015/16 and 2016/17 is successfully 460 reproduced (when up to 126 d, 115 d, 103 d and 114 d of melting are simulated, respectively); as 461 is the extensive melting during 2006/07 and the relatively limited melting during 2003/04, 462 2009/10 and 2012/13. Years when melt is shown in the satellite observations but not the hindcast 463 include 2001/02 and 2005/06. The east-west gradient is shown more clearly in melt amount 464 (Figure 3) than in the number of melt days (Figure S4), suggesting that melt intensity is higher in 465 inlets than over the ice shelf. However, the model's ability to reproduce the major patterns of 466 melting, particularly the east-west gradient and the concentration of melting in inlets and the 467 slopes immediately above is extremely encouraging and further justifies the use of the MetUM as 468 a tool for studying this region. 469

470

471 Simulated mean annual meltwater production amounts over Larsen C (Figure 3, Table 2) are also comparable to those derived by Trusel et al. (2013), who used satellite data and modelling to find 472 ice-shelf integrated mean meltwater production of 220 mm w.e.  $yr^{-1}$  over the period 1999-2009, 473 exceeding 400 mm w.e.  $yr^{-1}$  in the north-western inlets, and Trusel et al. (2015) who show 474 contemporary melt rates over Larsen C of ~300 mm w.e. yr<sup>-1</sup>. Comparable hindcast-simulated 475 values for 1998-2017 are 132 mm w.e. yr<sup>-1</sup> for all of Larsen C, and 536 mm w.e. yr<sup>-1</sup> for inlets 476 477 only, taking maximum meltwater production rates as a proxy for inlet melting (maxima are always observed in inlets). This suggests that the MetUM may under-estimate surface melting 478 479 when averaged across the whole ice shelf, consistent with the results shown in Section 3.1. Part of this may be explained by the simple zero-layer snow model and diagnostic albedo 480 implementation. Further, the intensity of foehn flow may not be fully captured by the model, 481 which would impact the amount of melting in inlets. 482

483

Although the ice-shelf-mean meltwater totals do not compare exactly in absolute terms with the
values reported in Trusel et al., the distributions in Figures 3 and S4 do compare reasonably well
with e.g. Bevan et al. (2018). Further, it is notable that maximum values simulated in the northwestern inlets during high melt years (up to 797 mm w.e., 602mm w.e. and 1025 mm w.e. in
Mill Inlet, on the south-west of Larsen C, during 2013/14, 2015/16 and 2016/17, respectively,
and up to 780 mm w.e. in Cabinet Inlet (the location of AWS 18), in the north-west, during

2006/07) exceed the ~725 mm w.e yr<sup>-1</sup> observed over Larsen B before its collapse (Trusel et al.,
2015).



Annual cumulative surface melt amount (mm w.e.)

- Figure 3. Total annual cumulative snow melt amount (in mm meltwater equivalent per year, mm w.e. yr<sup>-1</sup>) across the Larsen C ice shelf for each melt year (August - July, defined as in Luckman et al., 2014 and Bevan et al., 2018) in the period 1998-2017. The 50 m elevation contour, approximately the height of the modelled ice shelf at the grounding line, is shown in black, and additional elevation contours at 500 m intervals are shown in light grey. The bottom right subplot
- 497 shows the mean annual cumulative snow melt amount for all melt seasons.



Figure 4. Box-and-whisker plot of modelled annual meltwater production (in mm w.e. yr<sup>-1</sup>) over 500 the Larsen C ice shelf and tributary glaciers during each melt year (August-July) in the hindcast 501 period. The median meltwater production totals over the whole ice shelf for each melt year are 502 503 shown as white lines, the boxes show the interquartile range and the whiskers show the minimum and maximum values. Years when the median melt amount exceeds +1 standard deviation above 504 the median are shown in dark orange, while years where median melt amount is less than -1 505 standard deviation below the median are shown in green. The horizontal line shows maximum 506 507 meltwater production +1 standard deviation above the median for the whole period. 508

3.3 Modelled meltwater production: The 2001/02 melt season, prior to the break-up of Larsen B

Having established that the MetUM is able to realistically simulate the magnitude and spatial 511 512 patterns of surface melting observed on the Larsen C ice shelf, we now consider as a case study the period immediately preceding the collapse of Larsen B. Figure 5 shows the cumulative melt 513 amount simulated over the seven-month time period prior to Larsen B's collapse, from the 514 additional shorter hindcast for the period 1 September 2001 - 31 March 2002 (with the Larsen B 515 ice shelf still intact). Mean cumulative surface melt of 340 mm w.e. is modelled across the 516 Larsen B ice shelf during 1 September - 15 February (when Larsen B began to disintegrate), 517 peaking at 664 mm w.e. in the foot of the mountains (Figure 5). This magnitude of melt is 518 comparable to the value of  $\sim$ 725 mm w.e. yr<sup>-1</sup> reported by Trusel et al. (2015) to have been 519

- 520 observed prior to its collapse. Particularly, melting in inlets close to the grounding line
- 521 (approximately in the vicinity of the 50 m elevation contour given in Figure 5) could have
- 522 destabilised the ice shelf in a critical area. Melt-induced thinning in the vicinity of the grounding
- 523 line has been shown to reduce ice shelf buttressing capacity more considerably than elsewhere on
- 524 the shelf (Khazendar et al., 2016).



Figure 5. Cumulative surface melt amount simulated during the period 1 September 2001 – 15
February 2002 over the Antarctic Peninsula domain (with the Larsen B ice shelf still intact, main
figure) and zoomed in over the Larsen B ice shelf only (inset). The 50 m elevation contour is
shown in both plots as the black contour.

530

The ability of this model run to capture the causes of this extensive melting are next examined. 531 In the simulation, the majority of melting occurred mid-November - February, with sustained 532 daily mean modelled ice-shelf melt fluxes and meltwater production of 8.4 W m<sup>-2</sup> and 2.2 mm 533 w.e., respectively. Van den Broeke (2005) reports that the 2001/02 melt season was three times 534 longer than the average of the preceding five summers because of the synoptic conditions, which 535 established anomalously low sea ice concentrations in the Weddell Sea (east of Larsen B) and 536 537 strong foehn flow. Figure 6a shows the mean modelled meteorological conditions across the entire Antarctic Peninsula domain, and over Larsen B (Figure 6b) during the period 10 538

- November 2001 1 March 2002. During this period, the melt point was frequently reached (not shown), allowing melting to occur, especially in a narrow band along the foot of the mountains in the northwest of the ice shelf, where  $T_{max}$  was also frequently above 0°C (Figure 6b).
- 542

Low wind speeds (<3 m s<sup>-1</sup>) over Larsen B and strong upwind westerly flow caused by an 543 anomalously deep Amundsen Sea Low suggests that foehn were important in producing higher 544 surface melt fluxes. This is also suggested by Cape et al. (2015), who show a strong correlation 545 between the monthly mean number of melt days and monthly mean foehn frequency anomaly 546 over Larsen B during this period, and is further supported by the negative and positive mean H<sub>L</sub> 547 and H<sub>s</sub>, respectively, shown in Figure 6c and 6d. Large negative and positive H<sub>L</sub> and H<sub>s</sub> fluxes of 548 the order of 100s W m<sup>-2</sup>, respectively, are simulated in the lee of mountains upstream of Larsen 549 B, suggesting an influx of warmer, drier air produced by foehn flow. During this period, this 550 generates mean Etot fluxes averaged across Larsen B of 32.0 W m<sup>-2</sup>, driving melt whenever 551 surface temperatures exceed the melting point. 552



Figure 6. Mean modelled synoptic meteorological conditions and SEB components during 10 555 November 2001 - 1 March 2002, when excessive melt was occurring over Larsen B prior to its 556 breakup. Panels a) and b) show mean meteorological conditions, where coloured shading shows 557 the mean daily maximum 1.5 m air temperature throughout this period, and contours and vectors 558 give mean sea level pressure and 10 m winds, respectively. Note that the land-sea mask includes 559 the Larsen A and B ice shelves. Mean conditions are shown in panel a) while the inset (panel b) 560 shows conditions over the Larsen B ice shelf only. Note that the temperature and wind speed 561 scales are altered in the inset to show more detail. Panels c) - f) show mean surface energy fluxes 562 (H<sub>L</sub>, H<sub>S</sub>, E<sub>tot</sub> and E<sub>melt</sub>, respectively) over the Larsen B ice shelf, in units of W m<sup>-2</sup>. 563

# 3.4 Frequency of foehn events: Larsen C, from 1998 to 2017

The frequency of foehn events at inlet and ice shelf stations is diagnosed using the isentrope-

based method detailed in Section 2.5. Table 3 shows summary statistics (mean, median and
standard deviations) of foehn occurrence at inlet and ice shelf stations for the hindcast period,
decomposed into seasons, and given as an annual average. The modelled spatial distribution of
foehn occurrence across the Larsen C ice shelf is shown in Figure 7, computed using the method

- of Turton et al. (2018) detailed in Section 2.5.
- 571

Consistent with previous studies (e.g. Turton et al., 2018; Wiesennekker et al., 2018; Datta et al., 572 2019; Elvidge et al., 2020) the highest foehn frequencies are simulated in the immediate lee of 573 574 steep elevation, with foehn events occurring on average 16% of the time annually at inlet stations and 13% of the time at ice shelf stations (Figure 7, Table 3), comparable values to those cited in 575 the aforementioned studies. A clear gradient is evident in Figure 7 with foehn frequency 576 declining with distance from the mountains. The gradient is qualitatively similar to the gradient 577 in surface melting shown in Figure 3, with higher melt simulated in the northwest and in inlets, 578 suggesting a key role for foehn in causing surface melt (see also Elvidge et al., 2020). The 579 importance of foehn in driving melt will be evaluated in Part 2 of this study. Foehn events are 580 most common during September-October-November (SON) at all locations and standard 581 deviations are highest in DJF and March-April-May (MAM), indicating higher inter-annual 582 variability in foehn occurrence in these seasons (Table 3). In recent years, unusually high foehn-583 driven surface melting has been reported in non-summer seasons, particularly MAM 2016 584 (Kuipers Munneke et al., 2018). This finding is discussed in detail in the next subsection. 585 586

- - -
- 587



**Figure 7**. Annual modelled foehn occurrence over the Larsen C ice shelf for a) the full hindcast

- period 1998-2017 and b) MAM 2016. Foehn occurrence is shown as the mean percentage of time
- <sup>591</sup> over the period where foehn conditions are diagnosed over Larsen C.

Table 3. Seasonal and annual foehn frequency statistics for ice shelf and inlet stations on the
Larsen C ice shelf over the period 1998-2017. Means and standard deviations ("SD") are given
for each season and annual totals. Values are calculated from hindcast output using the isentropebased method described in section 2.5.

		Mean	SD	
Ice shelf	DJF	11.2%	3.7%	
	MAM	12.1%	3.9%	
	JJA	13.4%	3.3%	
	SON	14.5%	3.1%	
	ANN	12.7%	2.4%	
		Mean	SD	
Inlet	DJF	15.4%	4.0%	
	MAM	15.4%	3.7%	
	JJA	16.1%	3.0%	
	SON	18.5%	2.7%	
	ANN	16.1%	1.9%	

610

## 611 3.5 Frequency of foehn events: Larsen C, MAM 2016

Unusually frequent and intense foehn flow was simulated in the hindcast during the second half

of MAM 2016 (Table 4, Figures 7b and S5), the period also examined in Kuipers Munneke et al.

614 (2018). As shown in Figure 7b, foehn frequencies exceeding 20% of the time are simulated in

several inlets. Only two years of observations were used in the Kuipers Munneke et al. study,

which made it difficult to determine how anomalous these conditions were. However, two more

recent studies, Wiesenekker et al. (2019) and Datta et al. (2019), examine this period in the

context of longer model runs, satellite and AWS data. These studies, as well as the 20 years of

619 hindcast data presented here make it possible to contextualise these findings.

620

Mean meteorological conditions during 15 April – 31 May 2016 are shown in Figure 8a. Strong cross-peninsula flow is simulated and mean near-surface daily maximum air temperatures are 5.8°C warmer than climatology for the period, causing surface temperatures to frequently reach the melting point and for air temperatures to climb as high as 12.6°C in Mill Inlet on the 25 May 2016 (the peak of the case identified in Kuipers Munneke et al., 2018).

626

27

This synoptic situation permits foehn to occur and perturb the SEB. Panels b - f in Figure 8 show 627 mean anomalies for individual SEB components during 15 April – 31 May. Increased surface 628 temperature produces modest negative LW<sup>1</sup> and LW<sub>net</sub> anomalies (Figure 8b) but the turbulent 629 fluxes differ considerably from the climatology. Negative  $H_L$  anomalies leeward of the 630 mountains (Figure 8c) indicate that the air is drier than the climatology and that sublimation 631 occurs over Larsen C. Extremely positive sensible heat anomalies (Figure 8d) are modelled east 632 of the mountain crest and extend across the ice shelf as foehn flow mixes warm, dry air towards 633 634 the surface. H<sub>s</sub> fluxes dominate the SEB during the three primary foehn events that occur during the period (Figure S5). This strong foehn effect generates mean E<sub>tot</sub> anomalies (Figure 8e) of up 635 to 76.8 W m<sup>-2</sup> in the lee of the mountains. Mean  $E_{melt}$  anomalies (Figure 8f) of up to 61.1 W m<sup>-2</sup> 636 are simulated wherever E<sub>tot</sub> is positive, as mean maximum air temperatures are above 0°C in 637 almost all locations (Figure 8a). These modelled anomalies agree well with the observational and 638 model data presented in Kuipers Munneke et al. (2018), which show that foehn events produced 639 640 by the isentropic drawdown mechanism (Elvidge & Renfrew, 2016) delivered large sensible heat fluxes (up to 300 W m<sup>-2</sup> in the strongest case) that were responsible for driving melting during 641 642 May 2016. They are also in agreement with Datta et al. (2019) and Wiesenekker et al. (2019), which both show above-average foehn occurrence in March-May 2016. 643

Table 4. Mean modelled MAM foehn occurrence during the model hindcast period ("1998-2017
mean"), mean modelled MAM foehn occurrence during the hindcast period plus one standard
deviation ("Mean + SD") and modelled foehn occurrence during MAM 2016 ("MAM 2016") at
each station.

AWS	1998-2017 mean (%)	Mean + SD (%)	MAM 2016 (%)
AWS 14	13.9	17.6	22.8
AWS 15	10.3	13.5	18.3
AWS 17	15.7	19.3	21.5
AWS 18	15.1	18.9	24.2

Figure 9 shows that the associated E<sub>melt</sub> anomalies result in anomalous cumulative meltwater 650 production over Larsen C, with 29 times more melt (5.7 Gt) produced during the MAM 2016 651 season than in the 1998-2017 MAM climatology (0.2 Gt), representing 35.4% of the meltwater 652 production for the 2015/16 melt year (August-July, 16.0 Gt). This value is consistent with the 653 23% of annual meltwater production reported by Kuipers Munneke et al. (2018) for the period 654 January-December 2016. These results are also consistent with the results of Datta et al. (2019) 655 who find elevated foehn occurrence and meltwater production during March and May 2016 656 compared with the 2016 annual mean. 657

658

The mean modelled MAM 2016 meltwater production anomaly over Larsen C relative to the 659 model MAM climatology is shown in Figure 7b, with maximum simulated melt along a transect 660 661 shown in Figure 9a. Maximum melt fluxes along the transect are highest in the immediate lee of the mountains, and diminish rapidly with distance from the peak of orography as warm, dry 662 663 foehn air is increasingly mixed into cold ambient air. Regions of elevated melt exist further out onto the ice shelf in some regions, with "streams" of higher melt emanating from the mouths of 664 inlets. The locations of these qualitatively match with the foehn "jet" regions identified by 665 Elvidge et al. (2015), which are typically cooler but experience higher wind speeds during foehn 666 events. They are downstream of mountain passes which channel the flows as "gap winds" and 667 enhance the wind speed, but cause air to be sourced from lower altitude, meaning that it is cooler 668 669 when it reaches the surface than in adjacent "wake" regions. Because the events during MAM 2016 are so intense and ambient temperatures are so high, the relatively cooler jet temperatures 670 do not limit melting, and the elevated wind speeds enhance the sensible heat flux enough to drive 671 extremely intense melting in these jet regions. 672



Figure 8. Mean modelled synoptic meteorological conditions and surface flux and temperature 673 anomalies during 15 April – 31 May 2016. Panel a) shows mean modelled meteorological 674 conditions, where colours indicate the mean daily maximum 1.5 m air temperature anomaly (in 675 °C), contours show mean sea level pressure (hPa) and vectors show mean 10 m wind speed and 676 direction. Panels b) to f) show flux anomalies, in W m<sup>-2</sup>, of LW<sub>net</sub>, H<sub>L</sub>, H<sub>S</sub>, E<sub>tot</sub> and E<sub>melt</sub>, 677 respectively. In all panels the anomalies are calculated relative to the 1998-2017 model 678 climatology for 15 April – 31 May. Blue colours indicate negative anomalies while red colours 679 show positive anomalies. 680



**Figure 9.** Mean meltwater production over Larsen C during 15 April – 31 May 2016. Panel a) shows the maximum cumulative melt produced along an east-west transect, indicated by the grey box in panel b). Panel b) shows the mean cumulative meltwater production anomaly with respect to the 1998-2017 model climatology for 15 April – 31 May.

#### 684 **4 Conclusions**

The high-resolution regional model hindcast presented here is a novel dataset with which to 685 evaluate meteorology, SEB and surface melt over the central Antarctic Peninsula. Visual 686 687 inspection shows that the hindcast qualitatively reproduces the longitudinal and latitudinal gradients of surface melting on Larsen C identified from satellite observations, which are known 688 to be linked to the north-south gradient in temperature and SW radiation and the east-west 689 gradient in foehn wind occurrence. This multi-decadal hindcast of surface melt, meteorology and 690 691 SEB builds on Elvidge et al. (2020), which uses a similar configuration of the MetUM to explain 692 the influence of foehn winds on the SEB of Larsen C during a shorter six-month period.

693

By re-visiting a case study of the period immediately preceding the collapse of the Larsen B ice
shelf, we show that the hindcast captures both the magnitude of surface melting observed on
Larsen B prior to its collapse, and the driving meteorological conditions implicated in its
disintegration.

698

Compared to other years in the hindcast, much higher foehn frequency was simulated in MAM 699 2016, consistent with Kuipers Munneke et al. (2018). Exceptionally high foehn occurrence in 700 this season (more than two standard deviations above the mean at three of the four AWSs 701 702 considered) produced very large sensible heat flux anomalies, which drove positive E<sub>tot</sub> and E<sub>melt</sub> fluxes. These results indicate that the large proportion of melt, 23%, observed in the period 703 April-October (taken to be 'winter' in Kuipers Munneke et al., 2018) was much higher in 2016 704 than it has been in other years in the hindcast, providing a better 'big picture' context in which to 705 view those results. 706

707

The hindcast results presented in this study build upon previous work that has attempted to
quantify the patterns of surface melting on Larsen C (e.g. Elvidge et al., 2016; 2020; King et al.,
2017; Turton et al., 2018; 2020; Weisenekker et al., 2019; Kirchgaessner et al., 2019; Datta et al.,
2019; Laffin et al., 2021). These results advance our understanding by using a non-hydrostatic
RCM at sufficiently high resolution to capture foehn winds, which are demonstrably important
for determining surface melt. However, the configuration of the MetUM used in this study has
relatively simplistic snow and albedo parameterisations compared to those used in the MAR and

715 RACMO models, which likely contributes to biases in the simulated SEB. Nevertheless, MAR

- and RACMO are hydrostatic and so simulate foehn flows less well, and hindcasts using these
- 717 models have been used at coarser resolutions. Further development to implement more
- sophisticated schemes in the MetUM, such as the multi-layer snow model within the JULES land
- surface model (Walters et al., 2019) and prognostic albedo (Best et al., 2011) must therefore be a
- 720 priority to address this limitation.
- 721

Part 2 of this study will further explore the causes and implications of surface melting on Larsen
C. It will use the hindcast model output to identify the most important meteorological drivers of
surface melting on Larsen C, specifically by quantifying the influence of foehn winds, cloud
phase and large-scale circulation on the SEB.

727

# 728 Acknowledgments, Samples, and Data

The authors declare no conflicts of interest. This work was supported by the Natural 729 730 Environment Research Council through the EnvEast Doctoral Training Partnership (grant number NE/L002582/1). The authors also acknowledge use of the MONSooN system, a 731 collaborative facility supplied under the Joint Weather and Climate Research Programme, a 732 strategic partnership between the Met Office and the Natural Environment Research Council. 733 734 The authors gratefully acknowledge Prof. Michiel R. van den Broeke, who kindly provided AWS data. The manuscript was greatly improved by the comments of R. Tri Datta, J. Lenaerts and two 735 736 anonymous reviewers.

737 Hindcast model data can be accessed on the CEDA archive at

- https://catalogue.ceda.ac.uk/uuid/41c879b06af642e9bc8e12d1d0ea3d62 and can be cited as
- Gilbert, E. (2020): High-resolution regional Met Office Unified Model (UM) climate model
- <sup>740</sup> hindcast of the Antarctic Peninsula (1998-2017). Centre for Environmental Data Analysis, date
- of citation. AWS data can be retrieved from https://www.projects.science.uu.nl/iceclimate/aws/.

## 742 **References**

- Bannister, D. (2015). Föhn winds on South Georgia and their impact on regional climate. PhD
  thesis, University of East Anglia.
- Best, M. J., Pryor, M., Clark, D. B., Rooney, G. G., Essery, R. L. H., Menard, C. B., Edwards, J.
- M., Hendry, M. A., Porson, A., Gedney, N., Mercado, L. M., Sitch, S., Blyth, E., Boucher, O.,
- Cox, P. M., Grimmond, C. S. B., and Harding, R. J. (2011). The joint UK Land Environment
- Simulator (JULES), model description Part 1: energy and water fluxes. *Geoscientific Model*
- 749 Development, 4, 677-699, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-4-677-2011.
- Bevan, S., Luckman, A., Hubbard, B., Kulessa, B., Ashmore, D., Kuipers Munneke, P., O'Leary,
- M., Booth, A., Sevestre, H., & McGrath, D. (2017). Centuries of intense surface melt on Larsen
- 752 C Ice Shelf. The Cryosphere Discussions, 1–21. https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-2017-81
- 753 Borstad, C. P., Rignot, E., Mouginot, J., & Schodlok, M. P. (2013). Creep deformation and
- buttressing capacity of damaged ice shelves: Theory and application to Larsen C ice shelf.
- 755 Cryosphere, 7(6), 1931–1947. https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-7-1931-2013
- Bush, M., Allen, T., Bain, C., Boutle, I., Edwards, J., Finnenkoetter, A., Franklin, C., Hanley, K.,
- Lean, H., Lock, A., Manners, J., Mittermaier, M., Morcrette, C., North, R., Petch, J., Short, C.,
- Vosper, S., Walters, D., Webster, S., ... Zerroukat, M. (2020). The first Met Office Unified

- 759 Model-JULES Regional Atmosphere and Land configuration, RAL1. Geoscientific Model
- 760 Development, 13, 1999–2029. https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-13-1999-2020
- 761 Cape, M. R., Vernet, M., Skvarca, P., Marinsek, S., Scambos, T., & Domack, E. (2015). Foehn
- 762 winds link climate-driven warming to ice shelf evolution in Antarctica. Journal of Geophysical
- 763 Research: Atmospheres, 120(21), 11037–11057. https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JD023465
- Carrasco, J. F., Bozkurt, D., & Cordero, R. R. (2021). A review of the observed air temperature
- in the Antarctic Peninsula. Did the warming trend come back after the early 21st hiatus? *Polar*
- 766 Science, 2021 (in press). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polar.2021.100653
- Cook, A. J., & Vaughan, D. G. (2010). Overview of areal changes of the ice shelves on the
- Antarctic Peninsula over the past 50 years. *The Cryosphere Discussions*, *3*(2), 579–630.
- 769 https://doi.org/10.5194/tcd-3-579-2009
- Datta, R. T., Tedesco, M., Fettweis, X., Agosta, C., Lhermitte, S., Lenaerts, J. T. M., & Wever,
- N. (2019). The Effect of Foehn-Induced Surface Melt on Firn Evolution Over the Northeast
- Antarctic Peninsula. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *46*, 3822–3831.
- 773 https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GL080845
- Dee, D. P., Uppala, S. M., Simmons, A. J., Berrisford, P., Poli, P., Kobayashi, S., Andrae, U.,
- Balmaseda, M. A., Balsamo, G., Bauer, P., Bechtold, P., Beljaars, A. C. M., van de Berg, L.,
- Bidlot, J., Bormann, N., Delsol, C., Dragani, R., Fuentes, M., Geer, A. J., ... Vitart, F. (2011).
- 777 The ERA-Interim reanalysis: Configuration and performance of the data assimilation system.

- 778 Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 137(656), 553–597.
- 779 https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.828
- 780 Dutra, E., Sandu, I., Balsamo, G., Beljaars, A., Freville, H., Vignon, E., & Brun, E. (2015).
- 781 Understanding the ECMWF winter surface temperature biases over Antarctica. In *ECMWF*
- 782 Internal Report (Vol. 762, Issue January 2016). https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.1.5165.5762
- Elvidge, A. D., & Renfrew, I. A. (2016). The causes of foehn warming in the lee of mountains.
- 784 Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 97(3), 455–466.
- 785 https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-14-00194.1
- Elvidge, A. D., Renfrew, I. A., King, J. C., Orr, A., Lachlan-Cope, T. A., Weeks, M., & Gray, S.
- L. (2015). Foehn jets over the Larsen C Ice Shelf, Antarctica. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal*
- 788 Meteorological Society, 141(688), 698–713. https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.2382
- 789 Elvidge, A. D., Renfrew, I. A., King, J. C., Orr, A., & Lachlan-Cope, T. A. (2016). Foehn
- 790 warming distributions in nonlinear and linear flow regimes: A focus on the Antarctic Peninsula.
- 791 *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, *142*(695), 618–631.
- 792 https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.2489
- Elvidge, A. D., Kuipers Munneke, P., King, J. C., Renfrew, I. A., & Gilbert, E. (2020).
- Atmospheric Drivers of Melt on Larsen C Ice Shelf: Surface Energy Budget Regimes and the
- <sup>795</sup> Impact of Foehn. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 125(17).
- 796 https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JD032463
- 797 Fréville, H., Brun, E., Picard, G., Tatarinova, N., Arnaud, L., Lanconelli, C., Reijmer, C., & Van
- 798 Den Broeke, M. (2014). Using MODIS land surface temperatures and the Crocus snow model to

- understand the warm bias of ERA-Interim reanalyses at the surface in Antarctica. *Cryosphere*,
- 800 8(4), 1361–1373. https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-8-1361-2014
- Fürst, J. J., Durand, G., Gillet-chaulet, F., Tavard, L., Rankl, M., Braun, M., & Gagliardini, O.
- 802 (2016). The safety band of Antarctic ice shelves. *Nature Climate Change*, 6(February), 2014–
- 803 2017. https://doi.org/10.1038/NCLIMATE2912
- Gilbert, E. (2020) Atmospheric drivers of surface melting on the Larsen C ice shelf, Antarctic
  Peninsula. PhD thesis, University of East Anglia.
- Gilbert, E., Orr, A., King, J. C., Renfrew, I. A., Lachlan-Cope, T., Field, P. F., & Boutle, I. A.
- 807 (2020). Summertime cloud phase strongly influences surface melting on the Larsen C ice shelf,
- 808 Antarctica. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, *146*(729), 1575–1589.
- 809 https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3753
- Holland, P. R., Corr, H. F. J., Pritchard, H. D., Vaughan, D. G., Arthern, R. J., Jenkins, A., &
- Tedesco, M. (2011). The air content of Larsen Ice Shelf. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 38(10),
- 812 1–6. <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2011GL047245</u>
- Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Hirahara, S., Horányi, A., Muñoz-Sabater, J., Nicolas, J.,
- Peubey, C., Radu, R., Schepers, D., Simmons, A., Soci, C., Abdalla, S., Abellan, X., Balsamo,
- G., Bechtold, P., Biavati, G., Bidlot, J., Bonavita, M., ... Thépaut, J. N. (2020). The ERA5 global
- reanalysis. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, 146(730), 1999–2049.
- 817 https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3803Hubbard, B., Luckman, A., Ashmore, D. W., Bevan, S.,
- Kulessa, B., Kuipers Munneke, P., Philippe, M., Jansen, D., Booth, A., Sevestre, H., Tison, J.-L.,
- O'Leary, M., & Rutt, I. (2016). Massive subsurface ice formed by refreezing of ice-shelf melt
- ponds. Nature Communications, 7(May), 11897. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms11897
- 821 Khazendar, A., Rignot, E., & Larour, E. (2011). Acceleration and spatial rheology of Larsen C
- Ice Shelf, Antarctic Peninsula. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 38(January), 1–5.
- 823 https://doi.org/10.1029/2011GL046775
- King, J. C., Kirchgaessner, A., Orr, A., Luckman, A., Bevan, S., Elvidge, A., Renfrew, I. A., &
- Kuipers Munneke, P. (2017). The impact of foehn winds on surface energy balance and melt

- over Larsen C Ice Shelf, Antarctica. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 122(22),
- 827 12062–12076. https://doi.org/10.1002/2017JD026809
- Kirchgaessner, A., King, J., & Gadian, A. (2019). The Representation of Föhn Events to the East
- 829 of the Antarctic Peninsula in Simulations by the Antarctic Mesoscale Prediction System. *Journal*
- of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 124(24), 13663–13679.
- 831 https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JD030637
- Kuipers Munneke, P., Ligtenberg, S. R. M., Van Den Broeke, M. R., & Vaughan, D. G. (2014).
- Firn air depletion as a precursor of Antarctic ice-shelf collapse. *Journal of Glaciology*, 60(220),
- 834 205–214. https://doi.org/10.3189/2014JoG13J183
- Kuipers Munneke, P., Van Den Broeke, M. R., King, J. C., Gray, T., & Reijmer, C. H. (2012).
- Near-surface climate and surface energy budget of Larsen C ice shelf, Antarctic Peninsula.
- 837 *Cryosphere*, 6(2), 353–363. https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-6-353-2012
- Kuipers Munneke, P., van den Broeke, M. R., Reijmer, C. H., Helsen, M. M., Boot, W.,
- 839 Schneebeli, M., & Steffen, K. (2009). The role of radiation penetration in the energy budget of
- the snowpack at Summit, Greenland. *The Cryosphere*, *3*(1), 155–165.
- 841 https://doi.org/10.5194/tcd-3-277-2009
- Laffin, M. K., Zender, C. S., Singh, S., Van Wessem, J. M., Smeets, C. J. P. P., & Reijmer, C. H.
- 843 (2021). Climatology and Evolution of the Antarctic Peninsula Föhn Wind-Induced Melt Regime

- From 1979–2018. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 126(4), 1–19.
- 845 https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JD033682
- Liu, H., Jezek, K. C., Li, B., & Zhao, Z. (2015). RadarSat Antarctic Mapping Project Digital
- *Elevation Model, version 2.* NSIDC; NASA National Snow and Ice Data Center Distributed
- 848 Active Archive Center. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.5067/8JKNEW6BFRVD
- Luckman, A., Elvidge, A., Jansen, D., Kulessa, B., Kuipers Munneke, P., King, J., & Barrand, N.
- E. (2014). Surface melt and ponding on Larsen C Ice Shelf and the impact of föhn winds.
- 851 Antarctic Science, 26(6), 625–635. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954102014000339
- Orr, A., Hunt, J. C. R., Capon, R., Sommeria, J., Cresswell, D., & Owinoh, A. (2005). Coriolis
- effects on wind jets and cloudiness along coasts. *Weather*, 60(10), 291–299.
- Orr, A., Phillips, T., Webster, S., Elvidge, A., Weeks, M., Hosking, S., & Turner, J. (2014). Met
- 855 Office Unified Model high-resolution simulations of a strong wind event in Antarctica.
- 856 *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, 140(684), 2287–2297.
- 857 https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.2296
- Orr, A. Kirchgaessner, A., King, J. C., Phillips, T., Gilbert, E., Elvidge, A., Weeks, M., Gadian,
- A., Kuipers Munneke, P., van den Broeke, M., Webster, S. & McGrath, D. (2021) Comparison
- of kilometre and sub-kilometre scale simulations of a foehn wind event over the Larsen C Ice

- Shelf, Antarctic Peninsula using the Met Office Unified Model (MetUM). *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*. Submitted.
- Parish, T. R. (1983). The Influence of the Antarctic Peninsula on the Wind Field Over the
- Western Weddell Sea. Journal of Geophysical Research, 88(C4), 2684–2692.
- 865 https://doi.org/10.1029/JC088iC04p02684
- Rignot, E., Casassa, G., Gogineni, P., Krabill, W., Rivera, A., & Thomas, R. (2004). Accelerated
- <sup>867</sup> ice discharge from the Antarctic Peninsula following the collapse of Larsen B ice shelf.
- 868 Geophysical Research Letters, 31(July), 2–5. https://doi.org/10.1029/2004GL020697
- Rott, H., Rack, W., Skvarca, P., & De Angelis, H. (2002). Northern Larsen Ice Shelf, Antarctica:
- further retreat after collapse. *Annals of Glaciology*, *34*, 277–282.
- 871 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.3189/172756402781817716
- 872 Rott, H., Skvarca, P., & Nagler, T. (1996). Rapid collapse of Northern Larsen ice shelf,
- Antarctica. Science, 271(5250), 788–792. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.271.5250.788
- Scambos, T. A., Bohlander, J. A., Shuman, C. A., & Skvarca, P. (2004). Glacier acceleration and
- thinning after ice shelf collapse in the Larsen B embayment, Antarctica. *Geophysical Research*
- 876 Letters, 31(18), 2001–2004. https://doi.org/10.1029/2004GL020670
- 877 Scambos, T. A., Hulbe, C., & Fahnestock, M. (2003). Climate-induced ice shelf disintegration in
- the Antarctic Peninsula. In E. Domack, A. Leventer, A. Burnet, R. Bindschadler, P. Convey, &
- 879 M. Kirby (Eds.), Antarctic Peninsula Climate Variability: Historical and Paleoenvironmental
- 880 *Perspectives* (Vol. 79, pp. 79–92). American Geophysical Union.
- 881 https://doi.org/doi:10.1029/AR079p0079
- Scambos, T. A., Hulbe, C., M.Fahnestock, & J.Bohlander. (2000). The link between climate
- warming and break-up of ice shelves in the Antarctica Peninsula. Journal of Glaciology,
- 46(154), 516–530. https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.3189/172756500781833043
- 885 Sedlar, J., Tjernström, M., Rinke, A., Orr, A., Cassano, J., Fettweis, X., Heinemann, G., Seefeldt,
- M., Solomon, A., Matthes, H., Phillips, T., & Webster, S. (2020). Confronting Arctic
- 887 Troposphere, Clouds, and Surface Energy Budget Representations in Regional Climate Models

- 888 With Observations. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, *125*(6), 1–29.
- 889 https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JD031783
- 890 Smeets, C. J. P. P. (2006). Assessing unaspirated temperature measurements using a
- thermocouple and a physically based model. The Mass Budget of Arctic Glaciers. Extended
- Abstracts, Workshop and GLACIODYN Planning Meeting, 29, 99–101. Available online at:
- 893 https://webspace.science.uu.nl/~reijm101/publications/2006\_abstracts\_nag.pdf#page=100
- 894 Smeets, P. C. J. P., Kuipers Munneke, P., van As, D., van den Broeke, M. R., Boot, W.,
- Oerlemans, H., Snellen, H., Reijmer, C. H., & van de Wal, R. S. W. (2018). The K-transect in
- west Greenland: Automatic weather station data (1993–2016). *Arctic, Antarctic, and Alpine*
- 897 Research, 50(1), e1420954. https://doi.org/10.1080/15230430.2017.1420954
- 898 Trusel, L. D., Frey, K. E., Das, S. B., Karnauskas, K. B., Kuipers Munneke, P., van Meijgaard,
- E., & van den Broeke, M. R. (2015). Divergent trajectories of Antarctic surface melt under two
- wenty-first-century climate scenarios. *Nature Geoscience*, 8(12), 927–932.
- 901 https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2563
- <sup>902</sup> Trusel, L. D., Frey, K. E., Das, S. B., Kuipers Munneke, P., & Van Den Broeke, M. R. (2013).
- 903 Satellite-based estimates of Antarctic surface meltwater fluxes. *Geophysical Research Letters*,
- 904 40(23), 6148–6153. https://doi.org/10.1002/2013GL058138
- <sup>905</sup> Turner, J., Lu, H., White, I., King, J. C., Phillips, T., Hosking, J. S., Bracegirdle, T. J., Marshall,
- 906 G. J., Mulvaney, R., & Deb, P. (2016). Absence of 21st century warming on Antarctic Peninsula

- 907 consistent with natural variability. Nature, 535(7612), 411–415.
- 908 https://doi.org/10.1038/nature18645
- <sup>909</sup> Turton, J. V., Kirchgaessner, A., Ross, A. N., & King, J. C. (2018). The spatial distribution and
- temporal variability of föhn winds over the Larsen C ice shelf, Antarctica. *Quarterly Journal of*
- 911 the Royal Meteorological Society, 144(713), 1169–1178. https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3284
- 912 Turton, J. V., Kirchgaessner, A., Ross, A., King, J., & Kuipers Munneke, P. (2020). The
- 913 influence of föhn winds on annual and seasonal surface melt on the Larsen C Ice Shelf,
- 914 Antarctica. *The Cryosphere* 14, 4165–4180. https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-2020-72
- van den Broeke, M. (2005). Strong surface melting preceded collapse of Antarctic Peninsula ice
- shelf. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 32, 2–5. https://doi.org/10.1029/2005GL023247
- 917 Van Wessem, J. M., Ligtenberg, S. R. M., Reijmer, C. H., Van De Berg, W. J., Van Den Broeke,
- M. R., Barrand, N. E., Thomas, E. R., Turner, J., Wuite, J., Scambos, T. A., & Van Meijgaard, E.
- 919 (2016). The modelled surface mass balance of the Antarctic Peninsula at 5.5 km horizontal
- 920 resolution. The Cryosphere, 10(1), 271–285. https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-10-271-2016
- Van Wessem, J. M., Reijmer, C. H., Van De Berg, W. J., Van Den Broeke, M. R., Cook, A. J.,
- Van Ulft, L. H., & Van Meijgaard, E. (2015). Temperature and wind climate of the Antarctic
- 923 Peninsula as simulated by a high-resolution Regional Atmospheric Climate Model. *Journal of*
- 924 *Climate*, 28(18), 7306–7326. https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-15-0060.1
- 925 Walters, D., Boutle, I., Brooks, M., Melvin, T., Stratton, R., Vosper, S., Wells, H., Williams, K.,
- 926 Wood, N., Allen, T., Bushell, A., Copsey, D., Earnshaw, P., Edwards, J., Gross, M., Hardiman,
- 927 S., Harris, C., Heming, J., Klingaman, N., ... Xavier, P. (2017). The Met Office Unified Model
- 928 Global Atmosphere 6.0/6.1 and JULES Global Land 6.0/6.1 configurations. *Geoscientific Model*
- 929 Development, 10(4), 1487–1520. https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-10-1487-2017
- 930 Wang, W., Zender, C. S., Van As, D., Smeets, P. C. J. P., & Van Den Broeke, M. R. (2016). A
- 931 Retrospective, Iterative, Geometry-Based (RIGB) tilt-correction method for radiation observed

- by automatic weather stations on snow-covered surfaces: Application to Greenland. *Cryosphere*, *10*(2), 727–741. https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-10-727-2016
- 934 Wiesenekker, J. M., Kuipers Munneke, P., van den Broeke, M. R., & Smeets, P. C. J. P. (2018).
- A multidecadal analysis of Föhn winds over Larsen C ice shelf from a combination of
- observations and modeling. *Atmosphere*, 9(5). https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos9050172
- 937 Wood, N., & Mason, P. (1993). The Pressure force induced by neutral, turbulent flow over hills.
- 938 *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, *119*(514), 1233–1267.
- 939 https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.49711951402
- 940 Wood, N., Staniforth, A., White, A., Allen, T., Diamantakis, M., Gross, M., Melvin, T., Smith,
- 941 C., Vosper, S., Zerroukat, M., & Thuburn, J. (2014). An inherently mass-conserving semi-
- 942 implicit semi-Lagrangian discretization of the deep-atmosphere global non-hydrostatic
- equations. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, *140*(682), 1505–1520.
- 944 https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.2235