# BIOLOGY LETTERS

#### royalsocietypublishing.org/journal/rsbl

Research



**Cite this article:** Galbraith JD, Ludington AJ, Sanders KL, Suh A, Adelson DL. 2021 Horizontal transfer and subsequent explosive expansion of a DNA transposon in sea kraits (*Laticauda*). *Biol. Lett.* **17**: 20210342. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2021.0342

Received: 22 June 2021 Accepted: 9 August 2021

#### Subject Areas:

evolution

#### **Keywords:**

horizontal transfer, transposable element, serpentes

#### Authors for correspondence:

Alexander Suh e-mail: a.suh@uea.ac.uk David L. Adelson e-mail: david.adelson@adelaide.edu.au

<sup>†</sup>Equally contributed to the paper.

Electronic supplementary material is available online at https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare. c.5564434.



## Genome biology

## Horizontal transfer and subsequent explosive expansion of a DNA transposon in sea kraits (*Laticauda*)

James D. Galbraith<sup>1</sup>, Alastair J. Ludington<sup>1</sup>, Kate L. Sanders<sup>1</sup>, Alexander Suh<sup>2,3,†</sup> and David L. Adelson<sup>1,†</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Biological Sciences, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, SA 5005, Australia
<sup>2</sup>School of Biological Sciences, University of East Anglia, Norwich Research Park, Norwich NR4 7TU, UK
<sup>3</sup>Department of Organismal Biology - Systematic Biology, Evolutionary Biology Centre, Uppsala University, Uppsala SE-752 36, Sweden

JDG, 0000-0002-1871-2108; AJL, 0000-0003-3994-6023; KLS, 0000-0002-9581-268X; AS, 0000-0002-8979-9992; DLA, 0000-0003-2404-5636

Transposable elements (TEs) are self-replicating genetic sequences and are often described as important 'drivers of evolution'. This driving force is because TEs promote genomic novelty by enabling rearrangement, and through exaptation as coding and regulatory elements. However, most TE insertions potentially lead to neutral or harmful outcomes, therefore host genomes have evolved machinery to suppress TE expansion. Through horizontal transposon transfer (HTT) TEs can colonize new genomes, and since new hosts may not be able to regulate subsequent replication, these TEs may proliferate rapidly. Here, we describe HTT of the Harbinger-Snek DNA transposon into sea kraits (Laticauda), and its subsequent explosive expansion within Laticauda genomes. This HTT occurred following the divergence of Laticauda from terrestrial Australian elapids approximately 15-25 Mya. This has resulted in numerous insertions into introns and regulatory regions, with some insertions into exons which appear to have altered UTRs or added sequence to coding exons. Harbinger-Snek has rapidly expanded to make up 8-12% of Laticauda spp. genomes; this is the fastest known expansion of TEs in amniotes following HTT. Genomic changes caused by this rapid expansion may have contributed to adaptation to the amphibious-marine habitat.

## 1. Introduction

Transposable elements (TEs) are self-replicating genetic elements that mobilize themselves across genomes. A substantial proportion of eukaryotic genomes is composed of TEs, with most reptilian and mammalian genomes comprising between 30 and 60% [1]. As TEs proliferate within a genome, most insertions will be either neutral or deleterious [2]. Over evolutionary timescales, the movement of TEs can enable major adaptive change; being exapted as coding and regulatory sequences, and by promoting both inter- and intra-chromosomal rearrangements such as segmental duplications, inversions and deletions through non-allelic homologous recombination (NAHR) [3,4]. Due to the deleterious effect of TE expansion, eukaryotes have evolved various defence and regulatory mechanisms [5–7].

In addition to being vertically inherited, TEs can also invade a new host through horizontal transposon transfer (HTT). While the exact mechanisms of HTT are unknown, many instances across eukaryotes have been reported [8–12]. It is expected that following HTT the expansion of new TEs is slowed

 $\odot$  2021 The Authors. Published by the Royal Society under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/, which permits unrestricted use, provided the original author and source are credited.

2

or halted due to the potentially deleterious effects they can cause [2,13], and any continued expansion will likely be slow. For example, following ancient HTT events the BovB retrotransposon has taken 32–39 My and 79–94 My to colonize between 6 and 18% of ruminant and Afrotheria genomes, respectively [9,14,15]. However, the rapid expansion of TEs following HTT has previously been noted in *Myotis* bats, where *hAT* transposons expanded to cover 3.3% of the genome over the space of 15 My [16–18].

Here, we report the HTT of a Harbinger DNA transposon, Harbinger-Snek, into Laticauda, a genus of marine snakes which diverged from terrestrial Australian snakes 15-25 Mya [19-21]. Since diverging from terrestrial snakes Laticauda transitioned to amphibious-marine habitats, foraging on coral reefs and returning to land only to digest prey, mate and lay eggs [22]. Surprisingly, no available strictly terrestrial animal genomes contained any trace of Harbinger-Snek, with the most similar sequences identified in sea urchins. Due to the absence of Harbinger-Snek-like sequences from terrestrial species and highly similar sequences present in marine species, we propose Harbinger-Snek was horizontally transferred to Laticauda from a marine donor genome during habitat transition. Furthermore, since this initial HTT event, Harbinger-Snek has expanded rapidly within the genomes of Laticauda and now accounts for 8% of the L. laticaudata assembly and 12% of the L. colubrina assembly.

## 2. Methods

All scripts/code/data used and produced can be found at: https://zenodo.org/record/5140605 [23].

#### (a) *Ab initio* repeat annotation of elapids

Using RepeatModeler2 [24], we performed *ab initio* annotation of the four Austro-Melanisian elapid genomes: *Laticauda colubrina* [25], *Notechis scutatus*, *Pseudonaja textilis* and *Aipysurus laevis* [26]. To improve the RepeatModeler2 libraries, we manually classified consensus sequences over 200 bp using a BLAST, extend, align and trim method, described by Galbraith *et al.* [27].

# (b) Identification of horizontal transfer and potential source/vectors

To identify any TEs restricted to a single lineage of elapid, we searched for all TEs identified by RepeatModeler2 using BLASTN (-task dc-megablast) [28] in the three other assemblies, as well assemblies of the Asian elapids *Naja naja* [29] and *Ophiophagus hannah* [30]. TEs present in high numbers in a species, but not present in the other elapids, were considered HTT candidates. This yielded a high copy number of *Harbinger* elements in *L. colubrina*. To rule out contamination, we searched for this element in a *L. laticaudata* genome assembly [25]. Using RPSBLAST [31] and the Pfam database [32], we identified *Harbinger* copies with intact protein-coding domains.

To identify potential source or vector species, we searched all metazoan RefSeq genomes with a contig N50 of at least 10 kbp with BLASTN (-penalty -5 -reward 4 -out -word\_size 11 -gapopen 12 -gapextend 8) (electronic supplementary material, table S1). In species containing similar elements, we created consensus sequences using the aforementioned BLAST, extend, align and trim method. As we had identified similar *Harbinger* elements in fish, bivalves and echinoderms from RefSeq, we repeated this process for all GenBank assemblies of other species from these clades with a contig N50 of at least 10 kbp.

We identified transposase domains present in curated Harbinger sequences and all autonomous Harbinger elements available from Repbase [33] using RPSBLAST [31] and the Pfam database [32]. Using MAFFT (-localpair) [34], we created a protein multiple sequence alignment (MSA) of identified transposase domains. After trimming the MSA with Gblocks [35], we constructed a phylogenetic tree using FastTree [36] and from this tree chose an appropriate outgroup to use with curated elements. We subsequently constructed a protein MSA of the curated transposases using MAFFT, trimmed the MSA with Gblocks and created a phylogeny using IQ-TREE 2 (-m MFP -B 1000), which selected TVMe+I+G4 as the best model [37-39]. For comparison, we also created phylogenies using the same MSA with MrBayes and RAxML [40,41]. To compare the repeat and species phylogenies, we created a species tree of major sampled animal taxa using TimeTree [42].

(c) Potential interaction of *Harbinger-Snek* with genes

Using the improved RepeatModeler2 libraries and the Repbase (-lepidosaur) library, we used RepeatMasker [43] to annotate the two species of *Laticauda*. Using Liftoff [44], we transferred the *No. scutatus* gene annotation from RefSeq [45] to the *L. colubrina* and *L. laticaudata* genome assemblies. To identify *Harbingers* in genes, exons and regulatory regions we intersected the RepeatMasker intervals and transferred gene intervals using plyranges [46]. To test for potential effects of these insertions on biological processes and molecular functions in *Laticauda,* we ran PANTHER overrepresentation tests [47] of each using *Anolis carolinensis* as a reference with genes annotated in *Laticauda* as a filter.

#### (d) Continued expression of *Harbinger-Snek*

To test if *Harbinger-Snek* is expressed in *L. laticaudata*, we aligned raw RNA-seq reads from vomeronasal organ, tongue, nasal cavity and liver tissue from Kishida *et al.* [25] (BioProject PRJDB7257) to the *L. laticaudata* genome using STAR [48]. Using IGV [49], we examined the alignments, examining intact *Harbinger-Snek* TEs and exons of genes in which we had identified *Harbinger* insertions.

## 3. Results and discussion

# (a) *Harbinger-Snek* is unlike transposons seen in terrestrial elapid snakes

Our *ab initio* repeat annotation revealed a novel *Harbinger* DNA transposon in *L. colubrina, Harbinger-Snek.* Using BLASTN, we found *Harbinger-Snek* present in both *L. colubrina* and *L. laticaudata,* but failed to identify any similar sequences in terrestrial relatives. *Harbingers* are a superfamily of transposons encoding two proteins, a transposase and a Myb-like DNA-binding protein [50]. While both are necessary for transposition [51], we identified multi-copy variants of *Harbinger-Snek* which encoded only one of the two proteins, going forward referred to as solo-ORF variants. These variants likely result from large deletions and may be non-autonomous. In addition, we identified many short non-autonomous variants which retain the same target site duplications and terminal motifs, yet encode no proteins.

# (b) *Harbinger-Snek* was horizontally transferred to *Laticauda*

Harbingers have previously been reported in a wide variety of aquatic vertebrates including fish and some crocodilians and

**Table 1.** The expansion of Harbinger elements in *Laticauda* spp. This dramatic expansion (cells with grey background), along with that of LTR elements, in *L. colubrina* has contributed to *L. colubrina* having a larger genome than terrestrial species. This increase is due to the expansion of *Harbinger-Snek* alone as they account for over 99.7% of the Harbingers present in each *Laticauda* assembly. This gain in *L. laticaudata* appears to have been offset to some degree by loss from other TE families. Mbp or percentage difference in assembly repeat content between *Laticauda* and the average of the terrestrial *Notechis scutatus* and *Pseudonaja textilis*. Repeat content was annotated using RepeatMasker [43] using a combined Repbase [33] and curated RepeatModeler2 [24] library.

	Notechis	Pseudonaja	L. colubrina		L. laticaudata		
retrotransposons				diff. Mbp (%)		diff. Mbp (%)	
SINEs (Mbp)	25.81	25.34	24.31	—1.27 (—0.06%)	24.57	-1.00 (-0.06%)	
Penelopes (Mbp)	33.19	33.08	42.34	+9.20 (0.45%)	45.28	+12.15 (0.78%)	
LINEs (Mbp)	277.65	266.79	262.89	-9.33 (-0.46%)	235.46	—36.76 (—2.36%)	
LTR elements (Mbp)	175.52	174.59	202.06	+27 (1.33%)	131.33	-43.73 (-2.81%)	
DNA transposons							
hAT (Mbp)	88.63	83.87	79.33	-6.92 (-0.34%)	77.62	-8.63 (-0.55%)	
<i>Tc1/Mariner</i> (Mbp)	61.56	56.27	57.80	—1.11 (—0.05%)	55.43	-3.48 (-0.22%)	
Harbinger (Mbp)	0.44	0.41	229.84	+229.42 (11.33%)	126.84	+126.42 (8.11%)	
Helitrons (Mbp)	3.24	3.19	3.09	-0.13 (-0.01%)	3.01	-0.20 (-0.01%)	
unclassified (Mbp)	165.40	156.35	140.72	-20.15 (-1.00%)	134.11	—26.77 (—1.72%)	
total TEs (Mbp)	798.05	766.60	999.63	+217.30 (10.73%)	788.05	5.72 (0.37%)	
assembly size (Mbp)	1665.53	1590.04	2024.69	+396.91 (19.60%)	1558.71	-69.01 (-4.43%)	

testudines, but not in solely terrestrial vertebrates [33]. Our repeat annotation of the *Laticauda, Aipysurus, Notechis* and *Pseudonaja* assemblies revealed *Harbingers* to be the dominant TE superfamily in both *Laticauda* species examined (table 1). As 99.7% of all *Harbingers* in the two *Laticauda* assemblies were *Harbinger-Snek*, this dominance is due solely to the expansion of *Harbinger-Snek* (electronic supplementary material, table S2). The absence of *Harbinger-Snek* from terrestrial relatives suggested it was horizontally transferred into the ancestral *Laticauda* genome, and our search of over 600 metazoan genome assemblies identified similar sequences only in echinoderms, bivalves and teleosts. We are aware that available genome sequences reflect taxonomically biased sampling, and this will have affected the species where we have detected similar TEs.

The nucleotide sequences most similar to *Harbinger-Snek* were identified in the purple sea urchin, *Strongylocentrotus purpuratus*, and were approximately 90% identical to the transposase coding region and approximately 88% identical to the DNA-binding protein. Based on (i) high numbers of *Harbinger-Snek* in both species of *Laticauda* sampled and (ii) similar sequences only present in marine species, we conclude that *Harbinger-Snek* was likely horizontally transferred to *Laticauda* following their divergence from terrestrial snakes 15–25 Mya, and prior to the crown group divergence of the eight recognized species in *Laticauda* (spanned by *L. colubrina and L. laticaudata*) approximately 15 Mya [19].

Our phylogenetic analysis (figure 1) of similar *Harbinger* transposase sequences placed *Harbinger-Snek* in a strongly supported cluster with *Harbingers* found in two sea urchins, *S. purpuratus* and *Hemicentrotus pulcherrimus* (order Echinoida). In addition, the species that cluster together elsewhere on the tree are not closely related, for example, the sister cluster to the *Laticauda*-Echinoidea cluster contains a variety of fish and bivalve species. The mismatch of the species tree and the transposase tree suggests the horizontal

transfer of *Harbinger-Snek*-like transposons may be widespread among these marine organisms. Interestingly, neither Echinoida assembly contained more than 10 *Harbinger-Snek*like transposons, none of which encode both proteins. *H. pulcherrimus Harbinger-Snek*-like transposons only contained the transposase ORF, while the *S. purpuratus* assembly contained *Harbinger-Snek*-like transposons encoding either the transposase or the DNA-binding protein.

# (c) *Harbinger-Snek* expanded rapidly in *Laticauda* and is now much less active

Both the RepeatMasker annotation and BLASTN searches revealed a massive expansion of Harbinger-Snek in both Laticauda species, making up 8% of the L. laticaudata assembly and 12% of the larger L. colubrina assembly (electronic supplementary material, table S2). To become established within a host genome following horizontal transfer, TEs must rapidly proliferate, or become lost due to genetic drift or negative selection [52]. To our knowledge, the largest previously described expansion of DNA transposons in amniotes following HTT is that of hATs in the bat Myotis lucifugus [16-18]. Following HT approximately 30 Mya, hAT transposons quickly expanded over 15 My at an estimated rate of approximately 0.7 Mbp My<sup>-1</sup> and currently make up approximately 3.3% of the M. lucifugus genome. Using the upper bound of Harbinger-Snek's transfer of 25 My (directly after their divergence from terrestrial Australian snakes), we calculate Harbinger-Snek to have expanded in L. colubrina at a rate of 11.3 Mbp My<sup>-1</sup> and in L. laticauda a rate of 8.12 Mby/My. Therefore, our finding is the largest described expansion of a TE in an amniote following HTT.

Mass expansion of existing TEs during speciation has previously been seen in many groups including primates [53], woodpeckers [54] and salmonids [55]. By making the genome more dynamic, these expansions may have fostered



**Figure 1.** The absence of *Harbinger-Snek* from terrestrial vertebrates and its highest similarity to *Harbingers* present in sea urchins support its horizontal transfer to *Laticauda* since transitioning to a marine habitat. Nodes without support values have support of 95% or higher. The distribution of species across this tree suggests *Harbinger-Snek*-like transposons were horizontally transferred between a wide variety of species. This figure is an extract of a maximum-likelihood phylogeny constructed from the aligned nucleotide sequences of the transposases present in curated elements using IQ-TREE 2 [37], for the full tree see electronic supplementary material, figure S1. We also reconstructed trees with similar topologies using RAxML and MrBayes (see methods). Clade phylogeny constructed with TimeTree [42].



**Figure 2.** Rapid, recent expansion of *Harbinger* transposons. Horizontal transfer of *Harbinger-Snek* into the *Laticauda* ancestor has occurred within the past 15–25 My [19]. Due to the dramatic expansion of *Harbinger-Snek* since, *Harbingers* have become the dominant DNA transposon superfamily in *Laticauda* genomes, in contrast with the genomes of their closest terrestrial relatives such as *Notechis scutatus* (diverged approximately 15–25 Mya). Repeat content calculated with Repeat-Masker [43].

rapid adaptations. The sharp peak in the divergence profile (figure 2) indicates *Harbinger-Snek's* expansion was rapid, and the small number of near-identical copies suggests expansion has slowed massively, especially in *L. laticaudata*.

Many more apparently complete and potentially intact copies of *Harbinger-Snek* are present in the *L. colubrina* assembly than the *L. laticaudata* assembly, with only one fully intact copy in *L. laticaudata*, but 269 in *L. colubrina*. Our alignment of

4

RU

5

*L. laticaudata* RNA-seq data from four tissues (vomeronasal organ, nasal cavity, tongue and liver) to the *L. laticaudata* genome revealed reads mapping across both coding regions of the intact copy of *Harbinger-Snek*. Therefore, *Harbinger-Snek* and its non-autonomous derivatives may still be transposing in *L. laticaudata*.

In addition to containing many more intact copies of the full element, *Laticauda colubrina* also contains a higher number of the solo-ORF variants than *L. laticaudata*, with 2263 intact transposase-only variants compared to 35, and 452 intact DNA-binding protein only variants compared to six. Based on this stark contrast, since divergence approximately 15 Mya [19] *L. colubrina* has maintained a higher rate of *Harbinger-Snek* expansion, or *L. laticaudata* has had a higher rate of *Harbinger-Snek* loss or has more efficiently suppressed expansion.

### (d) The accordion model—the expansion of Harbinger-

Snek has been balanced by loss in L. laticaudata The peak in Harbinger-Snek expansion in L. colubrina is both higher and more recent than L. laticaudata (figure 2). In addition, L. laticaudata has a much lower overall Harbinger-Snek content and genome size (table 1). Past observations in birds, mammals and squamates found increases in genome size due to transposon expansion are balanced by loss due to deletions through NAHR [56,57]. We expect that the mass expansion of Harbinger-Snek in Laticauda has generated many near-identical sites in the genome, in turn promoting NAHR. In spite of the explosive expansion of Harbinger-Snek in L. laticaudata, the genome size and total TE content is very similar to that of the terrestrial Pseudonaja and Notechis (table 1). This retention of a similar genome size is not seen in L. colubrina, the genome assembly of which is 20% larger than the terrestrial species. However, the overall TE content of the L. colubrina genome remains similar to that of L. laticaudata and the terrestrial species, with the expansion of TEs only contributing half of the total increase in genome size. This is consistent with the aforementioned expectation of balancing of TE expansion by deletions.

# (e) Expansion of *Harbinger-Snek* has potentially impacted gene function

In both species of *Laticauda*, many insertions of *Harbinger-Snek* overlap with or are contained within exons, regulatory regions and introns. Insertions overlapped with the exons of 56 genes in *L. colubrina* and 31 in *L. laticaudata*, 17 of which are shared (electronic supplementary material, table S3). By manually inspecting transcripts mapped to the

# *L. laticaudata* genome, we determined eight 3' UTRs and two coding exons predicted by Liftoff now contain *Harbinger-Snek* insertions which contribute to mRNA (electronic supplementary material, table S3). These genes have a wide range of functions, many of which could be significant in the context of adaptation. Of note, a fragmented insertion of *Harbinger-Snek* present in GTP Binding Protein 1 (GTPBP1) appears to have altered an ORF. Because GTPBP1 plays a role in regulating circadian mRNA stability [58], this could be consequential for aquatic adaptation.

We also identified insertions into 1685 and 888 potentially regulatory regions (within 5 kbp of the 5' UTR in genes) and into introns of 4141 and 1440 genes in *L. colubrina* and *L. laticauda*, respectively. PANTHER over/under-representation tests of these in gene and regulatory region insertions identified a number of pathways of potential adaptive significance (electronic supplementary material, table S4–S7). Therefore, *Harbinger-Snek* is a prime candidate in the search for genomic changes responsible for *Laticauda's* adaptation to a marine environment through altered gene expression.

## 4. Conclusion

In this report, we describe the rapid expansions of *Harbinger-Snek* TEs in *Laticauda* spp., which is to our knowledge, the fastest expansion of a DNA transposon in amniotes reported to date. The large number of insertions of *Harbinger-Snek* into exons and regulatory regions may have contributed to speciation and adaptation to a new habitat. As the HTT was prior to the divergence of eight *Laticauda* species, *Harbinger-Snek* presents a unique opportunity to reconstruct subsequent molecular evolution and determine the impact of HTT on the adaptation of *Laticauda* to the amphibious-marine habitat.

Ethics. All data were sourced from public data repositories. We carried out no fieldwork and did not deal with specimens.

Data accessibility. All data (genome sequences, transcriptomes) are publicly available from NCBI as detailed in the electronic supplementary material, table S6 in the electronic supplementary material, Information file SI\_Tables.xls. All code used in the analyses is available at https://github.com/jamesdgalbraith/Laticauda\_HT, a GitHub repository, this code is also archived (see below for link). All sequences used for analysis, multiple alignments and code are archived and freely available for re-use at: https://doi.org/10.5281/ zenodo.5140604 or https://zenodo.org/record/5140605 according to Creative Commons 4.0 international license.

Authors' contributions. J.D.G., A.S. and D.L.A. designed research; D.L.A. and A.S. supervised research; K.L.S. and A.L. provided the olive sea snake genome assembly; J.D.G. performed research; and J.D.G., K.L.S. and D.L.A. wrote the paper with input from A.L. and A.S. Competing interests. We declare we have no competing interests. Funding. We received no funding for this study.

## References

- Canapa A, Barucca M, Biscotti MA, Forconi M, Olmo E. 2015 Transposons, genome size, and evolutionary insights in animals. *Cytogenet. Genome Res.* 147, 217–239. (doi:10.1159/000444429)
- Cosby RL, Chang N-C, Feschotte C. 2019 Hosttransposon interactions: conflict, cooperation, and

cooption. *Genes Dev.* **33**, 1098–1116. (doi:10.1101/ gad.327312.119)

- Bourque G. 2009 Transposable elements in gene regulation and in the evolution of vertebrate genomes. *Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev.* **19**, 607–612. (doi:10.1016/j.gde.2009.10.013)
- Warren IA, Naville M, Chalopin D, Levin P, Berger CS, Galiana D, Volff J-N. 2015 Evolutionary impact of transposable elements on genomic diversity and lineage-specific innovation in vertebrates. *Chromosome Res.* 23, 505–531. (doi:10.1007/ s10577-015-9493-5)

- Koito A, Ikeda T. 2011 Intrinsic restriction activity by AID/APOBEC family of enzymes against the mobility of retroelements. *Mob. Genet. Elements* 1, 197–202. (doi:10.4161/mge.1.3.17430)
- Siomi MC, Sato K, Pezic D, Aravin AA. 2011 PIWIinteracting small RNAs: the vanguard of genome defence. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **12**, 246–258. (doi:10.1038/nrm3089)
- Deniz Ö, Frost JM, Branco MR. 2019 Regulation of transposable elements by DNA modifications. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 20, 417–431. (doi:10.1038/s41576-019-0117-3)
- El Baidouri M *et al.* 2014 Widespread and frequent horizontal transfers of transposable elements in plants. *Genome Res.* 24, 831–838. (doi:10.1101/gr. 164400.113)
- Ivancevic AM, Kortschak RD, Bertozzi T, Adelson DL. 2018 Horizontal transfer of BovB and L1 retrotransposons in eukaryotes. *Genome Biol.* 19, 85. (doi:10.1186/s13059-018-1456-7)
- Peccoud J, Loiseau V, Cordaux R, Gilbert C. 2017 Massive horizontal transfer of transposable elements in insects. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **114**, 4721–4726. (doi:10.1073/pnas.1621178114)
- Reiss D, Mialdea G, Miele V, de Vienne DM, Peccoud J, Gilbert C, Duret L, Charlat S. 2019 Global survey of mobile DNA horizontal transfer in arthropods reveals Lepidoptera as a prime hotspot. *PLoS Genet.* 15, e1007965. (doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1007965)
- Zhang HH, Peccoud J, Xu MRX, Zhang XG, Gilbert C. 2020 Horizontal transfer and evolution of transposable elements in vertebrates. *Nat. Commun.* 11, 1362. (doi:10.1038/s41467-020-15149-4)
- Gilbert C, Feschotte C. 2018 Horizontal acquisition of transposable elements and viral sequences: patterns and consequences. *Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev.* 49, 15–24. (doi:10.1016/j.gde.2018.02.007)
- Foley NM, Springer MS, Teeling EC. 2016 Mammal madness: is the mammal tree of life not yet resolved? *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* **371**, 20150140. (doi:10.1098/rstb.2015.0140)
- Chen L *et al.* 2019 Large-scale ruminant genome sequencing provides insights into their evolution and distinct traits. *Science* 364. Eaav6202. (doi:10. 1126/science.aav6202)
- Ray DA, Feschotte C, Pagan HJT, Smith JD, Pritham EJ, Arensburger P, Atkinson PW, Craig NL. 2008 Multiple waves of recent DNA transposon activity in the bat, *Myotis lucifugus. Genome Res.* 18, 717–728. (doi:10.1101/gr.071886.107)
- Pace II JK, Gilbert C, Clark MS, Feschotte C. 2008 Repeated horizontal transfer of a DNA transposon in mammals and other tetrapods. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci.* USA **105**, 17 023–17 028. (doi:10.1073/pnas. 0806548105)
- Novick P, Smith J, Ray D, Boissinot S. 2010 Independent and parallel lateral transfer of DNA transposons in tetrapod genomes. *Gene* 449, 85–94. (doi:10.1016/j.gene.2009.08.017)
- Sanders KL, Lee MSY, Leys R, Foster R, Keogh JS. 2008 Molecular phylogeny and divergence dates for Australasian elapids and sea snakes (hydrophiinae): evidence from seven genes for rapid evolutionary

radiations. *J. Evol. Biol.* **21**, 682–695. (doi:10.1111/j. 1420-9101.2008.01525.x)

- Sanders KL M, Lee MSY. 2010 Uncoupling ecological innovation and speciation in sea snakes (Elapidae, Hydrophiinae, Hydrophiini). *J. Evol. Biol.* 23, 2685–2693. (doi:10.1111/j.1420-9101.2010. 02131.x)
- Lee MSY, Sanders KL, King B, Palci A. 2016 Diversification rates and phenotypic evolution in venomous snakes (Elapidae). *R. Soc. Open Sci.* 3, 150277. (doi:10.1098/rsos.150277)
- Mirtschin P, Rasmussen A, Weinstein S. 2017 Australia's dangerous snakes: identification, biology and envenoming. Clayton South, VIC, Australia: CSIRO Publishing.
- Galbraith JD, Ludington AJ, Sanders KL, Suh A, Adelson DL. 2021 Horizontal transfer and subsequent explosive expansion of a DNA transposon in sea kraits (*Laticauda*). (doi:10.5281/ zenodo.5140605)
- Flynn JM, Hubley R, Goubert C, Rosen J, Clark AG, Feschotte C, Smit AF. 2020 RepeatModeler2 for automated genomic discovery of transposable element families. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **117**, 9451–9457. (doi:10.1073/pnas.1921046117)
- Kishida T, Go Y, Tatsumoto S, Tatsumi K, Kuraku S, Toda M. 2019 Loss of olfaction in sea snakes provides new perspectives on the aquatic adaptation of amniotes. *Proc. Biol. Sci.* 286, 20191828. (doi:10.1098/rspb.2019.1828)
- Ludington AJ, Sanders KL. 2021 Demographic analyses of marine and terrestrial snakes (Elapidae) using whole genome sequences. *Mol. Ecol.* 30, 545–554. (doi:10.1111/mec.15726)
- Galbraith JD, Ludington AJ, Suh A. 2020 New environment, new invaders—repeated horizontal transfer of LINEs to sea snakes. *Genome Biol. Evol.* 12, 2370–2383. (doi:10.1093/gbe/evaa208)
- Zhang Z, Schwartz S, Wagner L, Miller W. 2000 A greedy algorithm for aligning DNA sequences. *J. Comput. Biol.* 7, 203–214. (doi:10.1089/ 10665270050081478)
- Suryamohan K *et al.* 2020 The Indian cobra reference genome and transcriptome enables comprehensive identification of venom toxins. *Nat. Genet.* 52, 106–117. (doi:10.1038/s41588-019-0559-8)
- Vonk FJ *et al.* 2013 The king cobra genome reveals dynamic gene evolution and adaptation in the snake venom system. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **110**, 20 651–20 656. (doi:10.1073/pnas.1314702110)
- Altschul SF, Madden TL, Schäffer AA, Zhang J, Zhang Z, Miller W, Lipman DJ. 1997 Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search programs. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 25, 3389–3402. (doi:10.1093/nar/25.17.3389)
- Mistry J et al. 2021 Pfam: the protein families database in 2021. Nucleic Acids Res. 49, D412–D419. (doi:10.1093/nar/gkaa913)
- Bao W, Kojima KK, Kohany O. 2015 Repbase update, a database of repetitive elements in eukaryotic genomes. *Mob. DNA* 6, 11. (doi:10.1186/s13100-015-0041-9)

- Katoh K, Standley DM. 2013 MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: improvements in performance and usability. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 30, 772–780. (doi:10.1093/molbev/ mst010)
- Castresana J. 2000 Selection of conserved blocks from multiple alignments for their use in phylogenetic analysis. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 17, 540–552. (doi:10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev. a026334)
- Price MN, Dehal PS, Arkin AP. 2010 FastTree 2–approximately maximum-likelihood trees for large alignments. *PLoS ONE* 5, e9490. (doi:10.1371/ journal.pone.0009490)
- Minh BQ, Schmidt HA, Chernomor O, Schrempf D, Woodhams MD, von Haeseler A, Lanfear R. 2020 IQ-TREE 2: new models and efficient methods for phylogenetic inference in the genomic era. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 37, 1530–1534. (doi:10.1093/molbev/ msaa015)
- Kalyaanamoorthy S, Minh BQ, Wong TKF, von Haeseler A, Jermiin LS. 2017 ModelFinder: fast model selection for accurate phylogenetic estimates. *Nat. Methods* 14, 587–589. (doi:10.1038/nmeth.4285)
- Hoang DT, Chernomor O, von Haeseler A, Minh BQ, Vinh LS. 2018 UFBoot2: improving the ultrafast bootstrap approximation. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 35, 518–522. (doi:10.1093/molbev/msx281)
- Huelsenbeck JP, Ronquist F. 2001 MRBAYES: Bayesian inference of phylogenetic trees. *Bioinformatics* 17, 754–755. (doi:10.1093/ bioinformatics/17.8.754)
- Stamatakis A. 2014 RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large phylogenies. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 1312–1313. (doi:10. 1093/bioinformatics/btu033)
- Kumar S, Stecher G, Suleski M, Hedges SB. 2017 TimeTree: a resource for timelines, timetrees, and divergence times. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 34, 1812–1819. (doi:10.1093/molbev/msx116)
- Smit A. 2004 Repeat-Masker Open-3.0. See http:// www.repeatmasker.org.
- Shumate A, Salzberg SL. 2020 Liftoff: accurate mapping of gene annotations. *Bioinformatics* 37, 1639–1643. (doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btaa1016)
- O'Leary NA *et al.* 2016 Reference sequence (RefSeq) database at NCBI: current status, taxonomic expansion, and functional annotation. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 44, D733–D745. (doi:10.1093/nar/gkv1189)
- Lee S, Cook D, Lawrence M. 2019 plyranges: a grammar of genomic data transformation. *Genome Biol.* 20, 4. (doi:10.1186/s13059-018-1597-8)
- Mi H, Ebert D, Muruganujan A, Mills C, Albou LP, Mushayamaha T, Thomas PD. 2021 PANTHER version 16: a revised family classification, tree-based classification tool, enhancer regions and extensive API. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **49**, D394–D403. (doi:10. 1093/nar/gkaa1106)
- Dobin A, Davis CA, Schlesinger F, Drenkow J, Zaleski C, Jha S, Batut P, Chaisson M, Gingeras TR. 2013 STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. *Bioinformatics* 29, 15–21. (doi:10.1093/ bioinformatics/bts635)

6

- Robinson JT, Thorvaldsdóttir H, Winckler W, Guttman M, Lander ES, Getz G, Mesirov JP. 2011 Integrative genomics viewer. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 29, 24–26. (doi:10.1038/nbt.1754)
- Kapitonov VV, Jurka J. 2004 Harbinger transposons and an ancient HARBI1 gene derived from a transposase. *DNA Cell Biol.* 23, 311–324. (doi:10. 1089/104454904323090949)
- Sinzelle L, Kapitonov VV, Grzela DP, Jursch T, Jurka J, Izsvák Z, Ivics Z. 2008 Transposition of a reconstructed Harbinger element in human cells and functional homology with two transposonderived cellular genes. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **105**, 4715–4720. (doi:10.1073/pnas. 0707746105)
- 52. Le Rouzic A, Capy P. 2005 The first steps of transposable elements invasion: parasitic strategy vs. genetic drift. *Genetics* **169**, 1033–1043. (doi:10. 1534/genetics.104.031211)
- Pace II JK, Feschotte C. 2007 The evolutionary history of human DNA transposons: evidence for intense activity in the primate lineage. *Genome Res.* 17, 422–432. (doi:10.1101/gr.5826307)
- Manthey JD, Moyle RG, Boissinot S. 2018 Multiple and independent phases of transposable element amplification in the genomes of piciformes (woodpeckers and allies). *Genome Biol. Evol.* 10, 1445–1456. (doi:10.1093/gbe/evy105)
- 55. de Boer JG, Yazawa R, Davidson WS, Koop BF. 2007 Bursts and horizontal evolution of DNA

transposons in the speciation of pseudotetraploid salmonids. *BMC Genomics* **8**, 422. (doi:10.1186/1471-2164-8-422)

- Kapusta A, Suh A, Feschotte C. 2017 Dynamics of genome size evolution in birds and mammals. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **114**, E1460–E1469. (doi:10. 1073/pnas.1616702114)
- Pasquesi GIM *et al.* 2018 Squamate reptiles challenge paradigms of genomic repeat element evolution set by birds and mammals. *Nat. Commun.* 9, 2774. (doi:10.1038/s41467-018-05279-1)
- Kim SH, Lee KH, Kim DY, Kwak E, Kim S, Kim KT. 2015 Rhythmic control of mRNA stability modulates circadian amplitude of mouse Period3 mRNA. *J. Neurochem.* 132, 642–656. (doi:10.1111/jnc.13027)