

Well-being and employment of young people in Ethiopia, India, Peru and Vietnam: Is work enough?

Fiona Carmichael¹  | Christian K. Darko¹  | Nicholas Vasilakos² 

¹Birmingham Business School, University of Birmingham, UK

²Norwich Business School, University of East Anglia, UK

Correspondence

Nicholas Vasilakos Norwich Business School, University of East Anglia, Norwich, UK.

Email: n.vasilakos@uea.ac.uk

Summary

Motivation: Investing in youth employment is central to development agendas. However, policy directed towards increasing employment rates among young people needs to consider the well-being implications of the different kinds of jobs they are able to access. This would help countries to meet the Sustainable Development Goal of decent work for all, including young people, by 2030.

Purpose: This article examines the association between the well-being of young people in Ethiopia, Peru, India, and Vietnam and their employment and the job attributes of the work they do.

Methods and approach: The study uses five waves of the Young Lives longitudinal survey for a sample of children who are followed from the ages of eight to 22. Regression analysis estimates the relationship between well-being, employment, and job attributes, taking into account gender, wealth, current, and childhood health, and exposure to shocks over life-courses from the age of eight.

Findings: The results show that in these four countries, employment does not have an unqualified positive effect on well-being. Not all jobs are “good jobs.” Job attributes matter, specifically, who employs the individual, their pay, the work environment, and the pride they take in their work. Well-being is predicted by current and childhood health and household wealth with ownership of consumer durables associated more strongly with well-being than housing quality or access to services. Greater exposure to shocks from the age of eight is found to have lasting effects on well-being into young adulthood.

Policy implications: Policy aimed at improving young people's opportunities for employment in the Global South also to consider the types of jobs they are able to access and how this impacts their well-being. Policy also needs to take into account pre-labour market conditions and circumstances.

KEYWORDS

job quality, life course, low-income countries, well-being, youth employment

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2021 The Authors. *Development Policy Review* published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of Overseas Development Institute

1 | INTRODUCTION

This article analyses the relationship between subjective well-being, employment, and job attributes among young people in Ethiopia, India, Peru, and Vietnam. Youth unemployment and underemployment in low- and middle-income countries has been the subject of much research (Baah-Boateng, 2016; Berhe, 2021; Kumar, 2020) partly because employment is widely recognised as the key to poverty alleviation. However, the quality of work is variable. This is recognized in UN Sustainable Development Goal 8 which promotes not just work but “decent” work for all, including young people, by 2030.

In low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) work is often precarious. It can be risky, poorly rewarded and undertaken under poor conditions, and this is not only true for young people (Sumberg et al., 2021). Such work limits potential and may not contribute much to overall well-being. This is important for a multitude of reasons. Higher levels of well-being are associated with health and longevity (Graham, 2008) while individuals who experience a higher level of subjective well-being are also more likely to exhibit generosity and engage with their local communities in a positive way (Oishi et al., 2007). The subjective well-being of employees is also important for organizational performance (Monnot & Beehr, 2014). Understanding these relationships can therefore lead to significant gains across a range of outcomes for individuals, communities, and organizations, while enabling policy-makers to design more effective and sustainable policies to foster economic development. This argument becomes even more important when considered in the context of low-income countries (LICs), where 80% of the world population lives (UNCTAD, 2019, p. 63) but resources are often scarce and unevenly distributed.

This study contributes to research that analyses the ways that employment, job attributes, and work practices impact on well-being (New Economics Foundation, 2014; Wheatley, 2017a, 2017b). This literature has mainly focused on high-income countries and the application to LICs is still relatively limited. This is an important omission since labour market conditions are very different. Large informal sectors and low rates of social protection in LMICs suggest that unemployment on its own is likely to be “a poor measure of labour market distress” (Fields, 2011, p. 17). Even when considered in the context of LICs, the focus has been on adults (Bhuiyan & Ivlevs, 2019; Graham, 2005a, 2005b; Markussen et al., 2018). Exceptions include Aufseeser et al. (2016) who argue that children’s work can contribute to their well-being and development and that therefore there are dangers from prohibiting all such work. There are equally compelling arguments for foregrounding understanding of how work contributes to the well-being of young people. Notably, earlier life experiences set the stage for later life experiences and can reinforce intergenerational transfers of inequality (Grantham-McGregor et al., 2007; Roy et al., 2018; Yakub, 2002). Young people’s well-being is also of particular importance in an LIC context where on average 44.3% of the population are under 25 (UNCTAD, 2019, p. 69). The article contributes to knowledge of young people’s work and well-being using data from four LMICs, namely Ethiopia, India, Peru, and Vietnam. The findings provide further support for policy directed to creating what has been termed by Sumberg et al. (2020) “a decent work economy.”

The rest of the article is organized as follows. Section 2 discusses the literature on well-being, employment and job attributes. Section 3 introduces our dataset and its key attributes. Methodology and results are shown in sections 4 and 5, respectively. The results are discussed in further detail in relation to the literature in section 6. Finally, section 7 concludes and outlines some policy recommendations.

2 | WELL-BEING, EMPLOYMENT, AND JOB ATTRIBUTES

An expanding body of literature highlights the importance of employment in determining subjective well-being. Employed individuals report persistently higher levels of well-being than unemployed individuals (Clark & Oswald, 1994; Helliwell, 2003; O’Connor, 2020). There are also strong links between measures of job satisfaction and

worker performance (Riketta, 2008).¹ Happier workers are found to be more likely and more willing to engage with customers and their colleagues (Bakker & Oerlemans, 2011; Robertson & Cooper, 2010); they move jobs less often (Harris & Cameron, 2005; Wright & Bonett, 2007); they are absent less often (Negrini et al., 2014). Happier workers also tend to receive better ratings by their work supervisors (Wright & Cropanzano, 2004), they tend to have higher earnings than their less satisfied peers (Graham et al., 2008); and are more effective in prioritizing long-term goals over short-term gratification (Guven, 2011).

Moreover, job attributes and job satisfaction are found to be important when it comes to explaining differences in the subjective well-being of workers (Kauhanen & Nätti, 2015; van Wingerden et al., 2017). Predominantly in the context of high-income countries, studies have identified strong links between an individual's well-being and their income/wages (Blanchflower & Oswald, 2004; Clark & Oswald, 1996; Kuroki, 2018; Sabia, 2008); hours worked (Heyes & Tomlinson, 2020); as well as links between well-being, job satisfaction, work practices, and performance (Merriman, 2017; Riketta, 2008). While these links are not always straightforward, workers in more satisfying jobs with a better fit for their skills and ability (Harter & Arora, 2010) and greater autonomy (Clark, 2010; Kröll & Nüesch, 2019; Markussen et al., 2018; Wheatley, 2017b) have higher levels of happiness. The links in these relationships can be understood in terms of the "evaluative aspect of well-being" which refers to the way people appraise their lives "or particular aspects of lives such as job satisfaction" (New Economics Foundation, 2014, p. 10).

Most research focused on employment and job attributes has been conducted in high-income countries. However, labour markets in LICs share "distinctive characteristics" (Herrera & Merceron, 2013, p. 83) that distinguish them from high-income countries. In particular, there is a large informal sector with little labour protection and a wide wage gap between the formal and informal sectors (Arbache et al., 2010; Bargain & Kwenda, 2014; Fields, 2011); steady wage employment is the exception (Fields, 2011). Unemployment insurance is also largely absent (Arbache et al., 2010; Herrera & Merceron, 2013); and individuals with limited access to resources cannot afford to remain unemployed while looking for a better job. But there are not enough so called "good jobs" to go around, i.e. secure, well-paid jobs with social protection (Fields, 2011) that provide greater well-being (World Bank, 2012, p. 35). Instead, people need to create their own employment or accept a job which gives them limited satisfaction when "a large number of jobs are downright miserable" (Fields, 2011). Such jobs can also lead to financial insecurity which, as shown by Bhuiyan and Ivlevs (2019) for micro-entrepreneurs in Bangladesh, can lead to worry, depression, and lower overall life satisfaction. As such, many LICs have, in addition to an unemployment problem, an underemployment and an employment problem characterized by poverty among those in work who are also working very long hours (Fields, 2011; Herrera & Merceron, 2013).

For all these reasons, the positive relationships found in high-income countries between well-being and employment, including self-employment, and some job attributes, may require qualification in an LIC context. The article addresses this proposition by investigating the determinants of subjective well-being in four LMICs. The focus on young adults provides new insights on the well-being of workers who are just starting out in their careers. The use of panel data spanning lives from the ages of eight to 22 additionally enables consideration of how earlier life experiences can impact on well-being in young adulthood.

3 | DATA AND SAMPLE

The data used are from the Young Lives project, a longitudinal cohort study of childhood poverty following the lives of 12,000 children from two cohorts in four LMICs: Ethiopia, India, Peru, and Vietnam (3,000 children in each country, 1,000 in the older cohort and 2,000 in the younger cohort). Five waves of the survey

¹The link between job satisfaction and company performance has been shown across a range of performance metrics, including firm profitability, productivity, and absenteeism.

TABLE 1 Well-being, employment, and wealth by gender and country

	Whole sample	Males	Females	Ethiopia	India	Peru	Vietnam
Well-being	5.52	5.49	5.55	5.41	5.00	6.44	5.58
Female	0.50			0.47	0.51	0.48	0.53
Wealth index	0.62	0.61	0.63	0.46	0.65	0.67	0.70
Employed	0.78	0.86	0.71	0.79	0.62	0.84	0.92
In education	0.11	0.11	0.10	0.14	0.14	0.09	0.04
Not in paid work/not in education	0.11	0.04	0.19	0.07	0.25	0.07	0.04
Employed by self/another household member/another individual/another household	0.48	0.55	0.41	0.59	0.49	0.38	0.43
Employed by private company/co-op/public sector/government	0.30	0.31	0.30	0.20	0.13	0.46	0.49
Hourly earnings (in USD) ^a	1.63	1.78	1.46	0.47	1.24	3.06	1.51
Daily hours of paid work ^b	8.73	8.87	8.54	8.84	8.87	8.77	8.56
Works ≥ 8 hours daily (~full-time) ^b	0.82	0.84	0.79	0.78	0.87	0.77	0.82

^{a,b}Mean for sub-sample of those reporting earnings, hours of paid work.

are currently available—2002, 2006, 2009, 2013, and 2016. The first round of the survey took place when the younger cohort were one year old and the older cohort were eight years old. In this study, we restrict the analysis to the older cohort because our analysis focuses on young people in employment. The younger cohort were only 15 in the last survey and the majority were still enrolled in school (over 90% in Peru, India, and Ethiopia, and 80% in Vietnam).

The analysis uses data recording individual well-being on a nine-point scale derived from a survey question that asks: *Suppose there are nine steps on this ladder. Suppose we say that the ninth step, at the very top, represents the best possible life for you and the bottom represents the worst possible life for you. Where on the ladder do you feel you personally stand at the present time?* Answers to this question are coded numerically from one to nine with a higher value indicating a higher degree of life satisfaction according to the participant's assessment of their current life. Self-rated life satisfaction, happiness, and well-being measures have been widely used in research (Blanchflower & Oswald, 2004) and there is a substantial body of evidence that supports the validity of such measures (Wheatley, 2017a, 2017b).

In the analysis, the relationship between well-being and employment is examined using indicators of employment status and variables capturing job attributes for those in employment. The latter include employer type, earnings, work conditions, and whether the individual is proud of the work they do. The analysis also allows for other aspects likely to impact on well-being, including: household wealth, gender, current and childhood health, and experience of shocks. Adversity and stress experienced in childhood have been shown to lead to adverse health and well-being effects in later life (Bennett & Waterhouse, 2018; Klug et al., 2019). For example, Crivello and Morrow (2019) show how disruptive events in childhood can act as “tipping points” that compromise life chances in the absence of support.

The sample used in the analysis includes only young people for whom there is a record of their employment status (employed, not employed, or enrolled in education) in the final survey round and for whom data for all five rounds were available. This generated a reduced sample of 3,057 young people due to attrition and also missing values for key variables in some years. Sample characteristics are summarized in Table 1 by gender and country. There were as many males as females in the sample. On the nine-point scale measuring well-being, the mean score is 5.52 (the standard deviation is 1.51) and females scored very marginally (but insignificantly) higher than males. Young people in Peru scored highest on the well-being scale and those in India scored the lowest. Of the young people, 78% were in employment when surveyed at age 22 (86% of males and 71% of females). Employment participation is highest in Vietnam and lowest in India. The index of household wealth (described in more detail below) is higher for females and highest in Vietnam.

Among those in employment for whom data are available, males earned higher wages on average and worked marginally longer hours. Males were also a little more likely to be working for themselves, another household member, or another individual or household (compared with working for a private company, co-operative, or public sector/government organization). This categorization by employer types captures to some extent an important distinction in an LIC context between “better” jobs in non-agricultural and regular wage employment which Fields describes as “rationed” (Fields, 2011). Such jobs are more likely to be located in offices and factories than in self or own-account employment. This distinction is supported by difference of means tests showing that individuals working for a private company, co-operative, or public sector/government organization are higher paid as well as more likely to have a formal written contract and have longer tenure.² Young people are also more likely to be employed in these kinds of organization in Peru and Vietnam where wages are also higher.

²For a restricted sub-sample, which excluded those in Peru, measures capturing other job attributes were available; access to transport benefits, support for study, health insurance, holiday pay, sick leave, maternity benefits, or loans/credit. Individuals working for a private company, co-operative or a public sector/government organization were also more likely to have access to these benefits.

4 | METHODS

4.1 | Regression analysis

We estimate a well-being function for young adults of the following form (Powdthavee & Vernoit, 2013):

$$r = h(u(c_t, c_{t-n}) + e \quad (1)$$

Where, r denotes self-reported well-being, $u(.)$ is the young person's true well-being and h is a function relating actual to reported well-being, e is an error term. The individual's true well-being is assumed to depend on sets of characteristics and circumstances, c , determined in the current time period, t , and in the past, $t-n$. In the empirical model operationalizing (1) the dependent variable recording subjective well-being is reported on a nine-point scale. The regressions are estimated for the most recent (fifth) wave of the survey conducted in 2016–2017 when the young people were 22 and the majority were in employment. Ordinary least squares (OLS) estimation was used for ease of interpretation and since Shapiro–Wilk and Shapiro–Francia tests indicated that the well-being measure is distributed normally. Two sets of regressions were estimated. The first set of regressions includes the whole sample, the second set is estimated for a smaller sub-sample for whom a subset of variables capturing job attributes, including earnings, are available. The estimated model is:

$$W_i = \alpha_0 + \sum_{j=M+1}^N \alpha_j l_{ij} + \sum_{j=N+1}^O \alpha_j w_{ij} + \sum_{j=1}^L \alpha_j x_{ij} + \sum_{j=O+1}^P \alpha_j z_{ij} + \sum_{j=L+1}^M \alpha_j g_{ij} + \varepsilon_j \quad (2)$$

W_i is the self-rated well-being of individual i , the α_j are the coefficients of the j explanatory variables, ε_j is an error term. The l_j are variables included to reflect employment status and job attributes. The w_j variables measure material wealth. The x_j record individual characteristics including gender, age in months, marital status, fertility (having children or not), and educational attainment (grade completed). They also include a subjective measure of poor health at age 22 and an objective measure of childhood health recorded at age eight (an anthropometric measure of child height using standardized height-for-age growth reference charts). The z_j variables record experience of economic, family, and environment shocks over life-courses. The g_j variables that account for unobservable regional (urban or rural) and country level differences in development, labour markets, policies, and other aspects likely to impact on well-being. The construction of the l_j , w_j , and z_j variables is described below. Table 2 provides summary statistics and definitions for all variables.

In the first set of estimations for the whole sample the l_j explanatory variables include categorical variables recording the individual's employment status. Initially an individual's employment status is categorized as either employed (in any capacity), in education (enrolled in education and not in employment), or neither of these. In order to consider how well-being is associated with different types of employment, a second categorical variable sub-divides those in employment by type of employer as described above: private company, co-operative or public sector or government organization; or self-employed, working for another household member, another individual, or another household. This broad categorization, as already discussed, is correlated with different measures of job quality,³ and does not overly restrict the sample size as a wide variety of job types, including low or unpaid family work, is represented. In the second set of estimations, in addition to type of employer, additional measures capturing job attributes are included; hourly earnings (in US dollars), a negative index capturing aspects of the physical work environment and whether the individual is proud of the work they do. These measures, particularly hourly earnings, were available only for a smaller sub-sample of respondents. The measure of the poor quality of the

³There is no specific variable in the Young Lives data that categorizes jobs as being in the formal or informal sector.

TABLE 2 Variable definitions and summary statistics

Variable	Definition	N	Mean
Subjective well-being	Measured on a nine-point scale with 9 best possible life and 0 worst possible life	3056	5.52
Employed	Employed in any capacity	3057	0.78
Employed by self/another household member/another individual/another household	Either self-employed, working for another household member, another individual, or other household	3057	0.48
Employed by private company/co-op/public sector/government	Works for a private company, co-operative or public sector/government organization	3057	0.30
In education	Enrolled in education and not in employment	3057	0.10
Not working and not in education	Not currently working and not enrolled in education	3057	0.11
Wealth index	Aggregated measure of household wealth ranging between 0 and 1. Average score over housing quality, services, and consumer durables indices	3055	0.62
Housing quality index	Measure of housing quality. Between 0 and 1	3055	0.64
Access to services index	Household access to basic services (electricity, piped drinking water) their own (not shared) toilet and adequate fuels for cooking. Between 0 and 1	3057	0.77
Ownership of consumer durables index	Household possession of consumer durables including: radio, television, bicycle, motorbike, automobile, landline/mobile phone. Between 0 and 1	3057	0.45
Female	1 if female; 0 if male	3057	0.50
Age	Age of the individual in months	3055	264.76
Highest grade completed	Educational attainment of individual	3012	9.55
Poor health	Subjective ill-health: 1 if very poor/poor health; 0 otherwise (average/good/very good)	3057	0.04
Children	Has one or more children: 1 if has at least one child; 0 otherwise	3057	0.22
Married/cohabiting	Marital status: 1 if ever married/cohabited; 0 otherwise	3057	0.29
Height-for-age score (HAZ8)	Objective measure of childhood health at age 8 recorded in the first survey round (anthropometric measure of child height using standardized height-for-age)	3017	-1.64
Cluster 1: Stable	Experienced no shocks over the years surveyed	3057	0.07
Cluster 2: Mostly stable with early economic shocks	Relatively few shocks. Bulk of economic shocks experienced early in childhood	3057	0.30
Cluster 3: Mostly stable with early family shocks	Relatively few shocks. Most shocks were family shocks experienced earlier in childhood	3057	0.12
Cluster 4: Unstable	Experienced a high number of shocks, mostly family shocks	3057	0.22
Cluster 5: Very unstable	Experienced the highest number of shocks, including a particularly high number of environmental (crop related) shocks	3057	0.29
Log of hourly earnings (in USD)	Natural logarithm of hourly earnings (in USD)	1323	-0.12

(Continues)

TABLE 2 (Continued)

Variable	Definition	N	Mean
Poor working environment	Principal component factor analysis score using six dichotomous variables recording the individual's response to questions asking whether worked: under hot sun or rain; where there was insufficient lighting; in a noisy environment; with fumes, gases, or dust; in a smelly or dirty environment; or at heights	2461	-0.00
Proud of work	1 if agree/strongly agree with the statement "I am proud of the work I have to do"; 0 if otherwise (disagree/strongly disagree/more or less)	2892	0.79
Urban location	1 if urban area, 0 if rural	3045	0.48
Rural location	1 if rural area, 0 if urban	3045	0.52
Ethiopia	1 if Ethiopia, 0 if India, Peru, or Vietnam	3057	0.25
India	1 if India, 0 if Ethiopia, Peru, or Vietnam	3057	0.30
Peru	1 if Peru, 0 if Ethiopia, India, or Vietnam	3057	0.18
Vietnam	1 if Vietnam, 0 if Ethiopia, India, or Peru	3057	0.27

work environment⁴ was constructed using principal component factor analysis with six dichotomous variables recording responses to questions that asked whether the individual worked under hot sun or rain, where there was insufficient lighting, in a noisy environment, with fumes, gases, or dust, in a smelly or dirty environment, or at heights.⁵ Pride in one's work is an aspect likely to be related to "a sense of achievement." The latter is often referred to in surveys that try to elicit measures of job satisfaction (see for example, the Workplace Employment Relations Survey, Department for Business, Innovation and Skills, 2013). Both capture eudemonic aspects of well-being by capturing satisfaction of psychological needs through a sense of competence, meaning or purpose (New Economics Foundation, 2014).

Variables measuring hours of work (and hours of work squared), whether the individual had a written contract and tenure with current or previous employer were also available (the last two only for a smaller sub-sample), but these measures were never significant, and they are not included in the presented results. The lack of significance of hours of work was surprising given evidence that well-being and hours of work are linked (e.g., Heyes & Tomlinson, 2020). Part of the explanation is likely to lie in differences between high-income and low-income country contexts. In the latter, people often have little or no social protection and need to work, and can work long hours for low earnings (Fields, 2011).⁶ For this sample of young people, mean daily hours of paid work are a little over eight (Table 1), 20% of the sample are working for more than nine hours a day and 8% for over twelve hours a day. As argued by Herrera and Merceron (2013) in the context of sub-Saharan Africa, long working hours may mean that the concept of time-related underemployment needs to be expanded to include measures of the quality of work, including low hourly earnings, in analyses of LICs.

⁴A limitation of this measure is that the young people were not able to self-identify aspects of the working environment they considered poor. We are grateful to an anonymous referee for highlighting this point.

⁵The factor eigenvalue for the constructed variable was 2.05. The proportion of the variance explained was 34.16%. The highest loading (0.7314) was for working "with fumes, gases or dust." The Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KMO) measure of sampling adequacy was 0.7019 (middling). The LR test: independent vs. saturated $\chi^2(15)$ statistic was 1373.55 ($\text{Prob} > \chi^2 = 0.0000$).

⁶Increasing casualization of work in many high-income countries coupled with weakened social protection has led to increasing insecurity for some workers in precarious jobs (Prosser, 2016; Wilson & Ebert, 2013). Their situation is not dissimilar from that of many workers in low-income countries, particularly those, often young people, in precarious informal sector jobs (Sumberg et al., 2020; Yeboah et al., 2017). We thank an anonymous referee for drawing our attention to this point.

The wealth measures (w_i) take values between zero and one and measure, separately and in aggregate, housing quality, access to services (electricity, piped drinking water, own, not shared, toilet, and adequate fuels—paraffin, kerosene, gas, or electricity—for cooking), and possession of consumer durables (including a radio, television, bicycle, motorbike, automobile, or landline or mobile phone). The aggregate wealth index averages the score over the separate housing quality, services, and consumer durables indices. The wealth measures are expected to be positively related to well-being. Separating out the component parts of the aggregated wealth index enables exploration of which aspects of material wealth matter most for well-being.

The variables capturing experience of shocks (the z_j) use records of occurrence of events that respondents consider have negatively affected the welfare of the household.⁷ This analysis uses records of family shocks (illness or death of a family member) economic shocks (loss of employment, source of income, or family enterprise) and environment shocks associated with crop failure as only these measures were available for all survey rounds and all countries. While a fairly uncontroversial expectation is that experience of shocks is negatively related to well-being, the variables constructed in this analysis are used to test whether shocks can have significant, long-term, and cumulative impacts on well-being in later life. To do this, experiences of shocks were coded into a single variable recording eight states: no shock (50% of states), family shock (21% of states), economic shock (6.78% of states), environmental-crop shock (7.76% of states), and four states recording the four possible multiple shock combinations. The coded states were analysed as complete sequences in Stata 15 using optimal matching and clustering procedures as described in Brzinsky-Fay et al. (2006) and operationalized elsewhere (e.g. Anyadike-Danes & McVicar, 2010; Potârca et al., 2013; Wahrendorf, 2015).⁸ Ward's hierarchical cluster linkage algorithm in combination with Calinski-Harabasz and Duda/Hart $Je(2)/Je(1)$ index cluster stopping rules suggested five clusters.

The clusters group similar trajectories in terms of the duration of, and transitions between, states recording exposure to different shocks from early childhood into young adulthood. Figure 1 shows stacked area plots for each cluster showing the proportion of observations in each shock state over time.⁹ Cluster 1 groups “stable” trajectories with no shocks. Cluster 2 trajectories are “mostly stable with early economic shocks”: 63% of states are without shocks and while the incidence of economic shocks is the third highest (16.35% of states) after clusters 4 and 5, these are mostly experienced in the first survey round. Cluster 3 trajectories are “mostly stable with early family shocks”: 63% of states are without shocks and most of the 30% of states recording a family shock occurred in the first two survey rounds. Cluster 4 trajectories are “unstable”: 65% of states record shocks, mainly family shocks. Cluster 5 trajectories are “very unstable” recording the highest incidence of states with shocks (70% of states). They also record the highest incidence of states recording a shock due to crop failure (46% of states and 75% of all states recording a crop failure) reflecting that individuals in this cluster were the most likely to be living in a rural area. With these life history trajectories, the expectation that experience of shocks has lifetime negative effects suggests that those in the *stable* cluster 1 would have higher well-being relative to those in the *unstable* and *very unstable* clusters 4 and 5.

5 | RESULTS

Table 3 shows the results for regressions estimated for the whole sample. Table 4 shows results for the estimations for the sub-sample for whom data recording additional job attributes was available.

⁷Shocks record events that have negatively affected the economic situation of the household since last surveyed. All shock-related variables are binary, recording whether or not a particular type of shock is reported.

⁸Insertion/deletion (indel) costs were fixed at half the maximum substitution cost (2).

⁹The numbers in each cluster were: cluster 1, 224; cluster 2, 914; cluster 3, 363; cluster 4, 678; cluster 5, 876.




FIGURE 1 Stacked area plots for each cluster: Proportions in each state over time

5.1 | Well-being and employment

In estimation (1) in Table 3 the indicators of employment status distinguish between whether the individual is in any type of employment (the reference category), in education, or neither of these. The results for estimation (1) indicate that, without distinguishing between different kinds of employment, individuals in education or neither in employment nor education have higher well-being than individuals in employment. This suggests that being in employment has a negative effect on well-being. However, estimation (2) which distinguishes type of employment by employer type shows that this is too simplistic. In estimation (2) the results indicate that young adults working for themselves, another individual, or a household (the reference category) have lower well-being than those in any other category. The positive significance of the indicator of employment in jobs in private companies, co-operatives or the public sector/government indicates that young people in these jobs record higher well-being, supporting the contention that these are “better” jobs. Financial insecurity is likely to be part of the explanation for the lower well-being of the former group, as already noted this group has lower earnings on average. These results show that it is important to differentiate between different types of employment.

The significant positive coefficients associated with still being in education and not being in either employment or education are interesting. That both coefficients are also larger than that for employment in a company, co-operative or the public sector/government is perhaps explained by the option of remaining in education or not working being affordable only for those with sufficient resources (Arbache et al., 2010; Herrera & Merceron,

TABLE 3 Well-being and employment

	(1) ^a	(2) ^b	(3) ^b	(4) ^b
<i>Employment status</i>				
In education	0.4584*** (0.0911)	0.5214*** (0.0949)	0.4632*** (0.0939)	0.4617*** (0.0938)
Not employed and not in education	0.2076** (0.0896)	0.2546*** (0.0918)	0.1625* (0.0912)	0.1662* (0.0911)
Employed by private comp/ co-op/ public sector/government		0.1510** (0.0646)	0.1006 (0.0640)	0.1142* (0.0641)
<i>Wealth</i>				
Wealth index			1.8338*** (0.2060)	
Housing quality index				0.4298*** (0.1388)
Access to services index				0.4430*** (0.1464)
Ownership of consumer durables index				1.0747*** (0.1692)
<i>Individual characteristics</i>				
Female	0.1621*** (0.0569)	0.1533*** (0.0569)	0.1435** (0.0562)	0.1466*** (0.0562)
Age	-0.0047 (0.0062)	-0.0053 (0.0062)	-0.0062 (0.0061)	-0.0057 (0.0061)
Highest grade completed	0.0176** (0.0071)	0.0166** (0.0071)	0.0052 (0.0071)	0.0044 (0.0071)
Poor health	-0.4681*** (0.1399)	-0.4583*** (0.1398)	-0.4324*** (0.1381)	-0.4333*** (0.1379)
Children	-0.1993* (0.1019)	-0.1920* (0.1019)	-0.1183 (0.1009)	-0.1370 (0.1010)
Married/cohabiting	-0.1302 (0.0938)	-0.1188 (0.0938)	-0.1347 (0.0926)	-0.1320 (0.0925)
Height-for-age score at age 8	0.0959*** (0.0236)	0.0940*** (0.0236)	0.0733*** (0.0234)	0.0685*** (0.0234)
<i>Shock trajectories</i>				
Mostly stable with early economic shocks	-0.3034*** (0.1073)	-0.3082*** (0.1072)	-0.2887*** (0.1059)	-0.2891*** (0.1057)
Mostly stable with early family shocks	-0.3142*** (0.1213)	-0.3179*** (0.1212)	-0.2726** (0.1197)	-0.2700** (0.1196)
Unstable	-0.4437*** (0.1116)	-0.4459*** (0.1115)	-0.4104*** (0.1101)	-0.4125*** (0.1100)

(Continues)

TABLE 3 (Continued)

	(1) ^a	(2) ^b	(3) ^b	(4) ^b
Very unstable	-0.4132*** (0.1134)	-0.4126*** (0.1133)	-0.3110*** (0.1124)	-0.3008*** (0.1124)
<i>Location</i>				
Rural location	0.0215 (0.0606)	0.0438 (0.0613)	0.2380*** (0.0643)	0.1991*** (0.0673)
India	-0.4513*** (0.0755)	-0.4520*** (0.0755)	-0.7969*** (0.0840)	-0.7317*** (0.0870)
Peru	1.0937*** (0.0902)	1.0619*** (0.0911)	0.7576*** (0.0963)	0.7351*** (0.0969)
Vietnam	0.2047*** (0.0792)	0.1693** (0.0806)	-0.2425*** (0.0920)	-0.2283** (0.0964)
Constant	6.8986*** (1.6466)	7.0123*** (1.6461)	6.3526*** (1.6263)	6.2294*** (1.6249)
Observations	2,957	2,957	2,956	2,956
R-squared	0.147	0.149	0.171	0.174

Standard errors in parentheses. *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1.

^aReference category for employment status is Employed.

^bReference category for employment status is Employed by self/another household member/another individual/another household.

2013). Young adults still in education may also record higher well-being because they expect to have access to higher-paying job opportunities in the future. Not being in employment may reflect the up and down nature of informal work as well as personal circumstances such as family caring where gender roles are important. The majority (84%) of those neither in employment nor education are female (Table 1). Of those not in employment, 43% who declared they had not looked for work in the week prior to being surveyed said this was because they were either housewives and/or caring for children. Young women were also more likely to report having children (35% of women compared with 9% of males). These figures suggest that family circumstances and responsibilities are important reasons for not being in employment or education. Females also record higher well-being, although having children is weakly and negatively related to well-being, and marital status is insignificant. As in previous research, educational attainment has positive significance (Clark & Oswald, 1996).

The shock clusters are all strongly negatively significant relative to the *stable* cluster 1. The largest negative coefficients are associated with clusters 4 (*unstable*) and 5 (*very unstable*), whose members suffered the most shocks. The larger and stronger effect of cluster 4 suggests that family shocks are potentially more damaging for well-being than other types of shocks. This is supported by evidence that parental orphanhood in sub-Saharan Africa lowers school enrolment (Case et al., 2004) and maternal death in childhood is associated with lower occupational status in the Netherlands (Rosenbaum-Feldbrügge, 2019). These results are consistent with arguments that shocks and setbacks over life-courses have lasting effects in contexts where social protection systems are weak or non-existent (Arbache et al., 2010).

Those currently in poor health unsurprisingly have lower well-being, while the objective measure of health at age eight (the height-for-age score) is positively related to well-being, indicating that better health in early childhood has long-term positive effects. Rural location is insignificant but the country effects are significant with well-being highest in Peru and lowest in India (Ethiopia is the reference). Country level differences in inequality may explain part of these differences. Earnings-based Gini coefficients calculated for the four countries show that,

TABLE 4 Well-being and job attributes

	(1)	(2)	(3)
Job attributes			
Employed by private comp/ co-op/public sector/government ^a	0.1603** (0.0813)	0.1314 (0.0809)	0.1367* (0.0808)
Log of hourly earnings (in USD)	0.0849* (0.0461)	0.0787* (0.0458)	0.0815* (0.0457)
Poor working environment	-0.1802*** (0.0402)	-0.1671*** (0.0400)	-0.1645*** (0.0400)
Proud of work	0.3603*** (0.0949)	0.3587*** (0.0941)	0.3682*** (0.0941)
Wealth			
Wealth index		1.5067*** (0.3243)	
Housing quality index			0.2788 (0.2078)
Access to services index			0.3501 (0.2246)
Ownership of Consumer durables index			0.9473*** (0.2310)
Individual characteristics			
Female	0.0947 (0.0837)	0.0981 (0.0830)	0.1079 (0.0831)
Age	-0.0137 (0.0090)	-0.0148* (0.0090)	-0.0132 (0.0090)
Highest grade completed	0.0050 (0.0100)	-0.0011 (0.0100)	-0.0013 (0.0100)
Poor health	-0.4807** (0.2267)	-0.4318* (0.2251)	-0.4443** (0.2252)
Children	-0.2068 (0.1510)	-0.1782 (0.1499)	-0.1925 (0.1499)
Married/cohabiting	-0.0204 (0.1325)	-0.0228 (0.1314)	-0.0256 (0.1312)
Height-for-age score at age 8	0.1221*** (0.0354)	0.0985*** (0.0354)	0.0918*** (0.0355)
Shock trajectories			
Mostly stable with early economic shocks	-0.2314 (0.1433)	-0.2229 (0.1422)	-0.2270 (0.1420)
Mostly stable with early family shocks	-0.4078** (0.1636)	-0.3682** (0.1625)	-0.3677** (0.1624)

(Continues)

TABLE 4 (Continued)

	(1)	(2)	(3)
Unstable	-0.4789*** (0.1496)	-0.4555*** (0.1484)	-0.4656*** (0.1484)
Very unstable	-0.3227** (0.1597)	-0.2570 (0.1590)	-0.2523 (0.1592)
Location			
Rural location	-0.0315 (0.0862)	0.1018 (0.0902)	0.0495 (0.0975)
India	-0.2107 (0.1347)	-0.4393*** (0.1423)	-0.3735** (0.1460)
Peru	1.1000*** (0.1601)	0.8745*** (0.1661)	0.8585*** (0.1661)
Vietnam	0.2948** (0.1316)	-0.0311 (0.1481)	-0.0018 (0.1553)
Constant	9.0010*** (2.3960)	8.4872*** (2.3789)	8.1041*** (2.3825)
Observations	1,258	1,258	1,258
R-squared	0.206	0.219	0.222

Standard errors in parentheses. *** $p < 0.01$, ** $p < 0.05$, * $p < 0.1$.

^aReference category is Employed by self/another household member/another individual/another household.

among young people in this sample, inequality is highest in India (0.615) and Peru (0.537) and lowest in Vietnam (0.387) and Ethiopia (0.512). World Bank (2020) estimates of the income share of the richest 10% also indicate that the distribution of income is most unequal in Peru (32.1%) and India (31.7%) and more equal in Vietnam (27.5%). These figures indicate that the distribution of income in Peru and India is more unequal than in the United States, by many measures the most unequal country in the Global North. However, as argued by Reyes-García et al. (2018), the relationship between inequality and well-being in LICs is unlikely to be clear-cut; inequality can lead to unfavourable peer-group comparisons, but can also suggest scope for upward mobility.

Estimation (3) includes the aggregate wealth index, estimation (4) includes the three indicators of wealth (housing quality, access to services, and ownership of consumer durables) that together constitute the aggregate index. All the wealth indicators are positively significant with the largest coefficient in estimation (4) associated with the acquisition of consumer durables. This could be explained by Maslow's (1943) hierarchy of needs, in that housing quality and access to services arguably satisfy more basic physiological and safety needs associated with shelter, health, and property, while possession of consumer durables could be associated with higher-level social and esteem needs. Greater inequality in the distribution of particular assets could also make access to such assets more important for well-being, and Gini coefficients constructed for the indices of wealth indicated that the distributions of housing quality and consumer durables were the most unequal.

The inclusion of the wealth indices weakens the significance of not being in either employment or education and employer type. This suggests that part of the reason for differences in well-being associated with employment status and type of employer is linked to differential access to wealth (the direct relationship between earnings-related wealth and well-being is investigated in the estimations in Table 4). Educational attainment also loses significance. This is not surprising, since educational attainment and the indices of wealth are positively and significantly correlated. This partly reflects inequality in access to education in LMICs, which often means that children from wealthier households have access to more (and better) education (Alcaraz, 2020; Glewwe & Jacoby,

2004; Kingdon, 2002; Spaul, 2013). For example, in Ghana, children from the richest 20% of households have been reported to average six more years of schooling than those from the poorest households (Watkins, 2013). Having had children also loses significance with the inclusion of the wealth indicators. In contrast, rural location attains positive significance, implying that rural location is associated positively with well-being, but only after wealth differentials are taken into account. This result is consistent with evidence that people living in urban areas have higher risks of mental ill-health (Mechelli, 2019) and, in some countries, lower levels of subjective well-being (Burger et al., 2020). However, it is clearly important to control for wealth. There is well-established evidence that subjective well-being rises with per capita income (Deaton, 2015) and the economic situation is generally better in urbanized areas (OECD/European Commission, 2020).¹⁰

5.2 | Well-being and job attributes

The estimates in Table 4 include three additional measures capturing job attributes: earnings (in USD), whether the individual is proud of the work they do, and the negative index of the physical work environment. Records for these variables, in particular earnings, were not complete resulting in smaller sample sizes. In estimation (1) which does not include wealth, working for a private company, co-operative, or public sector/government organization and earnings are both positive and significant, although the latter only weakly. Pride in work is positively and strongly significantly associated with well-being. A poorer quality physical working environment is significantly associated with lower well-being. The weaker significance of earnings in this estimation suggests that these other aspects of a job are more important for individual well-being.

Estimations (2) and (3) include the wealth indices. The aggregate wealth index is significant in estimation (2) but in estimation (3) only the consumer durables index is strongly significant. The stronger effect of the consumer durables index could be linked to a more unequal distribution of wealth for this sub-sample, particularly in relation to the index of consumer durables.¹¹ With the inclusion of the wealth indices, the significance of employer type is reduced (as in Table 3) but the significance of the other indicators of job quality is not affected. The pattern of results for the other included variables is very similar to Table 3, although some variables have less significance, possibly reflecting the smaller sample sizes.¹²

6 | DISCUSSION

The results of this analysis indicate that many of the factors identified in previous research as being important for well-being are also relevant for young adults in LMICs. However, there are also differences. In particular, well-being is associated positively with some, but not all, kinds of employment; employment is not unconditionally beneficial for well-being. Specifically, well-being is higher in jobs with higher earnings, where the physical

¹⁰It is also the case among this sample of young people that those living in urban areas are significantly wealthier; the mean value of aggregated measure of household wealth is 0.685 for the urban sub-sample and 0.559 for the rural sub-sample ($p < 0.01$).

¹¹For this sub-sample, the Gini coefficient for the aggregate wealth index is 0.165 compared with 0.234 for the consumer durables index (the equivalent Gini coefficients for the full sample are 0.158 and 0.224 respectively). The Gini coefficient for the housing quality index is lower for this sub-sample (0.195) than the full sample (0.224).

¹²In sensitivity analysis Heckman two-stage techniques (Heckman, 1979) were used to test whether reporting the additional job attributes included in the second set of regressions (earnings, pride in work, and work environment) reflected unobservable individual characteristics associated with well-being. The results of these procedures indicated that this potential source of sample selection did not cause bias—the Inverse Mills Ratio (Heckman's lambda) derived from a first stage equation was insignificant when included as an explanatory variable in the second stage well-being equation. We also tested whether individual level heterogeneities in observable individual characteristics biased the results using propensity score matching (Dehejia & Wahba, 2002). The reconstructed sample matched young people by employer type. In the regressions with the matched sample that included the additional job attribute variables the direction of the effects of most variables were similar to those in Table 3. These results suggest that the OLS results are not biased. The results from these estimations are available on request.

working environment is more conducive to health and safety and where individuals can take pride in their work. In the context of the four countries in the study, type of employer is also relevant; working for oneself or another individual or a household is associated with lower well-being than working for a private company, a co-operative, or a public sector/government organization. This result is consistent with important distinguishing features of labour markets in LICs. In particular, large informal sectors and restricted access to “better” jobs in regular wage employment. As a result, a large number of jobs are in irregular employment and such jobs can be “miserable” and low-paid (Fields, 2011). The results also indicate that young adults who are still in education at age 22 and those neither in paid work nor education have higher subjective well-being than those in employment. This result is likely to reflect lower levels of protection in LMICs that mean the option of not working is only affordable for those who already have sufficient resources (Herrera & Merceron, 2014). Since educational attainment of children from poorer households is lower in LICs (Alcaraz, 2020; Filmer & Pritchett, 2004; Watkins, 2013) this conclusion is indirectly supported by evidence that unemployment rates in Africa are higher for the most educated than for those with no education (Arbache et al., 2010, p. 43). In relation to Ethiopia, Robles (2010, p. 321) argues that educated people would rather remain unemployed, holding out for a public sector job than take up a job in the informal sector or become self-employed.

Linked to this argument, the results highlight the importance of access to material wealth, exposure to shocks, and health. Wealth in terms of ownership of consumer durables appears to be particularly important for well-being and this is even more true for those in employment. Given evidence that subjective well-being is not absolute (Clark & Oswald, 1996) part of the explanation for this finding could be that there is greater inequality in the distribution of consumer durables and this drives a “wedge between the have’s’ and have not’s” (Reyes-García et al., 2018, p. 1198). This comparison effect (Ferrer-i-Carbonell, 2005) could be reinforced if consumer durables are associated with higher-level social and esteem needs than housing quality and access to services (Maslow, 1943).

In relation to experience of shocks, the results show how events that play out over young lives can impact on well-being in adulthood. The results for the different clusters of shock histories suggest that early family shocks and a history of repeated and multiple kinds of shocks have the most lasting impact on well-being. This evidence confirms that in countries where safety nets are limited the consequences of negative shocks can be potentially serious and long-lasting. On the other hand, that young people from relatively poor backgrounds with low exposure to shocks have higher well-being is in keeping with Crivello and Morrow’s (2019, p. 3) conceptualization of “narratives of possibility.” Their study of a sub-sample of children who participated in qualitative components for the Young Lives study, from age 12 to 19, highlights the importance of children’s social relationships and support networks for breaking intergenerational cycles of poverty. Specifically, their findings emphasize how unanticipated difficulties such as illness, an accident, parental death, or climatic shocks can cause school disruptions, economic decline, and diminished hope. Crivello and Morrow (2019) describe such events in terms of turning points in children’s lives. That ill health in childhood can lead to a turning point is supported by previous research (Currie & Vogl, 2013) and in this study by the positive significance of the indicator of childhood health in the well-being estimates. Nevertheless, while such turning points can tip life chances, Crivello and Morrow (2019) show that supportive relationships and access to resources can mitigate such effects. This is probably part of the reason for the weaker significance of some of the shock clusters when indices of wealth are included in the estimations.

These results highlight the importance of job attributes and life course events for the well-being of young people in LMICs. However, the generalizability of the results may be limited by the oversampling of poorer children in the Young Lives survey, although children with more education and better access to services are represented.¹³ In addition, at the time of the last survey the sample members are only 22 and at this age lives are unlikely to be settled. However, that our results show that some young adults experience lower well-being at an

¹³Details of the survey design and sampling are available from Young Lives (2018) and have been described elsewhere (see Dendir, 2014; Favara, 2017).

early life stage raises concerns that these effects could have implications for later life. Furthermore, while the longitudinal nature of the data enables consideration of earlier life circumstances and events spanning children's lives, the surveys capture only five points in time over 15 years. Some of these limitations will be addressed by future research which will be able to take advantage of a further survey round currently being conducted.

7 | CONCLUSION

This article examines how employment status and job attributes are linked to the subjective well-being of young people in Ethiopia, India, Peru, and Vietnam. The analysis contributes to existing research (New Economics Foundation, 2014; Wheatley, 2017a, 2017b) by extending the evidence base to LMICs and for young people at early stages in their career. It seems uncontroversial to agree with Quinn and Rubb (2006) that it is important to extend labour market analyses to LMICs where the majority of the world's population live. The analysis also contributes to research concerned with examining how childhood experiences and family circumstance impacts on adult outcomes (Bennett & Waterhouse, 2018; Carmichael et al., 2019; Crivello & Morrow, 2019).

Our results highlight the importance of the quality of work for the well-being of young people in LMICs. The findings strengthen support for the argument that not all jobs are "good jobs" that "provide greater wellbeing to the people who hold them" (World Bank, 2012, p. 35). This has resonance for problems of youth underemployment and unemployment in many LICs, particularly in sub-Saharan Africa, where jobs in the formal sector are in short supply (Honorati & Johansson de Silva, 2016) and people are often employed in jobs for which they are over educated (Carmichael et al., 2021; Darko & Abrokwa, 2020; Handel et al., 2016). The results also highlight the association between well-being and access to wealth, particularly wealth in the form of consumer durables. Lastly, the results confirm that childhood ill-health and exposure to family, economic, and environmental shocks can have long-lasting adverse effects in country contexts where lives, particularly children's lives, can be vulnerable because of limited social protection, unequal access to wealth, and exposure to extreme shocks due to famine and conflict (Currie & Vogl, 2013).

These results support policy in LICs that targets inequalities in earlier life as well as labour market barriers and imperfections that restrict youth access to good jobs. The evidence of Sumberg et al. (2021) is that such constraints are rarely youth-specific. However, entry into employment can be a particular challenge for young people due to lack of experience and job readiness, limited resources, including information and lack of access to well-connected informal networks (Chari et al., 2017; Fox & Kaul, 2018). Having a good job is fundamental to improving living standards and quality of life. Good jobs provide greater well-being to those that hold them and their value to society is higher (World Bank, 2012). A good job can provide a sense of belonging that enhances social inclusion. Decent work (ILO, 1999; Rantanen et al., 2020) can help build the confidence, trust, and civic engagement of young people as well providing them with income. In contrast, poor working conditions can lead to frustration, lowering well-being, and potentially fuelling a sense of social injustice that weakens social cohesion.

Employment policies aimed at young people need to target those who are marginalized by labour market structures which tend to reinforce the advantages of the more educated and those from wealthier backgrounds. Targeted employment and training programmes can help to provide young people with skills and experience that enhance their productivity and employability. Examples include youth national service programmes in Ghana, Ethiopia, Kenya, and South Africa (e.g., for South Africa see National Youth Development Agency, 2021). Technical and Vocational Education and Training (TVET) and apprenticeship schemes can also help to address skills mismatch problems that "have impeded smooth school-to-work transitions for many young people" (UNESCO, 2013, p. 5). There is evidence of the efficacy of skills-based training in raising earnings and addressing mismatch (Aizenman et al., 2017; Kuepie et al., 2009) although evidence from specific interventions is also mixed (Attanasio et al., 2017; Blattman et al., 2014; Card et al., 2011; Jie Chen & Chindarkar, 2017). A review of evidence from LICs by Fox and Kaul (2018) is also critical of the ability of training programmes to help young people to access formal

sector employment. Fox and Kaul (2018) highlight the short-term nature of gains from training interventions and evidence of displacement. Including industry in the planning and design of these kinds of programmes is a way of better targeting to opportunities that exist in the labour market, whether in the formal sector or otherwise (Dodoo & Kuupole, 2017; Fox & Kaul, 2018; Okolie et al., 2020).¹⁴ Investments in skill acquisition that raise productivity and earnings in household and micro businesses are still good for development (Awasthi et al., 2020; Jie Chen & Chindarkar, 2017; Kuepie et al., 2009).

Nevertheless, given the large share of the informal sector and the restricted availability of wage employment in many LICs, these kinds of programmes will not be enough to guarantee access to a “good” job, particularly for marginalized youth. As stated by Sumberg et al. (2021, p. 3) “investment in training, no matter how well intentioned, does not create jobs.” Sumberg et al. (2021) and Fox and Kaul (2018) argue that in LICs youth employment is part of wider employment problem, described in the African context as a “missing jobs crisis” (Sumberg et al., 2021), that only structural changes can address. Ultimately this requires an expansion in production which takes time but can be facilitated by infrastructure investments that increase connectivity and policy changes that encourage private investment (Fox & Kraul, 2018). Strengthening labour market governance and social protection mechanisms could also help by improving working conditions and reducing the risks associated with precarious work (Yeboah et al., 2020; Sumberg et al., 2021; Chari et al., 2017).

ORCID

Fiona Carmichael  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7932-2410>

Christian K. Darko  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1665-2594>

Nicholas Vasilakos  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3279-2885>

REFERENCES

- Aizenman, J., Jinjarak, Y., Ngo, N., & Noy, I. (2017). *Vocational education, manufacturing, and income distribution: International evidence and case studies* (NBER Working Papers No. 23950). National Bureau of Economic Research. <https://doi.org/10.3386/w23950>
- Asare, S., & Essah, P. (2021, March 24). *How universities in Africa can increase jobs for young people*. Education Sub Saharan Africa (ESSA). <https://essa-africa.org/node/1416>
- Attanasio, O., Guarin, A., Medina, C., & Meghir, C. (2017). Vocational training for disadvantaged youth in Colombia: A long-term follow-up. *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics*, 9(2), 131–143. <https://doi.org/10.1257/app.20150554>
- Alcaraz, M. (2020). Beyond financial resources: The role of parents' education in predicting children's educational persistence in Mexico. *International Journal of Educational Development*, 75, 102188. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijeducdev.2020.102188>
- Anyadike-Danes, M., & McVicar, D. (2010). My brilliant career: Characterizing the early labor market trajectories of British women from generation X. *Sociological Methods Research*, 38(3), 482–512. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0049124110362968>
- Arbache, J. S., Kolev, A., & Filipiak, E. (2010). Why study gender disparities in Africa's labor market? In J. S. Arbache, A. Kolev, & E. Filipiak (Eds.), *Gender disparities in Africa's labor market*. World Bank. <https://doi.org/10.1596/978-0-8213-8066-6>
- Aufseeser, D., Bourdillon, M., Carothers, R., & Lecoufle, O. (2018). Children's work and children's well-being: Implications for policy. *Development Policy Review*, 36(2), 241–261. <https://doi.org/10.1111/dpr.12215>
- Awasthi, K., Kumaraswamy, K., & Boeri, N. (2021). Helping when it matters: Optimal time for supporting women's self-employment in India. *Development Policy Review*. Advance online publication. <https://doi.org/10.1111/dpr.12537>
- Baah-Boateng, W. (2016). The youth unemployment challenge in Africa: What are the drivers? *The Economic and Labour Relations Review*, 27(4), 413–431. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1035304616645030>
- Bakker, A. B., & Oerlemans, W. (2011). Subjective well-being in organizations. In K. S. Cameron & G. M. Spreitzer (Eds.), *The Oxford handbook of positive organizational scholarship* (pp. 178–189).
- Bargain, O., & Kwenda, P. (2014). The informal sector wage gap: New evidence using quantile estimations on panel data. *Economic Development and Cultural Change*, 63(1), 117–153. <https://doi.org/10.1086/677908>

¹⁴Education Sub Saharan Africa (ESSA) discusses how educational establishments in Africa can work with employers to increase employment for young people (Asare & Essah, 2021).

- Becker, G. (1964). *Human capital: A theoretical and empirical analysis, with special reference to education*. Columbia University Press.
- Bennett, R., & Waterhouse, P. (2018). Work and family transitions and the self-rated health of young women in South Africa. *Social Science and Medicine*, 203, 9–18. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2018.03.001>
- Berhe, M. W. (2021). Empirical analysis of urban youth unemployment in Ethiopia. *African Development Review*, 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8268.12514>
- Bhuiyan, M. F., & Ivlevs, A. (2019). Micro-entrepreneurship and subjective well-being: Evidence from rural Bangladesh. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 34(4), 625–645. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusvent.2018.09.005>
- Blanchflower, D. G., & Oswald, A. J. (2004). Well-being over time in Britain and the USA. *Journal of Public Economics*, 88(7–8), 1359–1386. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0047-2727\(02\)00168-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0047-2727(02)00168-8)
- Blattman, C., Fiala, N., & Martinez, S. (2014). Generating skilled self-employment in developing countries: Experimental evidence from Uganda. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 129(2), 697–752. <https://doi.org/10.1093/qje/qjt057>
- Brzinsky-Fay, C., Kohler, U., & Lunia, M. (2006). Sequence analysis with Stata. *The Stata Journal*, 6(4), 435–460. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1536867X0600600401>
- Burger, M. J., Morrison, P. S., Hendriks, M., & Hoogerbrugge, M. M. (2020). Urban-rural happiness differentials across the world. In J. F. Helliwell, R. Layard, J. Sachs, & J. E. De Neve, *World Happiness Report 2020* (pp. 66–93). Sustainable Development Solutions Network. <https://worldhappiness.report/ed/2020/urban-rural-happiness-differentials-across-the-world/>
- Card, D., Ibararán, P., Regalia, F., Rosas-Shady, D., & Soares, Y. (2011). The labor market impacts of youth training in the Dominican Republic. *Journal of Labor Economics*, 29(2), 267–300. <https://doi.org/10.1086/658090>
- Carmichael, F., Darko, C., & Vasilakos, N. (2019). Health and well-being of young people in Ethiopia, India, Peru and Vietnam: life course impacts. *Journal of Development Studies*, 56(5), 964–983. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00220388.2019.1626835>
- Carmichael, F., Darko, C., & Kanji, S. (2021). Wage effects of educational mismatch and job search in Ghana and Kenya. *Education Economics*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09645292.2021.1900790>
- Case, A., Paxson, C., & Ableidinger, J. (2004). Orphans in Africa: Parental death, poverty, and school enrollment. *Demography*, 41(3), 483–508. <https://doi.org/10.1353/dem.2004.0019>
- Chari, A., Flynn, J., Mader, P., Mwaura, G., Oosterom, M., Roelen, K., Sam-Kpakra, R., Shittu, A. I., & Sumberg, J. (2017). *Barriers to job creation and labour market access for youth in sub-Saharan Africa*. Business Environment Reform Facility and Department for International Development. <https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/media/5c77df70e5274a0ec5100a31/BERF-Youth-Labour-Markets-Research-Sept-2017.pdf>
- Clark, A. E. (2010). Work, jobs, and well-being across the millennium. In E. Diener, D. Kahneman, & J. Helliwell (Eds.), *International differences in well-being* (pp. 436–468). Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199732739.003.0014>
- Clark, A. E., & Oswald, A. J. (1994). Unhappiness and unemployment. *The Economic Journal*, 104(424), 648–659. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2234639>
- Clark, A. E., & Oswald, A. J. (1996). Satisfaction and comparison income. *Journal of Public Economics*, 61(3), 359–381. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0047-2727\(95\)01564-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0047-2727(95)01564-7)
- Crivello, G., & Morrow, V. (2019). Against the odds: Why some children fare well in the face of adversity. *The Journal of Development Studies*, 56(5), 999–1016. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00220388.2019.1626837>
- Currie, J., & Vogl, T. (2013). Early-life health and adult circumstance in developing countries. *Annual Review of Economics*, 5, 1–36. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-economics-081412-103704>
- Darko, C. K., & Abrokwa, K. K. (2020). Do you really need it? Educational mismatch and earnings in Ghana. *Review of Development Economics*, 24(4), 1365–1392. <https://doi.org/10.1111/rode.12681>
- Deaton, A. (2015). *The great escape: Health, wealth, and the origins of inequality*. Princeton University Press.
- Dehejia, R., & Wahba, S. (2002). Propensity score matching methods for nonexperimental causal studies. *Review of Economics and Statistics*, 84(1), 151–161. <https://doi.org/10.1162/003465302317331982>
- Dendir, S. (2014). Children's cognitive ability, schooling and work: Evidence from Ethiopia. *International Journal of Educational Development*, 38, 22–36. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijedudev.2014.06.007>
- Department for Business, Innovation and Skills. (2013). *The workplace employment relations survey 2004*. <https://www.gov.uk/government/collections/workplace-employment-relations-study-wers>
- Doodoo, J. E., & Kuupole, D. D. (2017). Utility of university curricula in contemporary times: Perspectives of employers in the Cape Coast Metropolis. *Journal of Education for Business*, 92(4), 186–193. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0883232.2017.1328382>
- Favara, M. (2017). Do dreams come true? Aspirations and educational attainments of Ethiopian boys and girls. *Journal of African Economies*, 26(5), 561–583. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jae/ejx018>
- Fields, G. S. (2011). Labor market analysis for developing countries [Special issue]. *Labour Economics*, 18(S1), 16–22. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.labeco.2011.09.005>

- Ferrer-i-Carbonell, A. (2005). Income and well-being: An empirical analysis of the comparison income effect. *Journal of Public Economics*, 89(5–6), 997–1019. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpubeco.2004.06.003>
- Filmer, D., & Pritchett, L. (2004). The effect of household wealth on educational attainment: Evidence from 35 Countries. *Population and Development Review*, 25(1), 85–120. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1728-4457.1999.00085.x>
- Fox, L., & Kaul, U. (2018). *The evidence is in: How should youth employment programs in low-income countries be designed?* (Policy Research Working Paper No. WPS 8500). World Bank. <https://doi.org/10.1596/1813-9450-8500>
- Glewwe, P., & Jacoby, H. G. (2004). Economic growth and the demand for education: Is there a wealth effect? *Journal of Development Economics*, 74(1), 33–51. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdeveco.2003.12.003>
- Graham, C. (2005a). Insights on development from the economics of happiness. *The World Bank Research Observer*, 20(2), 201–231. <https://doi.org/10.1093/wbro/lki010>
- Graham, C. (2005b). The economics of happiness: Insights on globalization from a novel approach. *World Economics*, 6(3), 41–55. <https://www.world-economics-journal.com/Journal/Papers/The%20Economics%20of%20Happiness.details?ID=214>
- Graham, C. (2008). Happiness and health: Lessons—and questions—for public policy. *Health Affairs*, 27(1), 72–87. <https://doi.org/10.1377/hlthaff.27.1.72>
- Grantham-McGregor, S., Cheung, Y. B., Cueto, S., Glewwe, P., Richter, L., Strupp, B., & The International Child Development Steering Group. (2007). Developmental potential in the first 5 years for children in developing countries. *The Lancet*, 369(9555), 60–70. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(07\)60032-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(07)60032-4)
- Güven, C. (2011). Are happier people better citizens? *Kyklos*, 64(2), 178–192. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-6435.2011.00501.x>
- Handel, M. J., Valerio, A., & Sanchez Puerta, M. L. (2016). *Accounting for mismatch in low- and middle-income countries: Measurement, magnitudes, and explanations*. World Bank. <https://doi.org/10.1596/978-1-4648-0908-8>
- Harris, G. E., & Cameron, J. E. (2005). Multiple dimensions of organizational identification and commitment as predictors of turnover intentions and psychological well-being. *Canadian Journal of Behavioural Science/Revue canadienne des sciences du comportement*, 37(3), 159–169. <https://doi.org/10.1037/h0087253>
- Harter, J. K., & Arora, R. (2010). The impact of time spent working and job fit on well-being around the world. In E. Diener, D. Kahneman, & J. Helliwell (Eds.), *International differences in well-being* (pp. 398–435). Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199732739.003.0013>
- Helliwell, J. F. (2003). How's life? Combining individual and national variables to explain subjective well-being. *Economic Modelling*, 20(2), 331–360. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0264-9993\(02\)00057-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0264-9993(02)00057-3)
- Herrera, J., & Mercerón, S. (2013). Underemployment and job mismatch in sub-Saharan Africa. In P. De Vreyer & F. Roubaud (Eds.), *Urban labor markets in sub-Saharan Africa* (83–107). World Bank. <https://doi.org/10.1596/978-0-8213-9781-7>
- Heckman, J. J. (1979). Sample bias as a specification error. *Econometrica*, 47(1), 153–161. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1912352>
- Heyes, J., & Tomlinson, M. (2020). Underemployment and well-being in Europe. *Human Relations*, 74(8), 1240–1266. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0018726720912297>
- Honorati, M., & Johansson de Silva, S. (2016). *Expanding job opportunities in Ghana*. World Bank. <https://doi.org/10.1596/978-1-4648-0941-5>
- Hulme, D., & Toye, J. (2006). The case for cross-disciplinary social science research on poverty, inequality and well-being. *The Journal of Development Studies*, 42(7), 1085–1107. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00220380600884050>
- International Labour Organization. (1999). *Decent work, report of the Director-General. International Labour Conference, 89th Session*. International Labour Office. [https://www.ilo.org/public/libdoc/ilo/P/09605/09605\(1999-87\).pdf](https://www.ilo.org/public/libdoc/ilo/P/09605/09605(1999-87).pdf)
- Jie Chen, Y., & Chindarkar, N. (2017). The value of skills – raising the socioeconomic status of rural women in India. *Development Policy Review*, 35(2), 229–261. <https://doi.org/10.1111/dpr.12207>
- Kauhanen, M., & Nätti, J. (2015). Involuntary temporary and part-time work, job quality and well-being at work. *Social Indicators Research*, 120(3), 783–799. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11205-014-0617-7>
- Kingdon, G. G. (2002). The gender gap in educational attainment in India: How much can be explained? *The Journal of Development Studies*, 39(2), 25–53. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00220380412331322741>
- Klug, K., Drobnič, S., & Brockmann, H. (2019). Trajectories of insecurity: Young adults' employment entry, health and well-being. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 115, 103308. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvb.2019.05.005>
- Kröll, C., & Nüesch, S. (2019). The effects of flexible work practices on employee attitudes: Evidence from a large-scale panel study in Germany. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 30(9), 1505–1525. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2017.1289548>
- Kuepie, M., Nordman, C., & Roubaud, F. (2009). Education and earnings in urban West Africa. *Journal of Comparative Economics*, 37(3), 491–515. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jce.2008.09.007>
- Kumar, K. R. (2020). *Demographic dividend and youth unemployment: Evidence from the southern states of India*. SSRN. <https://ssrn.com/abstract=3515802>
- Kuroki, M. (2018). Subjective well-being and minimum wages: Evidence from US states. *Health Economics*, 27(2), e171–e180. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hec.3577>

- Markussen, T., Fibæk, M., & Tarp, F. (2018). The happy farmer: Self-employment and subjective well-being in rural Vietnam. *Journal of Happiness Studies*, 19, 1613–1636. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10902-017-9858-x>
- Maslow, A. H. (1943). A theory of human motivation. *Psychological Review*, 50(4), 370–396. <https://doi.org/10.1037/h0054346>
- Mechelli, A. (2020, December 18). *Cities increase your risk of depression, anxiety and psychosis – but bring mental health benefits too*. The Conversation. <https://theconversation.com/cities-increase-your-risk-of-depression-anxiety-and-psychosis-but-bring-mental-health-benefits-too-128911>
- Monnot, M. J., & Beehr, T. A. (2014). Subjective well-being at work: Disentangling source effects of stress and support on enthusiasm, contentment, and meaningfulness. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 85(2), 204–218. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvb.2014.07.005>
- Montero, R., & Rau, T. (2015). Part-time work, job satisfaction and well-being: evidence from a developing OECD country. *The Journal of Development Studies*, 51(4), 370–385. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00220388.2014.963567>
- National Youth Development Agency. (2021). *National Youth Service Programme*. <https://nydawebbsite.azurewebsites.net/Products-Services/National-Youth-Services-Programme>
- Negrini, A., Perron, J., & Corbière, M. (2014). The predictors of absenteeism due to psychological disability: A longitudinal study in the education sector. *Work*, 48(2), 175–184. <https://doi.org/10.3233/wor-131610>
- New Economics Foundation. (2014). *Well-being at work: A literature review*. <https://neweconomics.org/2014/03/wellbeing-at-work>
- O'Connor, K. J. (2020). Life satisfaction and noncognitive skills: Effects on the likelihood of unemployment. *Kyklos*, 73(4), 568–604. <https://doi.org/10.1111/kykl.12226>
- OECD/European Commission. (2020). *Cities in the world: A new perspective on urbanisation*, OECD Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1787/d0efcbda-en>
- Oishi, S., Diener, E., & Lucas, R. E. (2009). The optimum level of well-being: Can people be too happy? In F. A. Huppert, N. Baylis, & B. Keverne (Eds.), *The science of well-being* (pp. 175–200). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-90-481-2350-6_8
- Okolie, U. C., Igwe, P. A., Nwosu, H. E., Eneje, B. C., & Mlanga, S. (2020). Enhancing graduate employability: Why do higher education institutions have problems with teaching generic skills? *Policy Futures in Education*, 18(2), 294–313. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1478210319864824>
- Potârca, G., Mills, M., & Lesnard, L. (2013). Family formation trajectories in Romania, the Russian Federation and France: Towards the second demographic transition? *European Journal of Population*, 29(1), 65–76. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10680-012-9279-9>
- Powdthavee, N., & Vernoit, J. (2013). Parental unemployment and children's happiness: A longitudinal study of young people's well-being in unemployed households. *Labour Economics*, 24, 253–263. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.labeco.2013.09.008>
- Prosser, T. (2016). Dualization or liberalization? Investigating precarious work in eight European countries. *Work, employment and society*, 30(6), 949–965. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0950017015609036>
- Quinn, M., & Rubb, S. (2006). Mexico's labor market: The importance of education-occupation matching on wages and productivity in developing countries. *Economics Education Review*, 25(2), 147–156. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.econedurev.2005.01.003>
- Rantanen, J., Muchiri, F., & Lehtinen, S. (2020). Decent work, ILO's response to the globalization of working life: Basic concepts and global implementation with special reference to occupational health. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17(10), 3351. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17103351>
- Reyes-García, V., Angelsen, A., Shively, G. E., & Minkin, D. (2018). Does income inequality influence subjective well-being? Evidence from 21 developing countries. *Journal of Happiness Studies*, 20(4), 1197–1215. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10902-018-9992-0>
- Riketta, M. (2008). The causal relation between job attitudes and performance: A meta-analysis of panel studies. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 93(2), 472–481. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.93.2.472>
- Robertson, I. T., & Cooper, C. L. (2010). Full engagement: The integration of employee engagement and psychological well-being. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, 31(4), 324–336. <https://doi.org/10.1108/01437731011043348>
- Robles, P. S. (2010). Gender disparities in time allocation, time poverty, and labor allocation across employment sectors in Ethiopia. In J. S. Arbach, A. Kolev, & E. Filipiak (Eds.), *Gender disparities in Africa's labor market* (ch. 8). World Bank.
- Rosenbaum-Feldbrugge, M. (2019). The impact of parental death in childhood on sons' and daughters' status attainment in young adulthood in the Netherlands, 1850–1952. *Demography*, 56(5), 1827–1854. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13524-019-00808-z>
- Roy, S., Morton, M., & Bhattacharya, S. (2018). Hidden human capital: Self-efficacy, aspirations and achievements of adolescent and young women in India. *World Development*, 111, 161–180. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2018.07.002>
- Sabia, J. J. (2008). Minimum wages and the economic well-being of single mothers. *Journal of the Association for Public Policy Analysis and Management*, 27(4), 848–866. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pam.20379>

- Spaull, N. (2013). Poverty & privilege: Primary school inequality in South Africa. *International Journal of Educational Development*, 33(5), 436–447. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijedudev.2012.09.009>
- Sumberg, J., Flynn, J., Mader, P., Mwaura, G., Oosterom, M., Sam-Kpakra, R., & Shittu, A. I. (2020). Formal-sector employment and Africa's youth employment crisis: Irrelevance or policy priority? *Development Policy Review*, 38(4), 428–440. <https://doi.org/10.1111/dpr.12436>
- Sumberg, J., Fox, L., Flynn, J., Mader, P., & Oosterom, M. (2021). Africa's "youth employment" crisis is actually a "missing jobs" crisis. *Development Policy Review*, 39(4), 621–643. <https://doi.org/10.1111/dpr.12528>
- United Nations Conference on Trade and Development. (2019). *UNCTAD handbook of statistics 2019*. United Nations. <https://unctad.org/webflyer/handbook-statistics-2019>
- United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization. (2013). Tackling youth unemployment through TVET: Report of the UNESCO-UNEVOC online conference, 25 June to 9 July. UNESCO-UNEVOC International Centre for Technical and Vocational Education and Training. https://unevoc.unesco.org/fileadmin/up/2013eforum_virtual_conference_report_youth_unemployment.pdf
- van Wingerden, J., Bakker, A. B., & Derks, D. (2017). Fostering employee well-being via a job crafting intervention. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 100, 164–174. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvb.2017.03.008>
- Wahrendorf, M. (2015). Previous employment histories and quality of life in older ages: Sequence analysis using SHARELIFE. *Ageing & Society*, 35(9), 1928–1959. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0144686X14000713>
- Watkins, K. (2013, January 16). *Too little access, not enough learning: Africa's twin deficit in education*. Brookings. <https://www.brookings.edu/opinions/too-little-access-not-enough-learning-africas-twin-deficit-in-education/>
- Wheatley, D. (2017a). Autonomy in paid work and employee subjective well-being. *Work and Occupations*, 44(3), 296–328. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0730888417697232>
- Wheatley, D. (2017b). *Time well spent: Subjective well-being and the organization of time*. Rowman and Littlefield International.
- Wilson, S., & Ebert, N. (2013). Precarious work: Economic, sociological and political perspectives. *The Economic and Labour Relations Review*, 24(3), 263–278. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1035304613500434>
- World Bank. (2012). *World Development Report 2013: Jobs*. <https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/handle/10986/11843>
- World Bank. (2020). *Income share held by highest 10% [Data set]*. World Bank, Development Research Group. <https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/SI.DST.10TH.10?view=map&year=2018>
- Wright, T. A., & Bonett, D. G. (2007). Job satisfaction and psychological well-being as nonadditive predictors of workplace turnover. *Journal of Management*, 33(2), 141–160. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206306297582>
- Yakub, S. (2002). Poor children grow into poor adults: Harmful mechanisms or over-deterministic theory? *Journal of International Development*, 14(8), 1081–1093. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jid.951>
- Yeboah, T., Sumberg, J., Flynn, J., & Anyidoho, N. A. (2017). Perspectives on desirable work: Findings from a Q study with students and parents in rural Ghana. *The European Journal of Development Research*, 29(2), 423–440. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41287-016-0006-y>
- Yeboah, T., Chigumira, E., John, I., Anyidoho, N. A., Manyong, V., Flynn, J., & Sumberg, J. (2020). Hard work and hazard: Young people and agricultural commercialisation in Africa. *Journal of Rural Studies*, 76, 142–151. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrurstud.2020.04.027>
- Young Lives. (2018). *Survey Design and Sampling fact sheets*. <https://www.younglives.org.uk/content/sampling-and-attrition>

How to cite this article: Carmichael, F., Darko, C. K., & Vasilakos, N. (2021). Well-being and employment of young people in Ethiopia, India, Peru and Vietnam: Is work enough? *Development Policy Review*, 00, 1–22. <https://doi.org/10.1111/dpr.12565>