1. Introduction

The coordination chemistry of first-row transition metal carbonyl complexes is attracting increasing attention due to their potential as therapeutics [1–7]. Exogenous carbon monoxide has over the past two decades been shown to have useful applications as an anti-inflammatory [8] and in neurodegenerative and cardiovascular diseases [9]. The release of CO by first-row transition metal carbonyls can be stimulated by irradiations with visible- or near-UV light, thus offering a controlled delivery method for CO. Used in this way, so-called photocORM (CO-releasing molecules) may provide a novel route to delivering an otherwise highly-toxic gas.

We have recently reported photocORM behaviour of a series of ferracyclic carbonyl complexes and explored their activity as anti-inflammatory agents in vitro [10]. There have also been a small number of other reports of photocORM activity from iron-based systems [11–15], whilst the greatest focus of research to date has centred on Mn(ii) systems [16–33].

Work on the heavier transition metals has been much more limited, not least because they primary absorb only in the UV and thus have more limited therapeutic potential. Thermally-activated ruthenium-based CORM are some of the longest-known systems [13]. Photochemically-activated rhenium and ruthenium complexes have been explored either as potential photocORM in their own right [28, 34–38], or as models for the release behaviour of more active systems [39]. The latter aspect, together with a more fundamental desire to probe the coordination chemistry of the carbamoyl moiety, promoted us to extend our earlier Fe(ii) study to the chemistry of Ru(ii) carbonyls.

2. Results and discussion

2.1. Synthesis

Early work by Nonoyama [40] has more recently been followed by Leong and co-workers, who have reported a series of ruthenium carbamoyl complexes as analogues of the [Fe]-hydrogenase [41, 42]. Our synthetic path is similar, though

*Corresponding author

Preprint submitted to Elsevier 29th January 2021
in our hands some variations were required. As reported by Leong, reaction of Ru(CO)$_4$Br$_2$ with 2-aminopyridine (Figure 1) in dried dichloromethane resulted in the evolution of CO gas and rapid formation of a white precipitate. X-ray diffraction confirmed this was the anticipated salt 1 (Figure S7). The precipitate could be redissolved in the coordinating solvent, acetonitrile. However, in contrast to the iron system and the route reported by Leong, in our hands this did not result in formation of the neutral solvent adduct. To bring about loss of a halide from the metal centre, AgPF$_6$ was added, leading smoothly to the target complex 2a. Isolation of the isoquinoline derivative 2b followed an identical reaction pathway. X-ray quality crystals were isolated upon cooling the reaction solutions, and confirmed the desired coordination geometry (Figure 2). Bond lengths of the in 2a are typically around 0.1 Å longer than for the iron structure (Table 1), consistent with the larger size of the heavier metal centre. For complex 2b, there is more variability in the expansion caused by the move from iron to ruthenium, though the overall pattern remains. Notably, both in 2b and the iron analogue, the C=O bond length for one of the bound CO molecules is significantly shortened compared with the pyridyl systems, presumably due to poorer donation by the isoquinolyl nitrogen atom.

The IR profile of 2b in solution shows the characteristic peak pattern seen previously for 2a and the iron systems: three carbonyl peaks at 2061 cm$^{-1}$,
Table 1: Comparison of metrical data for 2a·MeCN, 2b·MeCN and their ferracyclic analogues [10]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bond distance/Å</th>
<th>Complex 2a</th>
<th>Complex 2b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M–Br</td>
<td>2.4632(5)</td>
<td>2.5612(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M–C(6)</td>
<td>1.931(2)</td>
<td>2.012(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M–C(7)</td>
<td>1.782(3)</td>
<td>1.884(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M–C(8)</td>
<td>1.811(3)</td>
<td>1.910(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M–N(1)</td>
<td>1.992(2)</td>
<td>2.112(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M–N(3)</td>
<td>2.022(2)</td>
<td>2.187(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(6)–O(1)</td>
<td>1.229(3)</td>
<td>1.236(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(7)–O(2)</td>
<td>1.136(3)</td>
<td>1.137(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(8)–O(3)</td>
<td>1.086(3)</td>
<td>1.089(3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2000 cm$^{-1}$ and 1991 cm$^{-1}$ and two carbamoyl signals at 1667 cm$^{-1}$ and 1631 cm$^{-1}$. Comparison for the positions of the carbonyl vibrational bands of the iron and ruthenium complexes reveal the latter reside at a much higher wavenumber in both 2a and 2b. The strengthened carbon–oxygen bonds of the ruthenium carbonyls suggest a weakened M–C bond and increased labilisation of CO. Indeed, the larger 4d molecular orbitals of the ruthenium metal centre participate in less efficient overlap with the $\pi^*$ orbital of the CO ligand, whereas the iron complexes participate in stronger back-donation due to the more efficient overlap of the 3d molecular orbitals. This is a distinct advantage of designing ruthenium-based CORM over iron-based CORM.

Incorporation of additional heteroatoms either into the aromatic backbone or as conjugated substituents is one pathway to enhanced light sensitivity. The simple heterocycle 2,6-diaminopyridine is commercially available, whereas 1,3-diaminoisoquinoline was synthesised according to the literature procedure [43]. Reaction between these heterocycles and Ru(CO)$_4$Br$_2$ proceed smoothly, yielding first the intermediate salts, then after treatment with AgPF$_6$/MeCN the target molecules 2c and 2d (Figures 1 and 3). Both complexes bear the characteristic five-membered metallocyclic ring, with bound cis dicarbonyl ligands. However, the occupation of the sixth ligand (trans to the carbamoyl linkage) of 2d is unique: the bromide ligand occupies the sixth position, with the solvent ligand trans to CO. This allows formation of an intramolecular NH$_2$···Br hydrogen bond, absent in 2c. Metrical data (Table 2) show that, as would be expected, placing the bromide trans to the carbamoyl elongates the Ru–Br bond, whilst the bound acetonitrile is coordinated more closely. Notably, the very short C–O bond seen in 2b is absent in these systems.

The IR spectra for 2c and 2d confirmed the cis carbonyl geometry is maintained in solution (2066 cm$^{-1}$ and 2003 cm$^{-1}$ for 2c, 2065 cm$^{-1}$ and 2003 cm$^{-1}$ for 2d). There is a small but clear strengthening of the C=O bond in 2c and 2d. This could be a consequence of reduced back-donation, implying that the NH$_2$-substituted ligands are poorer electron donors to the metal. The ability of the ligand to donate to the metal depends on the availability of electron density in the pyridyl lone pair, and this may be reduced by the inductive effect of the NH$_2$-substituent.

3
Figure 3: ORTEP representation of the structures of 2c (left) and 2d·MeCN (right) showing 50% probability ellipsoids; solvent molecules and hydrogen atoms attached to carbon have been omitted for clarity.

Table 2: Comparison of metrical data for 2c and 2d·MeCN.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bond distance/Å</th>
<th>Complex 2c</th>
<th>Complex 2d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M−Br</td>
<td>2.5695(5)</td>
<td>2.6799(11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M−C(6)</td>
<td>2.013(4)</td>
<td>2.049(9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M−C(7)</td>
<td>1.892(4)</td>
<td>1.890(9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M−C(8)</td>
<td>1.881(5)</td>
<td>1.876(9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M−N(1)</td>
<td>2.167(3)</td>
<td>2.164(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M−N(3)</td>
<td>2.213(4)</td>
<td>2.114(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(6)−O(1)</td>
<td>1.226(5)</td>
<td>1.213(9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(7)−O(2)</td>
<td>1.138(6)</td>
<td>1.134(10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(8)−O(3)</td>
<td>1.131(6)</td>
<td>1.138(9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
To enhance the water solubility and biocompatibility of the ruthenium carbonyls, we replicated the introduction of a thiolated saccharide ligand in the same way as explored for iron. Introduction of the monosaccharide by reaction with the halides yielded dimeric structures analogous to the iron complexes, which was confirmed by X-ray crystallography for 3b (Figure 5). In the case of 3c, direct reaction with the intermediate salt 1c was possible, allowing omission of the halide abstraction step.

Metrical data (Table 3) again show the elongated bonding around the 4d metal. The IR spectra of these thiolated complexes exhibited a characteristic shift in carbonyl bands to lower wavenumber.

2.2. UV/visible spectra and CO release

Examination of the electronic spectra for 2a and 2b in DMSO reveal that neither complex display absorbance bands in the visible region (Figure 6). Unsurprisingly, attempts to release CO from these solutions using visible light were unsuccessful. However, when exposed to UV irradiation, rapid loss of the carbonyl bands was seen in the IR spectra; in contrast, extended storage (hours) of the solutions in the dark did not lead to appreciable degradation.

Introduction of the additional amino functional group onto the ligand architecture produced a marked increased in the absorption band at 340 nm in 2c. The combination of the extended conjugation system and presence of an additional donor functional group results in 2d displaying an absorption band in the visible region of the spectrum. Despite the notable absorbance peak above 400 nm, investigations using IR spectroscopy and the myoglobin assay revealed no liberation of CO under visible light irradiation.
Figure 5: ORTEP representation of the structure of 3b·1.5(H$_2$O)·3(MeOH) showing 50% probability ellipsoids; solvent molecules and hydrogen atoms other than those on nitrogen have been omitted for clarity.

Table 3: Comparison of metrical data for 3b·1.5(H$_2$O)·3(MeOH) and the ferracyclic analogue [10].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bond distance/Å</th>
<th>Iron</th>
<th>Ruthenium</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M(1)−S(1)</td>
<td>2.372(3)</td>
<td>2.4423(14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M(1)−N(1)</td>
<td>2.019(5)</td>
<td>2.124(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M(1)−C(10)</td>
<td>1.926(5)</td>
<td>2.020(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M(1)−C(11)</td>
<td>1.786(6)</td>
<td>1.898(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M(1)−C(12)</td>
<td>1.782(7)</td>
<td>1.902(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(10)−O(1)</td>
<td>1.239(6)</td>
<td>1.245(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(11)−O(2)</td>
<td>1.136(7)</td>
<td>1.132(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(12)−O(3)</td>
<td>1.135(8)</td>
<td>1.125(8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Moving to the dimeric glucose-containing series (Figure 7), absorption maxima remained largely in the UV region with the exception of complex 3d. In contrast to 2d, the latter did exhibit loss of CO when exposed to broad-band visible light, as assessed by monitoring of the IR spectrum of the reaction (Figure S8). CO release from this system was probed further in a myoglobin assay (Figure 8). Here, under high-power light (0.840 W), two equivalents of CO were released per metal centre, whilst with lower power irradiation (0.216 W) only a single CO was liberated. This contrasted with the iron homologues, where two CO molecules were released in all cases [10]. It is not clear what the source of this lowered reactivity is: no by-products could be isolated from solution, and thus it is unclear if the effect is due to release of a single CO or of a lower number of molecules decomposing.

2.3. Anti-inflammatory assays

As reported previously, whilst ferracyclic carbamoyl complexes release CO in the light, they are only effective as anti-inflammatory agents in the dark [10]. We therefore explored the potential of complex 2a in an assay of the inhibitory effect on lipopolysaccharide-induced tumour necrosis factor-alpha (TNF) secretion in human THP-1 monocytes [44], reasoning that some activity might arise even without quantifiable CO release in the light. The assay has previously been established as an in vitro model for inflammation response [45].

Disappointingly, 2a (50 µM) caused a marginal response in the assay in the dark (Figure S9), under the same conditions which had been successful for the iron systems [10]. As expected, visible light stimulation had no bearing on the degree of activity observed. This behaviour contrasts markedly with the ferracyclic system, and further exploration in this area was not pursued.

3. Conclusions

In conclusion, a series of Ru(II) carbamoyl complexes have been synthesised using a modification of the protocols previously employed for ferracyclic sys-
CHAPTER 4. THIOLATED SACCHARIDES

release behaviour following 'high power' irradiation is similar to that observed for $D_1Fe$ and $D_2Fe$; all three complexes released two equivalents of CO within 20 minutes. However, there is a stark difference in CO release capability upon irradiated using 'low power' visible light. Complexes $D_1Fe$ and $D_2Fe$ successfully released two CO equivalents over the course of two hours, whereas $D_5Ru$ only released approximately one equivalent.

Figure 69: Plot of the amount of MbCO formed via CO liberated from $D_5Ru (30 \mu M)$ (Dashed and solid lines represent low and high power light output, respectively.)

4.5 Summary

In summary, we have demonstrated that the water solubility of the parent complexes can be significantly enhanced following coordination of thioglucose. The resulting dimeric iron carbonyl complexes undergoes CO liberation in response to irradiation with visible light. In contrast to the monomeric parent complexes, which was shown to liberate both metal bound CO ligands, the thioglucose derivatives have been observed to only release one equivalent per metal centre.

Inspection of the molecular orbital contribution via DFT modelling offers an explanation.

Figure 7: Electronic absorption spectra of 3a–3d in DMSO.

Figure 8: Plot of the amount of MbCO formed via CO liberated from 3d (30 \mu M) (Dashed and solid lines represent low and high power light output, respectively.)
tems. Metrical data shows that the iron and ruthenium complexes are structurally similar but the latter have metal–ligand bonds elongated by around 0.1 Å. The ruthenium complexes are light-stable but release CO under UV irradiation. Unlike the iron systems, no activity was seen in an anti-inflammatory assay either in the light or the dark. These complexes therefore offer the potential to act as stable models for their more active iron homologues.

4. Experimental

4.1. General

All reactions were conducted under a dry nitrogen atmosphere using standard Schlenk techniques. Starting materials were purchased from Aldrich or Alfa Aesar and were used without further purification. All glassware and solvents were pre-dried and degassed prior to use. The appropriate drying agents were used for solvent drying: CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (CaH$_2$), tetrahydrofuran (Na/(C$_6$H$_5$)$_2$CO) and acetonitrile (CaH$_2$). FT-IR spectra were recorded using a Perkin-Elmer Spectrum BX instrument. UV-visible spectra were recorded using an Agilent Technologies Cary 60 in disposable 1 cm plastic cuvettes. Elemental analysis was carried out at London Metropolitan University. NMR spectra were obtained on a Bruker Avance III with a nominal proton value of 500 MHz. Mass spectrometry was carried out at the National Mass Spectrometry Facility at the Swansea University. The preparations of 1,3-diaminoisoquinoline [43] and 1-thio-β-D-glucose [48] were carried out following literature procedures. The myoglobin and TNF assay protocols have been reported previously [10]. Light irradiation was carried out using a UV tlc illuminator or Krüss Optronic visible lamp (230 V, 150 W) at two light intensities: 0.216 W and 0.840 W.

4.2. Ru(CO)$_4$Br$_2$

The route used was modified from the literature [46, 47]. Thus Ru$_3$(CO)$_3$ (100 mg, 0.15 mmol) was suspended in dry heptane (100 cm$^3$), and the vessel filled with CO. The stirred solution was irradiated with a 455 nm LED for 75 minutes, after which time a light yellow solution had formed. This was cooled to −40 °C before addition of Br$_2$ (0.04 cm$^3$, 0.78 mmol) in heptane (20 cm$^3$). After warming to room temperature, the resulting solid was recovered by filtration and used without further purification.

4.3. Complex 2a

To a solution of Ru(CO)$_4$Br$_2$ (50 mg, 0.13 mmol) in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (10 cm$^3$) was added 2-aminopyridine (27 mg, 0.29 mmol). Gas was evolved and a white precipitate formed almost immediately. Once precipitation was complete, the supernatant was removed via decantation. The white product was redissolved in acetonitrile. Addition of one equivalent of AgPF$_6$ under stirring resulted in the formation of AgBr precipitation. Filtration and cooling of the solution yielded colourless X-ray quality crystals of RuBr(C$_6$H$_5$N$_2$O)(CO)$_2$(MeCN) (36 mg, 49 %). $T_m$ 167 °C. Found C 30.23, H 1.99, N 10.44 %. C$_{18}$H$_6$BrN$_2$O$_2$Ru requires C 30.09, H 2.02, N 10.53 %. UV/Vis (DMSO) $\lambda_{max}$ 298 (6.74 $\times$ 10$^3$), 262 (1.26 $\times$ 10$^3$) nm (m$^{-1}$ cm$^{-1}$). $\tilde{\nu}_{max}$ (THF) 2055, 1987, 1672, 1621 cm$^{-1}$. $^1$H NMR (CD$_3$CN, 500 MHz) 1.96 (s, 6H, Me), 7.02 (m, 4H, py), 7.84 (m, 2H, py), 8.37 (ddd, $J = 0.9, 1.5, 5.8$ Hz, 1H, py), 8.58 (br s, 1H, NH), 8.76 (br s, 1H, py).
4.4. Complex 2b

The reaction was carried out as for 2a using Ru(CO)$_2$Br$_2$ (54 mg, 0.14 mmol) and 1-aminooquinoline (48 mg, 0.33 mmol), yielding colourless crystals of RuBr$_2$(C$_{10}$H$_7$N$_2$O)(CO)$_2$(MeCN) (37 mg, 58%). $T_m$ 202°C (dec.). Found C 37.25, H 2.12, N 9.27%; C$_{14}$H$_{10}$Br$_2$N$_2$O$_2$Ru requires C 37.45, H 2.24, N 9.35%. UV/Vis (DMSO) $\nu_{\text{max}}$ 268 (1.87 x $10^4$), 341 (9.85 x $10^3$) nm (M$^{-1}$ cm$^{-1}$). $\nu$$_{\text{max}}$ (MeCN) 2062, 1994, 1664 cm$^{-1}$. $^1$H NMR (CD$_3$CN, 500 MHz) 0.97 (s, 6H, Me), 6.13 (ddd, 2H, $J$ = 1.1, 8.0, 10.6 Hz, py), 7.42 (t, 1H, $J$ = 8.0 Hz, py), 8.52 (br s, 1H, NH). $^{13}$C NMR (CD$_3$CN, 126 MHz) 95.73, 97.45, 102.18, 141.85, 146.47, 152.99, 163.13. $m/z$ (orbitrap) 369.9 [M − Br]$^+$. 

4.5. Complex 2c

The reaction was carried out as for 2a using Ru(CO)$_2$Br$_2$ (78 mg, 0.21 mmol) and 2,6-diaminoquinoline (51 mg, 0.47 mmol), yielding colourless crystals of RuBr$_2$(C$_{10}$H$_7$N$_2$O)(CO)$_2$(MeCN) (44 mg, 52%). $T_m$ 185°C (dec.). Found C 29.91, H 2.28, N 13.57%; C$_{12}$H$_{12}$Br$_2$N$_2$O$_2$Ru requires C 29.00, H 2.19, N 13.53%. UV/Vis (DMSO) $\nu_{\text{max}}$ 264 (1.33 x $10^4$), 343 (1.54 x $10^4$) nm (M$^{-1}$ cm$^{-1}$). $\nu$$_{\text{max}}$ (MeCN) 2066, 2002, 1657 cm$^{-1}$. $^1$H NMR (CD$_3$CN, 500 MHz) 9.49 (s, 1H, NH), 8.23–8.17 (m, 2H), 8.13 (d, $J$ = 6.6 Hz, 1H), 8.09–7.82 (m, 4H), 7.78 (ddd, $J$ = 20.3, 8.3, 7.0, 1.3 Hz, 2H), 7.51 (t, $J$ = 6.8 Hz, 3H), 7.24 (dd, $J$ = 7.1, 0.9 Hz, 1H). $m/z$ (orbitrap) 334.9 [M − Br]$^+$. 

4.6. Complex 2d

The reaction was carried out as for 2a using Ru(CO)$_2$Br$_2$ (90 mg, 0.24 mmol) and 2,6-diaminopyridine (86 mg, 0.54 mmol), yielding colourless crystals of RuBr$_2$(C$_{10}$H$_8$N$_2$O)(CO)$_2$(MeCN) (39 mg, 35%). $T_m$ 194°C (dec.). Found C 36.04, H 2.23, N 11.94%; C$_{14}$H$_{11}$Br$_2$N$_2$O$_2$Ru requires C 36.22, H 2.39, N 12.07%. UV/Vis (DMSO) $\nu_{\text{max}}$ 258 (1.60 x $10^4$), 308 (1.48 x $10^4$), 401 (4.58 x $10^3$) nm (M$^{-1}$ cm$^{-1}$). $\nu$$_{\text{max}}$ (MeCN) 22085, 2025, 1675 cm$^{-1}$. $m/z$ (orbitrap) 486.8938 [M + Na]$^+$. 

4.7. Complex 3a

To a solution of 2a (12 mg, 0.03 mmol) in MeOH (10 cm$^3$) was added one equivalent of 1-thio-β-D-glucose from a stock solution of known concentration. The reaction was allowed to stir for 90 min. The solvent was removed under vacuum yielding a yellow solid (11 mg, 40%). $T_m$ 194°C. UV/Vis (DMSO) $\nu_{\text{max}}$ 261 (1.38 x $10^4$) nm (M$^{-1}$ cm$^{-1}$). $\nu$$_{\text{max}}$ (MeOH) 2064, 1988, 1624 cm$^{-1}$. $^1$H NMR (d$_4$-MeOD, 500 MHz) 2.96 (dd, $J$ = 9.4, 8.7 Hz, 1H, sugar), 3.10 (t, $J$ = 9.0 Hz, 1H, sugar), 3.31 (t, $J$ = 9.0 Hz, 1H, sugar), 3.50–3.41 (m, 2H, sugar), 3.73–3.52 (m, 2H, sugar), 4.01–3.89 (m, 2H, sugar), 4.07 (dd, $J$ = 11.7, 3.4 Hz, 1H, sugar), 4.14 (dd, $J$ = 12.0, 2.6 Hz, 1H, sugar), 4.25 (dd, $J$ = 18.4, 9.3 Hz, 2H, sugar), 6.74–6.64 (m, 1H, py), 6.76 (d, $J$ = 8.7 Hz, 1H, py), 6.85 (ddd, $J$ = 7.2, 5.8, 1.2 Hz, 1H, py), 6.90 (ddd, $J$ = 7.2, 5.8, 1.2 Hz, 1H, py), 7.25–7.12 (m, 2H, py), 7.97–7.82 (m, 2H, py), 8.48 (ddd, $J$ = 5.8, 1.7, 0.8 Hz, 1H, py), 8.56 (ddd, $J$ = 5.9, 1.7, 0.8 Hz, 1H, py). $^{13}$C NMR (d$_4$-MeOD,
126 MHz) 62.55 (CH$_3$), 63.50 (CH$_2$), 70.69, 72.50, 76.41, 77.48, 79.22, 79.26, 82.04, 82.58, 83.90, 87.23, 110.83, 111.02, 111.20, 113.86, 117.54, 117.78, 118.17, 141.25, 141.54, 149.82, 150.33, 159.37, 159.55, 193.28 (CO), 194.05 (CO), 201.84 (CO), 202.27 (CO), 210.30 (CO), 211.60 (CO). m/z (orbitrap) 948.9 [M + H$^+$].

4.8. Complex 3b

The reaction was carried out as for 3a using 2b (3.8 mg, 27 µmol), yielding colourless prisms of the product (2.2 mg, 26 %). Found C 40.92, H 3.33, N 5.29 %. C$_{38}$H$_{36}$N$_4$O$_{16}$Ru$_2$S$_2$ requires C 41.30, H 3.47, N 5.35 %. UV/Vis (DMSO) $\lambda_{max}$ 259 (3.15 × 10$^4$), 338 (1.10 × 10$^4$) nm (M$^{-1}$ cm$^{-1}$). $\tilde{\nu}$$_{max}$ (MeCN) 2062, 1986, 1662 cm$^{-1}$. m/z (orbitrap) 1048.9 [M + H$^+$].

4.9. Complex 3c

To a solution of Ru(CO)$_3$Br$_2$ (110 mg, 0.29 mmol) dissolved in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (10 cm$^3$) was added 2,6-diaminopyridine (60 mg, 0.43 mmol) and 1-thio-β-D-glucose was added from a stock solution of known concentration. The solution was allowed to react for 90 min, after which the solvent was removed to give a white solid (50 mg, 18 %). Found C 34.56, N 5.29 %. C$_{34}$H$_{29}$N$_4$O$_{14}$Ru$_2$S$_2$ requires C 34.43, H 3.51, N 8.60 %. UV/Vis (DMSO) $\lambda_{max}$ 258 (1.63 × 10$^4$), 342 (1.82 × 10$^4$) nm (M$^{-1}$ cm$^{-1}$). $\tilde{\nu}$$_{max}$ (MeOH) 2062, 1992, 1664 cm$^{-1}$. $^1$H NMR (d$_2$-MeOD, 500 MHz) 3.38–3.54 (4H, m), 3.69 (2H, d, J = 2.9, 5.3, 11.8, 14.4 Hz), 3.82–3.95 (2H, m), 4.26 (1H, d, J = 9.6 Hz), 4.34 (1H, d, J = 2.4, 9.4 Hz), 4.42 (1H, d, J = 9.6 Hz), 4.57 (1H, d, J = 2.0, 9.2 Hz), 6.88 (1H, dd, J = 1.0, 6.1 Hz, 7.19 (1H, ddd, J = 0.9, 5.2, 6.5 Hz), 7.41 (1H, ddd, J = 1.4, 6.8, 8.3 Hz), 7.50–7.58 (2H, m), 7.59–7.64 (2H, m), 7.67 (1H, ddd, J = 1.1, 6.1, 7.1, 8.2 Hz), 7.73–7.81 (1H, m), 7.99 (1H, dd, J = 1.0, 8.4 Hz), 8.15–8.22 (1H, m). $^{13}$C NMR (d$_2$-MeOD, 126 MHz) 63.89 (CH$_3$), 73.14, 79.49, 80.87, 82.42, 86.95, 92.10, 112.96, 119.89, 125.31, 127.84, 128.41, 132.26, 139.55, 141.54. m/z (orbitrap) 977.9 [M$^+$].

4.10. Complex 3d

The reaction was carried out as for 3a using 2b (12 mg, 0.026 mmol), yielding a yellow solid (17 mg, 61 %). Found C 39.14, H 3.46, N 7.56 %. C$_{38}$H$_{38}$N$_6$O$_{16}$Ru$_2$S$_2$ requires C 40.15, H 3.56, N 7.80 %. UV/Vis (DMSO) $\lambda_{max}$ 258 (3.16 × 10$^4$), 314 (2.62 × 10$^4$), 388 (6.97 × 10$^3$) nm (M$^{-1}$ cm$^{-1}$). $\tilde{\nu}$$_{max}$ (MeOH) 2060, 1991, 1635, 1622 cm$^{-1}$. m/z (orbitrap) 1077.9 [M$^+$].

4.11. X-ray crystallography

For each sample, crystals were suspended in oil, and one was mounted on a glass fibre and fixed in the cold nitrogen stream of the diffractometer. Data were collected using Mo-Ko ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å) radiation using an Oxford Diffraction Xcalibur-3 CCD diffractometer equipped with a graphite monochromator (2a·MeCN and 2d·MeCN) or a Rigaku Saturn724+ diffractometer equipped with confocal mirrors (all others), and were processed using CrysAlisPro (2a·MeCN and 2d·MeCN) [49] or CrystalClear-SM Expert (all others) [50]. Structures were determined using a dual-space approach in SHELXT [51] and refined by
full-matrix least-squares methods on $F^2$ in SHELXL [51]. Non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic thermal parameters. The nitrogen-bound hydrogen atoms were located in the Fourier difference map and freely refined; all other hydrogen atoms were included in idealized positions and their $U_{iso}$ values were set to ride on the $U_{eq}$ values of the parent atom.
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