

1 **Experiences and perceptions of dietitians for obesity management: a**
2 **general practice qualitative study**

3
4 **Short title: Dietitians for obesity management**
5
6

7 **Sally Abbott^{1,2}, Helen M Parretti³, Sheila Greenfield⁴**
8

9 ¹Institute of Metabolism and Systems Research, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK

10 ²Department of Endocrinology & Bariatric Surgery, University Hospitals Birmingham NHS
11 Foundation Trust, Birmingham, UK

12 ³Norwich Medical School, Faculty of Medicine and Health, University of East Anglia, Norwich,
13 UK

14 ⁴Institute of Applied Health Research, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK
15

16 Corresponding author:

17 Sally Abbott

18 Institute of Metabolism and Systems Research

19 University of Birmingham

20 Birmingham

21 B15 2TT

22 Email s.abbott@bham.ac.uk

23 Phone: +44 121 424 2655
24
25

26 **Conflicts of interest:** The authors declare that they have no conflict of interests
27

28 **Ethical approval:** Ethical approval was provided by the University of Birmingham Ethics
29 Committee, Birmingham, United Kingdom (ERN_19-0791).
30
31
32
33

34 **Abstract**

35 Background: Multi-component lifestyle interventions are the first line treatment for obesity.
36 Dietitians are ideally placed healthcare professionals to deliver such interventions. However, only a
37 small proportion of patients with obesity are referred by general practice to dietitians, and the reasons
38 for this are not clear. The aim of this study was to explore general practice healthcare professionals'
39 (GPHCPs) experiences and perceptions of dietitians in the context of obesity management.

40

41 Method: A convenience sample of GPHCPs practicing in the UK was recruited via a targeted social
42 media strategy, using virtual snowball sampling. Data were collected using semi-structured
43 interviews and analysed using framework analysis.

44

45 Results: 20 participants were interviewed (11 General Practice Nurses and 9 General Practitioners).
46 Experiences of referring patients with obesity for dietetic intervention resulted in two main themes:
47 (i) access barriers; (ii) the dietetic consult experience. Three themes emerged from participants'
48 perceptions of a role for general practice dietitians: (i) utilising dietetic expertise; (ii) access to
49 dietitian; (iii) time. Participants experienced barriers to accessing dietitians for obesity management
50 and felt that having a dietitian working within their general practice team would help address this.
51 Having a dietitian embedded within their general practice team was perceived to have the potential
52 to alleviate GPHCPs' clinical time pressures, offer opportunities for upskilling; and may improve
53 patient engagement with obesity management.

54

55 Conclusion: GPHCPs perceived that embedding a dietitian within their general practice team would
56 be valuable and beneficial for obesity management. Our findings provide support for the funding of
57 general practice dietitian roles in the UK.

58

59 **Keywords:** General practice, primary care, obesity, weight loss, dietetics, qualitative research

60

61 **Introduction**

62 In the UK, general practice is the first point of access for the diagnosis and management of chronic
63 diseases ⁽¹⁾, including obesity and obesity related co-morbidities. The UK has the third highest rate of
64 obesity in Europe ⁽²⁾, with 67% of males and 62% of females in the UK being classified as being
65 overweight or having obesity (body mass index (BMI) $\geq 25\text{kg/m}^2$) ⁽³⁾.

66
67 General Practitioners (GPs) have a key role in the co-ordination of patients' treatment ⁽⁴⁾, and can be
68 described as the 'gatekeepers' for referrals to other healthcare professionals. The National Institute
69 for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) recommends that healthcare professionals should refer
70 patients with obesity for multi-component interventions as a first-line treatment ⁽⁵⁾. Dietitians are
71 ideally placed healthcare professionals with the expertise to deliver such interventions and dietetic
72 interventions are effective for weight management ⁽⁶⁻⁸⁾. However, general practice healthcare
73 professionals (GPHCPs) in the UK refer only 3% of patients with a BMI $\geq 25\text{kg/m}^2$ for a weight
74 management intervention ⁽⁹⁾, and the reasons for this are unclear.

75
76 The NHS Long-Term Plan ⁽¹⁰⁾ outlines the most significant reforms to GP services in 15 years, with
77 GP practices working together as part of local Primary Care Networks (PCNs), which can now benefit
78 from having access to funding for additional staff, including dietitians, to form an integral part of an
79 expanded multidisciplinary team (MDT) ⁽¹¹⁾. The value of integrating dietitians into the general
80 practice team is supported in the Canadian ⁽¹²⁻¹⁴⁾ and Australian ^(15,16) observational literature.
81 However, dietitians working within a general practice MDT is in its infancy in the UK.

82
83 Therefore, this semi-structured interview study aimed to explore GPHCPs' experiences of referring
84 patients with obesity to dietitians, as well as GPHCPs' perceptions of the value and practicalities of
85 embedding dietitians within the general practice team, for obesity management.

86

87 **Methods**

88 **Study Design**

89 This study explores the experiences and perceptions of GPHCPs on an under-studied topic, and as
90 such utilised an exploratory qualitative research design ⁽¹⁷⁾.

91

92 **Researcher Positionality**

93 Reflexivity acknowledges the influence of researcher positionality on the research process ⁽¹⁸⁾. In this
94 study, the influence of the researchers' own experiences of obesity management and their professional

95 identities (SA as a secondary care obesity dietitian, HP as a GP and SG a medical sociologist) have
96 been considered within the research process.

97

98 **Participants and Recruitment**

99 General Practice Nurses (GPNs) and GPs were eligible to take part in this study. A convenience
100 sample ⁽¹⁹⁾ of GPHCPs were recruited using online social networks using a method known as virtual
101 snowball sampling ⁽²⁰⁾, whereby a small pool of social media followers nominate other participants
102 who meet the eligibility criteria ⁽²⁰⁾. Recruitment took place between August and September 2019,
103 via online advertisement on the platforms of Facebook, Twitter and LinkedIn. Readers of the
104 advertisement were encouraged to forward the advertisement to eligible participants within their
105 networks to support virtual snowball sampling ⁽¹⁷⁾. After reading the online participant information
106 sheet, participants confirmed their consent electronically, provided demographic screening
107 information and their contact details, and were contacted to arrange a convenient interview time.

108

109 **Data Collection**

110 Semi-structured interviews ⁽²¹⁾ were carried out by one interviewer (SA), using an interview topic
111 guide (Supplementary Table 1). The topic guide was developed by the research team following a
112 standard process ⁽²²⁾, informed by existing literature, the clinical experience of SA and HP and the
113 study aims. The topic guide was piloted with two GPs, which led to some minor modifications to the
114 wording of some questions. Participants were given the choice for the interview to be conducted by
115 Voice over Internet Protocol (VoIP) ⁽²³⁾ using Skype, or face-to-face. Interviews were audio-recorded
116 and transcribed verbatim by a professional transcription service. Each recording and subsequent
117 transcript was assigned a participant numerical number to ensure anonymity and confidentiality. Each
118 transcript was checked for accuracy by the interviewer (SA) prior to analysis.

119

120 Demographic information on each participant's job role, gender and experience (years) in general
121 practice was collated via the online consenting process. Participants disclosed the name of their
122 employing GP practice during interview, and information about the demographic of each participant's
123 GP practice was obtained using the National General Practice Profiles database ⁽²⁴⁾, including data
124 on: GP practice size ⁽²⁵⁾, deprivation level ⁽²⁶⁾ and estimates of non-white ethnicity groups ⁽²⁷⁾. GP
125 practices were defined as urban or rural locations using the Rural Urban Classification of Wards ⁽²⁸⁾.

126

127 **Data Analysis and Synthesis**

128 Data was analysed using framework analysis ⁽²⁹⁾ which is used widely in healthcare research ⁽³⁰⁾.
129 Framework analysis allows for the conceptual framework to be developed from codes based upon the

130 key areas of the topic guide as well as newly emerging themes ⁽³⁰⁾, using a systematic five stage
131 process ⁽²⁹⁾: 1. Familiarisation, 2. Identifying a thematic framework, 3. Indexing, 4. Charting, and 5.
132 Mapping and interpretation. The research team (SA, HP, SG) independently read through three
133 transcripts (stage 1), then met to develop an initial framework using emergent data and key areas of
134 the topic guide (stage 2). One researcher (SA) independently indexed and summarised the remaining
135 transcripts (stage 3 and stage 4), adapting the framework as necessary, using QSR NVivo 12 ⁽³¹⁾.
136 Finally, the key characteristics of the data were mapped and interpreted by the research team (SA,
137 HP, SG) (stage 5) and verbatim participant quotes were extracted to illustrate themes and enhance
138 interpretive validity ⁽³²⁾.

139

140 **Results**

141 Twenty-four GPHCPs consented to participate in the study. Two participants withdrew their consent
142 due to lack of availability and a further two participants were not contactable. Therefore, a total of 20
143 GPHCPs (11 GPNs and 9 GPs) participated in the study. All participants elected to be interviewed
144 using VoIP. Interviews lasted an average of 41 minutes (range 24 – 61 minutes). The data were
145 considered to have reached saturation ⁽³³⁾ with 20 participants, as no new insights were revealed.

146

147 Most participants were female (18/20) and held a variety of job positions (see Table 1), with the
148 extent of experience in general practice ranging from 3 to 30 years. Participants worked across small,
149 large, urban and rural general practices with diverse patient demographics across England and
150 Scotland (Index of Multiple Deprivation (IMD) 2019 ⁽²⁶⁾ scores ranged from 6.8 to 50.8, and of non-
151 white ethnicities ranged from 1.5% to 61.1%.) Full characteristics of the participants and their
152 employing GP practices are presented in Table 1.

153

154 The thematic results are presented in two parts: part 1) explores GPHCPs' experiences of referring
155 patients with obesity to a dietetic service, and part 2) explores GPHCPs' perceptions of a general
156 practice role for dietitians for obesity management.

157

158 **1) Experiences of referring for dietetic interventions**

159 All participants had to refer their patients to secondary or tertiary care dietetic services. None of the
160 participants had access to a dietitian within their general practice. However, five participants (GP1,
161 GPN3, GPN6, GPN8, GPN11) could recall a time in the past where they used to be able to refer to a
162 general practice dietitian. Two main themes with six sub-themes emerged from the data. The sub-
163 themes underpinning the main themes are supported by the illustrative participant quotes in Table 2.

164

165 **Theme 1: Barriers to access**

166 Within this theme, GPHCPs described the barriers they had experienced when accessing dietetic
167 services for their patients with obesity. All five GPHCPs participants who used to have access to a
168 general practice dietitian felt that they had better and easier access to a dietitian when they were based
169 in their general practice, compared to now, where access is via a secondary care referral.

170

171 *Geographical disparity:* GPHCPs acknowledged that access to dietetic services varied by locality,
172 with almost all GPHCPs reporting limited access. Some participants recalled patients actively
173 requesting referral to a dietitian. GPHCPs felt guilty upon informing their patient that dietitian
174 services were not available in their geographical area.

175

176 *Rejected referrals:* GPHCPs experienced a high number of rejected or '*bounced*' referrals, which
177 discouraged them from making further referrals to dietitians. GPHCPs felt that communication from
178 dietetic services about rejected referrals was lacking, meaning they were unable to understand why
179 their referral had been rejected.

180

181 *Referral criteria:* GPHCPs believed dietetic services would only accept referrals for patients with
182 obesity who were clinically complex. Some GPHCPs believed that dietitians would only accept
183 referrals for patients who were underweight and needed to increase their weight and would not accept
184 patients with obesity for weight loss.

185

186 **Theme 2: The dietetic consult experience**

187 GPHCPs' experiences of the dietetic consult itself were mixed. Experiences were informed entirely
188 by verbal reports from their patients, or written feedback from a dietitian, as they did not have any
189 direct experiences.

190

191 *Weight stigma:* GPHCPs described stigmatising statements made by patients about dietitians, based upon
192 dietitians' body sizes. Patients' weight biases were directed toward dietitians who were both '*very,*
193 *very overweight*' or '*really thin*'. Patients told GPHCPs that they felt that dietitians with obesity were
194 '*hypocrites*', referring to the proverbial idiom '*pot calling the kettle black*'; meanwhile '*thin*'
195 dietitians could not relate or sympathise with having obesity, and thereby they felt '*judged*' by their
196 dietitian.

197

198 *Dietitian's interest:* Patients told GPHCPs that they preferred to see specialist dietitians, as opposed
199 to dietitians working in general services, as they felt that specialist dietitians had greater knowledge
200 of, and interest in, obesity and displayed greater empathy towards them.

201

202 *Continuity:* GPHCPs expressed a lack of communication from dietetic services about the dietetic
203 support they have provided their patient. This led GPHCPs to assume that dietetic interventions were
204 brief, short-term and consisted of seeing a patient for a 'one off' single intervention; and felt that this
205 level of follow-up was insufficient and ineffective.

206

207 **2) The General Practice Dietitian Role**

208 Three main themes and seven sub-themes emerged from the data around the potential of a role for a
209 general practice dietitian and are supported by the participant quotes, shown in Table 3.

210

211 **Theme 1: Utilising dietetic expertise**

212 GPHCPs felt that dietitians were 'experts' in managing obesity and perceived that dietitians' expertise
213 could be utilised by general practice teams in several ways, as described in the sub-themes below.

214

215 *Patient contact:* GPHCPs felt it was important for dietitians to work within general practice surgeries
216 to provide 'expert advice' directly to patients with obesity. GPHCPs also believed that having access
217 to 'in-house' dietitians would increase screening for obesity. GPHCPs did not want the dietitians to
218 work in silos. GPHCPs wished to be able to book direct appointments with dietitians and view
219 dietitians' entries in GP medical records, to aid continuity of care.

220

221 *Upskilling peers:* GPHCPs wanted guidance on how they can support patients of lesser complexity
222 themselves and felt that dietitians could 'upskill' the general practice team. GPHCPs acknowledged
223 that GPNs and healthcare assistants (HCAs) currently provide first line dietary advice, despite being
224 'nutritionally ill-informed'.

225

226 *'Curbside consultation':* GPHCPs perceived that having a dietitian within their team would offer
227 natural opportunities to seek informal dietetic advice about patients— a term referred to in medical
228 practice as a 'curbside consultation' ⁽³⁴⁾. The opportunity for informal discussions would enable
229 GPHCPs to feel more supported, and less 'isolated' when managing obesity.

230

231 **Theme 2: Access to dietitian**

232 Within the theme of access, there was a common perception that integrating dietitians into general
233 practice would improve physical access for patients, as well as referral access for GPHCPs.

234

235 *Physical access:* GPHCPs felt that patients with obesity would be more '*willing*' to attend an
236 appointment with a dietitian if it was held in general practice, as this is less burdensome for patient
237 travel. Further, secondary care environments were perceived to be '*scary*' for patients, while general
238 practice was described as a familiar environment.

239

240 *Referral pathways:* GPHCPs proposed a '*simple*' referral pathway for referring to general practice
241 dietitians, that did not involve referral forms and patients could be booked directly into dietitians'
242 clinics. Making internal referrals to '*someone in the building*' was perceived as an enabler to
243 increasing referrals to dietitians for obesity management.

244

245 **Theme 3: Time**

246 Time was cited by GPHCPs as being crucial for managing obesity, and it was perceived that
247 integrating dietitians into general practice would provide timely access to treatment for patients whilst
248 also '*freeing up*' GPHCPs' clinical time.

249

250 *Referral to treatment time:* GPHCPs perceived that obesity management interventions needed to be
251 initiated quickly, likening obesity to a point of '*crisis*'. Immediate access to dietitians was deemed
252 important for a successful weight management outcome, and it was perceived that embedding
253 dietitians into general practice would enable a shorter referral-to-treatment time.

254

255 *Health professionals' time:* Dietary advice was perceived to be clinically time consuming for
256 GPHCPs, who felt '*under pressure*' to deliver dietary advice within short appointments. GPHCPs felt
257 that giving dietary advice did not '*suit their skill set*' and was not the best use of their clinical time.
258 GPHCPs believed many of their patients could be referred to a dietitian, and that this would be
259 '*invaluable*' in '*freeing up*' their clinical time.

260

261 **Discussion**

262 **Summary**

263 GPHCPs experience barriers in accessing dietitians for obesity management and perceived that
264 having a dietitian working within the general practice team would contribute to remedying some of
265 the barriers to access. GPHCPs perceived dietitians' expertise to be valuable for the management of
266 obesity, but emphasised dietitians would need to be embedded within the team and would need to

267 have a specialist interest in obesity for their dietetic expertise to be utilised effectively. Recruiting a
268 dietitian to the general practice team was perceived as an enabler to overcoming challenges that
269 GPHCPs face relating to obesity management; such as alleviating time pressures and offering
270 opportunities for dietitians to provide training. GPHCPs believed that appointments with a general
271 practice dietitian would be appealing for patients and may improve patients' engagement with obesity
272 management. GPHCPs raised concerns about a bi-directional weight stigma between patients with
273 obesity and dietitians, suggesting that patients held a weight bias about the dietitians who treated
274 them, and patients felt that dietitians had a judgemental attitude towards their obesity.

275

276 **Strengths and limitations**

277 This is the first study to explore GPHCPs' experiences and perceptions of dietitians for obesity
278 management in the UK. Participation was not incentivised, yet there was no difficulty in recruitment.
279 We believe this can be attributed to the virtual snowball sampling method, which enabled lateral
280 communication that had a 'multiplier effect' ^(35,36). However an inherent limitation of convenience
281 sampling is selection bias ⁽³⁷⁾, which may mean that the GPHCPs electing to take part in this study
282 were those who held strong opinions regarding obesity management. Using VoIP for data collection
283 allowed data to be collected from a diverse demographic of participants and from multiple geographic
284 areas ⁽³⁶⁾ across the UK, increasing transferability of the findings. However, the limitations of VoIP
285 are acknowledged, such as the loss of intimacy as a result of technical difficulties ⁽³⁸⁾ and hindrance
286 to the detection of non-verbal cues ⁽³⁹⁾.

287

288 **Comparison with existing literature**

289 Although this is the first study to explore GPHCPs' experiences and perceptions of dietitians for
290 obesity management in the UK, findings are consistent with the limited literature available
291 internationally. A prior systematic review ⁽⁴⁰⁾ explored dietetic referral practices for obesity
292 management, and concluded that lack of accessibility to secondary care dietitians was an important
293 barrier to dietetic referral. Meanwhile, GPs who did have access to dietitians within primary care
294 benefited from frequent contact with dietitians, which enabled dietetic referrals through enhanced
295 communication and relationship building ⁽⁴⁰⁾. While these findings were akin to our own, only two
296 studies in the systematic review ⁽⁴⁰⁾ study were qualitative, the viewpoints of GPNs were not sought
297 and no studies were conducted within the UK.

298

299 Our findings relating to utilising dietetic expertise in general practice, are also comparable to studies
300 evaluating the role of primary care dietitians in Canada ^(12,13). Dietitians upskilled GPs, leading to
301 GPs being better able to manage patients that did not require a formal referral to a dietitian ^(12,13). Both

302 formal and informal face-to-face communication between dietitians and GPs were important
303 opportunities for inter-disciplinary learning ^(12,13). While curbside consultation practices between
304 physicians in primary care is well documented as an integral part of medical culture ⁽³⁴⁾, ‘informal
305 hallway chats’ have been found to take place between GPs and dietitians in the Canadian primary
306 care context ⁽¹²⁾ and within this study. Although there are parallels between our study and the
307 Canadian literature, these studies ^(12,13) were not conducted within the context of obesity management,
308 and moreover their findings may not be generalisable to the context of the structuring and financing
309 of UK general practice.

310

311 Our data found that GPHCPs perceived obesity management to be time consuming and proposed that
312 obesity management could be directly referred onto general practice dietitians, thus alleviating
313 GPHCPs’ clinical time pressures. Time is known to be a barrier for healthcare professionals in raising
314 the topic of weight during appointments. The ACTION International Observation (ACTION-IO)
315 study ⁽⁴¹⁾ found that more than half of all healthcare providers surveyed indicated that a perceived
316 lack of time in consultations was a factor in not discussing weight loss with their patients. Time was
317 also a significant barrier in a UK qualitative study ⁽⁴²⁾, in which both GPs and GPNs expressed a
318 perceived lack of clinical time as a barrier to the initiation of discussion about weight loss with
319 patients with obesity.

320

321 Low self-efficacy has also been reported in the literature as a barrier among healthcare professionals
322 in both raising the topic of weight with patients initially ⁽⁴²⁾ and managing obesity ^(43,44). This has been
323 attributed, in part, to a lack of training ⁽⁴⁵⁾. In our study, GPHCPs perceived dietitians to be the experts
324 in obesity management and felt that having a dietitian working with their general practice would offer
325 opportunities for upskilling of the wider general practice team. It may be that GPHCPs welcoming
326 dietitians into general practice may partly be due to their lack of confidence in their own obesity
327 management competencies.

328

329 Our present study found that GPHCPs believed that a two-way weight bias existed between dietitians
330 and patients with obesity, and that this negatively influenced patients’ satisfaction with a dietetic
331 consultation concerning obesity management. It is clear from the literature that obesity is a
332 stigmatising condition that impacts negatively on the relationship between patients and healthcare
333 professionals ^(46–48), including dietitians ^(49,50). A qualitative study from the perspective of patients has
334 previously shown that patients make judgements about the health of their GP based upon their GP’s
335 physical appearance, particularly weight status, whereby patients expressed that the advice given by
336 their GP is more credible, motivating and trustworthy if they perceived their GP to be healthy ⁽⁵¹⁾.

337 Our data also shows that patients with obesity vocalise a weight bias towards dietitians, which has
338 not previously been reported in the literature.

339

340 **Implications for research and/or practice**

341 This study has provided valuable exploratory data that suggests that GPHCPs are dissatisfied and
342 frustrated with current referral pathways to refer patients with obesity to dietitians. GPHCPs welcome
343 the expertise that dietitians can bring to their general practice teams to support obesity management,
344 and the integration of dietitians into the general practice team is seen to be key. The findings are
345 opportune for UK practice, given that dietitians have now been added to the Additional Roles
346 Reimbursement Scheme in the recent update to the GP contract agreement for 2020/21 - 2023/24 ⁽¹¹⁾.
347 Our findings suggest the future role of general practice dietitians should, alongside providing patient
348 consultations, incorporate formal and informal obesity training for GPHCPs. Dietitians and GPHCPs
349 should also work together to formulate simple and pragmatic internal referral pathways. Further
350 qualitative work which focuses on the design and specification of a general practice dietitian role
351 should be undertaken, and should include input from important stakeholders, including patients and
352 GPHCPs. Future research should examine the impact of embedding a dietitian in general practice has
353 in terms of improving GPHCPs' own nutritional competency and improving patient engagement in
354 obesity management.

355

356 This study has also raised concerns about a two-way weight stigma between dietitians and patients
357 with obesity. Weight stigma in healthcare is widespread and addressing this requires a multi-strategic
358 approach both within healthcare and across society ⁽⁵²⁾. Lack of education about the biological causes
359 and controllability of obesity has been shown to contribute towards weight stigma among student
360 healthcare professionals in the UK, including student dietitians ⁽⁵⁰⁾. Targeted educational training on
361 the causation and controllability of obesity may be beneficial in addressing weight stigma. However,
362 whether such educational training can improve the explicit and implicit attitudes that are conducive
363 to weight stigma among qualified dietitians is yet to be determined and is an area that requires further
364 research.

365

366 **Transparency:** The lead author affirms that this manuscript is an honest, accurate, and transparent
367 account of the study being reported. The lead author affirms that no important aspects of the study
368 have been omitted and that there are no discrepancies from the study as planned.

369

370 **Funding:** Salary for the lead author (Sally Abbott) was funded by a National Institute for Health
371 Research (NIHR) Pre-Doctoral Clinical Academic Fellowship for this project. The study costs were

372 funded by a grant from the British Dietetic Association General and Education Trust Fund. This paper
373 presents independent research funded by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) and the
374 British Dietetic Association. The views expressed are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those
375 of the NHS, the NIHR, the Department of Health and Social Care, the British Dietetic Association or
376 the authors' employing universities.

377

378 **Competing interests:** The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

379

380 **Acknowledgements:** We thank the GPs and GPNs who participated in the study. We also thank both
381 the individuals and the Royal College of Nursing, who shared the recruitment advertisement for this
382 study with their social media networks.

References

1. The King's Fund (2011) Improving the quality of care in general practice: report of an independent inquiry commissioned by the King's Fund.
<https://www.kingsfund.org.uk/publications/improving-quality-care-general-practice> (accessed August 2020)
2. Eurostat European Commission (2014) European Health Interview Survey (EHIS wave 2).
https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/cache/metadata/en/hlth_det_esms.htm (accessed August 2020)
3. NHS Digital (2019) Statistics on Obesity, Physical Activity and Diet, England, 2019.
<https://digital.nhs.uk/data-and-information/publications/statistical/statistics-on-obesity-physical-activity-and-diet/statistics-on-obesity-physical-activity-and-diet-england-2019> (accessed August 2020)
4. Centre for Workforce Intelligence (2014) In-depth review of the general practitioner workforce: final report. <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/in-depth-review-of-the-general-practitioner-workforce> (accessed August 2020)
5. NICE (2014) Obesity: identification, assessment and management
<https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg189/chapter/2-research-recommendations> (accessed August 2020)
6. Flodgren G, Gonçalves-Bradley DC & Summerbell C (2017) Interventions to change the behaviour of health professionals and the organisation of care to promote weight reduction in children and adults with overweight or obesity. *Cochrane database Syst Rev* **11**, CD000984.
7. Tol J, Swinkels IC, de Bakker DH *et al.* (2014) Dietetic treatment lowers body mass index in overweight patients: an observational study in primary health care. *J Hum Nutr Diet* **27**, 426–33.
8. Howatson A, Wall CR & Turner-Benny P (2015) The contribution of dietitians to the primary health care workforce. *J Prim Health Care* **7**, 324–32.
9. Booth HP, Prevost AT & Gulliford MC (2015) Access to weight reduction interventions for overweight and obese patients in UK primary care: population-based cohort study. *BMJ Open* **5**, e006642.
10. NHS England (2019) The NHS Long Term Plan. <https://www.longtermplan.nhs.uk> (accessed August 2020)
11. NHS England & British Medical Association (2020) Update to the GP contract agreement 2020/21 - 2023/24.

12. Gamblen W, Schamehorn S, Crustolo AM *et al.* (2007) The registered dietitian in primary care: The hamilton experience. *Can J Diet Pract Res* **68**, 81–5.
13. Crustolo AM, Kates N, Ackerman S *et al.* (2005) Integrating nutrition services into primary care: Experience in Hamilton, Ont. *Can Fam Physician* **51**, 1647–53.
14. Flesher M, Kinloch K, Grenon E *et al.* (2011) Access to dietitians: In primary health care. *Can J Diet Pract Res* **72**, 32–6.
15. Mitchell LJ, Macdonald-Wicks L & Capra S (2012) Increasing dietetic referrals: Perceptions of general practitioners, practice nurses and dietitians. *Nutr Diet* **69**, 32–8.
16. Cant RP (2010) Patterns of delivery of dietetic care in private practice for patients referred under Medicare Chronic Disease Management: Results of a national survey. *Aust Heal Rev* **34**, 197–203.
17. Liamputtong P. *Research Methods in Health: foundations of evidence based research.* Oxford University Press; 2011.
18. Whitaker E, Atkinson P. Reflexivity. In: Atkinson P, Delamont S, Cernat A *et al*, editors. *SAGE Research Methods Foundations.* London: SAGE Publications Ltd; 2019.
19. Robinson OC (2014) Sampling in Interview-Based Qualitative Research: A Theoretical and Practical Guide. *Qual Res Psychol* **11**, 25–41.
20. Baltar F & Brunet I (2012) Social research 2.0: virtual snowball sampling method using Facebook. *Internet Res* **22**, 57–74.
21. DiCicco-Bloom B & Crabtree BF (2006) The qualitative research interview. *Med Educ* **40**, 314–21.
22. DeJonckheere M & Vaughn LM (2019) Semistructured interviewing in primary care research: A balance of relationship and rigour. *Fam Med Community Heal* **7**, 57.
23. Lo Iacono V, Symonds P & Brown DHK (2016) Skype as a Tool for Qualitative Research Interviews. *Sociol Res Online* **21**, 1–15.
24. Public Health England (2019) National General Practice Profiles. <https://fingertips.phe.org.uk/profile/general-practice> (accessed August 2020)
25. NHS Digital (2018) Quality and Outcomes Framework, Achievement, prevalence and exceptions data - 2017-18. <https://digital.nhs.uk/data-and-information/publications/statistical/quality-and-outcomes-framework-achievement-prevalence-and-exceptions-data/2017-18> (accessed August 2020)
26. Ministry of Housing Communities and Local Government (2019) English indices of deprivation 2019. <https://www.gov.uk/government/statistics/english-indices-of-deprivation-2019> (accessed August 2020)

27. Office for National Statistics (2011) 2011 Census.
<https://www.ons.gov.uk/census/2011census> (accessed August 2020)
28. Office for National Statistics (2018) Rural Urban Classification (2011) of Wards in England and Wales. <https://data.gov.uk/dataset/e588d8f9-f869-4d96-99e8-e632c32d6d95/rural-urban-classification-2011-of-wards-in-england-and-wales> (accessed August 2020)
29. Ritchie J & Spencer L. Qualitative data analysis for applied policy research. In: *Analyzing Qualitative Data*. pp. 173-94 [Bryman A, Burgess B, editors]. London: Routledge; 1994.
30. Gale NK, Heath G, Cameron E *et al.* (2013) Using the framework method for the analysis of qualitative data in multi-disciplinary health research. *BMC Med Res Methodol* **13**, 117.
31. QSR International Pty Ltd. NVivo qualitative data analysis software. 2018.
32. Guest G, MacQueen K & Namey E. Validity and Reliability (Credibility and Dependability) in Qualitative Research and Data Analysis. In: *Applied Thematic Analysis*. pp. 79-106. [Guest G, MacQueen K & Namey E, editors] SAGE Publications; 2014.
33. Morse JM (2000) Determining Sample Size. *Qual Health Res* **10**, 3–5.
34. Kuo D, Gifford DR & Stein MD (1998) Curbside consultation practices and attitudes among primary care physicians and medical subspecialists. *J Am Med Assoc* **280**, 905–9.
35. Child RJH, Menten JC, Pavlish C *et al.* (2014) Using Facebook and participant information clips to recruit emergency nurses for research. *Nurse Res* **21**, 16–21.
36. McRobert CJ, Hill JC, Smale T *et al.* (2018) A multi-modal recruitment strategy using social media and internet-mediated methods to recruit a multidisciplinary, international sample of clinicians to an online research study. *PLoS One* **13**, e0200184.
37. Sadler GR, Lee HC, Lim RSH *et al.* (2010) Recruitment of hard-to-reach population subgroups via adaptations of the snowball sampling strategy. *Nurs Heal Sci* **12**, 369–74.
38. Seitz S (2016) Pixilated partnerships, overcoming obstacles in qualitative interviews via Skype: a research note. *Qual Res* **16**, 229–35.
39. Bayles M (2012) Is Physical Proximity Essential to the Psychoanalytic Process? An Exploration Through the Lens of Skype? *Psychoanal Dialogues* **22**, 569–85.
40. Aboueid S, Pouliot C, Bourgeault I *et al.* (2018) A Systematic Review of Interprofessional Collaboration for Obesity Management in Primary Care, A Focus on Dietetic Referrals. *J Res Interprof Pract Educ* **8**
41. Caterson ID, Alfadda AA, Auerbach P *et al.* (2019) Gaps to bridge: Misalignment between perception, reality and actions in obesity. *Diabetes, Obes Metab* **21**, 13752.
42. Blackburn M, Stathi A, Keogh E *et al.* (2015) Raising the topic of weight in general

practice: perspectives of GPs and primary care nurses. *BMJ Open* **5**.

43. Foster GD, Wadden TA, Makris AP *et al.* (2013) Primary care physicians' attitudes about obesity and its treatment. *Obes Res* **11**, 1168–77.
44. Ashman F, Sturgiss E & Haesler E (2016) Exploring Self-Efficacy in Australian General Practitioners Managing Patient Obesity: A Qualitative Survey Study. *Int J Family Med* **2016**, 8212837.
45. Petrin C, Kahan S, Turner M *et al.* (2017) Current attitudes and practices of obesity counselling by health care providers. *Obes Res Clin Pract* **11**, 352–9.
46. Mold F & Forbes A (2013) Patients' and professionals' experiences and perspectives of obesity in health-care settings: a synthesis of current research. *Heal Expect* **16**, 119–42.
47. Phelan SM, Dovidio JF, Puhl RM *et al.* (2014) Implicit and explicit weight bias in a national sample of 4,732 medical students: The medical student CHANGES study. *Obesity* **22**, 1201–8.
48. Phelan SM, Burgess DJ, Yeazel MW *et al.* (2015) Impact of weight bias and stigma on quality of care and outcomes for patients with obesity. *Obes Rev* **16**, 319–26.
49. Diversi TM, Hughes R & Burke KJ (2016) The prevalence and practice impact of weight bias amongst Australian dietitians. *Obes Sci Pract* **2**, 456–65.
50. Swift JA, Hanlon S, El-Redy L *et al.* (2013) Weight bias among UK trainee dietitians, doctors, nurses and nutritionists. *J Hum Nutr Diet* **26**, 395–402.
51. Fraser SE, Leveritt MD, Ball LE (2013) Patients' perceptions of their general practitioner's health and weight influences their perceptions of nutrition and exercise advice received. *J Prim Health Care* **5**, 301–7.
52. Tomiyama AJ, Carr D, Granberg EM *et al.* (2018) How and why weight stigma drives the obesity “epidemic” and harms health. *BMC Med* **16**, 123.

Table 1: Participants' demographics and employing GP practices' patient population demographics

Participant	Individual				GP practice			
	Profession	Gender	Experience (years)	Position	Size of practice*	Deprivation level (IMD 2019)	Non-white ethnicity (%)	Location
GP1	GP	Male	20	Salaried	Small	11.6	2.6	Rural
GP2	GP	Female	14	Locum	**	**	**	**
GP3	GP	Female	20	Partner	Large	32.5	16.5	Urban
GP4	GP	Female	12	Locum	Large	17.7	24.6	Urban
GP5	GP	Female	9	Partner	Small	12.2	8.8	Urban
GP6	GP	Female	19	Partner	Large	33.8	23.9	Urban
GP7	GP	Female	11	Partner	Small	***	***	Rural
GP8	GP	Male	4	Salaried	Large	23.5	7.8	Urban
GP9	GP	Female	14	Partner	Large	21.8	1.7	Urban
GPN1	GPN	Female	28	GPN Manager	Large	17.4	14.5	Urban
GPN2	GPN	Female	30	GPN Manager	Large	17.7	6.8	Urban
GPN3	GPN	Female	18	GPN Manager	Small	33.7	3.8	Urban
GPN4	GPN	Female	5	GPN Manager	Large	7.8	2.6	Rural
GPN5	GPN	Female	3	GPN	Small	33.7	1.5	Urban
GPN6	GPN	Female	13	ANP	Large	28.4	5.5	Urban
GPN7	GPN	Female	24	ANP	Large	50.8	61.1	Urban
GPN8	GPN	Female	7	GPN	****	****	****	Rural
GPN9	GPN	Female	17	GPN Educator	Small	15.1	4.9	Urban
GPN10	GPN	Female	29	GPN	Large	18.5	2.5	Urban
GPN11	GPN	Female	19	ANP	Large	6.8	3.9	Urban
Summary	9 GPs 11 GPNs	2 Males 18 Females	Mean 16 (range 3 – 30)	GPs: 2 salaried, 2 locum, 5 partners GPNs: 3 GPNs, 3 ANPs, 4 GPN managers, 1 GPN educator	6 Small 12 Large	Mean 21.3 (range 6.8 – 50.8)	Mean 11.4 (range 1.5 – 61.1)	4 Rural 15 Urban

GP, general practitioner; GPN, general practice nurse; ANP, advanced nurse practitioner

* small practices = <6000 registered patients and large practices = ≥6000 registered patients, ** Locum at >1 GP practice, *** Data not available for Scotland,

**** Data not available for military GP practices

Table 2: Illustrative quotes from general practice healthcare professionals regarding their experiences of referring patients to dietitians for obesity management

Themes and Sub-themes	Participant Quotations
1. Barriers to access	
1a. Geographical disparity	<p>"...and if people want advice on weight reduction, we can refer them to a dietitian quite easily in our area, but I appreciate that isn't always available in every sort of, every area of the country." (GP5)</p> <p>"INT: In your experience, how would you describe referring a patient to a dietitian for obesity?"</p> <p>Impossible... It's just that there's no, there's just no service available... patients have asked, "Can I be referred to a dietitian?" and I have to say, "Actually they're not available." (GPN3)</p>
1b. Rejected referrals	<p>"There are some patients which are not quite heavy enough, but you feel that they need perhaps a little bit more intensive input." (GP6)</p> <p>"I have done referrals to different, you know, dietitian services within the area and it's been declined, depending on the long term conditions and things that they've got... it just comes back and says they don't meet the criteria. And unless you have the time to actually then write another letter saying, "Well can you tell me why they didn't meet the criteria?", normally you don't tend to because, you know, doing it within clinical hours, it sometimes can be a bit hard." (GPN5)</p>
1c. Referral criteria	<p>"...we can refer, but I know the service is so oversubscribed, that as far as I know, they don't just accept referrals for obese patients... they're very, very short, that we can really only refer patients that we're struggling with, not necessarily just the obese patients, but you know, others with dietary needs as well." (GPN10)</p> <p>"...you refer in the underweight [to dietitians], when they've got muscle loss, but it's not for over. It's not for-[overweight]." (GP4)</p>
2. The dietetic consult experience	
2a. Weight stigma	<p>"...we've had others who've come back and said, "Well what do they know?" and I've said, "Well, they've got all that knowledge and they do know," but they can't get through that barrier of 'she doesn't know because she's really thin' and that's bias. It's perceived bias but it's not a true one because the dietitians are lovely." (GPN2)</p> <p>"So we did have a dietitian that was very, very overweight. That, you could guarantee, every one of my patients would say, "Well you know pot calling kettle black." And I was like, "Yeah, but that's her role to advise you." But that made it difficult." (GPN6)</p> <p>"A lot of the patients who went, came back saying – they fell into very two clear distinct halves – they really liked it, they found it useful, they learnt loads, or they felt they were being judged, and they didn't find it helpful or constructive at all. There was no happy medium. I've always had these two extremes." (GPN9)</p>
2b. Dietitian's interest	<p>"I think mixed experiences, and I think some of that, I think the biggest determinant of that tends to be the interest of the dietitian on obesity because I don't think a lot of them are that interested with obesity. Some are very interested and some are less interested." (GP1)</p> <p>And I sometimes wonder if that's who they saw, when they went to see the dietitian... I think a lot of ours might be general dietitians, and I think if they see our specialist dietitians, they absolutely seem to love them... they get far more out of it. I think they think that the person understands them, and has experience of what they're doing, and what they're going through." (GPN9)</p>
2c. Continuity	<p>"Generally the experience has been poor really. They tend to see people once or twice outside of an obesity clinic and then it doesn't seem to actually make any difference to the weight." (GP1)</p> <p>"...but in terms of the feedback that we get, I don't think it's particularly good here so I don't really know what, you know, I just assume that they maybe just see them once and give them advice and then that's the end of it cause we don't, I don't hear that they keep on repeatedly seeing them and monitoring their weight. I assume it's like a sort of one off intervention rather than a regular thing like a physiotherapist does." (GP5)</p>

Table 3: Illustrative quotes from general practice healthcare professionals regarding their perceptions on the role for a general practice dietitian for obesity management

Themes and Sub-themes	Participant Quotations
1. Utilising dietetic expertise	
1a. Patient contact	<p>“... in the same way that they’ve really focussed on trying to prevent diabetes before it’s really happened, I think we should exactly the same with obesity and to have an in-house dietitian who has the expertise in that area, I think it would make a huge difference... it’s actually to have someone who is an expert in that area and giving them the correct advice to help them lose weight and to improve their health.” (GP5)</p> <p>“You’re much more likely to be on the lookout, scanning for those people, if you know that you’ve got somebody to go and help. I think sometimes, you don’t want to open up that can of worms, when you know there’s nothing to help you, once you’ve done the weight and BMI bit.” (GPN8)</p> <p>“I think it would be brilliant. They could enter into the clinical system and use the same system as us, so we can see when they’ve made an entry, or seen a patient and what the advice is. They’d be a part of our team. They would know the patients like we get to know them. And we’d get to know that member of staff as well. So it would just be a brilliant partnership.” (GPN7)</p>
1b. Upskilling peers	<p>“What would be really helpful, is some kind of guidance about how you manage the patients who are not going to fit that criteria, because I’m thinking a majority aren’t going to need a dietitian. But then, how do you manage them, because at the moment, I don’t think that the guidelines for nursing, well for anybody, are fantastic.” (GPN8)</p> <p>“I think that particularly for nurses and healthcare assistants who are often the first port of call for dietetic advice it is better that they get the right advice and at the moment I suspect, well I know some of the stuff that’s churned out is questionable... doctors, nurses and healthcare assistants are pretty nutritionally naïve or ill-informed.” (GP1)</p>
1c. ‘Curbside Consultation’	<p>“So we did, we once had a dietitian back in the day, this is about 20 years ago... who came to the practice and that was a very positive experience... she had a halo effect with other members of staff who could have informal chats with her...” (GP1)</p> <p>“I think having someone to go to and have that conversation about somebody... having that MDT moment with someone, because you may not actually need to refer the patient entirely... And they can say, actually, what we’re going to do is, have you thought about this? Have you thought about that? I think it’s quite lonely in general practice.” (GPN8)</p>
2. Access to dietitian	
2a. Physical access	<p>“We used to have dietitians that used to come into the practice and they did their clinics. And so they were part of the team. And the patients, you could say, they come on a Tuesday and, they’re like, Oh Well we know the practice. We know where we’ve got to come. It’s nothing new or scary for them.” (GPN6)</p> <p>“If there was a clinic in our practice and we can directly book them in... we’d now know that they’ll be seen locally, they don’t have to travel. People would be a lot more willing cos they’d see it as part of us rather than a completely separate secondary care thing.” (GP7)</p> <p>“... the patients would come in for it, because they wouldn’t have to go too far... and it’s travel that bothers a lot of them, and lack of buses, and what have you.” (GPN9)</p>
2b. Referral pathways	<p>“And we can actually book the appointment there and then... It would make things much more seamless... it would just be ease of doing that referral. Potentially it may just be that I can simply send a task through the SystemOne, the actual patient record.” (GPN7)</p> <p>“If it’s at the forefront of your mind that you’ve, you know you’ve got a dietitian in the building, I might be more inclined to say, oh let me just check your height and weight... then I might then say, oh it might be worth booking an appointment with the dietitian to have a chat. It would encourage me more to actually measure it [BMI] and then knowing that it’s an option to just refer someone in the building.” (GP5)</p>
3. Time	
3a. Referral to treatment time	<p>“I think the dietitian’s role has been quite vital, to that, when they [patients] need the help, they can get the help, at the point of diagnosis, or the point of a crisis, immediate access, rather than saying, well, ‘I’ll refer you to a dietitian, you’ll see them in 4-5 weeks’, if we’re lucky, by then that window of opportunity has gone.” (GPN11)</p> <p>“...it’s about setting that commitment, they might make the commitment that day, but by the time they get the appointment six weeks later, they haven’t... Again, that’s where that time, you know, the time from referring to being seen, the longer it is, the more likely they are to change their mind.” (GPN6)</p>
3b. Health professional’s time	<p>“I think for me personally from a clinical point of view, it would take a lot of the pressure off me to be able to have to do everything and feel like I’m giving the right advice because things change so frequently as well... but you don’t have the time to go through all that [dietary advice], so certainly it would free my time up to look at more things that will suit my skillset more... it would be invaluable really in a lot of ways.” (GPN3)</p> <p>“They would take a lot of my work though [laughter]! Because there is quite a lot of dietary advice, even people with high cholesterol levels, do you know, all of that could be incorporated into the role of somebody who was a dietitian.” (GPN4)</p> <p>“...basically it would save practice nurse time, that if we found a patient when we’re doing chronic disease management, or for anything else, that was willing and wanting to make those changes, we could refer them straightaway, and they could have the follow up, the support that they needed.” (GPN10)</p>

Table S1: Topic guide used for semi-structured interviews with general practice healthcare professionals

Questions
1. Do you have a dietitian working within your general practice?
2. What do you think is the role of dietitians in the context of obesity?
3. Have you ever referred a patient to a dietitian for obesity management? If yes, tell me about your experience. If no, why do you think this is?
4. If a dietitian worked within your general practice team, to what extent do you think they would be useful?
5. If a dietitian worked within your general practice team, do you think would this influence obesity assessment? If yes, how? If no, why not?
6. If a dietitian worked within your general practice team, do you think this would influence obesity management? If yes, how? If no, why not?
7. If a dietitian worked with your general practice team, what would encourage you to refer a patient with obesity to them?
8. If a dietitian worked with your primary care team, what would prevent you referring a patient with obesity to them?