2 Running head: YOUTH SPORT RESEARCH PRIORITIES 3 4 Manuscript type: Review 5 6 **Key Words**: children, public health, policy 7 8 **Date of submission**: March 17, 2020 9 **Abstract Word Count**: 200 10 11 12 Manuscript Word Count (all text excluding title page and abstract): 4,875 13 14 **Authors**: 15 16 Erin K. Howie*, University of Arkansas, Fayetteville, AR, USA, ekhowie@uark.edu 17 Justin M. Guagliano, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, England, UK, 18 jmg221@medschl.cam.ac.uk 19 Karen Milton, University of East Anglia, Norwich, England, UK, K.Milton@uea.ac.uk 20 Stewart A. Vella, University of Wollongong, NSW, Australia, stvella@uow.edu.au 21 Sjaan R. Gomersall, University of Queensland, Brisbane, QLD, Australia, 22 s.gomersall1@uq.edu.au 23 Tracy L. Kolbe-Alexander, University of Southern Queensland, Toowoomba, QLD, Australia, 24 Tracy.Kolbe-Alexander@usq.edu.au 25 Justin Richards, University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW, Australia, justin.richards@sydney.edu.au 26 Russell R. Pate, University of South Carolina, Columbia, SC, USA, rpate@mailbox.sc.edu 27 *corresponding author

Title: Ten research priorities related to youth sport, physical activity and health

1

Abstract

Background: Sport has been identified as one of the seven best investments for increasing		
physical activity levels across the lifespan. Several questions remain on how to effectively utilize		
youth sport as a strategy for increasing physical activity and improving health in youth. The		
purpose of this paper is to identify the main research priorities in the area of youth sport and		
physical activity for health. Methods: An international expert panel was convened, selected to		
cover a wide-spectrum of topics related to youth sport. The group developed a draft set of		
potential research priorities and relevant research was scoped. Through an iterative process, the		
group reached consensus on the top ten research priorities. Results: The ten research priorities		
identified related to sport participation rates, physical activity from sport, the contribution of		
sport to health, and the overall return on investment from youth sport. For each research priority,		
the current evidence is summarized, key research gaps are noted, and immediate research needs		
are suggested. Conclusions : The identified research priorities are intended to guide researchers,		
policymakers, and practitioners to increase the evidence base on which to base the design,		
delivery and policies of youth sport programs to deliver health benefits.		

Background

Physical activity is important for children's physical, mental and social development.^{1,2} The Global Matrix report cards show that physical activity levels vary across countries, and suggest that overall, particularly in western countries, physical activity levels are low and physical inactivity is increasing.³ Physical inactivity during childhood not only affects childhood health, but impacts health in adulthood through direct effects and tracking of inactivity behaviors into adulthood.⁴⁻⁶ Thus, ways to increase physical activity among children around the world are a public health priority.

Interventions to increase physical activity among children have predominantly been implemented in the school setting. ^{7,8}. Sport is the most popular type of physical activity among children, ³ and youth sport exists in many cultures, in various forms, around the world. ⁹ Yet sport is not often used as an intervention strategy to increase physical activity. For the purposes of this paper, youth sport is defined as formally arranged sport, governed by rules, and participated in by individuals 18 years or younger and outside of school and physical education time. ¹⁰ This includes attending practices and games under supervision of one or more adults, who often assume the role of team coach. ^{10,11} Importantly, sport involvement includes rules, facilities, equipment, normative beliefs and policies. ¹²

Sport has been identified as one of the seven best investments for increasing physical activity levels amongst all individuals ¹³ and is particularly relevant to children due to existing cultural norms and infrastructure that encourage sport participation among children and adolescents, particularly in developed countries. This appears to be a global phenomenon as, for example, the United States (US) National Physical Activity Plan identified sport as one of nine sectors for implementing national physical activity guidelines ¹⁴ and recently released a National

Youth Sport Strategy ¹⁵, the International Olympic Committee has highlighted the importance of sport in promoting physical activity, ¹⁶, and Sport New Zealand has focused on achieving wellbeing outcomes through sport in its National Strategy and 2020-2032 Outcomes

Framework. ¹⁷ Therefore, sport is widely accepted as a potential avenue for promoting physical activity participation and broader social and health outcomes if young people are exposed to high-quality positive sporting experiences that increase the likelihood of continued participation in sports and physical activity. However, several questions remain on how to most effectively utilize youth sport as a strategy for increasing youth physical activity and improving health worldwide, as youth sport, in its current form, may not be enough.

The purpose of this paper is to identify top research priorities in the area of youth sport and physical activity for health. The overall purpose is to guide researchers, policymakers, and practitioners to increase the evidence base on which to base the design, delivery and policies of youth sport programs that will deliver health benefits.

Methods

An international expert panel (the authors) was convened following the 2016 International Society for Physical Activity and Health (ISPAH) Congress in Bangkok, Thailand. The panel was selected to cover a wide range of topics related to youth sport including youth sport specifically, children's physical activity more broadly, measurement of physical activity, and policy. Based on their knowledge and experience, the group developed a conceptual framework of the contribution of youth sport to health (see Figure 1) and collectively drafted a set of potential research priorities. Individuals were assigned to each priority area based on their expertise to scope relevant literature, which was presented back to the group. The evidence was discussed as a team, with additional research areas being added and scoped as necessary, to

further refine and reach consensus on the ten greatest priorities, which are presented in the paper. For each research priority, the state of the evidence is summarized, key research gaps are noted, and immediate research needs are suggested.

Research Priorities

Research Priority 1: What is the participation rate in youth sports?

Participating in sports is the first step to ensuring children benefit from sports. Youth sport participation rates are assessed in many countries as part of existing large-scale surveys and are included in the Global Matrix as a key indicator of youth physical activity. ^{3,18,19} In the 2018 Global Matrix reports, grades for organized youth sport ranged from high, with Denmark receiving an A- (with approximately 83% of 7 to 15 year-olds reporting regularly participating in sport ²⁰) to low, with Lebanon and Uruguay receiving F's (less than 20% participating), and several countries reporting incomplete information and unable to assign a grade. ¹⁹ However, these metrics are based on different population surveys and questions making cross-country comparisons difficult.

Importantly, many of these statistics are based on single- or limited-item questions, for example the Youth Risk Behavior Surveillance System multiple-choice question in the United States asks, "During the past 12 months, on how many sports teams did you play? (count any teams run by your school or community groups)." This question gives little information on the amount (duration and frequency) of participation or the level of involvement. Additionally, there are inconsistent definitions of youth sport which lead to varying participation estimates, such as not distinguishing between sport outside of school or sport that occurs during school or inconsistencies in including other activities such as dance. Further, even less evidence exists on

youth participation in non-traditional sports such as mountain biking, ultimate Frisbee, or competitive resistance training sports such as CrossFit, which are growing in popularity and may represent novel sporting opportunities to engage previously unengaged youth. To accurately understand participation in sport and the dose of exposure, more specific information about frequency, duration, intensity, type of competition (i.e. recreational or elite sports league), type of sport, and ultimately the quality of the sport participation are needed.

In addition to overall rates of participation, it is important to understand who is participating and importantly, who is not participating. Minimal information is available on how sport participation varies by gender, ethnicity, rural versus urban settings, and socioeconomic status. Furthermore, little information is available on children with disabilities' including how often they participate, in which sports, and who is not participating and why.²¹ This information is critical to help identify target groups that may need additional support to increase participation. Standardized measures are needed to regularly assess youth sport participation rates regionally, nationally and internationally to capture which sports children are participating in and how much, as well as who is participating and who is not. Ideally, these measures will also provide an indication of how much sport participation contributes to total physical activity levels so that we can understand how this varies in different population groups and changes across the lifespan (see Research Priority 4 below).

Research Priority 2: How can we best improve sport participation rates?

Sport is one of the most popular forms of physical activity among children,²² with participation rates typically increasing during childhood (e.g., ²³). While there is a growing amount of literature on why children join sport,²⁴ research on how to utilize these reasons to encourage and increase participation is limited. Capacity building, through the development of

knowledge, skills, infrastructure and systems, is one strategy that has been used to increase sports participation successfully in adults in marginalized communities.²⁵ In youth sport, building capacity could include improving the knowledge and skills of coaches and recreation practitioners; providing infrastructure such as fields, equipment and sporting leagues; and strengthening partnerships between youth sport organizations and government and other health promoting organizations.

While many children are enrolled in sport at a young age,^{3,18,19} it is unknown whether there is a "critical age" by which children need to start participating in sport or if they may join at any point. Some longitudinal research suggests that there may be gender differences in the probability of joining sports, with boys more likely to join at a later age.²⁶ Therefore, efforts to get children to join sport should not just be targeted at young children but also include adolescents who have not participated in sport or are trying a new sport.

For young children, initial participation may be instigated by their parents. While the role of family is speculated to play a large role in sports participation, ²⁷ more research is necessary on its specific involvement and how to engage family to promote sport in a positive way. One strategy may be encouraging parents to increase their levels of physical activity. ²⁸ Some children may need additional encouragement to participate in sport (as identified in Research Priority 1). Overall, boys have higher sports participation rates than girls and men are more physically active than women worldwide. ²⁹ Populations least likely to participate may be from lower socioeconomic groups that do not have the means to pay fees for participation, children in rural areas where distance and transportation are large considerations, ³⁰ children with disabilities who do not have access to ability-appropriate sporting opportunities, ³¹ or other minority groups such as culturally and linguistically diverse and LGBTQ+ populations. Strategies need to be tested to

overcome barriers and perceived barriers, as parent perception of these barriers may be more critical to participation than reality. Identified barriers should be targeted in youth sport policy and programming. Furthermore, for some children or adolescents where youth sport may be more negative than beneficial due to individual health concerns or negative social interactions, alternative physical activities should be explored.

Research Priority 3: How can we maintain sport participation?

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

Once a child is participating in sport, they must maintain participation to continue to receive benefits. However, participation rates decline steadily throughout adolescence, ²³ and there is evidence that children begin dropping out of sport and decreasing physical activity from as young as eight years of age. ^{26,32} While it is unclear what the exact rate of dropout from organized youth sports is, best estimates place it at around 30% of all participants each year.³³ Two systematic reviews have concluded that there are a range of established intrapersonal, interpersonal and environmental factors that predict dropout from organized sports. ^{33,34} These contributing factors include individual factors such as motor skills development, mental skills such as mental toughness and grit, social climate from coaches, parents and peers, ²⁷ organizational factors such as league scheduling, cost, and competition and policy factors addressed in later priorities. The reasons are likely to be different for girls and boys, particularly as they get older and physical and social development influences sporting decisions. ³⁵ Given that there is now sufficient information on the reasons for, and influences on, dropout from organized sports, a solution-oriented approach is needed.³⁶ Solution-oriented research is forward-looking, experimental in nature, and is capable of informing and changing policy and practice. This information on factors that influence participation needs to be used to identify groups that may be at high-risk of dropping out and manipulating some of these factors to reduce dropout.

Developmental models of sport participation and several position statements recommend that children participate in a number of sports, ³⁷⁻³⁹ however, there have been continued trends toward early sport specialization. ⁴⁰ Sampling a range of sports in childhood has been associated with higher physical activity during adolescence, ⁴¹ and evidence does not support sport specialization increasing performance and sporting success. ⁴² In addition, children who specialize in a single sport early may be at an increased risk for a number of predictors of dropout from organized sports, including injury, social isolation, and burnout. ⁴³⁻⁴⁶ The reduction in rates of sport specialization and parallel efforts to minimize and prevent injury and burnout among organized youth sport participants are likely to be meaningful strategies in the reduction of dropout from organized sports. Research on early specialization, with long-term follow-up of youth from a developmental perspective, is needed to identify potential risks.

Lastly, it should be noted that there are circumstances where it is entirely appropriate for children or adolescents to cease participation in a sport, including burnout, injury, or lack of enjoyment. However, given the health and well-being detriments associated with dropout from all sports, ^{47,48} maintenance of participation via transfer to an alternate level of competition or alternate sport may be beneficial. Little is known about the benefits or correlates of sports transfer, or the switching between sports – in contrast to dropout. With the emergence of sports such as skateboarding and mountain biking, there are alternatives for children who do not enjoy traditional team and individual sports. It is also important to identify these children to improve their current sporting participation or help them find an alternative sporting environment that they can continue in.

Research Priority 4: How much physical activity does sport provide participating youth?

Sport (including dance) may represent one of the widest reaching out-of-school settings for physical activity. In many countries around the world, the majority of youth participate in at least one sport annually, 49 which could have substantial public health implications as youth sport participation has been associated with an increased likelihood of meeting national physical activity guidelines. 50-52 Still, the actual contribution of sport to children and adolescents' total physical activity is still unclear. For instance, one study found that youth sport contributed 26 mins of participants' total moderate-to-vigorous physical activity (MVPA) for that day; 53 whereas, another found that youth participating in sport accumulated 7 min/day of MVPA more than youth who did not participate in sport (with ~5 additional min/day of MVPA for each additional sport they participated in). 54 These figures are likely to vary by measurement protocols (e.g., accelerometer cut-points, self-report tool used), sports, cultures and population socioeconomics. It is also possible that increasing physical activity through sport may displace other physical activity, though limited experimental research does not support this. 55 It is, therefore, critical to better understand the contribution of sport to overall physical activity.

While it is encouraging that many youth have access to sports and sports participation increases the likelihood of being sufficiently active, it appears that there is still room for improving physical activity in youth sport. Observational studies have consistently shown that despite being in a sporting context, youth sport participants spend the majority of time inactive or in light-intensity physical activity, regardless of setting context (e.g., practices, games), sport, and sex. ^{53,56-61} One of the primary factors influencing the quality of physical activity participation in sporting contexts is the coach. Accordingly, there have been calls to investigate and improve the current quality of coaching youth sports and particularly to determine efficient ways of optimizing the dose of physical activity accumulated during youth sport. ^{59,62,63} Other

contextual factors that may influence physical activity during sport may be the physical environment, peer interactions (i.e. age-groupings and variations in skill level), or rules of the game (i.e. modifications on player positioning and playing time). In particular, studies using nuanced observation systems to capture these contextual data are needed. Further, for some sports these data can then be paired with accelerometry and other data collection tools that use novel sensory technology to assess patterns of variability during particular time segments. Few studies to date have provided these contextual data in youth sport. ^{56,64-67} Only two of these studies paired contextual data with accelerometry to assess patterns of variability. ^{64,65} Findings showed that physical activity and inactivity were highly variable throughout the sporting event and differed by task (e.g., warm-up, game play, management) and setting demand (i.e., whether the practice setting fostered participation or exclusion). ⁶⁴ This contextual information can be used to inform interventions aiming to increase activity in youth sport; therefore, a more nuanced examination of the structure and characteristics of youth sport settings is warranted.

Research Priority 5: How can we develop effective and sustainable coaching interventions to improve physical activity in sport?

Coaches are in an ideal position to impact the health and wellbeing of youth sport participants, as they are viewed as experts, have regular direct involvement, and carry considerable influence over participants and the environment.^{68,69} Coaches are key figures in the youth sport setting and play an important role in ensuring that youth have high-quality sporting experiences.⁷⁰ Unfortunately, not all youth have positive experiences and their coach is one of the most commonly cited reasons for dropping out of sport.⁷¹

Many youth sport organizations do not require coaches to receive any formal coaching qualifications.⁶³ Further, the coach training programs (or accreditation courses) that are available,

generally do not provide coaches with direction on how to create structured environments that promote physical activity. ⁶³ Schlechter et al. found no difference in the percentage of time youth playing American flag football spent in MVPA between coaches who completed a standard coach training program compared to those with no training. ⁵⁷ One of the factors contributing to high percentages of inactivity and light intensity activity, may be that coaches spend little time preparing for practices, relying on their experience in the sport to create impromptu practices. ⁷² While sport can include some beneficial activities of lower intensity, such as motor skill development, team strategizing, and intentional observation, coaches have been observed spending a considerable percentage of practice time in less effective management activities (e.g., setting up drills, transitioning between drills, instructions). ⁵⁶ This lack of appropriate training and planning negatively affects physical activity intensity, where MVPA is lower when coaches are disengaged and in a management context. ^{66,67}

Even when the coach is not specifically cited as the reason for youth drop out, the reasons given are generally factors coaches control or affect, and thus can improve. For instance, lack of excitement and fun, boredom, and not enough participation were some of the most common reasons for withdrawing from sport in a study of over 500 youth athletes.⁷³ Since we know coaches spend a considerable percentage of practice time in management ⁵⁶ and youth MVPA is lower during this time ^{66,67}, it is possible that poor management practices contributes to youth dropout from sport.

Research is needed to create and evaluate coaches' training programs aimed at improving the quality of youth sport coaching. We are aware of only one experimental study that investigated the efficacy of coach training on youth physical activity intensity in a small convenience sample during sport practice.⁷⁴ This study showed that brief coach training can

significantly increase MVPA and decrease inactivity. Additionally, after coaches were trained to implement efficient activity-promoting practices, attenuated differences in MVPA between youth with high and low self-determined motivation were found. However, this intervention was short in duration (one week), highly controlled, and only focused on increasing physical activity by modifying the structure of practices. Therefore, longer, fully-powered effectiveness trials of interventions that are scalable are needed. In addition to increasing physical activity by modifying the physical environment, future coach training programs could also incorporate psychosocial (e.g., motivational climate, coach-athlete relationships) or developmental (e.g., interpersonal development, fundamental movement skills, physical literacy) elements. Future interventions may also explore alternate training methods (e.g., online modules), incorporating parents or families, maintain sport between sport seasons, and aim to influence physical activity outside of the youth sport environment.

As discussed in the previous section, there is a dearth of interventions aiming to increase physical activity during youth sport. While promising, the long-term effectiveness of training coaches to implement activity-promoting practices is currently unknown and warrants further investigation. However, interventions with long follow-ups in youth sports are difficult because sport seasons are generally short, and there is high turnover amongst coaches and athletes. Future interventions can continue to deliver interventions to coaches, but should conduct analyses at a higher level (i.e., organization or club level). Therefore, organizational, club, or perhaps governmental, buy-in is needed for interventions to be sustainable and to assess long-term effectiveness.

Research Priority 6: What policy-level actions on youth sport are most effective at increasing physical activity?

To achieve the required reach and scale of change in physical activity to benefit population health, policy-level interventions are needed. Several international and national documents and initiatives highlight the roles of policy in promoting physical activity, and the importance of sport policy within that. For example, the World Health Organization's Global Action Plan on Physical Activity highlights policy actions across four objective areas that engage and utilize sporting structures. In the United States, the National Physical Activity Plan has 8 strategies with detailed tactics on how to use sport to promote physical activity and has recently released a National Youth Sport Strategy; several of these strategies and tactics address policy-level actions. He International Society for Physical Activity and Health's Bangkok Declaration highlights sport policy actions to support the Sustainable Development Goals. Whilst there is recognition of the potential role of sport in shifting population levels of physical activity, the most effective strategies for increasing physical activity within this sector remain unclear, and past efforts have been mostly unsuccessful.

While tools exist to evaluate physical activity policies, ⁸⁰ there is a need for long-term evaluations following sport-related policy changes with appropriate comparison groups to determine long-term effectiveness. These can include natural experiments with matched control communities. Evaluations of the effectiveness of policies should include cost-effectiveness analyses by examining the return on investment for policy implementation. Cost-benefit analyses should examine how a policy that increases sport participation increases physical activity and decreases overall healthcare costs, in addition to other benefits to society. While policy change can often be slow, research including a series of systematic reviews and modelling scenarios to inform the development of a league table of the most effective and cost-effective policy level actions on youth sport could help to enhance sport policies.

Research Priority 7: How does youth sport contribute to physical health?

Youth sport is a key opportunity for physical activity and the physical benefits of physical activity are well documented. As discussed in Research Priority 4, the total amount of physical activity during sport needs to be assessed using direct observation or objectively measured by devices. Sport, however, unique from other forms of physical activity, may provide additional benefits such as improved motor skills and multiple fitness components, for example, muscular strength, endurance, flexibility, cardiorespiratory fitness and body composition. Sport participation, likely due to its physical activity with high intensity intervals, has been linked to decreased risk of obesity 4 and other chronic diseases.

While cross-sectional studies provide evidence that participation in youth sport is associated with improved physical health and fitness, 50,87 there are few experimental studies showing that joining sports increases physical health. A feasibility study randomized low-income, overweight children to a soccer program and found improvements in body composition after 3 months. 88 However, in typical sport settings, it is likely that children who have greater physical health and fitness are more likely to participate, limiting any causal inferences. It is unknown if joining sport, in its current form, is sufficient to improve physical health and which sports in which settings improve which physical health outcomes. While some evidence suggests answers to these questions, 88, such as reducing obesity, longitudinal studies that assess the impact on overall physical health over time are needed to understand how the effects of youth sport may translate into adulthood. Well-designed experimental studies are needed to assess the physical effects of youth sport participation, and particularly how individuals with lower fitness may benefit from joining and maintaining participation in appropriate sporting opportunities.

Research Priority 8: How does youth sport contribute to mental health?

Youth sport participation has been associated with improved mental health outcomes including reduced mental illbeing (e.g. anxiety and depression), and increased mental wellbeing (e.g. happiness). Region of the activity in addition, sport and physical activity has been positively associated with educational outcomes including improved cognitive performance and academic achievement. Some of these benefits may be directly from the neurobiological effects of physical activity. More research is needed on the specifics of these mechanisms, however, they may include acute and chronic neuroelectric effects, shrain-derived neurotrophic factor, or cerebral blood flow mechanisms. Likely, however, that youth sport has an effect on mental health outcomes independent of physical activity. Improved mental illbeing and wellbeing may be mediated through psychosocial mechanisms that result from positive social interactions, outdoor activity, or feelings of accomplishment. There may also be behavioral mechanisms linked to improved auto-regulation or sleep patterns for those engaged regularly in sport that positively influence mental health. Similarly, improved cognitive performance may be a result of complex problem solving and spatial reasoning needed during sport performance.

The majority of the evidence to support the relationship between physical activity and mental health is either from tightly controlled laboratory experiments or cross-sectional data. ^{92,96} Broader effectiveness studies in real-world settings are indicated. These studies also need to explore how sport is only part of the broader social phenomenon that surrounds it when delivered as a mental health intervention. ⁹⁷ Specifically, there may also be negative effects of sports on mental health including eating disorders, increased anxiety or decreased self-esteem, particularly in low quality sporting experiences. ⁹⁸ It is likely that these mediators are variable between individuals, sports, and sporting experiences. ¹² Consequently, it may not be the traditional components of physical activity dosage that are critical for achieving mental health outcomes

through sport. ⁹⁹ For example, it may be that sport that is light-intensity and is performed in a social and fun context for a short duration is effective at changing mental health outcomes, despite have minimal impact on physical health. Well-designed, ecologically valid experiments are needed to provide causal evidence on the benefits of sport on mental health. Additionally, more research is needed on the mediators of these positive mental health benefits so that these factors can be maximized during youth sport. Furthermore, longitudinal studies are needed to determine how these changes in mental health may be sustained or dissipate.

Research Priority 9: How does sport contribute to social health?

There are several other developmental benefits of youth sport participation including the social benefits of youth sport. Social identity is likely to contribute to positive youth development. Open that sport has been identified as a way to encourage and promote positive youth development. Youth sport participation has also been shown to promote indicators of success such as reduced school dropout, juvenile detention and crime rates. Youth sport may also directly affect social capital through teamwork and social training. For example, children and adolescents involved in youth sport may develop critical '21st century skills' that increase their employability such as communication and cooperation. High quality youth sport experience will likely teach good social skills similar to other quality after school programs. For youth who have immigrated or are from international backgrounds, sport may also help with integration and acculturation.

Youth sport also creates a setting for interactions with peers and family. Friends were consistently reported as a predominant reason given by children and adolescents for participating in sports, and good teammates are critical to a positive sport experience. Siblings and parents may encourage participation, but are also involved in the entire sporting experience such as

attending games, helping with skill practice and providing transportation. Strategies to encourage and maximize these positive social experiences need to be explored.

Through its inherent social interactions, sport can be used as a vehicle for positive change, in addition to health promotion. One sporting opportunity that has been used to effect change in more than traditional physical health outcomes is Sport for Development. However, many of these programs have been implemented by health and non-profit agencies without rigorous evaluation of effectiveness and evaluation has found mixed results on diverse outcomes. Researchers can capitalize on the natural experiment opportunities that arise from implementation of these programs as well as collaborating with existing sport for development programs to strengthen implementation and evaluation design.

Research Priority 10: What is the overall return on investment for youth sport participation?

As highlighted, there are physical, mental and social health benefits of sport participation. However, the benefits of positive sporting environments extend to economic and social benefits. With limited resources, governments and agencies need to understand the relative return on their investments, including the contribution of sport. Understanding the overall contribution of youth sport to society may make decisions about resource allocation and sport-related policies more obvious. It is impossible to capture all the benefits of youth sport. From a socioecological perspective, studies on the benefits of youth sport typically focus on individual and interpersonal benefits for the participating youth. However, expanding the research lens to macro-levels of communities, policies, and environments may yield some unexpected outcomes from youth sport. Some novel areas of research on outcomes can be applied to youth sport from other disciplines. For example, one such area of exploration is the economic benefits of youth sport. In

the U.S. it is estimated that youth sports is a \$15 billion industry. This includes revenue from participation, tourism, and advertising. Advertising through youth sporting events has been controversial, but sports marketing has rarely been evaluated, either at the grassroots or national level. Organizations and investors will want to know the overall return on investment in youth sport, including quantification of the benefits of advertising. Thus, social return on investment analyses should consider these macro-level effects on community economies and environments through partnerships with sustainability organizations that already exists. A series of different methods to assess social return on investment have been trialed in various countries. The variation in the results of these analyses is thought to largely reflect the diversity of the methods used rather than large differences in the value of sport across countries. Further research is indicated to refine these methods and apply them to various sporting interventions, which include strategies to directly influence the knowledge, skills and motivation of sport participants as well as more indirect interventions like the creation or renovation of sporting facilities.

Conclusion

Researchers in physical activity and health, exercise science, sociology, youth development and other disciplines should work collaboratively to answer the youth sport research questions highlighted in the current paper and summarized in Table 1. Specific actions by collaborative stakeholders to support the research questions and priorities in Table 1 could include better alignment of comprehensive surveillance across countries; robust evaluations of all sports initiatives; a shift in the focus of evaluation towards understanding the effects of participation on physical, mental, and social health outcomes; and the integration of health economics into the evaluation of sports initiatives to enhance understanding of their cost-

effectiveness. Investments are needed in initiatives to engage specific population groups such as girls and minority groups as well as capacity building to increase knowledge and skills among coaches, and. Specific activities for the research community that may support this interdisciplinary research include data sharing, conference symposia calls, special issues in journals, and funding opportunities that address youth sport topics. A truly convergent research approach, involving international stakeholders, is needed to tackle the issue of youth sport participation. Better understanding of these research priorities will assist in gaining funding agencies' and stakeholders' recognition of the potential for youth sport to contribute to youth health and development, which will lead to improved practice and in turn better health outcomes for youth worldwide.

References

444

- 445 1. Janssen I, LeBlanc AG. Systematic review of the health benefits of physical activity and
- fitness in school-aged children and youth. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act.* 2010;7(1):40.
- Eime RM, Young JA, Harvey JT, Charity MJ, Payne WR. A systematic review of the
- psychological and social benefits of participation in sport for children and adolescents:
- Informing development of a conceptual model of health through sport. *Int J Behav Nutr*
- 450 *Phys Act.* 2013;10(1):98.
- 451 3. Aubert S, Barnes JD, Aguilar-Farias N, et al. Report card grades on the physical activity
- of children and youth comparing 30 very high human development index countries. J
- 453 *Phys Act Health.* 2018;15(S2):S298-s314.
- 454 4. Debate RD, Pettee Gabriel K, Zwald M, Huberty J, Zhang Y. Changes in psychosocial
- factors and physical activity frequency among third-to eighth-grade girls who participated
- in a developmentally focused youth sport program: A preliminary study. *J Sch Health*.
- 457 2009;79(10):474-484.
- 458 5. Van der Horst K, Paw M, Twisk JW, Van Mechelen W. A brief review on correlates of
- physical activity and sedentariness in youth. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2007;39(8):1241-
- 460 1250.
- 461 6. Lounassalo I, Salin K, Kankaanpaa A, et al. Distinct trajectories of physical activity and
- related factors during the life course in the general population: A systematic review. *BMC*
- 463 *Public Health.* 2019;19(1):271.
- Dobbins M, DeCorby K, Robeson P, Husson H, Tirilis D. School-based physical activity
- programs for promoting physical activity and fitness in children and adolescents aged 6-
- 466 18. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2009;21(1):CD007651.

- 467 8. Van Sluijs EM, McMinn AM, Griffin SJ. Effectiveness of interventions to promote
- physical activity in children and adolescents: Systematic review of controlled trials. *BMJ*.
- 469 2007;335(7622):703.
- 470 9. Tremblay MS, Barnes JD, Gonzalez SA, et al. Global matrix 2.0: Report card grades on
- 471 the physical activity of children and youth comparing 38 countries. *J Phys Act Health*.
- 472 2016;13(11 Suppl 2):S343-s366.
- 473 10. Janssen I. Active play: An important physical activity strategy in the fight against
- 474 childhood obesity. *Can J Public Health.* 2014;105(1):e22-27.
- 475 11. Smoll FL, Smith RE. Children and youth in sport: A biopsychosocial perspective.
- 476 Kendall Hunt Publishing Company; 2002.
- 477 12. Evans MB, Allan V, Erickson K, Martin LJ, Budziszewski R, Cote J. Are all sport
- activities equal? A systematic review of how youth psychosocial experiences vary across
- 479 differing sport activities. *Br J Sports Med.* 2017;51(3):169-176.
- 480 13. Global Advocacy for Physical Activity (GAPA) the Advocacy Council of the
- International Society for Physical Activity and Health (ISPAH). Ncd prevention:
- Investments that work for physical activity. *Health Promot.* 2010;17(2):5-15.
- 483 14. Pate RR. A national physical activity plan for the united states. *J Phys Act Health*. 2009;6
- 484 Suppl 2:S157-158.
- 485 15. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. National youth sports strategy.
- Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; 2019.
- 487 16. Mountjoy M, Andersen LB, Armstrong N, et al. International olympic committee
- consensus statement on the health and fitness of young people through physical activity
- and sport. *Br J Sports Med.* 2011;45(11):839-848.

- 490 17. Zealand SN. Every body active. 2019.
- 491 18. Manyanga T, Barnes JD, Abdeta C, et al. Indicators of physical activity among children
- and youth in 9 countries with low to medium human development indices: A global
- 493 matrix 3.0 paper. *J Phys Act Health*. 2018;15(S2):S274-s283.
- 494 19. Gonzalez SA, Barnes JD, Abi Nader P, et al. Report card grades on the physical activity
- of children and youth from 10 countries with high human development index: Global
- 496 matrix 3.0. *J Phys Act Health*. 2018;15(S2):S284-s297.
- 497 20. Norager Johansen DL, Neerfeldt Christensen BF, Fester M, et al. Results from denmark's
- 498 2018 report card on physical activity for children and youth. *J Phys Act Health*.
- 499 2018;15(S2):S341-s343.
- Lee H, Causgrove Dunn J, Holt NL. Youth sport experiences of individuals with attention
- deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Adapt Phys Activ Q.* 2014;31(4):343-361.
- Tremblay MS, Barnes JD, Gonzalez SA, et al. Global matrix 2.0: Report card grades on
- the physical activity of children and youth comparing 38 countries. *J Phys Act Health.*
- 504 2016;13:S343-S366.
- 505 23. Australian Sports Commission. Ausplay focus: Children's participation in organised
- 506 physical activity outside of school hours. Canberra2018.
- 507 24. Allender S, Cowburn G, Foster C. Understanding participation in sport and physical
- 508 activity among children and adults: A review of qualitative studies. *Health Educ Res*.
- 509 2006;21(6):826-835.
- 510 25. Marlier M, Cardon G, De Bourdeaudhuij I, Willem A. A capacity building approach to
- increase sports participation in disadvantaged urban communities: A multilevel analysis.
- 512 *J Urban Health.* 2014;91(6):1114-1128.

- 513 26. Howie EK, McVeigh JA, Smith AJ, Straker LM. Organized sport trajectories from
- childhood to adolescence and health associations. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*.
- 515 2016;48(7):1331-1339.
- 516 27. Howie EK, Daniels BT, Guagliano JM. Promoting physical activity through youth sports
- programs: It's social. *Am J Lifestyle Med.* 2018:1559827618754842.
- 518 28. Rodrigues D, Padez C, Machado-Rodrigues AM. Active parents, active children: The
- importance of parental organized physical activity in children's extracurricular sport
- 520 participation. *J Child Health Care*. 2018;22(1):159-170.
- 521 29. Mielke GI, da Silva ICM, Kolbe-Alexander TL, Brown WJ. Shifting the physical
- inactivity curve worldwide by closing the gender gap. *Sports Med.* 2018;48(2):481-489.
- 523 30. Hardy LL, Kelly B, Chapman K, King L, Farrell L. Parental perceptions of barriers to
- children's participation in organised sport in australia. *J Paediatr Child Health*.
- 525 2010;46(4):197-203.
- 526 31. Jaarsma EA, Dijkstra PU, de Blecourt AC, Geertzen JH, Dekker R. Barriers and
- facilitators of sports in children with physical disabilities: A mixed-method study. *Disabil*
- 528 *Rehabil.* 2015;37(18):1617-1623; quiz 1624-1615.
- 529 32. Farooq MA, Parkinson KN, Adamson AJ, et al. Timing of the decline in physical activity
- in childhood and adolescence: Gateshead millennium cohort study. *Br J Sports Med.*
- 531 2017.
- 532 33. Balish SM, McLaren C, Rainhaim D, Blanchard C. Correlates of youth sport attrition: A
- review and future directions. *Psychol Sport Exerc.* 2014;15:429-439.
- 534 34. Crane J, Temple V. A systematic review of dropout from organized sport among children
- and youth. Eur Phy Educ Rev. 2015;21(1):114-131.

- 536 35. Scurr J, Brown N, Smith J, Brasher A, Risius D, Marczyk A. The influence of the breast
- on sport and exercise participation in school girls in the united kingdom. J Adolesc
- 538 *Health.* 2016;58(2):167-173.
- 539 36. Robinson TN, Sirard JR. Preventing childhood obesity: A solution-oriented research
- 540 paradigm. *Am J Prev Med.* 2005;28(2S2):194-201.
- 541 37. Côté J, Lidor R, Hackfort D. Issp position stand: To sample or to specialize? Seven
- postulates about youth sport activities that lead to continued participation and elite
- performance. Int J Sport Exerc Psychol. 2009;7(1):7-17.
- 38. Bergeron MF, Mountjoy M, Armstrong N, et al. International olympic committee
- consensus statement on youth athletic development. Br J Sports Med. 2015;49(13):843-
- 546 851.
- 547 39. LaPrade RF, Agel J, Baker J, et al. Aossm early sport specialization consensus statement.
- 548 *Orthop J Sports Med.* 2016;4(4):2325967116644241.
- 549 40. DiSanti JS, Erickson K. Youth sport specialization: A multidisciplinary scoping
- 550 systematic review. *J Sports Sci.* 2019;37(18):2094-2105.
- 551 41. Gallant F, O'Loughlin JL, Brunet J, Sabiston CM, Belanger M. Childhood sports
- participation and adolescent sport profile. *Pediatrics*. 2017;140(6).
- Post EG, Trigsted SM, Schaefer DA, et al. Knowledge, attitudes, and beliefs of youth
- sports coaches regarding sport volume recommendations and sport specialization. J
- 555 Strength Cond Res. 2018.
- Brenner JS. Overuse injuries, overtraining, and burnout in child and adolescent athletes.
- 557 *Pediatrics*. 2007;119(6):1242-1245.

- Hecimovich M. Sport specialization in youth: A literature review. *Journal of the*
- *American Chiropractic Association.* 2004;41(4):32-41.
- 560 45. Malina RM. Early sport specialization: Roots, effectiveness, risks. *Curr Sports Med Rep.*
- 561 2010;9(6):364-371.
- 562 46. Smucny M, Parikh SN, Pandya NK. Consequences of single sport specialization in the
- pediatric and adolescent athlete. *Orthop Clin North Am.* 2015;46(2):249-258.
- Vella SA, Cliff DP, Magee CA, Okely AD. Sports participation and parent-reported
- health-related quality of life in children: Longitudinal associations. *J Pediatr.* 2014.
- Vella SA, Cliff DP, Magee CA, Okely AD. Associations between sports participation and
- psychological difficulties during childhood: A two-year follow up. *J Sci Med Sport*.
- 568 2014.
- 569 49. Tremblay MS, Barnes JD, González SA, et al. Global matrix 2.0: Report card grades on
- the physical activity of children and youth comparing 38 countries. *J Phys Act Health*.
- 571 2016;13(Suppl. 2):S343-S366.
- 572 50. Vella SA, Cliff DP, Okely AD, Scully ML, Morley BC. Associations between sports
- participation, adiposity and obesity-related health behaviors in australian adolescents. *Int*
- 574 *J Behav Nutr Phys Act.* 2013;10:113.
- 575 51. Mooses K, Kull M. The participation in organised sport doubles the odds of meeting
- 576 physical activity recommendations in 7-12-year-old children. Eur J Sport Sci. 2019:1-7.
- 577 52. Sprengeler O, Buck C, Hebestreit A, Wirsik N, Ahrens W. Sports contribute to total
- moderate to vigorous physical activity in school children. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*.
- 579 2019;51(8):1653-1661.

- 580 53. Wickel EE, Eisenmann JC. Contribution of youth sport to total daily physical activity
- 581 among 6-to 12-yr-old boys. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 2007;39(9):1493-1500.
- 582 54. Koorts H, Timperio A, Arundell L, Parker K, Abbott G, Salmon J. Is sport enough?
- Contribution of sport to overall moderate- to vigorous-intensity physical activity among
- adolescents. J Sci Med Sport. 2019;22(10):1119-1124.
- 585 55. Gomersall SR, Maher C, English C, et al. Testing the activitystat hypothesis: A
- randomised controlled trial. *BMC Public Health.* 2016;16:900.
- 587 56. Guagliano JM, Rosenkranz RR, Kolt GS. Girls' physical activity levels during organized
- sports in australia. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2013;45(1):116-122.
- 589 57. Schlechter CR, Rosenkranz RR, Milliken GA, Dzewaltowski DA. Physical activity levels
- during youth sport practice: Does coach training or experience have an influence?
- *Journal of Sports Science*. 2016.
- 592 58. Sacheck JM, Nelson T, Ficker L, Kafka T, Kuder J, Economos CD. Physical activity
- during soccer and its contribution to physical activity recommendations in normal weight
- and overweight children. *Pediatr Exerc Sci.* 2011;23(2):281-292.
- 595 59. Leek D, Carlson JA, Cain KL, et al. Physical activity during youth sports practices. *Arch*
- 596 *Pediatr Adolesc Med.* 2011;165(4):294-299.
- 597 60. van den Berg C, Kolen. Children in organized hockey: How much physical activity do
- 598 they really get? *Int J Exerc Sci.* 2015;8(2):184-191.
- 599 61. Ridley K, Zabeen S, Lunnay BK. Children's physical activity levels during organised
- sports practices. J Sci Med Sport. 2018.
- 601 62. Pate RR, O'Neill JR. Youth sports programs: Contribution to physical activity. *Arch*
- 602 *Pediatr Adolesc Med.* 2011;165(4):369-370.

- 603 63. Vella SA, Schranz NK, Davern M, et al. The contribution of organised sports to physical
- activity in australia: Results and directions from the active healthy kids australia 2014
- report card on physical activity for children and young people. *J Sci Med Sport*.
- 606 2016;19(5):407-412.
- 607 64. Schlechter CR, Guagliano JM, Rosenkranz RR, Milliken GA, Dzewaltowski DA.
- Physical activity patterns across time-segmented youth sport flag football practice. *BMC*
- 609 *Public Health.* 2018;18(1):226.
- 610 65. Schlechter CR, Guagliano JM, Rosenkranz RR, Dzewaltowski DA. Microsystem drivers
- of inequality in physical activity during youth sport. under review.
- 612 66. Cohen A, McDonald S, McIver K, Pate R, Trost SG. Assessing physical activity during
- youth sport: The observational system for recording activity in children: Youth sports.
- 614 *Pediatr Exerc Sci.* 2014;26(2):203-209.
- 615 67. Guagliano JM, Lonsdale C, Rosenkranz RR, Parker PD, Agho KE, Kolt GS. Mediators
- effecting moderate-to-vigorous physical activity and inactivity for girls from an
- intervention program delivered in an organised youth sports setting. *J Sci Med Sport*.
- 618 2015;18:678-683.
- 619 68. Conroy DE, Coatsworth JD. Coach training as a strategy for promoting youth social
- development. Sport Psychol. 2006;20(2):128-144.
- 621 69. Smith RE, Smoll FL. Coaching the coaches: Youth sports as a scientific and applied
- behavioral setting. Curr Dir Psychol Sci. 1997;6(1):16-21.
- 623 70. Bolter ND, Petranek LJ, Dorsch TE. Coach, parent, and administrator perspectives on
- 624 required coaching education in organized youth sport. *Int J Sports Sci Coach*.
- 625 2018;13(3):362-372.

- 626 71. Molinero O, Salguero A, Álvarez E, Márquez S. Reasons for dropout in youth soccer: A
- 627 comparison with other team sports. European Journal of Human Movement. 2009;22:21-
- 628 30.
- 629 72. Guagliano JM, Lonsdale C, Rosenkranz RR, Kolt GS, George ES. Do coaches perceive
- themselves as influential on physical activity for girls in organised youth sport? *PLoS*
- *One.* 2014;9(9):e105960.
- 632 73. Rottensteiner C, Laakso L, Pihlaja T, Konttinen N. Personal reasons for withdrawal from
- team sports and the influence of significant others among youth athletes. *Int J Sports Sci*
- 634 *Coach.* 2013;8(1):19-32.
- 635 74. Guagliano JM, Lonsdale C, Rosenkranz RR, Kolt GS, George ES. Increasing girls'
- physical activity during an organized youth sport basketball camp: A randomized
- 637 controlled trial. *J Sci Med Sport*. 2015;18:412-417.
- 638 75. Guagliano JM, Lonsdale C, Rosenkranz RR, Kolt GS, Dzewaltowski DA. Does self-
- determined motivation interact with environmental contexts to influence moderate-to-
- vigorous physical activity during a youth sport camp? J Sports Sci. 2019;37(23):2720-
- 641 2725.
- 642 76. Pate RR, Dowda M. Raising an active and healthy generation: A comprehensive public
- health initiative. Exerc Sport Sci Rev. 2019;47(1):3-14.
- 644 77. World Health Organization. Global action plan on physical activity 2018–2030: More
- 645 *active people for a healthier world.* Geneva2018.
- 646 78. Activity ISfP, Health. The bangkok declaration on physical activity for global health and
- sustainable development. *Br J Sports Med.* 2017;51(19):1389-1391.

- 648 79. Weed M. Should we privilege sport for health? The comparative effectiveness of uk
- government investment in sport as a public health intervention. Sport, physical activity
- and public health: Routledge; 2017:27-44.
- 80. Bull FC, Milton K, Kahlmeier S. National policy on physical activity: The development
- of a policy audit tool. *J Phys Act Health*. 2014;11(2):233-240.
- 81. 2018 Physical Activity Guidelines Advisory Committee. 2018 physical activity
- 654 guidelines advisory committee report,. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Health and
- Human Services; 2018.
- 656 82. Milton K, Macniven R, Bauman A. Review of the epidemiological evidence for physical
- activity and health from low- and middle-income countries. *Glob Public Health*.
- 658 2014;9(4):369-381.
- 83. Saunders TJ, Gray CE, Poitras VJ, et al. Combinations of physical activity, sedentary
- behaviour and sleep: Relationships with health indicators in school-aged children and
- youth. *Appl Physiol Nutr Metab.* 2016;41(6 Suppl 3):S283-293.
- 662 84. Lee JE, Pope Z, Gao Z. The role of youth sports in promoting children's physical activity
- and preventing pediatric obesity: A systematic review. *Behav Med.* 2018;44(1):62-76.
- 85. Wannamethee SG, Shaper AG. Physical activity in the prevention of cardiovascular
- disease. Sports Med. 2001;31(2):101-114.
- 666 86. Gill JM, Cooper AR. Physical activity and prevention of type 2 diabetes mellitus. Sports
- 667 *Med.* 2008;38(10):807-824.
- 668 87. Hebert JJ, Klakk H, Moller NC, Grontved A, Andersen LB, Wedderkopp N. The
- prospective association of organized sports participation with cardiovascular disease risk
- in children (the champs study-dk). *Mayo Clin Proc.* 2017;92(1):57-65.

- 671 88. Weintraub DL, Tirumalai EC, Haydel KF, Fujimoto M, Fulton JE, Robinson TN. Team
- sports for overweight children: The stanford sports to prevent obesity randomized trial
- 673 (sport). Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med. 2008;162(3):232-237.
- 89. Biddle SJ, Asare M. Physical activity and mental health in children and adolescents: A
- review of reviews. *Br J Sports Med.* 2011:bjsports90185.
- 676 90. Balish SM, Conacher D, Dithurbide L. Sport and recreation are associated with happiness
- 677 across countries. *Res Q Exerc Sport*. 2016;87(4):382-388.
- 678 91. Vella SA, Swann C, Allen MS, Schweickle MJ, Magee CA. Bidirectional associations
- between sport involvement and mental health in adolescence. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*.
- 680 2017;49(4):687-694.
- 681 92. Donnelly JE, Hillman CH, Castelli D, et al. Physical activity, fitness, cognitive function,
- and academic achievement in children: A systematic review. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*.
- 683 2016;48(6):1223-1224.
- 684 93. Hillman CH, Kamijo K, Scudder M. A review of chronic and acute physical activity
- participation on neuroelectric measures of brain health and cognition during childhood.
- 686 *Prev Med.* 2011;52 Suppl 1:S21-28.
- 687 94. Lubans D, Richards J, Hillman C, et al. Physical activity for cognitive and mental health
- in youth: A systematic review of mechanisms. *Pediatrics*. 2016;138(3).
- 689 95. Sims-Gould J, Vazirian S, Li N, Remick R, Khan K. Jump step a community based
- 690 participatory approach to physical activity & mental wellness. *BMC Psychiatry*.
- 691 2017;17(1):319.
- 692 96. Vella SA, Swann C, Batterham M, et al. Ahead of the game protocol: A multi-
- component, community sport-based program targeting prevention, promotion and early

- intervention for mental health among adolescent males. *BMC Public Health*.
- 695 2018;18(1):390.
- 696 97. Richards J, Foster C, Townsend N, Bauman A. Physical fitness and mental health impact
- of a sport-for-development intervention in a post-conflict setting: Randomised controlled
- trial nested within an observational study of adolescents in gulu, uganda. BMC Public
- 699 *Health.* 2014;14:619.
- 700 98. Strohle A. Sports psychiatry: Mental health and mental disorders in athletes and exercise
- treatment of mental disorders. Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci. 2018.
- 702 99. Teychenne M, White R, Richards J, Schuch F, Rosenbaum S, Bennie J. Do we need
- physical activity guidelines for mental health: What does the evidence tell us? . Ment
- 704 *Health Phys Act.* 2020;18:100315.
- 705 100. Bruner MW, Balish SM, Forrest C, et al. Ties that bond: Youth sport as a vehicle for
- social identity and positive youth development. Res Q Exerc Sport. 2017;88(2):209-214.
- 707 101. Fraser-Thomas JL, Côté J, Deakin J. Youth sport programs: An avenue to foster positive
- youth development. *Phys Educ Sport Pedagogy*. 2005;10(1):19-40.
- 709 102. Caruso R. Crime and sport participation: Evidence from italian regions over the period
- 710 1997–2003. *J Socio Econ.* 2011;40(5):455-463.
- 711 103. Durlak JA, Weissberg RP, Pachan M. A meta-analysis of after-school programs that seek
- 712 to promote personal and social skills in children and adolescents. Am J Community
- 713 *Psychol.* 2010;45(3-4):294-309.
- 714 104. Kim J, Kim M, Henderson KA, Han A, Park SH. Serious engagement in sport and health
- benefits among korean immigrants in the USA. *Int J Qual Stud Health Well-being*.
- 716 2016;11:31340.

Howie EK, Daniels BT, Guagliano JM. Promoting physical activity through youth sports 717 105. 718 programs: It's social. Am J Lifestyle Med.0(0):1559827618754842. 719 106. Kokko S. Sports clubs as settings for health promotion: Fundamentals and an overview to 720 research. Scand J Public Health. 2014;42(15 Suppl):60-65. 721 107. Beutler I. Sport serving development and peace: Achieving the goals of the united nations 722 through sport. Sport in society. 2008;11(4):359-369. 723 108. Woodcock A, Cronin O, Forde S. Quantitative evidence for the benefits of moving the 724 goalposts, a sport for development project in rural kenya. Eval Program Plann. 725 2012;35(3):370-381. 726 Richards J, Kaufman Z, Schulenkorf N, et al. Advancing the evidence base of sport for 109. 727 development: A new open-access, peer-reviewed journal. J Sport Dev. 2013;1(1):1-3. 728 110. Gregory S. How kids' sports became a \$15 billion industry. TIME2017. 729 111. Meenaghan T, O'Sullivan P. Metrics in sponsorship research—is credibility an issue? 730 Psychol Mark. 2013;30(5):408-416. 731 112. Keane L, Hoare E, Richards J, Bauman A, Bellew W. Methods for quantifying the social 732 and economic value of sport and active recreation: A critical review. Sport in Society. 733 2019;22(12):2203-2223. 734

735

Table 1: Suggestions for future research directions

Re	esearch Question	Research Priority
1.	What is the participation rate in youth sports?	Research using standardized and more in-depth assessment of sport participation including the frequency, duration, intensity and type of sport participation are needed and these should include special populations and alternative sports
2.	How can we best improve sport participation rates?	Research on the barriers to participation and strategies to overcome these barriers, particularly among underserved populations (i.e. girls, low SES families, rural areas, and other minority populations)
3.	How can we maintain sport participation?	Research using a solutions-oriented approach to prevent dropout from sports
		Research on multi-level factors and strategies to target these factors
		Research with a developmental focus on sport specialization and strategies for sport transfer
4.	How much physical activity does sport provide participating youth?	Research on the examination of sporting structure context and its influence on physical activity including using devices like accelerometers and contextual data.
5.	How can we develop effective and sustainable coaching interventions to improve physical activity in sport?	Research using longer, fully-powered effectiveness trials that are scalable are needed on effective training and planning for coaches
6.	What policy-level actions on youth sport are most effective at increasing physical activity?	Research to determine the most effective policy actions to improve youth sport
7.	How does youth sport contribute to physical health?	Well-designed experimental studies are needed to assess the physical effects of youth sport participation, particularly how individuals with lower fitness may benefit from joining appropriate sporting opportunities
8.	How does youth sport contribute to mental health?	Research supporting causal evidence on the benefits of sport on mental health Research examining mediators of these positive mental health benefits so that these factors can be maximized during youth sport
9.	How does sport contribute to social health?	Research testing strategies to maximize positive social experiences
		Research on the implementation and evaluation of benefits of sport for development
10	What is the overall return on investment for youth sport participation?	Analyses considering macro-level effects on community economies and environments through partnerships with sustainability organizations

Figure 1. A conceptual framework of the contribution of youth sport to health

738 739

