

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Regional differences in presence of Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* virulence-associated genes in the environment in the North West and East Anglian regions of England

E. Kintz^{1,2} (D), N.J. Williams³, N. Jones⁴, M. van der Es^{1,2}, I.R. Lake^{2,4}, S.J. O'Brien^{2,5} and P.R. Hunter^{1,2,6}

- 1 Norwich Medical School, University of East Anglia, Norwich, UK
- 2 NIHR Health Protection Research Unit in Gastrointestinal Infections, Liverpool, UK
- 3 Department of Epidemiology and Population Health, Institute of Infection and Global Health, Leahurst Campus, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK
- 4 School of Environmental Sciences, University of East Anglia, Norwich, UK
- 5 Institute of Population Health Sciences, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK
- 6 Department of Environmental Health, Tshwane University of Technology, Pretoria, South Africa

Significance and Impact of the Study: Several outbreaks within the UK have highlighted the danger of contracting Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* from contact with areas recently vacated by livestock. This is more likely to occur for STEC infections compared to other zoonotic bacteria given the low infectious dose required. While studies have determined the prevalence of STEC within farms and petting zoos, determining the risk to individuals enjoying recreational outdoor activities that occur near where livestock may be present is less researched. This study describes the prevalence with which *stx* genes, indicative of STEC bacteria, were found in the environment in the English countryside.

Keywords

boot socks, environmental sampling, multiplex PCR, Shiga toxin-producing *E. coli*, transmission routes (6), virulence genes.

Correspondence

Paul R. Hunter, Norwich Medical School, University of East Anglia, Norwich, UK. E-mail: paul.hunter@uea.ac.uk

2020/0165: received 30 January 2020, revised 15 April 2020 and accepted 16 April 2020

doi:10.1111/lam.13303

Abstract

Shiga toxin-producing Escherichia coli is carried in the intestine of ruminant animals, and outbreaks have occurred after contact with ruminant animals or their environment. The presence of STEC virulence genes in the environment was investigated along recreational walking paths in the North West and East Anglia regions of England. In all, 720 boot sock samples from walkers' shoes were collected between April 2013 and July 2014. Multiplex PCR was used to detect E. coli based on the amplification of the uidA gene and investigate STEC-associated virulence genes eaeA, stx1 and stx2. The eaeA virulence gene was detected in 45.5% of the samples, where stx1 and/or stx2 was detected in 12.4% of samples. There was a difference between the two regions sampled, with the North West exhibiting a higher proportion of positive boot socks for stx compared to East Anglia. In univariate analysis, ground conditions, river flow and temperature were associated with positive boot socks. The detection of stx genes in the soil samples suggests that STEC is present in the English countryside and individuals may be at risk for infection after outdoor activities even if there is no direct contact with animals.

Introduction

Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* cause diarrhoea, often bloody, that can progress to anaemia and kidney failure. An estimated 30% of cases require hospitalization

during the course of infection (Byrne *et al.* 2015). In England, there is an average of 900 cases a year identified by Public Health England, but the real number of infections is probably much higher (Adams *et al.* 2016). The first outbreak of STEC was attributed to ground beef patties at

a fast food restaurant chain in the United States in 1982 (Riley et al. 1983), and meat products are still associated with causing many STEC outbreaks (Heiman et al. 2015; Adams et al. 2016). However, several outbreaks have been attributed to the presence of people on fields recently vacated by ruminant animals (Crampin et al. 1999; Howie et al. 2003). Additionally, all published case-control studies performed on sporadic infections within the UK have identified contact with animals or their environments as a significant contributor to sporadic infections (Kintz et al. 2017). A recent study reports significant associations between livestock density and the spatial distribution of STEC infections in England (Elson et al. 2018). All this raises interesting questions about the likelihood of acquiring a STEC infection by participating in recreational outdoor activities in the countryside.

Cows and other ruminant animals are able to carry STEC asymptomatically as part of their normal intestinal flora since their epithelial cells lack the receptors for internalizing the Shiga toxin. Studies on cows in the UK have demonstrated that, at any time, 4-15% of the animals in a herd may be carrying STEC (Chapman et al. 1997; Mechie et al. 1997; Omisakin et al. 2003; Paiba et al. 2003). The bacteria can survive in cow pats up to 21 months (Kudva et al. 1998; Hutchison et al. 2005; Fremaux et al. 2007), and within soil, STEC can be detected up to 200 days after inoculation (Maule 2000; Jiang et al. 2002; Bolton et al. 2011). STEC requires only a small number of bacteria, between 10 and 100, to be ingested to cause illness (Tuttle et al. 1999; Strachan et al. 2002). Its extended survival time under different conditions and the low infectious dose increase the likelihood that individuals may become ill after encountering STEC in the environment.

STEC shares its zoonotic transmission with other gastrointestinal bacterial pathogens such as Campylobacter and Salmonella. Therefore, many techniques have been developed to screen for these pathogens within farms in an effort to curtail transmission from animals to consumers. One of these methods is using boot socks to cover the shoes and collecting samples from the floor or ground as the individual walks around the premises (Caldwell et al. 1998; Skov et al. 1999; McCrea et al. 2005). These boot socks can then be analysed for the presence of the pathogens, indicating colonized animals are present. This method has been demonstrated to be just as, if not more, sensitive than the older drag swab method developed for use on chicken farms (Buhr et al. 2007; Lungu et al. 2012). Boot socks have also recently been used to sample for pathogens in the wider environment (Brena et al. 2016; Jones et al. 2017). The aim of this research was to analyse boot socks generated during the Enigma project for Campylobacter to determine the

frequency with which STEC-associated virulence genes were detected in the English countryside (Jones *et al.* 2017). Two different locations, the North West of England and East Anglia, encompassing different land uses, climates and geographies, were chosen for the study (Jones *et al.* 2017).

Results and discussion

Presence of STEC virulence genes in boot sock samples

There were six walk locations: three in the North West and three in East Anglia. The walks were completed between April 2013 and July 2014, occurring every week from April through July and every 3 weeks during August through March, leading to a total of 40 walk dates for each location. For each walk, three different walkers wearing boot socks were present. This meant a total of 720 boot socks were collected (6 locations \times 40 walks per location \times 3 walkers). After the walks were completed, the walkers sent the boot socks in sterile plastic bags for processing. Further details on the walks and boot sock collection can be found in Jones *et al.* (2017).

Multiplex PCR was used to detect three different STEC virulence genes: the shiga-toxins stx1 and stx2 and the intimin eaeA as a marker for the locus of enterocyte effacement (LEE). This method was chosen as it has previously successfully detected the presence of STEC from a variety of different sources and would lend itself to quickly screening a large number of environmental samples (Deng and Fratamico 1996; Paton and Paton 1998a; Noll et al. 2015). The uidA gene was also included as an indicator that E. coli was present in the culture grown up from the frozen boot socks samples (McDaniels et al. 1996). Of the 720 samples, 592 (82·2%) of the samples amplified uidA, indicating E. coli had grown in the overnight culture. Only 14 samples amplified virulence genes (10 eaeA and 4 stx2) in the absence of uidA; these were still included in the subsequent analyses given the low numbers of this occurrence compared to total boot sock samples. The breakdown of the virulence genes detected in the 720 boot socks samples is shown in Fig. 1. In total, 45.5% of samples were positive for the eaeA and 12.4% positive for either of the stx genes. For stx genes, stx2 was detected more often than stx1 with 9.2% of the samples positive for only stx2 and 2.1% of the samples positive for only stx1; 1.1% of the samples contained both stx genes. Furthermore, the majority of the stx-positive samples were also positive for eaeA, with only 2.2% of the samples containing only stx1 and/or stx2, but 10.1% of the samples containing either stx gene or eaeA.

When considering only the *stx*-positive samples, a majority (83%) amplified the *stx*2 gene. Compared to



Figure 1 Number of positive samples from multiplex PCR for STEC virulence factors. Multiplex PCR was used to screen for the *eaeA*, *stx*1 and *stx*2 virulence factors from 720 boot sock samples.

stx1, presence of stx2 in STEC bacteria is associated with more severe disease and a higher likelihood to progress to haemolytic uremic syndrome (Boerlin *et al.* 1999; Tarr *et al.* 2005). Furthermore, 82% of stx-positive samples also amplified the *eaeA* gene. STEC strains that carry the LEE pathogenicity island are associated with more severe disease (Paton and Paton 1998b; Boerlin *et al.* 1999). If the virulence genes detected on these walks are associated with live bacteria, these results indicate that there would be the possibility for these strains to cause potentially severe disease if individuals became infected.

While the use of culturing from the boot sock samples suggests that the detected virulence factors came from live bacteria, it does not necessarily mean that these bacteria are an immediate threat to human health. One caveat to the method of detection for the boot socks as performed in this study is that there is no manner to quantify the amount of pathogenic bacteria that may have been encountered during a walk or that the bacteria would be ingested by the individual. Another caveat is that the detection method used does not guarantee that the detected virulence genes came from the same bacteria; eaeA is also associated with several other types of pathogenic E. coli that may have also transferred to the boot socks and grown under the culturing conditions. Additionally, it is possible that free stx phage was present on the boot sock and this then infected the E. coli growing in the overnight sample, leading to stx-positive samples that did not initially contain STEC bacteria.

Distribution of stx by region and walk location

As *eae*A is associated with other pathogenic *E. coli*, further characterization of the boot sock samples focused on the *stx* virulence genes. Overall, 89 of the 720 boot socks were positive for at least one *stx* gene. The results were broken down by region and walk location to see whether there were any differences in the presence of the *stx* genes in the environment between the two regions. The regional analysis demonstrated that the number of *stx*-positive boot socks was much lower in East Anglia region compared to the North West, with 0.8-5.8% of the 120 boot socks per location demonstrating the presence of an *stx* gene in East Anglia compared to 15.8-25% in the North West (Fig. 2). As far as the number of walks that exhibited at least one *stx*-positive boot sock, at least one of the walkers on 2.5-15% of the walks in East Anglia walked through soil that later allowed for amplification of one of the *stx* genes. In the North West, this was between 32.5and 42.5% of the walks.

More *stx*-positive boot socks were found positive for the North West region compared to East Anglia. This correlates with the higher amount of livestock that are present in the North West compared to East Anglia (Elson *et al.* 2018) and also suggests that there is a significant reservoir for *stx*-containing bacteria in such environments. There are also more STEC infections in the North West compared to East Anglia (Byrne *et al.* 2015; Visham 2019). It is reassuring for using boot socks as a sampling method that the results reflect both the trends in potential animal reservoirs and the recorded number of human infections.

Seasonality of STEC virulence genes in the environment

STEC infections exhibit a seasonal peak, with the number of human cases rising during summer months (Byrne *et al.* 2015). To see whether the detection of the *stx* virulence factor in the environment followed the seasonal trends for STEC infections, the number of positive boot socks from each region was plotted against the month of the walks occurring (Fig. 3). Too few boot socks in East Anglia were positive for *stx* to give any indication of seasonal differences of *stx* in the environment. However, the North West demonstrated an increase in the presence of *stx* over the summer months, with the number of positive boot socks dipping in October 2013 then increasing again in May 2014.

Environmental conditions associated with positive boot socks

Using information collected during the walks and data recorded from local weather stations on the days of the walks, regression analysis was used to determine whether there was any association with positive boot socks and environmental conditions. In univariate analyses, state of the ground, mean river flow and mean daily temperature were associated with the number of positive boot socks per walk. In particular boggy ground and river flow were negatively associated with *stx*-positive boot socks while the 7-day mean temperature was positively associated



Figure 2 Percentage of boot socks positive for *stx*. (a) Percentage of all boot socks positive for *stx* by walk location (n = 120boot socks) and region (n = 360). (b) Percentage of walks with at least one *stx*positive boot sock by walk location (n = 40) and region (n = 120).

Figure 3 Seasonality of positive boot socks. Percentage of boot socks positive for *stx* within the two different study regions. Solid lines underneath the dates indicate times when walks were performed weekly. Dashed lines indicate periods of time when walks were undertaken every 3 weeks. Black line = North West region; grey line = East Anglia region.

with *stx*-positive samples (Table 1). In the final model, only mean daily temperature was significantly associated with the number of positive boot socks (IRR = 1.20 (1.12–1.28), P < 0.001); the only other variable in the final model after removing all predictors with a P > 0.2 was mean river flow in the previous 7 days (IRR = 0.89 (0.777–1.02), P = 0.094).

Consistency of boot socks within walks

Since three different boot socks were worn during each walk, the number of walks where the boot socks all exhibited the same result (either all positive or all negative for *stx*) was calculated (Fig. 4). In all, 181 of all walks had zero positive boot socks while only seven of the walks, all

	Univariate analysis			
Variable	IRR	L 95% CI	U 95% CI	Р
Ground				
Dry	1			0.012
Wet	0.845	0.493	1.449	
Boggy	0.349	0.173	0.703	
Weather				
Dry	1			0.099
Rain	0.527	0.246	1.128	
People				
0	1			0.29
1–10	1.132	0.583	2.198	
>10	1.802	0.776	4.188	
Sheep				
0	1			0.098
1–10	2.606	0.595	11.409	
>10	4.008	1.134	14.166	
Cows				
0	1			0.408
1–10	1.778	0.766	4.127	
>10	1.141	0.467	2.786	
Horses				
0	1			0.117
1–10	1.752	0.78	3.939	
>10	3.583	1.07	12.001	
Muck				
Ν	1			0.368
Y	1.393	0.677	2.868	
Mean daily precipitation in previous 7 days (mm)	0.961	0.869	1.062	0.434
Mean river flow in previous 7 days ($M^3 s^{-1}$)	0.764	0.632	0.924	0.005
Mean daily temperature in previous 7 days (°C)	1.215	1.141	1.294	<0.0001

 Table 1 Results of negative binomial regression of number of boot

 socks per walk positive for stx

occurring in the North West, found all three boot socks positive. This means that less than a quarter of all walks (21.7%) exhibited variation in the presence or absence of STEC-related virulence factors on the boot socks collected.

Conclusions

Using multiplex PCR, we were able to successfully detect the virulence factors eaeA and stx genes, associated with STEC, from boot socks collected during walks in the English countryside. The samples for this study were initially collected to investigate the presence of Campylobacter in the environment (Jones et al. 2017). This initial analysis of the boot socks found 47.1% positive for Campylobacter. Similar to the results of our study, Campylobacterpositive boot socks were also detected more frequently in the North West over East Anglia. Overall, 41 (5.7%) of the 720 boot socks collected demonstrated the presence of Campylobacter and either the eaeA and stx virulence genes. The results of both of these studies indicate that boot socks can be used successfully for collecting environmental samples across a wide sampling area and then used to detect multiple different pathogens. Given the convenience of this method, it is now being used by Public Health England to assist with sampling during outbreak investigations (McFarland et al. 2017).

Materials and methods

Preparation of samples from boot socks

Details on the choice of walking routes and use of citizen scientists for sample collection are shown in Jones *et al.* (2017). For processing the boot socks, 100 ml of room temperature buffered peptone water was added to the

200 180 160 140 Number of walks 120 100 80 60 40 20 0 2 0 3 1 Number of Positive Boot socks within walk



sterile sample bag the boot socks were received in. These were palpated to re-suspend any material and 4 ml was removed and frozen in cryovials. This was undertaken for all boot socks received during the original study period from April 2013 through July 2014.

Isolation of genomic DNA and multiplex PCR

The samples frozen in peptone-buffered water were thawed and 0.5 ml was added to 4.5 ml modified tryptone soy broth (Oxoid CM0989, Thermo Scientific, Basingstoke, UK) to enrich for E. coli. Cultures were grown overnight at 37°C, 1 ml was removed and the bacteria were pelleted. Genomic DNA isolation was performed using Qiagen's DNA mini kit (Qiagen, Manchester, UK). Primers were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Haverhill, UK). The uidA primers were based on McDaniels et al. (1996) while the eaeA and stx primers were from Son et al. (2014) (McDaniels et al. 1996; Son et al. 2014). Qiagen's HotStar Taq Plust Master Mix kit, supplemented to 2.5 mmol l⁻¹ MgCl₂, was used to perform all multiplex PCR reactions according to the manufacturer's directions using 0.2 µl of gDNA. Cycling conditions included an initial 10 min at 95°C to activate the polymerase followed by 25 cycles of 95°C for 1 min, 54°C for 1 min, and 72°C with a final extension step of 7 min at 72°C. Samples were run on 2% TAE gel electrophoresis with ethidium bromide and visualized with UVP's ChemiDoc-IT² imager. The expected sample sizes were 623 bp for uidA, 482 bp for stx2, 306 bp for stx1 and 245 bp for eaeA.

Statistical analysis

During the walks, information was collected on the condition of the footpath and the number of livestock seen by the walkers. Information was also collected from local weather stations on the flow of nearby rivers and the average temperatures and amount of rainfall in the 7 days up to and including the day of the walk. These were used in a longitudinal panel negative binomial regression univariate analysis using the number of boot socks as count data. All variables with P < 0.2 in the univariate analysis were combined in a multiple variable analysis. Using a backwards step-wise process, variables were removed if P > 0.2 until only variables with P < 0.2 remained in the model. All statistical analyses were performed in STATA 14.

Acknowledgements

The research was funded by the National Institute for Health Research Health Protection Research Unit (NIHR HPRU) in Gastrointestinal Infections at University of Liverpool in partnership with Public Health England (PHE), in collaboration with University of East Anglia, University of Oxford and the Quadram Institute. The views expressed are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR, the Department of Health or Public Health England.

We acknowledge the Medical Research Council, Natural Environment Research Council, Economic and Social Research Council, Biotechnology and Biosciences Research Council, and Food Standards Agency for the funding received for the ENIGMA project through the Environmental & Social Ecology of Human Infectious Diseases Initiative, grant reference G1100799/1.

Conflict of Interest

No conflict of interest declared.

References

- Adams, N.L., Byrne, L., Smith, G.A., Elson, R., Harris, J.P., Salmon, R., Smith, R., O'brien, S.J. et al. (2016) Shiga toxin-producing Escherichia coli O157, England and Wales, 1983–2012. Emerg Infect Dis 22, 590–597.
- Boerlin, P., Mcewen, S.A., Boerlin-Petzold, F., Wilson, J.B., Johnson, R.P. and Gyles, C.L. (1999) Associations between virulence factors of Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* and disease in humans. J Clin Microbiol 37, 497–503.
- Bolton, D.J., Monaghan, A., Byrne, B., Fanning, S., Sweeney, T. and Mcdowell, D.A. (2011) Incidence and survival of non-O157 verocytotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* in soil. *J Appl Microbiol* 111, 484–490.
- Brena, M.C., Mekonnen, Y., Bettridge, J.M., Williams, N.J., Wigley, P., Sisay Tessema, T. and Christley, R.M. (2016) Changing risk of environmental *Campylobacter* exposure with emerging poultry production systems in Ethiopia. *Epidemiol Infect* 144, 567–575.
- Buhr, R.J., Richardson, L.J., Cason, J.A., Cox, N.A. and Fairchild, B.D. (2007) Comparison of four sampling methods for the detection of *Salmonella* in broiler litter. *Poult Sci* 86, 21–25.
- Byrne, L., Jenkins, C., Launders, N., Elson, R. and Adak, G.K. (2015) The epidemiology, microbiology and clinical impact of Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* in England, 2009–2012. *Epidemiol Infect* 143, 3475–3487.
- Caldwell, D.J., Hargis, B.M., Corrier, D.E. and Deloach, J.R. (1998) Frequency of isolation of Salmonella from protective foot covers worn in broiler houses as compared to drag-swab sampling. *Avian Dis* 42, 381–384.
- Chapman, P.A., Siddons, C.A., Gerdan Malo, A.T. and Harkin, M.A. (1997) A 1-year study of *Escherichia coli* O157 in cattle, sheep, pigs and poultry. *Epidemiol Infect* **119**, 245– 250.
- Crampin, M., Willshaw, G., Hancock, R., Djuretic, T., Elstob, C., Rouse, A., Cheasty, T. and Stuart, J. (1999) Outbreak

of *Escherichia coli* O157 infection associated with a music festival. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* **18**, 286–288.

- Deng, M.Y. and Fratamico, P.M. (1996) A multiplex PCR for rapid identification of Shiga-Like toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 isolated from foods (double dagger). J Food Prot 59, 570–576.
- Elson, R., Grace, K., Vivancos, R., Jenkins, C., Adak, G.K., O'brien, S.J. and Lake, I.R. (2018) A spatial and temporal analysis of risk factors associated with sporadic Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* O157 infection in England between 2009 and 2015. *Epidemiol Infect* **146**, 1928–1939.
- Fremaux, B., Delignette-Muller, M.L., Prigent-Combaret, C., Gleizal, A. and Vernozy-Rozand, C. (2007) Growth and survival of non-O157:H7 Shiga-toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* in cow manure. J Appl Microbiol 102, 89– 99.
- Heiman, K.E., Mody, R.K., Johnson, S.D., Griffin, P.M. and Gould, L.H. (2015) *Escherichia coli* O157 Outbreaks in the United States, 2003–2012. *Emerg Infect Dis* 21, 1293–1301.
- Howie, H., Mukerjee, A., Cowden, J., Leith, J. and Reid, T. (2003) Investigation of an outbreak of *Escherichia coli* O157 infection caused by environmental exposure at a scout camp. *Epidemiol Infect* 131, 1063–1069.
- Hutchison, M.L., Walters, L.D., Avery, S.M., Munro, F. and Moore, A. (2005) Analyses of livestock production, waste storage, and pathogen levels and prevalences in farm manures. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **71**, 1231–1236.
- Jiang, X., Morgan, J. and Doyle, M.P. (2002) Fate of Escherichia coli O157:H7 in manure-amended soil. Appl Environ Microbiol 68, 2605–2609.
- Jones, N.R., Millman, C., van derEs, M., Hukelova, M., Forbes, K.J., Glover, C., Haldenby, S., Hunter, P.R. *et al.* (2017) Novel sampling method for assessing human-pathogen interactions in the natural environment using boot socks and citizen scientists, with application to campylobacter seasonality. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 83, https://doi.org/10. 1128/AEM.00162-17.
- Kintz, E., Brainard, J., Hooper, L. and Hunter, P. (2017) Transmission pathways for sporadic Shiga-toxin producing *E. coli* infections: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Hyg Environ Health* 220, 57–67.
- Kudva, I.T., Blanch, K. and Hovde, C.J. (1998) Analysis of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 survival in ovine or bovine manure and manure slurry. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 64, 3166–3174.
- Lungu, B., Waltman, W.D., Berghaus, R.D. and Hofacre, C.L. (2012) Comparison of a real-time PCR method with a culture method for the detection of *Salmonella enterica* serotype enteritidis in naturally contaminated environmental samples from integrated poultry houses. *J Food Prot* **75**, 743–747.
- Maule, A. (2000) Survival of verocytotoxigenic Escherichia coli O157 in soil, water and on surfaces. Symp Ser Soc Appl Microbiol71S–78S.

- Mccrea, B.A., Norton, R.A., Macklin, K.S., Hess, J.B. and Bilgili, S.F. (2005) Recovery and genetic similarity of Salmonella from broiler house drag swabs versus surgical shoe covers. *J Appl Poultr Res* 14, 694–699.
- Mcdaniels, A.E., Rice, E.W., Reyes, A.L., Johnson, C.H., Haugland, R.A. and Stelma, G.N.Jr (1996) Confirmational identification of *Escherichia coli*, a comparison of genotypic and phenotypic assays for glutamate decarboxylase and beta-D-glucuronidase. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **62**, 3350– 3354.
- Mcfarland, N., Bundle, N., Jenkins, C., Godbole, G., Mikhail, A., Dallman, T., O'connor, C., Mccarthy, N. *et al.* (2017) Recurrent seasonal outbreak of an emerging serotype of Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* (STEC O55:H7 Stx2a) in the south west of England, July 2014 to September 2015. *Euro Surveill* 22, pii 30610.
- Mechie, S.C., Chapman, P.A. and Siddons, C.A. (1997) A fifteen month study of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in a dairy herd. *Epidemiol Infect* **118**, 17–25.
- Noll, L.W., Shridhar, P.B., Dewsbury, D.M., Shi, X., Cernicchiaro, N., Renter, D.G. and Nagaraja, T.G. (2015) A comparison of culture- and PCR-based methods to detect six major non-O157 serogroups of Shiga toxinproducing *Escherichia coli* in cattle feces. *PLoS ONE* 10, e0135446.
- Omisakin, F., Macrae, M., Ogden, I.D. and Strachan, N.J. (2003) Concentration and prevalence of *Escherichia coli* O157 in cattle feces at slaughter. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 69, 2444–2447.
- Paiba, G.A., Wilesmith, J.W., Evans, S.J., Pascoe, S.J., Smith, R.P., Kidd, S.A., Ryan, J.B., Mclaren, I.M. *et al.* (2003)
 Prevalence of faecal excretion of verocytotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* O157 in cattle in England and Wales. *Vet Rec* 153, 347–353.
- Paton, A.W. and Paton, J.C. (1998a) Detection and characterization of Shiga toxigenic *Escherichia coli* by using multiplex PCR assays for stx1, stx2, eaeA, enterohemorrhagic *E. coli* hlyA, rfbO111, and rfbO157. *J Clin Microbiol* 36, 598–602.
- Paton, J.C. and Paton, A.W. (1998b) Pathogenesis and diagnosis of Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* infections. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 11, 450–479.
- Riley, L.W., Remis, R.S., Helgerson, S.D., Mcgee, H.B., Wells, J.G., Davis, B.R., Hebert, R.J., Olcott, E.S. *et al.* (1983) Hemorrhagic colitis associated with a rare *Escherichia coli* serotype. N Engl J Med **308**, 681–685.
- Skov, M.N., Carstensen, B., Tornoe, N. and Madsen, M. (1999) Evaluation of sampling methods for the detection of Salmonella in broiler flocks. *J Appl Microbiol* 86, 695– 700.
- Son, I., Binet, R., Maounounen-Laasri, A., Lin, A., Hammack, T.S. and Kase, J.A. (2014) Detection of five Shiga toxinproducing *Escherichia coli* genes with multiplex PCR. *Food Microbiol* 40, 31–40.

Strachan, N.J., Dunn, G.M. and Ogden, I.D. (2002)

- Quantitative risk assessment of human infection from *Escherichia coli* O157 associated with recreational use of animal pasture. *Int J Food Microbiol* **75**, 39–51.
- Tarr, P.I., Gordon, C.A. and Chandler, W.L. (2005) Shigatoxin-producing *Escherichia coli* and haemolytic uraemic syndrome. *Lancet* 365, 1073–1086.
- Tuttle, J., Gomez, T., Doyle, M.P., Wells, J.G., Zhao, T., Tauxe,R.V. and Griffin, P.M. (1999) Lessons from a large outbreak of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 infections: insights

into the infectious dose and method of widespread contamination of hamburger patties. *Epidemiol Infect* **122**, 185–192.

Visham, B.A.B.L. (2019) Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* (STEC) data: 2017. Public Health England [Online]. Available at: https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/gove rnment/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/ 774291/STEC_O157_report.pdf.https://assets.publishing.se rvice.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachme nt_data/file/774291/STEC_O157_report.pdf.