

1 *Running title: Anosmia in Covid-19 healthcare workers*

2  
3 SPECIAL REPORT

4  
5 **Anosmia as a presenting symptom of SARS-CoV-2 infection in**  
6 **healthcare workers – A systematic review of the literature, case series,**  
7 **and recommendations for clinical assessment and management**

8  
9 Matt Lechner<sup>1,2,#</sup>, Deepak Chandrasekharan<sup>1,#</sup>, Kiran Jumani<sup>1</sup>, Jacklyn Liu<sup>2</sup>, Simon  
10 Gane<sup>3</sup>, Valerie J. Lund<sup>3</sup>, Carl Philpott<sup>4,5</sup> & Samuel Jayaraj<sup>1</sup>

11  
12 <sup>1</sup>Whipps Cross University Hospital, Barts Health NHS Trust, UK

13 <sup>2</sup>UCL Cancer Institute, University College London, London, UK

14 <sup>3</sup>Royal National Throat, Nose and Ear Hospital, UCLH Foundation Trust, London, UK

15 <sup>4</sup>The Norfolk Smell & Taste Clinic, Norfolk & Waveney ENT Service, UK

16 <sup>5</sup>Norwich Medical School, University of East Anglia, Norwich, UK

17 #Equal contribution

18  
19 SUMMARY

20 ***Background:*** *Healthcare workers are at the forefront of the ongoing COVID-19*  
21 *pandemic and are at high risk for both the contraction and subsequent spread of virus.*  
22 *Understanding the role of anosmia as an early symptom of infection may improve*  
23 *monitoring and management of SARS-CoV2 infection.*

24 ***Methodology/Principal:*** *We conducted a systematic review of the literature of SARS-*  
25 *CoV2 infection/COVID-19 and anosmia to help inform management of anosmia in*  
26 *healthcare works. We report a case series of healthcare workers, who presented with a*  
27 *loss of sense of smell secondary to COVID-19 infection to demonstrate management*  
28 *principles. RT-PCR was used to confirm COVID-19 positivity and psychophysical*  
29 *testing of olfaction was performed using the British version of the University of*  
30 *Pennsylvania Smell Identification Test, UPSIT.*

31 ***Results:*** *The systematic literature search returned 31 articles eligible for inclusion in*  
32 *the study and informed our recommendations for clinical assessment and management.*  
33 *All three healthcare professionals who presented with loss of sense of smell*  
34 *subsequently tested positive for SARS-CoV-2. Psychophysical testing of olfaction using*  
35 *the UPSIT confirmed mild and moderate microsmia in two, respectively, and*  
36 *normosmia at day 17 in one.*

37 ***Conclusions:*** *Olfactory (+/- gustatory) dysfunction is indicative of COVID-19 infection*  
38 *and thus has important implications in the context of healthcare workers, or key*  
39 *workers in general, who work in close contact with others if not recognised as suffering*  
40 *from COVID. This leads to a potentially higher likelihood of spreading the virus. In*

41 *conjunction with our literature review these findings have helped with creating*  
42 *recommendations on the assessment and management of olfactory dysfunction during*  
43 *the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic, both for healthcare workers and patients.*

44 *Key words: coronavirus, SARS-CoV-2, COVID-19, olfaction disorders, anosmia,*  
45 *pandemic, coronavirus infection*

46

## 47 INTRODUCTION

48 Post Viral Olfactory Loss (PVOL) represents approximately 11% of cases of olfactory  
49 dysfunction in the community<sup>(1)</sup> but typically accounts for 20-25% of cases presenting  
50 to specialist clinics<sup>(2,3)</sup>. Coronaviruses have previously been demonstrated to be among  
51 the respiratory viruses that can cause PVOL<sup>(4)</sup>. Increasing number of reports of COVID-  
52 19 positive patients describing a loss of smell and taste have been seen internationally  
53 since initial reports from China<sup>(5)</sup>, Korea<sup>(6)</sup>, Italy<sup>(7)</sup> and Iran<sup>(8)</sup>. These may be the only  
54 symptoms, early presenting symptoms, or be part of mild flu-like symptoms<sup>(9,10)</sup>. This  
55 topic has also received significant press coverage, especially with regard to potential  
56 public health implications - if anosmia is associated with COVID-19 symptomatology,  
57 patients experiencing these symptoms would also need to follow self-isolation guidance  
58 but as yet has not been added to the World Health Organisation list of official symptoms  
59 nor has it yet been recognised by Public Health England in the UK. It is known that the  
60 viral load is comparable between symptomatic and minimally  
61 symptomatic/asymptomatic individuals thus if people with anosmia were to have  
62 COVID-19, transmission is possible<sup>(11)</sup>.

63

64 The debate is ongoing as to what extent loss of smell and taste in SARS-CoV-2  
65 infection is caused by localised olfactory cleft oedema, architectural deformity of the  
66 olfactory neuroepithelium or direct neuroinvasion of the olfactory nerve pathways. In  
67 typical viral mediated olfactory loss, the pathophysiology involves loss of cilia of the  
68 olfactory sensory neurons<sup>(12)</sup>. Furthermore, the loss of taste more likely reflects loss of  
69 flavour perception due to loss of retronasal olfaction rather than the loss of the sense of  
70 taste per se.

71

72 There have been reports of increase in anosmia symptoms and a recent case report of  
73 anosmia in a healthcare worker in Madrid who was subsequently diagnosed with  
74 SARS-CoV-2. This raises questions regarding the significance of anosmia in COVID-

75 19 - both generally in terms of anosmia management, but also of particular concern to  
76 healthcare workers, how to advise healthcare workers who present with such a symptom  
77 from a public health aspect in terms of isolation and testing.

78

79 Here we present the results of a systematic review of the currently available literature  
80 on anosmia in COVID-19 and provide a summary table of the relevant findings.  
81 Secondly, we present three representative cases of healthcare workers presenting to our  
82 clinics with anosmia as their primary symptom of COVID-19. Finally, combining the  
83 findings from the review and the case series together, we provide recommendations on  
84 how to adapt existing anosmia management protocols in the context of COVID-19,  
85 particularly focussing on healthcare workers.

86

## 87 SYSTEMATIC REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE

88 A systematic literature search was performed on PubMed on 27 April 2020 using the  
89 following search terms: (((((((SARS-CoV-2) OR 2019-ncov) OR coronavirus) OR  
90 corona virus) OR COVID-19) OR COVID)) AND ((((((anosmia) OR hyposmia) OR  
91 loss of smell) OR smell) OR olfact\*) OR cacosmia) OR dysosmia). We also screened  
92 BioRxiv and MedRxiv on for preprints related to anosmia in SARS-CoV-2. Inclusion  
93 criteria were papers describing reports of anosmia in patients in the context of COVID-  
94 19, regardless of patient demographics, number of cases, and method of anosmia  
95 assessment. Date criteria were from 31/12/2019 to 27/04/2020. We hand searched citing  
96 literature and references of included studies. Papers that did not provide patient level  
97 data were not included for data extraction. We did not search for or include articles in  
98 the lay press or online forums. We also did not screen studies reporting general clinical  
99 features of anosmia as a recent review from The Centre for Evidence Based Medicine  
100 has assessed these studies already and found the evidence base was inconclusive<sup>(13)</sup>.  
101 The authors did recommend incorporation of olfactory history and assessment in further  
102 studies. This conclusion was also reached by Lovato and colleagues who provide an  
103 overview of upper respiratory tract symptoms in COVID-19<sup>(14)</sup>. Finally, any identified  
104 reviews were used to identify studies but were not themselves included in the data  
105 extraction. Data extraction included: number of patients, study method, onset of  
106 anosmia relative to COVID-19 symptoms, COVID-19 positivity and method of testing,  
107 time for recovery from anosmia, and summary findings. Formal evaluation and  
108 assessment of risk of bias of included papers was not performed.

109

110 We found 107 unique papers of which 31 were eligible for inclusion in the study (Figure  
111 2). Summary findings of the included studies are in Supplemental Table 1 for reference.  
112 The 31 papers included work from multiple continents. The majority were cross  
113 sectional studies, case series or case reports. Diagnosis of smell dysfunction was  
114 variable and used a variety of published and custom designed self-reported surveys of  
115 anosmia/COVID-19 symptoms either in person, online, or via apps. Formal  
116 psychophysical testing of olfaction used the Nez-du-Vin, country specific UPSIT or the  
117 Sniffin' Sticks.

118

119 Anosmia is presenting as the primary symptom or as an early symptom in patients who  
120 have tested COVID+. In a European study, 11.8% of patients reported anosmia onset  
121 before other otorhinolaryngological symptoms<sup>(15)</sup>. In the American Academy survey,  
122 26.6% reported it as an isolated initial symptom<sup>(16)</sup> and the Centre for Disease Control  
123 and Prevention has just added this to the symptoms related to COVID-19, but individual  
124 institutions may or may not be testing based on this symptom. Other surveys did not  
125 have a sufficient tested population. Thus, identifying olfactory dysfunction could  
126 potentially have a role in the diagnosis of COVID-19. One study formally assessed  
127 smell and taste loss in a stepwise regression model and found them to be strongly  
128 associated with COVID-19. In fact it was the strongest predictor from a list of other  
129 symptoms and had a positive predictive value of 67%<sup>(17)</sup>; the caveat of this study was  
130 that only 0.1% of all participants had been tested for COVID-19. Anosmia may also  
131 have potential to discriminate COVID-19 from other viral respiratory illnesses<sup>(18,19)</sup>.

132

133 Where anosmia is reported in the context of COVID-19, due to the short time that has  
134 elapsed since the pandemic started, data on the recovery of olfactory function is not  
135 always available. In the studies that have reported it in COVID-19 tested patients, albeit  
136 from surveys, complete resolution was seen in 13% and partial resolution in 14%, with  
137 a mean time to improvement of 7.2 days<sup>(16)</sup>. This is lower than the recovery rates  
138 reported by Lechien and colleagues<sup>(15)</sup> who suggest a short term recovery rate of 44%  
139 in 59 patients who had clinically recovered from COVID-19, and also lower than the  
140 73% that reported by Levinson and colleagues<sup>(20)</sup>, although only 15 patients make up  
141 this cohort. Recovery seems to take place within a few weeks but this may be due to  
142 short follow up and recovery may happen in others over a longer timeframe. The

143 coming months will begin to reveal whether COVID-19 will leave a larger burden of  
144 persistent PVOL patients in the community.

145

146 Correlations suggested between disease mild severity disease and anosmia are  
147 necessarily preliminary. Whilst some suggestions are made that anosmia is associated  
148 with milder disease<sup>(21,22)</sup>, this could be confounded by the inability to assess/self-report  
149 anosmia in those patients with severe disease in intensive care settings. However, a  
150 higher viral load, potentially indicative of more severe disease, does seem to be  
151 associated with a shorter duration of anosmia<sup>(23)</sup>.

152

153 Whether the underlying cause of anosmia is conductive or sensorineural was attempted  
154 to be addressed by two studies that assessed imaging of the olfactory system<sup>(24,25)</sup>.  
155 Anosmia was found to be obstructive in nature rather than neural with a normal  
156 olfactory bulb. However, the presence of nasal obstructive symptoms (albeit  
157 subjectively reported) in patients with anosmia varied widely in the included studies.  
158 The reports of ACE2 receptor expression in non-neuronal cells and supporting olfactory  
159 sustentacular cells may support this finding<sup>(26-29)</sup>. Alternatively, the virus could migrate  
160 from these cells if it were neurotropic<sup>(30,31)</sup>.

161

162 Healthcare workers suffering from anosmia were reported in multiple studies and in the  
163 American Academy data, approximately a third of patients were healthcare workers<sup>(16)</sup>.  
164 Whilst this could be due to selection bias as only healthcare workers could enter data  
165 into the reporting tool, it suggests that both anosmia and COVID-19 in healthcare  
166 workers is an issue that is important to consider. Below, we present three illustrative  
167 cases to highlight issues to consider in the assessment and management of healthcare  
168 workers with anosmia.

169

## 170 CASE SERIES

171 Three healthcare professionals, a 43-year-old male nurse, a 37-year-old male Specialty  
172 Registrar in Rheumatology and a 53-year-old male Consultant Anaesthetist, presented  
173 to our ENT clinics with loss of their sense of smell and a history of other mild flu-like  
174 symptoms (Details in Table 1) in the last 3 weeks. In view of the emerging literature,  
175 we performed a COVID-19 real-time reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction  
176 (RT-PCR) swab test and confirmed COVID-19 infection. Formal assessment of their

177 olfactory function was performed using the British version of University of  
178 Pennsylvania Smell Identification Test (UPSIT), a validated psychophysical test in line  
179 with the guidelines in the Position paper on olfactory dysfunction<sup>(32)</sup>. This confirmed  
180 moderate microsmia (UPSIT score of 25/40) in patient 1, mild microsmia (UPSIT score  
181 of 28/40) in patient 2 and the third patient told us that he felt that his sense of smell had  
182 already almost recovered at the time he was seen and he scored 34/40 on day 17. All  
183 three individuals were advised to contact occupational health for further advice, were  
184 given safety advice regarding his olfactory dysfunction, and referred to a website with  
185 validated patient information on their condition and guidance on olfactory training  
186 ([www.fifthsense.org.uk](http://www.fifthsense.org.uk)).

187

## 188 DISCUSSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

189 The presence of anosmia in the context of COVID-19 raises three main questions.  
190 Firstly, if a person develops isolated anosmia, what is the likelihood they already have,  
191 or will go on to develop, COVID-19? Secondly, what is the best strategy for treatment  
192 for anosmia in the context of COVID-19 and what is the prognosis for recovery of  
193 olfactory function? Finally, what is the underlying mechanism and pathophysiology of  
194 the anosmia?

195

196 At present the answers to the above questions are limited until high-level robust  
197 evidence available. A global survey of COVID-19 related chemosensory impairment is  
198 currently underway: <https://gcchemosensr.org>.

199

200 The mechanism at present is also debated with some suggesting the SARS-CoV-2 virus  
201 is neurotropic but others arguing the expression of target receptors in non-neuronal  
202 olfactory/nasal region cells suggests a possible inflammation with an obstructive cause  
203 of anosmia. There is also the possibility that acquired mutations of SARS-CoV-2 have  
204 enabled the virus to alter its pathogenicity and which may play a role in altering disease  
205 presentation<sup>(33)</sup>. Nevertheless, the work presented here does highlight that anosmia in  
206 healthcare workers may be indicative of COVID-19. When combined with the  
207 preliminary evidence that anosmia is a strong diagnostic symptom, this has potentially  
208 important implications when anosmia is considered in the context of healthcare  
209 workers, or key workers in general. The ongoing potential contact with other people  
210 due to the nature of such professions means someone with COVID-19 is potentially at

211 higher likelihood both of contracting the virus and of spreading the virus if they were  
212 to catch it – anosmia may be an early symptom of this. There are limitations in the  
213 evidence presently available. The majority of studies are cross sectional or retrospective  
214 with limited prospective follow up. Many cases rely on self-reporting and COVID-19  
215 laboratory confirmed numbers are small. Where testing is performed, it relies on the  
216 RT-PCR test which the Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine reported to have as high  
217 as a 30% false-negative rate<sup>(34)</sup>. Finally, formal assessment of anosmia varied with  
218 multiple survey types used and assessment modalities hence comparability and  
219 evidence synthesis are limited to comparable studies.

220

221 Whilst the recent work by Hunter and colleagues<sup>(35)</sup> suggests that there is a comparable  
222 rate of COVID-19 positivity in frontline clinical staff compared with non-clinical staff  
223 in hospitals, the authors suggest this shows isolation and PPE measures are adequate at  
224 present to prevent nosocomial infections and the transmission may reflect that from the  
225 community. This is supported by a reduction coinciding with the UK wide lockdown  
226 timing. However, the authors only tested staff with new continuous cough and fever as  
227 per current PHE recommendations rather than staff screening for those with wider  
228 symptoms or if asymptomatic. Therefore, the work presented here is of relevance as it  
229 shows that testing may potentially need to be extended to a wider spectrum of  
230 symptoms, particularly if community transmission seems to be the prime vector. The  
231 other caveat is that a comparison with other institutions and control groups of non-  
232 hospital key-workers would also be helpful.

233

234 Our recommendations for the management of patients, particularly healthcare workers,  
235 with symptoms of hyposmia/anosmia during the COVID-19 crisis are guided by the  
236 Position Paper on Olfactory Dysfunction<sup>(3)</sup> and include:

- 237 • Discussion regarding isolation and testing for COVID-19 with institutional  
238 occupational health service.
- 239 • Full remote history asking about onset, duration, other COVID-19 symptoms,  
240 exposure risks, past otorhinolaryngological history, and general medical  
241 history.

- 242       • If no other red flag symptoms (such as facial pain, serosanguinous discharge,  
243       visual changes) and acute onset particularly in relation to flu-like symptoms  
244       during the COVID-19 pandemic, imaging (CT/MRI) is not indicated.
- 245       • Ideally psychophysical testing<sup>(30,32)</sup> but this may be limited by resource and  
246       default to self-reporting, although individuals can be asked to self-test at home  
247       against common food cupboard items. Psychophysical testing, which can be  
248       done remotely (e.g. country specific UPSIT)<sup>(36)</sup> will avoid direct contact with  
249       patients.
- 250       • Provide advice regarding safety precautions including need for gas alarm,  
251       smoke alarm, and care with use by dates for food. Patients can be directed to  
252       relevant online resources such as the Fifth Sense website.
- 253       • Current guidance is to avoid oral steroids due to the potential risk of worsening  
254       COVID-19, as evidence from previous SARS in 2004 where systematic  
255       corticosteroids led to an increase in viral shedding<sup>(37)</sup>. However, current trials,  
256       such as the RECOVERY trial for COVID-19 include systemic steroids in a  
257       treatment arm, so this advice may alter if these trials show evidence of benefit  
258       or at least no precipitation of deterioration in recipients. Intranasal steroids are  
259       unlikely to be harmful in patients already taking them but a fear of promoting  
260       viral shedding in new patients means advice currently is to avoid them.
- 261       • Provide advice regarding olfactory training (e.g. from organisations such as  
262       Abscent/Fifth Sense).
- 263       • Rhinology follow-up after crisis. Only consider an MRI olfactory protocol if  
264       there are any other concerning symptoms, but if there is a clear temporal history  
265       relating to the viral infection, especially where COVID+ve status is confirmed,  
266       an MRI scan is not indicated.

267

268   National organisations in the UK and USA have recommended the addition of anosmia  
269   as a diagnostic symptom in the WHO criteria and potentially isolating if new onset  
270   anosmia is experienced as a symptom. Future work regarding the diagnostic utility and  
271   prognosis in large all-comer cohort studies with sufficient laboratory-based testing will  
272   hopefully provide stronger evidence for ongoing diagnosis and care of these patients.  
273   Until this time, we hope the evidence summary and recommendations in this work will  
274   be of use to care providers, researchers and public health organisations in their work.



275

## 276 CONCLUSIONS

277 Loss of sense of smell and taste appears to be indicative of COVID-19 infection and  
278 has important implications in the context of healthcare workers, or key workers in  
279 general, who are in ongoing close contact with others due to their work. This leads to a  
280 potentially higher likelihood of contracting and spreading the virus. This literature  
281 review has helped to underline the clear link of loss of the senses of smell and taste  
282 during the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic, both for healthcare workers and patients. We  
283 hope our illustrative case series and recommendations can thus be applied to help  
284 manage these presentations of anosmia in the current climate until further evidence is  
285 available.

286

## 287 AUTHORSHIP CONTRIBUTION

288 M.L. has led on the concept and written the initial draft with the help of D.C., who  
289 conducted the systematic review. K.J., S.G., V.J.L. C.P., and S.J. helped writing the  
290 manuscript, reviewed final draft and advised on the clinical guidelines for the  
291 management of patients with symptoms of hyposmia/anosmia during the COVID-19  
292 crisis.

293

## 294 CONFLICT OF INTEREST

295 The authors declare no conflicts of interest relevant to this work.

296

## 297 FUNDING

298 N/A.

299

## 300 REFERENCES

- 301 1. Damm M, Temmel A, Welge-Lussen A, Eckel, HE, Kreft M-P, Klussman JP,  
302 et al. [Olfactory dysfunctions. Epidemiology and therapy in Germany, Austria  
303 and Switzerland]. HNO 2004; 52: 112-120.
- 304 2. Philpott CM. Smell and Taste Disorders in the UK: First experiences with a  
305 specialised smell and taste outpatient clinic. Bulletin of the Royal College of  
306 Surgeons of England. 2014; 96: 156-159.
- 307 3. Fokkens WJ, Lund VJ, Hopkins C, Hellings PW, Kern R, Reitsma S, et al.  
308 European position paper on rhinosinusitis and nasal polyps 2020. Rhinology

309 2020; 58: supplement 29.

310 4. Suzuki M, Saito K, Min WP, Vladau C, Toida K, Itoh H, Murakami S.  
311 Identification of Viruses in Patients with Postviral Olfactory Dysfunction. *The*  
312 *Laryngoscope* 2007; 117: 272-277.

313 5. Mao L, Jin H, Wang M, Hu, Y, Chen S, He Q, et al. Neurologic manifestations  
314 of hospitalized patients with coronavirus disease 2019 in Wuhan, China. *JAMA*  
315 *Neurology* 2020.

316 6. <https://news.joinsonline.com/article/23738003?cloc=joongang-mhome-group6>

317 7. Giacomelli A, Pezzati L, Conti F, Bernacchia D, Siano M, Oreni L, et al. Self-  
318 reported olfactory and taste disorders in SARS-CoV-2 patients: a cross-  
319 sectional study. *Clinical Infectious Diseases* 2020.

320 8. [https://en.radiofarda.com/a/loss-of-sense-of-smell-among-iranians-coinciding-](https://en.radiofarda.com/a/loss-of-sense-of-smell-among-iranians-coinciding-with-coronavirus-epidemic/30478044.html)  
321 [with-coronavirus-epidemic/30478044.html](https://en.radiofarda.com/a/loss-of-sense-of-smell-among-iranians-coinciding-with-coronavirus-epidemic/30478044.html)

322 9. Gane SB, Kelly C, Hopkins C. Isolated sudden onset anosmia in COVID-19  
323 infection. A novel syndrome? *Rhinology* 2020.

324 10. Hopkins C, Surda P, Kumar B. Presentation of new onset anosmia during the  
325 COVID-10 pandemic. *Rhinology* 2020.

326 11. Zou L, Ruan F, Huang M, Liang L, Huang H, Hong Z, et al. SARS-CoV-2 Viral  
327 Load in Upper Respiratory Specimens of Infected Patients. *New England*  
328 *Journal of Medicine* 2020; 382: 1177-1179.

329 12. Moran DT, Jafek BW, Eller PM, Rowley III JC. Ultrastructural histopathology  
330 of human olfactory dysfunction. *Microscopy Research and Technique* 1992; 23:  
331 103-110.

332 13. O'Donovan J, Tanveer S, Jones, N, Hopkins C, Senior BA, Wise SK, et al. What  
333 is the evidence for anosmia as a clinical feature of COVID-19? *Centre for*  
334 *Evidence-Based Medicine* 2020.

335 14. Lovato A and de Filippis C. Clinical Presentation of COVID-19: A Systematic  
336 Review Focusing on Upper Airway Symptoms. *Ear, Nose, & Throat Journal*  
337 2020.

338 15. Lechien J, Cabaraux P, Chiesa-Estomba C, Khalife M, Plzak J, Hans S, et al.  
339 Objective olfactory testing in patients presenting with sudden onset olfactory  
340 dysfunction as the first manifestation of confirmed COVID-19 infection.  
341 *medRxiv* 2020.

342 16. Kaye R CC, Kazahaya K, Brereton J, Denny III JC. COVID-19 anosmia

343 reporting tool: initial findings. *Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery* 2020.

344 17. Menni C, Valdes A, Freydin MB, Ganesh S, El-Sayed Moustafa, J, Visconti A,  
345 et al. Loss of smell and taste in combination with other symptoms is a strong  
346 predictor of COVID-19 infection. *medRxiv* 2020.

347 18. Wee LE, Chan YFZ, Teo NWY, Cherng BPZ, Thien SY, Wong HM, et al. The  
348 role of self-reported olfactory and gustatory dysfunction as a screening criterion  
349 for suspected COVID-19. *European Archives of Oto-rhino-laryngology* 2020

350 19. Beltran-Corbellini A, Chico-Garcia JL, Martinez-Poles J, Rodriguez-Jorge F,  
351 Natera-Villalba E, Gomez-Corral, et al. Acute-onset smell and taste disorders  
352 in the context of Covid-19: a pilot multicenter PCR-based case-control study.  
353 *European Journal of Neurology* 2020.

354 20. Levinson R, Elbaz M, Ben-Ami R, Shasha D, Levinson T, Choshen G, et al.  
355 Anosmia and dysgeusia in patients with mild SARS-CoV-2 infection. *medRxiv*  
356 2020.

357 21. Spinato G, Fabbris C, Polesel J, Cazador D, Borsetto D, Hopkins C, et al.  
358 Alterations in Smell or Taste in Mildly Symptomatic Outpatients With SARS-  
359 CoV-2 Infection. *JAMA* 2020 2020/04/23.

360 22. Yan CH, Faraji F, Prajapati DP, Ostrander BT, DeConde AS. Self-reported  
361 olfactory loss associates with outpatient clinical course in Covid-19.  
362 *International Forum of Allergy & Rhinology* 2020.

363 23. Lechien JR, Chiesa-Estomba CM, De Siaty DR, Horoi M, Le Bon SD,  
364 Rodriguez A, et al. Olfactory and gustatory dysfunctions as a clinical  
365 presentation of mild-to-moderate forms of the coronavirus disease (COVID-  
366 19): a multicenter European study. *European archives of oto-rhino-laryngology*  
367 2020.

368 24. Galougahi MK, Ghorbani J, Bakhshayeshkaram M, Naeini AS, Haseli S.  
369 Olfactory bulb magnetic resonance imaging in SARS-CoV-2-induced anosmia:  
370 The first report. *Academic radiology* 2020.

371 25. Eliezer M, Hautefort C, Hamel AL, Verillaud B, Herman P, Houdart E, et al.  
372 Sudden and complete olfactory loss function as a possible symptom of COVID-  
373 19. *JAMA Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery* 2020.

374 26. Muus C, Luecken MD, Eraslan G, Waghay A, Heimberg G, Sikkema L, et al.  
375 Integrated analyses of single-cell atlases reveal age, gender, and smoking status  
376 associations with cell type-specific expression of mediators of SARS-CoV-2

377 viral entry and highlights inflammatory programs in putative target cells.  
378 bioRxiv 2020.

379 27. Butowt R, Bilinska K. SARS-CoV-2: Olfaction, brain infection, and the urgent  
380 need for clinical samples allowing earlier virus detection. ACS Chemical  
381 Neuroscience 2020.

382 28. Brann DH, Tsukahara T, Weinreb C, Lipovsek M, Van den Berge K, Gong B,  
383 et al. Non-neuronal expression of SARS-CoV-2 entry genes in the olfactory  
384 system suggests mechanisms underlying COVID-19-associated anosmia.  
385 bioRxiv 2020.

386 29. Fodoulian L, Tuberosa J, Rossier D, Landis BN, Carleton A, Rodriguez I.  
387 SARS-CoV-2 receptor and entry genes are expressed by sustentacular cells in  
388 the human olfactory neuroepithelium. bioRxiv 2020.

389 30. Baig AM, Khaleeq A, Ali U, Syeda, H. Evidence of the COVID-19 Virus  
390 Targeting the CNS: Tissue Distribution, Host-Virus Interaction, and Proposed  
391 Neurotropic Mechanisms. ACS Chemical Neuroscience 2020; 11: 995-998.

392 31. Paniz-Mondolfi A, Bryce C, Grimes Z, Gordon RE, Reidy J, Lednicky J, et al.  
393 Central Nervous System Involvement by Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome  
394 Coronavirus -2 (SARS-CoV-2). Journal of Medical Virology 2020.

395 32. Hummel T, Whitcroft KL, Andrews P, Altundag A, Cinghi C, Costanzo RM, et  
396 al. Position paper on olfactory dysfunction. Rhinology 2016; 56: 1-30.

397 33. Yao H, Lu X, Chen Q, Xu K, Chen Y, Cheng L, et al. Patient-derived mutations  
398 impact pathogenicity of SARS-CoV-2. medRxiv 2020.

399 34. Green K, Allen JA, Suklan J, Beyer FR, Price DA, Graziadio S. What is the role  
400 of imaging and biomarkers in the testing strategy for COVID-19? Centre for  
401 Evidence-Based Medicine 2020.

402 35. Hunter E, Price, DA, Murphy E, van der Loeff IS, Baker KF, Lendrem D, et al.  
403 First experience of COVID-19 screening of health-care workers in England.  
404 The Lancet 2020.

405 36. Doty RL, Shaman P, Kimmelman CP, Dann MS. University of Pennsylvania  
406 Smell Identification Test: a rapid quantitative olfactory function test for the  
407 clinic. The Laryngoscope 1984; 94: 176-178.

408 37. Lee N, Allen Chan KC, Hui DS, Ng EK, Wu A, Chiu RW, et al. Effects of early  
409 corticosteroid treatment on plasma SARS-associated Coronavirus RNA  
410 concentrations in adult patients. Journal of Clinical Virology 2004; 31: 304-309.

411

412 CORRESPONDING AUTHOR

413 Mr. Matt Lechner, Whipps Cross University Hospital, Barts Health NHS Trust,

414 London, UK. matt.lechner@nhs.net

415

416 FIGURES

417

418 Figure 1. PRISMA flow diagram of literature search and screening for relevant studies.

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

428

429

430

431

432

433

434

435

436

437

438

439

440

441

442

443

444

445

446

447

448

449

450

451

452

453

454

455

456 TABLES

457

458 Table 1: Summary of Case Series

459

<b>Case #</b>	<b>Age/Sex</b>	<b>Patient History</b>	<b>Other Symptoms</b>	<b>UPSIT Score</b>	<b>COVID-19 RT-PCR</b>	<b>Other Medical History</b>
1	43/M	Presented with loss of smell, initial onset one week prior to presentation	Feeling hot and cold, runny nose, mild bilateral nasal obstruction, no cough, persistent olfactory dysfunction	25	Positive	Gastric sleeve operation, hernia repair, smoker (5/day)
2	37/M	Presented with loss of smell five days prior to presentation, subsequent metallic smell and taste	Recurrent temperature, myalgia, fatigue, dry cough, runny nose and sneezing	28	Positive	Septoplasty, thoracotomy and pleurectomy of right lung following spontaneous pneumothorax, toxoplasmosis of right eye
3	53/M	Presented with loss of smell, initial onset 2 days after flu-like symptoms	Mild flu-like symptoms, residual tiredness after 14 days self-isolation, early loss of smell (recovered)	34	Positive	

460

461

462

463

464

465

466

467

468

469

470

471

472

473

474

475

476

477

478

479

480

481

482

Reference	Location	Method	Cohort	Summary of Findings	Further Details of Olfactory Dysfunction
Bagheri et al, 2020	Iran	Cross-sectional survey	n = 10069; mean age 32.5, 71% female; with new onset anosmia or hyposmia	Significant correlation between anosmia and COVID-19 positivity; sudden symptom onset in 76.2%	Nasal stiffness in 43.7%, rhinorrhea in 15.63%
Beltran-Corbellini et al., 2020	Spain	Case control study	n = 79 COVID+, mean age 61.6, 59.2% females; n = 40 influenza+, mean age 61.1, 52.5% female	New onset olfactory/taste disturbances more frequent among COVID+ (39.2%) than influenza+ (12.5%)	acute onset olfactory/taste disorder in 27%, as initial symptom in 35.5%; 80.6% with smell disorders; 45.2% anosmia, 29.0% hyposmia, 6.5% dysosmia; complete recovery (40%) after mean 7.4 days; partial recovery (16.7%) after mean 9.1 days; 12.9% reported concomitant nasal obstruction
Benezit et al, 2020	France	Cross-sectional survey	n = 259, 68 COVID+ by RT-PCR	45% hyposmic; strongest association seen with hypogeusia and hyposmia in patients without history of ENT disorders	
Drew et al, 2020	UK	Cross-sectional survey	n = 265,851 reporting COVID+ symptoms, RT-PCR in 0.2%; mean age 41, 75% female	Anosmia fifth most common symptom reported, more common than fever	
Eliezer et al, 2020	France	Case Report	n = 1, female in 40's	COVID+ by RT-PCR with dry cough,	CT/MRI showed olfactory cleft

				cephalgia, myalgia prior to anosmia	obstructive inflammation, no changes to olfactory bulb, no nasal obstruction symptoms
Galougahi et al, 2020	Iran	Olfactory bulb scanning in COVID+ patient with anosmia	n = 1, 27-year-old male	MRI showed normal olfactory bulb volume, normal signal intensity	No sign of nasal congestion
Gane et al, 2020	UK	Case series	n = 11, mean age 37.6, 27% female, all with anosmia and symptoms of COVID	One 48-year-old male neurosurgeon with anosmia tested COVID+ by RT-PCR, anosmia as isolated symptom in n = 5, part of other possible COVID-19 symptoms in n = 6	
Giacomelli et al, 2020	Italy	Cross-sectional survey	n = 59; median age 60, 32% female; COVID+ hospitalised patients	11.9% hyposmic, 11.9% anosmic	20.3% reported taste/smell disturbance prior to hospital admission, 13.5% experienced symptoms during hospital stay
Gudbjartsson et al, 2020	Iceland	Targeted testing of high-risk individuals and population screening	n = 4551 (tested by RT-PCR); mean age 44.4 in first round screening, 42.0 in second round screening; 47.7% female	n = 528 were COVID+, 4.4% experienced loss of smell; none in population screening reported loss of smell	
Gutierrez-Ortiz et al, 2020	Spain	Case Report	n = 2, 50 year-old-male and 39-year-old male	Patient 1: 2-day history of vertical diplopia, perioral paraesthesias and gait instability, diagnosed with Miller-Fisher	Residual anosmia persisted despite treatment of MF Syndrome



				Syndrome; reported anosmia with other COVID-19 symptoms; Patient 2 reported dysgeusia and had polyneuritis cranialis	
Heidari et al, 2020	Iran	Case series	n = 23, COVID+ with anosmia, mean age 37.4, 65% female	83% reported anosmia as first symptom; low grade fever in 3 cases, mild myalgia and fatigue in 4 cases	Anosmia as only symptom in 16 cases, persisted for a few days
Hopkins et al, 2020	UK	Cross-sectional survey	n = 2428; median age 30-39, 73% female	74% of those tested for COVID-19 were positive (59/80); 13% reported anosmia prior to disease onset, 38.4% at same time, 48.6% after other symptoms	
Jang et al, 2020	South Korea	Case report	n = 1, 42-year-old male	anosmia at presentation, only sign in a contact of a COVID+ patient; onset 2 days after quarantine	isolated symptom; persisted longer than 2 weeks; no rhinorrhea or nasal obstruction
Kaye et al, 2020	International (USA, Mexico, Italy, UK and others)	Cross-sectional survey	n = 237, mean age 39.6, 54% female	Over 33% reported cases were from healthcare workers, anosmia noted in 73% prior to COVID-19 diagnosis	Anosmia was isolated initial symptom in 26.6%, complete resolution in 13%, partial resolution in 14%, mean time to improvement 7.2 days, nasal congestion prior to anosmia in 25%, rhinorrhea prior to anosmia in 18%
Klopfenstein et al, 2020	France	Retrospective series	n = 114 COVID+, n = 54 with anosmia; mean age	47% confirmed COVID-19 reported anosmia	Anosmia never the first or second symptom; third presenting

			47, 67% female		symptom in 38%; developed 4.4 days after infection onset; mean duration was 8.9 days, duration $\geq 7$ days in 55%, $\geq 14$ days in 20%; one patient had anosmia persisting beyond 28 days; rhinorrhea in 57%, nasal obstruction in 30%
Lechien et al, 2020	Europe	Cross-sectional survey	n = 417, COVID+, mean age 36.9, 63% female	85.6% reported olfactory dysfunction, 79.6% were anosmia, 20.4% hyposmic	Olfactory dysfunction prior to onset of general/ENT symptoms in 11.8%, after in 65.4%, same time in 22.8%; dysfunction persisted after resolution of other symptoms in 63%, n=76 did not experience nasal obstruction or rhinorrhea
Lechien et al, 2020b	Belgium	Cross-sectional study	n = 78, mean age 40.6, 59% female	62% anosmia $\leq 12$ days with 87.5% COVID-19 positive; 38% > 12 days with 23% COVID-19 positive	52% anosmic, 24% hyposmic, 24% normosmic; of patients with anosmia, 79.1% reported nasal obstruction symptoms, 64.6% reported rhinorrhea, 75% reported postnasal drip
Levinson et al, 2020	Israel	Cross-sectional survey	n = 42, COVID+ hospitalised inpatients with mild disease; median age 34, 45% female	Anosmia reported in 35.7% of patients; n=14 reported both anosmia and dysgeusia, n=1 reported only anosmia	Anosmia and dysgeusia started median 3.3 days post disease onset; 73.3% with anosmia reported recovery, median 7.1 days for dysgeusia, 7.6 days for

					anosmia
Lorenzo-Vilalba et al, 2020	France/Spain	Case reports	n = 2, 85-year-old male and 80-year-old female	Anosmia presented early in disease; one patient died of ARDS after 5 days	85-year-old male experienced sudden onset of anosmia and fatigue prior to admission, died on day 6 after presentation; 80-year-old female had a 5-day history of taste loss prior to smelling problems and fatigue
Mao et al, 2020	China	Retrospective observational case series	n = 214, COVID+, mean age 52.7, 59.3% female	Smell impairment in 5.1%, 3/88 in severe patients, 8/126 in non-severe patients	Onset 1 day prior to admission in severe patients, 2 days prior to admission in non-severe patients
Marchese-Ragona et al, 2020	Italy	Case series	n = 6; patients presenting with hyposmia as main/only symptom; mean age 32.3, 67% female		1 patient had fever after smell dysfunction, 2 patients reported myalgia one day prior to onset of hyposmia and mild dry cough after hyposmia
Menni et al, 2020	UK	Cross-sectional survey	n = 579, COVID+, mean age 40.79, 69% female; n = 1123 controls, mean age 41.22, 74% female	Loss of smell and taste in 59.4% COVID+, 18.97% COVID-; positive predictive value = 61.7%	
Moein et al, 2020	Iran	Cross-sectional study	n = 120 hospitalised patients; mean age 46.6, 33% for COVID+; mean age 46.6, 33% female for controls	35% of COVID+ reported taste/smell complaint, 98.3% had olfactory dysfunction by UPSIT; no controls reported smell/taste problems, 18%	

				had mild microsmia by UPSIT; mean UPSIT for COVID+ was 20.98, 34.10 for controls	
Ollarves-Carrero et al, 2020	Spain	Case report	n = 1, 40-year-old female	Anosmia presented 2 days after myalgia, headache, chills, abdominal pain and diarrhea; at same time as cough	Gradually improved and resolved after 14 days
Paoli et al, 2020	Italy	Case Report	n = 1, 31-year-old male	Anosmia after onset of other typical symptoms	
Spinato et al, 2020	Italy	Cross-sectional survey	n = 202 COVID+ patients; median age 56, 52.0% female	Change to smell or taste reported by 64.4%	Occurred before other symptoms (11.9%, at the same time (22.8%) or after other symptoms (26.7%); 34.6% with smell dysfunction also reported blocked nose
van Damme et al, 2020	Belgium	Case report	n = 1, 39-year-old female	Report of a nurse with onset of rash followed by pyrexia and headache, subsequently developed anosmia and dysgeusia	Anosmia onset 1 week after other symptoms, recovered after 1 week; also reported rhinorrhea
Wee et al, 2020	Singapore	Prospective study	n = 870 suspected COVID patients	17.9% of suspected patients tested positive; 22.7% of these had olfactory/taste disturbance; high specific of olfactory dysfunction as screening criterion for COVID-19 (98.7%) but lower sensitivity (22.7%)	3/35 presented with isolated anosmia; rhinorrhea in 28.5%; COVID+ patients had higher odds of olfactory/taste disturbance compared to those positive for other respiratory viruses (OR = 10.14, $p < 0.001$ )

Xydakis et al, 2020	Unavailable	Letter	n = 1	COVID+ with anosmia and dysgeusia	Traditional nasal manifestations as seen with other upper-respiratory infections typically absent with COVID+, often no significant nasal congestion or rhinorrhea
Yan et al, 2020	USA	Cross-sectional survey	n = 59, COVID+, 49% female; n = 203 COVID-, 65% female	Olfactory dysfunction in 68% of COVID+, 16% of COVID-	22% reported anosmia at initial presentation of disease, 74% had return of function (18% <1 week, 37.5% by 1-2 weeks, 18% by 2-4 weeks); nasal obstruction in 47.5% of COVID+, 44.8% COVID-; rhinorrhea reported in 30.5% of COVID+, 40.9% of COVID-
Yan et al, 2020b	USA	Retrospective review	n = 128 COVID+ patients, median age 53.5, 65% female for admitted patients, 49% for outpatients	Anosmia strongly and independently associated with patients remaining in outpatient care; 10-fold less chance to be admitted than normosmia	Rhinorrhoea in 1 admitted patient, 15.7% of outpatients; nasal obstruction in 15.4% admitted patients and 30.4% of outpatients

487  
488