The Role of Magnetic Resonance Imaging in Classifying Individuals Who Will Develop Accelerated Knee Osteoarthritis
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ABSTRACT
We assessed whether adding magnetic resonance (MR)-based features to a base model of clinically accessible risk factors (i.e., serological, radiographic, demographic, symptoms, and physical function) improved classification of adults who developed accelerated knee osteoarthritis (KOA) or not over the subsequent 4 years. We conducted a case-control study using radiographs from baseline and the first four annual visits of the Osteoarthritis Initiative to define groups. Eligible individuals had no radiographic KOA in either knee at baseline (Kellgren-Lawrence grade (KL) <2). We classified 2 groups matched on sex: 1) accelerated KOA: at least one knee developed advanced-stage KOA (KL=3 or 4) within 48 months and 2) did not develop accelerated KOA within 48 months. The MR-based features were assessments of bone, effusion/synovitis, tendons, ligaments, cartilage, and meniscus. All risk factors and MR-based features were from the baseline visit. Classification and regression tree analyses were performed to determine classification rules and identify important variables. The base CART model and the base model + MR features each explained approximately 40% of the variability. Adding MR-based features to the base model yielded modest improvements in specificity (0.90 versus 0.82) but lower sensitivity (0.62 versus and 0.70) than the base model. There was consistent evidence that serum glucose, effusion-synovitis volume, and cruciate ligament degeneration are important variables in classifying individuals who will develop accelerated KOA. We found common MR-based measures failed to dramatically improve classification. These findings also show a complex interplay among risk factors and a need to identify novel risk factors to improve classification.
INTRODUCTION

Knee osteoarthritis (KOA) is typically considered a slowly progressive disorder. However, at least 1 in 5 cases of incident KOA develop an accelerated form of KOA, progressing from no radiographic KOA to advanced-stage KOA within 4 years and often within 12 months. Adults with accelerated KOA experience greater pain and functional disability than those with a typical onset of KOA. Since the rate of disease onset offers only a short opportunity to intervene it would be helpful to classify people at-risk for accelerated KOA to create an opportunity to develop and deliver prevention strategies. We previously classified individuals using serum, radiographic, and demographic/anthropometric (age and body mass index) risk factors and explained 31% of the variability with high specificity but low sensitivity. These results suggest that further analyses are needed with additional outcomes to better discriminate between individuals that will and will not develop accelerated KOA.

Prodromal symptoms, functional disability, and magnetic resonance (MR) imaging-based knee assessments may help classify adults at risk for accelerated KOA. Adults who develop accelerated KOA often report greater prodromal symptoms and experience greater functional disability (e.g., slower walking speed) than those with typical KOA up to 3 years prior to radiographic onset. More recently, magnetic resonance (MR)-based measurements have also been identified as risk factors or early signs of accelerated KOA (e.g., effusion-synovitis, meniscal pathology).

The purpose of this study was to utilize classification and regression tree (CART) models to 1) assess the ability of MR features from the baseline visit to classify participants who will and will not develop accelerated KOA in the subsequent 4 years, and 2) determine whether adding baseline MR features to a base model that incorporated measures of symptoms, function,
radiographic, clinical, and demographic characteristics from the baseline visit improves classification of adults who will develop accelerated KOA over the subsequent 4 years.

METHODS

We conducted a case-control study using data and images from baseline and the first 4 annual visits in the Osteoarthritis Initiative (OAI), a longitudinal multicenter observational cohort study of adults with or at risk of developing symptomatic KOA. Almost 4,800 participants (ages 45-79 years) were enrolled at 4 clinical sites in the United States between February 2004 and May 2006. The protocol and detailed descriptions of the eligibility criteria are available at the OAI website. Institutional review boards at each of the 4 clinical sites and the OAI coordinating center approved the study. All participants provided informed consent.

Case and Control Definitions

Group assignment (accelerated KOA, typical KOA, and no KOA) was determined using annual radiographs from the baseline to the 48-month OAI visits. Eligible participants had Kellgren-Lawrence (KL) grade <2 at baseline in both knees. Cases were participants with accelerated KOA, defined as the development of advanced-stage KOA (KL grade 3 or 4) by the 48-month visit. Typical KOA was defined as an increase in radiographic severity (KL grade) by month 48 (excluding those meeting the definition for accelerated KOA). No KOA was defined as no increase in the KL grade by month 48. Adults with typical KOA and no KOA were controls.

We matched typical KOA and no KOA participants by sex to the accelerated KOA group (cases, n=54) in a 1:1:1 matching scheme. For the purposes of this study, typical KOA and no KOA participants were combined into one group to explore whether risk factors or combinations
of risk factors were able to classify adults who would develop accelerated KOA. The knee that first met the criteria for accelerated or typical KOA was included in the analysis. Participants with no KOA were side-matched to the accelerated KOA knee.

To determine eligibility and group assignment we relied on bilateral weight-bearing, fixed-flexion posteroanterior knee radiographs. Central readers scored the KL grade of each knee (KL0 to KL4), with KL4 indicating the worst radiographic KOA severity. Good intra-rater reliability was observed for KL grade (weighted \( \kappa = 0.70 \) to 0.78). The protocol and data are publicly available at the OAI website (Files: kXR_SQ_BU##_SAS; versions 0.6, 1.6, 3.5, 5.5, 6.3).

**Candidate Measures from the Base CART Model**

Measures from the baseline visit that were clinically-accessible were chosen as candidate variables: radiographic assessments, demographic characteristics (age, sex, and body mass index), biospecimen measurements, symptoms (pain, function, quality of life, swelling and tenderness), and walking speed. These are described more fully in the following sections and in **Supplement Table 1**.

**Radiographic Assessments**

We used bilateral weight-bearing, fixed-flexion posteroanterior knee radiographs to assess two radiographic variables: femorotibial angle (FTA) and coronal tibial slope.

Femorotibial angle was measured to assess static knee alignment. We used the publicly available data on the OAI website (file: KXR_FTA_Duryea00, version 0.2). In brief, a customized software tool defined the tibial axis based on the central point of the knee and the
center of the tibial diaphysis at approximately 10 cm distal to the tibial plateau. The femoral axis was perpendicular to a line tangent to the distal ends of the medial and lateral femoral condyles. The software tool calculated the angle between the tibial and femoral axis with negative values indicating knees with more varus alignment. FTA was adjusted to match hip-knee-ankle angles as described in Iranpour-Boroujeni et al.\textsuperscript{11} Intra-reader and inter-reader ICCs were >0.95.\textsuperscript{10}

Coronal tibial slope was assessed by one reader (JBD) using an EFilm Workstation 3.4 (Merge Healthcare, Chicago, IL).\textsuperscript{12} The reader identified the longitudinal tibial axis and drew a line connecting the peak points of the medial and lateral aspects of the tibial plateau. He then moved the longitudinal axis to the lateral aspect of the tibial plateau and drew a line perpendicular to the longitudinal axis. A positive coronal tibial slope indicated that the peak lateral aspect of the tibial plateau was proximal to the peak medial aspect. The intra-reader reliability was good (ICC\textsubscript{3,1}=0.87, n=15 knees).\textsuperscript{13}

**Demographic Characteristics**

We included publicly available age, sex, and body mass index, which study staff collected at baseline per a standard protocol (Files: enrollees, version 22; allclinical00, version 02.2).\textsuperscript{10}

**Biospecimens**

Study staff performed blood draws at the baseline visit. Participants fasted for at least 8 hours prior to the blood draw. Blood samples were centrifuged and aliquoted into cryovials. Samples were stored in a -70°C freezer prior to shipping to Fisher Bioservices (Rockville, MD) for long-term storage. Protocols for the biospecimens collection and processing are available on
the OAI website. Fisher Bioservices shipped the serum samples to Temple University School of Medicine in September 2015. One investigator (MA) performed all assays in duplicate. We used commercially available enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay kits to assess high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (Novex by Life Technology, Carlsbad, CA) and glycated serum protein (MyBiosource, San Diego, CA). The Abcam Glucose Assay Kits were used to assess serum glucose (after deproteinization). Further details about the biospecimen analysis for this study were previously described.

### Symptoms

In addition to the measures in the previously published CART model (described above), we included clinically accessible pain, function, clinical knee exam, and quality of life measures in our base model. All data are publicly available (File: allclinical00, version 0.2.2).

The Western Ontario and McMaster (WOMAC) Universities Osteoarthritis Pain Scale (3.1 Likert version) was used to assess self-reported knee-specific pain within the last 7 days separately for each knee (range 0-20, with higher scores indicating higher pain). The scale is based on 5 questions about pain, each scored from 0 (no pain) to 4 (extreme pain). (The WOMAC Function Scale (3.1 Likert version) function scores range from 0-68, with higher scores indicating greater functional impairment. The scale is based on 17 questions, each scored from 0 (no impairment) to 4 (extreme impairment).

Separately, participants were asked whether “during the past twelve months, have you had pain, aching or stiffness, in or around your right knee, on most days for at least one month?” Possible responses were yes or no. A similar question was asked about the left knee.
Participants also self-reported impact of KOA on quality of life via the Knee Injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS) quality of life subscale. Possible scores range from 0-100, with higher scores indicating better quality of life.

Bilateral clinical knee exams were performed at baseline to determine the presence of crepitus, tenderness and/or swelling. Specifically, we included patella-femoral crepitus, lateral and medial joint line tenderness, patella tenderness, knee swelling evidenced by a positive bulge sign or patellar tap test, and patellar/quadriceps tenderness/tendonitis.

**Physical Function**

Habitual walking speed was assessed via 2 timed 20-meter talks. The time needed to complete the 20-meters was converted to walking speed (i.e. meters/second [m/s]) and averaged across the two trials. All data are publicly available (File: allclinical00, version 0.2.2).

**MR-based Features Added to the CART**

**Magnetic Resonance Imaging Acquisition**

Baseline MR images were acquired with one of four identical Siemens (Erlangen, Germany) Trio 3-Tesla MR systems at each clinical site using the OAI MR imaging protocol. Bone marrow lesion (BML) volume and effusion-synovitis volume quantitative measurements were performed using a sagittal intermediate-weighted, turbo spin echo, fat-suppressed MR sequence with the following parameters: field of view=160mm, slice thickness=3mm, skip=0mm, flip angle=180 degrees, echo time=30ms, recovery time=3200ms, 313x448 matrix, x resolution=0.357mm, y resolution=0.511mm, and total slice number=37. Articular cartilage was quantified using a 3-dimensional dual-echo steady-state sequence with the following parameters:
field of view=140mm, slice thickness=0.7mm, skip=0mm, flip angle=25 degrees, echo
time=4.7ms, recovery time=16.3ms, 307x384 matrix, x resolution=0.365mm, y
resolution=0.456mm, and total slice number=160. Musculoskeletal radiologists assessed semi-
quantitative or dichotomous MR-based features using all MR sequences for each visit.

**Bone Marrow Lesion Volume**

One reader (ACS), unaware of group assignment, measured tibiofemoral BML volume
with a semi-automated segmentation software. The only manual step required the reader to
identify crude boundaries of the tibia and femur in each slice of the MR sequence. The boundary
furthest from the articular surfaces was marked just prior to the epiphyseal line or at the edge of
the bone and soft tissue. The program then automatically identified the precise bone boundaries
and performed a thresholding and curve evolution process twice to segment areas of high signal
intensity, which may represent a BML. We eliminated false-positive regions by operationally
defining a BML based on 2 criteria: 1) the distance between a BML to the articular surface
should be <10 mm, and 2) a BML needed to span more than one MR image. The study principal
investigator reviewed all measurements. Our reader demonstrated excellent intra-reader
reliability (ICC3,1=0.91). Total tibiofemoral BML volume was used in the analysis.

**Effusion-Synovitis Volume**

We used a semi-automated segmentation software to measure knee effusion-synovitis,
which reflects effusion and synovitis volume. Two readers (JBD and FA) used the software to
mark the first and last MR slice that included bone, the proximal border of the patella, and the
apex of the fibular head. The software then automatically segmented effusion-synovitis between
these limits based on an existing threshold. The senior reader (JBD) then manually adjusted the threshold to change the effusion-synovitis boundaries and removed areas of high-signal intensity that were not effusion-synovitis (e.g., subchondral cysts, blood vessels). The senior reader demonstrated excellent intra-reader reliability (ICC$_{3,1}$=0.96). Whole knee effusion-synovitis volume was used in the analysis.

**Cartilage Damage Index**

To measure tibiofemoral cartilage we used the validated cartilage damage index (CDI). One reader (JED) manually marked the bone-cartilage boundary on specific knee slices that are automatically selected based on the presence of predefined informative locations. The reader then measured cartilage thickness at predefined 36 informative locations, which the software automatically located. The software then computed the CDI for the medial femur, lateral femur, medial tibia, and lateral tibia by summing the products of cartilage thickness, cartilage length (anterior-posterior), and voxel size from 9 informative locations in each region. The study principal investigator reviewed all measurements. Our reader demonstrated excellent intra-reader reliability (ICC$_{3,1}$=0.86 to 0.99). CDI in the medial and lateral compartments of the tibial and femoral were used in the analysis.

**Semi-quantitative Features**

Two musculoskeletal radiologists (RW:255 cases, JM:120 cases) performed the semi-quantitative MR readings. Readers had good agreement on the presence of each pathology among 25 cases: prevalence-adjusted and bias-adjusted kappa were 0.41 to 0.75 except for the
posterior horn of the medial meniscus where the prevalence-adjusted and bias-adjusted kappa was fair at 0.25 (50% agreement).

The radiologists assessed the integrity of anterior/posterior cruciate ligaments, medial/lateral collateral ligaments, extensor mechanism, and gastrocnemius proximal tendons by noting if the structures appeared normal or degenerative. Degenerative tissue was defined as the presence of abnormal intrinsic high-signal intensity within the substance of the ligaments or tendon without discrete tear. Degenerative cruciate ligament pathology combined the presence of anterior or posterior cruciate ligament degenerative pathology. Degenerative collateral ligament pathology combined the presence of medial or lateral collateral ligament degenerative pathology.

The radiologists scored infrapatellar fat pad signal intensity alteration using the MR Imaging Osteoarthritis Knee Score grading system (i.e., normal, mild, moderate, and severe). Infrapatellar fat pad signal intensity was recoded as absence (i.e., normal) or presence (i.e., mild, moderate, and severe).

The radiologists scored medial and lateral meniscus extrusion using the MR Imaging Osteoarthritis Knee Score grading system (i.e., Grade 0: <2 mm, Grade 1: 2 to 2.9 mm, Grade 2: 3 to 5 mm, and Grade 3: >5 mm). Meniscal extrusion was recoded as absence (i.e., Grade 0) or presence (i.e., ≥ Grade 1).

The radiologists used the International Society of Arthroscopy, Knee Surgery, and Orthopaedic Sports Medicine meniscal tear classification, which was modified for MR imaging, to assess the body, posterior/anterior horn of each meniscus as: normal, degeneration, horizontal, flap horizontal, vertical longitudinal, radial, morphologic deformity, maceration, complex, or vertical flap tear. Meniscal pathology was recoded as absence (i.e., normal or degeneration without tear) and presence (i.e., horizontal, flap horizontal, vertical longitudinal,
radial, morphologic deformity, maceration, complex, or vertical flap tear). The medial/lateral menisci were considered pathologic if pathology was present in any of the three regions (body or anterior/posterior horn). Additionally, we determined the number of pathologic meniscal regions with meniscal pathology, which could range from 0-6 (i.e., medial/lateral and anterior/body/posterior horn).

The radiologists were also asked to record the presence of any other miscellaneous pathology: attrition, acute ligamentous or tendinous injuries, subchondral insufficiency fractures, and any other incidental findings. Attrition was assessed from 0 (normal) to 3 (severe), based on the perceived degree of deviation from a normal contour for the medial and lateral femur and tibia. We defined the presence of attrition as a score of 1 or more. We defined acute ligamentous or tendinous injury as per routine clinical practice, using the presence/absence of focal fiber disruption and intrinsic ligamentous or subjacent soft tissue edema to detect the presence of injury. We defined subchondral insufficiency fracture as a linear low signal in the subchondral bone on a fat suppressed image and subjacent edema. Since each of these pathologies were rare, we combined them into a single outcome variable: presence or absence of miscellaneous pathology.

**Statistical Analysis**

We performed classification and regression tree (CART) analyses to determine classification rules and important variables for: 1) a model with MR variables only, 2) a base model with clinically accessible variables (i.e., serological, radiographic, demographic, symptoms, and physical function), and 3) a combined model with all clinically accessible variables and MR-based measures as candidate variables.
CART is a non-parametric method that has some advantages over other statistical techniques for classification by allowing for complex interactions and non-linear associations. This method identifies the most discriminating risk factor, with its associated cut point, to differentiate the two groups (e.g. accelerated KOA or no accelerated KOA). After the initial split based on the cut point, CART iteratively finds the next best risk factors with their corresponding associated cut point. CART analysis identifies the most important risk factors and is easily interpretable. The identification of important variables is obtained by creating multiple trees via cross-validation, and observing which variables appear in most of the trees.\textsuperscript{24}

Pruning and 10-fold cross-validation were performed to avoid overfitting and to identify the most important variables. Sensitivity and specificity were calculated for all models. All analyses were performed using the \texttt{rpart} function in R (version 3.4.4, The R Foundation for Statistical Computing).

**RESULTS**

Fifty-four cases (accelerated KOA) and 108 participants in the control group (54 adults with typical KOA and 54 adults with no KOA) met the inclusion criteria at baseline. This cohort has been described previously.\textsuperscript{5} In brief, the sample was predominantly female (63\%), with mean age 59 years (SD=8), and body mass index 28 kg/m\textsuperscript{2} (SD=5).

**Model 1: CART with MR Features Only**

**Figure 1** displays the CART model with only MR features to see which features are associated with development of accelerated KOA. Effusion-synovitis volume, BML volume, and presence of cruciate ligament degeneration were the three most important variables (in order of
importance). Individuals with a higher effusion-synovitis volume (≥14 cc) were likely to develop accelerated KOA over the subsequent 4 years. Participants with a lower effusion-synovitis volume but larger BML volume (>0.24 cc) were less likely to develop accelerated KOA. In contrast, adults with lower effusion-synovitis and BML volumes and cruciate ligament degeneration were more likely to develop accelerated KOA. This model explained 31% of the variability, with specificity of 0.88 and sensitivity of 0.57.

Model 2: Base Model - Previously Published Model + Symptoms and Function

Figure 2 presents the base model based on clinically accessible variables. Baseline serum glucose, age, and static alignment were the three most important variables (in order of importance). The first 3 cuts were based on age, serum glucose, and BMI. Most individuals <64 years did not develop accelerated KOA over the subsequent 4 years, except for those with body mass index ≥34 kg/m² and those with body mass index <34 kg/m² but more impaired WOMAC function (≥8.8) and coronal tibia slope≥4 degrees (a more proximal lateral plateau than medial). Conversely, all of the adults 64 or older with glucose<82 developed accelerated KOA. This model explained 41% of the variability and had specificity of 0.82 and sensitivity of 0.70.

Model 3: Base Model + MR Features

Figure 3 displays the CART with all candidate variables placed in the model. Effusion-synovitis volume, serum glucose, and the presence of cruciate ligament degeneration were the most important variables (in order of importance). Overweight or obese individuals with higher effusion-synovitis volume (≥14 cc) were more likely to develop accelerated KOA over the
Participants with lower effusion-synovitis volume (<14 cc) and glucose <67 were also likely to develop accelerated KOA. The presence of cruciate ligament degeneration and coronal tibial slope played a role in classification of individuals with lower effusion-synovitis volume and glucose≥67. This model explained 39% of the variance and had specificity of 0.90 and sensitivity of 0.62.

**DISCUSSION**

We expanded on prior work to classify adults at risk for accelerated KOA by adding baseline clinical, symptomatic, and MR measures to a CART. Our primary goal was to assess whether adding MR measures in isolation or combined to our base model could improve the classification of individuals at risk for developing accelerated KOA within the subsequent four years. Variables that consistently appeared in the models, and that may enable classification of individuals at risk of developing accelerated KOA, were effusion-synovitis volume, presence of cruciate ligament degeneration, and serum glucose. The addition of an array of MR variables to the base model failed to improve model fit (percent of variance explained ~40% for both models).

Based on our prior analyses, we hypothesized that the addition of baseline pain, function and quality of life measures would improve the classification of adults who will develop accelerated KOA in the subsequent 4 years. While the model with these measures showed some improvement in terms of the percent of variability explained (41% vs 31% in previously published models), WOMAC function was the only symptom, function, or quality of life variable that was selected by the model. We also hypothesized that the addition of MR measures would improve classification of adults who will develop AKOA, based on prior analyses. However,
the base model + MR features explained slightly less variability than the base model alone (39% vs 41%). The full model also had lower sensitivity (0.62 vs 0.70) but higher specificity (0.90 vs 0.82) compared to the base model.

This lack of substantial improvement in classification after adding variables such as pain that have been shown to be associated with development of accelerated knee osteoarthritis may be explained by the fact that CART’s strength is in classification rather than prediction or strength of association. There may be other variables that are more relevant in classification than pain. Additionally, the interactions may identify subsets of people that are more at risk based on their combined characteristics, rather than pain alone.

In spite of the improvements in the percent of variability explained by the models with symptoms and MR, 60% of the variance remains unexplained. The cost of obtaining self-reported function, pain, and quality of life is minimal and clinicians and researchers may consider including them when assessing risk for development of accelerated KOA. MR measurement costs, on the other hand, can be quite substantial. It is not clear that there is a sufficient increase in precision of the classification to warrant the additional cost. Identification of novel risk factors may improve classification.

Both effusion-synovitis volume and cruciate ligament degeneration are consistently identified as important MR-based features for the classification of accelerated KOA. This complements prior work where we observed effusion-synovitis volume was greater among adults who developed accelerated KOA up to 2 years prior to radiographic onset compared with adults with typical or no KOA.6 Furthermore, these results agree with preliminary results that cruciate ligament degeneration is more common among adults who developed accelerated KOA up to 2 years prior to radiographic onset compared with adults with typical or no KOA.25 These MR-
based findings may be some of the earliest manifestations of accelerated KOA, which could help shed light on why some adults develop accelerated KOA.

Despite previous findings that fasting serum glucose was not significantly associated with either prevalent or incident KOA, we identified fasting serum glucose as a potentially important variable for classifying people who will develop accelerated KOA. While this finding is surprising, it may be explained by CART’s ability to detect possible interactions with small sample sizes, whereas standard statistical analyses would not be powered to detect interactions. For example, the CART results suggest that glucose may be an important factor among older adults, but not among younger adults. It may be useful to explore the association between fasting glucose levels and development of accelerated KOA among adults 64 years and older.

CART is useful as an exploratory method to help identify possible risk factors and interactions that are not possible with regression in studies with limited sample size. The CART results suggest that there may be interactions between age, BMI, and glucose in the base model. There may also be interactions between effusion-synovitis volume and BMI, as well as effusion-synovitis volume and glucose. Future studies sufficiently powered to detect interactions will be important in improving classification of people at risk of developing accelerated KOA.

While this study has identified potential new risk factors and possible interactions, we acknowledge that there are limitations. We have not yet replicated this model in an external dataset. Although external validation is a critical future project, we are still in the development stage of model development. Our best model still has a significant amount of unexplained variation and we feel this needs to be addressed by identification and inclusion of novel risk factors before proceeding to a standard development and validation model. Despite this
limitation, we believe this study is an important step towards classifying adults who will develop accelerated KOA.

In conclusion, acquisition of MR images for common structural features to identify individuals at risk of developing accelerated KOA in the subsequent four years may not be justified due to the high cost of obtaining them and the minimal effect on classification compared to the base model. We also found that serum glucose, effusion-synovitis volume, and cruciate ligament degeneration are important variables in the classification of individuals at risk for accelerated KOA in the next four years. However, all models continue to have ~60% of the variability unexplained, indicating a need for future research to identify novel risk factors that will improve the classification.
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FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1: Classification and Regression Tree with Features on Magnetic Resonance Images Only
Abbreviations: Effusion=effusion-synovitis, BML=bone marrow lesion, AKOA=accelerated knee osteoarthritis

Figure 2: Classification and Regression Tree Base Model: Previously Published Model with Symptoms and Function Added
Abbreviations: BMI=body mass index, AKOA=accelerated knee osteoarthritis

Figure 3: Classification and Regression Tree Base Model and Features on Magnetic Resonance Images
Abbreviations: Effusion=effusion-synovitis, BMI=body mass index, AKOA=accelerated knee osteoarthritis