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Summary

Many migratory systems are changing rapidly in space and time, and these changes present challenges for conservation. Changes in local abundance and site occupancy across species' ranges have raised concerns over the efficacy of existing protected area networks, while changes in phenology can potentially create mismatches in the timing of annual events with the availability of key resources. These changes could arise either through individuals shifting in space and time or through generational shifts in the frequency of individuals using different locations or on differing migratory schedules. Using a long-term study of a migratory shorebird in which individuals have been tracked through a period of range expansion and phenological change, we show that these changes occur through generational shifts in spatial and phenological distributions, and that individuals are highly consistent in space and time. Predictions of future rates of changes in range size and phenology, and their implications for species conservation, will require an understanding of the processes that can drive generational shifts. We therefore explore the developmental, demographic and environmental processes that could influence generational shifts in phenology and distribution, and the studies that will be needed to distinguish among these mechanisms of change.
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Introduction

Migratory populations throughout the world are changing rapidly, with declines in abundance being reported on all major flyways [1-3] and driving international calls for action [4]. Identifying the causes of population changes in migratory species is inherently complex because of the range of sites and conditions experienced by individuals on their
annual journeys. Changing conditions in any or all parts of migratory ranges could drive changes in abundance and distribution. For example, changes in local conditions could influence local demography and thus alter relative abundances and site occupancy anywhere within a migratory range. However, the effects of local changes in one part of a range can also cascade through to influence abundance and distribution across a range [5,6]. This potential for interactions between local environmental conditions, demography, individual development and range-wide distribution and phenology make migratory systems complex, and designing conservation actions to halt and reverse declines in migratory species is therefore a major challenge.

Recent changes in abundance of migratory species have been linked to their distribution and phenology. For example, phenological change is most commonly observed as shifts in the timing of migration to breeding grounds, and the magnitude of these shifts can vary greatly among species. Among European breeding birds, declines have been more frequent in species for which advances in spring arrival dates have not occurred [7], and species with non-overlapping breeding and wintering ranges are both more likely to be declining and less likely to have shown advances in spring arrival [2]. A lack of advance in spring arrival dates can potentially increase the impact of any trophic mismatch resulting from climate-driven changes in the timing of availability of key food resources for breeding [8,9]. Declining species with little or no phenological change are often assumed to be constrained from responding to changing climatic conditions in breeding areas (for example because they migrate to more distant non-breeding locations), but the nature of any such constraint is unknown. Identifying the mechanisms through which phenological change occurs, and thus the factors constraining or facilitating these changes, may therefore be key to designing and targeting conservation actions to mitigate the effects of trophic mismatch.

Changes in the distribution of breeding and non-breeding ranges have also occurred in many migratory species, with polewards range shifts being particularly prevalent [10,11]. Concerns have consequently arisen over the efficacy of existing protected area networks [5,12]. Range change is often interpreted as a response to changes in the suitability of environmental conditions (eg colonisation of areas that were previously unsuitable and/or contraction from areas of declining suitability). However, the mechanisms driving changes in the distribution of individuals across a range are rarely known.

Both range change and phenological change could arise through individual plasticity in use of space and time. For example, range change may occur through individual movement to locate suitable conditions, while changes in timing of migration could arise through individual decisions on departure timings or time spent on migratory journeys varying between years. By contrast, these changes could also result from generational shifts in the frequency of individuals that use different locations or migrate at specific times. Generational shifts would not require individual plasticity but would require changes in the conditions determining the frequency of individuals within a population with different phenologies or probabilities of occupying different parts of a range, such that the spatial and temporal distribution of recruits to the population would differ from their predecessors. For example, changes in distribution could arise through shifts in the conditions influencing
the probability of occupancy of different locations by new recruits, and/or by shifts in the
survival rates achieved within different locations. Similarly, shifts in timing of migration
could occur through changes in the conditions influencing adoption of migration schedules
by new recruits (or survival rates associated with different schedules) altering the frequency
of individuals on different schedules within a population [13].

Individual plasticity in spatial distribution and migratory timings would facilitate relatively
rapid responses to changing environmental conditions. However, generational shifts would
likely result in slower responses to environmental changes, particularly in long-lived species,
as the direction and magnitude of the changes would depend on the proportion of annual
recruits within a population and the proportion of those recruits experiencing changing
drivers of use of space and time. If individual variation in migratory destination or timing has
a genetic component, then generational shifts could drive microevolutionary change.

However, genetic change is not an inevitable consequence of generational shifts, as
individual destinations or timings could also be determined by environmental or social cues.

Changes in range size or migration phenology of populations as a result of individual
plasticity in use of space and time has not been demonstrated, and a growing number of
individual tracking studies are reporting high levels of repeatability in individual migratory
destinations and timings [13-20]. If generational shifts are the more likely driver of
population changes in space and time, then our focus should be on understanding drivers of
settlement and phenology of recruits, as well as their subsequent survival and recruitment.

Identifying the relative contributions of generational shifts and individual plasticity requires
model systems in which individuals can be tracked across space and time, through periods
of shifts in range and phenology. Such large-scale, long-term tracking data are rare but one
system that provides all of these elements is the Icelandic black-tailed godwit, Limosa
limosa islandica, which has been the subject of intensive individual and population studies
since the mid-1990s [21-23]. In common with many migratory bird species at temperate and
subarctic latitudes, advances in the phenology of spring migration have occurred in the
Icelandic godwit population in recent decades [24]. Iceland supports very large breeding
populations of several shorebird populations which migrate south to locations ranging from
temperate Europe to sub-Saharan Africa [25]. The first recorded arrival dates of these
species into south Iceland in spring have advanced over the last three decades, with godwits
showing one of the most rapid advances (~2 weeks earlier now than in the 1990s; [24]). In
addition, this godwit population has shown sustained increases in number for over a
century, likely as a consequence of warming conditions in Iceland facilitating earlier and
more successful breeding and recruitment [6,26,27]. This population growth has been
accompanied by range expansion in both the breeding and non-breeding ranges; in Iceland,
godwits have expanded from a breeding range that was confined to the south-west corner
of the country around 1900, to occupy progressively more northerly and easterly locations
[27]. In the non-breeding range, which spans coastal areas of north-west Europe from
Britain and Ireland to Iberia and northern Morocco, colonisation and population increases
have primarily occurred in the northern part of the range (east and north-west England,
Scotland and east Ireland) since the 1970s, when surveys began [21,28].
In the mid-1990s, a programme of population-wide and life-long tracking of individual godwits was initiated [21,29]. Across the breeding and non-breeding ranges, godwits have been caught and marked with unique combinations of coloured leg-rings, and ~1-2% of the population (which numbers ~50,000 individuals; [30]) is marked. A citizen science network of >2000 observers across Europe regularly report marked individuals, allowing the locations of individuals to be repeatedly tracked within and across years [31]. Here, we use this range-wide tracking of an expanding (in space) and advancing (in time) migratory shorebird, to explore whether individual plasticity or generational shifts are likely to have caused these changes in space and time, and to consider the evidence for potential developmental, environmental and demographic drivers of such changes.

Methods

Phenological change: repeated measures of individual spring arrival dates

Black-tailed godwits return to Iceland between mid-April and mid-May and, on arrival, flocks congregate on a small number of arrival sites [32]. Since 1999, standardised surveys of arrival sites in south and west Iceland have taken place from mid-April to early May, with the identities of all individually-marked birds at all study locations being recorded every 1-3 days [13,32]. Between 1999 and 2018, arrival dates were recorded in at least three years for 85 individuals (Fig. 1). In order to quantify (a) the trends in arrival dates of individuals, we constructed a GLM with each individual arrival date (in Julian days) as the dependent variable, and year and individual as fixed effects (an extension of the model reported in Gill et al. 2014 for a smaller sample). In order to then quantify (b) whether individual trends in arrival dates have changed in magnitude or (c) whether the frequency of individuals with differing arrival dates has changed over the 16-year survey period, we then constructed two further GLMs with the (b) trend in arrival date and (c) mean arrival date for each individual as the dependent variable, and the year of first spring arrival (ie the first year in which each individual was observed on arrival in Iceland) observation as a fixed effect.

Range change: non-breeding locations of marked individuals

Locations of individually-marked godwits across the migratory range have been recorded by a network of citizen scientists since the mid-1990s. Here we use all recorded non-breeding locations (ie excluding records within Iceland) of 631 individuals marked at the main post-breeding moult location in east England during the autumns of 1995-2014, and the winter (mid-Oct to mid-Feb) records of the 419 of these individuals observed during that period. To assess the role of individual movement in driving the northward range expansion, we use these sightings to quantify the total number of non-breeding locations (individual estuaries and wetlands), regions and countries (Table 1) used by individuals tracked for differing numbers of years. To quantify the contribution of generational shifts in the frequency of individuals using different locations to the northwards range expansion, we then use these sightings to assess whether individuals marked in more recent years (which will be younger,
on average, than previously marked individuals) are more likely to use recently colonised locations. We constructed generalised linear models with a binomial structure and a logit link, with the number of individuals marked in consecutive 5-year time periods since 1995 that winter in sites (a) colonised before or after the 1960s and (b) north and south of 52°N (most of the recently colonised sites are north of 52°N; [31,33]) as the dependent variable, and time period as a fixed effect.

Results

Mechanisms driving phenological change: individual plasticity or generational shifts

Although first spring observations of godwits in South Iceland have advanced by more than two weeks in the last two decades [24], trends in arrival dates of 85 marked individuals over the last 16 years vary significantly among individuals but show no consistent advances in individual arrival date (Table 2a), and no change in individual arrival trends over the survey period (Fig. 2a, Table 2b). However, the distribution of arrival dates of marked individuals has changed over time, with individuals first observed in more recent years tending to arrive earlier than individuals first recorded in the late 1990s/early 2000s (Fig. 2b, Table 2c). Thus, while the arrival dates of individuals have not advanced, the frequency of early-arriving individuals has increased over this time period.

Mechanisms driving range change: individual plasticity or generational shifts

Repeated tracking of individual godwits across the migratory range for up to 23 years indicates a remarkably low level of individual plasticity in site use throughout their lives. On average, individuals are recorded on a total of only ~4 (± 2.5 SE) non-breeding locations, regardless of the number of years for which they have been tracked (Fig. 3). In addition, these few locations are spread across, on average, 2.5 (± 1.5) regions in 1.5 (± 0.8) countries. Individuals are therefore highly restricted and consistent in their use of a small number of passage and winter locations, and these can be spread across the migratory range. However, over the two decades of continuous marking and tracking, with newly marked individuals being added to the population each year, the relative frequency of individuals using ‘new’ (colonised since the 1960s, Table 1) winter sites has increased (Fig. 4a), and this is primarily through increased numbers of individuals using more northerly sites (Fig. 4b, Table 1).

Discussion

The spring arrival dates of godwits in Iceland has advanced [24] and the breeding population has expanded northwards in recent decades, with rapid population increases in more northerly non-breeding locations [21]. Repeated tracking of individuals in space and time over this period has shown that these expansions and advances are driven by generational shifts in the frequency of individuals occupying different locations and migrating at different
times, and not by individual plasticity. Individuals show life-long consistency in use of a small
number of non-breeding locations but the proportion of individuals occupying recently
colonised sites is greater for more recently-ringed birds. As recently colonised sites are
primarily (but not exclusively) in the north of the range, and as the network of observers
recording individual locations has been in operation throughout the 20 year survey period,
the recorded shift in distribution is unlikely to be influenced by changes in reporting rates
across the range.

The widely reported changes in phenology and distribution of many migratory species in
recent decades may therefore be likely to result from generational shifts in the frequency of
individuals with differing phenologies and using different locations. Generational shifts have
been shown to drive shifts in distribution in other migratory systems. For example, a shift in
use of spring passage sites by a migratory wader population (continental black-tailed
godwits, Limosa limosa) was driven by new recruits to the population, while adults in
the population continued to use the site they had always previously occupied [33].

Quantifying the role of generational shifts requires long-term tracking of individuals, and
relatively few studies have this information during periods of change. However, tracking
studies are increasingly being conducted, and studies tracking individuals over multiple
years are typically reporting high levels of repeatability of individual timings and
destinations [13-20], suggesting that the benefits of philopatry in use of space and time are
very strong [34,35]. Thus generational shifts may be the primary mechanism through which
phenological shifts and range change occur.

Identifying the environmental and demographic processes that drive generational shifts in
space and time is therefore likely to be a critical step in understanding population-level
responses to environmental change and the associated implications for conservation.

Generational shifts in distribution or phenology could potentially arise through processes
occurring at the following points in early life:

1. Developmental drivers of generational shifts

Conditions experienced at the natal stage, such as timing of hatching and/or conditions for
growth and development, could influence the probability of those individuals undertaking
different subsequent migratory routes and timings. For example, individuals hatched late in
the season and/or with insufficient resources to fuel rapid growth are likely to migrate later,
on average, and may thus have less time to locate more distant non-breeding locations. In
such a case, an increase in the number of later-fledging individuals could drive recruitment
into non-breeding locations that are closer to the breeding grounds. Similarly, natal
conditions could potentially influence subsequent migration phenology, either directly
through impacts on individual condition, or indirectly through impacts on the conditions and
potential flockmates encountered during the non-breeding season.

An important aspect of natal conditions that could potentially influence juvenile distribution
and migratory timings is the changes in breeding phenology that are widely reported in
many migratory populations [9,36]. Advances in nesting dates have been reported in many
species and individual plasticity in nesting dates is common [37,38]. Thus, current evidence
suggests that individual timings of arrival of migrants on breeding grounds tend to be
consistent, but subsequent timings of breeding can vary greatly, and often vary in response
to local weather conditions [6,39,40]. This changing phenology of natal conditions could
potentially drive changes in subsequent phenology and distribution of new recruits to the
population, if timing of fledging influences subsequent migratory routes and timings. A key
mechanism that could drive such links is the potential for timing of fledging to influence the
likely flockmates on migratory journeys, and the destinations to which they are travelling.

For example, tracking of adult and juvenile Lesser Spotted Eagles, Clanga pomarina, on their
migratory journeys has shown that juveniles that departed at the same time as adults were
significantly more likely to take the same routes as adults, and to have higher subsequent
survival rates, than juveniles who departed without adults [41]. Timing-driven access to
social cues in migratory flocks could therefore be an important driver of the migratory
routes and destinations located by juveniles, and changes in timing of fledging could
therefore drive changes in the non-breeding distribution of migratory species.

2. Environmental effects on generational shifts

Changing environmental conditions could directly influence the probability of recruits
migrating at specific times or locating specific non-breeding locations. For example,
changing weather conditions (e.g. windspeeds or directions) could alter the proportions of
recruits migrating at different time or taking different routes. However, while wind
conditions can have important effects on migrating birds [42], individual consistency in
migratory destinations and timings would mean that such effects could only operate in early
life (ie during settlement/recruitment).

3. Demographic effects on generational shifts

Disproportionate changes in survival rates of recruits that differ in distribution or timing
could lead to generational shifts. For example, while the numbers of individuals recruiting
into more northerly winter locations or arriving early on the breeding grounds may not be
changing, those individuals could be increasingly likely to survive, for example as a
consequence of ameliorating weather conditions in northerly areas or on arrival in the
breeding areas. Changing patterns of survival may be particularly relevant in systems with
range expansion into areas in which weather conditions are changing as a consequence of
climatic change [43], and these effects could operate alongside developmental or
environmental effects.

Drivers of generational shifts in Icelandic godwits

In Icelandic black-tailed godwits, rapid warming has occurred on the breeding grounds in
recent decades, and nesting dates are earlier [6] and productivity is higher [26] in warmer
years. This warming-driven increase in productivity is likely to have fuelled the colonisation
of colder breeding areas in the north, where nest-laying and hatching dates are, on average,
later than in more southerly breeding areas [6]. Individuals from these colder and more
recently colonised breeding areas are more likely to also winter in the more recently
colonised non-breeding areas [31], and these seasonal links could thus result from regional-
scale differences in timing of fledging and subsequent social cues available to juveniles undertaking their first migration.

Warming-driven advances in nesting dates could also have driven the advancing spring arrival of godwits in Iceland, as previous analyses have shown that (a) individuals wintering in more southerly areas of Europe [29] and breeding in the warmer areas of south and west Iceland [32] arrive first, and (b) more recently hatched individuals tend to have earlier spring arrival dates than individuals hatched in the 1990s [13]. This suggests that the generational shifts driving the phenological advance in this system (Fig. 2) could potentially result from increased numbers of early-hatched individuals from the traditionally occupied areas of Iceland that, because of their early fledging, are also more likely to have the time, condition and social cues to both locate traditionally occupied winter areas and return early in spring. Increased survival rates of early-arrivers and northerly winterers could also be contributing to these changes in space and time.

Climate change and generational shifts in space and time

If climate-driven shifts in breeding phenology can alter the frequency of juveniles undertaking different migratory routes, destinations and timings, this could be an important route through which climate-associated shifts in range and phenology are manifest. A common pattern among migratory species at present is those migrating longer distances are less likely to show shifts in migration phenology [44, 45]. As longer-distance migrants typically arrive later on the breeding grounds and have a smaller gap between arrival and laying than shorter-distance migrants [13], they may have a more limited capacity to alter breeding phenology (and thus generational shifts resulting from shifts in breeding phenology are less likely to occur). The effects of climate warming on breeding phenology can thus have potentially far-reaching consequences for migratory populations.

Future research

Identifying the contribution of developmental, environmental and demographic change to generational shifts, and the conditions in which each might be most relevant, will require studies in which the effects of natal conditions, environmental conditions experienced by juvenile individuals undertaking different migratory routes and timings, and the demographic consequences of conditions experienced at destinations can be measured. Tracking individuals from fledging to recruitment into adulthood is difficult because survival rates at this life stage are typically low, and retrieval of tags can be challenging because the subsequent breeding locations of these individuals is typically unknown, but technological advances will hopefully make these issues more tractable in the near future. Long-term studies of seasonal patterns of nest loss, timing of replacement clutches and juvenile fledging will also be particularly valuable in identifying the potential role of breeding phenology in driving change in migratory systems. Quantifying the developmental, environmental and demographic processes that influence individual migratory routes, destinations and timings will be key to understanding future rates and directions of spatial and phenological change in migratory species, and the associated implications for designing effective protected area networks and conservation actions for these species.
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Table 1. The 121 winter locations across 26 regions and nine countries used by the individual godwits shown in Figure 3. Regions in bold are colonised since the 1960s.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Lat-Long</th>
<th>No. of locations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N Ireland</td>
<td>East</td>
<td>54°N, 05°W</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td>West</td>
<td>53°N, 08°W</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Central</td>
<td>52°N, 08°W</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>East</td>
<td>53°N, 06°W</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>South</td>
<td>51°N, 08°W</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wales</td>
<td>North</td>
<td>53°N, 03°W</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>West</td>
<td>52°N, 04°W</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>England</td>
<td>North-west</td>
<td>53°N, 03°W</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Central</td>
<td>52°N, 01°W</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>East</td>
<td>52°N, 01°E</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>South</td>
<td>50°N, 01°W</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>South-east</td>
<td>51°N, 01°E</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>South-west</td>
<td>50°N, 03°W</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>North</td>
<td>53°N, 06°E</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Central</td>
<td>52°N, 06°E</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>West</td>
<td>52°N, 04°E</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>North</td>
<td>48°N, 01°W</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>North-west</td>
<td>47°N, 02°W</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>West</td>
<td>46°N, 01°W</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td>South</td>
<td>37°N, 08°W</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>West</td>
<td>38°N, 09°W</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>North</td>
<td>43°N, 03°W</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>North-west</td>
<td>42°N, 08°W</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>West</td>
<td>38°N, 06°W</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>South</td>
<td>37°N, 06°W</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morocco</td>
<td>West</td>
<td>30°N, 09°W</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Results of GLMs of (a) annual and individual variation in arrival dates of 85 godwits (3-12 years between 1999 and 2018) and variation in (b) annual trends in arrival dates and (c) mean arrival dates, in relation to the year in which those 85 individuals were first observed on arrival in Iceland.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>d.f.</th>
<th>$F$</th>
<th>$p$</th>
<th>Slope (±SE)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(a)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.062 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td><strong>0.001</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error</td>
<td>300</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First observation year</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.004 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error</td>
<td>83</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First observation year</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8.83</td>
<td><strong>0.004</strong></td>
<td>-0.21 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error</td>
<td>83</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Results of binomial models of variation through consecutive time intervals in the frequency of individually-marked godwits recorded in winter locations (a) occupied since the 1960s (old, 0) or colonised since then (new, 1), and (b) north (0) and south (1) of 52°N.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(a)</th>
<th>Estimate</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>Odds ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year</td>
<td>-0.77</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(b)</th>
<th>Estimate</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>Odds ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>-0.008</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year</td>
<td>-0.29</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure Legends

Figure 1. Number of years on which 85 individually-marked Icelandic godwits have been recorded on spring arrival in Iceland, between 1999 and 2018.

Figure 2. Changes in the mean (a) annual change in arrival date and (b) arrival date (days since 1 April) in Iceland of 85 individual godwits with the year in which they were first observed on arrival in Iceland (see Table 2c for model details).

Figure 3. The total number of (a) countries, (b) regions and (c) locations on which individual godwits have ever been recorded in the total number of years over which each has been tracked. The number of individuals tracked for each total number of years is shown above the bars in (a), see Table 1 for numbers of locations, regions and countries.

Figure 4. Changes through consecutive 5-year time intervals in the (a) proportion of individual godwits wintering in locations that have been occupied since the 1960s (old) or colonised since then (new), and (b) latitude of those winter locations. Numbers observed in each time period are shown in (a).
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