The Iceland Greenland Seas Project

1	
т	

2	
3	I. A. Renfrew ^{1*} , R. S. Pickart ² , K. Våge ³ , G. W. K. Moore ⁴ ,
4	T. J. Bracegirdle ⁵ , A. D. Elvidge ¹ , E. Jeansson ⁶ , T. Lachlan-Cope ⁵ , L.T. McRaven ² , L. Papritz ⁷ , J.
5	Reuder ³ , H. Sodemann ³ , A. Terpstra ^{1,3} , S. Waterman ⁸ , H. Valdimarsson ⁹ , A. Weiss ⁵ ,
6	M. Almansi ¹⁰ , F. Bahr ² , A. Brakstad ³ , C. Barrell ¹ , J. K. Brooke ¹¹ , B.J. Brooks ¹² , I. M. Brooks ¹³ , M. E.
7	Brooks ¹¹ , E. M. Bruvik ³ , C. Duscha ³ , I. Fer ³ , H. M. Golid ³ , M. Hallerstig ⁶ , I. Hessevik ³ , J. Huang ^{2,23} , L.
8	Houghton ² , S. Jónsson ^{9,14} , M. Jonassen ^{3,15} , K. Jackson ³ , K. Kvalsund ¹⁶ , E. W. Kolstad ⁶ , K. Konstali ³ , J.
9	Kristiansen ¹⁷ , R. Ladkin ⁵ , P. Lin ² , A. Macrander ⁹ , A. Mitchell ² , H. Olafsson ¹⁸ , A. Pacini ² , C. Payne ⁸ , B
10	Palmason ¹⁹ , M. D. Pérez-Hernández ⁹ , A. K. Peterson ³ , G. N. Petersen ¹⁹ , M. N. Pisareva ²⁰ , J. O.
11	Pope ⁵ , A. Seidl ³ , S. Semper ³ , D. Sergeev ¹ , S. Skjelsvik ³ , H. Søiland ²¹ , D. Smith ¹ , M. A. Spall ² , T.
12	Spengler ³ , A. Touzeau ³ , G. Tupper ² , Y. Weng ³ , K. D. Williams ¹¹ , X. Yang ²² , S. Zhou ¹
13	
14	¹ School of Environmental Sciences, University of East Anglia, Norwich, UK
15	² Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, Woods Hole, Massachusetts, USA
16	³ Geophysical Institute, University of Bergen and Bjerknes Centre for Climate Research, Bergen,
17	Norway
18	⁴ Department of Physics, University of Toronto, Canada
19	⁵ British Antarctic Survey, Cambridge, UK
20	⁶ NORCE Norwegian Research Centre, Bjerknes Centre for Climate Research, Bergen, Norway
21	⁷ Institute for Atmospheric and Climate Science, Dept. of Environmental System Science, ETH
22	Zurich, Switzerland
23	⁸ Department of Earth, Ocean & Atmospheric Sciences, University of British Columbia, Vancouver,
24	Canada

- ⁹Marine and Freshwater Research Institute, Reykjavik, Iceland
- ¹⁰Dept. Earth and Planetary Sciences, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, USA
- 27 ¹¹Met Office, Exeter, UK
- ¹²National Centre for Atmospheric Science, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK
- ¹³School of Earth and Environment, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK
- 30 ¹⁴University of Akureyri, Akureyri, Iceland
- ¹⁵Department of Arctic Geophysics, The University Centre in Svalbard, Longyearbyen, Norway
- ¹⁶Runde Environmental Centre, Runde, Norway
- ¹⁷Norwegian Meteorological Institute, Oslo, Norway
- ¹⁸University of Iceland, Reykjavik, Iceland
- ¹⁹Icelandic Meteorological Office, Reykjavik, Iceland
- ²⁰Shirshov Institute of Oceanology, Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia
- 37 ²¹Institute of Marine Research, Bergen, Norway
- ²²Danish Meteorological Institute, Copenhagen, Denmark
- ²³Ministry of Education Key Laboratory for Earth System Modeling, and Department of Earth
- 40 System Science, Tsinghua University, China
- 41
- 42 *Corresponding Author: Professor Ian Renfrew, Centre for Ocean and Atmospheric Sciences, School
- 43 of Environmental Sciences, University of East Anglia, Norwich Research Park, Norwich, NR4 7TJ, UK;
- 44 *i.renfrew@uea.ac.uk*
- 45
- 46 For submission to: Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society
- 47 First submission: 25 March 2019 (version 7); Revised submission 7 June 2019.
- 48 Total word count = 6548 (excluding abstract, references & sidebar)

49 Abstract

[250 words; limit 250]

The Iceland Greenland Seas Project (IGP) is a coordinated atmosphere-ocean research program 50 investigating climate processes in the source region of the densest waters of the Atlantic 51 52 Meridional Overturning Circulation. During February and March 2018, a field campaign was executed over the Iceland and southern Greenland Seas that utilized a range of observing 53 54 platforms to investigate critical processes in the region – including a research vessel, a research aircraft, moorings, sea gliders, floats and a meteorological buoy. A remarkable feature of the field 55 campaign was the highly-coordinated deployment of the observing platforms, whereby the 56 57 research vessel and aircraft tracks were planned in concert to allow simultaneous sampling of the 58 atmosphere, the ocean and their interactions. This joint planning was supported by tailor-made 59 convection-permitting weather forecasts and novel diagnostics from an ensemble prediction system. The scientific aims of the IGP are to characterize the atmospheric forcing and the ocean 60 61 response of coupled processes; in particular, cold-air outbreaks in the vicinity of the marginal-icezone and their triggering of oceanic heat loss, and the role of freshwater in the generation of 62 63 dense water masses. The campaign observed the lifecycle of a long-lasting cold-air outbreak over the Iceland Sea and the development of a cold-air outbreak over the Greenland Sea. Repeated 64 65 profiling revealed the immediate impact on the ocean, while a comprehensive hydrographic 66 survey provided a rare picture of these subpolar seas in winter. A joint atmosphere-ocean 67 approach is also being used in the analysis phase, with coupled observational analysis and coordinated numerical modelling activities underway. 68

69 Capsule

- 70 A coordinated atmosphere-ocean research project, centered on a rare wintertime field campaign
- to the Iceland and Greenland Seas, seeks to determine the location and causes of dense water
- 72 formation by cold-air outbreaks.

73 Background and motivation

The subpolar region of the North Atlantic is crucial for the global climate system. It is 74 where densification and sinking of ocean waters takes place, driven by strong air-sea buoyancy 75 76 fluxes, constituting the headwaters of the Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation (AMOC; e.g. 77 Buckley and Marshall 2015). As such, coupled atmosphere-ocean processes, on a variety of spatial 78 scales, require an integrated approach for their improved understanding and prediction. This 79 region has 'enhanced communication' between the atmosphere and ocean; wintertime atmospheric forcing strongly dictates ocean properties, thermal structure and circulation. While 80 81 during warm, moist mid-latitude air mass intrusions the air-sea fluxes are moderate and can even 82 lead to ocean warming (e.g. Moore et al. 2012; Pithan et al. 2018); intermittent cold-air outbreaks 83 (CAOs) result in large surface fluxes of heat and moisture that make the surface waters colder, saltier and denser. This drives convective overturning that contributes to the lower limb of the 84 85 AMOC. These subpolar seas are therefore a 'mixing pot' for the water-masses of the North Atlantic. Previous studies suggest that the dominant contribution to the AMOC and its variability 86 87 comes from the subpolar seas to the east of Greenland (Spall and Pickart, 2007; Holte and Straneo, 2017; Lozier et al. 2019). *However, exactly where, when and how the water-mass* 88 89 transformations occur remain unclear.

The dense water formed in the Nordic Seas (collectively the Norwegian, Greenland, and lceland Seas) enters the North Atlantic through gaps in the submarine ridge between Greenland and Scotland (Østerhus et al. 2019). The largest amount of water flows through Denmark Strait. Debate about where the Denmark Strait Overflow Water (DSOW) originates from has been ongoing for decades. Originally the Iceland Sea and/or the Greenland Sea was thought to be the source of the dense water via open-ocean convection to intermediate depths (e.g. Swift and Aagaard 1981; Strass et al. 1993). However, subsequently it was argued that the light-to-dense

97 transformation takes place in the boundary current system encircling the Nordic Seas. In particular, the warm, salty water in the northward-flowing Norwegian Atlantic Current is made 98 99 colder and fresher, and this dense water then returns southward in the East Greenland Current 100 ultimately exiting through Denmark Strait (Mauritzen, 1996; see Figure 1). While this 'rim current' 101 overturning loop is now well established, a current carrying dense overflow water towards 102 Denmark Strait was subsequently discovered along the northern Iceland slope (Jónsson and Valdimarsson 2004). This has been dubbed the North Icelandic Jet (NIJ), and it provides the 103 104 densest third of the DSOW (Harden et al., 2016). However, the process by which the NIJ is formed, and the source of the dense water it advects, remain unknown. It has been argued that the dense 105 106 water is formed in the Iceland Sea or southern Greenland Sea as part of an interior overturning 107 loop (Våge et al., 2011; Våge et al. 2015), but this remains a hypothesis. In terms of physical 108 oceanography and meteorology, this region is arguably the least well-studied of the North Atlantic's subpolar seas. 109

The broad-scale climate of the Iceland Sea region is dominated by the climatological 110 111 Icelandic Low – the northern centre-of-action of the North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO). When this climatological low is deep (NAO+), extratropical cyclones bring relatively warm maritime air from 112 113 the south and east over the Iceland Sea. When it is shallow (NAO-) other synoptic-scale weather 114 regimes dominate, e.g. a deep Lofoten Low can bring cold polar air from the north over the 115 Greenland and Iceland Seas (e.g. Jahnke-Bornemann and Brümmer 2009), while a northeasterly displaced Icelandic Low can force barrier winds off Eastern Greenland over the Iceland Sea (e.g. 116 Harden et al. 2011). The interplay between the NAO and other climate modes – such as the East 117 118 Atlantic and Scandinavian patterns – has a profound impact on the atmospheric circulation of the subpolar North Atlantic and the associated forcing of the ocean (e.g. Cassou et al. 2004). 119 120 Compared to the rest of the subpolar North Atlantic, the wintertime surface turbulent heat fluxes 121 over the Iceland Sea have a local minimum (Moore et al. 2012). This is the result of a balance 122 between low heat-flux events (warm air from the south), and high heat-flux events (CAOs from the north). Harden et al. (2015) illustrate this synoptically-driven episodic nature using rare 123 124 meteorological buoy observations from the central Iceland Sea. They show that CAOs with surface turbulent heat fluxes of ~200 W m⁻² typically last 2-4 days and occur every 1-2 weeks. It is these 125 126 CAOs that are responsible for the majority of the high heat-flux events in the western Nordic Seas, with the amount of oceanic heat loss governed by air-mass pathways, location, surface conditions 127 128 and the meteorological environment (e.g. Papritz and Spengler 2017; Brümmer 1997).

129 Although the broad-scale atmosphere-ocean coupling is dictated by synoptic-scale 130 variability, there are a myriad of mesoscale weather features – including orographic jets, ice-edge 131 jets, Arctic fronts and polar mesoscale cyclones - that are much more challenging to characterize, simulate and predict (e.g. Vihma et al. 2014). These mesoscale features can have a significant 132 impact on the ocean; for example, increasing the mixed-layer depth in the subpolar North Atlantic 133 and the amount of DSOW transported south when accounted for in ocean models (Condron and 134 135 Renfrew 2013; Jung et al. 2014). This highlights the requirement of resolving the atmospheric forcing on both synoptic- and meso-scales. Current numerical weather prediction (NWP) models, 136 137 and some high-resolution climate simulations, can potentially provide accurate atmospheric 138 forcing, but there are a variety of concerns about their quality. For example, air-sea-ice 139 interactions over sea-ice – particularly over the marginal-ice-zone (MIZ) – are difficult to observe and are often crudely represented in models. Biases in surface fluxes over the MIZ can be 140 substantial and extend hundreds of kilometres downstream (e.g. Bourassa et al. 2013). Such 141 142 biases are caused by poor representation of surface exchange (for example, unrepresentative drag coefficients - see Elvidge et al. 2016) or inadequate atmospheric boundary-layer 143 144 parameterizations (e.g. Renfrew et al. 2009; Boutle et al. 2014; de Roode et al. 2019).

Consequently, even though the broad-scale meteorology can be reasonably well simulated, the associated air-sea interaction can be difficult to capture accurately, particularly during CAOs over the MIZ.

148 The Iceland and Greenland Seas are also experiencing profound changes related to anthropogenic climate change. The dramatic retreat of summer sea ice over the high Arctic is well 149 150 known and its causes and impacts are active areas of research. By contrast, relatively little attention has been paid to the equally dramatic retreat of winter sea ice: a 10% per decade 151 decline in extent for a region encompassing the Greenland, Iceland and Irminger Seas (Parkinson 152 153 and Cavalieri 2008). Moore et al. (2015) show that this wintertime retreat is influencing the 154 climatological pattern of surface heat fluxes over these seas, leading to a significant negative trend 155 in heat fluxes over both the central Iceland and Greenland Seas. This in turn implies a change in the properties and volume of dense water created in these locations. The retreat can also lead to 156 157 water mass transformation in areas along the Greenland continental slope that were previously insulated from the atmosphere underneath sea ice, perhaps even directly into the East Greenland 158 159 Current (Våge et al., 2018). It is argued that changes in water-mass modification appear to be one 160 of the contributing factors to an exceptional slowdown in the overturning of the AMOC in recent 161 years (Ramstorf et al. 2015; Caesar et al. 2018), although there is no evidence that the dense 162 water overflowing from the Nordic Seas has weakened (Østerhus et al. 2019). This is broadly 163 consistent with Sévellec et al. (2017) who argue that changes in surface fluxes in the subpolar North Atlantic have the greatest impact on the AMOC over decadal timescales, while changes in 164 the Nordic Seas and Arctic Ocean have the greatest impact over multi-decadal timescales, driven 165 166 by a reduced sea-ice pack. Additional processes, such as increased run-off from the glacial melt of Greenland (Böning et al. 2016) or changes in the characteristics of the Atlantic-water entering the 167 168 Nordic Seas region (Glessmer et al. 2014), are also likely to be critical. In short, profound changes

in the way the atmosphere and ocean interact in this region are underway, yet we do not

170 understand their consequences largely because we don't know how the present system works.

The Iceland Greenland Seas Project (IGP) has been developed in response to some of these uncertainties in the North Atlantic climate system. It focuses on the atmosphere-ocean coupling, air-sea-ice interaction, and the resulting impacts on the atmospheric and oceanic characteristics and circulation. The overarching hypothesis for the IGP is:

175 Wintertime convection in the northwest Iceland Sea and southwest Greenland Sea, forced 176 by intermittent cold-air outbreaks, forms the densest component of the AMOC.

The IGP is endorsed by the World Meteorological Organisation's decade-long *Polar Prediction Project* with a focus on the *Year of Polar Prediction (YOPP)* from 2017-2019 (Jung et al. 2016; see https://www.polarprediction.net). Our project contributes towards the over-arching YOPP aims by providing observations and insights on processes that are necessary to improve environmental forecasts from days to seasons, which are presently far less skilful for the polar regions compared to mid-latitudes.

A novelty of the IGP has been to develop and execute our research entirely within a 183 184 coupled atmosphere-ocean framework. This coupled framework has guided: the development of our scientific hypothesis and objectives; our securing of funding from different international 185 agencies; our field campaign planning and execution; and our observational analysis and numerical 186 187 modelling experiments. At times this has been testing! Wintertime field work in the subpolar seas brings a host of challenges; and coordinating a research vessel and research aircraft added 188 another. But our approach has brought many benefits too, including a deeper understanding of 189 190 the coupled system. Indeed, it is envisioned that our joint observational data sets will lead to a 191 number of important steps forward – as we preview in the remainder of this article.

192 The wintertime cruise

In February-March 2018, we carried out a 43-day cruise on the NATO research vessel 193 Alliance consisting of two legs in the northwest Iceland Sea and southwest Greenland Sea. Our 194 195 main objectives were to: (1) document the ventilation of dense water in the region; (2) 196 characterize the ocean's and atmosphere's response to CAOs downwind of the ice edge; (3) 197 determine the exchange of newly-ventilated dense water between the Greenland and Iceland Seas; (4) elucidate the dynamics and timescales that link the ventilation process, the circulation 198 and mixing of the newly-formed water, and the manner in which the dense water feeds the NIJ; 199 and (5) continuously characterize the structure of the atmospheric boundary layer (ABL). 200

201 Our shipboard oceanographic instrumentation included: a conductivity-temperature-depth 202 (CTD) system attached to a rosette with 12 5-L Niskin bottles for sampling salinity, dissolved 203 oxygen, nutrients, the transient tracers CFC-12 and SF₆, and the stable water isotopologues H₂¹⁸O and HDO. We used expendable CTDs (XCTDs) and bathythermographs (XBTs) in inclement weather 204 205 and to increase the spatial resolution. We made velocity measurements using two hull-mounted 206 acoustic Doppler current profilers (a 150 KHz unit and a 75 KHz unit), and sampled sea surface conditions continuously via an underway CTD. A summary is given in Table 1. The Alliance's "Inside 207 208 CTD" was deployed - hands free - from a small, heated hanger on the starboard side of the ship; this was essential due to the sub-freezing air temperatures and high sea state experienced. It 209 allowed us to carry out CTD casts in sustained 30-35 knot winds. 210

The *Alliance* departed Reykjavik, Iceland on 6 February for Leg I of the cruise, which focused on the northwest Iceland Sea (**Fig. 2**). This Leg can be characterized as the "section phase" of the cruise: we carried out six transects with the CTD package, or with XCTDs if the sea state or timing demanded. Most of the CTD casts reached the bottom, the exception being in the Iceland

Sea gyre. Three of the sections extended into the East Greenland Current. Leg I operations ended
on 21 February in Ísafjörður, Iceland.

Leg II began on 26 February 2018 and can be characterized as the "survey phase" of the 217 218 cruise, with the sampling closely coordinated with the research aircraft. Shortly after leaving port a 219 CAO developed in the Iceland Sea, and over the next week we worked in concert with the aircraft 220 to sample the different stages of this event. After a pre-CAO XCTD survey, we began repeat occupations of two triangles in the northwest Iceland Sea (see Fig. 2b) to document the water 221 column response to the enhanced surface heat fluxes. One aim was to calculate both ocean and 222 223 atmospheric heat budgets in order to better quantify the coupled evolution of this event. We also 224 began occupying a "timeseries station", which we visited seven times over the cruise. During the 225 last phase of Leg II we steamed to the southwest Greenland Sea and occupied sections 7-10, including an excursion into the central part of the Greenland Sea gyre (Fig. 2a). By this point the 226 227 ship had become more comfortable working in the MIZ, and, consequently, we sampled well into 228 the East Greenland Current on these sections. During our steam back south, a final CTD transect 229 (the so-called Látrabjarg Line; section 12 on Fig. 2a) was occupied to capture the structure of the overflow water passing through Denmark Strait. The cruise ended on 22 March when the Alliance 230 231 docked in Reykjavik.

We designed the atmospheric observing programme on the *Alliance* cruise to focus on the thermodynamic structure of the ABL – see **Table 1** for a summary of instrumentation. During the 43 days at sea we released 100 radiosondes, with all sounding data uploaded to the GTS (Global Telecommunication System) and so available for operational forecasting. Our strategy was to release one sounding a day by default and more frequent soundings (up to 3-hourly) during periods of 'interesting' weather or in coordination with research aircraft flights. The radiosonde observations covered the Iceland and Greenland Seas region, filling a gap in the operational 239 observing network (Figure 3). To provide a continuous characterization of the ABL we deployed a 240 HatPro radiometer (e.g. Tjernström et al. 2019) sitting on a motion-correction platform (following Achtert et al. 2016) and a Windcube Doppler lidar which has an inbuilt motion-correction 241 242 algorithm (e.g. see Kumer et al. 2016). The profiling instruments were configured to focus on the 243 ABL and record profiles approximately every 10 minutes. The radiometer, its motion-correction platform and the wind lidar all generally performed well, yielding near-continuous data sets. We 244 also deployed an MRR2, Metek GmbH vertically-pointing rain radar. All of this instrumentation 245 246 was located on the boat deck (one level up from the fantail). In addition, we had standard meteorological observations ~15 m above sea level on the bow mast. Unfortunately, a new 247 248 anemometer that was installed prior to the cruise did not function properly and hence the wind 249 data are of lower quality for Leg I of the cruise; the anemometer was replaced for Leg II.

250 Figure 4 shows a time series of wind speed from the *Alliance* with measurements from the ship's bow-mast anemometer, the wind lidar and from radiosonde profiles. The period illustrated, 251 from 28 February to 2 March 2018, shows the dramatic increase in wind speed associated with the 252 253 start of a long-lived CAO. Winds increased from 2 to 20 m s⁻¹ in less than 12 hours. The various 254 wind speed measurements generally match and show the expected increase of wind speed with 255 altitude. The exceptions are some 50-m radiosonde measurements, which appear to under-record 256 just after release (the balloons were sometimes caught in turbulence around the ship), and a period when the ship's anemometer was sheltered by the ship's superstructure. This long-lived 257 258 CAO was comprehensively sampled during the campaign and is illustrated throughout this article. 259 Water vapor isotopes can provide information about the evaporative conditions at the ocean surface and thus pinpoint the origin of water vapor in air parcels. We sampled the isotope 260 261 composition of water vapor continuously during Leg II of the cruise using a Picarro L2140i with a 262 heated inlet system. In addition, we performed isotope analysis of precipitation samples, of water column samples from the CTD rosette and on 10 of the research flights. A precipitation sampling 263

program along transects near Akureyri, in northern Iceland, further supplemented the IGP water
isotope sampling and will provide unique insight into the water turnover; in particular, the
evaporation sources of a CAO's water cycle (Papritz and Sodemann 2018). The water isotope
measurements provide key information on mass fluxes in the coupled ocean-atmosphere system,
which we will use to validate the water cycle in isotope-enabled weather prediction and climate
models (e.g. following Sodemann et al., 2017).

Science operations on the Alliance were carried out 24 hours a day. Each afternoon at 1245 270 271 we held a science briefing to discuss upcoming plans, address any problems, and review the data being collected to help guide our sampling strategies. In total we occupied 189 CTD stations (152 272 273 of them with chemical sampling, 29 with water isotopes), 120 XCTDs, and 144 XBTs. This resulted 274 in 453 profiles of the ocean mixed layer. We released 100 radiosondes and obtained near-275 continuous temperature and wind profiles of the atmospheric boundary layer. In short, we collected a wealth of data during a harsh wintertime period where there is a dearth of historical 276 277 measurements.

Figure 5 illustrates the coupled sampling of the atmosphere and ocean that we managed 278 279 from the Alliance, showing cross-sections of the atmosphere and ocean across the East Greenland 280 continental slope (see Figs. 2b, 3b for location), on the first day of the CAO. It shows a moderately 281 cold well-mixed ABL, with a near-constant potential temperature (θ) and a height of ~800 m 282 delineated by the strong vertical θ gradient. Winds increase from west to east from about 8 to 14 m s⁻¹ and are from the N to NNW, so approximately perpendicular to the cross-section. The 283 specific humidity is relatively high within the ABL, with a slight increase to the east where the 284 285 relative humidity reaches 100% at the top of the ABL. This is consistent with the shallow convective clouds seen in satellite images from this day (e.g. Fig. 7). The underlying ocean is 286 287 significantly warmer than the ABL, and hence is losing heat and moisture via surface sensible and

latent heat fluxes. The location of the MIZ is marked in the figure and is evident from the lower
potential temperatures of the air and ocean, and the fresher surface layer of the ocean. The
isopycnals indicate some mixed-layers of near-constant density, but these are relatively shallow
(~100 m) so do not suggest much dense water mass formation at this time.

292

293 The wintertime aircraft campaign

294 The main platform for our atmospheric measurement program was the British Antarctic Survey's instrumented DH6 Twin Otter research aircraft. This is a relatively small aircraft, with an 295 296 operations team of just a few people, making it cost effective and flexible with regard to operations and airports. It was fitted with an internal fuel tank that gave it an extended range to 297 298 nearly 800 nm (or 6 hours). The instrumentation is summarized in Table 1 and described in more 299 detail in, e.g., King et al. (2008) and Fiedler et al. (2010). We had 70 flight hours for the aircraft 300 campaign and flew 14 science missions, mostly over the Iceland Sea and the MIZ off southeast 301 Greenland (see Fig. 6). We were based out of Akureyri Iceland, but also refuelled three times at Constable Point (Nerlerit Inaat) Greenland, enabling us to fly two missions on those days. 302

303 The primary science objective for the meteorological campaign was to characterize the structure and development of CAOs - focusing on surface fluxes and the ABL - especially over and 304 downstream of sea ice. By combining the aircraft and Alliance-based observations, we aimed for a 305 306 unique and comprehensive sampling of the marine ABL during CAOs. Two secondary science objectives were to characterize the ABL structure of orographic flows and to quantify variations in 307 water vapor isotopes in the lower troposphere. Table 2 provides a summary of the meteorological 308 309 field campaign, listing all the research flights as well as key periods of radiosonde releases from 310 the Alliance; it is color-coded by science objective. The Twin Otter is ideally suited for measuring 311 the turbulent and thermodynamic structure of the ABL. Missions were planned to focus on

straight and level legs in the surface layer (typically 20-50 m above the sea surface), or in the ABL
(between 50-1500 m), or via 'sawtooth' legs ascending or descending through the depth of the
ABL.

315 We illustrate a typical mission (flight 294) in Figure 7 showing a map of aircraft altitude overlaid on a visible satellite image. During this flight we sampled the structure of the ABL via a 316 317 sawtooth cross-section of four profiles and two stacks of straight and level legs at three heights that were immediately upstream and downstream of the Alliance. Figure 8 shows a cross-section 318 319 of potential temperature (θ), relative humidity w.r.t. ice (RH_i) and turbulent sensible heat flux based on the eddy covariance technique (e.g. Petersen and Renfrew 2009). It shows a more 320 321 detailed snapshot of the cross-section illustrated in Fig. 5. There is a cold surface layer (< 100 m deep) overlying the MIZ, embedded within a near-neutral ABL of about 800 m depth. RH_i shows an 322 323 increase in moisture content to the east, consistent with the development of a shallow cumulus 324 cloud deck, as apparent from satellite images at the time of the flight (e.g. Fig. 7). Turbulent heat 325 flux observations are surprisingly close to zero throughout most of the ABL and over the MIZ, only reaching 10-20 W m⁻² in places in the surface-layer leg over the MIZ. They are higher, up to 80 W 326 327 m⁻², in the surface layer and around cloud level off the ice-edge where there is also a systematic 328 increase in the wind stress and TKE (not shown). These sorts of observations of the turbulent 329 structure of CAOs will be of great value in the evaluation of models and bulk flux algorithms.

Overall the aircraft campaign was highly successful. We coordinated research flights in the vicinity of the ship on three separate days (shaded in Table 2) during the development and evolution of the long-lived CAO over the Iceland Sea. This enabled the first simultaneous and coordinated water vapor isotope measurements from aircraft and ship. We have over 500 minutes of observations from the atmospheric surface layer – over 400 minutes during CAO conditions and over 200 minutes over sea ice – providing nearly 200 estimates of turbulent surface exchange. In addition, the ABL was thoroughly sampled with over 300 minutes of straight and level flying and
10 long (and 13 short) ABL sawtooth cross-sections.

338

339 Climate conditions during winter 2017-2018

In order to properly interpret our observations, it is important that we place our winter field 340 campaign period into climatological context. Our region of interest is characterized by wintertime 341 sea ice that has been retreating since the turn of the 20th century, if not longer (Parkinson and 342 343 Cavalieri 2008; Moore et al., 2015). Figure 9 shows the mean sea ice concentration in the region 344 during January-March 2018, as well as the climatological mean concentration for 1979-2018 (data from Peng et al. 2013). The loss of sea ice in the region reflects a reduction in the width of the MIZ, 345 346 from ~230 km during the 1980s to ~110 km during the 2010s. Also notable is the loss of a tongue of 347 sea ice known as the Odden Ice Tongue (Germe et al., 2011) that used to extend eastwards over the 348 Greenland Sea. Included in Figure 9 is a time series of winter-mean open water area for the region. 349 There is a 40-year trend of increasing open water area (38,000 km²/decade) as well as pronounced inter-annual variability that reduced dramatically around 2000, associated with the loss of the 350 351 Odden Ice Tongue (Rogers & Hung, 2008). As discussed by Moore et al (2015) and Våge et al (2018), this sea-ice retreat has profound implications for the intensity of ocean convection in the Iceland 352 353 and Greenland Seas.

Atmospheric conditions during the field phase of the experiment were influenced by the occurrence of a Sudden Stratospheric Warming (SSW) as well as a transition from NAO positive to NAO negative conditions. A SSW Index (Charlton & Polvani, 2007) indicates the SSW occurred on 8 February 2018 (the transition to negative values); while an NAO Index (Barnston & Livezey, 1987) indicates a transition on 26 February 2018 (**Figure 10**). These two events are related (Moore et al. 2018), in that NAO negative conditions typically occur as part of a delayed tropospheric response to a SSW (Baldwin and Dunkerton, 2001; Kolstad et al., 2010). A sea-level pressure (SLP) time series – from ECMWF Interim Reanalysis data (ERA-I; Dee et al. 2011) averaged over the oceanic area of interest shown in Fig. 9 – illustrates these two drivers (Fig. 10c). In particular, there was anomalously high SLP (in excess of 2 standard deviations (σ) above the mean) throughout the region in late February and early March. This was likely the transient response to the SSW that led to high pressures and cold temperatures over northern Europe (Moore et al., 2018). It was also coincident with a sharp transition to NAO negative conditions.

The 10m wind speeds over the study region were on average close to the climatological 367 mean, although there was significant variability (Fig. 10d). In contrast, the ERA-I near-surface air 368 temperatures were anomalously warm throughout the period of interest, with mean values 1σ 369 370 above the climatological mean (exceeding 2σ above the mean during the SSW, Fig. 10e). This period 371 of extreme warmth was associated with a strong meridional pressure gradient that resulted in above 372 freezing conditions in north Greenland (Moore et al., 2018). The end of the SSW and the transition 373 to NAO negative conditions resulted in a dramatic drop in air temperatures around 1 March 2018; this was the start of the long-lived CAO over the Iceland Sea sampled in detail during the IGP (see 374 375 Table 2 and Figs. 4, 5, 7, 8). Forecast charts showing the early stages of this CAO and its likelihood 376 of occurrence are discussed below. The CAO lasted more than 10 days, but did not bring a particularly cold air mass over the region – temperatures stayed typically around -5°C, just above 377 378 the long-term mean. Associated with the CAO were elevated surface turbulent heat fluxes, peaking 379 at 200 W m⁻² (Fig. 10f). This is in contrast with the below-average heat fluxes of the first half of the IGP period, which were especially low during the SSW. We note that a second, stronger CAO 380 381 occurred over the Greenland Sea towards the end of the IGP period, starting on 16 March (Table 2). This event, however, is not very clear in Fig. 10 because of the large averaging area. 382

384 Longer term observations

385 Gliders

We had planned on carrying out a comprehensive survey of the Iceland and Greenland 386 Seas using autonomous ocean gliders for the duration of winter 2017-18. The gliders were 387 upgraded with ice avoidance software to operate more safely in the MIZ (e.g. Curry et al., 2013). 388 However, a series of sensor failures, pump failures and communication problems limited the glider 389 390 measurement program to a few weeks in early January in the Greenland Sea, and to mid-February 391 to mid-April in the Iceland Sea. The latter glider operated primarily between the ice edge and the 392 location of the subsurface mooring and meteorological buoy in the Eggvin Offset (Fig. 2), a deep passage between the West Jan Mayen Ridge and the Kolbeinsey Ridge (see the mooring discussion 393 394 below). The transect was the same as that previously occupied by a glider in winter 2015-16 (Våge 395 et al, 2018).

396 A comparison between the February 2016 transect, which did not extend very close to the 397 ice edge, and the IGP glider transects from March and April 2018, which nearly reached the East Greenland Current, demonstrate that the ocean mixed layer during the 2017-18 winter was 398 399 substantially shallower, warmer, and less dense than in winter 2015-16 (Fig. 11). Despite this, the 400 Atlantic-origin water (density>27.8 kg m⁻³ and T >0°C) that was being transported toward Denmark 401 Strait by the East Greenland Current was ventilated by the end of the weaker 2017-18 (IGP) winter - as evident in the bottom panel of Fig. 11. This implies that transformation of this water mass in 402 403 the Iceland Sea is not dependent on severe winter conditions and may occur regularly when the 404 East Greenland Current is ice free (Våge et al., 2018).

405 Unfortunately, our attempts during the *Alliance* cruise to directly quantify the turbulent 406 mixing rates associated with water mass transformation via glider-based microstructure 407 measurements were unsuccessful due to glider malfunctions. As such, we will attempt to infer 408 transformation rates using indirect mixing rate estimates from the glider data collected;

specifically by using our fine-scale vertical velocity and density measurements to infer dissipation
via the large-eddy method (Beaird et al, 2012), and using our fine-scale density measurements to
infer dissipation from a strain-based parameterization (e.g. Shaun Johnston and Rudnick 2015).

412 Moorings

We deployed subsurface ocean moorings at two locations during the IGP from summer 413 414 2016 to summer 2018. These deployments relied on a number of additional research cruises or 415 additional time on monitoring cruises. Firstly, an array of four moorings was deployed across the 416 NIJ north of Iceland (see Fig. 2a for location). The moorings were placed on the Slétta repeat hydrographic transect near 16°W that is occupied four times a year by the Icelandic Marine and 417 418 Freshwater Research Institute. This represents the first mooring array deployed across the current 419 to the east of the Kolbeinsey Ridge, where previously there have been only snapshots from shipboard hydrographic/velocity surveys (Våge et al., 2011; Semper et al. 2019). These continuous, 420 421 long-term measurements will shed light on the magnitude and properties of the NIJ only a short 422 distance downstream of where it is thought to originate. They will also provide a contrast to the 423 previous moored measurements of the NIJ from the Kögur line to the west of the Kolbeinsey Ridge 424 (Harden et al. 2016).

Secondly, a single subsurface mooring was deployed in the Eggvin Offset on the northern end of the Kolbeinsey Ridge (near 70°N, 16°W; see Fig. 2b) – in the northwest part of the Iceland Sea where the deepest mixed layers were expected to be found (Våge et al., 2015). We chose this location to be in ice-free waters through winter, but sufficiently close to the ice edge so that it would be subject to high ocean-atmosphere heat fluxes during intense CAOs. The mooring was equipped with a combination of point hydrographic instruments and temperature loggers sampling at high frequency (see Table 1). The vertical resolution was 25 m in the upper 300 m of the water column, then every 50 m down to 800 m in order to monitor the wintertime evolution
of the mixed layer. Profiling current meters covered most of the water column above 700 m.
Preliminary analysis indicates that the ocean mixed layer was deeper, colder, and denser in winter
2016-17 relative to the 2017-18 winter (Fig. 12). But even during the weaker IGP winter there
were mixed-layers up to 200 m deep and colder than 0.3°C by the end of the convective season.

437 Meteorological buoy

During the first part of the *Alliance* cruise a Seawatch Wavescan meteorological buoy was deployed adjacent to the subsurface mooring in the Eggvin Offset in the northwest Iceland Sea. The buoy was configured to record standard meteorological variables, sea surface temperature (SST) and surface ocean currents every hour (see Table 1). The buoy worked well for 2.5 months, until it broke loose from its anchor and stopped recording on 6 May 2018. It was recovered soon after.

444

445 **Forecasting and Coordinating Activities**

To inform day-to-day operations and plan research flights, we made use of several bespoke 446 weather forecasts during the campaign period. The UK Met Office ran a limited area 48 h forecast 447 using their operational MetUM model for the Iceland Sea region in support of the IGP; while the 448 449 Icelandic Met Office (IMO) and their partners at the Danish Meteorological Institute (DMI) gave us 450 access to a trial HARMONIE-AROME 48-66 h forecast that encompassed the same region. Both 451 models were convection-permitting – with horizontal grid sizes of 2.2 and 2.5 km, respectively. 452 The Met Office forecasts were initialized twice daily from their global operational system, while the DMI-IMO forecasts were run with 3-hourly 3DVAR data assimilation. We had access to a 453 comprehensive set of charts from both these forecasts and the respective global operational 454

455 forecasts. The Met Office forecasts included specialized diagnostics which were important for flight planning, such as maps of cloud base height and surface sensible heat flux as well as cross-456 sections of potential temperature and cloud liquid water. We also converted all the charts into 457 458 geo-referenced files (tiff and kmz formats) to allow import into flight-planning tools. Figure 13 459 shows 36-h forecast charts for 12 UTC 1 March 2018, the same day highlighted in Figs. 4, 5, 7 & 8. Indeed, a comparison against Fig. 7 illustrates the overall high quality of the forecast cloud field: 460 the two forecasts are very similar, showing the meridional orientation of the isobars and northerly 461 462 winds associated with the early stages of the CAO. In the Iceland Sea, the 10-m winds increase from around 4 to 12 m s⁻¹ in the MetUM forecast and from around 6 to 14 m s⁻¹ in the HARMONIE-463 464 AROME forecast – broadly consistent with the observed winds (Figs. 4, 5). To the south of Iceland 465 there is a coherent band of precipitation at the leading edge of the CAO that is similar in location 466 and magnitude in both forecasts. Notably, there are convective snow showers behind this rain 467 band, to the SE of Iceland, that are not forecast in the global models (not shown). To inform medium-term field operations and coordination between the Alliance team and 468 the aircraft team, we developed a probability-based forecast for our primary meteorological 469 470 science target: cold air outbreaks. We used the 50 members of the ECMWF ensemble prediction 471 system to estimate the likelihood of a CAO up to 10 days ahead, based on a well-established CAO 472 index ($\Delta \theta = \theta_{surface} - \theta_{850hPa}$); see Papritz and Spengler (2017). A positive CAO index indicates an atmosphere that is colder than the ocean and so is characterized by upward surface sensible heat 473 fluxes. Figure 14 shows the probability of a CAO 4.5 and 5.5 days ahead, as well as the ensemble-474 mean CAO strength and the associated surface sensible heat flux (we could also examine 475 individual ensemble members). Figure 14 indicates a >90% probability of a CAO over the northern 476 477 Greenland Sea and ~30% probability of a CAO over the eastern Iceland Sea on 1 March 2018, with the likelihood of a CAO clearly increasing and extending over the entire Iceland Sea for the next 478 479 day. This sort of lead time enabled us to coordinate our observing program; e.g. guiding both the

ship and aircraft planning to capture the onset and development of this CAO (see Table 2). As the
forecast lead time reduced, the probability of this CAO occurring over the Iceland Sea steadily
increased, giving us further confidence in our planned operations. The forecast was for relatively
mild conditions, with typical surface sensible heat fluxes of around 100 W m⁻² (Fig. 14), broadly
consistent with the short-range forecasts available closer to the event.

Coordination between the Alliance and the aircraft teams – and ship operations in general 485 - were greatly aided by access to a subset of these forecast charts on the winter cruise. Due to the 486 487 limited bandwidth at these latitudes, we transferred a selection of key charts, including m.s.l. 488 pressure, near-surface winds and ocean wave heights. We supplemented the charts with a short 489 daily text forecast specifically for the Alliance's location, as well as a separate text forecast from 490 DMI. Sea-ice imagery was also vital for operational planning. Three products were emailed daily to 491 the ship: an ice image from the Sentinel satellite from DMI; a digital ice concentration file from 492 AMSR2; and a high-resolution Sentinel SAR (Synthetic Aperture Radar) generated by the University 493 of Toronto (e.g. **Figure 15**). The latter product included the planned sampling locations of the ship 494 for the next 24 hours. These three ice products allowed us to visualize conditions in the MIZ, 495 providing valuable context for maneuvering the ship. As a general rule we would aim to begin each 496 approach into the MIZ at first light, maximizing the number of daylight hours for station work in and near the ice. Of particular concern was the impact on ship operations of small-scale ice 497 features within the MIZ, including eddies and filaments (e.g. Manucharyan and Thompson, 2017); 498 499 a striking example is shown in Fig. 15.

We incorporated the forecast charts and sea-ice products into *Alliance's* daily operational briefings on the ship, which was invaluable for planning our science activities. We also exchanged our planned operations between the *Alliance* and the aircraft team on a daily basis. When possible, we shared detailed information for the next day and broader guidance for the following few days. This allowed more time to prepare flight missions and schedule ship activities; it also acted as insurance for when the ship lost communication (a regular occurrence when north of
70°N). The daily update from the *Alliance* always included a map of the locations of recent CTD
casts as well as predictions of forthcoming ones; while the daily update from the aircraft team
included plans for flying over the next few days. This information exchange was time-consuming
but essential for achieving the high-levels of coordination we desired; for example, coordinating a
repeat ship survey or an intensive period of radiosonde launches (c.f. Table 2).

511

512 Future plans

513 The Iceland Greenland Seas Project has obtained an unprecedented set of coordinated, detailed observations of the ocean and atmosphere during winter in the subpolar seas. Analysis of 514 515 this wealth of data is well underway. Our coordinated approach will continue throughout the analysis and numerical modelling activities (see Sidebar). It is also embedded within broader YOPP 516 517 activities; for example, making use of additional forecast products and diagnostics. Over the next few years we anticipate a number of studies addressing our project hypothesis and objectives, by 518 519 examining among other things: the anatomy of a cold-air outbreak; air-sea fluxes over the MIZ; 520 ABL development over the MIZ; the relationship between CAOs and polar lows; the origin and 521 characteristics of precipitation over the Nordic Seas; ABL turbulent fluxes downstream of 522 orography; the heat budget of a coupled ocean-atmosphere column; water mass modification in 523 the northwest Iceland Sea and southwest Greenland Sea; the impact of small-scale ocean variability and atmospheric wind and buoyancy forcing on convective overturning; the circulation 524 525 of dense water; and the ventilation/formation of the NIJ. We anticipate such a body of work will 526 lead to a transformation in our understanding of how the coupled ocean-atmosphere-ice system 527 in the Nordic Seas impacts the lower limb of the AMOC.

529 Acknowledgements

- 530 The IGP has received funding from the US National Science Foundation: grant OCE-
- 531 1558742; the UK's Natural Environment Research Council: AFIS (NE/N009754/1); the Research
- 532 Council of Norway: MOCN (231647), VENTILATE (229791), SNOWPACE (262710) and FARLAB
- 533 (245907); and the Bergen Research Foundation (BFS2016REK01). We would like to thank all those
- involved in the field work associated with the IGP, particularly the officers and crew of the
- 535 *Alliance*, and the operations staff of the aircraft campaign.

536

538 References

539	Achtert, P., I. M. Brooks, B. J. Brooks, B. I. Moat, J. Prytherch, P. O. G. Persson, and M. Tjernström.
540	2015: Measurement of wind profiles over the Arctic Ocean from ship-borne Doppler lidar.
541	Atmospheric Measurement Techniques, 8, 4993-5007, doi:10.5194/amt-8-4993-2015
542	Almansi, M., T. W. N. Haine, R. S. Pickart, M. G. Magaldi, R. Gelderloos, and D. Mastropole, 2017:
543	High-frequency variability in the circulation and hydrography of the Denmark Strait
544	overflow from a high-resolution numerical model. Journal of Physical Oceanography,
545	47 , 2999–3013. doi:10.1175/JPO-D-17-0129.1
546	Baldwin, M. P., & Dunkerton, T. J. 2001: Stratospheric harbingers of anomalous weather regimes.
547	<i>Science,</i> 294 , 581–584.
548	Barnston, A. G., & Livezey, R. E. 1987: Classification, seasonality and persistence of low-frequency
549	atmospheric circulation patterns. Monthly Weather Review, 115, 1083-1126.
550	Beaird, N., I. Fer, P. Rhines and C. Eriksen. 2012. Dissipation of Turbulent Kinetic Energy Inferred
551	from Seagliders: An Application to the Eastern Nordic Seas Overflows. J. Phys. Ocean., 42,
552	2268-2282.
553	Böning, C.W., E. Behrens, A. Biastoch, K. Getzlaff, and J.L. Bamber, 2016: Emerging impact of
554	Greenland meltwater on deepwater formation in the North Atlantic Ocean. Nature
555	Geoscience , 9 , 523-527.
556	Bourassa, M.A., S.T. Gille, C. Bitz, D. Carlson, I. Cerovecki, C. A. Clayson, M. Cronin, W.M. Drennan,
557	C.W. Fairall, R.N. Hoffman, G. Magnusdottir, R.T. Pinker, I.A. Renfrew, M. Serreze, K. Speer,
558	L.D. Talley, G.A. Wick, 2013: High-latitude ocean and sea ice surface fluxes: Challenges for

- climate research, *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, **94**, 403-423.
- 560 doi:10.1175/BAM S-D-11-00244.1

561	Boutle, I. A., J. E. J. Eyre, and A. P. Lock, 2014: Seamless stratocumulus simulation across the
562	turbulent gray zone. <i>Monthly Weather Review</i> , 142 , 1655-1668.
563	Buckley, M.W. and J. Marshall, 2016: Observations, inferences, and mechanisms of the Atlantic
564	Meridional Overturning Circulation: A review. <i>Reviews of Geophysics</i> , 54 , 5-63.
565	Brümmer, B., 1997: Boundary layer mass, water, and heat budgets in wintertime cold-air
566	outbreaks from the Arctic sea ice. Monthly Weather Review, 125, 1824-1837.
567	Caesar, L., S. Rahmstorf, A. Robinson, G. Feulner, and V. Saba, 2018: Observed fingerprint of a
568	weakening Atlantic Ocean overturning circulation, Nature, 556, 191-196.
569	Cassou, C., L. Terray, J.W. Hurrell, and C. Deser, 2004: North Atlantic winter climate regimes:
570	Spatial asymmetry, stationarity with time, and oceanic forcing. Journal of Climate, 17,
571	1055-1068.
572	Charlton, A. J., & Polvani, L. M. 2007: A new look at stratospheric sudden warmings. Part I:
573	Climatology and modeling benchmarks. Journal of Climate, 20, 449-469.
574	Condron, A. and I.A. Renfrew, 2013: The impact of polar mesoscale storms on northeast Atlantic
575	Ocean circulation, Nature Geoscience, 6, 34-37. doi:10.1038/ngeo1661
576	Curry, B., Lee, C.M., Petrie, B., Moritz, R.E. and Kwok, R., 2014: Multiyear volume, liquid
577	freshwater, and sea ice transports through Davis Strait, 2004–10. Journal of Physical
578	Oceanography, 44, 1244-1266.
579	De Roode, S.R., T. Frederikse, A. P. Siebesma, A. S. Ackerman, J. Chylik, P. R. Field, J. Fricke, M.
580	Gryschka, A. Hill, R. Honnert, and S. K. Krueger, 2019. Turbulent Transport in the Gray
581	Zone: A Large Eddy Model Intercomparison Study of the CONSTRAIN Cold Air Outbreak
582	Case. Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 11, 597-623.
583	Dee, D. P., et al. 2011: The ERA-Interim reanalysis: Configuration and performance of the data
584	assimilation system. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 137 , 553-597.

- 585 Elvidge, A.D., I.A. Renfrew, A.I. Weiss, I.M. Brooks, T.A. Lachlan-Cope, and J.C. King 2016:
- 586 Observations of surface momentum exchange over the marginal-ice-zone and
- 587 recommendations for its parameterization, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, **16**, 1545-
- 588 1563. doi:10.5194/acp-16-1545-2016
- 589 Fiedler, E., T. Lachlan-Cope, I. A. Renfrew, J. C. King, 2010: Convective heat transfer of thin-ice
- 590 covered polynyas, Journal of Geophysical Research Oceans, **115**, C10051,
- 591 doi:10.1029/2009JC005797.
- Glessmer, M.S., T. Eldevik, K. Våge, J.E.Ø. Nilsen, and E. Behrens, 2014: Atlantic origin of observed
 and modelled freshwater anomalies in the Nordic Seas. *Nature Geoscience*, 7, 801-805.
- 594 Germe, A., Houssais, M.-N., Herbaut, C., & Cassou, C. 2011: Greenland Sea sea ice variability over
- 595 1979-2007 and its link to the surface atmosphere. Journal of Geophysical Research -
- 596 *Oceans*, **116**. doi:10.1029/2011jc006960
- Harden, B. E., I. A. Renfrew and G. N. Petersen, 2011: A climatology of wintertime barrier winds off
 southeast Greenland, *Journal of Climate*, 24, 4701-4717.
- 599 Harden, B. E., I. A. Renfrew and G. N. Petersen, 2015: Meteorological buoy observations from the
- 600 central Iceland Sea, *Journal of Geophysical Research Atmospheres*, **120**,
- 601 doi:10.1002/2014JD022584
- Harden, B.E., Pickart, R.S., Valdimarsson, H., Våge, K., de Steur, L., Richards, C., Bahr, F., Torres, D.,
- 603 Børve, E., Jónsson, S. and Macrander, A., 2016: Upstream sources of the Denmark Strait
- 604 Overflow: Observations from a high-resolution mooring array. *Deep Sea Research Part I:*
- 605 *Oceanographic Research Papers*, **112**, 94-112.
- Holte, J., & Straneo, F. 2017: Seasonal overturning of the Labrador Sea as observed by Argo
 floats. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, **47**, 2531-2543.
- Jahnke-Bornemann, A. and B. Brümmer 2009: The Iceland-Lofoten pressure difference *Tellus A* **61**
- 609 466-75.

- 510 Jónsson and Valdimarsson 2004: A new path for the Denmark Strait overflow water from the
- 611 Iceland Sea to Denmark Strait. *Geophysical Research Letters*, **31**, 10.1029/2003GL019214
- Jung, T., S. Serrar, and Q. Wang, 2014: The oceanic response to mesoscale atmospheric
- 613 forcing. *Geophysical Research Letters*, **41**, 1255-1260.
- Jung, T., N. Gordon, P. Bauer, D. Bromwich, M. Chevallier, J. Day, J. Dawson, F. Doblas-Reyes, C.
- Fairall, H. Goessling, M. Holland, J. Inoue, T. Iversen, S. Klebe, P. Lemke, M. Losch, A.
- 616 Makshtas, B. Mills, P. Nurmi, D. Perovich, P. Reid, I. A. Renfrew, G. Smith, G. Svensson, M.
- Tolstykh, and Q. Yang, 2016: Advancing polar prediction capabilities on daily to seasonal
- time scales. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, **97**, 1631-1647.
- 619 doi:10.1175/BAMS-D-14-00246.1
- King, J. C., T. Lachlan-Cope, R.S. Ladkin, & A. Weiss, 2008: Airborne Measurements in the Stable
 Boundary Layer over the Larsen Ice Shelf, Antarctica. *Boundary-Layer Meteorology*, **127**,
 413–428.
- Kolstad, E. W., Breiteig, T., & Scaife, A. A. 2010: The association between stratospheric weak polar
 vortex events and cold air outbreaks in the Northern Hemisphere. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, **136**, 886-893.
- 626 Kumer, V.M., J. Reuder, M. Dorninger, R. Zauner and V. Grubišić, 2016: Turbulent kinetic energy
- estimates from profiling wind LiDAR measurements and their potential for wind energy
 applications. *Renewable Energy*, **99**, 898-910.
- Lozier, M.S., et al. 2019: A sea change in our view of overturning in the subpolar North
 Atlantic. *Science*, **363**, 516-521.
- 631 Manucharyan, G.E. and Thompson, A.F., 2017: Submesoscale sea ice-ocean interactions in
- 632 marginal ice zones. *Journal of Geophysical Research Oceans*, **122**, 9455-9475.

- 633 Massaro, G., I. Stiperski, B. Pospichal, and M.W. Rotach, 2015: Accuracy of retrieving temperature
- and humidity profiles by ground-based microwave radiometry in truly complex
- 635 terrain. *Atmospheric Measurement Techniques*, **8**, 3355-3367.
- 636 Mauritzen, C. 1996: Production of dense overflow waters feeding the N Atlantic across the
- Greenland-Scotland Ridge, Evidence for a revised circulation scheme, *Deep-Sea Research I*,
 43, 769-806.
- Moore, G.W.K., I.A. Renfrew, R.S. Pickart, 2012: Spatial distribution of air-sea heat fluxes over the
 sub-polar North Atlantic, *Geophysical Research Letters*, **39**, L18806,
- 641 doi:10.1029/2012GL053097.
- Moore, G.W.K., K. Våge, R.S. Pickart and I.A. Renfrew, 2015: Decreasing intensity of open-ocean
- 643 convection in the Greenland and Iceland seas, *Nature Climate Change*, **5**, 877-882. doi:
- 644 10.1038/nclimate2688
- 645 Moore, G. W. K., Schweiger, A., Zhang, J., & Steele, M. 2018: What Caused the Remarkable

646 February 2018 North Greenland Polynya? *Geophysical Research Letters,*

- 647 doi:10.1029/2018GL080902
- 648 Østerhus, S., Woodgate, R., Valdimarsson, H., Turrell, B., de Steur, L., Quadfasel, D., Olsen, S. M.,
- 649 Moritz, M., Lee, C. M., Larsen, K. M. H., Jónsson, S., Johnson, C., Jochumsen, K., Hansen, B.,
- 650 Curry, B., Cunningham, S., and Berx, B. 2019: Arctic Mediterranean exchanges: a consistent
- 651 volume budget and trends in transports from two decades of observations, *Ocean Sci.*, **15**,
- 652379-399.
- Papritz, L. and T. Spengler, 2017: A Lagrangian climatology of wintertime cold air outbreaks in the
- 654 Irminger and Nordic Seas and their role in shaping air–sea heat fluxes. *Journal of*
- 655 *Climate*, **30**, 2717-2737.
- Papritz, L. and H. Sodemann, 2018: Characterizing the Local and Intense Water Cycle during a Cold
- 657 Air Outbreak in the Nordic Seas. *Monthly Weather Review*, **146**, 3567-3588.

- Parkinson, C.L. and D.J. Cavalieri, 2008: Arctic sea ice variability and trends, 1979–2006. *Journal of Geophysical Research Oceans*, **113**, 1029/2007JC004558
- Peng, G., Meier, W. N., Scott, D. J., & Savoie, M. H. 2013: A long-term and reproducible passive
 microwave sea ice concentration data record for climate studies and monitoring. *Earth System Science Data*, 5, 311-318. doi:10.5194/essd-5-311-2013
- 663 Petersen, G.N. and I.A. Renfrew, 2009: Aircraft-based observations of air-sea fluxes over Denmark
- 664 Strait and the Irminger Sea during high wind speed conditions, *Quarterly Journal of the* 665 *Royal Meteorological Society*, **135**, 2030-2045.
- 666 Pithan, F., G. Svensson, R. Caballero, D. Chechin, T.W. Cronin, A.M. Ekman, R. Neggers, M.D.
- 667 Shupe, A. Solomon, M. Tjernström, and M. Wendisch, 2018: Role of air-mass
- transformations in exchange between the Arctic and mid-latitudes. *Nature Geoscience*, **11**,
 805-812.
- 670 Rahmstorf, S., J.E. Box, G. Feulner, M.E. Mann, A. Robinson, S. Rutherford, and E.J. Schaffernicht,
- 671 2015: Exceptional twentieth-century slowdown in Atlantic Ocean overturning
- 672 circulation. *Nature Climate Change*, **5**, 475-480.
- 673 Renfrew, I.A., G.N. Petersen, D.A.J. Sproson, G.W.K. Moore, H. Adiwidjaja, S. Zhang, and R. North,
- 674 2009: A comparison of aircraft-based surface-layer observations over Denmark Strait and
- the Irminger Sea with meteorological analyses and QuikSCAT winds, *Quarterly Journal of*
- 676 *the Royal Meteorological Society,* **135**, 2046-2066.
- Rogers, J. C., & Hung, M.-P. 2008: The Odden ice feature of the Greenland Sea and its association
- 678 with atmospheric pressure, wind, and surface flux variability from reanalyses. *Geophysical*
- 679 *Research Letters,* **35**. doi:10.1029/2007GL032938
- 680 Semper, S., K. Våge, R. S. Pickart, H. Valdimarsson, D. J. Torres, and S. Jónsson 2019: The
- 681 emergence of the North Icelandic Jet and its evolution from northeast Iceland to Denmark
- 682 Strait, *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, submitted.

- Sévellec, F., A.V. Fedorov, and W. Liu, 2017: Arctic sea-ice decline weakens the Atlantic Meridional
 Overturning Circulation. *Nature Climate Change*, 7, 604-610.
- Shaun Johnston T. M. and D. L. Rudnick. 2015. Trapped diurnal internal tides, propagating
 semidiurnal internal tides, and mixing estimates in the California Current System from
- sustained glider observations, 2006–2012. *Deep-Sea Research II*, **112**, 61–78.
- 688 Sodemann, H., F. Aemisegger, S. Pfahl, M. Bitter, U. Corsmeier, T. Feuerle, P. Graf, R. Hankers, G.
- 689 Hsiao, H. Schulz, A. Wieser, and H. Wernli, 2017: The stable isotope composition of water
- 690 vapour above Corsica during the HyMeX SOP1: insight into vertical mixing processes from
- 691 lower-tropospheric survey flights, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, **17**, 6125-
- 692 6151, doi:10.5194/acp-17-6125-2017.
- Pickart, R. S., & Spall, M. A. 2007: Impact of Labrador Sea convection on the North Atlantic
 meridional overturning circulation. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, **37**, 2207-2227.
- Strass, V. H., E. Fahrbach, U. Schauer, and L. Sellmann 1993: Formation of Denmark Strait Overflow
 Water by mixing in the East Greenland Current, *Journal of Geophysical Research-*
- 697 *Oceans*, *98*(C4), 6907-6919.
- Swift, J.H. and K. Aagaard, 1981: Seasonal transitions and water mass formation in the Iceland and
 Greenland seas. *Deep Sea Research Part A. Oceanographic Research Papers*, 28, 1107-
- 700 1129.
- 701 Tjernström, M., M. D. Shupe, I. M. Brooks, P. Achtert, J. Prytherch, J. Sedlar, 2018: Arctic summer
- air-mass transformation, surface inversions and the surface energy budget, *Journal of Climate*, in press, doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-18-0216.1
- Våge, K., R.S. Pickart, M.A. Spall, H. Valdimarsson, S. Jónsson, D.J. Torres, S. Østerhus, and T.
- 705 Eldevik, 2011: Significant role of the North Icelandic Jet in the formation of Denmark Strait
- 706 overflow water. *Nature Geoscience*, **4**, 723-727.

- 707 Våge, K., R.S. Pickart, M.A. Spall, G.W.K. Moore, H. Valdimarsson, D.J. Torres, S.Y. Erofeeva, and
- J.E.Ø. Nilsen, 2013: Revised circulation scheme north of the Denmark Strait. *Deep Sea Research Part I*, **79**, 20-39.
- Våge, K., G.W.K Moore, S. Jónsson, and H. Valdimarsson, 2015: Water mass transformation in the
 Iceland Sea. *Deep Sea Research Part I*, **101**, 98-109.
- Våge, K., L. Papritz, L. Håvik, M.A. Spall, and G.W.K Moore, 2018: Ocean convection linked to the
 recent ice edge retreat along east Greenland. *Nature Communications*, 9, 1287.

Vihma, T., R. Pirazzini, I. Fer, I. A. Renfrew, J. Sedlar, M. Tjernström, C. Lüpkes, T. Nygård, D. Notz,

- J. Weiss, D. Marsan, B. Cheng, G. Birnbaum, S. Gerland, D. Chechin, and J. C. Gascard,
- 716 2014: Advances in understanding and parameterization of small-scale physical processes in
- the marine Arctic climate system: a review, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 14, 9403-
- 718 9450, doi:10.5194/acp-14-9403-2014
- 719
- 720 SIDEBAR Numerical modelling plans
- Numerical modelling of the atmosphere, ocean and climate system is being carried out in
 parallel to the observational component of the IGP. Here we describe a few activities as

723 illustrations.

A set of regional climate modelling experiments have been run to investigate the impact of anomalous distributions of sea ice on the frequency and magnitude of high heat flux events in the lceland and Greenland Seas. We have used the MetUM in atmosphere-only mode with a regional domain (40°E - 5°W, 62°N - 79°N) run at 8 km grid size and nested within a global model. The global model was initialized daily from ERA-I reanalyses and used to force the regional model at the lateral boundaries. We have run simulations for 20 years with four different sets of dailyupdated sea-ice and SST surface conditions:

- i. A baseline simulation with time varying sea ice and SSTs concomitant with the date of thesimulation.
- ii. A maximum ice simulation with annually-repeating sea ice and SSTs from 1987/88 the
 winter with the greatest sea ice extent in the Iceland-Greenland Seas region.
- iii. A median ice simulation with annually-repeating sea ice and SSTs from 2003/4 the winter
- iv. A minimum ice simulation with annually-repeating sea ice and SSTs from 2015/16 the
 winter with the smallest sea ice extent in the region.

with sea ice extent closest to the median value in the region.

739 Through this experimental design we are now examining how changes in sea ice concentration

and extent influence the distribution, frequency and magnitude of high heat flux events.

741 Interestingly the role of the extreme sea-ice distributions acts differently in the two seas; a result

742 we are now exploring in more detail.

736

743 We are running two classes of ocean models in support of the IGP. The first is a realistic, regional primitive equation model with a coupled dynamic/thermodynamic sea ice model that 744 745 extends from south of Denmark Strait to 79°N, and from Greenland to Norway. This model is forced with fluxes of heat, freshwater, and momentum derived from atmospheric reanalysis using 746 747 bulk formulae and has open northern and southern boundaries – as in Almansi et al. (2017). We 748 will run it for different time periods, to cover the different regimes of the North Atlantic 749 Oscillation, and also for the winter of 2017/2018 to compare with the in-situ IGP observations. We 750 seek to understand where, when and how the densest waters are formed under different atmospheric conditions, and how they are subsequently advected from these source regions 751 752 across the sills to the south.

Our second class of ocean models is focused on the influence of wind and surface heat loss
 on convection in the transition region between the relatively buoyant East Greenland Current and

755	the denser waters offshore. Observations indicate that the low salinity water from the shelf is
756	transported offshore in small, thin patches and eddies, where it can then inhibit deep convection
757	and water mass transformation. The model will be used to understand what controls the offshore
758	flux of fresh water, the amount of water mass transformation, and the depth of deep convection.

762

Figure 1 Schematic of the major boundary currents of the Nordic Seas. The sub-tropical origin water entering the Norwegian Sea gradually cools and becomes denser as it circulates around the perimeter of the basins, exiting as overflow water through the west side of Denmark Strait. The warm water entering Denmark Strait is believed to be converted into the overflow water flowing southward through the east side of the strait. The IGP study area is delimited by the black lines. Abbreviations are: NAC = Norwegian Atlantic Current; EGC = East Greenland Current; NIIC = North Icelandic Irminger Current; NIJ = North Icelandic Jet.

770 Figure 2 Locations of the oceanographic observations from the winter 2018 cruise and the mooring 771 deployments. The left panel shows the hydrographic sections occupied in the Iceland and Greenland Seas; 772 see the legend for the type of instrument used for each of the lines. The locations of the four moorings 773 deployed across the North Icelandic Jet north of Iceland are also shown. The grey contours are the isobaths. 774 See text for acronyms. The right panel focuses on the northwest Iceland Sea and shows the location of 775 intensive surveys where triangular patterns or lines were repeated several times in coordination with the 776 research aircraft; see the legend for details. The southern triangle was sampled three times using a 777 combination of CTDs and XCTDs, while the northern triangle was sampled once. The timeseries CTD station 778 was occupied seven times during the cruise. The location of the mooring and met buoy deployed in the 779 northern Iceland Sea are also marked.

Figure 3 Locations of radiosonde profiles from the Alliance cruise and relevant land stations. The Alliance
radiosonde locations are shaded by low-level potential temperature and the cruise track is shown in grey.
Panel (a) shows the locations of soundings 1-22 (4-27 February) and 42-94 (2-18 March); panel (b) provides
a close up of the locations of soundings 23-41 (28 February to 2 March). The average sea-ice fractions are
contoured, based on the Met Office's Operational Sea Surface Temperature and Sea Ice Analysis (OSTIA)
data set.

Figure 4 Wind speed, from 28 February to 2 March 2018, from on board the Alliance. Measurements are
from the ship's bow-mast anemometer located approximately 15 m above the sea surface; and from the
Wind Cube lidar and radiosonde profiles at 50, 150 and 300 m altitude (see legend). The bow-mast
anemometer was sheltered by the ship's superstructure when sailing directly downwind, hence it
underestimated wind speeds from about 14 UTC 28 February to 02 UTC 1 March.

791 Figure 5 A simultaneous cross-section of the atmosphere and ocean on 1 March 2018. The upper panels 792 show atmospheric observations from radiosonde releases (soundings 32-36); the lower panels show 793 oceanographic observations from CTD profiles (casts 81-88). The left panels show potential temperature on 794 a common scale (shading), overlain by contours of wind speed (top) and potential density (bottom). The 795 right panels show specific humidity (shading) overlain by relative humidity contours for the atmosphere, 796 and salinity (shading) overlain by potential density contours for the ocean. The contour intervals are 2 m s⁻¹, 797 0.02 kg m⁻³ and 10% for wind speed, potential density and relative humidity respectively. The section is 798 approximately west to east; its location is marked on Fig. 7.

Figure 6 Location of all science flights during the aircraft campaign. The average sea-ice fraction from the
period is contoured (based on OSTIA data). Flights 293, 294, 295 and 297 were in the vicinity of the
Alliance, while flight 305 passed the meteorological buoy.

Figure 7 (a) Aircraft track from flight 294 with aircraft altitude shaded over a VIIRS visible satellite image from 13:24 UTC 1 March 2018. The location of the Alliance cross-sections (Fig. 5) is shown in red. Sea-ice concentration contours at 90% and 10% (dark and light green) from AMSR2 data are also shown. A von Karman vortex street can be seen traced in the low-level clouds south of Jan Mayen. (b) Sketch of the flight track for 294 showing stacks of 3 boundary-layer legs (green), a sawtooth leg (red) and transit legs (blue). The letters indicate way-points between Constable Point (CP) and Akureyri (A). The inset sketch shows the altitude of the legs flown at each stack.

Figure 8 Cross-sections of (a) potential temperature (K); (b) relative humidity w.r.t. ice (%); and (c)

810 turbulent sensible heat flux (W m⁻²) from 1 March 2018 (flight 294). The cross-section shows observations

from sawtooth B to C and the three straight and level legs between D and E sketched in Fig. 7. Also shown is
sea ice fraction, based on OSTIA data (grey lines; right-hand axis of each figure panel).

813 Figure 9 Sea ice concentration for January-March: (a) for 2018 and (b) the mean for 1979-2018; contours at 814 15% and 80% are overlaid. Panel (c) is a time series of open water area for January-March 1979-2018, for 815 the polygon shown in panels (a) & (b), plus the linear trend (38,000 km²/decade) and the 5-year moving 816 standard deviation about the linear trend. All data are from the NSIDC Climate Data Record. 817 Figure 10 Time series from the IGP field campaign period in January-March 2018. (a) a Sudden 818 Stratospheric Warming Index (m s⁻¹); (b) an NAO Index; (c) sea-level pressure (mb); (d) 10 m wind speed (m 819 s⁻¹); (e) 2-m air temperature; and (f) the total surface turbulent heat flux (W m⁻²). The time series in (c)-(f) 820 are all averaged over the oceanic region bounded by 66°N, 40°W and 78°N, 5°E. Also shown in (c)-(f) are the 821 campaign-period mean (red line) and the climatological mean, as well as the $1/2\sigma$ above/below that mean 822 (blue solid, dashed and dotted lines) for the period 1979-2018.

- Figure 11 Ocean cross-sections of potential temperature across the East Greenland continental slope to Eggvin Offset near 71°N, derived from glider observations. The top panel is from February 2016 (from Våge et al., 2018); the 2nd and 3rd panels are from March-April 2018. Selected isopycnals (grey contours) and mixed-layer depths (stars) are overlaid.
- **Figure 12** Ocean temperature time series from a mooring at the Eggvin Offset (70.6°N, 15.6°W). The
- temperature cross-section consists of observations from 22 depths (black triangles) every 2 hours.

Figure 13 Forecast charts for 12 UTC 1 March 2018 (T+36 hours) showing (a) sea-level pressure (black lines), 500-hPa thickness (blue dashed lines), cloud cover (grey shading) and precipitation (shading); (b) 10-m wind speed and streamlines; (c) sea-level pressure (black lines), 850-hPa temperature (blue dashed lines), 10-m wind vectors (barbs) and precipitation (shading); (d) 10-m wind speed and wind vectors. The top panels are from the UK Met Office, the bottom panels are plotted by the Icelandic Met Office, from forecasts by the Danish Meteorological Institute. Figure 14 Cold-air outbreak diagnostics based on 50 ECMWF ensemble prediction system members. Panels (a) & (d) show the probability of a cold-air outbreak of strength $\Delta \theta > 2$ K (where $\Delta \theta = \theta_{SST} - \theta_{850hPa}$); panels (b) & (e) show the ensemble-mean CAO magnitude, i.e. $\Delta \theta$; panels (c) & (f) show the ensemble-mean surface sensible heat flux. All panels have the ensemble-mean sea-level pressure field contoured (gray lines every 2 hPa) and the 50% sea ice concentration contour (thick black line). Forecasts are for 4.5 days (T+108 h; left) and 5.5 days (T+132 h; right) from 00 UTC 25 February 2018, which are valid at 12 UTC 1 March and 2 March 2018 as indicated.

Figure 15 Sentinel SAR image of the MIZ off east Greenland at 08 UTC 3 March 2018 showing the complex
small-scale variability associated with ocean eddies and fronts that impact the sea ice distribution. Lighter
shading is from a higher reflectivity surface. Annotated in blue and red are the two survey triangles that the
Alliance carried out during 1-6 March 2018.

Ocean Observations			
Platform	Instruments	Variables	PI
R/V	CTD, XCTD, XBT,	T, S, p (O_2 CTD only)	R. Pickart, WHOI
Alliance	Vessel-mounted ADCP systems	u, v,	R. Pickart, WHOI
	Water intake	SST	R. Pickart, WHOI
	Water sampling - geochemical tracers	Nutrients, O ₂ , CFCs and SF ₆	E. Jeansson, NORCE
	and isotopes	H ₂ ¹⁸ O, HDO	H. Sodemann, UiB
	Microstructure glider	Turbulence	S. Waterman, UBC
	Argo floats T, S, p, u & v (from drift)		K. Våge, UiB
Mooring	oring CTD, T recorder, ADCP, RCM T, S, p, u, v		K. Våge, UiB
Seagliders	SeaglidersCTD, oxygenT, S, p, O2, u & v (from drift)		K. Våge, UiB
Atmospher	ic Observations		
Platform	Instruments	Variables	PI
R/V	Wavepak Vessel-mounted meteorology	T, u, v, RH,	I. Renfrew, UEA
Alliance	Väisälä MW41 Radiosonde system*	T, p, RH, u, v	I. Renfrew, UEA
	HatPro radiometer	T, RH, LWP	I. Renfrew, UEA
	+ Motion correction platform	+ motion	I. Brooks, ULeeds
	Leosphere Windcube lidar	u, v, w, turbulence	J. Reuder, UiB
	Metek Micro Rain Radar	PPN rate, LWC	H. Sodemann, UiB
	Picarro L2130-i Isotope Spectrometer	H ₂ ¹⁸ O, HDO of water vapour	H. Sodemann, UiB
	Precipitation sampling	H ₂ ¹⁸ O, HDO	H. Sodemann, UiB
DH6	Aircraft-mounted meteorology	T, p, T _{dew} , T _{sfc} , SW, LW	T. Lachlan-Cope, BAS
Twin	BAT turbulence probe & LICOR	u, v, w, T, q, turbulent fluxes	and I. Renfrew, UEA
Otter	DMT Cloud, Aerosol & PPN	Aerosol & PPN spectra,	T. Lachlan-Cope, BAS
	Spectrometer	LWC	and I. Renfrew, UEA
	Grimm spectrometer	Aerosol spectra	H. Sodemann, UiB
	Picarro L2130-i Isotope Spectrometer	H ₂ ¹⁸ O, HDO of water vapour	
Met. Buoy	Seawatch Wavescan Buoy*	T, RH, u, v, SST, SW, ocean	J. Reuder and E.
		currents	Kolstad, UiB

847

848 **Table 1** A summary of the IGP observing system. Variables measured are: T = temperature; S = salinity; p =

849 pressure; O₂ = oxygen; u, v, w = velocities; SST = sea surface temperature; CFC = chlorofluorocarbons; SF₆ =

850 sulfur hexafluoride; RH = relative humidity; LWP = liquid water path; PPN = precipitation; LWC = liquid

851 water content; T_{dew} = dewpoint temperature; SW = shortwave radiation; LW = longwave radiation; q =

specific humidity; SST = sea surface temperature. Instruments marked* had data broadcast via satellite and

853 hence were available for operational forecasting.

854

Time (UTC) and Date	Flight No.	Flight Comments	Alliance radio- sonde times (UTC)	Science aims
28 Feb 2018			00, 03, 06, 09, 12,	Cold air outbreak onset
07:48 - 11:51	292	6 short ABL cross-sections;	15, 18, 21	over the Iceland Sea
		low-level flying hampered by cloud		
1 Mar 2018			00, 03, 06, 09, 12,	Cold air outbreak
08:13 - 11:45	293	2 long ABL cross-sections;	15, 18, 21	development and
13:06 - 18:02	294	60 mins (SL) and 60 mins (ABL)		structure
2 Mar 2018			00, 06, 09, 12, 15,	Cold air outbreak structure
3 Mar 2018			00, 12	
4 Mar 2018		2 short ABL cross-sections;	00, 06, 09, 12, 15,	Cold air outbreak
10:16 - 15:09	295	20 mins (SL) and 40 mins (ABL)	18	structure
5 Mar 2018			06, 09, 12, 18	
10:30 - 11:20	296	Transit from Reykjavik to Akureyri		
6 Mar 2018		1 long/1 short ABL cross-	00, 06, 09, 12, 15,	Cold air outbreak
08:47 - 14:14	297	sections;	18	structure
		20 mins (SL) and 40 mins (ABL)		
8 Mar 2018				Surface fluxes over sea
08:21 - 11:56	298	3 long ABL cross-sections;		ice and katabatic flow
13:27 - 19:01	299	135 mins (SL)		structure
9 Mar 2018		1 long/2 short ABL cross-		Boundary-layer structure
09:58 - 14:47	300	sections;		over sea ice
40.14 0.040		low-level flying hampered by cloud	0.0.10	
12 Mar 2018	201	50 mins (SL) and 85 mins (ABL)	00, 12	Orographic flow
12:13-18:13	301	flying downstream and over a		structures: lee-side
14 Max 2010		mountainous riuge	00.12	Iluxes, waves & wakes
14 Mar 2010	202	Most data lost due to file error	00, 12	Sufface fluxes over sea
12.55 - 18.28	302	1 long ABL cross-section:		ice
12.33 - 10.20	303	100 mins (SL)		
16 Mar 2018		Bacetrack patterns at various		Isotone composition
09:55 - 11:45	304	heights in the ABL		survey & instrument
				calibration
			00, 12, 15, 18, 21	Cold air outbreak onset
				over the Greenland Sea
17 Mar 2018			00, 03, 06, 09, 12,	Cold air outbreak
			15, 18	development
18 Mar 2018		2 short ABL cross-sections;	00, 06, 09, 12, 15,	Cold air outbreak
09:09 - 14:59	305	80 mins (SL) including past the	18	structure
		meteorological buoy		
19 Mar 2018		2 long ABL cross-sections;	00, 12	Orographic flow
13:01 - 17:29	306	20 mins (SL) and 100 mins (ABL)		structures: lee-side
	1			fluxes, waves & wakes

856

 Table 2 Campaign summary focusing on the meteorological deployments of the research aircraft and key
 857 858 periods of radiosonde launches from the Alliance. Flight comments note the number of cross-sections in 859 the atmospheric boundary layer (ABL) – determined from 'sawtooths' between the surface and typically 860 1500 m; and the amount of time flying in the surface layer (SL) – typically 15-50 m; or in the ABL – typically 861 50-2000 m. Text is color-coded by science aim: cold air outbreak development and structure (dark blue);

862 surface fluxes over sea ice (cyan); turbulent structures in orographic flows (purple); and isotope

863 composition (red). Days when the aircraft and ship tracks coincided are shaded light orange. Flight patterns

864 are shown in Fig. 6 and radiosonde locations in Fig. 3.