AGRICULTURAL AND FOOD CHEMISTRY

Subscriber access provided by University of East Anglia Library

Bioactive Constituents, Metabolites, and Functions

Purified dietary red and white meat proteins show beneficial effects on growth and metabolism of young rats compared to casein and soy protein

Shangxin Song, Chun Hua, Fan Zhao, Mengjie Li, Qingquan Fu, Guido J. E. J. Hooiveld, Michael Muller, Chunbao Li, and Guanghong Zhou

J. Agric. Food Chem., Just Accepted Manuscript • DOI: 10.1021/acs.jafc.8b02521 • Publication Date (Web): 03 Sep 2018 Downloaded from http://pubs.acs.org on September 7, 2018

Just Accepted

"Just Accepted" manuscripts have been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. They are posted online prior to technical editing, formatting for publication and author proofing. The American Chemical Society provides "Just Accepted" as a service to the research community to expedite the dissemination of scientific material as soon as possible after acceptance. "Just Accepted" manuscripts appear in full in PDF format accompanied by an HTML abstract. "Just Accepted" manuscripts have been fully peer reviewed, but should not be considered the official version of record. They are citable by the Digital Object Identifier (DOI®). "Just Accepted" is an optional service offered to authors. Therefore, the "Just Accepted" Web site may not include all articles that will be published in the journal. After a manuscript is technically edited and formatted, it will be removed from the "Just Accepted" Web site and published as an ASAP article. Note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the manuscript text and/or graphics which could affect content, and all legal disclaimers and ethical guidelines that apply to the journal pertain. ACS cannot be held responsible for errors or consequences arising from the use of information contained in these "Just Accepted" manuscripts.



is published by the American Chemical Society. 1155 Sixteenth Street N.W., Washington, DC 20036

Published by American Chemical Society. Copyright © American Chemical Society. However, no copyright claim is made to original U.S. Government works, or works produced by employees of any Commonwealth realm Crown government in the course of their duties.

Purified dietary red and white meat proteins show beneficial effects on growth and metabolism of young rats compared to casein and soy protein

Shangxin Song¹, Chun Hua¹, Fan Zhao², Mengjie Li², Qingquan Fu¹, Guido J. E. J. Hooiveld³, Michael Muller⁴, Chunbao Li²*, Guanghong Zhou²*

¹School of Food Science, Nanjing Xiaozhuang University, 3601 Hongjing Road, Nanjing 211171, P. R. China

²Key Laboratory of Meat Processing and Quality Control, MOE; Key Laboratory of Animal Products Processing, MOA; Jiang Synergetic Innovation Center of Meat Processing and Quality Control; Nanjing Agricultural University; Nanjing 210095, P.R. China

³Nutrition, Metabolism and Genomics Group, Division of Human Nutrition, Wageningen University, Wageningen, the Netherlands

⁴Norwich Medical School, University of East Anglia Norwich

*Corresponding author

Dr. Guanghong Zhou

Address: Weigang 1#, Nanjing, 210095, P.R. China. E-mail: ghzhou@njau.edu.cn; Tel: 86 25 84395376; Fax: 86 25 84395679

Dr. Chunbao Li

Address: Weigang 1#, Nanjing, 210095, P.R. China. E-mail: chunbao.li@njau.edu.cn; Tel: 86 25 84395679, Fax: 86 25 84396937

1 Abstract

This study compared the effects of casein, soy protein (SP), red (RMP) and white 2 meat (WMP) proteins on growth and metabolism of young rats. Compared to casein, 3 the ratio of daily feed intake to daily body weight gain of rats was not changed by 4 meat protein but reduced by SP by 93.3% (P<0.05). Feeding RMP and WMP reduced 5 6 the liver total cholesterol (TC) contents by 24.3% and 17.8% respectively (P < 0.05). 7 Only RMP increased plasma HDL-cholesterol concentrations (by 12.7%, P < 0.05), whereas SP increased plasma triacylglycerol, TC and LDL-cholesterol concentrations 8 9 by 23.7%, 19.5% and 61.5% respectively (P < 0.05). Plasma essential and total amino 10 acid concentrations were increased by WMP (by 18.8% and 12.4%, P<0.05) but 11 reduced by SP (by 28.3 and 37.7%, P<0.05). Twenty five liver proteins were 12 differentially expressed in response to different protein sources. Therefore, meat proteins were beneficial for growth and metabolism of young rats compared to casein 13 and SP. 14

15 **Keywords:** red meat; white meat; protein quality; molecular nutrition; proteomics;

16 Introduction

Meat is a nutrient dense food which contains high quality protein and important 17 micronutrients such as vitamin B12, iron and zinc¹. Mammalian muscle meat such as 18 beef and pork are regarded as red meat², whereas chicken and fish³ are regarded as 19 white meat. Recently, some epidemiologic studies associated high consumption of red 20 or processed meat with several types of cancer². In October, 2015, WHO released a 21 22 report, which classified red and processed meat as "probably carcinogenic to humans" (Group 2A) and "carcinogenic to humans" (Group 1), respectively². The publication 23 of the report soon aroused widespread concerns about meat food all over the world. It 24 25 also sparked heated debate in both academic and meat industrial areas, because the report was produced only based on the review of epidemiologic studies⁴. The reported 26 27 carcinogenic effects of red and processed meat were mainly attributed to heme iron and the carcinogenic chemicals, such as N-nitroso-compounds and polycyclic 28 aromatic hydrocarbons, that can be formed during meat processing and cooking². 29 30 However, it is unequivocal that lean meat is an important protein source in human diets. It has been acknowledged that meat protein has high biological availability due 31 to its high digestibility and containing all nutritionally essential amino acids (AAs), 32 compared to plant protein¹. Therefore, moderate intake of meat is advised, instead of 33 avoiding meat food. 34

Under the globally increasing prevalence of obesity and metabolic syndrome in both adult and children⁵⁻⁶, dietary protein is regarded as the most promising macronutrient for improving of body composition and metabolic profile due to its pronounced satiating, thermogenic and lean body mass preserving effects compared to other macronutrients lipid and carbohydrate⁷⁻⁹. Until now, most of the studies on dietary protein have focused on dietary protein levels⁷⁻¹⁰. However, very few studies forced

41 on different protein sources. Milk and meat are important animal protein sources whereas soy is an important plant protein source for human health. Considering their 42 profound differences in AA and protein compositions^{1, 11-12}, different biological effects 43 were thus anticipated. Our previous study found that soy and meat proteins induce 44 distinct physiological and metabolic responses in rats after a short time intervention (7 45 days)¹³⁻¹⁵. It has been acknowledged that the nutritional conditions in early life can 46 profoundly influence human long-term health¹⁶. It was recommended by the 47 2015-2020 Dietary Guidelines for Americans that for children aged 2 and over, a 48 health eating pattern should include a variety of protein foods in nutrient-dense forms 49 50 from both animal and plant sources, like dairy, seafood, poultry, nuts and soy products, but reduce consumption of red meat and processed meat products¹⁷. These guidelines 51 were put forward on the basis of evidence from mostly epidemiologic studies, which 52 have shown that reduced intake of red meat as well as processed meat are associated 53 with reduced risk of cardiovascular disease, obesity, type 2 diabetes, and some types 54 of cancer¹⁷. However, there is still lack of sufficient and rigorous animal experiments 55 to compare red meat with other protein sources. The aim of this study was to compare 56 the effects of purified dietary protein sources from red meat, white meat, milk, and 57 soy provided for a longer time (14 days) on growth and metabolism of young rats. To 58 this end, young weaning rats were fed for 14 days the nutritionally balanced 59 semi-synthetic AIN-93G diets with the only differences in protein sources. Growth, 60 body compositions and blood biochemistry profiles were measured. To explore the 61 molecular mechanism that may underlie the changes, liver metabolism in response to 62 63 different dietary proteins were measured using 2-dimensional gel electrophoresis 64 (2-DE) and mass spectrometry. There are three points to make our study unique. 65 Firstly, to avoid the disturbance of the carcinogenic compounds that may be formed

66 during meat processing (such as curing, smoking, high cooking temperature), the 67 purified meat protein sources were isolated from the cooked meat that was boiled in a 72°C water bath until the internal temperature reaching 70°C. Secondly, to avoid the 68 disturbance from protein level or other nutrients, all diets in our study were prepared 69 70 having the same balanced nutritional levels with the only differences in protein 71 sources. Especially, the effects of red and white meat proteins were compared in this 72 study. Our study provided novel evidence and important suggestions for the health effects of different protein sources in children diets. 73

74 Materials and Methods

75 Chemicals

76 Longissimus dorsi muscle of pigs and cattle and breast muscle of chicken were 77 purchased from Su Shi Company (Nanjing, China). Dorsal muscle of fish were 78 purchased from the local market. Diet ingredients including casein, cornstarch, dyetros, sucrose, soybean oil, cellulose, mineral mix, vitamin mix, L-Cystine and 79 choline bitartrate were from Dyets Inc. (Bethlehem, PA). Food grade soy protein 80 isolates were from Linyi Shansong biological products company (Linyi, China). 81 Tissue triacylglycerol (TAG) and total cholesterol (TC) contents assay kits were from 82 83 Nanjing Jiancheng Bioengineering Institute (Nanjing, China). Plasma insulin Radioimmunoassay kit were from Beijing North Institute of Biological Technology 84 (Beijing, China). Protease inhibitor cocktail was from Roche Applied Science 85 (Penzberg, Germany). Chemicals used for 2-dimensional gel electrophoresis including 86 87 RC DC protein assay kit II, ReadyPrep 2-D cleanup kit, bio-lyte 3/10 ampholyte 40%, 88 IPG ReadyStrip/pH3-10/11cm/12, 12% precast gels, XT MOPS running buffer, 89 iodoacetamide were from Bio-Rad (Hercules, CA, USA). The following reagents: 90 Tris-HCl, SDS, urea. thiourea. 3-[(3-cholamidopropyl) dimethyl

91 ammonio]-1-propane-sulfonate (CHAPS) and DTT were purchased from Sigma (St.

92 Louis, MO, USA).

93 Animals and experimental diets

All animals were handled in accordance with the guidelines for care and use of 94 laboratory animals of the Jiangsu Provincial Academy of Agricultural Sciences (The 95 license number was SCXK (Su) 2002-0029). Male Sprague Dawley rats at 3 weeks of 96 97 age were randomly assigned to 6 groups of 10 rats each. The rats had free access to water and feed through the feeding period. After one-week acclimation, the rats were 98 99 fed 14 days of one of the six experimental diets that were different only in protein 100 sources (i.e. casein, soy, chicken, fish, beef or pork). The protein sources and diets used in this study were the same with our previous study¹³. Briefly, raw meat 101 102 materials were cooked in a 72°C water bath to an internal temperature of 70°C. 103 Cooked meat were then freeze-dried and twice defatted with methylene 104 chloride/methanol (2:1, v:v). The residual solvent was removed by evaporation and 105 the resulting protein powder was passed through a 30 Mesh (0.595 mm) sieve. The final protein powders consisted of more than 90% of protein and 6-9% of water. All 106 the diets were prepared according to the recommendations of the nutritionally 107 balanced semisynthetic AIN-93G diet¹⁸, which contained energy 4056 Kcal/Kg, 108 109 protein 177 g/Kg, fat 70 g/Kg and carbohydrate 68 g/Kg. See Table 1 for specific diet 110 formulations. To compare red and white meat proteins with casein and soy protein, 111 beef and pork protein groups were combined as single red meat protein group (n=20), whereas chicken and fish protein groups were combined as single white meat protein 112 113 group (n=20). Therefore, there were finally 4 groups of red meat protein group (n=20), 114 white meat protein group (n=20), casein (n=10), and soy protein group (n=10).

115 Sample collection

During the 14 days' feeding period, body weights and dietary intakes were measured every 2 days. On the day of sacrifice, rats were deprived of feed for 4 h prior to sacrifice but were given free access to water. Rats were anaesthetized with ether inhalation. Blood was taken by orbital puncture and plasma was isolated. Liver and epididymal adipose tissues were obtained, weighed and snap frozen in liquid nitrogen. All samples were stored at -80 °C until analysis.

122 Liver lipid contents and plasma parameters detection

Triacylglycerol (TAG) and total cholesterol (TC) contents in the liver were 123 determined using commercial kits purchased from Nanjing Jiancheng Bioengineering 124 125 Institute (Nanjing, China). Plasma TAG, TC, high density lipoprotein-cholesterol 126 (HDL-C), low density lipoprotein-cholesterol (LDL-C), glucose, alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), urea and total protein (TP) 127 128 concentrations were analyzed using a Hitachi 7180 auto analyzer (Tokyo, Japan). 129 Plasma insulin concentrations were determined using a radioimmunoassay kit purchased from Beijing North Institute of Biological Technology (Beijing, China). 130 The HOMA- IR^{19} was calculated according to the equation IR = (fasting insulin in 131 $mU/L \times fasting glucose in mM)/22.5$. Plasma free AA concentrations were determined 132 133 using a Hitachi L-8900 AA analyzer (Tokyo, Japan).

134 **Two-dimensional gel electrophoresis**

Protein extraction and purification. Protein extraction was performed as reported²⁰ with some modifications. Livers were weighed and 100 mg tissue was homogenized with 1 ml lysis buffer: 7 M urea, 2 M thiourea, 4% 3-[(3-cholamidopropyl) dimethylammonio]-1-propanesulfonate (CHAPS, wt/vol), 65 mM DTT, 2% biolyte pH 3-10, and 1% protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche Applied Science, Penzberg, Germany). Then the sample was centrifuged at 15,000 × g for 30 min at 4 °C and the

supernatant was transfer into new tubes. Protein extract was purified using the
trichloroacetic acid (TCA)/acetone precipitation method described by Li et al.²¹.
Briefly, protein was precipitated in 9 volumes of 10% TCA/80% acetone solution at
-20 °C for 2 h. After centrifugation at 10,000 g for 30 min at 4 °C, the supernatant was
discarded and the pellet was resuspended in a rehydration buffer (7 M urea, 2 M
thiourea, 1% DTT). The protein contents were determined using RC DC Protein
Assay Kit (BioRad, Cat. 500-0122).

2-D gel electrophoresis. The 2-D gel was run as reported previously²¹ with some 148 modifications. Firstly, the purified protein samples were mixed with rehydration 149 150 buffer (7 M urea, 2 M thiourea, 2% CHAPS (wt/vol), 1% DTT (wt/vol), 0.2% biolyte 151 pH 3-10 (vol/vol), 0.002% bromophenol blue(wt/vol) to a final concentration of 1 152 mg/mL. Two hundred micrograms of protein (200 µL) was loaded on linear 153 immobilized pH gradient strips (isoelectric point (pI) 3-10, 11 cm, BioRad, Cat. 154 1632014, Hercules, CA). After rehydrating at 17 °C for 12 h, isoelectric focusing was 155 performed according to the program: 250 V (15 min), 8000 V (2.5 h) and 8000 V (35000 Vh). After finishing isoelectric focusing, the strip was first equilibrated in 5 ml 156 equilibration buffer I (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.8, 6 M urea, 20% glycerol (vol/vol), 2% 157 158 SDS (wt/vol) and 1% DTT (wt/vol)) for 15 min, and then transferred to 5 ml 159 equilibration buffer II (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.8, 6 M urea, 20% glycerol (vol/vol), 2% 160 SDS (wt/vol) and 4% (wt/vol) iodoacetamide) for 15 min. The equilibrated strip was 161 placed on the top of a SDS-PAGE gel (12%), and then the second dimension 162 electrophoresis was run at 200 V for 2 h at 4 °C. The 2-DE map was visualized by 163 commassie blue staining.

164 *Image analysis.* Commassie blue stained gels were scanned, and the spots were 165 detected and quantified with PDQuest v8.0.1 software (BioRad, Hercules, CA)

ACS Paragon Plus Environment

according to the software tutorial and the descriptions in other papers²²⁻²³. For spot 166 167 identifying and gel matching, both automatic and manual editing were performed to 168 improve accuracy. The expression level of protein spot was normalized as a 169 percentage of the total volume of all of the spots in the gel. Statistical analysis were 170 based on the intensities of protein spots in gels (Supplementary Table 2), while protein expression changes were represented as fold changes. The numbers of 171 172 biological repetitions of 2-DE analysis of casein, soy and red meat and white protein 173 groups were 5, 5, 10 and 10, respectively.

In-gel trypsin digestion of protein. The spots of interest were cut from the polyacrylamide gels and were destained with 500 μ l of a solution (25 mM NH₃HCO₃ in 50% ACN) for 3×60 min, and then they were dehydrated using 100% ACN, reduced with 10 mM DTT at 56 °C, and alkylated with 55 mM iodoacetamide without light exposure. Afterwards the samples were treated with 50 μ l trypsin solution (1 μ g trypsin in 100 μ l 25 mM ammonium hydrogen carbonate in 25% ACN, pH 8.0) at 37 °C overnight.

Protein identification by mass spectrometry and functional analysis. Proteins were 181 identified by MALDI-TOF/TOF. The MS/MS data were searched against Mascot 182 183 2.3.02 (Matrix Science) applied to NCBI Rattus 1031(51807 seqs) based on the 184 following search parameters: peptide mass tolerance: 100ppm; fragment mass 185 tolerance, 0.6 Da; fixed modifications: Carbamidomethyl (C); variable modifications: 186 Gln->pyro-Glu (N-term Q), Oxidation (M) and Deamidated (NQ); max missed 187 cleavages: one. Significant scores > 70 and at least five peptide matches for each 188 protein were used as criteria for positive protein identification. The gene ontology (GO) interpretation of proteins was done using PANTHER analysis²⁴. 189

190 Statistical methods

191 The diet effect on measured variables were analyzed by one-way ANOVA and means

192 were compared by least significant difference (LSD) multiple comparison. Statistical

significance was set at P < 0.05. Values are shown as means \pm SD.

194 **Results**

Body weight and body adiposity

Rats in red or white meat protein groups had slightly higher initial body weights 196 197 (IBWs) than the rats in casein group (P < 0.05, Figure 1A), whereas the IBWs of the 198 rats in soy protein group were not different from casein or meat protein groups. 199 Feeding red or white meat protein diets significantly increased the daily feed intakes 200 (DFIs), daily body weight gains (DBWGs) and final body weights (FBWs) of rats. 201 However, the DFI/DBWG ratio was not different between meat proteins and casein 202 groups (Figure 1E). Feeding soy protein diet significantly reduced DBWGs (by 47.7%) 203 and FBWs (by 22.7%) of rats (P < 0.05, Figure 1B) without affecting the DFIs 204 compared to case in. As a result, the DFI/DBWG ratio was significantly increased by 205 dietary soy protein compared to case (P < 0.05, Figure 1E).

206 In order to evaluate the effects of different dietary protein sources on body adiposity, 207 epididymal adipose tissue weight (EATW) and liver lipid contents were measured 208 (Figure 2). Compared to case in, the percentage of EATW to BW was not affected by 209 meat or soy proteins (P > 0.05, Figure 2A2). When compared between meat proteins 210 and soy protein, the percentage of EATW to BW was lower for the soy protein group 211 than meat protein groups. Liver TC contents were significantly reduced by red (by 212 24.3%, P < 0.05) or white meat proteins (by 17.8%, P < 0.05) but were not affected 213 by soy protein compared to casein. The changes in liver TAG contents did not reach 214 the significant level. Liver weight was reduced by soy, red meat and white meat 215 proteins compared to case in (P < 0.05, Figure 2B).

216 **Plasma profiles**

217 Plasma lipid concentrations were significantly changed by different dietary protein 218 sources (Figure 3). Plasma TAG concentrations were significantly increased by soy protein intake (by 23.7%, P < 0.05) but were not affected by red or white meat 219 220 proteins compared to case in (Figure 3A1). When compared between red meat and 221 white meat proteins, the rats fed white meat protein had lower plasma TAG 222 concentration than the rats fed red meat protein (Figure 3A1). The pattern of the 223 plasma TC concentration changes was the same with the plasma TAG concentrations 224 regulated by dietary casein, soy, and meat proteins (Figure 3A2). Only red meat 225 proteins increased the plasma HDL-C concentrations (Figure 3A3, by 12.7%, P < 0.05) 226 in rats. Only soy protein increased the plasma LDL-C concentrations in rats (Figure 3A4, by 61.5%, P < 0.05). Plasma glucose concentrations, insulin level and 227 HOMA-IR were significantly reduced by soy protein (P < 0.05, Figure 3B). Only red 228 229 meat protein increased the plasma insulin levels and HOMA-IR.

Because that liver weights of rats were reduced by dietary soy and meat proteins, 230 therefore plasma biomarkers for liver health, i.e. AST and ALT²⁵, were measured. The 231 ratio of AST to ALT was calculated (Figure 4A). It was showed that plasma AST and 232 233 ALT concentrations were significantly increased by soy protein (increased by 74.8% 234 and 86.8%, respectively, P < 0.05) and white meat protein (increased by 26.2% and 34.2%, respectively, P < 0.05) but were not changed by red meat protein compared to 235 236 casein (Figure 4A1 & A2). Notably, no significant changes were observed in the ratio 237 of AST to ALT in any group (Figure 4A3). Plasma urea and total protein concentrations were measured to indicate the changes of AA degradation²⁶ and protein 238 synthesis²⁷ in the liver. Only soy protein increased plasma urea concentrations 239 (increased by 32%, P < 0.05, Figure 4B2) but reduced plasma total protein 240

concentrations (reduced by 6.8%, P < 0.05, Figure 4B1). At the same time, plasma 241 242 total AA concentrations were significantly reduced by soy protein compared to casein 243 (reduced by 28.3%, P < 0.05, Table 2), among which the essential AA concentrations were reduced by 37.7% (P < 0.05) and non-essential AA concentrations were reduced 244 245 by 16.3% (P < 0.05). In contrast, feeding white meat protein increased plasma 246 essential and total AA concentrations compared to casein (increased by 18.8% and 247 12.4%, respectively, P < 0.05), whereas feeding red meat protein to rats did not affect 248 their plasma essential and total AA concentrations.

249 Liver protein expression changes

250 The liver protein expressions were evaluated using 2-DE. Twenty five proteins were 251 identified as differentially expressed in response to different dietary protein sources 252 (Table 3). One liver protein relating to ATP biosynthesis (Atp5a1, ATP synthase 253 subunit alpha) was significantly upregulated by dietary soy, white meat and red meat 254 proteins compared to case in. Several proteins involving in AA metabolism, such as 255 GOT1 (aspartate aminotransferase, AST), OTC (ornithine carbamoyltransferase, urea 256 cycle), ALDH6A1 (methylmalonate-semialdehyde dehydrogenase, valine metabolic 257 process) and MAT1A (s-adenosylmethionine synthase isoform type-1, methionine 258 metabolic process), protein biosynthesis (EF1A1, elongation factor 1-alpha 1) and 259 gluconeogenesis (FBP1, fructose-1,6-bisphosphatase 1) were significantly upregulated by dietary soy protein only (P < 0.05). On the contrary, several proteins 260 261 relating to proteolysis (LAP3, cytosol aminopeptidase), protein transport (GCC2, 262 GRIP and coiled-coil domain-containing protein 2), glycolysis (PKLR, Pyruvate 263 kinase PKLR), and triacylglycerol biosynthesis (GPD1, Glycerol-3-phosphate 264 dehydrogenase [NAD(+)]) were significantly downregulated by dietary soy protein 265 only. Two liver proteins relating to iron ion transport (TF, serotransferrin) and 266 response to oxidative stress (PRDX1, Peroxiredoxin-1) were upregulated by soy and 267 white meat proteins. In addition, seven liver proteins were found upregulated specifically by dietary white meat protein, among which four proteins were 268 269 dehydrogenases and five proteins were in mitochondrion. These proteins were mainly 270 related to oxidation reactions in mitochondrion including processes of fatty acid 271 oxidation and electron transport. Two liver proteins relating to lactate metabolic 272 process (LDHA, L-lactate dehydrogenase A chain) and glycolysis (PKLR, pyruvate 273 kinase PKLR) were upregulated only by dietary red meat protein. Two other liver 274 proteins relating to hydrogen peroxide catabolic process (CAT, catalase) and 275 tricarboxylic acid cycle (MDH2, malate dehydrogenase) were upregulated and one 276 liver protein relating to transsulfuration (MPST, 3-mercaptopyruvate sulfurtransferase) 277 was downregulated by both dietary white and red meat proteins.

278 Discussion

279 This study compared the effects of dietary purified protein sources from milk, red 280 meat, white meat and soy provided at the nutritional recommended level on growth, 281 body compositions, blood insulin, lipid and AA profiles and live protein expression in 282 young weaning rats. Casein was chosen as reference protein source because from a 283 nutritional perspective it is a high-quality protein, and it is therefore used as protein source in the well-balanced semi-synthetic AIN-93G diet¹⁸. The AIN-93 diet is the 284 285 global standard for a purified rodent diets proposed by the American Institute of 286 Nutrition (AIN), and is considered as 'golden standard' in nutrition research. We 287 therefore used the AIN-93G diet as reference diet. For nutritional studies of 288 protein/amino acids, laboratory rats have been recommended and are generally 289 accepted as a valid animal model for predicting protein/amino acid nutrition and metabolism in humans²⁸⁻²⁹. Most of the early work about dietary amino acid tolerance 290

was done with rats fed casein-based purified diets³⁰. It has been suggested that use of 291 292 diets containing mixed ingredients and with normal protein levels is probably more relevant in terms of extrapolation to humans³⁰. In our study, we used rats as animal 293 model, and the casein-based semi-synthetic diet (AIN-93G) was used as the reference 294 295 diet. All diets used in our study have normal protein levels but different protein 296 sources. Therefore, we believe the findings in our study might be relevant to humans. 297 Except for rodent, the farm animals like pigs have also been commonly used in protein/amino acid studies²⁸⁻²⁹. Recently, the voice of promoting the use of pigs as 298 animal model for human nutrition study is increasing³¹⁻³². However, the early studies 299 300 with pigs (farm animals) were usually oriented to the immediate objective of 301 improving food production. This is quite different from human nutrition, in which costs and efficiency of nutrient usage are often not overriding concerns²⁸. Therefore, 302 303 compared to studies with rats, the results from studies with pigs are less comparable 304 to human nutrition.

305 Our results showed that compared to meat proteins, feeding soy protein diet significantly reduced the DFI of the rats, which was independent of the IBW of the 306 rats. These results were consistent with our previous study¹³, in which the rats were 307 308 fed the same diets for a shorter time (7 days). As proved in our previous study, the 309 feed intake inhibition effects of dietary soy protein to the young rats were attributed to 310 the AA limitation (methionine) in the soy protein source. This was also found in the 311 present study from the responses of plasma AA concentrations in young rats. In the 312 present study the plasma total AA concentrations in the young rats fed soy protein diet 313 were significantly reduced (by 28.3%), among which the essential AA concentrations 314 were especially reduced (by 37.7 %). Notably, plasma methionine and valine 315 concentrations was significantly reduced by more than 40% by dietary soy protein.

316 This was correlated to the liver proteins expression relating to methionine and valine 317 metabolisms that were significantly upregulated by dietary soy protein only. On the contrary, white meat protein intake increased both essential and total AA 318 319 concentrations in rats' plasma, while dietary red meat had similar effects with casein 320 on plasma total AA concentrations. It has been proved that elevated intake of dietary protein can regulate feed intake due to high satiety^{7-9, 33}. The study from Hall et al 321 322 (2003) showed that whey protein increased the satiety in human subjects compared to casein³⁴, indicating that satiety can be regulated by different protein sources. However, 323 previous studies showed that under the condition of dietary AA limitation, the meal 324 325 termination is not due to satiety, which was evidenced by the absence of the satiety sequence³⁵⁻³⁶. The underlying mechanisms of the feed intake depression effects of 326 dietary AA limitation have been well reviewed³⁵. Therefore, we concluded that the 327 328 feed intake reduction effects of the dietary soy protein was caused by the AA 329 limitation but not by satiety that may affected by dietary soy protein. It is also 330 suggested that when study the effects of different protein sources on satiety, the AA 331 compositions of protein sources should be considered firstly.

332 In order to evaluate the effects of different protein sources on growth of young rats, 333 the ratio of DFI/DBWG were calculated. Both white and red meat proteins had similar 334 DFI/DBWG ratios with casein indicating that meat proteins had similar effects with 335 milk protein on regulation of growth of young rats. However, compared to casein and 336 meat proteins, dietary soy protein had a significantly higher DFI/DBWG ratio. This 337 indicated that when feeding the same amount of soy protein, casein or meat proteins, 338 the body weight gain of the young rats fed soy protein will be much lower (by about 339 50%) than the rats fed casein or meat proteins. The body compositions of the young 340 rats after 14 days' consumption of different protein diets were measured. It was found 341 that the adipose tissue mass and liver weight of rats were significantly reduced by 342 dietary soy protein. At the same time, the negative body nitrogen and protein balances 343 were observed in the rats fed soy protein diet according to the changes in plasma urea 344 and total protein concentrations, which are biomarkers for body nitrogen and total protein balance²⁶. It was showed that plasma urea concentration were significantly 345 346 increased but plasma total protein concentration were significantly reduced by dietary 347 soy protein intake. Unlike soy protein, plasma urea and total protein concentrations 348 were similar between casein, red meat and white meat protein groups. This indicates 349 that meat proteins are more balanced protein sources than soy protein in term of body 350 protein metabolism. The liver plays an important role in regulating AA and protein 351 metabolism. Since in the present study the liver weights of young rats were 352 significantly reduced by both dietary soy and meat proteins compared to casein. In order to evaluate the health status of the liver, plasma AST/ALT ratio was calculated²⁵. 353 354 It was showed that no significant changes were observed in AST/ALT ratios, 355 indicating that the liver function was not impaired by any dietary protein sources in this study. Only the individual plasma AST or ALT concentrations were increased by 356 357 dietary soy and white meat proteins. This was consistent with the changes in liver 358 protein expression of GOT1 (i.e. AST), which was significantly upregulated by 359 dietary soy protein only. The increased AST and ALT indicated that the AA 360 metabolism in the liver was activated by soy protein and white meat protein. However, 361 the mechanisms are different between soy and white meat protein. For soy protein, 362 this was caused by AA limitation (low plasma AA concentrations)) and will lead to 363 negative nitrogen balance. For white meat protein, this was caused by AA excess 364 (high plasma AA concentrations) and will lead to AA waste. Although, the plasma total protein concentrations was reduced specifically by dietary soy protein, the liver 365

protein expression relating to protein biosynthesis was increased but the liver protein expression relating to proteolysis was reduced specifically by dietary soy protein. This was suggested to be a compensatory increase in protein synthesis in response to inadequate in essential AA intake in soy protein group.

370 Accordingly, not just for adult people, cardiovascular morbidity can now be considered to be, in part, a prenatal and pediatric disease¹⁶. Blood TG, TC, HDL-C 371 372 and LDL-C are important biomarkers for lipid homeostasis and thus the 373 cardiovascular diseases. It has been found that soy protein may have beneficial effects 374 on lipid metabolism. However, in this study we found that soy protein had deleterious 375 effects on liver adiposity and blood lipid profiles, whereas both red and white meat 376 proteins showed beneficial effects. Specifically, dietary red and white meat proteins 377 reduced the liver TC contents. Feeding red meat protein increased the plasma HDL-C 378 concentration. When analyzing metabolism in the liver, we found that feeding white 379 meat protein diets increased fatty acid beta-oxidation. Whereas dietary soy protein 380 had no significant effects on liver lipid contents but increased the plasma TAG, TC 381 and LDL-C concentrations.

382 Insulin resistance is the main mechanism for type 2 diabetes and a main component 383 for metabolic syndrome. Notably, plasma insulin and HOMA-IR levels were 384 significantly higher in the rats fed red meat protein than white meat protein, casein 385 and soy protein groups. This suggest that red meat may increase the risk of type 2 386 diabetes (T2D). Findings from epidemiologic studies also suggest positive associations of red meat with risk of T2D³⁷. However, it is unclear whether it is the 387 388 protein per se or other components of protein-rich foods in those epidemiologic 389 studies. Energy metabolism in the liver were significantly increased by white meat 390 protein compared to red meat protein. This can be related to the increased blood AA

concentrations after intake of white meat protein. This was supported by other study that rapid increase of AA concentrations after a meal is related to stimulation of oxidation and protein syntheses³⁸. The study from Mikkelsen et al $(2000)^{39}$ found animal protein in pork meat produced a 2% higher 24-h energy expenditure than did the vegetable protein in soy.

396 Notably, our 2-DE analysis results showed that iron transport protein serotransferrin 397 (short name: transferrin) was significantly upregulated in the liver of rats fed soy protein and white meat protein diets compared to case in and red meat protein groups. 398 This indicated that dietary soy or white meat protein intake increased liver transferrin 399 synthesis. Transferrin is mainly synthesized in the liver⁴⁰. The main role of transferrin 400 401 is to transport iron from sites of absorption (duodenum) and red blood cell recycling (macrophages) to tissues for storage (liver) and utilization (bone marrow) $^{40-41}$. A high 402 transferrin level may indicate iron deficiency which is often seen in patients suffering 403 from iron deficiency anemia⁴⁰ and also in the rats fed a low-iron diet⁴². Therefore, we 404 405 deduced that the increased liver transferrin level found in the rats fed soy and white meat protein diets in our study can be attributed to the null heme iron (highly 406 bioavailable iron) in the soy protein source and relative low heme iron contents in the 407 white meat protein sources compared to red meat protein sources⁴³. Except for the 408 409 differences in iron content directly, it has been proved that dietary protein can also affect iron absorption⁴⁴⁻⁴⁵. Etcheverry et al (2006) assessed the effects of beef and soy 410 411 proteins on the bioavailability of non-heme iron in children. Their findings indicated that beef protein increased non-heme iron absorption compared to soy protein⁴⁶. Iron 412 413 deficiency remain substantial problems in small children in both developed and developing nations⁴⁷. Therefore, when designing diets for children, the effect of 414 415 protein source on iron absorption should be one of the factors taken into account.

Taken together, dietary soy protein showed deleterious effects on liver adiposity and blood lipid profiles and induced negative nitrogen balance and growth inhibition in young rats due to its limitation in essential AAs. In contrast to soy protein, both red and white meat proteins showed beneficial effects on growth and lipid metabolism of rats. Thus, soy protein is not an optimal protein source for growth and metabolism health of young animals, while meat protein is if not better than but at least as well as milk protein to the growth and metabolism health of young animals.

423 There were still some limitations in this study. The treatment time was 14 days, which 424 was a single time point and relatively short. To better understand the process and the 425 development of metabolism changes, longer feeding time or different time points 426 could be studied and compared in future studies. The age of the rats could affect some 427 parts of the responses to dietary proteins. Since we did not include rats with different 428 ages in this study, it is difficult, if not impossible to tell which parts. The study 429 investigates the effects of normal meat protein levels. It would be interesting to test 430 the effects of higher levels of meat proteins on metabolism in future. Therefore, more 431 studies are needed to get a comprehensive understanding of health effects of meat 432 proteins and its molecular mechanisms.

433 Abbreviations Used

2-DE: two dimensional gel electrophoresis; AA: amino acid; DBWG: daily body
weight gain; DFI: daily feed intake; DFI/DBWG: ratio of daily feed intake to daily
body weight gain; EATW: absolute weight of epididymal adipose tissue; EATW/BW:
relative weight of epididymal adipose tissue to body weight; FBW: final body weight;
HDL-C: high density lipoprotein-cholesterol; IBW: initial body weight; LDL-C: low
density lipoprotein-cholesterol; LW: absolute weight of liver; LW/BW: relative weight
of liver to body weight; T2D: type 2 diabetes; TAG: triacylglycerol; TAG-L:

- 441 triacylglycerol in the liver; TC: total cholesterol; TCA: trichloroacetic acid; TC-L:
- total cholesterol in the liver; TP: total protein

443 Funding Sources

- 444 This work was funded by grants BK20170146 (Jiangsu Provincial Department of
- 445 Science and Technology, China) and 17KJB550006 (Jiangsu Provincial Department
- 446 of Education, China).

447 **References**

- Pereira, P. M.; Vicente, A. F., Meat nutritional composition and nutritive role in
 the human diet. *Meat science* 2013, *93* (3), 586-92.
- 450 2. Bouvard, V.; Loomis, D.; Guyton, K. Z.; Grosse, Y.; Ghissassi, F. E.;
- 451 Benbrahim-Tallaa, L.; Guha, N.; Mattock, H.; Straif, K.; International Agency for
- 452 Research on Cancer Monograph Working, G., Carcinogenicity of consumption of red
 453 and processed meat. *The Lancet. Oncology* 2015.
- 454 3. Kiessling, A.; Ruohonen, K.; Bjørnevik, M., Muscle fibre growth and quality in
 455 fish. *Arch Tierz Dummerstorf* 2006, *49*, 137-146.
- 456 4. Klurfeld, D. M., Research gaps in evaluating the relationship of meat and health.
 457 *Meat science* 2015, *109*, 86-95.
- 458 5. Flynn, M. A.; McNeil, D. A.; Maloff, B.; Mutasingwa, D.; Wu, M.; Ford, C.;
- 459 Tough, S. C., Reducing obesity and related chronic disease risk in children and youth:
- 460 a synthesis of evidence with 'best practice' recommendations. Obesity reviews : an
- 461 *official journal of the International Association for the Study of Obesity* **2006,** 7 *Suppl*
- 462 *1*, 7-66.
- 463 6. Mellendijk, L.; Wiesmann, M.; Kiliaan, A. J., Impact of Nutrition on Cerebral
 464 Circulation and Cognition in the Metabolic Syndrome. *Nutrients* 2015, 7 (11),
 465 9416-9439.

466	7. Westerterp-Plantenga, M. S., The significance of protein in food intake and body
467	weight regulation. <i>Current opinion in clinical nutrition and metabolic care</i> 2003, 6 (6),
468	635-8.

- 469 8. Arentson-Lantz, E.; Clairmont, S.; Paddon-Jones, D.; Tremblay, A.; Elango, R.,
- 470 Protein: A nutrient in focus. Applied physiology, nutrition, and metabolism =
- 471 *Physiologie appliquee, nutrition et metabolisme* **2015,** *40* (8), 755-61.
- 472 9. Moore, D. R.; Soeters, P. B., The Biological Value of Protein. Nestle Nutrition 473 Institute workshop series **2015**, 82, 39-51.
- 474 10. Schwarz, J.; Tome, D.; Baars, A.; Hooiveld, G. J.; Muller, M., Dietary protein 475 affects gene expression and prevents lipid accumulation in the liver in mice. PloS one 476 **2012,** 7 (10), e47303.
- 477 11. Elango, R.; Ball, R. O.; Pencharz, P. B., Amino acid requirements in humans: 478 with a special emphasis on the metabolic availability of amino acids. Amino acids 479 2009, 37 (1), 19-27.
- 480 12. Wen, S.; Zhou, G.; Song, S.; Xu, X.; Voglmeir, J.; Liu, L.; Zhao, F.; Li, M.; Li, L.;
- Yu, X.; Bai, Y.; Li, C., Discrimination of in vitro and in vivo digestion products of 481
- 482 meat proteins from pork, beef, chicken, and fish. Proteomics 2015, 15 (21), 3688-98.
- 483 13. Song, S.; Hooiveld, G. J.; Li, M.; Zhao, F.; Zhang, W.; Xu, X.; Muller, M.; Li, C.;
- 484 Zhou, G., Dietary soy and meat proteins induce distinct physiological and gene 485 expression changes in rats. Scientific reports 2016, 6, 20036.
- 14. Song, S.; Hooiveld, G. J.; Wei, Z.; Li, M.; Fan, Z.; Jing, Z.; Xu, X.; Muller, M.; 486
- 487 Li, C.; Zhou, G., Comparative Proteomics Provides Insights into Metabolic Responses
- 488 in Rat Liver to Isolated Soy and Meat Proteins. Journal of Proteome Research 2016,
- 489 15 (4), 1135-1142.
- 490 15. Song, S.; Hooiveld, G. J.; Li, M.; Zhao, F.; Zhang, W.; Xu, X.; Muller, M.; Li, C.;

- 491 Zhou, G., Distinct physiological, plasma amino acid, and liver transcriptome
- 492 responses to purified dietary beef, chicken, fish, and pork proteins in young rats.
- 493 *Molecular nutrition & food research* **2016**, *60* (5), 1199-205.
- 494 16. Hochberg, Z.; Feil, R.; Constancia, M.; Fraga, M.; Junien, C.; Carel, J. C.;
- Boileau, P.; Le Bouc, Y.; Deal, C. L.; Lillycrop, K.; Scharfmann, R.; Sheppard, A.;
- 496 Skinner, M.; Szyf, M.; Waterland, R. A.; Waxman, D. J.; Whitelaw, E.; Ong, K.;
- Albertsson-Wikland, K., Child health, developmental plasticity, and epigenetic
 programming. *Endocrine reviews* 2011, *32* (2), 159-224.
- 499 17. Agriculture, U. S. D. o. H. a. H. S. a. U. S. D. o., 2015-2020 Dietary Guidelines
- 500 for Americans. 8th Edition ed.; **2015**.
- 501 18. Reeves, P. G.; Nielsen, F. H.; Fahey Jr, G. C., AIN-93 purified diets for laboratory
- rodents: final report of the American Institute of Nutrition ad hoc writing committee
- 503 on the reformulation of the AIN-76A rodent diet. *J nutr* **1993**, *123* (11), 1939-1951.
- Sevillano, J.; Sevillano, J.; de Castro, J.; Herrera, E.; Ramos, M., Validation of
 simple indexes to assess insulin sensitivity during pregnancy in Wistar and
 Sprague-Dawley rats. *American Journal of Physiology-Endocrinology and Metabolism* 2008, 295 (5), E1269-E1276.
- 508 20. Hao, R.; Adoligbe, C.; Jiang, B.; Zhao, X.; Gui, L.; Qu, K.; Wu, S.; Zan, L., An
- 509 Optimized Trichloroacetic Acid/Acetone Precipitation Method for Two-Dimensional 510 Gel Electrophoresis Analysis of Qinchuan Cattle Longissimus Dorsi Muscle
- 511 Containing High Proportion of Marbling. *PloS one* **2015**, *10* (4), e0124723.
- 512 21. Li, C. B.; Li, J.; Zhou, G. H.; Lametsch, R.; Ertbjerg, P.; Bruggemann, D. A.;
- 513 Huang, H. G.; Karlsson, A. H.; Hviid, M.; Lundstrom, K., Electrical stimulation
- affects metabolic enzyme phosphorylation, protease activation, and meat tenderization
- 515 in beef. *Journal of animal science* **2012**, *90* (5), 1638-49.

516	22.	Parodi-Talice,	A.; Mo	onteiro-Goes	, V.;	Arrambide,	N.;	Avila,	A. R.;	Duran,	R.;
-----	-----	----------------	--------	--------------	-------	------------	-----	--------	--------	--------	-----

- 517 Correa, A.; Dallagiovanna, B.; Cayota, A.; Krieger, M.; Goldenberg, S.; Robello, C.,
- 518 Proteomic analysis of metacyclic trypomastigotes undergoing Trypanosoma cruzi
- metacyclogenesis. Journal of mass spectrometry : JMS 2007, 42 (11), 1422-32.
- 520 23. Zheng, A.; Liu, G.; Zhang, Y.; Hou, S.; Chang, W.; Zhang, S.; Cai, H.; Chen, G.,
- 521 Proteomic analysis of liver development of lean Pekin duck (Anas platyrhynchos
- 522 domestica). *Journal of proteomics* **2012**, *75* (17), 5396-413.
- 523 24. Thomas, P. D.; Campbell, M. J.; Kejariwal, A.; Mi, H.; Karlak, B.; Daverman, R.;
- 524 Diemer, K.; Muruganujan, A.; Narechania, A., PANTHER: a library of protein
- families and subfamilies indexed by function. *Genome research* **2003**, *13* (9), 2129-41.
- 527 25. Giannini, E.; Botta, F.; Fasoli, A.; Ceppa, P.; Risso, D.; Lantieri, P. B.; Celle, G.;
- 528 Testa, R., Progressive liver functional impairment is associated with an increase in
- 529 AST ALT ratio. *Digest Dis Sci* **1999**, *44* (6), 1249-1253.
- 530 26. Leung, P. M.; Rogers, Q. R.; Harper, A. E., Effect of amino acid imbalance on
- plasma and tissue free amino acids in the rat. J Nutr **1968**, 96 (3), 303-18.
- 532 27. Hoffenberg, R., Measurement of the synthesis of liver-produced plasma proteins
- with special reference to their regulation by dietary protein and amino acid supply.
- 534 *Proceedings of the Nutrition Society* **1972**, *31* (03), 265-272.
- 535 28. Bergen, W. G., Contribution of research with farm animals to protein metabolism
- concepts: a historical perspective. *Journal of Nutrition* **2007**, *137* (3), 706.
- 537 29. Deglaire, A.; Moughan, P. J., Animal models for determining amino acid
- digestibility in humans a review. British Journal of Nutrition 2012, 108 Suppl 2 (4),
- 539 S273.
- 30. Baker, D. H., Animal models in nutrition research. *Journal of Nutrition* 2008, 138

541 (2), 391.

- 31. Spurlock, M. E.; Gabler, N. K., The development of porcine models of obesity
 and the metabolic syndrome. *Journal of Nutrition* 2008, *138* (2), 397.
- 544 32. Gonzalez, L. M.; Moeser, A. J.; Blikslager, A. T., Porcine models of digestive
- 545 disease: the future of large animal translational research. *Translational Research the*
- 546 Journal of Laboratory & Clinical Medicine 2015, 166 (1), 12-27.
- 33. Westerterp-Plantenga, M. S., Protein intake and energy balance. *Regulatory peptides* 2008, *149* (1-3), 67-9.
- 549 34. Hall, W. L.; Millward, D. J.; Long, S. J.; Morgan, L. M., Casein and whey exert
- 550 different effects on plasma amino acid profiles, gastrointestinal hormone secretion and
- appetite. *The British journal of nutrition* **2003**, *89* (2), 239-48.
- 35. Gietzen, D. W.; Hao, S.; Anthony, T. G., Mechanisms of food intake repression in
 indispensable amino acid deficiency. *Annual review of nutrition* 2007, *27*, 63-78.
- 36. Feurte, S.; Nicolaidis, S.; Berridge, K. C., Conditioned taste aversion in rats for a
- threonine-deficient diet: demonstration by the taste reactivity test. *Physiology & behavior* 2000, 68 (3), 423-9.
- 557 37. Aune, D.; Ursin, G.; Veierød, M. B., Meat consumption and the risk of type 2
- diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis of cohort studies. *Diabetologia* **2009**,
- **559** *52* (11), 2277-2287.
- 38. Boirie, Y.; Dangin, M.; Gachon, P.; Vasson, M. P.; Maubois, J. L.; Beaufrere, B.,
- 561 Slow and fast dietary proteins differently modulate postprandial protein accretion.
- 562 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America
- **1997**, *94* (26), 14930-5.
- 39. Mikkelsen, P. B.; Toubro, S.; Astrup, A., Effect of fat-reduced diets on 24-h energy expenditure: comparisons between animal protein, vegetable protein, and

566	carbohydrate.	The American	journal of	f clinical nutri	ition 2000 ,	72 (5)	, 1135-41.
			/ · · · · · · · · /			· · · ·	,

- 40. Macedo, M. F.; de Sousa, M., Transferrin and the transferrin receptor: of magic
- bullets and other concerns. *Inflammation & allergy drug targets* **2008**, *7*(1), 41-52.
- 41. Matsuo, S.; Ogawa, M.; Muckenthaler, M. U.; Mizui, Y.; Sasaki, S.; Fujimura, T.;
- 570 Takizawa, M.; Ariga, N.; Ozaki, H.; Sakaguchi, M.; Gonzalez, F. J.; Inoue, Y.,
- 571 Hepatocyte Nuclear Factor 4alpha Controls Iron Metabolism and Regulates
- 572 Transferrin Receptor 2 in Mouse Liver. *The Journal of biological chemistry* **2015**.
- 42. Idzerda, R. L.; Huebers, H.; Finch, C. A.; McKnight, G. S., Rat transferrin gene
- 574 expression: tissue-specific regulation by iron deficiency. *Proceedings of the National*
- 575 Academy of Sciences of the United States of America **1986**, 83 (11), 3723-7.
- 43. McGuire, S., US Department of Agriculture and US Department of Health and
- 577 Human Services, Dietary Guidelines for Americans, 2010. Washington, DC: US
- 578 Government Printing Office, January 2011. Advances in Nutrition: An International
- 579 *Review Journal* **2011**, *2* (3), 293-294.
- 580 44. Hurrell, R.; Egli, I., Iron bioavailability and dietary reference values. The
- 581 *American journal of clinical nutrition* **2010**, *91* (5), 1461S-1467S.
- 45. Weinborn, V.; Pizarro, F.; Olivares, M.; Brito, A.; Arredondo, M.; Flores, S.;
- Valenzuela, C., The Effect of Plant Proteins Derived from Cereals and Legumes on
- 584 Heme Iron Absorption. *Nutrients* **2015**, *7* (11), 8977-86.
- 46. Etcheverry, P.; Hawthorne, K. M.; Liang, L. K.; Abrams, S. A.; Griffin, I. J.,
- 586 Effect of beef and soy proteins on the absorption of non-heme iron and inorganic zinc
- in children. Journal of the American College of Nutrition **2006**, 25 (1), 34-40.
- 47. Villalpando, S.; Cruz Vde, L.; Shamah-Levy, T.; Rebollar, R.; Contreras-Manzano,
- A., Nutritional status of iron, vitamin B12, folate, retinol and anemia in children 1 to
- 590 11 years old: Results of the Ensanut 2012. Salud publica de Mexico 2015, 57 (5),

- **591 372-84**.
- 592 48. Reeves, P. G.; Nielsen, F. H.; Fahey, G. C., Jr., AIN-93 purified diets for
- ⁵⁹³ laboratory rodents: final report of the American Institute of Nutrition ad hoc writing
- committee on the reformulation of the AIN-76A rodent diet. *The Journal of nutrition*
- 595 **1993,** *123* (11), 1939-51.

Figure Captions

Figure 1. Growth performance of rats fed casein, soy, red meat and white meat protein diets.

A. IBW: initial body weight. B. FBW: final body weight. C. DBWG: daily body weight gain. D. DFI: daily feed intake. E. DFI/DBWG: ratio of daily feed intake to daily body weight gain.

Values are shown as means \pm SD. The numbers of biological repetitions of casein, soy and red meat and white protein groups were 10, 10, 20 and 20, respectively. Different letters above bars indicate significant difference at P < 0.05 analyzed by one-way ANOVA and LSD multiple comparisons.

Figure 2. Adipose tissue weight, liver weight, liver TC and TAG content of rats fed casein, soy, red meat and white meat protein diets.

A. EATW: absolute weight of epididymal adipose tissue; EATW/BW: relative weight of epididymal adipose tissue to body weight. B. LW: absolute weight of liver; LW/BW: relative weight of liver to body weight. C. TAG-L: triacylglycerol in the liver; TC-L: total cholesterol in the liver.

Values are shown as means \pm SD. The numbers of biological repetitions of casein, soy and red meat and white protein groups were 10, 10, 20 and 20, respectively. Different letters above bars indicate significant difference at P < 0.05 tested by one-way ANOVA and LSD multiple comparisons.

Figure 3. Plasma triacylglycerol, cholesterol, glucose and insulin concentrations of rats fed casein, soy, red meat or white meat protein diets.

A1. TAG: triacylglycerol. A2. TC: total cholesterol. A3. HDL-C: high density

lipoprotein-cholesterol. A4. LDL-C: low density lipoprotein-cholesterol. B1. Glucose. B2. Insulin. B3. HOMA-IR = [glucose (mmol/L) \times insulin (mIU/L)/22.5], using fasting values.

Values are shown as means \pm SD. The numbers of biological repetitions of casein, soy and red meat and white protein groups were 10, 10, 20 and 20, respectively. Different letters above bars indicate significant difference at P < 0.05 tested by one-way ANOVA and LSD multiple comparisons.

Figure 4. Plasma transaminase, total protein and urea concentrations of rats fed casein, soy, red meat or white meat protein diets.

A1. ALT: alanine aminotransferase. A2. AST: aspartate aminotransferase. A3. AST/ALT: ratio of aspartate aminotransferase to alanine aminotransferase. B1. TP: Total protein. B2: Urea.

Values are shown as means \pm SD. The numbers of biological repetitions of casein, soy and red meat and white protein groups were 10, 10, 20 and 20, respectively. Different letters above bars indicate significant difference at P < 0.05 tested by one-way ANOVA and LSD multiple comparisons.

g/Kg diet	Casein	Soy	Pork	Beef	Chicken	Fish			
diet composition, g/Kg diet									
Protein ¹	200	203	190	195	192	191			
Cornstarch	398	398	398	398	398	398			
Dyetros	132	132	132	132	132	132			
Sucrose	100	100	100	100	100	100			
Soybean oil	70	70	70	70	70	70			
Cellulose	50	50	50	50	50	50			
Mineral mix ²	35.0	31.9	30.3	33.4	31.4	29.2			
Vitamin mix ³	10	10	10	10	10	10			
L-Cystine ⁴	3.0	0	0	0	0	0			
Choline Bitartrate	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5			
nutritional level, U/Kg									
Energy, Kcal	4056	4056	4056	4056	4056	4056			
Protein, g	177	177	177	177	177	177			
Fat, g	70	70	70	70	70	70			
Carbohydrate, g	680	680	680	680	680	680			

Table 1. Ingredient composition and nutritional content of diets

Protein¹, the amount of protein powder was adjusted and balanced according to the protein content in soy and meat protein powder. Mineral mix², the formulation of mineral mixes for the six diets was listed in the Supplemental Table 1 online. Vitamin mix³: the formulation of vitamin mix was referenced to the paper⁴⁸. L-Cystine⁴: the amino acid composition of soy and meat protein diets were not modified.

	meat protein diets.						
	casein	soy	white meat	red meat			
µmol/L	n=10	n=10	n=20	n=20			
TAA	3609 ± 349^{b}	2586±220 ^c	4058±416 ^a	3527±617 ^b			
EAA	2030±255 ^b	1265±129 ^c	2412±332 ^a	2102±381 ^b			
NEAA	1579±102 ^a	1321±110 ^b	1646±151 ^a	1424±261 ^b			
Val	207±38.1 ^a	121±18.4 ^c	189±25.6 ^a	160±29.4 ^b			
Ile	99±15.18 ^a	67±15.8 ^c	$95.4{\pm}14.4^{a}$	82.0±15.9 ^b			
Leu	148±24.4 ^a	88.7±17.9 ^c	135±22.8 ^a	113±24.0 ^b			
Lys	581±92.6 ^a	355±81.2°	576±102 ^a	466±86.8 ^b			
Met	82.0±8.16 ^a	48.9±9.85 ^c	76.9±11.9 ^a	65.8 ± 9.77^{b}			
Phe	56.9 ± 5.6^{b}	36.0±8.81 ^c	66.7 ± 7.52^{a}	52.1 ± 14.3^{b}			
Thr	653±133 ^b	370±34.1°	1037±194 ^a	963±208 ^a			
His	73.2±7.70 ^a	64.8 ± 6.89^{ab}	73.5±9.29 ^a	62.1 ± 11.20^{b}			
Arg	131±14.9 ^b	115 ± 14.4^{b}	162±28.5 ^a	138±30.3 ^b			
Pro	318 ± 42.3^{b}	328 ± 29.5^{b}	374 ± 39.5^{a}	334±55.6 ^b			
Tyr	99.1±11.0 ^a	60.7±9.95 ^b	$101{\pm}20.0^{a}$	90.9±21.9 ^a			
Asp	21.5±4.93 ^a	12.8±4.17 ^b	16.2±5.42 ^b	13.5±7.55 ^b			
Glu	127±25.3 ^a	75.1±15.8 ^b	87.4±13.6 ^b	$85.4{\pm}24.5^{b}$			
Ala	466±59.7 ^a	264±42.0°	400±97.6 ^b	326±71.9°			
Ser	256±30.7 ^b	269±21.5 ^b	320±35.1 ^a	267±51.3 ^b			
Gly	280±44.3 ^b	294±30.1 ^{ab}	335±38.9 ^a	291±51.2 ^b			

Table 2. Plasma amino acid concentrations of rats fed casein, soy, red meat or white

Values are shown as means \pm SD. The different superscript letters within the same column mean statistical significant difference at P < 0.05 analyzed by one-way ANOVA and LSD multiple test. TAA: the sum of 17 kinds of amino acids in plasma including Arg, Pro, Met, Val, Ser, Gly, Lys, Thr, Phe, Asp, Ile, Leu, Cys, Glu, Ala, Tyr, His. EAA: the sum of 9 kinds of essential amino acids in plasma including Arg, Met, Val, Lys, Thr, Phe, Ile, Leu, His. NEAA: the sum of 8 kinds of non-essential amino acids in plasma including Pro, Ser, Gly, Asp, Cys, Glu, Ala, Tyr.

16.2±2.57

16.7±6.5

18.1±6.4

14.3±3.2

Cys

ID	symbol	protein name	casein	soy	white	red	GO BP	GO MF	GO CC
					meat	meat			
P15999	ATP5A1	ATP synthase subunit alpha	1.00 ^b	1.54 ^a	1.55 ^a	1.45 ^a	ATP synthesis	ATPase activity	mitochondrion
P13221	GOT1	Aspartate aminotransferase	1.00^{b}	2.22 ^a	1.73 ^{ab}	1.06 ^b	amino-acid biosynthesis	aminotransferase	cytoplasm
P00481	OTC	Ornithine carbamoyltransferase	1.00 ^b	1.83 ^a	0.78^{b}	0.71^{b}	urea cycle	transferase	mitochondrion
Q02253	ALDH6A1	Methylmalonate-semialdehyde	1.00^{ab}	1.32 ^a	0.87 ^b	1.06 ^{ab}	valine metabolic process	oxidoreductase	mitochondrion
		dehydrogenase [acylating]							
P13444	MAT1A	S-adenosylmethionine synthase	1.00^{ab}	1.26 ^a	0.82 ^b	0.80^{b}	methionine metabolic	transferase	cytoplasm
		isoform type-1					process		
P62630	EF1A1	Elongation factor 1-alpha 1	1.00^{b}	1.45 ^a	1.07 ^b	0.94 ^b	protein biosynthesis	elongation factor	cytoplasm
P19112	FBP1	Fructose-1,6-bisphosphatase 1	1.00^{b}	1.61 ^a	0.87 ^b	0.72^{b}	gluconeogenesis	hydrolase	cytoplasm
P12346	TF	Serotransferrin	1.00^{b}	1.85 ^a	1.86 ^a	1.27 ^b	iron ion transport	ferrous iron	extracellular
								binding	space
Q63716	PRDX1	Peroxiredoxin-1	1.00°	1.83 ^a	1.41 ^b	1.24 ^{bc}	response to oxidative stress	peroxiredoxin	cytoplasm
								activity	
Q9WVK7	HADH	Hydroxyacyl-coenzyme A	1.00^{bc}	1.57^{ab}	1.65 ^a	0.86 ^c	fatty acid beta-oxidation	oxidoreductase	mitochondrion
		dehydrogenase							
P18163	ACSL1	Long-chain-fatty-acidCoA ligase 1	1.00 ^b	1.10^{ab}	1.46 ^a	1.29 ^{ab}	fatty acid metabolic process	ligase	mitochondrion
D4A1W8	MTTP	Microsomal triglyceride transfer	1.00^{b}	1.07^{ab}	1.46 ^a	1.40^{ab}	lipoprotein transport	lipid transporter	plasma
		protein						activity	membrane
P24329	TST	Thiosulfate sulfurtransferase	1.00^{b}	0.97 ^b	1.36 ^a	0.99 ^b	sulfur amino acid catabolic	transferase	mitochondrion
							process		
P06757	ADH1	Alcohol dehydrogenase 1	1.00^{b}	1.05 ^b	1.59 ^a	1.35 ^{ab}	acetaldehyde biosynthetic	oxidoreductase	cytoplasm
							process		

Table 3. Liver protein expression changes of rats fed casein, soy, red meat or white meat protein diets.

Q6UPE0	CHDH	Choline dehydrogenase	1.00^{b}	1.26 ^{ab}	1.50 ^a	1.27 ^{ab}	choline oxidation process	oxidoreductase	mitochondrion
Q5XIH3	NDUFV1	NADH dehydrogenase (Ubiquinone)	1.00 ^b	1.59 ^{ab}	1.65 ^a	1.35 ^{ab}	electron transport	NAD binding	mitochondrion
		flavoprotein 1							
P04636	MDH2	Malate dehydrogenase	1.00 ^b	1.44 ^{ab}	1.67 ^a	1.93 ^a	tricarboxylic acid cycle	oxidoreductase	mitochondrion
P04762	CAT	Catalase	1.00 ^b	1.38 ^{ab}	1.83 ^a	1.91 ^a	hydrogen peroxide catabolic	catalase activity	peroxisome
							process		
P04642	LDHA	L-lactate dehydrogenase A chain	1.00^{bc}	0.86 ^c	1.23 ^{ab}	1.39 ^a	lactate metabolic process	oxidoreductase	cytoplasm
P12928	PKLR	Pyruvate kinase PKLR	1.00^{b}	0.66 ^c	1.14 ^b	1.38 ^a	glycolysis	kinase	cytoplasm
Q68FS4	LAP3	Cytosol aminopeptidase	1.00^{a}	0.55 ^b	0.90 ^a	0.95 ^a	proteolysis	aminopeptidase	cytoplasm
D3ZZL9	GCC2	GRIP and coiled-coil	1.00^{a}	0.65 ^b	0.95 ^a	0.96 ^a	protein transport	protein binding	cytoplasm
		domain-containing protein 2							
O35077	GPD1	Glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase	1.00^{ab}	0.64 ^c	0.94 ^b	1.13 ^a	triglyceride biosynthesis	oxidoreductase	cytoplasm
		[NAD(+)]							
P16638	ACLY	ATP-citrate synthase	1.00 ^{ab}	0.54 ^b	1.18 ^a	1.38 ^a	lipid biosynthetic process	transferase	cytoplasm
P97532	MPST	3-mercaptopyruvate sulfurtransferase	1.00 ^a	0.93 ^a	0.64 ^b	0.60 ^b	transsulfuration	transferase	cytoplasm
Protein e	xpression cl	hanges were represented as fold ch	anges.	The diff	erent su	uperscri	pt letters within the same	column mean sta	tistical significa

difference at *P*<0.05 analyzed by one-way ANOVA and LSD multiple comparison of protein spots intensities (Supplementary Table 1). The numbers of biological repetitions of 2-DE analysis of casein, soy and red meat and white protein groups were 5, 5, 10 and 10, respectively. GO-BP: Gene Ontology-biological process; GO-MF: Gene Ontology-molecular function; GO-CC: Gene Ontology-cellular component.







Figure 2



Figure 3



Figure 4



