1	SOILS, SEC # • REVIEW ARTICLE
2	
3	Advances in research on the use of biochar in soil for remediation: a review
4	
5	Eric F. Zama ^{a,b} • Brian J. Reid ^d • Hans Peter H. Arp ^e • Guo-Xin Sun ^{c*} • Hai-Yan Yuan ^c • Yong-Guan
6	Zhu ^{a,c*}
7	
8	^a Key Lab of Urban Environment and Health, Institute of Urban Environment, Chinese Academy of Sciences,
9	Xiamen 361021, People's Republic of China.
10	^b University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, People's Republic of China
11	^c State Key Lab of Urban and Regional Ecology, Research Center for Eco-Environmental Sciences, Chinese
12	Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100085, P.R. China
13	^d School of Environmental Sciences, University of East Anglia, Norwich Research Park, Norwich NR4 7TJ, UK
14	^e Department of Environmental Engineering, Norwegian Geotechnical Institute (NGI), P.O. Box 3930, Ullevål
15	Stadion, N-0806 Oslo, Norway
16	
17	
18	🖂 Guo-Xin Sun
19	gxsun@rcees.ac.cn, Tel: +86 10-62849328
20	⊠ Yong-Guan Zhu
21	ygzhu@iue.ac.cn, Tel: +86 592-6190997

23 Abstract

Purpose Soil contamination mainly from human activities remains a major environmental problem in the contemporary world. Significant work has been undertaken to position biochar as a low-cost material useful for the management of contaminants in various environmental media notably soil. Here, we review the increasing research on the use of biochar in soil for the remediation of some organic and inorganic contaminants.

Materials and methods Bibliometric analysis were carried out within the past 10 years to determine the increasing trend in research related to biochar in soil for contaminant remediation. Five exemplar contaminants were reviewed in both laboratory and field-based studies. These included two inorganic (i.e. As and Pb), and three organic classes (i.e. sulfamethoxazole, atrazine, and PAHs). The contaminants were selected based on bibliometric data and as representatives of their various contaminant classes. For example, As and Pb are potentially toxic elements (anionic and cationic, respectively) while sulfamethoxazole, atrazine, and PAHs represent antibiotics, herbicides and hydrocarbons, respectively.

Results and discussion The interaction between biochar and contaminants in soil is largely driven by biochar precursor material and pyrolysis temperature as well as some characteristics of the contaminants such as octanolwater partition coefficient (K_{OW}) and polarity. The structural and chemical characteristics of biochar in turn determine the major sorption mechanisms and define biochar's suitability for contaminant sorption. Based on the reviewed literature, a soil treatment plan is suggested to guide the application of biochar in various soil types (paddy soils, brownfield and mine soils) at different pH levels (4 - 5.5) and contaminant concentrations (< 50 and > 50 mg kg⁻¹).

42 **Conclusions** Research on biochar has grown over the years with significant focus on its properties, and how these 43 affect biochar's ability to immobilize organic and inorganic contaminants in soil. Few of these studies have been 44 field-based. More studies with greater focus on field-based soil remediation are therefore required to fully 45 understand the behavior of biochar under natural circumstances. Other recommendations are made aimed at 46 stimulating future research in areas where significant knowledge gaps exist.

47

Keywords Contaminants • Immobilization • Inorganic • Organic • Laboratory • Field • Sorption

1. Introduction

49

50 The contamination of soil by organic and inorganic contaminants is one of the major environmental challenges 51 faced by the world. Growth in industrial activities, increased use of pesticides, herbicides, antibiotics, agricultural 52 fertilizers, and fossil fuel consumption often leads to soil contamination with potential severe health risks to the 53 human population. Both policy makers and scientists have continued to seek novel ways of managing 54 contamination from organic and inorganic compounds in soil. Phytoremediation, precipitation, ion exchange, 55 membrane filtration and coagulation are some of the ways that have been used for the treatment of pollution in 56 soil and water (Ferniza-García et al. 2017; Paz-Ferreiro et al. 2014). However, these techniques are not always 57 cost-effective and may end up generating contaminant-rich waste. This has in part prompted researchers to 58 investigate the use of readily available biochar materials to mitigate contamination issues in soil (Lehmann et al. 59 2015; Qian et al. 2015; Yang et al. 2017).

60 Research relating to biochar has been on-going since the discovery of terra preta by James Orton in 1870 (Ahmad 61 et al. 2014; Lehmann et al. 2009; Lehmann et al. 2015). As a result of its high permeability, biochar has also been 62 demonstrated as an attractive material for the sorption of suspended particulate matter, capture of potentially toxic 63 elements (PTEs) and the sorption and/or degradation of biological pathogens (Reddy et al. 2014). Biochar has also 64 been reported as an effective material to improve soil properties and reduce the hazardous effects of soil 65 acidification (Dai et al., 2017b). Researchers have performed elaborate tests on biochar derived from many 66 different biomass materials (e.g. wood, yard wastes, leaves, bones, sludge, etc.). Until date, questions are still being 67 raised whether biochar is a source or a sink of organic and inorganic contaminants (Hilber et al. 2017b). However, 68 the majority of these studies have suggested that biochar has the ability to sorb most organic and inorganic 69 contaminants from soil and water at rates depending mainly on specific biochar properties as underpinned by the 70 type of biomass material used and pyrolysis temperature applied (Fabietti et al. 2010; Silvani et al. 2017).

Until recently, the addition of biochar to soil has largely focused on its ability to improve soil quality physically,
chemically and biologically (Guo et al. 2016; Manyà, 2012) rather than its ability to remediate contamination.
Many more studies have, (within the past 5 years), been carried out dealing with soil contamination and the use of
biochar for remediation (Qian et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2017; Yang et al., 2017).

75 This review is aimed at assessing significant research contributions in the use of biochar for the management of 76 five contaminants in soil (three organic and two inorganic). The contaminants [arsenic (As), lead (Pb), 77 sulfamethoxazole (SMX), atrazine and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs)] were selected based on 78 bibliometric analysis as discussed in section 2 and as representatives of their various contaminant classes. For 79 example, As is a metalloid representing inorganic anions, Pb represents inorganic cations, atrazine and 80 sulfamethoxazole are mobile (polar/ionic) compounds representing herbicides and antibiotics, respectively while 81 PAHs are nonpolar and hydrophobic representing hydrocarbon contaminants. The review focuses on the known 82 properties of biochar and contaminants and how these interact in sorption or immobilization processes in soil. It 83 differentiates sorption efficiencies in the laboratory or greenhouse and in the field under natural environmental 84 conditions and outlines the challenges faced in the field application of biochar for soil remediation. The review 85 also presents a treatment plan (based on assessed literature) aimed at guiding the use of biochar on contaminated 86 soil at various pH levels. In addition to contaminant sorption, soil remediation may encompass aspects of 87 phytoremediation, revegetation, and the complete restoration of several ecosystem services including biomass 88 production and carbon sequestration. However, these aspects were well outside the scope of this review.

89 2. Bibliometric analysis

An assessment in 2015 found that, nearly 46% of studies on the use of biochar in contaminant remediation focused 90 91 on its sorption ability for potentially toxic elements (PTEs) while 54% have focused on the sorption of organic 92 pollutants and other nitrogen and phosphorus related contaminants (Tan et al. 2015). Clearly, there has been a 93 surge in research involving the use of biochar in soil as sorbent over the past decade (Fig. 2a, Table S1). Three 94 key search words (Biochar, soil, [As or Pb or SMX or atrazine or PAHs]) were used in ScienceDirect database to 95 retrieve publications from 2009 to 2017 focusing on the removal of the five contaminants considered in this review. 96 Retrieved data was further sorted out to ensure that the publications were strictly on remediation of the 97 contaminants of concern by biochar. A total of 1,508 publications were found from 2009 to 2017 (Table S1) 98 involving all the five contaminants. Total yearly publications on all the contaminants increased from only 7 in 99 2009 to 572 in 2017 highlighting the increasing research interest on soil remediation using biochar. Pb had the 100 highest number of publications (532) while sulfamethoxazole (SMX) had the least publications (60) within the 101 study period (Table S1).

102 Field experiments involving the use of biochar on the five contaminants of interest were fewer within the study 103 period (2009 to 2017) with only 39 publications found in field studies. This number also grew from zero (0) in 104 2009 to 11 and 6 in 2016 and 2017, respectively. The highest number of field studies were done on PAHs (15) 105 followed by Pb (11) (Fig. 1). This significantly lower number of field studies may be attributed to some 106 environmental constraints (changing temperatures, rainfall, microbial activity and soil pH) impeding the 107 application of biochar in the field. The analysis of publications on field work suggest that significant work is 108 required to assess the efficiency of biochar in the field and to translate laboratory or greenhouse results to field 109 realities, consistent with the conclusions of Hilber et al. (2017a). The number of publications between 2009 and 110 2017 grouped according to publication type (i.e. research articles, review articles, book chapters, encyclopedia, 111 abstracts, editorials, short communications and others) for Pb and PAHs are shown on Fig. 2b. The distribution 112 of publications according to type for all five contaminants is presented on Fig. S1. The majority of publications 113 were on direct research work totaling 962 for all five contaminants and closely followed by review articles totaling 114 249 for all five contaminants of interest. The rest of the publications were made in the form of book chapters, 115 encyclopedia, abstracts, editorials, short communications and others (Fig. S1).

116

3. Biochar characterization

117 **3.1. Description**

118 Biochar has varied descriptions but three aspects are re-current; (1) biochar is dark, porous and very rich in carbon 119 (usually 40 to 90%), (2) it is prepared by heating organic material at temperatures above 250 °C and (3) it is 120 prepared under conditions of little or no oxygen supply (Ahmad et al. 2014; Fellet et al. 2011; Liu et al. 2015; 121 Wang et al. 2015a). As a pyrogenic material, biochar can be distinguished from black carbon and activated carbon 122 by its structure and function (Zhang et al. 2013). Black carbon generally refers to all naturally formed carbonaceous 123 material (e.g. soots, charcoals) (Hammes et al. 2007; Samsuri et al. 2013). Activated carbon is formed from high 124 temperature (~ 800 °C) or chemical treatment of carbonaceous materials (Ahiduzzaman et al., 2016; Park et al., 125 2013b), with added steps to ensure high porosity and surface area, such as adding catalysts and inert gases. Biochar 126 is generally less of a pure carbonaceous material than activated carbon, and as such it can include more O-127 containing carboxyl, hydroxyl and phenolic functional groups and the presence of inorganic minerals (Ahmad et 128 al. 2014; Liu et al. 2015). Pyrolysis processes can be wet or dry depending on whether or not water was used during the pyrolysis. In dry pyrolysis which produces biochar often referred to as pyrochars (Han et al. 2016), only 129

heat and little or no oxygen supply is required whereas wet pyrolysis which produces hydrochars (Han et al. 2016)
involves the use of water and high pressure (Libra et al. 2011). This review focuses on pyrochars produced from
dry pyrolysis of biomass.

- 133
- 134

3.2. Chemical changes during dry pyrolysis

Biomass responds to increased heating by undergoing chemical bond modification where aliphatic bonds are converted to aromatic bonds in biochar (Xiao et al. 2014a). During pyrolysis, hemicellulose decomposes first, at temperatures between 200 and 260 °C, while cellulose and lignin decompose at temperatures ranging from 240 to 350 and 280 to 500 °C, respectively (Liu et al. 2015). The transformative processes begin with biomass appearing crystalline and then quickly turning into amorphous carbon with altered bonds and chemical composition. The latter then converts into biochar with stacked sheets of poorly structured polyaromatic hydrocarbon sheets (graphene) which is finally consolidated to very strong graphitic sheets (Nartey and Zhao, 2014).

142

143 **3.3.** Surface chemistry

144 The surface chemistry of biochar is complex with heterogeneous chemical compositions which often depend on 145 the type of biomass material and pyrolysis conditions. Biochar surface exhibits acidity (mainly supplied by 146 carboxyl and hydroxyl groups) and basicity (mainly supplied by O and N functional groups and $C-\pi$ electrons and 147 some minerals like CaO, MgO, and CaCO₃) (Pignatello et al. 2017) in conjunction with hydrophilic and 148 hydrophobic properties (Kookana et al. 2011). Fundamentally, the structure of biochar is supported by carbon (C) 149 arranged hexagonally in a 'honeycomb' pattern without oxygen or hydrogen (Lehmann and Joseph, 2015). 150 Heteroatoms (e.g. N, S, O, P) are often present while inorganic minerals (e.g. Mg, Na, Ca, Si, and K) and some 151 PTEs (e.g. Al, Cd, As, and Pb) may also be present in small amounts (Freddo et al. 2012). During pyrolysis, K and 152 Cl tend to vaporize easily at lower temperatures (< 300 °C), while P, N, Mg, S, and Ca tend to be covalently bound 153 to complex organic compounds and can only vaporize at higher temperatures (> 500 °C) (Kookana et al. 2011). 154 Increasing temperatures favour the release of gases such as CO₂, CO and NO_x as carbon-rich compounds 155 decompose (Liu et al. 2015). Hydroxyl and carboxylic functional groups are most abundant in biochars derived 156 from fast pyrolysis (rapid heating of biomass at moderate to high temperatures (~700 °C)) while C-H groups 157 become dominant in biochars produced from slow pyrolysis (gradual heating of biomass over a wide range of 158 temperatures (250 – 900 °C)) (Tripathi et al., 2016). Other functional groups on the surfaces of biochar may include nitriles, carbonyls, peptides, phenols, quinones, lactones, and pyrones (Liu et al. 2015) which may be fewercompared to other organic matter like humus (Hale et al. 2016).

161

162 **3.4.** Stability in soil

163 Large deposits of dark char in the amazon basin have existed for thousands of years (Lehmann et al. 2011). The 164 presence of this material and its persistence in soil for long periods of time is indicative of its high resistance to 165 biological decay (McLaughlin et al. 2009; Zhu et al. 2016). When biochar is incorporated in soil, its half-life may 166 be up to several thousand years (Wu et al. 2013). The resistance to decay and persistence in soil may be 167 advantageous in a sorption system as it would imply the immobilization of strongly-sorbed contaminants for a 168 significant amount of time. The H/C ratio of biochar has been suggested to be a very important indicator of 169 biochar's stability in soil, potentially because it is an indicator of aromaticity (Han et al. 2016). Biochars with H/C 170 ratios below 0.7 are considered very stable, presumably because aromatic structures are slower to degrade. The 171 stability (and aromaticity) of biochars is influenced not only by the type of feedstock and pyrolysis temperature 172 but also biochar's interaction with soil under specific pedo-climatic conditions (Herath et al. 2015).

173 What happens to the biochar beyond tens of thousands of years as reported in the literature (Lehmann et al. 2011) 174 remains uncertain. While Xu et al. (2014) and Zhu et al. (2016) suggested some minimal microbial action on the 175 biochar, Spokas et al. (2014) suggests that, over time, the biochar disintegrates into micro and nano-scale fragments 176 that are capable of infiltrating into soil. This disintegration has been reported to occur as the result of repeated 177 swelling and cracking of biochar's graphitic sheets, leading to a crumbling of the physical structure (Spokas et al. 178 2014). Extremely small and mobile biochar particles, which would be similar to colloidal black carbon particles, 179 are capable of becoming mobilized in the subsurface (Enell et al. 2016; Wang et al. 2013). Singh et al. (2014) also 180 suggested that some of the recalcitrant carbon, over longer periods of time, gets mineralized or may turn into 181 organic matter when biotic and abiotic oxidation takes place under conditions of high soil temperature and 182 moisture.

183

3.5. Suitability for contaminant sorption

Sorption is a physicochemical transfer process in which materials (contaminants) are partitioned between the
sorbent and aqueous phases (Yavari et al. 2015). Some properties of the sorbent including surface area, pore

186 sizes/volume, CEC, pH, surface functional groups, molecular structure, hydrophobicity, solubility, polarity and 187 minerals are crucial for this transfer process (Yavari et al. 2015). The suitability of biochar as a sorbent hinges not 188 only on these properties and its resistance to decomposition and mineralization, but also on its low-cost availability 189 from a wide range of precursors notably agricultural by-products (plant husk, plant straw, pine bark, sawdust, 190 bagasse, fruit wastes, weeds, dried aquatic plants), sewage sludge and even automobile tyres (Gupta et al. 2015). 191 Yavari et al. (2015) reviewed that the sorption capacity of organic matter is enhanced 10 - 1000 times after charring 192 to products like biochar through pyrolysis. The structural and chemical composition of biochar (which can easily 193 be engineered) often correlate well with its function as a sorbent. Large pore sizes and pore volumes with high 194 CO_2 measured surface areas (often greater than 100 m² g⁻¹) equip biochar with high sorption tendencies (Liu et al. 195 2015; Lehmann and Joseph, 2015). Pyrolysis temperature is the underlying factor that determines pore distribution 196 (including pore size and pore volume) (Rawal et al. 2016; Uchimiya et al., 2011a) and specific surface area (SSA) 197 of biochars (Hale et al. 2016; Xiao et al. 2014a; Zama et al. 2017). This high polyaromaticity and nanoporosity 198 (Pignatello et al. 2017) as well as hydrophobicity and high surface area make biochar physically a sorbent with 199 qualities similar to, but not as substantial as, activated carbon for non-polar substances (Huggins et al. 2016). 200 However, unlike activated carbon, biochar's polarity and abundant surface functional groups can also intensify the 201 number of sorption sites for polar or ionic substances, including metals.

202

4. Biochar's interaction with As, Pb, SMX, atrazine and PAHs in soil

203 4.1. Arsenic (As)

204 Bibliometric analysis found 302 publications and 6 field-based studies focusing on the removal of As by biochar 205 in soil (Table S1). Arsenic is a metalloid oxo-anion existing in the natural environment as arsenite [As(III)] and 206 arsenate [As(V)] usually in combination with sulfur, oxygen and iron (Ungureanu et al. 2015). Soil easily adsorbs 207 As(V) although its ability to retain the anion is relatively poor (Agrafioti et al. 2014). The oxidation state of As 208 has a great role to play in its sorption or immobilization in soil. This observation was made by Pan et al. (2015) 209 and Yokoyama et al. (2012) who noticed that the ratio of the coefficients of distribution of As(III) and As(V) on 210 calcite (K_{As(V)}/K_{As(III})) at neutral pH was larger than 2100. Wang et al. (2015b) also had similar observations but 211 added that the relatively easy removal of As(V) from the environment is also attributable to its higher mobility. 212 Many different types of biochars (notably sewage sludge, rice straw/husk, and manure) have been tested for As

removal in soil and the majority of them have been counter-productive (increasing pore water As concentration)

214 (Yamaguchi et al. 2011). Studies in the laboratory or greenhouse under controlled conditions of pH, temperature, 215 moisture etc. have reported significantly high concentrations of As in pore water or plant tissues following the 216 addition of biochar. For example, Zheng et al. (2012) reported a 327% increase in As concentration in rice shoot 217 following the amendment of rice husk and straw biochars pyrolysed at 500 °C while Beesley et al. (2013) reported 218 up to 300% increase in As pore water concentration following soil amendment with orchard prune residue biochar 219 produced at 500 °C. In both studies, initial soil As concentration was 77 µg g⁻¹ and 6000 mg g⁻¹, respectively. 220 According Beesley et al. (2013), biochar's framework (porosity) harbours conditions that create a net negative 221 redox potential and in such circumstances, less As is sorbed to biochar while the majority is mobile. In addition, 222 the presence of As mainly as oxo-anions also limits ion exchange interactions on the predominantly anionic biochar 223 surfaces (Yang et al. 2016), particularly because biochar addition in soil often increases pH which decreases 224 positively charged sorption sites on the biochar (Baig et al. 2014). Zheng et al. (2012) also cited the presence of 225 Si and P in soil which may out-compete As for sorption sites on biochar leaving As mobile.

226 To improve As sorption, researchers have frequently modified biochars with oxides and hydroxides of Fe and Mn. 227 For example, Yu et al. (2017) recently modified corn straw biochar produced at 600 °C with MnO to remove As 228 in contaminated soils $(47.2 - 73.0 \text{ mg g}^{-1})$. The results showed that modification significantly decreased As 229 concentration in the roots, stems, leaves and grains from 356, 3.93, 4.88, and 0.349 mg g⁻¹, respectively in control 230 (without biochar) to 241, 3.08, 3.77 and 0.328 mg g⁻¹, respectively in soil treated with 0.5% modified biochar. This 231 represented a percentage decrease of 40.8, 44.3, 33.2, and 17.7%, respectively. Similar results have been reported 232 when other researchers used Fe-modified/Fe-rich biochars for the removal of As (Rajapaksha et al. 2016; Samsuri 233 et al. 2013)

234 Field-based studies aimed at using biochar for the sorption or immobilization of As under natural environmental 235 conditions are rare. Only 3 field studies were found on ScienceDirect database focusing on As removal in field 236 soils using biochar. In the field, the addition of unmodified biochar in soil encounters similar trends like laboratory 237 or greenhouse studies where As mobility is enhanced following the addition of biochar. For example the NH₄NO₃ 238 extractable concentration of As in paddy soil $(34 \mu g g^{-1})$ significantly increased by 73.3% when rice straw biochars 239 pyrolysed at 500 °C were added at 20 t ha⁻¹ in a 4 months study (Zheng et al. 2015). Increase in As concentration 240 in pore water or plant tissue in the field has been found to be at least 2 orders of magnitude less than that reported 241 in laboratory or greenhouse studies due to environmental constraints (Zheng et al. 2015) (Table S2) discussed in 242 section 5.

243 Studies have also shown that As lacks the ability to engage in complexation and precipitation reactions with 244 biochar (Zama et al., 2017). This explains why pristine biochar does not do well in As removal. However when 245 biochar is modified (e.g. Fe modification), some complexes such as Mn(Fe)–O-As and Fe–O–C (Yang et al. 2016) 246 may be formed on the surfaces of biochar which increases the density of positively charged sites at low pH values 247 (< 4.5) and in turn increases the sorption of As (Yang et al. 2016). Manning et al. (2002) observed that As(III) is 248 easily oxidized to As(V) in the presence of MnO₂ (equation 1). Modifying biochar with MnO₂ therefore enhances 249 the removal of As(III) and As(V) by converting As(III) to As(V) which is easily sorbed (Yu et al., 2017; Wang et 250 al., 2015b; Gude et al., 2017)

251

$$MnO_2 + H_3AsO_3 + 2H^+ \longrightarrow Mn^{2+} + H_3AsO_4 + H_2O$$
(1)

Hartley et al. (2009) also observed the influence of pH and the presence of entities like P and Si on the sorption of As by biochar. Lower pH values (i.e. pH < 5) favour the release of H⁺ which may enhance electrostatic attraction with the predominantly anionic As specie in soil. Phosphorus (often present as PO₄ ions) has chemical similarities with As and would compete with As for sorption sites on the biochar (Hartley et al. 2009). The presence of PO₄ therefore limits the sorption of As which is often released into the surrounding soil solution.

258

259 4.2. Lead (Pb)

260 According to bibliometric analysis, lead (Pb) has been the highest PTE studied for its interaction with biochar in soil. Up to 532 publications and 11 field-based, studies were found focusing on Pb removal by biochar in soil 261 (Table S1). It is a common contaminant present in most urban and suburban soils in significant quantities (ATSDR, 262 2011). Many different types of biochars (wood-based, husk-based, sewage sludge, bone-based and yard wastes) at 263 various pyrolysis temperatures (mainly 300 - 700 °C) have been experimented on Pb sorption in soil. All these 264 biochars (both modified and un-modified) have been very effective in the sorption removal of Pb in environmental 265 media (averaging 90% sorption rates in water and 60% in soil) (Li et al. 2016). Compared to most PTEs, Pb is 266 relatively easier to immobilize possibly because of its involvement in multiple sorption mechanisms with biochar 267 (i.e. pore filling, formation of complexes and precipitates, and ion exchange mechanisms) (Han et al. 2016; Xu et 268 al. 2016). 269

Apart from pyrolysis temperature and feedstock type which influence the removal of Pb (Uchimiya et al., 2012), 270 laboratory or greenhouse experiments involving biochar and Pb sorption in soil have shown that soil pH, particle 271 272 size and biochar amount also play key roles in Pb removal (Xu and Zhao, 2013; Uchimiya, 2014). These properties 273 have been extensively tested and known to affect Pb mobility in soil although the high affinity between most 274 biochars and Pb often compensates for these effects. In an experiment carried out by Houben et al. (2013) on a heavily Pb contaminated soil (~3110 mg kg⁻¹), miscanthus straw biochar applied at 10% (w/w) decreased 275 bioavailable Pb in pore water by 92%. This was attributed to the increase in soil pH from 5.62 to 6.70 after biochar 276 addition. Similar effects were observed when Lu et al. (2014) added 5% (w/w) of rice straw biochars, pyrolysed 277 at 500 °C, to a sandy loam moderately acidic (pH ~5.7) paddy soils contaminated with Pb (527 mg kg⁻¹) and other 278 metals. The study suggested that a combination of increasing pH (~ 6.2), high biochar rate (up to 78 t ha^{-1}) and 279 280 small particle size (< 0.25 mm) may have contributed to the 71% of reduction in Pb concentration in the Sedum plumbizincicola shoots. Many more studies have reported similar trends in Pb sorption in controlled 281 laboratory/greenhouse condition. 282

283 The trend of Pb removal in the field soil (where concentrations decrease with biochar addition under field 284 conditions) is similar to laboratory or greenhouse trends. However, sorption amounts differ in field trials 285 (consistently lower than laboratory or greenhouse amounts even under similar conditions) due to differences in 286 soil pH and initial Pb concentrations, which are harder to control in the field. In a five-year field experiment, Pb 287 was immobilized in soil by wheat straw biochar mainly in the form of stabilized carbonates, organic and residual 288 forms (Cui et al. 2016) (Table S2). Abundant functional groups and complex structures in the biochar played key 289 roles in the stabilization of Pb by 3.7 – 19.8% while improving soil microstructure and increasing soil pH and soil 290 organic matter. Most field studies have used greater than 10 t ha⁻¹ of biochar to achieve averagely 50% Pb 291 immobilization. For example, Zheng et al. (2015) used 20 t ha⁻¹ of rice straw biochar to achieve 40.7% Pb removal 292 (NH₄NO₃ extractable) while Bian et al. (2014) used 10, 20 and 40 t ha⁻¹ of wheat straw biochar to achieve 33.3, 293 79.6 and 59.1% Pb removal, respectively (CaCl₂ extractable) (Table S2). Initial Pb concentrations and type of 294 extractant may have influenced extracted amounts and in both experiments, extracted concentrations were 295 consistently lower, compared to laboratory or greenhouse studies.

296 Physical processes such as pore filling have been rarely reported as major mechanisms for Pb removal in soil.297 Researchers have frequently cited non-specific chemisorption mechanisms like ion exchange (Uchimiya, 2014),

complexation and precipitation (**Fig. 3**) with inorganic components (e.g. CO_3^{2-} , SO_4^{2-}) as the main mechanisms driving Pb sorption in soil (Chi et al. 2017; Li et al. 2017; Park et al. 2013a). Soil pH tends to influence most of these processes (Uchimiya, 2014). **Fig. S2** indicates the removal of organic and inorganic contaminants by typical processes such as microbial breakdown (**Fig. S2a**) and complexation reactions (**Fig. S2b**), respectively.

302 Ion exchange is sorption mechanism involving weak reversible reactions (Alfen, 2014). Usually, inorganic mineral 303 cations (e.g. Mg²⁺, Na⁺, Ca²⁺, and K⁺) are scattered on the surfaces and pores of biochar and tend to increase with 304 increasing pyrolysis temperatures due to increased ash content (Lehmann et al. 2009). Contaminant cations such as Pb²⁺ can easily displace these mineral cations, which are bound to anionic sites on the biochar, leading to 305 306 sorption of the contaminants. A major characteristic of ion exchange is charge balance and selective replacement (Sposito, 2008). Typically one mole of Pb^{2+} would exchange with two moles of K⁺ to ensure charge balance and 307 308 ions held together by weak electrostatic forces on the surfaces of biochar are replaced by contaminant ions of the 309 same charge but with a higher charge density (Alfen, 2014). For example, Pb²⁺ might replace K⁺ (equation 2), 310 which in turn might replace Na⁺ because of its larger charge density (Ahmed et al., 1998). However, Alfen, (2014) 311 reported that although ions of higher charge density are preferably sorbed, outcomes depend on the relative 312 concentration of the ions in the system.

The formation of precipitates such as lead phosphate and hydrocerussite (Equations 3 and 4, respectively) often formed between Pb^{2+} and mineral ions present on the surfaces of biochar represents another major mechanism driving Pb sorption on biochar (Tran et al. 2016) (**Fig. 3**). Very often, minerals such as calcite (CaCO₃), althausite (Mg₂PO₄OH), and caminite (Mg₃(SO₄)₂(OH)₂) present on biochar surfaces (Wang et al. 2015a) release ions such as PO₄³⁻, SO₄²⁻, and CO₃²⁻, which undergo precipitation and co-precipitation reactions with Pb. These sorption processes may also be affected by the pH of the soil solution.

$$2\mathbf{K}^{+} + \mathbf{Pb}^{2+}_{(\text{soil})} \Longrightarrow \mathbf{Pb}^{2+} + 2\mathbf{K}^{+}_{(\text{soil})}$$
(2)

$$320 \qquad 6HPO_4^{2-} + 9Pb + 6OH^- \longrightarrow Pb_9(PO_4)_6 + 6H_2O \qquad (3)$$

$$321 \qquad 2HCO_3^- + 3Pb^{2+} + 4OH^- \longrightarrow Pb_3(CO_3)_2 + 2H_2O \qquad (4)$$

$$322 \qquad 2(=FeOH) + Pb^{2+} \longrightarrow (-FeO)_2Pb^+ + 2H^+$$
(5)

323 The formation of monodentate and bidentate complexes with Pb ions (equation 5) is also a major mechanism for 324 Pb sorption by biochar (Najar et al. 2010; Sposito, 2008). A large amount of functional groups including hydroxyls, 325 carboxyls, nitriles, ketones, and alkyls often develops on the surfaces of biochar at optimal pyrolysis temperatures 326 (< 500 °C) (Uchimiya et al. 2011b) when anomeric O-C-O and O-alkylated groups undergo cleavage in addition 327 to the formation of fused-ring aromatic C-O groups (Li et al. 2013; Nartey and Zhao, 2014). Higher pH values (> 328 5.0) in soil solution influences the deprotonation of functional groups with a higher chance of coordination with 329 Pb ions (Li et al. 2016). Moreover, at higher pH (> 5.0), Tsai et al. (2012) observed that Pb begins to hydrolyze as Pb $(OH)^+$ which also improves its sorption on biochar. Fig. S2b illustrates Pb²⁺ binding to a carboxylic group on 330 331 the surface of biochar. Pb sorption on biochar may also follow a single Langmuir model, which describes surface 332 sorption through coordination of Pb d-electron to C=C (π -electron) and -O-Pb bonds (Cao et al. 2009).

333

4.3. Sulfamethoxazole (SMX) (C₁₀H₁₁N₃O₃S)

334 Sulfamethoxazole is the most commonly detected sulfonamide-based antibiotic in soil (Li et al. 2015; Shimabuku 335 et al. 2016). It is used in this review to represent the antibiotic class of contaminants. Within the past 10 years, 336 many publications have been made on a wide range of antibiotic contaminants based on sciencedirect database. 337 However only 60 have focused on SMX and biochar in soil (Table S1) amongst which none were field-based. 338 SMX has been found to cause widespread aquifer contamination due to its high mobility in soil (Avisar et al. 2009; 339 Lian et al. 2014; Tamtam et al. 2011). It is widely used in livestock treatment especially in New Zealand 340 (Srinivasan and Sarmah, 2015) and is almost ubiquitously present in groundwater. Its physical properties are 341 outlined on Table 1.

342 In the laboratory or greenhouse, biochar has been fairly good in SMX sorption with reports of up to 50% sorption 343 efficiency. Bamboo, pine sawdust, corncobs, sugarcane bagasse, and wood-based biochars are some examples 344 frequently used in SMX removal. For instance, Srinivasan and Sarmah (2015) reported significant increases in 345 SMX sorption when green waste, corncob and pine sawdust biochars pyrolysed at 350 to 700 °C were added to a 346 silt loam soil at 0.5 and 1% (w/w). Pine sawdust had the highest sorption affinity for SMX which was attributed 347 to its large surface area (795 $m^2 g^{-1}$) and lesser exchangeable cations which make the biochar surface less 348 hydrophilic. Apart from surface area, Zheng et al. (2013) also observed that soil pH also affected SMX sorption 349 considerably. At pH 1.0, more than 86.3% of cationic SMX was sorbed and biochar surfaces became positively 350 charged. When the pH values were between 2.0 and 5.0, the dominant SMX species sorbed onto biochars was

neutral and biochar surfaces became positive while pH values greater than 6.0 resulted to more anionic SMX species (> 70%) with stronger negative biochar surfaces. SMX has very low K_d values (0.6 - 3.1 L kg⁻¹) and differences in K_d values between biochar and SMX may also affect SMX sorption in soil. All biochars such as bamboo, Brazilian pepper wood, sugarcane bagasse, and hickory wood with higher K_d values (2 - 104 L kg⁻¹) (Yao et al. 2012) would have the ability to immobilize SMX in soil solution.

356 Pore-filling, cation exchange, and electrostatic interactions have been suggested as the major mechanisms 357 influencing SMX sorption in soil (Zheng et al. 2013). Pyrolysis temperature and pH of soil are crucial for these 358 sorption processes (Reemtsma et al. 2016; Zheng et al. 2013). As explained by Zheng et al. (2013), SMX charge 359 state easily switches between negative, neutral and positive depending on the pH of the soil and this greatly 360 influences sorption characteristics especially ion exchange. Both Zheng et al. (2013) and Lian et al. (2014) have 361 underscored the importance of charge assisted H-bonding in SMX sorption which ensures anionic SMX sorption 362 even at higher pH values (> 7.0). At pH 5.0, where SMX tends to be neutral, sorption is ensured by hydrophobic interaction, π - π EDA interaction and pore-filling. However, SMX's K_{OW} at 0.89 (Table 1) has a contrary 363 364 indication that SMX is more hydrophilic and partitioning into hydrophobic biochar surfaces is rarely a major 365 mechanism for SMX sorption. Lower temperature biochars (~250 °C) contain more O-containing functional 366 groups and when the pK_a of such biochars nears that of SMX ($pK_a = 5.7$), sorption is enhanced. Inorganic fractions 367 are often more abundant on biochar at higher temperatures. These inorganic fractions tend to complex very strongly 368 with SMX and other antibiotics but such complexation reactions have rarely been reported as major mechanisms 369 for SMX sorption.

370

371

1 4.4. Atrazine (2-Chloro 4-ethylamino 6-isopropylamino-s-triazine)

Atrazine is a mobile polar contaminant used in this review to represent the triazine family of contaminants. It is an
herbicide commonly used for weed suppression, and has physical properties that mirror most herbicides (Table
1). Various sorbents including activated carbon (Tan et al. 2016), organic matter, humics and minerals (Deng et
al. 2014) have been used in the past to capture atrazine. According to the bibliometric analysis, up to 150
publications focusing on biochar and atrazine sorption in soil have been made between 2009 and 2017 (Table S1).
Amongst these, only 4 publications were field-based.

378 The removal of atrazine by biochar in the laboratory or greenhouse has also been largely successful. Wood and

379 manure-based biochars (e.g. pine wood and dairy waste manure) have been frequently used for atrazine removal

380 which is significantly affected by soil DOC and pH. For example, at low pH values (pH < 3), cassava waste biochar 381 prepared at 350 - 750 °C and applied at 1% sorbed up to 86.6% of atrazine in an artificially contaminated 382 krasnozem soil (20 mg L⁻¹) (Deng et al. 2014). In a related study, southern crabgrass, common lambsquarter, 383 lettuce and wheat biochars were effective in removing atrazine by 89, 34, 100, and 45%, respectively although its 384 herbicidal activity was also greatly reduced (Soni et al. 2015). However, the decrease in its herbicidal activity was 385 dependent on soil DOC and pH. Increasing the DOC fraction of soil increases the chances of partitioning into the 386 organic C fraction and surface sorption which plays a key role in atrazine removal (Cao et al. 2011). Compared to 387 unmodified manioc waste biochar made at 750 °C, FeCl₃ modified manioc waste biochars demonstrated a higher 388 sorption capacity for atrazine by 17.5 times in tropical soils implying that biochar modification can be very 389 beneficial for atrazine sorption (Deng et al., 2014).

390 Reports have shown no significant difference in the sorption of atrazine in the field and laboratory or greenhouse. 391 In a field study to determine the influence of biochar on atrazine immobilization, Soni et al. (2015) added 0.5 kg 392 m⁻² of biochar produced from pine wood chips at 800 °C to atrazine contaminated soil and reported 75% decrease 393 in atrazine concentration (Table S2). The slope of atrazine immobilization was 16 times higher in biochar amended 394 soils than soil alone when linear regression analysis was done implying that biochar had a high affinity for atrazine. 395 However, the addition of biochar also decreased the control of broadleaf weed from 70% in soil without biochar 396 to 5% in soil containing atrazine and biochar. This implied that the addition of biochar significantly weakened the 397 performance of atrazine as an herbicide. Delwiche et al. (2014) also carried out field studies (Table S2) to assess 398 the leachability of atrazine under increasingly heterogeneous soil conditions. The study used biochar from peat 399 pyrolysed at 700 and 750 °C by flash pyrolysis and observed that the peak atrazine concentration in groundwater 400 reduced by 58% following the addition of 10 t ha^{-1} of biochar. Unexpectedly, the percentage decrease in atrazine 401 in the field study was not significantly different from that obtained in the laboratory (55%) implying that field 402 conditions did not have any significant influence on the performance of pine wood chip biochar in atrazine sorption 403 (Delwiche et al. 2014).

404 Pore-filling and electrostatic interactions appear to be the major mechanisms driving atrazine sorption in soil (Fig. 405 3a). Atrazine is polar and can accept or donate electrons during reactions with amino groups. Its polarity and 406 solubility (Table 1) also mean that atrazine can easily form covalent bonds with polar biochar surfaces in 407 complexation interactions. However at low pH, biochar surfaces protonate which may influence the sorption of 408 the slightly basic atrazine (pKa = 1.7) through electrostatic attractions. Loganathan et al. (2009) noted that the 409 sorption of atrazine ($K_{OW} \sim 1.72$) involved sorption on carbonized surfaces and partitioning into the residual organic 410 matter of char (biochar). Hao et al. (2013) reported a negative correlation between carbon normalized sorption 411 coefficient (K_{OC}) and H/C and (O+N)/C when corn cobs biochar produced at 350 - 650 °C was applied to atrazine 412 contaminated soil also implying that aromatic carbon and hydrophobic surfaces were influential in atrazine 413 sorption. Pore-filling was also suggested by Hao et al. (2013 as a key mechanism influencing atrazine removal in 414 soil. For ionic organic contaminants or ionic and ionizable molecules like atrazine, there are additional sorption 415 interactions such as cationic or anionic exchange interactions (Fig. 3) to charged moieties on the biochar surface 416 or mineral impurities (Droge and Goss, 2012; Droge and Goss, 2013). The intensity of these interactions can be 417 dependent on the pH as well as counterions in the pore water, as the pH can affect the ionization state of both 418 biochar and molecules, and both pH and counterions can effect ion-exchange processes of organic ions (Arp et al. 419 2017).

420

4.5. Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs)

421 PAHs are a class of neutral organic compounds with multiple fused aromatic rings produced. It is used in this 422 review to represent hydrocarbons which cause widespread contamination in soil, water and air (Zhang et al. 2015), 423 and possess a wide range of mobility and health risks. Given that PAHs are also a class of compounds within the 424 hydrocarbons family, significant research has been undertaken involving biochar and PAHs in soil. The 425 sciencedirect database found 464 publications within the past 10 years with focus on PAHs removal by biochar in 426 soil (Table S1). Amongst the contaminants selected in this review, PAHs had the highest number of field studies 427 (up to 15) carried out mainly between 2015 and 2017. Some physical characteristics of PAHs, likely to influence 428 their sorption on biochar, are presented on Table 1.

429 The interaction between biochar and a wide range of PAHs in the laboratory or greenhouse has been reported extensively in literature with varied and high removal efficiencies. However, these removal efficiencies are 430 431 affected by some biochar and soil properties including the nature of pyrolysis, particle size and microbial 432 community (Waqas et al. 2015). For example, Ogbonnaya et al. (2016) investigated the efficiency of wood-derived biochar made by slow pyrolysis and gasification and observed that biochar produced by slow pyrolysis was better 433 434 at immobilizing ¹⁴C-naphthalene in soil than biochar produced by gasification. This could be attributed to the 435 presence of a larger amount of pores and organic functional groups on slowly pyrolysed biochar 450 - 500 °C (ramped at 10 °C min⁻¹) compared to gasified biochar at 900 - 1000 °C. The influence of particle size was 436

437 investigated by Zand and Grathwoh (2016) on the immobilization and leaching of $\Sigma 16$ PAHs from contaminated 438 soils in column experiments using crushed and pulverized forest wood biochars. The addition of 5% crushed 439 biochar (2 mm) decreased 80% PAHs in leachates while 5% pulverized biochar (1 µm) amendment decreased up 440 to 98% PAHs in leachates, implying that smaller sized biochar is better at immobilizing PAHs possibly because 441 of its larger surface area. The study also observed that crushed biochar increased the mobility of higher molecular 442 weight PAHs (i.e. pyrene, benzo(b)fluoranthene, and indeno (1,2,3-cd)) which depended on DOC for their 443 transportation unlike pulverized biochar which depended on colloids formed after biochar addition. Recently, 444 Xiong et al. (2017) investigated the influence of a microbe-biochar composite (Mycobacterium gilvum and rice 445 straw biochar) on the remediation of PAHs contaminated coke plant soil. The authors observed superior 446 degradation of phenanthrene, fluoranthene and pyrene by 62.6, 52.1 and 62.1%, respectively after the treatment 447 with microbe-biochar composite. This increase in PAHs degradation compared to less than 47.3% when free cells 448 alone and biochar alone were used could be attributed to enhanced mass transfer of PAHs from the soil to biochar 449 (acting as sink) and the subsequent degradation of these PAHs by the immobilized M. gilvum (Xiong et al. 2017). 450 Modifying biochar may also increase the sorption of PAHs in soil. Reports have indicated that more than 90% of 451 PAHs are often removed from soil when biochar is modified with base substances. For example, base modification 452 of rice straw, wood and bamboo biochars produced at temperatures below 500 °C using NaOH was shown to 453 significantly increase the removal of phenanthrene in soil by 72%. According to Feng and Zhu (2018), this was 454 because base modification increased the Koc of extractable biochar by up to 60% - 751%.

455 In field experiments, the influence of microbial activity on PAHs degradation has been significantly highlighted. 456 For example, Stefaniuk et al. (2017) recently experimented the removal of Σ 16PAHs by willow (*Salix viminalis*) 457 biochar on podzolic soil (Σ 16PAHs concentration ~128.8 µg kg⁻¹) (Table S2) and in the 18 months field 458 experiment, there was no significant change in Σ 16PAHs concentration for the first 12 months. However, after the 459 12^{th} month, up to 45% reduction in Σ 16PAHs concentration in soil was recorded especially when biochar was 460 added at the rate of 2.5%. The study suggested that during the first 12 months, microbial activity, which is crucial 461 for PAHs degradation, focused on available soil organic matter (SOM). As SOM reduced, degradation of the 462 harder PAHs then accelerated. The majority of the biochars produced at 400 - 700 °C often come with Σ 16PAHs 463 concentrations ranging from 0.4 - 1987 mg kg⁻¹, and 12 - 81 ng L⁻¹, respectively. However, Hilber et al. (2017b) and Rombolà et al. (2015) noted that the majority of these PAHs bound in the biochar (used for soil remediation) 464 465 were not bioavailable. The biochars acted mainly as contaminant sinks rather than sources of PAHs. In an 466 experiment carried out by Hilber et al. (2017b), the PAHs of 25 out of 33 biochar samples were resistant to467 desorption.

468 Unlike SMX and atrazine, PAHs have a higher K_{OW} (> 3.5) (**Table 1**) indicating its hydrophobic nature and the 469 possibility of partitioning into hydrophobic biochar surfaces as one of the leading mechanisms for PAHs sorption. 470 However, studies have reported non-specific van der Waal and specific electron-donor-acceptor (EDA) 471 interactions such as π - π interactions (Anyika et al. 2015) and H-bonding (Goss and Schwarzenbach, 2001) as key 472 mechanisms for PAHs sorption. According to Pignatello et al. (2017), π electron clouds of arenes may engage in 473 non-covalent interactions with cations, anions, proton-donor molecules, and the π electron cloud of other arenes 474 (Fig. 3a). The formation of coplanar interactions between biochar polyaromatic sheets and neutral or charged arene 475 contaminants is the most important π -interactions.

476 The breakdown of PAHs contaminants by microbial activity may also constitute a major mechanism for their 477 removal in soil (Fig. S2a). The activity of large populations of microbes, often present in soil, may be enhanced by the addition of biochar, which offers a platform for the microbes to degrade PAHs. The biochar also helps in 478 479 shuttling electrons between molecules and microbes (Pignatello et al. 2017; Zhao et al. 2016). Ni et al. (2017) 480 recently investigated the mechanisms in which biochar reduces the bioaccumulation of PAHs in carrot (Daucus 481 carota) and observed that corn straw and bamboo biochars pyrolysed at 300 and 700 °C, respectively decreased 482 bioaccumulation of PAHs in carrot due to the presence of Arthrobacter and Flavobacterium in soil which play 483 significant roles in microbial PAH degradation processes. They suggested that biochar application to soil can 484 enhance the growth of indigenous microbes which degrade PAHs and reduce their bioavailability and 485 bioaccumulation. Biochar interaction with microbes can be summarized in seven different ways. These include 486 biochar as a habitat for microbes, promoting enzyme activity, improving soil properties, source of signaling 487 molecules for microbial communication, adsorption of nutrients through CEC, toxicity to microbes and enhancing 488 soil contaminant degradation (Kołtowski et al., 2017; Zhu et al., 2017). The redox potential of biochar facilitates 489 microbial degradation of organic contaminants (Yu et al., 2015; Chen et al., 2014). The strategy here, is using biochars as pretreatments to immobilize and concentrate organic contaminants such as PAHs in soil. After the 490 491 pretreatment, an inoculation with PAH-degrading microbes such as Phanerochaete chrysosporium, Pleurotus 492 Ostreatus, Pseudomonas putida, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, and Acinetobacter radioresistens is done which 493 completes the final degradation of the PAHs in soil (Chen and Ding, 2012; Galitskava et al., 2016).

Han et al. (2016) presented the specific role of amorphous alkyl, aryl and aromatic C in the sorption of PAHs such as pyrene. Alkyl C appears to have properties similar to kerogen with flexible alkyl domains structurally compatible with organic compounds and offering conducive sorption sites for contaminants (Han et al. 2016). Aromatic C through its aromatic π system likely acts as π electron donors or acceptors towards contaminants. Some organic contaminants like acetaminophen and carbamazepine may also contain electron donating groups (e.g. –OH, and –NH₂) which aid in sorbing PAHs onto the biochar through EDA interactions (Han et al. 2016).

500

5. Challenges in field application of biochar

501 As observed in section 4, the field application of biochar frequently led to lower contaminant sorption compared 502 to laboratory or greenhouse biochar application. There appear to be little optimization of biochar in soil when 503 applied directly in the field under natural conditions. This may be due to environmental factors like temperature, 504 wind, moisture, rainfall, soil microbes, pH etc., which are often hard to control (Ogbonnaya and Semple, 2013; 505 Ter Laak et al. 2006). Apart from these environmental constraints, scientists also worry about the likelihood of 506 biochar inhibiting the actions of some beneficial chemicals and a source of contamination itself. The efficiency of 507 DNA extraction in soil was also shown to be affected by biochar addition. This can affect the precise estimation 508 and comparison of soil microbial abundance and diversity. This however depended on pyrolysis temperature, 509 extractable C, and incubation with soil (Dai et al., 2017a).

510 The influence of temperatures on the sorption capacity of biochar has been reported in some laboratory or 511 greenhouse studies. For example, at 25 °C, Liu et al. (2017) reported a sorption capacity of 14.9 mg g⁻¹ for As(V) on rice straw biochar made at 450 °C. However when the temperature increased to 45 °C, the sorption capacity 512 513 increased correspondingly to 17.9 mg g⁻¹ indicating that increase in temperature increases sorption capacities. Soil 514 temperature constantly fluctuates with respect to daytime temperatures, nighttime and across seasonal 515 temperatures. Studies directed towards understanding the influence of temperature on the performance of biochar 516 in the field are rare but it can be inferred from laboratory studies that changing temperatures affect biochar 517 performance in the field.

518 Rainfall and wind conditions also affect biochar sorption capacities under field conditions. Heavy rainfall and 519 runoffs may cause leaching and percolation of tiny biochar particles deeper into soil or floating larger particles 520 away, separating them from contaminants which significantly reduces their likelihood of contact and sorption. Fractions of biochar may be lost during application under windy conditions in the field. Major (2010) estimated that 2% of biochar is lost while loading a spreader, 3% is lost during transportation and about 30% is lost during spreading amounting to almost 30% of biochar loss during field application with significant cost effects. The presence of microbes in soil can also affect biochar performance through degradation of biochar particles in the short term or long term. Sorbed contaminants may be re-released into soil once biochar is degraded through microbial action.

527 Several studies notably Hale et al. (2012), Han et al. (2016), Hilber et al. (2012) and Yavari et al. (2015), have all 528 discussed the possibility of biochar being a source of contaminant itself in soil. During pyrolysis, compounds such 529 as PAHs, dioxins and PCBs may develop in biochar together with some heavy metals like Pb, Cd, Cu, Zn, and Al 530 from the original biomass material (Hilber et al. 2017a). These compounds are often not available for microbial 531 breakdown as they remain tightly bound to biochar matrices through π - π interactions (Yavari et al. 2015). The type 532 of pyrolysis, feedstock and time determine the concentration of these compounds in biochar (Hale et al. 2012). Quicker production methods (e.g. flash pyrolysis and gasification) often result to biochars with elevated PAHs and 533 dioxin concentrations (~45 μ g g⁻¹ and 92 pg g⁻¹, respectively). Han et al. (2016) cautioned that this should always 534 535 be taken into consideration before using biochar for contaminant remediation although Hilber et al. (2017b) noted 536 that PAHs in biochar is usually desorption resistant and can only become bioavailable at higher concentrations (> 537 10 mg kg⁻¹).

538 Another setback in the application of biochar in the field is its potential to reduce the efficacy of some herbicides 539 and pesticides applied in soil such as atrazine (Yavari et al. 2015). As described above, biochar has a high sorption 540 affinity for atrazine which easily becomes immobilized through chemical and physical sorption onto biochar. In 541 soil, the entrapped herbicide or pesticide may significantly decrease its efficiency in weed or pest control. For 542 example, Nag et al. (2011) realized that atrazine dosage required to reduce ryegrass weed biomass by 50% 543 increased by 3.5 times following the addition of 1% wheat straw biochar produced at 450 °C. This implies that the 544 use of biochar may increase herbicide use and the overall cost of application and may result to the evolution of 545 herbicide resistant weed (Yavari et al. 2015).

6. Selecting biochars for the treatment of contaminated soils

547 Despite the large volume of information available on biochar sorption/immobilization of organic and inorganic 548 contaminants in soil, fundamental questions remain un-answered notably, "which biochar (including its 549 characteristics) is most suitable for the removal of target contaminants". So far, it is extremely challenging to select 550 the 'best' biochar for a particular contaminant in soil (a situation hinged to the significant variability in biochar 551 feedstock, pyrolysis temperatures, particle sizes, pH, elemental content, surface area, ash content, and even nature 552 of modification). The methods of biochar production (wet or dry pyrolysis, gasification, slow or fast pyrolysis, 553 flash pyrolysis) also produce biochars with different properties. The rates of biochar application, contact times and 554 environmental factors (pH, temperature, rainfall, wind, moisture, redox etc.) all have an impact on the performance 555 of biochar as a sorbent. Suggestions have however been made based on some estimated soil conditions such as 556 soil type, level of contamination and pH for the selection of biochars and biochar properties most suitable for the 557 sorption or immobilization of As, Pb, SMX, Atrazine or PAHs in soil (Table 2). Two biochar types were suggested 558 for each contaminant and for each biochar type, pyrolysis temperature was considered a primary determining factor 559 for sorption capacity. Other variables considered in the selection were pH and application rate (Table 2).

560 Arsenic (As): Rice straw and sewage sludge biochars prepared at 400 - 500 °C were suggested for As remediation 561 in soil (Table 2). Rice straw biochar can easily be modified with Fe or Mn (hydr)oxides and it contains Si which 562 easily precipitates with As to products like Gonardite [(Na, Ca, K)₂ (Si, Al)₅O₁₀.3H₂O] (Treacy and Higgins, 2007) 563 or simply inhibits the transfer of As to plant tissues (Chen et al. 2017). Without modification, sewage sludge 564 biochar may contain some oxides and hydroxides of Fe and Mn, which have been very effective in the removal of 565 As in contaminated soils (Rajapaksha et al. 2016). The modification of biochar for the removal of As in soil is 566 highly recommended given that pristine biochar rarely sorbs As. It rather increases its mobility. Many researchers 567 have also recommended chemical modification, where chemical agents such as KOH (Jin et al. 2014), Ca 568 (Agrafioti et al. 2014), Si, clay (Yao et al. 2014) are used to change the surface functionality of biochar in favour 569 of As or other metals sorption (Liu et al. 2015; Rajapaksha et al. 2016). It is important to make biochars at relatively 570 low pyrolysis temperatures (< 500 °C) which have less ash and low pH which favours oxo-anionic As sorption.

571 **Lead (Pb):** The sorption of Pb hinges on complexation, ion exchange and precipitation reactions. The availability 572 of functional groups and inorganic ions are therefore important. Wood-based biochars are suitable because of their 573 characteristic large surface areas, porous structure resulting from thermal cracking and unequal shrinking of 574 interior and exterior woody masses during pyrolysis (Yavari et al. 2015) and abundance of surface functional 575 groups. Dairy manure biochar is also suitable especially for Pb sorption because of the presence of PO_4^{3-} ions 576 which easily precipitate with Pb into more stable $Pb_5(PO_4)_3OH$ (Cao et al. 2011). It is important to pyrolyze these

577 biochars at 500 - 600 °C (**Table 2**) to have a high surface area and still retain most surface functional groups which

578 enhance complexation with Cd and Pb. At 600 °C, more inorganic minerals develop on biochar surfaces to increase

pH which favours Cd²⁺ and Pb²⁺ sorption by enhancing cation exchange (Zama et al. 2017) 579

580 SMX: Wood and manure-based biochars with high porosity may be most suitable for SMX sorption through pore-

581 filling. A suitable biochar for SMX sorption should also have abundant functional groups to enhance polar

582 interactions between polar SMX and biochar. Lower temperature biochars (< 400 °C) which have many functional

583 groups are therefore preferred (Table 2). Some studies even recommend pyrolysis temperatures as low as 150 °C

584 (Sun et al. 2011). The biochars may also be associated with inorganic minerals (e.g. Mg, Ca) which also enhance

585 SMX sorption (Yao et al. 2012). Low pH (< 5) is preferred, however, the formation of charge assisted H-bond on

586 low temperature biochars also enhances sorption of SMX even at higher pH values (Lian et al. 2014)

587 Atrazine: Like SMX, wood and manure-based biochars are suitable for atrazine removal because of their high 588 porosity which favours pore-filing. These biochars should also be pyrolysed at low temperature (e.g. 300 °C) to 589 retain some residual organic matter where atrazine is easily partitioned (Loganathan et al. 2009). Manure biochars 590 are also rich in minerals and high surface carbon which is suitable for the sorption of atrazine.

591 PAHs: For the sorption of PAHs, wood-based biochars pyrolysed at higher temperatures (500 - 800 °C) (Table 2) 592 are most suitable because they offer hydrophobic surfaces on which PAHs are easily partitioned (Fang et al. 2013). 593 Sun et al. (2013) suggested that the biochars could be de-ashed to remove excess minerals and opening up many 594 more hydrophobic sorption sites for PAHs. Although the hydrophobicity of sewage sludge biochar is not 595 significant, it is still highly recommended for the sorption of PAHs because sewage sludge can easily stimulate 596 soil microbial activity with the growth of microorganisms capable of degrading PAHs (Ter Laak et al. 2006).

597

598

7. Conclusions and future perspectives

599 Research on biochar has grown over the years with significant focus on its properties and how they affect its ability 600 to immobilize both organic and inorganic contaminants. Biochar remains an impressive alternative in soil 601 remediation. Few biochars may be inefficient in soil contaminant removal especially in As remediation but the 602 majority of biochars achieve significant sorption results (often greater than 50%) when used in the soil remediation. 603 The interaction between biochar and contaminants is governed by processes such as electrostatic attraction, 604 precipitation, complexation and microbial activity and these strongly depend on biochar's precursor material,

 pyrolysis temperature and the characteristics of the contaminants such as K_{OW} and polarity. The sorption of contaminants in the laboratory or greenhouse under controlled conditions is always significantly higher than sorption in the field under natural conditions. In the field, temperature, rainfall, wind, pH etc., are harder to control and have significant influence on the sorption capacity of biochars.

609 Many studies have been done on biochar interaction with biochar in soil. However very few of these studies have 610 been field-based. More studies with greater focus on soil remediation in the field under natural conditions are 611 therefore required to fully understand the behavior of biochar under such circumstances. A wide range of biochars 612 with different physicochemical properties have been tested for their sorption capacities on contaminants both in 613 soil and water. There is no conclusive evidence that 'best' biochars for target contaminants exist. Significant work 614 is required to ensure case-specific and precision in the use of biochar with respect to biochar type, preparation 615 conditions, application rate, application time, and recovery procedures. The quantity and quality as well as the type 616 of biochar that can be accommodated by a particular soil type without interrupting its normal function also needs 617 further investigation. While it is important to fully understand the mechanisms of retention of contaminant ions on 618 biochar, it is also important to understand the coordination of these mechanisms (i.e. whether they work 619 independently on each other or complementarily). Further work is also needed to clarify this aspect. Currently, 620 what happens to diverse biochar particles over long time scales remains uncertain given that biochar is highly 621 resistant to biological decay. More long-term, real-time studies are required to understand the transportation and 622 transformation of nanoscale biochar particles.

623

Acknowledgements This study was financially supported by the National Key Research and Development
Program of China (2016YFD0800400, 2017YFD0800303), the National Natural Science Foundation of China
(No. 41571130062, 41371459) and CAS-TWAS president's fellowship for postgraduate studies.

627

628 Notes: The authors declare no competing financial interests

629

630 References

Agrafioti E, Kalderis D, Diamadopoulos E (2014). Arsenic and chromium removal from water using biochars
derived from rice husk, organic solid wastes and sewage sludge. J. Environ. Manage. 133, 309 – 314

- Agrafioti E, Kalderis D, Diamadopoulos E (2014). Ca and Fe modified biochars as adsorbents of arsenic and
 chromium in aqueous solutions. J. Environ. Manage. 146, 444-450
- Ahiduzzaman M, Islam, AS (2016). Preparation of porous bio-char and activated carbon from rice husk by leaching
 ash and chemical activation. SpringerPlus, 5, 1248.
- 637 Ahmad M, Rajapaksha AU, Lim JE, Zhang M, Bolan N, Mohan D, Vithanage MS, Lee S, Ok Y. S., (2014).
- Biochar as a sorbent for contaminant management in soil and water: A review. Chemosphere, 99, 19–23.
- Ahmed S, Chughtai S, Keane MA (1998). The removal of cadmium and lead from aqueous solution by ion
 exchange with Na-Y zeolite. Sep Purif Technol, 13, 57-64.
- 641 Alfen NKV, Ed., (2014). Encyclopedia of Agriculture and Food Systems. Davis USA,
- Anyika C, Majid ZA, Ibrahim Z, Zakaria MP, Yahya A (2015). The impact of biochars on sorption and
 biodegradation of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in soils—a review. Environ Sci Pollut R, 22, 33143341
- Arp HPH, Brown TN, Berger U, Hale S, (2017). Ranking REACH registered neutral, ionizable and ionic organic
 chemicals based on their aquatic persistency and mobility. Environ. Sci: Proc. Imp. 19, 939-955.
- 647 ATSDR (Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry) (2003). Toxicological profile for Atrazine US
 648 Department of Human and Health Services,
- ATSDR (Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry) (2011). Toxicological profile for Cadmium. US
 Department of Human and Health Services,
- Avisar D, Lester Y, Ronen D (2009). Sulfamethoxazole contamination of a deep phreatic aquifer. Sci Total
 Environ. 407, 4278-4282.
- Baig SA, Sheng T, Sun C, Xue X, Tan L, Xu X (2014). Arsenic removal from aqueous solutions using Fe₃O₄HBC composite: Effect of calcination on adsorbents performance. PLoS One, 9, e100704.
- Beesley L, Marmiroli M, Pagano L, Pigoni V, Fellet G, Fresno T, Vamerali T, Bandiera M, Marmiroli N (2013).
- Biochar addition to an arsenic contaminated soil increases arsenic concentrations in the pore water but
- reduces uptake to tomato plants (Solanum lycopersicum). Sci. Total Environ. 454-455, 598-603
- 658 Beesley L, Moreno-Jiménez E, Gomez-Eyles JL (2010). Effects of biochar and greenwaste compost amendments
- on mobility, bioavailability and toxicity of inorganic and organic contaminants in a multi-element polluted
- 660 soil. Environ Pollut, 158, 2282-2287.

- Bian R, Joseph S, Cui L, Pan G, Li L, Liu X, Zhang A, Rutlidge H, Wonge S, Chia C, Marjo C, Gong B, Munroe
- P, Donne S (2014). A three-year experiment confirms continuous immobilization of cadmium and lead in
 contaminated paddy field with biochar amendment. J. Hazard Mater. 272, 121–128
- Cao X, Ma L, Gao B, Harris W (2009). Dairy-manure derived biochar effectively sorbs lead and Atrazine. Environ.
 Sci. Technol. 43, 3285–3291
- Cao X, Ma L, Liang Y, Gao B, Harris W (2011). Simultaneous immobilization of lead and atrazine in contaminated
 soils using dairy-manure biochar. Environ Sci. Technol. 45, 4884-4889.
- 668 Chen B, Ding J (2012). Biosorption and biodegradation of phenanthrene and pyrene in sterilized and unsterilized
 669 soil slurry systems stimulated by *Phanerochaete chrysosporium*. J Hazard Mater, 229, 159-169.
- 670 Chen B, Yuan M (2011). Enhanced sorption of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons by soil amended with biochar.
 671 J Soil Sediments. 11, 62-71
- 672 Chen D, Guo H, Li R, Li L, Pan G, Chang A, Joseph S (2016). Low uptake affinity cultivars with biochar to tackle
- 673 Cd-tainted rice—a field study over four rice seasons in Hunan, China. Sci. Total Environ, 541, 1489-1498.
- 674 Chen H, Gao B, Li H, Ma L (2011). Effects of pH and ionic strength on sulfamethoxazole and ciprofloxacin
 675 transport in saturated porous media. J. Contam. Hydrol. 126, 29-36
- 676 Chen SS, Rotaru AE, Shrestha PM, Malvankar NS, Liu FH, Fan W, Nevin KP, Lovley DR (2014). Promoting
 677 interspecies electron transfer with biochar. Sci Rep 4: 5019.
- 678 Chen Y, Han YH, Cao Y, Zhu YG, Rathinasabapathi B, Ma LQ (2017). Arsenic transport in rice and biological
 679 solutions to reduce arsenic risk from rice. Front. Plant Sci., 8.
- 680 Chi T, Zuo J, Liu F (2017). Performance and mechanism for cadmium and lead adsorption from water and soil by
 681 corn straw biochar. Front Env Sci Eng, 11, 15.
- 682 Cui L, Pan G, Li L, Bian R, Liu X, Yan J, Quan G, Ding C, Chen T, Liu Y, Liu Y (2016). Continuous
 683 immobilization of cadmium and lead in biochar amended contaminated paddy soil: A five-year field
 684 experiment. Ecol. Eng., 93, 1-8.
- 685 Cui L, Yan J, Yang Y, Li L, Quan G, Ding C, Chen T, Fu Q, Chang A (2013). Influence of biochar on microbial
 686 activities of heavy metals contaminated paddy fields. BioResource, 8, 5536-5548.
- Dai Z, Webster TM, Enders A, Hanley KL, Xu J, Thies JE, Lehmann J (2017a). DNA extraction efficiency from
 soil as affected by pyrolysis temperature and extractable organic carbon of high-ash biochar. Soil Biology
- 689 and Biochemistry, 115, 129-136.

- 690 Dai Z, Zhang X, Tang C, Muhammad N, Wu J, Brookes PC, Xu J (2017b). Potential role of biochars in decreasing
 691 soil acidification-A critical review. Sci.Total Environ, 581, 601-611.
- 692 Delwiche KB, Lehmann J, Walter MT (2014). Atrazine leaching from biochar-amended soils. Chemosphere, 95,
 693 346–352
- 694 Deng H, Yu H, Chen M, Ge C (2014). Sorption of atrazine in tropical soil by biochar prepared from cassava waste.
 695 BioResourc. 9, 6627-6643
- 696 Droge S, Goss KU (2012). Effect of sodium and calcium cations on the ion-exchange affinity of organic cations
 697 for soil organic matter. Environ Sci. Technol. 46, 5894–5901.
- Droge ST, Goss KU (2013). Ion-exchange affinity of organic cations to natural organic matter: influence of amine
 type and nonionic interactions at two different pHs. Environ Sci Technol. 47, 798-806
- 700 Enell A, Lundstedt S, Arp HP, Josefsson S, Cornelissen G, Kleja DB (2016). Combining Leaching and Passive
- 701 Sampling to Measure the Mobility and Distribution between Porewater, DOC, and Colloids of Native Oxy-

702 PAHs, N-PACs, and PAHs in Historically Contaminated Soil. Environ Sci Technol, 50, 11797-11805

- Fabietti G, Biasioli M, Barberis R, Marsan FA (2010). Soil contamination by organic and inorganic pollutants at
 the regional scale: the case of Piedmont, Italy. J. Soils Sed., 10, 290–300
- Fang Q, Chen B, Lin Y, Guan Y (2013). Aromatic and hydrophobic surfaces of wood-derived biochar enhance
 perchlorate adsorption via hydrogen bonding to oxygen-containing organic groups, Environ. Sci. Technol.
 48, 279–288
- Fellet G, Marchiol L, Vedove GD, Peressotti A (2011). Application of biochar on mine taillings: Effects and
 perspectives for land reclamation. Chemosphere, 83, 1262-1267
- Feng Z, Zhu L (2018). Sorption of phenanthrene to biochar modified by base. Front Environ Sci Eng, 12, 1.
- 711 Ferniza-García F, Amaya-Chávez A, Roa-Morales G, Barrera-Díaz CE (2017). Removal of Pb, Cu, Cd, and Zn
- 712 present in aqueous solution using coupled electrocoagulation-phytoremediation treatment. Inter.J.
 713 ElecChem.
- Freddo A, Cai C, Reid BJ (2012). Environmental contextualization of potential toxic elements and polycyclic
 aromatic hydrocarbons in biochar. Environ Pollut. 171, 18–24.
- Galitskaya P, Akhmetzyanova L, Selivanovskaya S (2016). Biochar-carrying hydrocarbon decomposers promote
 degradation during the early stage of bioremediation. Biogeoscience, 13, 5739.

- Goss KU, Schwarzenbach RP (2001). Linear free energy relationships used to evaluate equilibrium partitioning of
 organic compounds. Environ Sci Technol. 35, 1-9.
- Gude JCJ, Rietveld LC, Van Halem D (2017). As (III) oxidation by MnO₂ during groundwater treatment. Water
 Res. 111, 41-51.
- Guo M, Uchimiya SM, He Z (2016). Agricultural and environmental applications of biochar: Advances and
 barriers. Soil Sci. Society of America Inc., 495-504
- Gupta VK, Nayak A, Agarwal S (2015). Bio-adsorbents for remediation of heavy metals: current status and their
 future prospects. Environ. Eng R., 20, 1-18.
- Gurwick NP, Moore LA, Kelly C, Elias P (2013). A systematic review of biochar research, with a focus on its
 stability in situ and its promise as a climate mitigation strategy. PLoS One, 8, e75932
- Hale SE, Arp HPH, Kupryianchyk D, Cornelissen G (2016). A synthesis of parameters related to the binding of
 neutral organic compounds to charcoal. Chemosphere, 144, 65-74
- 730Hale SE, Lehmann J, Rutherford D, Zimmerman AR, Bachmann RT, Shitumbanuma V, Toole A, Sundkvist K L,
- Arp HPH, Cornelissen G (2012). Quantifying the total and bioavailable Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons
 and Dioxins in biochars. Environ, Sci. Technol. 46, 2830-2838
- 733 Hammes K, Schmidt MW, Smernik RJ, Currie LA, Ball WP, Nguyen TH, Louchouarn P, Houel S, Gustafsson Ö.,
- 734Elmquist M, Cornelissen G (2007). Comparison of quantification methods to measure fire-derived735(black/elemental) carbon in soils and sediments using reference materials from soil, water, sediment and
- the atmosphere. Global Biogeochem Cy, 21, GB3016.
- Han L, Ro KS, Sun K, Sun H, Wang Z, Libra JA, Xing B (2016). New evidence for high sorption capacity of
 hydrochar for hydrophobic organic pollutants. Environ. Sci. Technol. 50, 13274–13282
- Han X, Liang CF, Li TQ, Wang K, Huang HG, Yang XE (2013). Simultaneous removal of cadmium and
 sulfamethoxazole from aqueous solution by rice straw biochar. J. Zhejiang Univ. Sci. B. 14, 640-649
- Hao F, Zhao X, Ouyang W, Lin C, Chen S, Shan Y, Lai X (2013). Molecular structure of corncob-derived biochars
- and the mechanism of atrazine sorption. Agron J, 105, 773-782.
- 743 Hartley W, Dickinson NM, Riby P, Lepp NW (2009). Arsenic mobility in brownfield soils amended with green
- waste compost or biochar and planted with Miscanthus. Environ Pollut, 157, 2654-2662.

- Herath HMSK, Camps-Arbestain M, Hedley MJ, Kirschbaum MUF, Wang T, Hale R (2015). Experimental
 evidence for sequestering C with biochar by avoidance of CO2 emissions from original feedstock and
 protection of native soil organic matter. Gcb Bioenergy, 7, 512-526.
- Hilber I, Bastos AC, Loureiro S, Soja G, Marsz A, Cornelissen G, Bucheli TD (2017a). The different faces of
 biochar: contamination risk versus remediation tool. J. Environ Eng Land. Manage, 1-19.
- Hilber I, Blum F, Leifeld J, Schmidt HP, Bucheli TD (2012). Quantitative determination of PAHs in biochar: a
 prerequisite to ensure its quality and safe application. J Agr Food Chem, 60, 3042-3050.
- Hilber I, Mayer P, Gouliarmou V, Hale SE, Cornelissen G, Schmidt HP, Bucheli TD (2017b). Bioavailability and
 bioaccessibility of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons from (post-pyrolytically treated) biochars.
 Chemosphere, 174, 700-707.
- Houben D, Evrard L, Sonnet P (2013). Beneficial effects of biochar application to contaminated soils on the
 bioavailability of Cd, Pb and Zn and the biomass production of rapeseed (Brassica napus), Biomass
 Bioenerg, 57, 196–204
- Huggins TM, Haeger A, Biffinger JC, Ren ZJ (2016). Granular biochar compared with activated carbon for
 wastewater treatment and resource recovery. Water Res, 94, 225-232
- Jin H, Capareda S, Chang Z, Gao J, Xu Y, Zhang J (2014). Biochar pyrolytically produced from municipal solid
 wastes for aqueous As(V) removal: adsorption property and its improvement with KOH activation.
 Bioresour. Technol. 169, 622 629
- 763 Khan S, Cai C, Waqas M, Arp HPH, Zhu YG (2013). Sewage sludge biochar influence upon rice (Oryza sativa)
- yield, metal bioaccumulation and greenhouse gas emissions from acidic paddy soil. Environ. Sci. Technol.
 47, 8624-8632
- Kołtowski M, Charmas B, Skubiszewska-Zięba J, Oleszczuk P (2017). Effect of biochar activation by different
 methods on toxicity of soil contaminated by industrial activity. Ecotox Environ Safe, 136, 119-125.
- Kookana RS, Sarmah AKL, Zwieten V, Krull E, Singh B (2011). Biochar application to soil: agronomic and
 environmental benefits and unintended consequences. Adv. Agro., 112, 103-143
- Lehmann J, Joseph S, Eds. (2009). Biochar for Environmental Management: science and technology, 1st, ed.,
 Earthscan, London
- 772 Lehmann J, Joseph S, Eds. (2015). Biochar for environmental management: science, technology and
- implementation, 2nd, ed., Routledge, London and Newyork,

- 774Lehmann J, Rillig MC, Thies J, Masiello CA, Hockaday WC, Crowley D (2011). Biochar effects on soil biota- A
- 775 review. Soil Biol Biochem. 43, 1812-1836
- Li H, Dong X, Silva EB, Oliveira LM, Chen Y, Ma LQ (2017). Mechanisms of metal sorption by biochars: Biochar
 characteristics and modifications. Chemosphere. 178, 466-478
- Li H, Liu Y, Chen Y, Wang S, Wang M, Xie T, Wang G (2016a). Biochar amendment immobilizes lead in rice
 paddy soils and reduces its phyto-availability. Sci Rep. 6, 31616
- Li T, Han X, Liang C, Shohag MJ, Yang X (2015). Sorption of sulphamethoxazole by the biochars derived from
 rice straw and alligator flag. Environ Technol. 36, 245-253
- Li X, Shen Q, Zhang D, Mei X, Ran W, Xu Y, Yu G (2013). Functional groups determine biochar properties (pH
 and EC) as studied by two-dimensional ¹³C NMR correlation Spectroscopy. PLoS One. 8, e65949
- 784 Li Y, Shao J, Wang X, Deng Y, Yang H, Chen H (2014). Characterization of modified biochars derived from
- bamboo pyrolysis and their utilization for target component (Furfural) adsorption. Energy Fuels, 28, 5119–
 5127
- 787 Lian F, Sun B, Song Z, Zhu L, Qi X, Xing B (2014). Physicochemical properties of herb-residue biochar and its
 788 sorption to ionizable antibiotic sulfamethoxazole. Chem. Eng. J. 248, 128–134
- Libra JA, Ro KS, Kammann C, Funke A, Berge ND, Neubauer Y, Titirici MM, Fühner C, Bens O, Kern J,
 Emmerich KH (2011). Hydrothermal carbonization of biomass residuals: a comparative review of the
 chemistry, processes and applications of wet and dry pyrolysis. Biofuels, 2, 71-106.
- 792 Liu S, Huang B, Chai L, Liu Y, Zeng G, Wang X, Wei Z, Meirong S, Jiaqin D, Zhou Z (2017), Enhancement of
- As (v) adsorption from aqueous solution by a magnetic chitosan/biochar composite. RSC Advances, 7,
 10891-10900.
- Liu WJ, Jiang H, Yu HQ (2015). Development of biochar-based functional materials: Toward a sustainable
 platform carbon material. Chem. Rev., 115, 12251–12285
- Loganathan VA, Feng Y, Sheng GD, Clement TP (2009). Crop-residue-derived char influences sorption,
 desorption and bioavailability of atrazine in soils. Soil Sci. Soc J, 73, 967-974.
- Lu K, Yang X, Shen J, Robinson B, Huang H, Liu D, Bolan N, Pei J Wang H (2014). Effect of bamboo and rice
 straw biochars on the bioavailability of Cd, Cu, Pb and Zn to Sedum plumbizincicola. Agr Eco. Environ,
 191, 124-132.

- Major J (2010). Guidelines on practical aspects of biochar application to field soil in various soil management
 systems. International Biochar Initiative, 8.
- Manning BA, Fendorf SE, Bostick B, Suarez DL (2002). Arsenic (III) oxidation and arsenic (V) adsorption
 reactions on synthetic birnessite. Environ Sci Technol, 36, 976-981.
- Manyà JJ (2012). Pyrolysis for biochar purposes: A review to establish current knowledge gaps and research needs.
 Environ. Sci. Technol., 46, 7939–7954
- McLaughlin H, Anderson PS, Shields FE, Reed TB (2009). All biochars are not created equal, and how to tell
 them apart. IBI Conference, Boulder Colorado,
- 810 Nag SK, Kookana R, Smith L, Krull E, Macdonald LM, Gill G (2011). Poor efficacy of herbicides in biochar811 amended soils as affected by their chemistry and mode of action. Chemosphere, 84, 1572-1577.
- 812 Najar AM, Tidmarsh IS, Ward MD (2010). Lead (II) complexes of bis-and tris-bidentate compartmental ligands
- based on pyridyl-pyrazole and pyridyl-triazole fragments: coordination networks and a discrete dimeric
 box. Cryst Eng Comm, 12, 3642-3650.
- 815 Nartey O, Zhao B (2014). Biochar preparation, characterization and adsorptive capacity and its effects on
 816 bioavailability of contaminants: An overview. Adv. Mat. Sci. Engr.
- 817 Ni N, Song Y, Shi R, Liu Z, Bian Y, Wang F, Yang X, Gu C, Jiang X (2017). Biochar reduces the bioaccumulation
- 818 of PAHs from soil to carrot (Daucus carota L.) in the rhizosphere: A mechanism study. Sci Total Environ,
 819 601, 1015-1023.
- 820 Ogbonnaya U, Semple KT (2013). Impact of biochar on organic contaminants in soil: a tool for mitigating risk?
 821 Agron, 3, 349-375.
- 822 Ogbonnaya UO, Thomas J, Fasina SA, Semple KT (2016). Impact of two contrasting biochars on the bio 823 accessibility of ¹⁴C-naphthalene in soil. Environ Technol Innov. 6, 80–93
- Pan JJ, Jiang J, Qian W, Xu R (2015). Arsenate adsorption from aqueous solution onto Fe(III)-modified crop straw
 biochars. Environ. Eng. Sci. 32, 922-929
- Park J H, Choppala G, Lee SJ, Bolan N, Chung JW, Edraki M (2013a). Comparative sorption of Pb and Cd by
 biochars and its implication for metal immobilization in soils. Water Air Soil Pollut. 224, 1711.
- 828 Park J, Hung I, Gan Z, Rojas OJ, Lim KH, Park S (2013b). Activated carbon from biochar: influence of its
- 829 physicochemical properties on the sorption characteristics of phenanthrene. Bioresource technol, 149, 383-
- **830** 389.

- 831 Paz-Ferreiro J, Lu H, Fu S, Mendez A, Gasco G (2014). Use of phytoremediation and biochar to remediate heavy
- metal polluted soils: a review. Solid Earth, 5, 65-75
- Pignatello JJ, Mitch WA, Xu W (2017). Activity and reactivity of pyrogenic carbonaceous matter toward organic
 compounds. Environ. Sci. technol., 51, 8893-8908. Qian, K., Kumar, A., Zhang, H., Bellmer, D., Huhnke,
- 835 R., 2015. Recent advances in the utilization of biochar. Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev. 1055-1064
- 836 Rajapaksha AU, Chen SS, Tsang DCW, Zhang M, Vithanage M, Mandal S, Gao B, Bolan NS, Ok YS (2016).
- 837 Engineered/designer biochar for contaminant removal/immobilization from soil and water: Potential and
 838 implication of biochar modification. Chemosphere, 148, 276–291
- 839 Rawal A, Joseph SD, Hook JM, Chia CH, Munroe PR, Donne S, Lin Y, Phelan D, Mitchell DR, Pace B, Horvat
- J, Webber JB (2016). Mineral-biochar composites: Molecular structure and porosity. Environ Sci Technol.
 50, 7706-7714
- Reddy K, Xie T, Dastgheibi S (2014). Evaluation of biochar as a potential filter media for the removal of mixed
 contaminants from urban storm water runoff. J. Environ. Eng. 140, 1943-7870
- Reemtsma T, Berger U, Arp HPH, Gallard H, Knepper TP, Neumann M, Quintana JB, Voogt PD (2016). Mind
 the Gap: Persistent and mobile organic compounds-water contaminants that slip through. Environ. Sci.
 Technol. 50, 10308–10315
- Rombolà AG, Meredith W, Snape CE, Baront, S, Genesio L, Vaccari FP, Franco M, Fabbri D (2015). Fate of soil
 organic carbon and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in a vineyard soil treated with biochar. Environ Sci
 Technol, 49, 11037-11044.
- 850 Samsuri AW, Zadeh FS, Bardan BJ (2013). Adsorption of As(III) and As(V) by Fe coated biochars and biochars
 851 produced from empty fruit bunch and rice husk. J. Environ Chem Eng. 1, 981-988
- 852 Shan D, Deng S, Zhao T, Wang B, Wang Y, Huang J, Yu G, Wingle J, Wiesner MR (2016). Preparation of ultrafine
- magnetic biochar and activated carbon for pharmaceutical adsorption and subsequent degradation by ball
 milling. J. Hazard Mater. 305, 156–163
- 855 Shimabuku KK, Kearns JP, Martinez JE, Mahoney RB, Moreno-Vasquez L, Summers RS (2016). Biochar sorbents
- 856 for sulfamethoxazole removal from surface water, stormwater, and wastewater effluent. Water Res. 96, 236857 245.
- 858 Silvani L, Vrchotova B, Kastanek P, Demnerova K, Pettiti I, Papini MP (2017). Characterizing biochar as
- alternative sorbent for oil spill remediation. Sci. Rep., 7.

- 860 Singh B, Fang Y, Cowie BCC, Thomsen L (2014). NEXAFS and XPS characterization of carbon functional groups
- of fresh and aged biochars. Org. Geochem. 77, 1–10
- Soni N, Leon RG, Erickson JE, Ferrell JA, Silveira ML (2015). Biochar decreases atrazine and pendimethalin
 preemergence herbicidal activity. Weed Technol, 29, 359–366
- Spokas KA, Novak JM, Masiello CA, Johnson MG, Colosky EC, Ippolito JA, Trigo C (2014). Physical
 disintegration of biochar: An overlooked process. Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett. 1, 326–332
- 866 Sposito G (2008). The Chemistry of Soils. Oxford University Press, New York,
- Srinivasan P, Sarmah AK (2015). Characterisation of agricultural waste-derived biochars and their sorption
 potential for sulfamethoxazole in pasture soil: A spectroscopic investigation. Sci Total Environ 502, 471–
 480
- 870 Stefaniuk M, Oleszczuk P, Różyło K (2017). Co-application of sewage sludge with biochar increases
 871 disappearance of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons from fertilized soil in long term field experiment. Sci.
- **872** Total. Environ. 599, 854-862.
- Sun K, Kang M, Zhang Z, Jin J, Wang Z, Pan Z, Dongyu X, Fengchang Wu, Xing B (2013). Impact of deashing
 treatment on biochar structural properties and potential sorption mechanisms of phenanthrene. Environ. Sci.
 Technol. 47, 11473-11481.
- 876 Sun K, Keiluweit M, Kleber M, Pan Z, Xing B (2011). Sorption of fluorinated herbicides to plant biomass-derived
 877 biochars as a function of molecular structure. Bioresour Technol . 102, 9897–9903
- 878 Tamtam F, Oort F, Bot B, Dinh T, Mompelat S, Chevreuil M, Lamy I, Thiry M (2011). Assessing the fate of
- antibiotic contaminants in metal contaminated soils four years after cessation of long-term waste water
 irrigation. Sci. Total Environ. 409, 540–547
- 881 Tan G, Sun W, Xu Y, Wang H, Xu N (2016). Sorption of mercury(II) and atrazine by biochar, modified biochars
 882 and biochar based activated carbon in aqueous solution. Bioresourc Technol. 211, 727–735
- Tan X, Liu Y, Zeng G, Wang X, Hu X, Gu Y, Yang Z (2015). Application of biochar for the removal of pollutants
 from aqueous solutions. Chemosphere. 125, 70-85
- 885 Ter Laak TL, Barendregt A, Hermens JL (2006). Freely dissolved pore water concentrations and sorption
 886 coefficients of PAHs in spiked, aged, and field-contaminated soils. Environ Sci Technol, 40, 2184-2190.
- 887 Tran HN, You SJ, Chao HP (2016). Effect of pyrolysis temperatures and times on the adsorption of cadmium onto
- orange peel derived biochar. Waste Manag. Res. 34, 129-138.

- 889 Treacy MM, Higgins JB (2007). Collection of simulated XRD powder patterns for zeolites fifth (5th) revised
 890 edition. Elsevier
- 891 Tripathi M, Sahu JN, Ganesan P (2016). Effect of process parameters on production of biochar from biomass waste
 892 through pyrolysis: A review. Re Sus Energ Rev. 55, 467-481.
- 893 Tsai WT, Liu SC, Chen HR, Chang YM, Tsai YL (2012). Textural and chemical properties of swine-manure894 derived biochar pertinent to its potential use as a soil amendment. Chemosphere. 89,198-203
- Uchimiya M (2014). Influence of pH, ionic strength, and multidentate ligand on the interaction of Cd with biochars.
 ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng. 4, 2019-2027
- 897 Uchimiya M, Chang S, Klasson KT (2011b). Screening biochars for heavy metal retention in soil: role of oxygen
 898 functional groups. J. Hazard Mater, 190, 432-441.
- Uchimiya M, Wartelle LH, Klasson KT, Fortier CA, Lima IM (2011a). Influence of pyrolysis temperature on
 biochar property and function as a heavy metal sorbent in soil. J Agr Food Chem, 59, 2501-2510.
- 901 Uchimiya, M., Bannon, D. I., Wartelle, L. H., Lima, I. M., Klasson, K. T. (2012). Lead retention by broiler litter
 902 biochars in small arms range soil: impact of pyrolysis temperature. J. Agric. Food Chem, 60, 5035-5044
- 903 Ungureanu G, Santos S, Boaventura R, Botelho C (2015). Arsenic and antimony in water and wastewater:
 904 Overview of removal techniques with special reference to latest advances in adsorption. J. Environ. Manag.
- **905** 151, 326-342
- Wang D, Zhang W, Hao X, Zhou D (2013). Transport of biochar particles in saturated granular media: Effects of
 pyrolysis temperature and particle size. Environ. Sci. Technol., 47, 821–828
- Wang F, Ji R, Jiang Z, Chen W (2014). Species-dependent effects of biochar amendment on bioaccumulation of
 atrazine in earthworms. Environ Pollut. 186, 241–247
- Wang M, Zhu Y, Cheng L, Andserson B, Zhao X, Wang D, Ding A (2017). Review on utilization of biochar for
 metal-contaminated soil and sediment remediation. J. Environ Sci.
- 912 Wang N, Xue XM, Juhasz AL, Chang ZZ, Li HB (2016). Biochar increases arsenic release from an anaerobic
- paddy soil due to enhanced microbial reduction of iron and arsenic, Environ. Pollut. 220, 514-522
- 914 Wang S, Gao B, Li Y, Mosa A, Zimmerman AR, Ma LQ, Harrisb WG, Migliaccio KW (2015a). Manganese oxide-
- 915 modified biochars: Preparation, characterization, and sorption of arsenate and lead. Bioresourc Technol.
- **916** 181, 13–17

- 917 Wang X, Peng B, Tan C, Ma L, Rathinasabapathi B (2015b). Recent advances in arsenic bioavailability, transport,
- 918 and speciation in rice. Environ Sci Pollut Res. 22, 5742–5750
- Wang Z, Liu G, Zheng H, Li F, Ngo HH, Guo W, Liu C, Chen L, Xing B (2014). Investigating the mechanisms
 of biochar's removal of lead from solution. Bioresour Technol. 177, 308-317.
- 921 Waqas M, Li G, Khan S, Shamshad I, Reid BJ, Qamar Z, Chao C (2015). Application of sewage sludge and sewage
- sludge biochar to reduce polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH) and potentially toxic elements (PTE)
 accumulation in tomato. Environ Sci Pollut R, 22, 12114-12123.
- Wu M, Pan B, Zhang D, Xiao D, Li H, Wang C, Ning P (2013). The sorption of organic contaminants on biochars
 derived from sediments with high organic carbon content. Chemosphere, 90, 782–788.
- Xiao L, Bi E, Du B, Zhao X, Xing C (2014a). Surface characterization of maize straw- derived biochars and their
 sorption performance for MTBE and benzene. Environ. Earth Sci. 71, 5195-5205
- 928 Xiao X, Chen B, Zhu L (2014b). Transformation, morphology, and dissolution of silicon and carbon in rice straw-

derived biochars under different pyrolytic temperatures, Environ. Sci. Technol. 48, 3411-3419.

- Xiong B, Zhang Y, Hou Y, Arp HPH, Reid BJ, Cai C (2017). Enhanced biodegradation of PAHs in historically
 contaminated soil by *M. gilvum* inoculated biochar. Chemosphere, 182, 316-324.
- Xu HJ, Wang XH, Li H, Yao HY, Su JQ, Zhu YG (2014). Biochar impacts soil microbial community composition
 and nitrogen cycling in an acidic soil planted with rape. Environ. Sci. Technol., 48, 9391–9399

934 Xu P, Sun C X, Ye XZ, Xiao WD, Zhang Q, Wang Q (2016). The effect of biochar and crop straws on heavy metal

- bioavailability and plant accumulation in a Cd and Pb polluted soil. Ecotox Environ Safe. 132, 94–100
- 936 Xu RK, Zhao AZ (2013). Effects of biochars on the adsorption of Cu(II), Pb(II) and Cd(II) by three variable charge
- 937 soils from southern China. Envron. Sci. Pollut Res, 20, 8491-8501
- Yamaguchi N, Nakamura T, Dong D, Takahashi Y, Amachi S, Makino T (2011). Arsenic release from flooded
 paddy soils is influenced by speciation, Eh, pH, and iron dissolution. Chemosphere. 83, 925-932
- 940 Yang DING, Yunguo LIU, Shaobo LIU, Huang X, Zhongwu LI, Xiaofei TAN, Guangming ZENG, Lu ZHOU,
- 941 (2017). Potential benefits of biochar in agricultural soils: A review. Pedosphere, 27, 645-661.
- 942 Yang F, Zhao L, Gao B, Xu X, CaoX (2016). The interfacial behavior between biochar and soil minerals and its
- 943 effect on biochar stability. Environ. Sci. Technol., 50, 2264–2271

- 944 Yang X, Liu J, McGrouther K, Huang H, Lu K, Guo X, He L, Lin X, Che L, Ye Z, Wang H (2016). Effect of
- biochar on the extractability of heavy metals (Cd, Cu, Pb, and Zn) and enzyme activity in soil. Environ
 Science Pollut Res 23, 974-984.
- Yao Y, Gao B, Chen H, Jiang L, Inyang M, Zimmerman AR, Cao X, Yang L, Xue Y, Li H (2012). Adsorption of
 sulfamethoxazole on biochar and its impact on reclaimed water irrigation. J. Hazard. Mater. 209–210, 408–
 413
- Yao Y, Gao B, Fang J, Zhang M, Chen H, Zhou Y, Creamer AE, Sun Y, Yang L (2014). Characterization and
 environmental applications of clay–biochar composites. Chem Eng J. 242, 136–143
- 952 Yavari S, Malakahmad A, Sapari NB (2015). Biochar efficiency in pesticides sorption as a function of production
 953 variables—a review. Environ Sci Pollut R, 22, 13824-13841.
- 954 Yokoyama Y, Tanaka K, Takahashi Y (2012). Differences in the immobilization of arsenite and arsenate by calcite.
 955 Geochim Cosmochim Ac, 91, 202-219.
- Yu L, Yuan Y, Tang J, Wang Y, Zhou S (2015). Biochar as an electron shuttle for reductive dechlorination of
 pentachlorophenol by Geobacter sulfurreducens. Sci. Rep. 5, 16221.
- Yu Z, Qiu W, Wang F, Lei M, Wang D, Song Z (2017). Effects of manganese oxide-modified biochar composites
 on arsenic speciation and accumulation in an indica rice (Oryza sativa) cultivar. Chemosphere, 168, 341349.
- 2ama EF, Zhu YG, Reid BJ, Sun GX (2017). The role of biochar properties in influencing the sorption and
 desorption of Pb(II), Cd(II) and As(III) in aqueous solution. J. Clean Prod., 148, 127-136.
- 963 Zand AD, Grathwoh P (2016). Enhanced immobilization of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in contaminated
- soil using forest wood-derived biochar and activated carbon under saturated conditions, and the importance
 of biochar particle size. Pol. J. Environ. Stud. 25, 427-441
- 266 Zhang W, Zheng J, Zheng P, Qiu R (2015). Atrazine immobilization on sludge derived biochar and the interactive
 967 influence of coexisting Pb(II) or Cr(VI) ions. Chemosphere. 134, 438-445
- 268 Zhang X, Wang H, He L, Lu K, Sarmah A, Li J, Bolan NS, Pei J, Huang H (2013). Using biochar for remediation
- 969 of soils contaminated with heavy metals and organic pollutants. Environ Sci Pollut R, 20, 8472-8483
- 970 Zhang Y, Fan J, Fu M, Ok YS, Hou Y, Cai C (2017). Adsorption antagonism and synergy of arsenate(V) and
- 971 cadmium(II) onto Fe-modified rice straw biochars. Environ Geochem Hlth, 1-12.

- 972 Zhao L, Cao X, Zheng W, Scott JW, Sharma BK, Chen X (2016). Copyrolysis of biomass with phosphate
- 973 fertilizers to improve biochar carbon retention, slow nutrient release, and stabilize heavy metals in soil.
 974 ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng., 4, 1630–1636
- 275 Zheng H, Wang Z, Zhao J, Herbert S, Xing B (2013). Sorption of antibiotic sulfamethoxazole varies with biochars
 276 produced at different temperatures. Environ Pollut. 181, 60-67
- 977 Zheng R, Chen Z, Cai C, Tie B, Liu X, Reid BJ, Huang Q, Lei M, Sun G, Baltrenaite E (2015). Mitigating heavy
- 978 metal accumulation into rice (Oryza sativa) using biochar amendment—a field experiment in Hunan, China.
 979 Environ Sci Pollut Res, 22, 11097-11108.
- 980 Zheng RL, Cai C, Liang JH, Huang Q, Chen Z, Huang YZ, Arp HP, Sun GX (2012). The effects of biochars from
- 981 rice residue on the formation of iron plaque and the accumulation of Cd, Zn, Pb, As in rice (Oryza sativa)
 982 seedlings. Chemosphere, 89, 856–862
- 283 Zhu X, Chen B, Zhu L, Xing B (2017). Effects and mechanisms of biochar-microbe interactions in soil
 284 improvement and pollution remediation: A review. Environ Pollut, 227, 98-115.
- 285 Zhu YG, Su JQ, Cao Z, Xue K, Quensen J, Guo GX, Yang YF, Zhou J, Chu HY, Tiedje JM (2016). A buried
 286 Neolithic paddy soil reveals loss of microbial functional diversity after modern rice cultivation. Sci. Bull.
- **987** 61, 1052–1060
- 988
- 989
- 990
- 991
- 992
- **a** -
- 993
- 994
- 995
- 996
- 997
- 998
- 999

Tables and Figures 1000 1001 Advances in research on the use of biochar in soil for remediation: A review 1002 1003 Eric F. Zama^{a,b}, Brian J. Reid^d, Hans Peter H. Arp^e, Guo-Xin Sun^{c*}, Hai-Yan 1004 Yuan^c, Yong-Guan Zhu^{a,c*}. 1005 ^a Key Lab of Urban Environment and Health, Institute of Urban Environment, Chinese 1006 Academy of Sciences, Xiamen 361021, People's Republic of China. 1007 ^b University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, People's Republic of China 1008 ^c State Key Lab of Urban and Regional Ecology, Research Center for Eco-Environmental 1009 Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100085, P.R. China 1010 ^d School of Environmental Sciences, University of East Anglia, Norwich Research Park, 1011 1012 Norwich NR4 7TJ, UK ^e Department of Environmental Engineering, Norwegian Geotechnical Institute (NGI), P.O. 1013 Box 3930, Ullevål Stadion, N-0806 Oslo, Norway 1014 1015 * Corresponding authors: gxsun@rcees.ac.cn, Tel: +86 10-62849328 1016 ygzhu@iue.ac.cn, Tel: +86 592-6190997 1017 1018

1019 Table captions

- **Table 1.** Physicochemical properties of SMX, atrazine and PAHs related to their sorption onbiochar in soil
- **Table 2.** Recommended biochar treatment plan for different soil types contaminated by As,
- 1023 Pb, SMX, atrazine and PAHs

1024 Figures captions

- 1025 Figure 1. Number of publications on field studies in removal of As, Pb, SMX, atrazine and
- 1026 PAHs in soil between 2009 and 2017 (Data source: ScienceDirect database).
- 1027 Figure 2. Bibliometric distribution of publications according to year (a) and type (b) in
- 1028 laboratory or greenhouse studies on inorganic (Pb) and organic (PAHs) contaminant removal
- in soil by biochar
- 1030 Figure 3. Summary of major mechanisms and processes that influence the removal of As, Pb,
- 1031 SMX, atrazine and PAHs in soil. (a) removal of organic contaminants, (b) removal of
- 1032 inorganic contaminants
- 1033
- 1034
- 1035
- 1036
- 1037
- 1038
- 1039
- 1040

Table	1
-------	---

Contaminant	Chemical formula	Chemical structure	Polarity	Aqueous Solubility	Partition coefficients		References
				20140140	Log K _{ow}	Log K _{oc}	
SMX	$C_{10}H_{11}N_3O_3S$	H ₃ N O N-O H	Polar	Soluble (<1 mg ml ⁻¹) at 25 °C	0.89		NCBI, (2001)
Atrazine	C ₈ H ₁₄ ClN ₅	H H H CH ₃ N N CH ₃ C I	Polar	Soluble (34.7 mg L^{-1}) at 22 °C	2.71	1.96	ATSDR, (2003
PAHs (e.g. naphthalene)	$C_{10}H_8$	H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H	Neutral (nonpolar)	Weak solubility (Insoluble at higher molecular weight)	> 3.5		Hale et al. (2016

Contaminant	Soil options			Recommended biochar treatment				Expected
			Approx. contamination (mg kg ⁻¹)		Pyrolysis Temp. (°C)	Application rate (% w/w)	Favourable pH range	sorption rate (%)
	Soil type	рН		Beneficial feedstock				
As	Paddy and non-paddy	5 - 6.5	10- 50	Rice straw	400 - 500	0.5–1	3 - 4	> 50
	soils			Sewage sludge				
	Brownfield and mine	4 - 6	50+	Rice straw	400 - 500	2	2 - 3	> 50
	soils			Sewage sludge				
Pb	Paddy and non-paddy	5 - 6.5	5- 50	Wood	500 - 600	0.5 - 1	5 - 5.5	> 65
	soils			Dairy manure				
	Brownfield and mine	5	50+	Wood	500 - 600	2	5 - 5.5	> 65
	soils			Dairy manure				
SMX	Paddy and non-paddy	5 - 6.5	20 - 50	Wood	250 - 400	0.5 - 1	< 5	> 60
	soils			Manure				
Atrazine	Paddy and non-paddy	5 - 6.5	20 - 50	Wood	250 - 400	0.5 - 1	< 5	> 60
	soils			Manure				
PAHs	Paddy and non-paddy	5 - 6.5	20 - 50	Wood	500 - 800	0.5-1	5 - 6	> 60
	soils			Sewage sludge				







а



-

