

1 Authors

2

3 Oliver Meseguer-Ruiz, Timothy J. Osborn, Pablo Sarricolea, Philip D. Jones, Jorge Olcina Cantos, Roberto
4 Serrano-Notivoli, Javier Martin-Vide

5

6

7 Title

8

9 Definition of a temporal distribution index for high temporal resolution precipitation data over Peninsular Spain
10 and the Balearic Islands: the fractal dimension; and its synoptic implications

11

12

13 Affiliations

14

15 Oliver Meseguer-Ruiz

16 Departamento de Ciencias Históricas y Geográficas, Universidad de Tarapacá

17 Group of Climatology, University of Barcelona

18 2222, 18 de septiembre, Arica, Chile

19 omeseguer@academicos.uta.cl

20 +56 5 8220 5255

21

22 Timothy J. Osborn

23 Climatic Research Unit, School of Environmental Sciences, University of East Anglia

24

25 Pablo Sarricolea

26 Department of Geography, University of Chile

27

28 Philip D. Jones

29 Climatic Research Unit, School of Environmental Sciences, University of East Anglia

30 Center of Excellence for Climate Change Research, Department of Meteorology, King Abdulaziz University,

31 Jeddah, 21589, Saudi Arabia

32

33 Jorge Olcina Cantos

34 Interuniversity Institute of Geography, University of Alicante

35

36 Roberto Serrano-Notivoli

37 Barcelona Supercomputing Center

38

39 Javier Martin-Vide

40 Climatology Group, Faculty of Geography and History, University of Barcelona

41

42

43 Abstract

44

45 Precipitation on the Spanish mainland and in the Balearic archipelago exhibits a high degree of spatial and
46 temporal variability, regardless of the temporal resolution of the data considered. The fractal dimension
47 indicates the property of self-similarity, and in the case of this study, wherein it is applied to the temporal
48 behaviour of rainfall at a fine (10-minute) resolution from a total of 48 observatories, it provides insights into
49 its more or less convective nature. The methodology of Jenkinson and Collison which automatically classifies
50 synoptic situations at the surface, as well as an adaptation of this methodology at 500 hPa, was applied in order
51 to gain insights into the synoptic implications of extreme values of the fractal dimension. The highest fractal
52 dimension values in the study area were observed in places with precipitation that has a more random behaviour
53 over time with generally high totals. Four different regions in which the atmospheric mechanisms giving rise
54 to precipitation at the surface differ from the corresponding above-ground mechanisms have been identified in
55 the study area based on the fractal dimension. In the north of the Iberian Peninsula, high fractal dimension
56 values are linked to a lower frequency of anticyclonic situations, whereas the opposite occurs in the central

57 region. In the Mediterranean, higher fractal dimension values are associated with a higher frequency of the
58 anticyclonic type and a lower frequency of the advective type from the east. In the south, lower fractal dimension
59 values occur with more frequent anticyclonic–easterly hybrid weather types and with less frequent cyclonic
60 type.

61
62

63 Keywords

64

65 Precipitation; Fractal dimension; Jenkinson and Collison; Weather types; Iberian Peninsula; Western
66 Mediterranean

67

68

69 Acknowledgments

70

71 The authors want to thank the FONDECYT Project 11160059 (Chilean Government), the UTA-Mayor Project
72 5755-17 (Universidad de Tarapacá), and the Convenio de Desempeño UTA-MINEDUC. This research is also
73 included in the investigation program of the Climatology Group from the University of Barcelona
74 (2014SGR300, Catalan Government). The authors would finally like to thank the ERA Interim Reanalysis
75 Project and the Spanish Meteorological Agency for the data sets.

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

1. The Temporal Fractality of Precipitation

The analysis of temporal variability with respect to climatic components in general is one of the issues which receives much attention in contemporary climatic studies; specifically, precipitation appears as a principal focus of studies in the Iberian Peninsula (Casanueva et al. 2014; De Luis et al. 2010; Gonzalez-Hidalgo et al. 2009; Goodess and Jones 2002; Martin-Vide and Lopez-Bustins 2006; Ramos et al. 2016; Rodríguez-Puebla and Nieto 2010; Rodríguez-Solà et al. 2016; Sáenz et al. 2001, among others). This interest originates from the exigent need to distinguish between natural climatic variability and anthropogenically-induced phenomena. We can speak of climate change not only in terms of a statistically significant increase or decrease in the average of a climate-related parameter, but also whether there is a significant change in variability.

The influence of the Mediterranean Sea is a vital factor in atmospheric processes affecting the Iberian Peninsula, as it plays a major role by introducing peculiarities to the study area. Due to its location and size, the Mediterranean is very sensitive and responds quickly to atmospheric forcing (Lionello 2012). In addition, its western region, which includes the Iberian Peninsula, is affected by a marked inter-annual variability in precipitation, wherein wet years alternate with very dry years (Vicente-Serrano et al. 2011; Trigo et al. 2013), which gives the study area a distinct climatic personality. Despite the trends in recent decades, which indicate that the southeastern area of this region is heading toward a more arid climate (Del Río et al. 2010; González-Hidalgo et al. 2003), it is not free from extreme events with precipitation of a high hourly intensity which has serious consequences at the socio-economic level (Liberato 2014; Trigo et al. 2015). Moreover, Iberia's geography with the Mediterranean to the east means that Southeast Spain gets more rain with easterly winds (Martín-Vide 2004).

As in the case of fractal objects, processes and systems that remain invariant with a change of scale are not defined by any particular scale. A fractal process is one in which the same basic process takes place at different levels; these levels, in turn, reproduce the entire process (Mandelbrot, 1977). The application of these principles has facilitated the description of complex objects and processes which are already widely used and accepted in many fields of the natural sciences including geography, ecology, and new technologies applied to regional information (Goodchild 1980; Goodchild and Mark 1987; Hastings and Sugihara 1994; Peitgen et al. 1992; Tuček et al. 2011). Due to the fractal behaviour of some atmospheric variables (temperature, precipitation, and atmospheric pressure), fractal analysis has been used in climatic studies to determine the persistence of these variables and their interdependencies (Nunes et al. 2013; Rehman 2009).

Other indicators can be used to define dynamical systems. The Lyapunov exponent (Wolf et al 1985; Wolf 2014) indicates chaos when large and positive, but this application is difficult, even impossible for experimental data records. (Spratt 2003). The fractal dimension can also directly be determined considering the Hurst exponent applied to self-affine series, and is a measure of a data series randomness (Amaro et al. 2004; Malinverno 1990). More recently, other studies have been developed around atmospheric flows that consider the well-known large-scale patterns (ENSO, PDO, NAO...) combined with the chaotic dynamics: the local dimension (Faranda et al. 2017). According to these papers, it seems that these local dimensions are able to represent specific circulation patterns related to time series extreme events.

For some years, these principles have been applied to the spatial distribution of precipitation, rather than its temporal distribution based on an intuitive assumption that a precipitation field may take the form of a fractal object, as it complies with the criterion of self-similarity (Lovejoy and Mandelbrot 1985). When referring to the temporal fractality of precipitation, the concept becomes more abstract; scaling is applied to the duration of the interval for which the occurrence or absence of recorded precipitation is verified, and the same process is repeated at intervals of shorter and longer duration. The purpose of this is to ascertain whether the property of self-similarity is maintained when considering different temporal resolutions.

Several applications have been developed in various disciplines, such as hydrology, from the fractal properties of the spatial and temporal distribution of precipitation (Zhou 2004; Khan and Siddiqui 2012). These fractal processes have been identified in a study on the Spanish mainland from series of accumulated precipitation spanning 90 years, and its analysis demonstrates that its distribution is consistent with a fractal distribution (Oñate Rubalcaba 1997). The values obtained, with an average fractal dimension of 1.32 for the whole territory, are in the same order of magnitude as the fractal dimensions obtained from other macrometeorological and palaeoclimatic records. Fractal analysis also facilitates the identification of trends based on the Mann-Kendall test. One example is the study conducted in the province of La Pampa (Argentina), where this analysis defines trends in more detail than the projections reported by the IPCC-AR4 (Perez et al. 2009). In Venezuela, it has been possible to predict climate changes at different temporal scales based on the same fractal methodology (Amaro et al. 2004). Fractal analysis was also used in studies that have evaluated precipitation trends in regions

169 of the world where access to water is becoming increasingly scarce in parallel with exponential economic
170 growth in recent years (Rehman and Siddiqui 2009; Gao and Hou 2012).
171 The application of this analytical method to regions with precipitation of very high hourly intensity has
172 highlighted several challenges. In these cases, extreme precipitation events conform to models which are even
173 more complex than multi-fractal models, and which depend not only on the occurrence of the phenomenon, but
174 also on the recorded precipitation amounts, which are affected by quantities with very long return periods
175 occurring over very short durations (Dunkerley 2008; García-Marín et al. 2008; Langousis et al. 2009;
176 Veneziano et al. 2006). The importance of the temporal resolution of existing data is particularly apparent in
177 the fractal analysis, as the availability of both hourly and daily data results in significant changes in the values
178 of both the increasing and decreasing fractal dimensions (García Marín 2007; Lopez Lambraño 2014). Another
179 application is the regionalisation of a territory based on a fractal analysis of the temporal distribution of
180 precipitation (Dunkerley 2010; Reiser and Kutiel 2010; Kutiel and Trigo 2014). These studies usually lack
181 references to the mechanisms generating the rainfall under consideration, but it has been found that their results
182 are directly linked to local and regional characteristics, particularly the synoptic origins of precipitation that
183 influences its fractal dimension (Rodríguez et al. 2013; Meseguer-Ruiz and Martín-Vide 2014). It has also been
184 possible to determine, with satisfactory results, the relationship of the fractal dimension with other indices of
185 temporal variability in precipitation, whose significance is well known (coefficient of variation, concentration
186 index, etc.), again as a function of the temporal resolution of the baseline data (Meseguer-Ruiz et al. 2017b).
187 Ghanmi et al. (2013) performed a study in the eastern Mediterranean based on precipitation series with high
188 temporal resolution and have identified three types of temporal structures from Hurst's analysis. The temporal
189 fractality of precipitation in another nearby area, Veneto (Italy), was compared with that of precipitation in the
190 Ecuadorian Amazon province of Pastaza (Kalauzi et al. 2009), and it was concluded that the temporal behaviour
191 of the precipitation events of the two regions are opposite, with decreasing trends in the former case, and
192 increasing trends in the latter. In the western Mediterranean, on the Iberian Peninsula, the highest fractal
193 dimension values have been obtained in areas with higher mean precipitation (Meseguer-Ruiz et al. 2017a).
194 Fractal analysis has also been applied in a very different climatic environment, in India, demonstrating the effect
195 of the monsoon on fractal dimension values (Selvi and Selvaraj 2011). In Queensland, Australia, Breslin and
196 Belward (1999) calculated the fractal dimension of monthly series of precipitation using a methodology based
197 on models for the prediction of cumulative quantities.
198 The aim of this study is to give a synoptic significance to this new indicator of temporal variability in
199 precipitation, which is the fractal dimension, by determining what types of situations at the surface and in the
200 mid-troposphere (500 hPa) are associated with certain values of the Fractal Dimension (FD).

201

202

203 2. Data and Methodology

204 The study was carried out using precipitation data at a temporal resolution of 10 minutes from the databases of
205 48 observatories in the Spanish Meteorological Agency's (AEMET) network of automatic stations (Figure 1).
206 There were initially a total of 75 observatories, but series with missing values of more than 15% were discarded.
207 Values appearing as outliers, i.e. those that exceed physical expectation for rainfall of ten minute duration (here
208 assumed to be those higher than 1.5 times the third quartile) were also eliminated in order to maintain the
209 homogeneity of the series to the greatest extent possible. The total number of values eliminated this way has no
210 significant effects on the results (less than 0.5% of the whole data). Due to the high temporal resolution of the
211 series, we did not attempt to fill missing values.

212 Moreover, a common period was selected for observatories whose series offer guaranteed quality and
213 homogeneity, based on the manual elimination of those records that are out of range, this being the period
214 between 1995 and 2010.

215




Fig. 2. Regression lines for obtaining FD values in Ibiza (red) and Lugo (blue) during the period from 1997 to 2010

232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265

To ensure that the FD results were correct and within proper confidence intervals, a goodness-of-fit analysis was applied by generating several realizations of surrogate data of the same length as the original series. For each station, we simulated $100 \cdot n$ (where n is the station record length in years) series of N with the same mean and standard deviation as the observed data for each l -interval and following a Gaussian process. For instance, for a station with $n = 17$ years of original data, we generated $100 \cdot 17$ values of N in each l -interval, producing 1700 simulated annual series with the same structure as the original ones. The FD values were computed for each of these surrogate series and then compared with the original FD value through the calculation of Mean Error (ME), Mean Absolute Error (MAE) and the Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE).

Next, a cluster analysis of the 48 observatories was carried out to differentiate the observatories into four groups as a function of latitude, longitude and FD value. A representative observatory with the fewest missing values was selected from each of these groups. The decision on choosing four groups is based on the conclusions obtained in a previous study, where four regions were identified according to a subjective criteria (Meseguer-Ruiz et al. 2017a).


As this grouping could mainly depend on the geographical location of the stations and less on the FD values, the cluster analysis was reapplied 1000 times by randomly rearranging the FD corresponding to each location and keeping the latitude and longitude. The resulting cluster assignments were compared with the original by summarizing the coincidences of the groups by station and by clusters.

The synoptic classification of Jenkinson and Collison (J&C) (1977) is an automated method which facilitates the determination of the type of atmospheric circulation from atmospheric pressure reduced to sea level at 16 points (Figure 3). It is based on the classification of Lamb (1972) and his LWT (Lamb Weather Types) and also proposes J&C weather types (J&CWT) which were developed by Jones et al. (1993).

In recent years, there have been several studies which have applied the J&C methodology to various study areas, including the Iberian Peninsula (Grimalt et al. 2013; Martin-Vide 2002; Spellman 2000; Trigo and DaCamara, 2000); however, it is not the only synoptic classification that has been applied in this region, as demonstrated by the completion of the COST 733 action for the European continent (Philipp et al. 2014) and various regions of the world outside of the inter-tropical and polar areas, as is the case for Scandinavia, Central Europe, Russia, the United States and Chile (Esteban et al. 2006; Linderson 2001; Pepin et al. 2011; Post et al. 2002; Sarricolea et al. 2014; Soriano et al. 2006; Spellman 2016; Tang et al. 2009).

The J&C classification has frequently been used to synoptically characterise variations in different climatic variables with temperature, precipitation and wind being the most studied (Goodess and Jones, 2002; Osborn

266 et al. 1999), but it is also used in climatic modelling for analysing the pressure fields and the simulated
 267 deposition of mineral dust (Demuzere and Werner, 2006).
 268 Previous work to relate precipitation with specific synoptic situations has successfully demonstrated, for
 269 example, the conditions under which a greater amount of precipitation accumulates, or the situations in which
 270 more persistent rainfall conditions appear (Martín-Vide et al. 2008). However, synoptic climatology at a high
 271 temporal resolution (4 times per day, every 6 hours) has not yet been correlated with indicators of the temporal
 272 regularity or irregularity of precipitation in the Iberian Peninsula in order to identify climatic and geographical
 273 coherence of the temporal behaviour of precipitation, as has been done in the United Kingdom (Osborn and
 274 Jones 2000).
 275 A 16-point grid (Figure 3) was chosen in order to enable a better assessment of the Mediterranean and Atlantic
 276 influences on the synoptic types which affect the study area. The atmospheric pressure data for this study were
 277 obtained for the 1995-2010 ERA Interim (Dee et al. 2011) project, at a resolution of 6 hours at 00:00, 06:00,
 278 12:00 and 18:00 UTC. This reanalysis database was selected because it offers a better correlation with the
 279 classification of weather types than other products (Jones et al. 2013).
 280 The J&C classification consists of 27 weather types: 8 purely advective (N, NE, E, SE, S, SW, W and NW), 1
 281 cyclonic (C), 1 anticyclonic (A), 8 advective-cyclonic hybrids (CN, CNE, CE, CSE, CS, CSW, CW and CNW),
 282 8 advective-anticyclonic hybrids (AN, ANE, AE, ASE, AS, ASW, AW and ANW) and 1 indeterminate (U).
 283 The variables which need to be calculated for the application of the J&C method are the zonal component of
 284 the geostrophic wind (W) (in our case between 35° and 45° N), the meridional component of the geostrophic
 285 wind (S) (in our case between 20° W and 10° E), the wind direction (D) in azimuthal degrees, the wind speed
 286 in m/s (F), the zonal component of vorticity (Z_w), the meridional component of vorticity (Z_s) and total vorticity
 287 (Z).
 288



289
 290 Fig. 3. 16-point grid for obtaining the J&C weather types
 291

292 The analytical expressions adjusted for the Iberian Peninsula are as follows:

$$293 \quad W = 0.5(P12 + P13) - 0.5(P4 + P5)$$

294
 295

296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345

$$S = 1.3052[0,25(P5 + 2P9 + P13) - 0.25(P4 + 2P8 + P12)]$$

$$F = \sqrt{W^2 + S^2}$$

$$D = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{W}{S}\right)$$

$$Z_W = 1.1207 \left[\frac{1}{2}(P15 + P16) - \frac{1}{2}(P8 + P9) \right] - 0.909 \left[\frac{1}{2}(P8 + P9) - \frac{1}{2}(P1 + P2) \right]$$

$$Z_S = 0.852 \left[\frac{1}{4}(P6 + 2P10 + P14) - \frac{1}{4}(P5 + 2P9 + P13) - \frac{1}{4}(P4 + 2P8 + P12) + \frac{1}{4}(P3 + 2P7 + P11) \right]$$

$$Z = Z_W + Z_S$$

Based on the values of the above analytical expressions and following the J&C method, the following five rules apply:

- the direction of flow is given by D (8 wind directions are used, accounting for the signs of W and S)
- if $|Z| < F$, there is a purely advective or directional type, defined according to Rule 1 (N, NE, E, SE, S, SW, W and NW)
- if $|Z| > 2F$, there is a cyclonic type (C) if $Z > 0$, or anticyclonic (A) if $Z < 0$
- if $F < |Z| < 2F$, there is a hybrid type, depending on the sign of Z (Rule 3) and the direction of the flow obtained from Rule 1 (CN, CNE, CE, CSE, CS, CSW, CW, CNW, AN, ANE, AE, ASE, AS, ASW, AW and ANW)
- if $F < 4.8$ and $|Z| < 4.2$, there is an indeterminate type (U)

This methodology is used to classify synoptic situations at the sea level and has been adapted to obtain, not a classification of synoptic situations based on two levels (sea level and 500 hPa), but rather an idea of the behaviour of the independent variables (direction and strength of flow, and vorticity) at a higher elevation, in this case, 500 hPa. The methodology used consists in applying the formulae explained above for the calculation of the J&C variables, but using the geopotential elevation at 500 hPa rather than the atmospheric pressure at the surface. In this adaptation, the variables F and Z cannot be expressed in the same units or with the same ranges of magnitude as for the surface analysis, as their calculation is based on geopotential elevations at 500 hPa, but they do reference the above-ground flow behaviour (negative or positive vorticities, moderate or severe intensity of flow, etc.).

For the four observatories selected, the three years which yielded the extreme values of both higher and lower fractal dimensions were chosen. Then, the differences (significant at 95%) between the years in terms of frequency of weather types at the surface and the behaviour of D, F and Z, both at the surface and at 500 hPa were evaluated. In this way, a synoptic significance can be assigned to the concept of fractal dimension based on the location of the observatory.

3. Results

3.1. Grouping based on the Fractal Dimension

The FD values were determined for the 48 selected observatories, corresponding to the slope of the regression line that links the logarithm of the extent of various periods considered ($\ln(l)$) on the x-axis, with the logarithm of the number of those periods in which some precipitation occurred ($\ln(N)$). The FD values for the 48 observatories are shown in Table 1 along with Pearson's R^2 values which, as can be seen, are very high. The FD values range between a maximum of 1.6039 at Lugo Airport and a minimum of 1.4499 at Ibiza Airport, and the R^2 values vary between 0.9998 in Cuenca and 0.9853 at the Barcelona Airport.


Table 1. FD and R^2 values for the 48 observatories in the study

Observatory	FD	R^2	Observatory	FD	R^2
A Coruña	1.5629	0.9994	Málaga CMT	1.5595	0.9959
Albacete	1.4941	0.997	Málaga Puerto	1.5376	0.9943

Alicante	1.4710	0.991	Menorca	1.4680	0.9945
Ávila	1.5000	0.9971	Monflorite	1.5223	0.9906
Badajoz	1.5183	0.9988	Ourense	1.5704	0.9995
Barcelona Aerop.	1.5070	0.9853	Palma	1.4988	0.994
Bárdenas	1.4933	0.9959	Pamplona	1.5487	0.9988
Bilbao	1.5827	0.9997	Porreres	1.4966	0.9952
Cáceres	1.5464	0.9983	Madrid Retiro	1.5432	0.9981
Calamocha	1.4805	0.9946	Ronda	1.5832	0.9982
Castello Empuries	1.5160	0.9968	Salamanca	1.5075	0.9986
Castellón	1.5075	0.9878	San Vicente	1.5839	0.9996
Córdoba	1.5605	0.9983	Segovia	1.5105	0.9976
Coria	1.5644	0.9997	Soria	1.5190	0.9991
Cuenca	1.5468	0.9998	Tàrrega	1.4730	0.9895
Granada	1.5941	0.9981	Teruel	1.4856	0.9909
Ibiza	1.4499	0.9893	Toledo	1.5047	0.9963
Jaca	1.5848	0.9985	Tortosa	1.5160	0.9933
Jaén	1.5573	0.9893	Utiel	1.5058	0.9986
Jávea	1.5101	0.9938	Valencia	1.5258	0.9921
La Seu Urgell	1.5030	0.9953	Valladolid	1.5261	0.9967
León	1.5578	0.9938	Vitoria	1.5559	0.9988
Logroño	1.4961	0.9943	Zamora	1.5020	0.9967
Lugo	1.6039	0.9996	Zaragoza	1.5154	0.9914

346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354

These FD were compared with simulated values obtained from the random generation of N values. The goodness-of-fit showed values of MAE, RMSE (Figure 4a) and ME (Figure 4b) near to zero, which means that there were not meaningful differences between observed and simulated. The mean MAE of 0.045, the mean RMSE of 0.056 and the mean ME of -0.0017 between simulated and observed were much lower than the mean difference of ± 0.1 between the observed FD values (mean value of 1.5264). Although the differences between observatory FD values is small, it is significant in comparison with the differences between surrogate simulations.




355
356
357
358
359
360

Fig. 4. Statistical estimates of comparison between the original and simulated FD values for the 48 stations. a) Mean Absolute Error (MAE), Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE) and b) Mean Error (ME).

361
 362
 363
 364
 365
 366
 367
 368
 369

A cluster analysis that accounts for the latitude and longitude of the observatories was performed based on these values, and the FD values obtained are presented in the above table. This procedure uses a multivariate analysis, namely the cluster analysis, with the aim of differentiating the various observatories into groups with a high degree of external heterogeneity and internal homogeneity. The final centres of the three variables considered for each cluster are listed in Table 3. As can be seen, the differences between the factors considered in each cluster are significant (> 99%), which demonstrates external heterogeneity and internal homogeneity. The groupings are as shown in Table 4 and Figure 5.



370
 371
 372
 373
 374

Fig. 6. Stations grouped together after the cluster analysis

Table 3. Central values for each cluster and significance of the differences

Variable	Cluster 1	Cluster 2	Cluster 3	Cluster 4	p-value
Latitude	41.944	40.506	37.916	41.100	0.000
Longitude	-5.936	1.994	-4.855	-1.533	0.000
FD	1.545	1.494	1.551	1.521	0.001

375
 376


Table 4. Groupings of the observatories according to the various clusters

Cluster 1	Cluster 2	Cluster 3	Cluster 4
A Coruña	Albacete	Barcelona Aeropuerto	Córdoba
Ávila	Alicante	Castello Empuries	Granada
Badajoz	Bárdenas	La Seu Urgell	Jaén
Cáceres	Bilbao	Menorca	Málaga CMT
Coria	Calamocha	Palma	Málaga Puerto
León	Castellón	Porreres	Ronda
Lugo	Cuenca	Tàrrega	
Ourense	Ibiza	Tortosa	

Madrid Retiro	Jaca		
Salamanca	Jávea		
San Vicente	Logroño		
Segovia	Monflorite		
Toledo	Pamplona		
Valladolid	Soria		
Zamora	Teruel		
	Utiel		
	Valencia		
	Vitoria		
	Zaragoza		

377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387

After the 1000 iterations to compute simulated clusters with randomly rearranged FD values, the comparison with the original groups showed that the clusters are not the same in any simulation. The mean coincidence of each cluster group between the original and the iterated cluster analysis by stations (Figure 6a) was lower than 25%. When we consider the percentage of stations that coincide with their original clusters (Figure 6b), the average of all of them is near to zero, especially in clusters 3 and 4 with only isolated cases with complete coincidence (the number of stations in these original clusters are very much lower than 1 and 2). The iterated cluster analysis showed that the grouping is not only geographic but depends strongly on the FD values. Longitude and latitude have more influence on cluster 1 but not exclusively.



388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397

Fig. 6. a) The number of times that a station is placed in the same cluster when using the observed FD values and when using each of the 1000 iterations of randomly rearranged FD values (blue bars). Red dashed line shows the mean number of coincidences and upper and lower dashed blue lines show the 95th and 5th percentile, respectively. b) Boxplot of the percentage of coincident stations by clusters in the same analysis. The observatories at A Coruña, Castellón, Palma and Jaén were selected as being representative of clusters 1, 2, 3 and 4, respectively, as they are the observatories with the most complete and homogeneous precipitation series in each group. The years and extreme values of FD are shown in Table 5.

Table 5. Years and extreme FD values for the four observatories selected

	A Coruña		Castellón		Palma		Jaén	
	Year	FD	Year	FD	Year	FD	Year	FD
Maximum FD values	2000	1.6160	2002	1.5321	2002	1.5344	1996	1.6065
	2001	1.5957	2004	1.5626	2006	1.5368	2009	1.5987
	2006	1.5968	2006	1.5346	2010	1.5385	2010	1.6385
Minimum FD values	1998	1.5359	1995	1.4190	1995	1.3979	1995	1.4917
	2004	1.5370	1999	1.4649	1999	1.4380	1998	1.4718
	2007	1.4930	2009	1.4380	2005	1.4105	2007	1.5054

398
 399
 400
 401
 402
 403
 404
 405
 406
 407
 408
 409

Based on these years, an evaluation was made of the significant synoptic differences appearing both in the types of weather at the surface and in the values of strength, direction and flow vorticity both at the surface and at 500 hPa.

3.2. Types of Weather at the Surface

As shown in Table 6, Type A is the most common in the study period (19.23%), followed by Type C (11.27%) and Type E (9.62%). The indeterminate Type U also accounts for a considerable number with 7.16% of the days, as do the purely advective types of the first and fourth quadrants (W, NW, N and NE), with a total of 29.47%.

Table 6. Frequency (%) of the weather types for the reference period 1995-2010

J&C Type	%	J&C Type	%
A	19.23	CS	0.53
AE	2.17	CSE	1.10
AN	1.48	CSW	1.01
ANE	1.54	CW	1.75
ANW	1.45	E	9.62
AS	0.79	N	4.63
ASE	1.24	NE	5.57
ASW	0.99	NW	4.83
AW	1.57	S	1.60
C	11.27	SE	3.88
CE	2.30	SW	3.24
CN	2.09	W	4.83
CNE	2.04	U	7.16
CNW	2.09		

410
 411
 412
 413
 414
 415
 416
 417
 418
 419
 420
 421
 422
 423
 424
 425
 426

3.3. Synoptic differences at the surface between extreme years for each observatory

3.3.1. A Coruña

At the surface, based on the proportions comparison test, significant differences (> 95%) appear in 10 synoptic types at the A Coruña observatory (Table 7). The highest FD values are associated with years in which anticyclonic situations are less frequent (18.86%) than in years with minimal FD values (20.99%). The hybrid cyclonic types are more frequent from the east (from 1.89% to 3.13%) and from the northeast (1.69% to 2.58%) for years with lower FD. The purely advective types with a SE component (4.47% to 3.17%), SW component (4.15% to 1.80%) and W component (6.09% to 3.63%) are significantly more frequent in the years with maximum FD values, which is the opposite of what occurs with a N component (3.81% to 5.02%) or a NE component (4.93% and 6.84%). Therefore, higher FD values are linked to a higher proportion of SW and W advective situations, while lower FD values are associated with years in which there is a greater proportion of anticyclonic situations.

Table 7. Cases and percentage of each J&C weather type in years with extreme FD values at the A Coruña observatory

J&C types	Number of cases with highest FD values	%	Number of cases with lowest FD values	%
A	827	18.86	920	20.99
AE	88	2.01	90	2.05
AN	69	1.57	68	1.55
ANE	53	1.21	75	1.71
ANW	44	1.00	68	1.55
AS	28	0.64	31	0.71
ASE	68	1.55	56	1.28
ASW	60	1.37	25	0.57
AW	90	2.05	60	1.37

C	462	10.54	502	11.45
CE	83	1.89	137	3.13
CN	81	1.85	86	1.96
CNE	74	1.69	113	2.58
CNW	95	2.17	80	1.82
CS	23	0.52	18	0.41
CSE	58	1.32	42	0.96
CSW	47	1.07	23	0.52
CW	84	1.92	68	1.55
E	430	9.81	482	10.99
N	167	3.81	220	5.02
NE	216	4.93	300	6.84
NW	205	4.68	190	4.33
S	60	1.37	44	1.00
SE	196	4.47	139	3.17
SW	182	4.15	79	1.80
W	267	6.09	159	3.63
U	327	7.46	309	7.05


The bold font indicates statistically significant differences ($p < 0.05$)

427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442

For the F variable at the surface, it can be seen from the proportion comparison test that, in years with minimum FD, a significant ($> 95\%$) increase in the frequency of situations between 5 and 7.4 m/s appears; the other categories do not exhibit significant changes. However, at 500 hPa, the changes are significant in more categories; situations with a low flow intensity are more frequent during the years with minimal FD, while in years with maximum FD, situations with average and strong flows appear more frequently (Figure 7).

The direction of flow at the surface exhibits significantly greater prevalence of the first quadrant (NE) in years with minimal FD values, and a higher frequency of flows in the third in years with maximum FD values. The most notable phenomenon above ground is the higher frequency of situations with a SW component in years with maximum FD.

Changes in the vorticity are not very noticeable at the surface; significant differences only appear in the intensities of negative vorticities. However, variations do exist above ground. Slightly negative vorticities are more frequent during years with maximum FD values, and slightly positive vorticities are more frequent during years with minimal FD values.



443
444 Fig. 7. Variation of F, D and Z at the surface and at a geopotential height of 500 hPa during years with maximum
445 (red) and minimum (green) values of FD at the A Coruña observatory.
446

447 3.3.2. Castellón

448 At the surface, significant differences in the frequency of the synoptic weather types (Table 8) occur, with the
449 purely anticyclonic types begin more recurrent in years with maximum FD values (21.26% vs. 18.11%) and the
450 purely advective types from the east (E) and from the northwest (NW) being more frequent during years with
451 lower FD values (8.67% vs. 11.21% and 4.17% vs. 5.18%, respectively). Significant occurrences of
452 indeterminate Type U are also observed more frequently during years with higher FD values (7.30%) than in
453 years with lower FD values (5.66%).
454

455 Table 8. Cases and percentage of each J&C weather type in years with extreme FD values at the Castellón
456 observatory


J&C types	Number of cases with highest FD values	%	Number of cases with lowest FD values	%
A	932	21.26	793	18.11
AE	74	1.69	115	2.63
AN	69	1.57	91	2.08
ANE	63	1.44	78	1.78
ANW	64	1.46	82	1.87
AS	43	0.98	25	0.57
ASE	69	1.57	38	0.87
ASW	46	1.05	57	1.30
AW	56	1.28	86	1.96
C	527	12.02	479	10.94
CE	127	2.90	109	2.49
CN	76	1.73	104	2.37
CNE	107	2.44	87	1.99
CNW	79	1.80	90	2.05

CS	17	0.39	18	0.41
CSE	57	1.30	40	0.91
CSW	36	0.82	47	1.07
CW	64	1.46	83	1.89
E	380	8.67	491	11.21
N	176	4.01	210	4.79
NE	243	5.54	205	4.68
NW	183	4.17	227	5.18
S	63	1.44	47	1.07
SE	146	3.33	156	3.56
SW	148	3.38	142	3.24
W	219	5.00	232	5.30
U	320	7.30	248	5.66

The bold font indicates statistically significant differences ($p < 0.05$)

457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472

The strength of the flow, represented by the F variable, exhibits significant differences at the surface between the years with maximum FD values during which lower intensities of less than 5 m/s are more frequent, and years with minimal FD values in which moderate F intensities of up to 7.5 m/s are significantly more common. Above ground, in years with higher fractal dimensions, situations with moderate or light winds are most common, while moderately intense wind situations are more normal during years with minimal FD (Figure 8). There are few significant differences in the direction of flow at the surface between the two groupings of years, with situations having north-westerly flow during years with minimal FD values being the only scenario that is more common. Notably, it has been observed that, at 500 hPa, during years with maximum FD values, the flow situation from the south-west is more common than during years with minimal FD. For its part, the vorticity at the surface exhibits few significant changes. Only situations with markedly negative vorticities occur more frequently during years with maximum FD values. Above ground, however, the situations with more marked negative vorticity are more common during years with minimal FD, whereas neutral vorticities occur more commonly during years with maximum FD.



473

474 Fig. 8. Variation of F, D and Z at the surface and at a geopotential height of 500 hPa during years with maximum
 475 (red) and minimum (green) values of FD at the Castellón observatory
 476

477 3.3.3. *Palma*

478 The Palma observatory has a higher proportion of anticyclonic days during years with maximum FD values
 479 (19.86%) than in years with minimal FD (17.74%). Advective types from the east (E) also experience a
 480 substantial significant change, as in the first group of years, these types account for 7.99% of the years, while
 481 in the second group, and they account for 11.71%. Advective situations from the south-west also experience
 482 significant differences of 4.52% to 3.26% between years with maximum and minimal FD, respectively. Finally,
 483 anticyclonic hybrid types with an eastern component (AE, ANE, ASE) also experience significant differences,
 484 but these do not exceed 0.76% (Table 9).
 485

486 Table 9. Cases and percentage of each J&C weather type in years with extreme FD values at the Palma
 487 observatory

J&C types	Number of cases with highest FD values	%	Number of cases with lowest FD values	%
A	870	19.86	777	17.74
AE	91	2.08	123	2.81
AN	55	1.26	69	1.58
ANE	47	1.07	80	1.83
ANW	61	1.39	72	1.64
AS	51	1.16	45	1.03
ASE	77	1.76	51	1.16
ASW	52	1.19	46	1.05
AW	55	1.26	71	1.62
C	487	11.12	464	10.59
CE	98	2.24	92	2.10
CN	84	1.92	90	2.05
CNE	100	2.28	92	2.10
CNW	83	1.89	94	2.15
CS	26	0.59	17	0.39
CSE	61	1.39	41	0.94
CSW	39	0.89	39	0.89
CW	66	1.51	63	1.44
E	350	7.99	513	11.71
N	193	4.41	227	5.18
NE	265	6.05	264	6.03
NW	187	4.27	203	4.63
S	92	2.10	71	1.62
SE	161	3.68	156	3.56
SW	198	4.52	143	3.26
W	220	5.02	211	4.82
U	311	7.10	266	6.07

The bold font indicates statistically significant differences ($p < 0.05$)


488

489

490 The F variable at the surface exhibits significant differences only for moderately strong intensities of above
 491 12.35 m/s, and is more common during years with minimal FD values. Above ground, these differences are
 492 most noticeable in light-intensity flows which are most common during years with minimal FD, and with flows
 493 of medium intensity, while flows with strong intensity occur more frequently in the years with maximum FD
 494 values (Figure 9).

495 As for direction, significant changes occur at the surface primarily in the west-south-west component and are
 496 more common in years with higher FD values. At 500 hPa, however, greater differences occur. The first-
 497 quadrant directions are more common in years with lower FD values, while in the other group of years,
 498 situations from the south-west to the north-west are more common.

499 At the surface, negative vorticities are more common during years with minimal FD values, while markedly
 500 positive vorticities are more common in years with maximum FD values. Above ground, the most significant
 501 changes occur with markedly positive vorticities, which are more common during years with maximum FD
 502 values.
 503



504 Fig. 9. Variation of F, D and Z at the surface and at a geopotential height of 500 hPa during years with maximum
 505 (red) and minimum (green) values of FD at the Palma observatory
 506
 507

508 3.3.4. Jaén

509 At Jaén, the anticyclonic and cyclonic types are the only ones which undergo significant changes. During years
 510 with maximum FD values, Type A is less frequent (16.95%), and Type C is more frequent (11.79%) compared
 511 with years with lower FD values, in which Type A has increased (19.84%) and C has decreased (9.57%). The
 512 N and E purely advective types also increase in the second group (from 9.72% to 11.16%, and 4.49% to 5.71%
 513 respectively), while the SW and indeterminate (U) types are less frequent (4.11% to 2.69% and 7.25% to 6.19%)
 514 (Table 10).
 515

516 Table 10. Cases and percentage of each J&C weather type in years with extreme FD values at the Jaén
 517 observatory

J&C types	Number of cases with highest FD values	%	Number of cases with lowest FD values	%
A	743	16.95	869	19.84
AE	113	2.58	102	2.33
AN	76	1.73	61	1.39
ANE	61	1.39	72	1.64
ANW	66	1.51	72	1.64
AS	29	0.66	40	0.91
ASE	34	0.78	65	1.48
ASW	61	1.39	31	0.71
AW	56	1.28	83	1.89
C	517	11.79	419	9.57

CE	104	2.37	116	2.65
CN	98	2.24	93	2.12
CNE	85	1.94	97	2.21
CNW	86	1.96	84	1.92
CS	33	0.75	23	0.53
CSE	42	0.96	46	1.05
CSW	43	0.98	32	0.73
CW	82	1.87	64	1.46
E	426	9.72	489	11.16
N	197	4.49	250	5.71
NE	255	5.82	279	6.37
NW	266	6.07	195	4.45
S	67	1.53	66	1.51
SE	157	3.58	162	3.70
SW	180	4.11	118	2.69
W	189	4.31	181	4.13
U	318	7.25	271	6.19


The bold font indicates statistically significant differences ($p < 0.05$)

518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536

The F variable at the surface exhibits moderate intensities significantly more frequently during years with higher FD values, but the more moderate and average flows appear more frequently during years with lower FD values. The higher flow intensities, however, occur in years with maximum FD values. At higher elevations, mild intensities appear more commonly in the second group of years, while, similar to observations at the surface, strong intensities are more common in the first group.

At the surface, the most significant variations occur in situations with an eastern component, which are more common in years with minimal FD, in those with a south-south-western component, which exhibit more frequent significant variations during years with maximum FD values, and those with a westerly component, which again exhibit more common significant variation during years of minimal FD. At 500 hPa, flows with a westerly component are significantly more common during years with maximum FD values, with the exception of flows coming purely from the west which are significantly more common during years with minimal FD values (Figure 10).

As for the vorticity at the surface, it is significant that during years with higher FD values, positive vorticities are more frequent, while negative vorticities are more common during years with minimal FD values. The same pattern is repeated at 500 hPa with the exception of positive vorticities which are more frequent in the second group of years.



537
 538 Fig. 10. Variation of F, D and Z at the surface and at a geopotential height of 500 hPa during years with
 539 maximum (red) and minimum (green) values of FD at the Jaén observatory
 540
 541

542 4. Discussion and Conclusions
 543

544 The fractal dimension values obtained for the 48 observatories in the study range between 1.4499 and 1.6039.
 545 These FD values are not comparable with those of other published studies on similar study areas (Meseguer-
 546 Ruiz et al. 2014), as the temporal resolutions of the series used are different. The resolution in the above
 547 mentioned study was 30 minutes, whereas the temporal resolution for this study is 10 minutes. This usually
 548 results in the FD data obtained in other studies being lower, as there are no data entries for intervals of 10 and
 549 20 minutes, so that upon obtaining the corresponding natural logarithms and drawing the regression line, the
 550 absolute value of its slope is lower and, therefore, so is the FD value.

551 Breslin and Belward (1999) propose an alternative method to box-counting and Hurst's R/S analysis for
 552 calculating the fractal dimension of a temporal precipitation series based on the variation of monthly
 553 precipitation totals. The Breslin and Belward (1999) procedure, which consists of calculating the fractal
 554 dimension based on precipitation intervals instead of boxes, enables the calculation of the fractal dimension on
 555 a monthly basis, but not at a 10-minute resolution, as many of the values of the series are null and are also
 556 closely spaced; therefore, the variation in this type of series would often be null.

557 The FD values obtained for Tunisia in Ghanmi et al. (2013) are comparable to those of this study, as they were
 558 calculated based on precipitation series at a 5-minute resolution following a box-counting method. These values
 559 cluster around 1.44, which makes them very similar to those found in areas of the Spanish mainland with less
 560 precipitation (Levante, south-eastern Iberian Peninsula and the Ebro valley) and the Balearic Islands (1.4499 in
 561 Ibiza), which are regions in which the climate is similar to that of Tunisia, with dry and warm summers and
 562 mild, moderately rainy winters. The analysis of the 4 stations shows that few of the hybrids have significant
 563 differences. The value obtained in the study of Oñate-Rubalcaba (1997) is notably different with those obtained
 564 in this study. This could be explained, first of all, by the different time resolutions considered, annual in the
 565 first case, 10-minutes in the present work. Such a difference can also be explained because of the different
 566 method used to calculate the fractal dimension, Hurst exponent versus box counting method.

567 In short, it can be concluded that the fractal dimension values in temporal series in the Iberian Peninsula and
568 the Balearic Islands depend on the location and rainfall at the observatory. The highest value was found at the
569 Lugo Airport observatory (1.6039), and the lowest value at Ibiza Airport (1.4499). At lower FD values, the
570 property of self-similarity was fulfilled, to a large extent, in the temporal distribution of precipitation, and
571 conversely, at greater FD values, it was fulfilled to a lesser extent; this finding coincides with the results
572 presented in Selvi et al. (2011). In addition, the link between FD values and precipitation totals has not been
573 studied.

574 The regional differentiation of the Spanish mainland, carried out as a function of FD, coincides with the results
575 of various studies (Rodríguez-Puebla and Nieto 2010; Rodríguez-Solà et al. 2016) which delineate a northern
576 region in which rainfall is associated with the arrival of Atlantic squalls corresponding to cyclonic types, which
577 penetrate the mainland and see a decrease in the rainfall contribution. These situations barely bring precipitation
578 into Mediterranean Spain, where rain is linked to easterly storms or to the settling of squalls in the Gulf of Leon,
579 in the western Mediterranean. In the south, rainfalls are associated with squalls settled in the Alboran Sea or off
580 the coast of Cadiz, and circulate through the Guadalquivir valley, where episodes of rain usually wind down.

581 When comparing the results obtained from the list of J&C weather types with those obtained in other studies in
582 a coincident study area (Spellman 2000; Grimalt et al. 2013), there are significant differences in the frequencies
583 of the weather types which have a higher occurrence throughout the year (A, C, U, NE, E, W). Type A occurs
584 at a frequency of 23.37% in the study of Spellman (2000), at 21% for Grimalt et al. (2013) and at 19.23% in
585 the present study. The frequencies of Type C (14.58%, 19% and 11.27%) are also different, as are those of Type
586 NE (6.73%, 3.1% and 5.57%), those of Type E (4.32%, 2.2% and 9.62%), those of Type W (4.33%, 3.4% and
587 4.83%), and especially those of Type U (18.14%, 27% and 7.16% respectively). The results obtained by Rasilla
588 Alvarez (2003) also differ slightly from those obtained in this study, and as it concerns a hybrid classification
589 (with objective properties from Principal Component Analysis, as well as subjective properties), the results are
590 not comparable. In other areas of the world, the proportions obtained are similar in terms of the prevalence of
591 anticyclonic types (Pepin et al. 2011; Sarricolea et al. 2014), but have little or even no Type U. This is due to
592 the geographical properties of the study area which has a quasi-inland sea to the east, a phenomenon which does
593 not occur in other parts of the world with a Mediterranean climate, and frequently occurring, insignificantly
594 contracted pressure fields during the summer.

595 The differences with respect to the Spellman (2000) study have two principal causes; first, the grid used in the
596 study from 2000 had 9 points as opposed to the 16 that were used in this study, so the area covered is greater
597 and, therefore, provides different pressure gradients. Another explanation, which particularly affects Type U,
598 is that in the first study, a threshold of 6, below which the indeterminate type is defined, was used for the
599 strength and vorticity of flow. Another study (Goodess and Jones, 2002) states that the threshold of 6 is correct
600 for the British Isles as proposed in the original methodology (1977), but for the Iberian Peninsula, it must be
601 reduced to 4.8 and 4.2 for the strength and vorticity, respectively, as the circulation is less vigorous in the latter
602 case. The same is true regarding the work of Grimalt et al. (2013). It may be interesting to choose a finer grid
603 in the future to better cover heavy precipitation events that are much more localized.

604 The grid proposed in this paper is displaced 5° to the east, which results in a higher probability of recording
605 barometric swamp situations than the grid which is presented in the Spellman (2000) study. Moreover, the
606 smaller the space in which the J&C methodology is applied, the more difficult it will be to identify the
607 barometric gradient; therefore, it is common for the frequency of the U type to increase. The 16-point grid also
608 provides a more reliable reflection of the dominant flows in the study area.

609 In view of the results obtained in the application of J&C in the fractal dimensions, and being aware that the
610 sample of years used is not desirable in terms of its length, we can state that the synoptic significance of higher
611 or lower fractal dimension values depends firstly on the region in which the observatory is located and therefore,
612 on the atmospheric mechanisms which give rise to precipitation. To summarize, it could be argued that the
613 higher fractal dimensions in the Atlantic half of the peninsula are associated with weather types in which
614 cyclonic and advective types have prevailed most frequently, as these are the weather types which give rise to
615 rainfall in this part of the study area. The greatest frequency of this type of mechanism implies that precipitation
616 is more frequent and random, and is furthest removed from the property of perfect self-similarity in the temporal
617 distribution of precipitation. In contrast, when anticyclonic types dominate more, precipitation is scarce and,
618 therefore, its occurrence is more sporadic and concentrated, fulfilling self-similarity to a greater extent and
619 yielding lower FD values. However, in the Mediterranean part of the Iberian Peninsula, the high FD values high
620 are associated with a higher frequency of Type A, because if a general anticyclonic situation occurs, the flows
621 from the west and the Atlantic squalls will not affect the Iberian Peninsula. Nevertheless, with that situation in
622 the Mediterranean, flows or local mechanisms that give rise to precipitation may operate in this area (Pionello

623 2012). The years with lower fractal dimension values are associated with flows from the first quadrant, which
624 cause rains in the eastern mainland, but they are not very frequent or recurring throughout the year; therefore
625 the property of self-similarity is less clear. Due to the temporal resolution available for the precipitation data, it
626 is possible that this variable records variations based on the microclimatic properties of the site under
627 consideration. These are processes of low spatial dimension which are not reflected in a grid of the dimensions
628 available for this study, and for which one would have to consider applying poorly developed latitudinal and
629 longitudinal grids.

630

631

632 References

633 Amaro IR, Demey JR, Macchiavelli R (2004) Aplicación del Análisis R/S de Hurst para estudiar las propiedades
634 fractales de la precipitación en Venezuela. *Interciencia* 29(011): 617-620

635 Breslin MC, Belward JA (1999) Fractal dimensions for rainfall time series. *Math Comput Simulat* 48: 437-446.
636 doi: 10.1016/S0378-4754(99)00023-3

637 Casanueva A, Rodríguez-Puebla C, Frías MD, González-Reviriego N (2014) Variability of extreme
638 precipitation over Europe and its relationships with teleconnection patterns. *Hydrol Earth Syst Sc* 18: 709-725.
639 doi: 10.5194/hess-18-709-2014

640 De Luis M, Brunetti M, Gonzalez-Hidalgo JC, Longares LA, Martin-Vide J (2010) Changes in seasonal
641 precipitation in the Iberian Peninsula during 1946-2005. *Global Planet Change* 74: 27-33. doi:
642 10.1016/j.gloplacha.2010.06.006

643 Del Río S, Herrero L, Fraile R, Penas A (2011) Spatial distribution of recent rainfall trends in Spain (1961-
644 2006). *Int J Climatol* 31: 656-667. doi: 10.1002/joc.2111

645 Dee DP, Uppala SM, Simmons AJ et al (2011) The ERA-Interim reanalysis: configuration and performance of
646 the data assimilation system. *Q J Roy Meteor Soc* 137: 553–597. doi: 10.1002/qj.828

647 Demuzere M, Werner M (2006) Jenkinson-Collison classifications as a method for analyzing GCM-scenario
648 pressure fields, with respect to past and future climate change and European simulated mineral dust deposition.
649 Dissertation, Max Planck Institute for Biogeochemistry

650 Dunkerley D. 2008. Rain event properties in nature and in rainfall simulation experiments: a comparative review
651 with recommendations for increasingly systematic study and reporting. *Hydrol Process* 22: 4415-4435. doi:
652 10.1002/hyp.7045

653 Dunkerley DL (2010) How do the rain rates of sub-events intervals such as the maximum 5- and 15-min rates
654 (I_5 or I_{30}) relate to the properties of the enclosing rainfall event? *Hydrol Process* 24: 2425-2439. doi:
655 10.1002/hyp.7650

656 Esteban P, Martin-Vide J, Mases M (2006) Daily atmospheric circulation catalogue for Western Europe using
657 multivariate techniques. *Int J Climatol* 26: 1501-1515. doi: 10.1002/joc.1391

658 Faranda D, Messori G, Yiou P (2017) Dynamical proxies of North Atlantic predictability and extremes. *Sci*
659 *Rep-UK* 7: 41278. doi: 10.1038/srep41278

660 Gao M, Hou X (2012) Trends and multifractal analysis of precipitation data from Shandong peninsula, China.
661 *American Journal of Environmental Sciences* 8(3): 271-279

662 García-Marín AP (2007) Análisis multifractal de series de datos pluviométricos en Andalucía. PhD Thesis,
663 University of Córdoba, Córdoba

664 García-Marín AP, Jiménez-Hornero FJ, Ayuso-Muñoz JL (2008) Universal multifractal description of an hourly
665 rainfall time series from a location in southern Spain. *Atmosfera* 21(4): 347-355

666 Ghanmi H, Bargaoui Z, Mallet C (2013) Investigation of the fractal dimension of rainfall occurrence in a semi-
667 arid Mediterranean climate. *Hydrolog Sci J* 58(3): 483-497. doi: 10.1080/02626667.2013.775446

668 González-Hidalgo JC, De Luis M, Raventós J, Sánchez J.R. (2003) Daily rainfall trend in the Valencia Region
669 of Spain. *Theor Appl Climatol* 75: 117-130. doi: 10.1007/s00704-002-0718-0

670 Gonzalez-Hidalgo JC, Lopez-Bustins JA, Štěpánek P, Martin-Vide J, de Luis M (2009) Monthly precipitation
671 trends on the Mediterranean fringe of the Iberian Peninsula during the second half of the twentieth century
672 (1951-2000). *Int J Climatol* 29: 1415-1429. doi: 10.1002/joc.1780

673 Goodchild MF (1980) Fractals and the accuracy of geographical measures. *Math Geol* 12(2): 85-98. doi:
674 10.1007/BF01035241

675 Goodchild MF, Mark DM (1987) The Fractal Nature of Geographic Phenomena. *Ann Assoc Am Geogr* 77(2):
676 265-278. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-8306.1987.tb00158.x

677 Goodess CM, Jones PD (2002) Links between circulation and changes in the characteristics of Iberian rainfall.
678 *Int J Climatol* 22: 1593-1615. doi: 10.1002/joc.810

679 Grimalt M, Tomàs M, Alomar G, Martín-Vide J, Moreno-García MDC (2013) Determination of the Jenkinson
680 and Collison's weather types for the western Mediterranean basin over 1948-2009 period. *Temporal analysis.*
681 *Atmosfera* 26: 75-94. doi: 10.1016/S0187-6236(13)71063-4
682 Hastings HM, Sugihara G (1994) *Fractals: A User's Guide for the Natural Sciences*. Oxford University Press,
683 Oxford
684 Jenkinson AF, Collison P (1977) An initial climatology of gales over the North Sea. Synoptic Climatology
685 Branch Memorandum nº 62, Bracknell, Meteorological Office, London
686 Jones PD, Hulme M, Briffa KR (1993) A comparison of Lamb circulation types with an objective classification
687 scheme. *Int J Climatol* 13: 655-663. doi: 10.1002/joc.3370130606
688 Jones PD, Harpham C, Briffa KR (2013) Lamb weather types derived from reanalysis products. *Int J Climatol*
689 33: 1129-1139. doi: 10.1002/joc.3498
690 Kalauzi A, Cukic M, Millá H, Bonafoni S, Biondi R (2009) Comparison of fractal dimension oscillations and
691 trends of rainfall data from Pastaza Province, Ecuador and Veneto, Italy. *Atmos Res* 93: 673-679. doi:
692 10.1016/j.atmosres.2009.02.007
693 Khan MS, Siddiqui TA (2012) Estimation of fractal dimension of a noisy time series. *International Journal of*
694 *Computer Applications* 45(10): 1-6
695 Kutiel H, Trigo RM. 2014. The rainfall regime in Lisbon in the last 150 years. *Theor Appl Climatol* 118: 387-
696 403. doi: 10.1007/s00704-013-1066-y
697 Lamb HH (1972) *British Isles weather types and a register of daily sequence of circulation patterns, 1861-1971.*
698 *Geophysical Memoir* 116, HMSO, London
699 Langousis A, Veneziano D, Furcolo P, Lepore C (2009) Multifractal rainfall extremes: Theoretical analysis and
700 practical estimation. *Chaos Soliton Fract* 39: 1182-1194. doi: 10.1016/j.chaos.2007.06.004
701 Liberato MLR (2014) The 19 January 2013 windstorm over the North Atlantic: large-scale dynamics and
702 impacts on Iberia. *Weather Clim Extremes* 5–6: 16–28. doi: 10.1016/j.wace.2014.06.002
703 Linderson M (2001) Objective classification of atmospheric circulation over southern Scandinavia. *Int J*
704 *Climatol* 21: 155-169. doi: 10.1002/joc.604
705 Lionello P (2012) *The Climate of the Mediterranean Region. From the Past to the Future*. Elsevier Insights,
706 London
707 López Lambráño AA (2014) *Análisis multifractal y modelación de la precipitación*. PhD Thesis, Universidad
708 Autónoma de Querétaro, Querétaro
709 Lovejoy S, Mandelbrot BB (1985) Fractal properties of rain, and a fractal model. *Tellus A* 37A: 209-232. doi:
710 10.1111/j.1600-0870.1985.tb00423.x
711 Malinverno A (1990) A simple method to estimate the fractal dimension of a self-affine series. *Geophys Res*
712 *Lett* 17(11): 1953-1956. doi: 10.1029/GL017i011p01953
713 Mandelbrot BB (1977) *The Fractal Geometry of Nature*. W H Freeman and Company, New York
714 Martín-Vide J (2002) Aplicación de la clasificación sinóptica automática de Jenkinson y Collison a días de
715 precipitación torrencial en el este de España. In Cuadrat JM, Vicente SM, Saz MA (eds) *La información*
716 *climática como herramienta de gestión ambiental*. Universidad de Zaragoza, Zaragoza, pp 123-127
717 Martín-Vide J, Lopez-Bustins JA (2006) The Western Mediterranean Oscillation and rainfall in the Iberian
718 Peninsula. *Int J Climatol* 26: 1455-1475. doi: 10.1002/joc.1388
719 Martín-Vide J, Sanchez Lorenzo A, Lopez-Bustins JA, Cordobilla MJ, Garcia-Manuel A, Raso JM (2008)
720 Torrencial rainfall in northeast of the Iberian Peninsula: synoptic patterns and WeMO influence. *Advances in*
721 *Science and Research* 2: 99-105. doi:10.5194/asr-2-99-2008
722 Martín-Vide J (2004) Spatial distribution of a daily precipitation concentration index in Peninsular Spain. *Int J*
723 *Climatol* 24: 959-971. doi: 10.1002/joc.1030
724 Meseguer-Ruiz O, Martín-Vide J (2014) *Análisis de la fractalidad temporal de la precipitación en Cataluña,*
725 *España* (2010). *Investigaciones Geográficas* 47: 41-52
726 Meseguer-Ruiz O, Martín-Vide J, Olcina Cantos J, Sarricolea P (2017a) *Análisis y comportamiento espacial de*
727 *la fractalidad temporal de la precipitación en la España peninsular y Baleares* (1997-2010). *B Asoc Geogr Esp*
728 73:11-32. doi: 10.21138/bage.2407
729 Meseguer-Ruiz O, Olcina Cantos J, Sarricolea P, Martín-Vide J (2017b) The temporal fractality of precipitation
730 in mainland Spain and the Balearic Islands and its relation to other precipitation variability indices. *Int J*
731 *Climatol* 37(2):849-860. doi: 10.1002/joc.4744
732 Nunes SA, Romani LAS, Avila AMH, Coltri PP, Traina C, Cordeiro RLF, De Sousa EPM, Traina AJM (2013)
733 *Analysis of Large Scale Climate Data: How well Climate Change models and data from real sensor networks*

734 agree?. In Schwabe D, Almeida V, Glaser H, Baeza-Yates R, Moon S (ed) Proceedings of the IW3C2 WWW
735 2013 Conference, IW3C2 2013, Rio de Janeiro, pp 517-526

736 Oñate Rubalcaba JJ (1997) Fractal Analysis of Climatic Data: Annual Precipitation Records in Spain. *Theor*
737 *Appl Climatol* 56: 83-87. doi: 10.1007/BF00863785

738 Osborn TJ, Conway D, Hulme M, Gregory J, Jones PD (1999) Air flow influences on local climate: observed
739 and simulated mean relationships for the United Kingdom. *Clim Res* 13: 173-191. doi: 10.1006/asle.2000.0013

740 Osborn TJ, Jones PD (2000) Air flow influences on local climate: observed United Kingdom climate variations.
741 *Atmos Sci Lett*. doi: 10.1006/asle.2000.0017

742 Pérez SP, Sierra EM, Massobrio MJ, Momo FR (2009) Análisis fractal de la precipitación anual en el este de
743 la Provincia de la Pampa, Argentina. *Revista de Climatología* 9: 25-31

744 Peitgen HO, Jürgens H, Saupe D (1992) *Chaos and Fractals: New Frontiers of Science*. Springer, New York

745 Pepin NC, Daly C, Lundquist J (2011) The influence of surface versus free air decoupling on temperature trend
746 patterns in the western United States. *J Geophys Res* 116: D10109. doi:10.1029/2010JD014769

747 Philipp A, Beck C, Huth R, Jacobeit J (2014) Development and comparison of circulation type classifications
748 using the COST 733 dataset and software. *Int J Climatol*. doi: 10.1002/joc.3920

749 Post P, Truija V, Tuulik J (2002) Circulation weather types and their influence on temperature and precipitation
750 in Estonia. *Boreal Environ Res*, 7: 281– 289

751 Ramos AM, Trigo RM, Liberato MLR (2016) Ranking of multi-day extreme events over the Iberian Peninsula.
752 *Int J Climatol*. doi: 10.1002/joc.4726

753 Rasilla Álvarez DF (2003) Aplicación de un método de clasificación sinóptica a la Península Ibérica.
754 *Investigaciones Geográficas* 30: 27-45

755 Rehman S (2009) Study of Saudi Arabian climatic conditions using Hurst exponent and climatic predictability
756 index. *Chaos Soliton Fract* 39: 499-509. doi: 10.1016/j.chaos.2007.01.079

757 Rehman S, Siddiqi AH (2009) Wavelet based Hurst exponent and fractal dimensional analysis of Saudi climatic
758 dynamics. *Chaos Soliton Fract* 40: 1081-1090. doi: 10.1016/j.chaos.2007.08.063

759 Reiser H, Kutiel H (2010) Rainfall uncertainty in the Mediterranean: Intraseasonal rainfall distribution. *Theor*
760 *Appl Climatol* 100: 105-121. doi: 10.1007/s00704-009-0162-5

761 Rodríguez R, Casas MC, Redaño A (2013) Multifractal analysis of the rainfall time distribution on the
762 metropolitan area of Barcelona (Spain). *Meteorol Atmos Phys* 121: 181-187. doi: 10.1007/s00703-013-0256-6

763 Rodríguez-Puebla C, Nieto S (2010) Trends of precipitation over the Iberian Peninsula and the North Atlantic
764 Oscillation under climate change conditions. *Int J Climatol* 30: 1807-1815. doi: 10.1002/joc.2035

765 Rodríguez-Solà R, Casas-Castillo MC, Navarro X, Redaño Á (2016) A study of the scaling properties of rainfall
766 in Spain and its appropriateness to generate intensity-duration-frequency curves from daily records. *Int J*
767 *Climatol*. doi: 10.1002/joc.4738

768 Sáenz J, Zubillaga J, Rodríguez-Puebla C (2001) Interannual variability of winter precipitation in northern
769 Iberian Peninsula. *Int J Climatol* 21: 1503-1513. doi: 10.1002/joc.699

770 Selvi T, Selvaraj S. 2011. Fractal dimension analysis of Northeast monsoon of Tamil Nadu. *Universal Journal*
771 *of Environmental Research and Technology* 1(2): 219-221

772 Sarricolea P, Meseguer-Ruiz O, Martín-Vide J (2014) Variabilidad y tendencias climáticas en Chile central en
773 el período 1950-2010 mediante la determinación de los tipos sinópticos de Jenkinson y Collison. *B Asoc Geogr*
774 *Esp* 64: 227-247

775 Sarricolea P, Meseguer-Ruiz O, Martín-Vide J, Outeiro L (2017) Trends in the frequency of synoptic types in
776 central-southern Chile in the period 1961–2012 using the Jenkinson and Collison synoptic classification. *Theor*
777 *Appl Climatol*. doi: 10.1007/s00704-017-2268-5

778 Spellman G (2000) The use of an index-based regression model for precipitation analysis on the Iberian
779 peninsula. *Theor Appl Climatol* 66: 229-239. doi: 10.1007/s007040070027

780 Spellman G (2016) An assessment of the Jenkinson and Collison synoptic classification to a continental mid-
781 latitude location. *Theor Appl Climatol*. doi: 10.1007/s00704-015-1711-8

782 Sprott JC (2003) *Chaos and Time-Series Analysis*. Oxford University Press, Oxford

783 Soriano C, Fernández A, Martín-Vide J (2006) Objective synoptic classification combined with high resolution
784 meteorological models for wind mesoscale studies. *Meteorol Atmos Phys* 91: 165-181. doi: 10.1007/s00703-
785 005-0133-z

786 Tang L, Chen D, Karlsson PE, Gu Y, Ou T (2009) Synoptic circulation and its influence on spring and summer
787 surface ozone concentrations in southern Sweden. *Boreal Environ Res* 14: 889-902

788 Trigo R, Dacamara CC (2000) Circulation weather types and their influence on the precipitation regime in
789 Portugal. *Int J Climatol* 20: 1559-1581. doi: 10.1002/1097-0088(20001115)20:13<1559::AID-
790 JOC555>3.0.CO;2-5
791 Trigo RM, Añel J, Barriopedro D, García-Herrera R, Gimeno L, Nieto R, Castillo R, Allen MR, Massey N
792 (2013) The record winter drought of 2011–12 in the Iberian Peninsula, in explaining extreme events of 2012
793 from a climate perspective. *Bull Am Meteorol Soc* 94(9): S41–S45
794 Trigo RM, Ramos C, Pereira SS, Ramos AM, Zêzere JL, Liberato MLR (2015) The deadliest storm of the 20th
795 century striking Portugal: Flood impacts and atmospheric circulation. *J Hydrol*. doi:
796 10.1016/j.jhydrol.2015.10.036
797 Tuček P, Marek L, Paszto V, Janoška Z, Dančák M (2011) Fractal perspectives of GIScience based on the leaf
798 shape analysis. In *GeoComputation Conference Proceedings*, pp 169-176
799 Veneziano D, Langousis A, Furcolo P (2006) Multifractality and rainfall extremes: A review. *Water Resources*
800 *Research*. doi: 10.1029/2005WR004716
801 Vicente-Serrano SM, Trigo RM, Lopez-Moreno JI, Liberato MLR, Lorenzo-Lacruz J, Begueria S, Moran-
802 Tejada E, El Kenawy A (2011) Extreme winter precipitation in the Iberian Peninsula in 2010: anomalies, driving
803 mechanisms and future projections. *Clim Res* 46(1): 51–65. doi: 10.3354/cr00977
804 Wolf A, Swift JB, Swinney HL, Vastano JA (1985) Determining Lyapunov exponents from a time series.
805 *Physica D* 16(3): 285-317. doi: 10.1016/0167-2789(85)90011-9
806 Wolf M (2014) Nearest-neighbor-spacing distribution of prime numbers and quantum chaos. *Phys Rev E* 89(2):
807 022922, 1-11. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevE.89.022922
808 Zhou X (2004) *Fractal and Multifractal Analysis of Runoff Time Series and Stream Networks in Agricultural*
809 *Watersheds*. Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Virginia