



AMERICAN METEOROLOGICAL SOCIETY

Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society

EARLY ONLINE RELEASE

This is a preliminary PDF of the author-produced manuscript that has been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. Since it is being posted so soon after acceptance, it has not yet been copyedited, formatted, or processed by AMS Publications. This preliminary version of the manuscript may be downloaded, distributed, and cited, but please be aware that there will be visual differences and possibly some content differences between this version and the final published version.

The DOI for this manuscript is doi: 10.1175/BAMS-D-16-0230.1

The final published version of this manuscript will replace the preliminary version at the above DOI once it is available.

If you would like to cite this EOR in a separate work, please use the following full citation:

Vinayachandran, P., A. Matthews, K. Vijay Kumar, A. Sanchez-Franks, V. Thushara, J. George, V. Vijith, B. Webber, B. Queste, R. Roy, A. Sarkar, D. Baranowski, G. Bhat, N. Klingaman, C. Parida, K. Heywood, R. Hall, B. King, E. Kent, A. Nayak, C. Neema, P. Amol, A. Lotliker, A. Kankonkar, D. Gracias, S. Vernekar, A. D.Souza, G. Valluvan, S. Pargaonkar, K. Dinesh, J. Giddings, and M. Joshi, 2018: BoBBLE (Bay of Bengal Boundary Layer Experiment): Ocean–atmosphere interaction and its impact on the South Asian monsoon. *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.* doi:10.1175/BAMS-D-16-0230.1, in press.



BoBBLE (Bay of Bengal Boundary Layer Experiment): Ocean–atmosphere interaction and its impact on the South Asian monsoon

P. N. Vinayachandran*

Centre for Atmospheric and Oceanic Sciences, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore 560 012, India

Adrian J. Matthews

Centre for Ocean and Atmospheric Sciences, School of Environmental Sciences and School of Mathematics, University of East Anglia, Norwich, UK

K. Vijay Kumar

CSIR-National Institute of Oceanography, Goa, India

Alejandra Sanchez-Franks

National Oceanography Centre, Southampton, UK

V. Thushara, Jenson George

Centre for Atmospheric and Oceanic Sciences, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, India

V. Vijith

Centre for Atmospheric and Oceanic Sciences, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, India,
Present affiliation: School of Marine Sciences, Cochin University of Science and Technology,
Kochi, India

Benjamin G. M. Webber, Bastien Y. Queste

Centre for Ocean and Atmospheric Sciences, School of Environmental Sciences, University of
East Anglia, Norwich, UK

Rajdeep Roy

Indian Space Research Organisation, National Remote Sensing Centre, Hyderabad, India

Amit Sarkar

ESSO-National Centre for Antarctic and Ocean Research, Goa, India

Dariusz B. Baranowski

Institute of Geophysics, Faculty of Physics, University of Warsaw, Poland

G. S. Bhat

Centre for Atmospheric and Oceanic Sciences, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, India

Nicholas P. Klingaman, Simon C. Peatman

National Centre for Atmospheric Science – Climate, University of Reading, UK

C. Parida

Berhampur University, Odisha, India

Karen J. Heywood, Robert Hall

35 *Centre for Ocean and Atmospheric Sciences, School of Environmental Sciences, University of*
36 *East Anglia, Norwich, UK*

37 Brian King, Elizabeth C. Kent

38 *National Oceanography Centre, Southampton, UK*

39 Anoop A. Nayak, C. P. Neema

40 *Centre for Atmospheric and Oceanic Sciences, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, India*

41 P. Amol

42 *CSIR-National Institute of Oceanography, Visakhapatnam, India*

43 A. Lotliker

44 *ESSO-Indian National Centre for Ocean Information Services, Hyderabad, India*

45 A. Kankonkar, D. G. Gracias, S. Vernekar, A. C. D.Souza

46 *CSIR-National Institute of Oceanography, Goa, India*

47 G. Valluvan

48 *ESSO-National Institute of Ocean Technology, Chennai, India*

49 Shrikant M. Pargaonkar

50 *Centre for Atmospheric and Oceanic Sciences, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, India*

51 K. Dinesh

52 *ESSO-Indian National Centre for Ocean Information Services, Hyderabad, India*

53 Jack Giddings, Manoj Joshi

54 *Centre for Ocean and Atmospheric Sciences, School of Environmental Sciences, University of*
55 *East Anglia, Norwich, UK*

56 **Corresponding author address: P. N. Vinayachandran, Centre for Atmospheric and Oceanic Sci-*
57 *ences, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore 560 012, India*
58 *E-mail: vinay@iisc.ac.in*

ABSTRACT

59 The Bay of Bengal (BoB) plays a fundamental role in controlling the
60 weather systems that make up the South Asian summer monsoon system. In
61 particular, the southern BoB has cooler sea surface temperature (SST) that in-
62 fluence ocean–atmosphere interaction and impact on the monsoon. Compared
63 to the southeast, the southwestern BoB is cooler, more saline, receives much
64 less rain, and is influenced by the Summer Monsoon Current (SMC). To exam-
65 ine the impact of these features on the monsoon, the BoB Boundary Layer Ex-
66 periment (BoBBLE) was jointly undertaken by India and the UK during June
67 – July 2016. Physical and bio-geochemical observations were made using a
68 CTD, five ocean gliders, a uCTD, a VMP, two ADCPs, Argo floats, drifting
69 buoys, meteorological sensors and upper air radiosonde balloons. The obser-
70 vations were made along a zonal section at 8°N between 85.3°E and 89°E
71 with a 10-day time series at 89°E, 8°N. This paper presents the new observed
72 features of the southern BoB from the BoBBLE field program, supported by
73 satellite data. Key results from the BoBBLE field campaign show the Sri
74 Lanka Dome and the SMC in different stages of their seasonal evolution and
75 two freshening events during which salinity decreased in the upper layer lead-
76 ing to the formation of thick barrier layers. BoBBLE observations were taken
77 during a suppressed phase of the intraseasonal oscillation; they captured in
78 detail the warming of the ocean mixed layer and preconditioning of the atmo-
79 sphere to convection.

80 **Capsule Summary:** A field experiment in the southern Bay of Bengal to
81 generate new high-quality in situ observational data sets of the ocean, air–sea
82 interface and atmosphere during the summer monsoon.

83 **1. Introduction**

84 The Bay of Bengal (BoB) holds a prominent place in the science of monsoons owing to its
85 impacts on the South Asian summer monsoon rainfall and its variability over the countries located
86 along the rim of the BoB, which is home to over a billion people. Maximum rainfall during the
87 summer monsoon is received in the northeastern BoB and the adjoining land area (Xie et al. 2006).
88 Weather systems that form over the BoB contribute substantially to rainfall over central India
89 (Gadgil 2003). Several such systems breed over the BoB during each monsoon season because of
90 the capacity of the BoB to recharge its sea surface temperature (SST) quickly in the short sunny
91 spells after the passage of each disturbance (Shenoi et al. 2002; Bhat et al. 2001). This rapid SST
92 warming is facilitated by the thin mixed layer maintained by freshwater input from rainfall and
93 river runoff into the BoB (Vinayachandran et al. 2002). These general features characterise the
94 BoB north of about 15°N.

95 Further south, features of the ocean-atmosphere system are somewhat different (Mathews et al.,
96 2015, CLIVAR Exchanges, 19(3), 38-42), yet intriguing. Climatologically, both the ocean and
97 atmosphere show contrasts between east and west. The SST is marked by a cold pool (Joseph
98 et al. 2005; Das et al. 2016) around Sri Lanka (Fig. 1a) compared to the warmer water in the east.
99 The sea surface salinity (SSS; Fig. 1b) is higher in the west than in the east (Vinayachandran et al.
100 2013). Most remarkably, the western part of the southern BoB is marked by the intense monsoon
101 current that flows into the BoB carrying higher salinity Arabian Sea water. The atmosphere above
102 the cold pool is characterized by a minimum in seasonal total rainfall (Fig. 1a) and has the lowest
103 amount of low level clouds in the region (Shankar et al. 2007; Nair et al. 2011). The role of ocean
104 dynamics and air–sea interaction processes in defining these large zonal and meridional variations,
105 and the impact of these on monsoon rainfall elsewhere, have received little attention.

106 Several field experiments have been conducted in the BoB to understand the response of the
107 BoB to monsoons and its possible feedbacks (Bhat and Narasimha 2007). Among the recent
108 experiments, BOBMEX (Bay of Bengal Monsoon Experiment; Bhat et al. (2001)) focused on the
109 coupled ocean–atmosphere system in the northern BoB during the peak monsoon months of July–
110 September. The JASMINE (Joint AirSea Monsoon Interaction Experiment) sampled the eastern
111 Indian Ocean and southern BoB during May and September, 1997 (Webster et al. 2002). The
112 CTCZ (Continental Tropical Convergence Zone) experiment carried out under the Indian Climate
113 Research Program made observations of both the southern and northern BoB during 2009 and 2012
114 (Rao et al. 2011; Vinayachandran et al. 2013; Jain et al. 2016). The ASIRI (Air-Sea Interactions
115 Research Initiative) campaign covered both summer and winter monsoons and combined data sets
116 from multiple platforms and model simulations (Wijesekera et al. 2016b). These experiments have
117 contributed significantly towards our understanding of the processes at work during monsoons,
118 but a large gap exists in our knowledge base about the physical processes in the southern BoB.
119 BoBBLE focuses on the less known, yet important, southern BoB and combines observations from
120 multiple instruments including five ocean gliders to obtain high-quality time series observations of
121 the ocean, air–sea interface and atmosphere during the peak period of the 2016 summer monsoon.

122 The first set of measurements in the region was carried out by Schott et al. (1994) using current
123 meter moorings and ship sections in the early nineties, which provided a description of the annual
124 cycle and intraseasonal variation of monsoon currents. Earlier hydrographic surveys (Murty et al.
125 1992) indicated the flow of high salinity water into the southern BoB. The intrusion of the Summer
126 Monsoon Current (SMC) into the BoB was described using geostrophic currents derived from
127 Expendable bathythermograph (XBT) data sets (Vinayachandran et al. 1999). The seasonal cycle
128 and interannual variability of the thermocline along 6°N was explored using the XBT data (Yu
129 2003). Using ship-board observations made during the CTCZ field campaign, Vinayachandran

130 et al. (2013) described the existence of a salt pump in the southern BoB. Using ADCP moorings,
131 Wijesekera et al. (2016c) obtained current measurements from east of Sri Lanka for nearly two
132 years. Lee et al. (2016) reported observations using multiple platforms, towards understanding
133 the circulation and transport around Sri Lanka. Sustained ocean observation systems, RAMA
134 McPhaden et al. (2009) in particular, have also contributed to the data base in this region. However,
135 there is a major gap in the observations that are relevant to understanding the complete ocean–
136 atmosphere system during the summer monsoon.

137 The primary objective of the BoBBLE field program was to characterize the ocean–atmosphere
138 system in the southern BoB, which is marked by contrasting features in its east and west. One of
139 the major aims is to generate new high-quality in situ observational data sets of the ocean, air–
140 sea interface and atmosphere during the peak phase of the summer monsoon. The overarching
141 objectives of BoBBLE are to evaluate the role of ocean–atmosphere interactions in the simulation
142 and prediction of the summer monsoon, to combine data and models to investigate the physical
143 and bio-geochemical processes under the monsoon forcing, and to determine the role of the above
144 mentioned processes in causing the synoptic-scale variability of the south Asian monsoon system.

145 The aim of this paper is to make the community aware of the new data set that has been acquired,
146 and to present a preliminary analysis of the observations collected during the BoBBLE field pro-
147 gram, the details of which are given in section 2. Sections 3 and 4 describe air–sea interaction, and
148 the oceanographic features of the southern BoB, respectively. We conclude with a summary and
149 outlook in section 5.

150 **2. BoBBLE field program**

151 The research vessel *RV Sindhu Sadhana* (Fig. 2), sailed from the port of Chennai on 24 June and
152 returned on 23 July 2016. The BoBBLE cruise was conducted along the track shown in Fig. 3.

153 Ship-board observations can be classified into two types: observations made along the 8°N section
154 from 85.3°E to 89°E, in the international waters of the southern BoB and time series observations
155 were at 89°E, 8°N (hereafter referred to as TSE) for a period of 10 days, 4–15 July 2016. Ocean
156 glider deployments provided similar time series at locations marked as black circles in Fig. 3.

157 Two ship-board Acoustic Doppler Current Profilers (ADCP, operating at frequencies of 38 kHz
158 and 150 kHz), an autonomous weather station (AWS) and a thermo-salinograph recorded data con-
159 tinuously during the cruise period. A SeaBird Electronics (SBE) 9/11+ Conductivity-Temperature-
160 Depth profiler (CTD) measured vertical profiles and collected water samples at all points marked
161 as stars in Fig. 3. Nominally, the casts were to a depth of 1000 m. At selected stations, additional
162 CTD casts extended all the way to the deep ocean floor. At TSE, CTD observations were carried
163 out to a depth of 500 m at approximately 3-hourly intervals, with a once-daily profile to 1000 m.
164 Four standard MetOcean drifting buoys were also deployed during the BoBBLE field program.

165 Five ocean gliders were deployed during 1-19 July 2016 along the 8°N transect (Table 1). All
166 gliders were equipped with a CTD package, enabling measurements of temperature and salinity
167 with 0.5–1 m vertical resolution from the surface to 1000 m depth. Four gliders were equipped with
168 dissolved oxygen (dO₂), chlorophyll fluorescence (Chl) and optical backscatter sensors. Addition-
169 ally, one glider (SG579) was equipped with a photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) sensor, and
170 another (SG613) with microstructure shear and temperature sensors. Individual dives lasted 3–4
171 hours. In total, 462 dives were made. Optimally interpolated (OI) two-dimensional (depth, time)
172 gridded data sets (Matthews et al. 2014) were produced for each glider. The radii of influence in
173 the Gaussian weighting functions were 2 m and 3 hr, respectively. The five depth–time OI data
174 sets were then further combined into a single three-dimensional longitude–depth–time data set, by
175 linear interpolation in longitude, taking account of the movement of the gliders with time.

176 Seven Argo floats were deployed in the BoB along 8°N, between 85.3°E and 89°E (Table 2). As
177 BoBBLE is designed to target surface processes, all floats were programed to provide daily high-
178 resolution profiles of the top 500 m in a region where in situ surface data are scarce. A second
179 OI data set was created using profiles from core Argo floats from the international Argo program,
180 BoBBLE Argo floats, glider profiles and the shipboard CTD. These OI data were mapped using
181 World Ocean Atlas climatology (Boyer et al. 2013) and gridded at 25 longitude grid points at 8°N,
182 from 83°E to 95°E at 0.5° intervals. The time grid ran from 1 June 2016, before the BoBBLE
183 field campaign started, to 30 September 2016, with data from each day gridded separately. This
184 combined OI data set covers a longer time period than the BoBBLE field program, as there was
185 a continuous Argo float presence in the BoB, before BoBBLE campaign which was then signifi-
186 cantly enhanced by the seven floats deployed during BoBBLE.

187 To map mesoscale and sub-mesoscale features, an Ocean Sciences–Teledyne underway CTD
188 (uCTD), fitted with SBE CT sensors, was used for measuring temperature and salinity profiles
189 while the ship was sailing at a speed of 6 knots. Nominally, the uCTD probe was allowed to
190 profile vertically for 2 minutes in order to achieve a drop rate of about 1.5–2.5 m s⁻¹ covering a
191 depth range of approximately 250 m and the data was binned at 1m depth intervals.

192 A vertical microstructure profiler (Rockland Scientific VMP-250) comprising two shear probes,
193 one set of high-resolution micro-temperature and conductivity sensors and another set of standard
194 CTD sensors was operated at all glider stations along the transect as well as at TSE. At each
195 station, 2–3 profiles were measured. At TSE, profiles were measured at 0000, 0400, 0800, 1200,
196 and 1700 UTC each day. In total, 138 casts were made, including that at TSE.

197 To characterize the surface and sub-surface light field, bio-optical measurements were carried
198 out with a Satlantic HyperProII hyperspectral underwater radiometer (HUR) equipped with three
199 sensors for light, ECO triplet for fluorescence and colored dissolved organic matter (CDOM), and

CTD sensors. The light sensors measured downwelling, upwelling and total solar irradiance. The HUR was operated for 17 days under cloud-free conditions between 0600 and 0700 hrs UTC at TSE, and along the 8°N transect between 0530 and 0800 hrs UTC. A total of 37 profiles were collected during BoBBLE. An inherent optical profiler (IOP) was used for the measurement of light absorption and scattering coefficients, backscattering coefficient, chlorophyll-a, CDOM, turbidity and photosynthetically available radiation (PAR) along with a CTD sensor. The IOP was operated at TSE at 0130 and 0830 UTC each day.

The meteorological measurements included an AWS, air-sea flux observing system (Table 3), and radiosondes. A LI-COR, infrared gas analyzer in conjunction with the 3D sonic anemometer based eddy covariance system, along with high-frequency response ship motion sensors were installed at the bow, at a height of approximately 15 m above sea surface and 6 m above the forecastle deck. Sensible and latent turbulent heat fluxes are estimated using the eddy covariance method (Fairall et al. 1997; Edson et al. 1998; Dupuis et al. 2003). Upper air observations of temperature, pressure, humidity, and wind were taken with Vaisala RS92 radiosondes, launched nominally at 0000 UTC and 1200 UTC every day. Additional launches were also made on some days to capture the diurnal cycle.

3. Meteorology and air–sea interaction

a. Large-scale conditions over the BoB during summer 2016

The all-India rainfall for 2016 was 3% deficit relative to climatology (www.imd.gov.in), ENSO conditions were near neutral and SST anomalies in the tropical Pacific and Indian oceans were modest (www.ospo.noaa.gov). The Indian Ocean dipole (Saji et al. 1999) was in a negative state, with slightly warmer than usual conditions in the eastern Indian Ocean and cooler than usual con-

222 ditions in the west, representing an intensification of the usual cross-basin gradient. Despite this,
223 the 2016 can be seen as a representative monsoon and is therefore ideally suited for investigating
224 its link with conditions in the BoB.

225 The mean monsoon winds during the observation period were steady southwesterlies (Fig. 1d)
226 and the SMC was intense with an axis oriented in the SW–NE direction, to the east of Sri Lanka
227 (Fig. 1c). The mean SST was relatively cooler around the SMC with warmer water farther in the
228 west and east. The east-west SST contrast that is typically seen in the climatology (Fig. 1a) was
229 not as well developed during the period of observations (Fig. 1c). The mean SSS pattern was
230 comparable to climatology (Fig. 1b,d).

231 Intraseasonal variability had a significant effect on the conditions observed during the BoBBLE
232 campaign. In June 2016, the southern BoB was under the influence of a convectively active phase
233 (Fig. 4) of the Boreal Summer Intraseasonal Oscillation (BSISO) (Lee et al. 2013). This propa-
234 gated northward (dashed blue line in Fig. 4) and was replaced by a convectively suppressed phase
235 of the BSISO during July 2016. Toward the end of the deployment, conditions returned to convec-
236 tively active phase, with the incursion of the next cycle of the BSISO. Hence, the main BoBBLE
237 deployment sampled the transition between the end of one active BSISO event, the subsequent
238 suppressed phase and the initiation of the active phase in the following BSISO event. This is an
239 ideal framework for analyzing the high-resolution in situ observations made during the BoBBLE
240 cruise.

241 *b. In situ measurements of air–sea interaction*

242 The time series of surface fluxes and atmospheric and ocean surface conditions observed from
243 the ship are described here, within the large-scale context of the suppressed phase of the BSISO in
244 the southern BoB. The focus is on the 4–15 July 2016 period when the ship was at TSE location

245 at 89°E, 8°N (Fig. 5a). During this period, no precipitation was observed. Cloud conditions were
246 characterized by broken layers of middle and high-level clouds and scattered small cumulus, with
247 generally high surface solar radiation flux. The surface wind speeds during the first half of the
248 period were 8–10 m s⁻¹ (Fig. 5d), typical for the southern BoB during the summer monsoon.
249 However, in the latter half of the period, they decreased to 5 m s⁻¹ or below, with an associated
250 reduction in the (cooling) surface latent heat flux.

251 The high solar radiation flux and relatively low latent heat flux are consistent with conditions that
252 prevail during the suppressed (calm, clear) phase of the BSISO (Lee et al., 2013). Consequently,
253 the net heat flux into the ocean was positive (Fig. 5e). This led to a steady increase in SST, from
254 28.0 to 29.5°C (Fig. 5b), again consistent with the developing oceanic conditions typically found
255 in the suppressed BSISO phase. Surface atmospheric temperature (Fig. 5c) increased in pace with
256 the SST.

257 Deep atmospheric convection broke out at the end of the TSE period. From 16 July 2016 on-
258 wards, deep convective cloud systems with intense precipitation, associated with the next active
259 BSISO phase, were observed from the ship. It should be noted that at this time, the ship had de-
260 parted TSE and was cruising westwards on the return leg of the 8°N section (Fig. 5a), hence the
261 sampling of these precipitating systems was not at a fixed location. This deep convection was part
262 of the next active BSISO phase (Fig. 4).

263 The change in atmospheric characteristics from suppressed to active convection can clearly be
264 seen in the shipboard AWS time series. The most notable change is that air temperature dropped on
265 15 July and remained significantly lower than SST from then on (Fig. 5b,c). The air temperature
266 was much more variable, with spikes of low temperature followed by gradual recovery. Low
267 temperature spikes are due to the evaporation from falling rain drops in the sub-cloud layer and
268 formation of a pool of cold air near the surface (i.e., wet bulb effect). Surface wind speed increases

269 from its minimum on 15 July (Fig. 5d), and shows large variability. These are also likely due to
270 gusts of cold, dry air originating from the convective systems associated with the transition to an
271 active phase of BSISO.

272 Overall, the shipboard measurements comprehensively captured the transition from the atmo-
273 spheric convectively suppressed phase of the BSISO during 4–15 July to the following convec-
274 tively active phase.

275 Glider measurements extend the analysis of air-sea interaction along the entire 8°N section.
276 The longitude–time section of ocean temperature at 1 m depth (Fig. 6a) clearly shows the gradual
277 warming across the whole section from approximately 28.5°C on 3 July to up to 30.5°C on 13 July.
278 Superimposed on this are strong diurnal fluctuations, especially at the westernmost glider (SG579)
279 and 88°E (SG532). These represent the formation of surface diurnal warm layers (Fig. 6b), previ-
280 ously diagnosed in the Indian Ocean by an ocean glider (Matthews et al. 2014).

281 Argo floats extend the analysis even further to the end of the season. With the onset of the
282 next active phase of BSISO in mid July, the temperature rapidly decreased (Fig. 6c) followed by a
283 weaker warming from mid-August to mid-September and a further cooling.

284 **4. Oceanographic features of the southern BoB**

285 The deployment of multiple platforms has yielded an unprecedented description of the oceano-
286 graphic features of the southern BoB during the summer monsoon. In particular, a nearly one-
287 month time series of physical and bio-geochemical variables along a zonal section at 8°N has
288 been obtained. This section describes these features briefly.

289 *a. Sri Lanka Dome (SLD)*

290 The cyclonic circulation feature located to the east of Sri Lanka, caused by cyclonic wind stress
291 curl above the SLD (Fig7a), associated with doming of the thermocline is known as the SLD
292 (Vinayachandran and Yamagata (1998); Wijesekera et al. (2016a)). The SLD during BoBBLE is
293 seen as a patch of negative mean sea level anomalies (MSLA; Fig. 7a), enclosed by the zero MSLA
294 contour (thick line). The SLD was well developed during the survey with cyclonic circulation
295 and cooler SST around it (Fig 7b). The CTD profiles measured during BoBBLE captured the
296 doming of the thermocline with respect to its exterior. On 28 and 30 June 2016, CTD profiles
297 were measured at locations within the SLD, and on 01 July 2016, on its outer edge. A comparison
298 of these profiles shows that the thermocline (taken as the depth of the 20°C isotherm; D20) within
299 the dome is about 30 m shallower compared to its exterior (Fig. 7c). The dome also shows distinct
300 salinity characteristics (Fig. 7d). The sub-surface high salinity core that exists along with the SMC
301 (section 4c) does not penetrate into the SLD. The near-surface salinity within the SLD is higher
302 compared to the north but lower than that in the east, confirming its isolation from the influence of
303 the SMC.

304 Along 8°N (Fig. 8), the thermocline (D20) within the dome is elevated relative to the region to
305 the east. The CTD and glider sections in early July (Fig. 8a,b) corresponds well during the season.
306 The SLD moves westward as the season progresses (Fig. 8c). It has been possible to delineate the
307 changes in the east–west slope of the thermocline from early to mid July because of these data sets
308 generated by multiple instrumentation (Fig. 8d).

309 *b. The Summer Monsoon Current*

310 The SMC flows eastward to the south of India (Schott et al. 1994) and then turns to flow into
311 the BoB (Murty et al. 1992; Vinayachandran et al. 1999, 2013). The SMC was fully developed

312 during the observation period with near-surface speeds of $0.5\text{--}1\text{ m s}^{-1}$ (Fig. 9a,b). The circulation
313 was characterized by a large cyclonic gyre to the east of Sri Lanka, that was fully developed by
314 the last week of June (Fig. 9a). The main axis of the SMC that flows northeastward into the
315 BoB weakened and moved westwards by mid-July; in the process, the gyre elongated and shrank.
316 Multiple filaments emanated from the SMC in different directions (Fig. 9b). One of them flowed
317 towards the equator, another towards the east, one towards the northeast and another continued to
318 the southeast. One of the drifting buoys deployed during the cruise at TSW (Fig. 3) on 29 June
319 traversed along the cyclonic gyre (Fig. 9c) but two drifters continued towards India. The drifter
320 deployed in the east (147132; Fig. 9c) moved southeast.

321 The BoBBLE section cut across the main branch of the SMC, and the TSE location was lo-
322 cated on the outer edge of the filament flowing to the northeast. Geostrophic currents (Fig. 9d)
323 showed high speeds ($> 0.5\text{ m s}^{-1}$) near the surface and the northward flow was restricted to
324 depths shallower than 200 m in agreement with previous observations (Wijesekera et al. 2016a).
325 ADCP profiles confirm the shallow nature of the SMC (Fig. 9e,f). Between the two visits, the
326 SMC weakened and shifted westwards, the latter being consistent with the well-known process of
327 Rossby wave propagation across the BoB (McCreary et al. 1996; Shankar et al. 2002). There is a
328 remarkable agreement between the ADCP data and geostrophic currents. The time-depth section
329 of the geostrophic component of the SMC derived from glider data (Fig. 9e,g) show decreasing
330 velocities, consistent with the weakening and westward propagation of the SMC.

331 *c. The high salinity core*

332 The SMC carries high salinity water ($> 35.2\text{ psu}$) from the Arabian Sea into the BoB. On
333 encountering the lighter water of lower salinity, the Arabian Sea water subducts beneath the latter.
334 The intrusion of high salinity (35 to 35.6 psu) water occurs below the mixed layer, to a maximum

depth of about 200 m (Fig. 10). During 30 June to 4 July (Fig. 10a), the high salinity core was confined to 86–89°E, between 25–175 m depth. At the eastern end (at 89°E), the core thinned to about 25 m thickness. In contrast, at the western end, the profiles measured inside the SLD did not show the presence of Arabian Sea water (Fig. 7d). At TSE, (Fig. 10b) the core thickened from about 25 m on 4 July to about 100 m on 15 July suggesting a steady supply of high salinity water during the observation period. Glider (Fig. 10d) and Argo (Fig. 10e) observations reveal temporal variations of the high salinity core along the 8°N section.

d. Freshening events and barrier layer formation

The 10-day time series at TSE captured two freshening events, one during 4–6 July and the other during 8–9 July (Fig. 10c). These events led barrier layers to form between the base of the upper isohaline layer and the base of the isothermal layer. The barrier layer formed rapidly during the first event. The SSS on 4 July dropped by 0.3 in 2 hours, decreasing from 34.3 psu at 0530 UTC to 33.9 psu by 0730 UTC (Fig. 11). Since there was no rain locally, this drop in salinity is attributed to horizontal advection. The mixed layer depth (MLD) decreased from 70 m to 18 m leading to the formation of a barrier layer that was about 50 m thick and had a temperature of 29°C. The upper layer warmed by about 0.3°C relative to the barrier layer below, during the following diurnal cycle.

The second event occurred more gradually; the SSS (MLD) decreased from 34.40 psu (75m) on 07 July to 33.57 psu (35m) on 13 July (Fig. 11). Consequently, a new barrier layer formed that was about 40 m thick. Periods of low SST coincided with higher salinity and the SST increased after both freshening events. There was also a distinct increase in the diurnal warming of SST after the freshening.

The barrier layer formation at TSE is comparable to that in the northern bay where the influence of river runoff and rainfall is more intense. Subsequent to the arrival of a fresh plume, the MLD

358 decreased from 30 to 10 m during the 1999 summer monsoon (Vinayachandran et al. 2002), as a
359 result of the decrease in SSS by about 4 psu over a period of 7 days. Observations during 2009
360 (Rao et al. 2011) also showed a similar decrease of SSS and MLD in one day. It is quite remarkable
361 that even in the southern bay, where the direct influence of fresh water is much weaker compared
362 to that in the north, the mixed layer shallowing and barrier layer formation occur to a comparable
363 degree, suggesting that the behavior of the southern BoB mixed layer is comparable to that of the
364 north during the summer monsoon.

365 *e. Sub-mesoscale observations*

366 A uCTD was used for measuring vertical profiles of temperature and salinity, while the ship was
367 in transit (details in section 2). A uCTD section measured just after a spell of rain near TSE on
368 15 July 2016 (Fig. 12a) captured the spatial scale of a low salinity pool formed due to the rain
369 event. The salinity within the fresh pool was lower by 0.1 psu compared to the region outside,
370 and the impact of rain was seen to a depth of 12 m. The width of this pool was 7 km. There was
371 no apparent change in the temperature (Fig. 12b) and the isothermal layer extended all the way to
372 about 40 m despite the isohaline layer being confined to the upper 10 m.

373 *f. Microstructure measurements*

374 Previous indirect dissipation measurements inferred from Argo floats in the central BoB sug-
375 gested very low dissipation rates in the 250–500 m depth range (Whalen et al. 2012). Recent
376 direct dissipation measurements in the northern and central BoB (Jinadasa et al. 2016) show that
377 the pycnocline is mostly decoupled from the low salinity surface layer, with low turbulence in the
378 deeper layer. Profiles from simultaneous casts of the VMP-250 (Fig. 13a-c) and the microstruc-
379 ture glider (Fig. 13d-f) at locations separated by a few kilometre suggest a shallow mixed layer

(approximately 20 m thick) and a freshened upper layer (33.5 psu) compared to the thermocline region where salinity is 35.25 psu, confirming that the two platforms are sampling similar water columns. The 3 m binned profiles of turbulent kinetic energy dissipation rate (ϵ) and vertical diffusivity (K_z) from the VMP (Fig. 13c) and the microstructure glider (Fig. 13f) suggest a sporadic and intermittent nature of the mixing in the water column. Within the mixed layer, the ϵ and K_z were greater than $10^{-8} \text{ W kg}^{-1}$ and $10^{-4} \text{ m}^2\text{s}^{-1}$, respectively. Below the mixed layer, ϵ decreased to $10^{-10} \text{ W kg}^{-1}$, and K_z reduced to $10^{-6} \text{ m}^2\text{s}^{-1}$. The microstructure data are consistent with the observations of Jinadasa et al. (2016) and Whalen et al. (2012).

g. Biogeochemical observations

Light Penetration and Chlorophyll

The glider SG579 (Table 1.) equipped with a PAR sensor provides a proxy for the shortwave radiation flux. A sample profile (Fig. 14) shows a rapid decrease in radiation flux in the top 1–2 m, associated with the absorption of the red light part of the spectrum. Below this level, PAR decreases much more slowly, associated with absorption of the blue light part of the spectrum. A double exponential curve was fitted, producing a scale depth of 0.3 m for red light, and 18 m for blue light. Co-located measurements of chlorophyll concentration (Table 1.) show a layer of chlorophyll below 30 m (green line in Fig. 14), with near zero values above this. The effects of chlorophyll absorption of solar radiation, and any subsequent effect on SST, and through ocean–atmosphere interactions, a feedback onto precipitation, will be examined during the project.

O₂ and pCO₂

The dissolved oxygen at the surface ranged between 199–212 $\mu\text{M/Kg}$ with the eastern part of the transect showing relatively higher values (Fig. 15a). The surface pH (Fig. 15b), total alkalinity (Fig. 15c) and pCO₂ (Fig. 15e) exhibited a similar distribution. The pH had a range of 8.071–

8.168 units, whereas total alkalinity varied between 2172–2295 $\mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$. Atmospheric CO_2 ($\text{pCO}_2^{\text{air}}$) ranged between 386–409 μatm (Fig. 15d), where higher concentrations were associated with the AR station at 85.3°E, 10°N (Fig. 3). This station also exhibited high pCO_2 (sea water) with a range of 467–554 μatm . The low surface pH in tandem with low alkalinity and high pCO_2 at this station suggest upwelled waters, presumably associated with the SMC. Overall, all sampling stations exhibited high pCO_2 compared to the atmospheric mixing ratios, suggesting that the southern BoB is a possible source of CO_2 to the atmosphere during summer.

5. Summary and outlook

During a typical summer monsoon season, discrete cloud bands periodically form over the Indian Ocean and then migrate over the Asian land mass, culminating in rainfall there. Such cloud bands can be embedded in large-scale intraseasonal oscillations or manifest as synoptic monsoon depressions. The BoB is a key region for the formation and propagation of these atmospheric systems. Thus, the variability of rainfall over the Asian land mass during the monsoon is closely linked to the exchange of heat and moisture taking place over the Indian Ocean. Hence, understanding the detailed physical processes of ocean–atmosphere interaction over the Indian Ocean, and the BoB in particular, is crucial for understanding and successful modeling and prediction of monsoon variability.

BoBBLE was motivated by this need and designed to investigate oceanographic conditions and air–sea interaction over the hitherto little known southern BoB during the summer monsoon. This paper outlines the preliminary results from the BoBBLE field program, which was aimed at collecting high-quality in situ observations from multiple platforms, including an ocean research ship, five ocean gliders, and seven specially configured Argo floats.

425 The BoBBLE observations were made during July 2016, during a suppressed phase of the
426 BSISO when the ocean and atmosphere were being pre-conditioned for an impending active stage
427 of the monsoon. During this period, which was characterized by intense solar radiation, the ocean
428 warmed, and exhibited strong diurnal variability. At the end of the BoBBLE observation period,
429 atmospheric convection broke out over the southern BoB as part of the next, active phase of a
430 northward-propagating BSISO. This active phase subsequently led to rainfall over India and the
431 Asian land mass.

432 The BoBBLE campaign has also made detailed observations of the major oceanographic fea-
433 tures of the southern BoB. Using multiple in situ platforms, the spatial and temporal evolution of
434 features such as the SLD Dome and the high salinity core in the SMC have been delineated using
435 in situ data sets. Other observations include the formation of barrier layers in the southern BoB,
436 and details of the associated changes in the mixed layer. The physical processes involved in barrier
437 layer formation in the southern BoB contrast with those at work in the north.

438 The next challenge for the BoBBLE program is to incorporate the observational knowledge
439 gained by the field program into physical process models, and to determine the sensitivity of the
440 monsoon system to ocean–atmosphere interactions in the southern BoB.

441 *Acknowledgments.* BoBBLE is a joint MoES, India–NERC, UK program. The BoBBLE
442 field program on board *RV Sindhu Sadhana* was funded by Ministry of Earth Sciences, Govt.
443 of India under its Monsoon Mission program administered by Indian Institute of Tropical
444 Meteorology, Pune. We are indebted to CSIR-National Institute of Oceanography, Goa for
445 providing their research ship *RV Sindhu Sadhana* for BoBBLE. Encouragements by Dr M.
446 Rajeevan, Secretary, MoES and Dr S. S. C. Shenoi, Director INCOIS are greatly appreciated.
447 The support and co-operation of Dr P. S. Rao (NIO), the Captain, officers and crew of *RV Sindhu*

448 *Sadhana* are greatly appreciated. We thank Dr. D. Shankar (NIO) Dr. R. Venkatesan (NIOT),
 449 Dr Tata Sudhakar (NIOT), Dr. Anil Kumar (NCOAR), Dr. M. Ravichandran (INCOIS) and
 450 their team, for their support for the field program. ASCAT winds were obtained from IFRE-
 451 MER (<http://www.ifremer.fr/cersat/en/data/data.htm>), NCEP from <http://www.esrl.noaa.gov/psd/>
 452 (Kalney et al, 1996), CERES downward long wave and short wave from <https://ceres.larc.nasa.gov>,
 453 OSCAR from <https://podaac.jpl.nasa.gov/CitingPODAAC>, AMSR-E products from
 454 <http://www.remss.com/missions/amr>, KALPANA-OLR from <http://www.tropmet.res.in>, TRMM-
 455 rainfall from <http://daac.gsfc.nasa.gov/precipitation>, MSLA from Copernicus Marine and Environ-
 456 ment Monitoring Service (CMEMS) (<http://www.marine.copernicus.eu>), gridded Argo data from
 457 from Global Data Assembly Centre (Argo GDAC), SEANOE and ERA winds, fluxes and meteo-
 458 rological parameters from <http://www.ecmwf.int/en/research/climate-reanalysis/era-interim>. The
 459 core Argo profiles were collected and made freely available by the international Argo program and
 460 the national programmes that contribute to it (<http://www.argo.ucsd.edu>, <http://argo.jcommops.org>)
 461 NPK was supported by NERC (NE/L010976/1). ASF, BGMW and SCP were supported by the
 462 NERC BoBBLE project (NE/L013835/1, NE/L013827/1, NE/L013800/1).

463

464 .

465 **References**

- 466 Bhat, G. S., and R. Narasimha, 2007: Indian summer monsoon experiments. *Curr. Sci.*, **93** (2),
 467 153–164.
- 468 Bhat, G. S., and Coauthors, 2001: BOBMEX: The Bay of Bengal monsoon experiment. *Bull. Am.*
 469 *Meteorol. Soc.*, **82** (10), 2217–2243.

- 470 Boyer, T. P., and Coauthors, 2013: Noaa atlas nesdis 72. *World Ocean Database*.
- 471 Das, U., P. N. Vinayachandran, and A. Behara, 2016: Formation of the southern Bay of Bengal
472 cold pool. *Clim. Dyn.*, **47** (5-6), 2009–2023.
- 473 Dupuis, H., C. Guerin, D. Hauser, A. Weill, P. Nacass, W. M. Drennan, S. Cloché, and H. C.
474 Graber, 2003: Impact of flow distortion corrections on turbulent fluxes estimated by the iner-
475 tial dissipation method during the FETCH experiment on R/V L’Atalante. *J. Geophys. Res.:
476 Oceans*, **108** (C3).
- 477 Edson, J. B., A. A. Hinton, K. E. Prada, J. E. Hare, and C. W. Fairall, 1998: Direct covariance flux
478 estimates from mobile platforms at sea. *J. Atmos. Oceanic Technol.*, **15** (2), 547–562.
- 479 Fairall, C., A. White, J. Edson, and J. Hare, 1997: Integrated shipboard measurements of the
480 marine boundary layer. *J. Atmos. Oceanic Technol.*, **14** (3), 338–359.
- 481 Gadgil, S., 2003: The Indian monsoon and its variability. *Annu. Rev. Earth Planet. Sci.*, **31** (1),
482 429–467.
- 483 Jain, V., and Coauthors, 2016: Evidence for the existence of Persian Gulf Water and Red Sea
484 Water in the Bay of Bengal. *Clim. Dyn.*, 1–20.
- 485 Jinadasa, S. U. P., I. Lozovatsky, J. Planella-Morató, J. D. Nash, J. A. MacKinnon, A. J. Lucas,
486 H. W. Wijesekera, and H. J. S. Fernando, 2016: Ocean turbulence and mixing around Sri Lanka
487 and in adjacent waters of the northern Bay of Bengal. *Oceanography*, **29** (2), 170–179.
- 488 Joseph, P. V., K. P. Sooraj, C. A. Babu, and T. P. Sabin, 2005: A Cold Pool in the Bay of Bengal and
489 its interaction With The Active-Break Cycle of Monsoon. *CLIVAR Exchanges*, **10** (3), 10–12.

- 490 Lee, C. M., and Coauthors, 2016: Collaborative observations of boundary currents, water mass
491 variability, and monsoon response in the southern Bay of Bengal. *Oceanography*, **29** (2), 102–
492 111.
- 493 Lee, J.-Y., B. Wang, M. C. Wheeler, X. Fu, D. E. Waliser, and I.-S. Kang, 2013: Real-time multi-
494 variate indices for the boreal summer intraseasonal oscillation over the Asian summer monsoon
495 region. *Clim. Dyn.*, **40** (1-2), 493–509.
- 496 Matthews, A. J., D. B. Baranowski, K. J. Heywood, P. J. Flatau, and S. Schmidtko, 2014: The
497 surface diurnal warm layer in the Indian Ocean during CINDY/DYNAMO. *J. Climate*, **27** (24),
498 9101–9122.
- 499 McCreary, J. P., W. Han, D. Shankar, and S. R. Shetye, 1996: Dynamics of the East India Coastal
500 Current: 2. Numerical solutions. *J. Geophys. Res.: Oceans*, **101** (C6), 13 993–14 010.
- 501 McPhaden, M. J., and Coauthors, 2009: RAMA: The Research Moored Array for African-Asian-
502 Australian Monsoon Analysis and Prediction. *Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc.*, **90**, 459–480.
- 503 Moum, J. N., M. C. Gregg, R. C. Lien, and M. E. Carr, 1995: Comparison of turbulence kinetic
504 energy dissipation rate estimates from two ocean microstructure profilers. *J. Atmos. Oceanic
505 Tech.*, **12** (2), 346–366.
- 506 Murty, V. S. N., Y. V. B. Sarma, D. P. Rao, and C. S. Murty, 1992: Water characteristics, mixing
507 and circulation in the Bay of Bengal during southwest monsoon. *J. Mar. Res.*, **50** (2), 207–228.
- 508 Nair, A. K. M., K. Rajeev, S. Sijikumar, and S. Meenu, 2011: Characteristics of a persistent pool
509 of inhibited cloudiness and its genesis over the Bay of Bengal associated with the Asian summer
510 monsoon. *Ann. Geophys.*, **29** (7), 1247–1252.

511 Osborn, T. R., 1980: Estimates of the local rate of vertical diffusion from dissipation measure-
512 ments. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, **10** (1), 83–89.

513 Rao, S. A., and Coauthors, 2011: Modulation of SST, SSS over northern Bay of Bengal on ISO
514 time scale. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **116** (C9).

515 Saji, N. H., B. N. Goswami, P. N. Vinayachandran, and T. Yamagata, 1999: A dipole mode in the
516 tropical Indian Ocean. *Nature*, **401** (6751), 360–363.

517 Schott, F., J. Reppin, J. Fischer, and D. Quadfasel, 1994: Currents and transports of the Monsoon
518 Current south of Sri Lanka. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **99** (C12), 25 127–25 141.

519 Shankar, D., S. R. Shetye, and P. V. Joseph., 2007: Link between convection and meridional
520 gradient of sea surface temperature in the Bay of Bengal. *J. Earth. Syst. Sci.*, **116** (5), 385–406.

521 Shankar, D., P. N. Vinayachandran, and A. S. Unnikrishnan, 2002: The Monsoon current in the
522 north Indian ocean. *Prog. Oceanogr.*, **52**, 63–120.

523 Shenoi, S. S. C., D. Shankar, and S. R. Shetye, 2002: Differences in heat budgets of the near-
524 surface Arabian Sea and Bay of Bengal: Implications for the summer monsoon. *J. Geophys.*
525 *Res.*, **107** (C6), 5–1.

526 Vinayachandran, P. N., Y. Masumoto, T. Mikawa, and T. Yamagata, 1999: Intrusion of the south-
527 west monsoon current into the Bay of Bengal. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **104** (C5), 77–85.

528 Vinayachandran, P. N., V. S. N. Murty, and V. Ramesh Babu, 2002: Observations of barrier layer
529 formation in the Bay of Bengal during summer monsoon. *J. Geophys. Res.: Oceans*, **107** (C12).

530 Vinayachandran, P. N., D. Shankar, S. Vernekar, K. K. Sandeep, P. Amol, C. P. Neema, and
531 A. Chatterjee, 2013: A summer monsoon pump to keep the Bay of Bengal salty. *Geophys.*
532 *Res. Lett.*, **40**, 1–6.

533 Vinayachandran, P. N., and T. Yamagata, 1998: Monsoon response of the sea around Sri Lanka:
 534 generation of thermal domes and anticyclonic vortices. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, **28** (10), 1946–1960.

535 Webster, P. J., and Coauthors, 2002: The JASMINE pilot study. *Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc.*, **83** (11),
 536 1603–1630.

537 Weller, R. A., E. F. Bradley, J. B. Edson, C. W. Fairall, I. M. Brooks, M. J. Yelland, and W. Pascal,
 538 Robin, 2008: Sensors for physical fluxes at the sea surface: energy, heat, water, salt. *Copernicus*
 539 *Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union*.

540 Whalen, C. B., L. D. Talley, and J. A. MacKinnon, 2012: Spatial and temporal variability of global
 541 ocean mixing inferred from Argo profiles. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **39** (18).

542 Wijesekera, H. W., W. J. Teague, D. W. Wang, E. Jarosz, T. G. Jensen, S. U. P. Jinadasa, H. J. S.
 543 Fernando, and Z. R. Hallock, 2016a: Low-frequency currents from deep moorings in the south-
 544 ern bay of bengal. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, **46** (10), 3209–3238.

545 Wijesekera, H. W., and Coauthors, 2016b: ASIRI: An Ocean–Atmosphere Initiative for Bay of
 546 Bengal. *Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc.*, **97** (10), 1859–1884.

547 Wijesekera, H. W., and Coauthors, 2016c: Observations of currents over the deep southern Bay of
 548 Bengal with a little luck. *Oceanography*, **29** (2), 112–123.

549 Xie, S.-P., H. Xu, N. H. Saji, Y. Wang, and W. T. Liu, 2006: Role of narrow mountains in large-
 550 scale organization of Asian monsoon convection. *J. Climate*, **19** (14), 3420–3429.

551 Yu, L., 2003: Variability of the depth of the 20°C isotherm along 6°N in the Bay of Bengal: Its
 552 response to remote and local forcing and its relation to satellite SSH variability. *Deep-Sea Res.*
 553 *II: Topical Studies in Oceanography*, **50** (12), 2285–2304.

554

555

556

557	LIST OF TABLES	
558	Table 1. Ocean glider deployments during the BoBBLE cruise.	29
559	Table 2. Argo float deployments during the BoBBLE cruise.	30
560	Table 3. Details of ship-board meteorological instruments. Surface variables including	
561	air temperature (Ta), SST, relative humidity (RH), pressure, all four compo-	
562	nents of radiation, wind speed and direction, rain rate and ship position were	
563	continuously monitored by the AWS. Surface variables were sampled at 10-	
564	second intervals, and 1-minute averages (including true wind speed and di-	
565	rection) were stored. The ship’s SBE SST sensor was placed at a depth of	
566	approximately 3.5 m below sea level (Weller et al. 2008).	31

TABLE 1. Ocean glider deployments during the BoBBLE cruise.

Glider ID	Waypoint	Deployed	Recovered	Instrumentation
SG579	8°N,86°E then 8°N,85°20'E	30 Jun	20 Jul	CTD, dO ₂ , Chl, backscatter, PAR
SG534	8°N,87°E	1 Jul	17 Jul	CTD, dO ₂ , Chl, backscatter
SG532	8°N,88°E	2 Jul	16 Jul	CTD, dO ₂ , Chl, backscatter
SG620	8°N,88°54'E	3 Jul	14 Jul	CTD, dO ₂ , Chl, backscatter
SG613	8°N,89°06'E	4 Jul	15 Jul	CTD, microstructure shear and temperature

TABLE 2. Argo float deployments during the BoBBLE cruise.

No.	Argo Float ID	Date	Time (UTC)	Lat	Lon	Notes
1	Navis OCR 0629	28/06/2016	11:45	8N	85.3E	Daily profile surfacing at 1200
2	Apex STS 7599	30/06/2016	09:10	8.04N	86.05E	Daily profile surfacing at 1500
3	Apex STS 7598	30/06/2016	09:10	8.04N	86.05E	Daily profile surfacing at 0300
4	Navis OCR 0631	01/07/2016	14:10	8.07N	87.04E	Daily profile surfacing at 1200
5	Apex STS 7597	01/07/2016	14:10	8.07N	87.04E	Daily profile surfacing at 1500
6	Apex STS 7596	02/07/2016	06:15	8N	88E	Daily profile surfacing at 1500
7	Navis OCR 0630	04/07/2016	13:23	8.06N	89.02E	Daily profile surfacing at 1200

TABLE 3. Details of ship-board meteorological instruments. Surface variables including air temperature (T_a), SST, relative humidity (RH), pressure, all four components of radiation, wind speed and direction, rain rate and ship position were continuously monitored by the AWS. Surface variables were sampled at 10-second intervals, and 1-minute averages (including true wind speed and direction) were stored. The ship's SBE SST sensor was placed at a depth of approximately 3.5 m below sea level (Weller et al. 2008).

Parameter	Range	Mean accuracy	Resolution
Wind speed (RM Young)	0.7–50 m s ⁻¹	0.2 m s ⁻¹ or 2 %	0.1 m s ⁻¹
Wind direction (RM Young)	0–360°	3°	1°
Air Temperature (YSI)	0–45°C	0.2°C	0.05°C
Relative Humidity (Rotronic)	0–100%	2 %	0.5 %
Atmospheric Pressure (Honeywell)	850–1050 hPa	0.1 hPa	0.01 hPa
Optical rain gauge (Optical Scientific)	0–50 mm hr ⁻¹	0.4 mm hr ⁻¹	0.25 mm
Radiation (SW in) (Licor)	0–300 mW cm ⁻²	5 %	—
Sea surface Temperature (Sea Bird)	0–35°C	0.1°	0.05°C

LIST OF FIGURES

- 573 **Fig. 1.** Climatology for the period 23 June – 24 July. (a) TMI SST (1998–2014, shading in °C),
574 TRMM rainfall (1998–2015, contours) OSCAR (1993–2015, vector arrows); (b) AVISO
575 MSLA (1993–2015, shading in cm), salinity from Argo (2005–2015, contours) ASCAT
576 surface winds (2008–2015, vector arrows). Fields in panels (c) and (d) are the same as in
577 panels (a) and (b), respectively, except that they are for the period 23 June - 24 July, 2016 . . . 35
- 578 **Fig. 2.** *RV Sindhu Sadhana* of CSIR-National Institute of Oceanography, Goa, India which was
579 used for the BoBBLE field program. 36
- 580 **Fig. 3.** Upper panel: a map of the BoB and the cruise track of the BoBBLE field program. Shading
581 is SSS from SMAP. Lower panel: The section along which observations were made dur-
582 ing BoBBLE. The black circles (TSW, Z1, Z2, Z3 and TSE) represent glider deployment
583 locations (see Table 1 for details). Argo float deployments (see Table 2 for details), IOP,
584 radiometer and VMP profiling as well as water sampling were also carried out at these lo-
585 cations. Stars indicate locations where additional CTD profiles were measured during the
586 return leg of the cruise. At TSE, additionally, CTD profiles were measured from 4 to 15 July
587 2016. Shading indicates SST from AMSR-E. 37
- 588 **Fig. 4.** Hovmöller diagram (averaged from 80°–95°E) for anomalous 5-day running mean OLR
589 (shading interval 25 W m^{-2}), SST (line contour interval 0.2°C ; negative contours dashed
590 purple, zero contour solid black, positive contours solid red). The solid black line at 8°N
591 shows the timing of the BoBBLE ship and glider and Argo float deployments. Negative
592 (positive) OLR anomalies indicate convectively active (suppressed) phase of BSISO. The
593 dashed blue (red) lines are shown to subjectively indicate the main axis of northward prop-
594 agation of the active (suppressed) phases of the BSISO during June–July 2016. 38
- 595 **Fig. 5.** (a) Ship position as a function of time. Red and dark blue lines correspond to latitude and
596 longitude respectively. The double-headed arrow shows the time series observation period
597 at TSE (89°E , 8°N). (b) SST from AWS and CTD at 3.4 m depth. (c) Air temperature.
598 (d) Surface (approximately 10 m) wind speed (red line,) and radiosonde wind speed (black
599 squares) at 975 hPa. (e) Surface net heat flux into the ocean. The text in the legends cor-
600 responds to: AWS - automatic weather system; CTD - conductivity, temperature and depth
601 probe; RS - radiosonde; $T_{a\text{-sonic}}$ - sonic anemometer temperature corrected for water vapor;
602 ECM and BM refer to turbulent fluxes calculated using the eddy covariance and bulk
603 methods, respectively. 39
- 604 **Fig. 6.** (a) Longitude–time section of OI glider temperature at 1 m depth. The longitudes of the
605 five gliders are shown by the coloured lines. (b) Average diurnal cycle of temperature for
606 glider SG579 at the western end of the 8°N section. Time of day is in local solar time (LST).
607 (c) Longitude–time section of temperature at 1 m depth for the July–September months of
608 2016, based on OI Argo data. A three day moving mean has been applied to the Argo data. . . . 40
- 609 **Fig. 7.** Sri Lanka dome. (a) AVISO MSLA (cm) for 30 June 2016 (contours) and wind stress curl
610 (shading, N m^{-3}) from ASCAT averaged for the period 20 June - 1 July 2016. (b) AMSR-E
611 SST (shading, $^\circ\text{C}$) averaged for the period 27 - 30 June 2016 overlayed on current vectors
612 (m s^{-1}) from OSCAR for 2 July 2016. (c) Temperature and (d) salinity profiles that contrasts
613 the spatial structure of the SLD. Profiles in black and green were measured at a location to
614 the north and east of the SLD respectively, and those in blue and red were measured inside
615 the SLD. Refer to Fig. 3 for the locations. Salinity profiles shows that the high salinity core
616 of the SMC (green curve) is absent in the regions of SLD (blue and red). 41

617	Fig. 8.	Longitude–depth sections of temperature along 8°N using (a) CTD section during 30 June	
618		– 4 July 2016, (b) glider data on 8 July 2016, (c) CTD section observed during 15–20 July	
619		2016, (d) OI Argo data on 24 July 2016.	42
620	Fig. 9.	The SMC. Surface currents from OSCAR (vector, m s ⁻¹) overlaid on current speed (shad-	
621		ing) on (a) 26 June, (b) 17 July 2016. (c) Trajectories of 4 drifting buoys deployed during	
622		the cruise. (d) Meridional geostrophic current referenced to 500 dbar, calculated using ship	
623		CTD temperature and salinity measured at every quarter degree longitude along 8°N during	
624		15 – 20 July 2016. (e) Meridional current measured using the ADCP during 30 June - 4	
625		July, and (f) 15–20 July 2016. (g) Time-depth meridional geostrophic current referenced to	
626		500 dbar, at a nominal longitude of 87.5°E, calculated using SG534 and SG532 glider data	
627		(Table 1).	43
628	Fig. 10.	High Salinity Core. (a) Vertical section of CTD salinity along 8°N, measured during 30 June	
629		- 4 July 2016, at stations located one degree apart between 85.3°E and 89°E. (b) Same sec-	
630		tion as in (a) profiled during 15-20 July 2016 at stations located a quarter degree longitudes	
631		apart. (c) Time-depth section of salinity measured at TSE during 4–15 July 2016. High	
632		Salinity (>35) core is highlighted using contours in (a), (b) and (c). (d) Time–longitude sec-	
633		tion of salinity averaged between 90–130 m using glider data. (e) Time–longitude section	
634		of salinity averaged between 90–130 m using Argo data.	44
635	Fig. 11.	Changes in the temperature and salinity characteristics of the upper layer due to freshening.	
636		The three upper panels correspond to the first freshening event (4–6 July 2016) described	
637		in the text and the three bottom panels correspond to the second (7–13 July 2016). Red,	
638		blue, black and gray curves in all panels indicate temperature, salinity, density and MLD	
639		respectively. The date and time (UTC) of each profile is given above the respective panel.	
640		The left, middle and right panels correspond to the situation before and during the freshening	
641		event. The right panel roughly corresponds to the peak of the freshening event.	45
642	Fig. 12.	uCTD observations of a rain-formed low salinity pool. (a) Salinity along a 14 km section	
643		over which 21 vertical profiles were measured just after a spell of rain. Locations of uCTD	
644		profiles are indicated using asterisks marked at a depth of 55 m. Along the depth axis, the	
645		raw data is averaged into 1m bins before plotting.(b) Vertical profiles of temperature (black)	
646		and salinity (blue) measured outside the low salinity pool (at $x = 2$ km, thick lines) and	
647		inside (at $x = 6$ km, thin lines).	46
648	Fig. 13.	Data from VMP-250 at 7°54'N and 89°06'E on 15 July 2016 : (a) Temperature (blue) and	
649		salinity (red). (b) Squared Brunt Vaisala Frequency (N^2 , blue) and density (red). (c) \log_{10}	
650		of turbulent kinetic energy dissipation rate (ϵ , blue) and \log_{10} of eddy diffusivity coefficient	
651		(K_z , red). Near-simultaneous data from glider SG613: (d) Temperature (blue) and salinity	
652		(red). (e) N^2 (blue) and density (red). (f) \log_{10} of ϵ (blue) and \log_{10} of K_z (red). The noise	
653		threshold of $\epsilon = 10^{-10.5}$ W kg ⁻¹ . The measured microstructure shear was used to infer	
654		ϵ and K_z in the water column by assuming isotropic turbulence (Moum et al. 1995) and a	
655		mixing efficiency of 0.2 (Osborn 1980). The upper 10 m of the VMP-250 data has been	
656		removed to avoid contamination by the ship's wake.	47
657	Fig. 14.	PAR profile (black dots) from a sample dive from glider SG579, near midday on 6 July 2016.	
658		The best fit double exponential curve is shown by the black line. Chlorophyll concentration	
659		is shown by the green crosses and line.	48
660	Fig. 15.	Spatial variation of surface biogeochemical properties during BoBBLE: (a) dissolved oxy-	
661		gen, (b) pH, (c) total alkalinity, (d) atmospheric pCO ₂ ^{air} , pCO ₂ ^{sw} in sea water and the dif-	
662		ference in air-sea pCO ₂ concentration. Water sampling was carried out using a Seabird	

CTD–rosette system fitted with 10 L Niskin bottles. Samples were collected along the 8°N
transect at locations denoted by the red stars in Fig. 3. 49




FIG. 1. Climatology for the period 23 June – 24 July. (a)TMI SST (1998–2014, shading in $^{\circ}\text{C}$), TRMM rainfall (1998–2015, contours) OSCAR (1993–2015, vector arrows); (b) AVISO MSLA (1993–2015, shading in cm), salinity from Argo (2005–2015, contours) ASCAT surface winds (2008–2015, vector arrows). Fields in panels (c) and (d) are the same as in panels (a) and (b), respectively, except that they are for the period 23 June - 24 July, 2016



670 FIG. 2. *RV Sindhu Sadhana* of CSIR-National Institute of Oceanography, Goa, India which was used for the
671 BoBBLE field program.





FIG. 3. Upper panel: a map of the BoB and the cruise track of the BoBBLE field program. Shading is SSS from SMAP. Lower panel: The section along which observations were made during BoBBLE. The black circles (TSW, Z1, Z2, Z3 and TSE) represent glider deployment locations (see Table 1 for details). Argo float deployments (see Table 2 for details), IOP, radiometer and VMP profiling as well as water sampling were also carried out at these locations. Stars indicate locations where additional CTD profiles were measured during the return leg of the cruise. At TSE, additionally, CTD profiles were measured from 4 to 15 July 2016. Shading indicates SST from AMSR-E.




FIG. 4. Hovmöller diagram (averaged from 80°-95°E) for anomalous 5-day running mean OLR (shading interval $25 W m^{-2}$), SST (line contour interval $0.2^{\circ}C$; negative contours dashed purple, zero contour solid black, positive contours solid red). The solid black line at $8^{\circ}N$ shows the timing of the BoBBLE ship and glider and Argo float deployments. Negative (positive) OLR anomalies indicate convectively active (suppressed) phase of BSISO. The dashed blue (red) lines are shown to subjectively indicate the main axis of northward propagation of the active (suppressed) phases of the BSISO during June-July 2016.




FIG. 5. (a) Ship position as a function of time. Red and dark blue lines correspond to latitude and longitude respectively. The double-headed arrow shows the time series observation period at TSE (89°E, 8°N). (b) SST from AWS and CTD at 3.4 m depth. (c) Air temperature. (d) Surface (approximately 10 m) wind speed (red line,) and radiosonde wind speed (black squares) at 975 hPa. (e) Surface net heat flux into the ocean. The text in the legends corresponds to: AWS - automatic weather system; CTD - conductivity, temperature and depth probe; RS - radiosonde; Ta_sonic - sonic anemometer temperature corrected for water vapor; ECM and BM refer to turbulent fluxes calculated using the eddy covariance and bulk methods, respectively.




FIG. 6. (a) Longitude–time section of OI glider temperature at 1 m depth. The longitudes of the five gliders are shown by the coloured lines. (b) Average diurnal cycle of temperature for glider SG579 at the western end of the 8°N section. Time of day is in local solar time (LST). (c) Longitude–time section of temperature at 1 m depth for the July–September months of 2016, based on OI Argo data. A three day moving mean has been applied to the Argo data.





FIG. 7. Sri Lanka dome. (a) AVISO MSLA (cm) for 30 June 2016 (contours) and wind stress curl (shading, N m^{-3}) from ASCAT averaged for the period 20 June - 1 July 2016. (b) AMSR-E SST (shading, °C) averaged for the period 27 - 30 June 2016 overlayed on current vectors (m s^{-1}) from OSCAR for 2 July 2016. (c) Temperature and (d) salinity profiles that contrasts the spatial structure of the SLD. Profiles in black and green were measured at a location to the north and east of the SLD respectively, and those in blue and red were measured inside the SLD. Refer to Fig. 3 for the locations. Salinity profiles shows that the high salinity core of the SMC (green curve) is absent in the regions of SLD (blue and red).



704 FIG. 8. Longitude–depth sections of temperature along 8°N using (a) CTD section during 30 June – 4 July
 705 2016, (b) glider data on 8 July 2016, (c) CTD section observed during 15–20 July 2016, (d) OI Argo data on 24
 706 July 2016.




FIG. 9. The SMC. Surface currents from OSCAR (vector, m s^{-1}) overlaid on current speed (shading) on (a) 26 June, (b) 17 July 2016. (c) Trajectories of 4 drifting buoys deployed during the cruise. (d) Meridional geostrophic current referenced to 500 dbar, calculated using ship CTD temperature and salinity measured at every quarter degree longitude along 8°N during 15 – 20 July 2016. (e) Meridional current measured using the ADCP during 30 June - 4 July, and (f) 15–20 July 2016. (g) Time-depth meridional geostrophic current referenced to 500 dbar, at a nominal longitude of 87.5°E, calculated using SG534 and SG532 glider data (Table 1).




FIG. 10. High Salinity Core. (a) Vertical section of CTD salinity along 8°N, measured during 30 June - 4 July 2016, at stations located one degree apart between 85.3°E and 89°E. (b) Same section as in (a) profiled during 15-20 July 2016 at stations located a quarter degree longitudes apart. (c) Time-depth section of salinity measured at TSE during 4–15 July 2016. High Salinity (>35) core is highlighted using contours in (a), (b) and (c). (d) Time–longitude section of salinity averaged between 90–130 m using glider data. (e) Time–longitude section of salinity averaged between 90–130 m using Argo data.




FIG. 11. Changes in the temperature and salinity characteristics of the upper layer due to freshening. The three upper panels correspond to the first freshening event (4–6 July 2016) described in the text and the three bottom panels correspond to the second (7–13 July 2016). Red, blue, black and gray curves in all panels indicate temperature, salinity, density and MLD respectively. The date and time (UTC) of each profile is given above the respective panel. The left, middle and right panels correspond to the situation before and during the freshening event. The right panel roughly corresponds to the peak of the freshening event.




FIG. 12. uCTD observations of a rain-formed low salinity pool. (a) Salinity along a 14 km section over which 21 vertical profiles were measured just after a spell of rain. Locations of uCTD profiles are indicated using asterisks marked at a depth of 55 m. Along the depth axis, the raw data is averaged into 1m bins before plotting.(b) Vertical profiles of temperature (black) and salinity (blue) measured outside the low salinity pool (at $x = 2$ km, thick lines) and inside (at $x = 6$ km, thin lines).




FIG. 13. Data from VMP-250 at 7°54'N and 89°06'E on 15 July 2016 : (a) Temperature (blue) and salinity (red). (b) Squared Brunt Vaisala Frequency (N^2 , blue) and density (red). (c) \log_{10} of turbulent kinetic energy dissipation rate (ϵ , blue) and \log_{10} of eddy diffusivity coefficient (K_z , red). Near-simultaneous data from glider SG613: (d) Temperature (blue) and salinity (red). (e) N^2 (blue) and density (red). (f) \log_{10} of ϵ (blue) and \log_{10} of K_z (red). The noise threshold of $\epsilon = 10^{-10.5} \text{ W kg}^{-1}$. The measured microstructure shear was used to infer ϵ and K_z in the water column by assuming isotropic turbulence (Moum et al. 1995) and a mixing efficiency of 0.2 (Osborn 1980). The upper 10 m of the VMP-250 data has been removed to avoid contamination by the ship's wake.




FIG. 15. Spatial variation of surface biogeochemical properties during BoBBLE: (a) dissolved oxygen, (b) pH, (c) total alkalinity, (d) atmospheric $\text{pCO}_2^{\text{air}}$, pCO_2^{sw} in sea water and the difference in air-sea pCO_2 concentration. Water sampling was carried out using a Seabird CTD-rosette system fitted with 10 L Niskin bottles. Samples were collected along the 8°N transect at locations denoted by the red stars in Fig. 3.




FIG. 14. PAR profile (black dots) from a sample dive from glider SG579, near midday on 6 July 2016. The best fit double exponential curve is shown by the black line. Chlorophyll concentration is shown by the green crosses and line.