

DEFINE YOUR FLOW



**Own the direction of your research with the
trailblazing ZE5™ Cell Analyzer**

30-parameter analysis, unmatched sample flexibility, and easy to learn and use Everest™ Software now give both novice and expert users the power to set their own pace for discovery.

Visit us at bio-rad.com/info/DefineYourFlow

BIO-RAD

^aDepartment of Clinical Neurosciences, John van Geest Centre for Brain Repair, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom; ^bSchool of Pharmacy, University of East Anglia, Norwich, United Kingdom; ^cCambridge NIHR Biomedical Research Centre, Cambridge, United Kingdom; ^dEye Department, Addenbrooke's Hospital, Cambridge, United Kingdom; ^eWellcome Trust - Medical Research Council, Cambridge Stem Cell Institute, United Kingdom

Correspondence: Keith R Martin, MA DM MRCP FRCOphth, John van Geest Centre for Brain Repair, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB2 0PY, U.K. Telephone: +44-1223-216427; Fax: +44-1223-331160; e-mail: krgm2@cam.ac.uk; The authors indicate no potential conflicts of interest.; This work was supported by the International Glaucoma Association, the Cambridge Eye Trust, the Jukes Glaucoma Research Fund, the Humane Research Trust and a core support grant from the Wellcome Trust and MRC to the Wellcome Trust – Medical Research Council Cambridge Stem Cell Institute. We thank Mary Tottman of the East Anglian Eye Bank for donor eye retrieval and Michael Wormstone for use of the Norwich Eye Research Laboratory.

Received June 20, 2017; accepted for publication October 07, 2017; available online without subscription through the open access option.

©AlphaMed Press

1066-5099/2017/\$30.00/0

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as doi: 10.1002/stem.2722

Neuroprotective Effects Of Human Mesenchymal Stem Cells (hMSC) And Platelet Derived Growth Factor (PDGF) On Human Retinal Ganglion Cells (RGCs)

ANDREW OSBORNE^A , JULIE SANDERSON^B, KEITH R MARTIN^{A,C,D,E}

Key words. mesenchymal stem cells • neuroprotection • human • retina • degeneration

ABSTRACT

Optic neuropathies such as glaucoma occur when retinal ganglion cells (RGCs) in the eye are injured. Strong evidence suggests mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) could be a potential therapy to protect RGCs, however little is known regarding their effect on the human retina. We therefore investigated if human MSCs (hMSCs), or platelet derived growth factor (PDGF) as produced by hMSC, could delay RGC death in a human retinal explant model of optic nerve injury.

Our results showed hMSCs and the secreted growth factor PDGF-AB could substantially reduce human RGC loss and apoptosis following axotomy. The neuroprotective pathways AKT, ERK and STAT3 were activated in the retina shortly after treatments with labelling seen in the RGC layer. A dose dependent protective effect of PDGF-AB was observed in human retinal explants but protection was not as substantial as that achieved by culturing hMSCs on the retina surface which resulted in RGC cell counts similar to those immediately post dissection.

These results demonstrate that hMSCs and PDGF have strong neuroprotective action on human RGCs and may offer a translatable, therapeutic strategy to reduce degenerative visual loss. *STEM CELLS* 2017; 00:000–000

SIGNIFICANCE STATEMENT

Stem cell therapies that work in rodent models are frequently proposed as potential treatments for human disease. Testing of such strategies in human tissue is an important interim between preclinical and clinical studies. We demonstrated that human mesenchymal stem cells and platelet-derived growth factor provide significant protection to cells of the human retina, supporting observations seen in rodent models of optic nerve injury. This work highlights that similar, although not identical, mechanisms are responsible for retinal protection and that future stem cell based treatments may have the potential to reduce visual deterioration.

INTRODUCTION

There has been considerable recent interest in the potential use of stem cells to treat a variety of neurodegenerative diseases. We and others have previously shown that injection of mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) into the eye can significantly delay retinal ganglion cell (RGC) death in models of glaucoma and optic nerve injury [1-6]. Using a rat ex vivo retinal explant system, we demonstrated that much of the neuroprotective effect of MSCs was mediated by platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF), a family of growth factors not previously associated with RGC survival in glaucoma [7]. We also showed PDGF could preserve RGC synaptic proteins in vivo [8] and minimize axonal loss within the optic nerve in experimentally induced ocular hypertension [7], supporting further investigation of PDGF treatment as a potential future glaucoma therapy.

However, to date, no such studies have examined the translatability of these experiments to the human retina. Species differences in pathogenic and therapeutic pathways are conceivable [9] and may contribute to the poor rate of successful translation of treatment strategies from animal models to human clinical trials [10-12]. Although the pre-clinical assessment of human RGC neuroprotection has proven challenging (partly due to the limitations of available animal models as well as tissue availability and difficulties in the culture of adult human RGCs), ex vivo retinal explants can provide a useful model to study some aspects of retinal disease [13-15] disease. Importantly, we have shown good correlation between the ability of therapies to protect RGCs in explants and in vivo models of experimental glaucoma [16].

In the current study, we aimed to determine if human MSCs (hMSCs) or PDGF could reduce RGC loss and apoptosis in the human retina. By investigating the downstream signaling pathways following treatments we also set out to clarify the mechanisms involved in human RGC neuroprotection and the impact of pathway inhibition. Through understanding the role of hMSC and hMSC-derived factors on human tissue we hope to accelerate and support future therapies to prevent visual deterioration.

METHODS

Human retinal explant culture

Donor human eyes were obtained <24 hours post mortem from the East Anglian Eye Bank (Norfolk and Norwich University Hospital) with research being conducted under the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki with ethical approval from the UK National Research Ethics Committee (REC 04/Q0102/57 and REC 11/EE/0112). All donated eyes were free of diagnosed retinal pathology and contained no evidence of ocular trauma or retinal injury. In total, 34 human post mor-

tem eye globes from 17 donors aged 36 - 78 years (Supplementary Fig. 1C) were used for this study.

Human retinal explants were excised and cultured through a combination of previously published methods [13-15]. The anterior portion of each donor eye was removed and the intact retina detached from the retinal pigmented epithelium via cuts around the ciliary body and at the optic nerve head. A flat retinal preparation was created and the macula removed using a 4mm diameter dissecting trephine (Biomedical Research Instruments, MD, USA). Six smaller 3mm circular explants were taken equidistant from the macula in regions of comparable RGC number [14, 15] (Sup Fig. 1A and B). Explants were cultured, photoreceptor side down, on polytetrafluoroethylene membranes (EMD Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA) in 300µl Neurobasal-A media containing 2% B27 supplement, 1% N2 supplement, L-glutamine (0.8mM), penicillin (100U/ml) and streptomycin (100mg/ml) (All from Invitrogen, Paisley, UK) at an air-fluid interface in 12 well plates (Corning, NY, USA).

The six explants from a single retina represent an experimental (n) of 1, with each explant receiving a separate treatment as illustrated at the top of figures. This ensures all groups are age and donor matched to limit variation. Sometimes only four of the six explants were used in an experiment where certain explants were not deemed of good enough quality to include in the study or were used for other, unrelated experiments. Explants were cultured for up to 7 days ex vivo (7DEV) at 35°C in a humidified atmosphere of 95% air/5% CO₂. Medium was either unchanged throughout experiments or, where stated, half the medium was replenished daily.

Retinal explants were treated with recombinant human PDGF-AA or -AB (#100-13A and 100-00AB, Peprotech, Rocky Hill, NJ, USA), and/or inhibitors of molecular signaling pathways, added to the culture medium at the beginning of experiments. A selection of explants were co-cultured with hMSCs, added as a bolus 5µl droplet of 5000 cells to the RGC layer shortly after dissection. Inhibitors of PDGF and its downstream signaling pathways included PDGF neutralizing antibody (35mg/ml) (EMD Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA), the phosphatidylinositol 3 (PI3) kinase inhibitor LY294002 (75µM) (Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA, USA), the ERK inhibitor U0126 (50µM) (Promega, Southampton, UK) and the STAT3 inhibitor S3I-201 (100µM) (Sigma-Aldrich, Poole, UK).

Human MSC culture

Human MSCs (hMSCs) were purchased from Stem Cell Technologies and cultured in DMEM (1g/l glucose) (Invitrogen, Paisley, UK) containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Invitrogen, Paisley, UK), and 1% penicillin and streptomycin (Thermo Scientific, Loughborough, UK). Cells were expanded from the same stocks as we have used previously [7] whereby the vendor had

shown a >90% expression of CD29, CD44, CD105, and CD166 and <1% expression of CD14, CD34 and CD45. Human MSCs were split at 75-90% confluence and used between passages 9 and 14. For transplantation studies, hMSCs expression of the mesenchymal markers CD105 and CD73 was verified (Supplementary Fig. 1D) and the cells were re-suspended in explant medium prior to culture on the RGC layer of the retina. For conditioned medium investigation, hMSCs were grown in T75 tissue culture flasks (Corning, NY, USA) and transferred to serum free medium for 72 hours.

Immunohistochemistry and TUNEL analysis

Immunohistochemistry was used to quantify the survival of RGC layer cell populations as previously demonstrated [14-16] and to visualize the activation and location of the PDGF receptors and their downstream signaling pathways within the retina. Explants were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (24 hours), cryopreserved in a 30% sucrose solution in PBS at 4°C (24 hours) before 13µm sections were collected using a Bright OTF 5000 cryostat (Bright Instruments, Huntingdon, UK). Antigen retrieval (incubation for 5 minutes at 80°C in 10mM Sodium Citrate Buffer, 0.05% Tween 20, pH 6.0) or methanol permeabilization (submersion for 5 minutes in ice cold 100% methanol) were used to enhance epitope-antibody binding for phosphorylated and total PDGF receptors, MSC markers and phosphorylated-STAT3 antibodies prior to blocking. Transverse sections were simultaneously blocked and permeabilized by incubation in 5% normal goat serum (NGS) in PBS with 0.3% Triton X-100 for one hour at room temperature.

Sections were incubated in primary antibody (Table 1) diluted in blocking solution overnight at 4°C, before incubation with secondary antibody diluted in blocking solution for 2 hours at room temperature. Nuclei were counterstained with DAPI.

The DeadEnd™ Fluorometric TUNEL system (TUNEL) (G3250 Promega, Southampton, UK) was performed alongside NeuN immunohistochemistry to visualize apoptotic RGCs. TUNEL staining was carried out in between primary and secondary antibody steps according to manufacturer's instructions and as previously performed [15]. Samples were then mounted with fluorSave™ reagent (Calbiochem/EMD Chemicals Inc., Gibbstown, NJ, USA) prior to imaging.

DAPI⁺, NeuN⁺ and TUJ1⁺ cell densities within the RGC layer were quantified by a masked investigator in at least eight sections per explant using a 20X objective and a Leica DM6000 epifluorescence microscope (Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany). NeuN⁺ cells which also stained positive for TUNEL were identified as apoptotic RGCs. Visualization of PDGFR activation and downstream signaling were assessed using a Leica SP5 confocal microscope (Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany) equipped with a 40X oil objective using a 1.5X digital zoom and 0.5-0.8 sequential scanning z-step interval.

Western Blotting

Protein extraction was performed by lysing explants in Mammalian Protein Extract Reagent M-PER supplemented with Halt Phosphatase Inhibitor Cocktail, Protease Inhibitor Cocktail and 5mM EDTA (All from Thermo Scientific, Loughborough, UK). Tissue was homogenized on ice for 20 minutes and then centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 5 minutes to isolate the soluble cell extract. Protein concentration was determined using a bicinchoninic acid (BCA) protein assay (Thermo Scientific, Loughborough, UK).

10µg of protein was loaded into 4-12% pre-cast gels and electro-transferred to PVDF membranes (Thermo Scientific, Loughborough, UK). Membranes were blocked in 5% dried skimmed milk in PBS with 0.2% Tween20 (Sigma-Aldrich, Poole, UK) for 1 hour and incubated overnight with primary antibody (Table 1) in blocking solution at 4°C. HRP conjugated secondary antibodies (1:10000, Vector Laboratories Ltd., Peterborough, UK) were used for 2 hours before signal detection using ECL Prime (Amersham, GE Healthcare, UK) and an Alliance Western blot imaging system (UVitec Ltd, Cambridge, UK).

Proteome Profiler Arrays

Human MSC conditioned media were concentrated 10-15x using Amicon Ultra-15 centrifugal filter units (3kDa normal molecular weight limit, (EMD Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA) prior to analysis using commercially available human angiogenesis proteome profiler arrays (R&D systems, Abingdon, UK) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Membranes were developed using ECL Prime and a UVitec imaging system with antibody spot integrated density quantified using ImageJ.

Lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) assay

The level of necrotic cell damage was determined by measuring the LDH activity in treated cell culture medium according to the manufacturer's instructions (Roche Molecular Biochemicals, Burgess Hill, UK).

ELISA

Human PDGF-AB ELISA arrays (Roche Molecular Biochemicals, Burgess Hill, UK) were used to measure PDGF degradation in experiments.

Statistical Analysis

Data are presented as the mean ± standard error of the mean (s.e.m) for all bar charts and scatter plots, with (n) representing the number of completely independent experiments performed on separate retinas. Comparisons between two groups were made using two-tailed unpaired Student's t-tests. Comparisons between three or more groups were made with one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's post hoc test (GraphPad Prism; Graph-Pad Software Inc., La Jolla, Ca) to compare experimental groups to controls if p<0.05.

RESULTS

Protection of human retinal ganglion cells (RGCs) by platelet derived growth factor (PDGF) and human mesenchymal stem cell (hMSC) treatments

Our previous work has demonstrated that MSCs can delay loss of axotomized RGCs in rodent retinal explants [16], with secreted PDGF playing a significant role in pro-longing RGC survival [7]. The current work expanded on those experiments by examining whether neuroprotection could also be demonstrated in a human retinal explant system. RGC number immediately post dissection, 0 days ex vivo (0DEV), provided an indication of the maximum number of surviving RGCs within explants. Over 7 days culture (7DEV) in control conditions, retinal thickness (Supplementary Fig. 2A-D) and the number of RGC layer cells decreased (Fig. 1A-F). However, regular treatment of 50ng/ml PDGF-AB or -AA+AB could attenuate retinal thinning and RGC loss. Treatment of explants with PDGF-AB increased the survival of both DAPI⁺ (99.2 ± 2.4 vs 74.5 ± 7.2 cells/mm, $p < 0.05$, Fig. 1A, E) and NeuN⁺ neurons (30.9 ± 1.2 vs 19.4 ± 2.4 cells/mm, $p < 0.05$, Fig. 1B, E) in the RGC layer compared to untreated explants (7DEV Control). Similarly, treatment with PDGF-AA+AB protected RGC number (DAPI, 92.9 ± 9.3 vs 74.5 ± 7.2 cells/mm, NeuN, 34.3 ± 6.6 vs 19.4 ± 2.4 cells/mm, $p < 0.05$, Fig. 1A, B, E) compared to same day controls (7DEV Control). Quantification of TUJ1⁺ neurons in the RGC layer also demonstrated a comparable level of neuroprotection, although statistical significance was not reached with PDGF-AB treatment (21.7 ± 1.8 vs 15.7 ± 2.1 cells/mm, $p = 0.070$, Fig. 1C, F).

Even greater protection could be seen in explants co-cultured with hMSCs compared to controls (7DEV Control) (DAPI, 109.2 ± 15.1 vs 74.5 ± 7.2 cells/mm, NeuN, 42.6 ± 9.9 vs 19.4 ± 2.4 cells/mm, TUJ1, 33.9 ± 8.4 vs 15.7 ± 2.1 cells/mm, $P < 0.05$, Fig. 1A-C, E, F) with a doubling in the number of surviving RGCs after 7 days and RGC counts that were similar to those measured immediately after dissection (0DEV Control).

Assessment of the apoptotic status of remaining RGC layer cells after 7 days revealed $33.0 \pm 4.4\%$ were apoptotic with PDGF-AB treatment, $39.9 \pm 4.8\%$ with PDGF-AA+AB and $31.5 \pm 2.9\%$ with hMSC co-culture (Fig. 1D, E). Untreated explants at 7 days exhibited significantly greater levels of cell death with $51.9 \pm 3.4\%$ of remaining RGCs staining TUNEL positive.

Of note, PDGF-AA alone did not offer significant protection against cell loss nor apoptosis compared to untreated explants (Fig. 1A-F).

Effectiveness of a single treatment of PDGF-AB on RGC survival at 7 days' ex vivo

We had previously not investigated ex vivo whether a single treatment with PDGF, as would be desirable in a

human therapy, could mitigate RGC loss. A single supplement of 50ng/ml PDGF-AB added at day 0 offered minimal protection over the 7-day time period, with marginally increased cell numbers compared to same time point controls (DAPI, 66.9 ± 5.5 vs 60.0 ± 5.4 cells/mm, NeuN, 17.9 ± 1.9 vs 12.7 ± 0.6 cells/mm, TUJ1 14.7 ± 1.6 vs 13.1 ± 1.3 cells/mm, Fig. 2A-C, E, G). Apoptosis was also slightly reduced but did not reach significance (7DEV PDGF-AB (50ng/ml), $53.5 \pm 3.1\%$ vs 7DEV Control, $58.0 \pm 2.8\%$, $P = 0.348$, Fig. 2D-F). However, a higher concentration of PDGF-AB (150ng/ml) increased RGC layer survival (DAPI, 72.4 ± 3.0 vs 60.0 ± 5.4 cells/mm, NeuN, 25.3 ± 3.1 vs 12.7 ± 0.6 cells/mm, TUJ1, 18.7 ± 1.4 vs 13.1 ± 1.3 cells/mm, $P < 0.05$, Fig. 2A-C, E) and limited neuronal death (7DEV PDGF-AB (150ng/ml), $40.6 \pm 3.7\%$ vs 7DEV Control, $58.0 \pm 2.9\%$, $P < 0.01$, Fig. 2A-G). Again, co-culturing hMSCs on top of explants, even in the absence of medium changes, offered the greatest protection to RGCs in terms of both cell number and reducing apoptosis (Fig. 2A-G).

Sampling of the unchanged culture medium also revealed a steady increase in retinal tissue necrosis over time for all treatments. The rate of necrotic cell death was greatest in culture medium taken from untreated explants (Control, 0.95 ± 0.13 necrotic units/day) with reduced necrosis noted from 150ng/ml PDGF-AB or hMSC treated explants (respective 0.55 ± 0.32 and 0.78 ± 0.18 necrotic units/day, $P < 0.05$, Fig. 3A).

Assessment of PDGF-AB concentration in the bathing medium at 1, 3 and 5 days provided a measure of PDGF utilization/degradation by retinal tissue. Initial sampling confirmed the correct concentration of PDGF-AB had been administered to explants and levels approximately halved after 1 day in culture (0DEV PDGF-AB (150ng/ml), 149 ± 3.8 vs 1DEV PDGF-AB (150ng/ml) 71.69 ± 16.4 ng/ml; 0DEV PDGF-AB (50ng/ml), 60.1 ± 2.0 vs 1DEV PDGF-AB (50ng/ml) 26.9 ± 5.5 ng/ml, Fig. 3B). By 5 days, PDGF concentration within culture medium had decreased to 31.8 ± 4.3 ng/ml in the 150ng/ml PDGF-AB treatment group, a decrease of 78.8% from initial levels. Interestingly, PDGF-AB could not be detected in culture medium taken from hMSCs treated explants (Fig. 3B) although PDGF-AA and AB were measurable in the secretome of hMSC when cultured independent of retinal tissue (Fig. 7G).

Adverse effects of PDGF-AB and hMSC treatments on the retina

It is worth noting that the effects of PDGF and hMSCs were not limited to RGCs, with changes in both glial and microglia activity observed after treatments (Supplementary Fig. 3). 7 days after a single dose of PDGF-AB or co-culture with hMSC, extensive gliosis and inflammation was detectable throughout the retina (Supplementary Fig. 3A) with proliferating macrophages seen within the inner retina (Supplementary Fig. 3B). Western blotting confirmed GFAP and IBA1 immunoreactivity were significantly elevated in retinal explants

treated with PDGF-AB or hMSC compared to same time point controls (GFAP, PDGF-AB (150ng/ml) 2.5 ± 0.3 , hMSC 3.0 ± 0.2 -fold; IBA1, PDGF-AB (150ng/ml) 1.3 ± 0.1 , hMSC 1.7 ± 0.2 -fold increase at day 5, $p < 0.05$, Supplementary Fig. 3C-D).

Downstream signaling pathway activation within the retina with PDGF-AB or hMSCs treatments

Having shown both PDGF-AB and hMSCs offered significant neuroprotection to human RGCs, we investigated the activation of downstream signaling pathways shortly after each treatment. Downstream signaling of survival pathways PI3K, AKT, STAT3 and ERK were up-regulated after 150ng/ml PDGF-AB or hMSC treatment vs controls at both 1 and 3 days (PI3K 2.7 ± 0.5 and 2.2 ± 0.4 , AKT 2.6 ± 0.8 and 3.8 ± 1.3 , STAT3 1.9 ± 0.15 and 1.8 ± 0.3 , ERK 1.2 ± 0.1 and 1.3 ± 0.1 -fold increase at day 3, $p < 0.05$, Fig. 4A-D) which correlated with reduced retinal pro-apoptotic BAX expression (down $18.9 \pm 3.0\%$ at 3 days compared to 3DEV Control, $p < 0.05$, Fig. 4E). Lower concentrations of PDGF-AB showed reduced signaling pathway activation that did not reach levels significantly different from controls (PI3K 1.8 ± 0.6 , AKT 1.8 ± 0.5 , STAT3 1.7 ± 0.2 , ERK 1.3 ± 0.4 -fold increase at day 3, Fig. 4A-D). Consistent with this lack of activation was no clear reduction in BAX expression (3DEV PDGF-AB (50ng/ml) down $6.0 \pm 3.2\%$ vs 3DEV Control, $p = 0.074$, Fig. 4E).

Immunohistochemistry for the phosphorylated PDGF receptors α and β (PDGFR α and β), including downstream signaling activation, revealed similar labeling within the retina after both PDGF-AB and hMSC treatments. Minimal receptor phosphorylation was observed in untreated explants with little detectable downstream signaling pathway activation (Fig. 5A-D). In both PDGF and hMSC groups, phosphorylated PDGFR α was detected within the RGCL and inner nuclear layer with labelling likely along Müller glia processes (Fig. 5A-B). Activated PDGFR β expression was restricted to the RGC layer with co-localization observed with the downstream PI3K ribosomal protein S6 kinase and phosphorylated ERK (Fig. 5C-D). Activated PDGFR β could be seen in TUJ1⁺ cells indicating a direct effect of treatments on RGCs (Supplementary Fig. 4A). PDGFR α activation showed no clear co-localization with either S6 or phosphorylated STAT3 which were both activated on RGCL cells after PDGF or hMSC treatment (Fig. 5A-B). Total PDGF receptor expression did not differ with treatment, with total PDGFR β expressed on RGC layer cells and the occasional blood vessel within the retina. Total PDGFR α was expressed throughout the retinal layers (Supplementary Fig. 4B-C).

Effects of inhibiting PDGF signaling on retinal and RGC apoptosis

The impact of using an PDGF neutralizing antibody in both PDGF and hMSC treated explant experiments

was assessed to better understand the implications for downstream signaling and their possible effect on retinal cell death. In PDGF-AB treated explants, anti-PDGF treatment successfully prevented PI3K, STAT3 and ERK signaling and removed any protective effects on BAX expression (Fig. 6A-D). TUJ1 expression, indicative of RGC survival, was also significantly decreased when using the neutralizing antibody (from a 1.9 ± 1.5 -fold increase from control using PDGF to a 1.1 ± 0.4 -fold increase from control when adding the PDGF inhibitor, $p < 0.05$, Fig. 6E). Selective inhibition of each signaling pathway using commercially available inhibitors resulted in a slight increase in apoptotic BAX expression and a modest decrease in TUJ1 expression, however less evident than with the PDGF neutralizing antibody (Fig. 6C and E).

In hMSC experiments, addition of the PDGF neutralizing antibody to explants reduced but did not significantly inhibit PI3K, STAT3 and ERK signaling (Fig. 6F-I), indicating other secreted neurotrophin could also be stimulating these pathways. Some of these neurotrophin were detected in hMSC culture medium, many of which were at higher concentrations than PDGF (Fig. 7G). BAX and TUJ1 expression were not significantly changed with the PDGF neutralizing antibody or individual pathway inhibition (Fig. 6H-J). Supporting the observation that inhibiting PDGF did not prevent hMSC induced neuroprotection, RGC cells were quantified in explants co-cultured with hMSCs plus or minus the PDGF neutralizing antibody (Fig. 7). Blocking PDGF in these experiments had no significant impact on RGC survival with similar cell counts between hMSC and hMSC + PDGF inhibitor groups (DAPI, 78.1 ± 7.2 vs 68.3 ± 2.0 cells/mm, NeuN, 33.7 ± 5.8 vs 32.4 ± 1.9 cells/mm, TUJ1 24.1 ± 2.2 vs 23.2 ± 1.7 cells/mm, Fig. 7A-C). Also, no significant differences were identified between the number of apoptotic RGCs (7DEV hMSC, $30.7 \pm 4.1\%$ vs 7DEV hMSC + PDGF inhibitor, $41.5 \pm 5.2\%$, $P = 0.172$, Fig. 7D) supporting the assumption that PDGF is not essential for hMSC mediated neuroprotection.

To ensure hMSCs remained attached to retinal explants throughout the 7-day culture period, a selection of retinal sections were stained with mesenchymal markers CD105 and CD73 (Fig. 7E) which were also examined in culture prior to transplantation (Supplementary Fig. 1D). Both markers detected a layer of cells on the surface of the retina positive of mesenchymal lineage which remained viable over the time courses investigated with little apoptotic TUNEL staining co-localized with CD105⁺ (Fig. 7F).

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

Clinical trials into the effectiveness of mesenchymal stem cell (MSC) based therapies are currently underway for neuronal and [17] non-neuronal diseases [18]. As yet, no MSC based treatments have reached Phase 2 clinical trials for glaucoma (clinicaltrials.gov)[19], despite promising results in animal models.

The current work provides evidence that hMSC based treatments can be neuroprotective to the human retina. These results complement previous rodent observations that both hMSC and PDGF-AB offer robust protection in retinal explant systems modelling axonal injury, and could offer attractive therapeutic strategies. Intriguingly, in human retinas, we showed that PDGF-AA treatment was ineffective at delaying RGC loss or apoptosis, a difference to previous observation in rats [7]. The isoform PDGF-AB however was strongly neuroprotective and showed a dose dependent response when administered as a single treatment shortly after RGC axotomy.

Regarding the discrepancy in terms PDGF isoform protection between species, it is possible that differences in the expression pattern of PDGF receptors on rodent and human RGCs are responsible. PDGF ligands bind two structurally related tyrosine kinase cell surface receptors, α and β which initiate signal induction [20, 21]. PDGF-AA exclusively binds PDGFR α whereas PDGF-AB facilitates both PDGFR α and β dimerization and subsequent phosphorylation.

In rats, PDGFR α phosphorylation after PDGF-AB treatment can be detected in RGCs and Müller glia [7, 8] implying direct survival pathway activation occurs within the RGC layer. In mice, PDGFR α phosphorylation after PDGF-AB is also upregulated throughout the retina [22], however, human PDGFR α phosphorylation is absent from RGCs. Only activated PDGFR β was detected on human RGC signifying that activation of the β receptor may be necessary for RGC protection, which supports the protective effects seen with PDGF-AB. A similar pattern of PDGFR β activation on RGCs has been observed in mice shortly after PDGF-AB treatment, although a direct correlation between β activation and downstream signaling was not explored [22].

Of the receptor isoforms, there is strong evidence linking PDGFR β activation to enhanced neuronal survival after focal ischemia [23, 24] and NMDA-induced cell death [25-27]. The PDGFR β has also been shown to be involved in reducing the progressive loss of tyrosine hydroxylase-positive neurons in rat and human cell cultures, with PDGF-BB but not PDGF-AA reducing neuronal damage [28]. Interestingly, PDGF-BB treated cultures of human but not rat neurons had longer neurites than control or PDGF-AA treated cultures [28], supporting our observations that differences exist between species. Furthermore, knock out of PDGFR β in neurons increases their susceptible to excitotoxic cell death [29].

PDGF-BB has also been shown to have comparable neuroprotective effects to brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) in human tyrosine hydroxylase-positive neurons [30] and is being considered a clinical candidate drug for treatment of Parkinson's disease after promising results in relation to restoring dopaminergic neurotransmission and functional recovery in vivo [31, 32]. This would be in keeping with our data suggesting PDGFR β activation may provide a therapeutic target for

RGC neuroprotection. Further work using PDGF-BB, which binds PDGFR β and not PDGFR α [33], would be useful to confirm the importance of each receptor in the human retina.

In addition to the beneficial effect of PDGF-AB, we have shown for the first time that hMSCs can protect human RGC ex vivo and mediated even stronger protection in terms of delaying cell loss and minimizing apoptosis. After 7 days in culture, hMSCs could completely prevent RGC loss, strengthening the appeal of MSC based therapy for optic nerve diseases such as glaucoma in the future. It is widely acknowledged that MSCs do not integrate into the retina [6, 34] and therefore the observed protection relates to secreted trophic support rather than cell replacement.

Although we could detect PDGFR α and β activation following hMSC treatment, measured levels of secreted PDGF in the bathing medium were low. However, assessment of the MSC secretome in the absence of explants, via proteome profiler analysis, did reveal both PDGF-AA and AB were secreted by hMSC. Previous analysis of the hMSC secretome has also indicated that after 3 days in culture, secreted PDGF-AB is within the pg/ml concentration range [7], below the detection limits of our ELISA. It is predicted that the concentration of neurotrophins could be several orders of magnitude higher at inner retinal surface if MSC and RGCs were in close proximity. This could explain our observation of similar levels of PDGFR activation after PDGF-AB or hMSC treatment despite the considerable differences in quantifiable PDGF within explant bathing medium. Even so, our experiments investigating the inhibition of PDGF in human retinal explants treated with hMSC suggests other neurotrophins are likely to have a beneficial effect on the retina and the effects of PDGF are not exhaustive.

With regard to mechanisms of survival, many RGC neuroprotective and regenerative strategies focus on the upregulation of mTOR signaling, which is often diminished with injury [35-37]. The mTOR signaling pathway has a pivotal role in numerous cellular processes and can be upregulated through elevated PI3K/AKT and MAPK/ERK signaling [37]. Activation of mTOR activation leads to the phosphorylation of S6 and STAT3 which have been correlated with improved RGC neuronal survival, cell growth, protein synthesis and delayed apoptosis [38-42]. For this reason, many RGC based strategies have focused on targeting one or more of these pathways with promising outcomes on protection in models of optic nerve injury and glaucoma [38, 43-45].

Our data showed that both PDGF and hMSC treatments increased numerous neuroprotective pathways and the phosphorylation of AKT, ERK and STAT3 inversely correlated to apoptotic protein expression as has been observed by others [46, 47]. It was noted that discrete pathway inhibition could not accelerate human retinal cell apoptosis implying multiple pathways contribute to RGC survival after injury.

Interestingly, although the extent of downstream signaling pathway activation was comparable between PDGF and hMSC treated explants, overall RGC survival was greater within the hMSC treatment group. The enhanced protection with hMSCs is likely due to the large number of other neurotrophins being secreted [7, 48, 49] which could bind a larger number of receptors present on RGCs. It was noticed that PDGFR β was not present on all RGCs and therefore protection could be limited to specific subtypes of RGC. Therefore, MSC therapy may provide a more reliable treatment for diseases of complex pathophysiology like glaucoma [50].

Potential hurdles do however exist with regards to the use of MSCs for retinal diseases. Firstly, it is challenging to control the factors secreted by MSCs and how these factors could change over time and with disease progression. Secondly, questions remain regarding the tumorigenic potential of such cells. Thirdly, MSCs also secrete factors that cause extensive reactive gliosis [34, 51] and inflammation which could contribute to retinal detachment [51, 52]. In human explants, we observed increased microglia proliferation and gliosis after hMSC treatment, similar to observations in our rodent animals models [34, 51]. This would have serious consequences to a patient's vision and presents a barrier to MSC based treatment without suitable anti-inflammatory strategies. We originally hypothesized that the selective use of a single growth factor would circumvent some of these adverse effects, but PDGF led to similar inflammation and gliosis in the human retina, as recently observed in rodents following PDGF therapy [8]. Nevertheless, this study demonstrates both hMSCs

and PDGF have strong neuroprotective actions and may be useful as part of a strategy to prevent degenerative visual loss in the future if adverse effects on retinal glia can be mitigated.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the International Glaucoma Association, the Cambridge Eye Trust, the Jukes Glaucoma Research Fund, The Humane Research Trust and a core support grant from the Wellcome Trust and MRC to the Wellcome Trust – Medical Research Council Cambridge Stem Cell Institute. We thank Mary Tottman of the East Anglian Eye Bank for donor eye retrieval and Michael Wormstone for use of the Norwich Eye Research labs.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Andrew Osborne: Conception and design, Financial support, Collection and/or assembly of data, Data analysis and interpretation, Manuscript writing.; Julie Sanderson: Administrative support, Provision of study material or patients, Final approval of manuscript.; Keith R Martin: Conception and design, Data analysis and interpretation, Financial support, Administrative support, Final approval of manuscript.

DISCLOSURE OF POTENTIAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors indicate no potential conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

- 1 Yu S, Tanabe T, Dezawa M et al. Effects of bone marrow stromal cell injection in an experimental glaucoma model. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun.* 2006;344:1071-1079.
- 2 Zwart I, Hill A, Al-Allaf F et al. Umbilical cord blood mesenchymal stromal cells are neuroprotective and promote regeneration in a rat optic tract model. *Exp Neurol.* 2009;216:439-448.
- 3 Johnson T, Bull N, Hunt D et al. Neuroprotective effects of intravitreal mesenchymal stem cell transplantation in experimental glaucoma. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2010;51:2051-2059.
- 4 Hu Y, Tan H, Wang X et al. Bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells protect against retinal ganglion cell loss in aged rats with glaucoma. *Clin Interv Aging.* 2013;8:1467-1470.
- 5 Mesentier-Louro L, Zaverucha-do-Valle C, da Silva-Junior A et al. Distribution of mesenchymal stem cells and effects on neuronal survival and axon regeneration after optic nerve crush and cell therapy. *PLoS One.* 2014;9:e110722.
- 6 Emre E, Yüksel N, Duruksu G et al. Neuroprotective effects of intravitreally transplanted adipose tissue and bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells in an experimental ocular hypertension model. *Cytotherapy.* 2015;17:543-559.
- 7 Johnson T, Dekorver N, Levasseur V et al. Identification of retinal ganglion cell neuroprotection conferred by platelet-derived growth factor through analysis of the mesenchymal stem cell secretome. *Brain.* 2014;137:503-519.
- 8 Chong R, Osborne A, Conceição R et al. Platelet-Derived Growth Factor Preserves Retinal Synapses in a Rat Model of Ocular Hypertension. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2016;57:842-852.
- 9 Lin S, Lin Y, JR N et al. Comparison of the transcriptional landscapes between human and mouse tissues. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2014;111:17224-17229.
- 10 Croce P. Vivisection or science? An investigation into testing drugs and safeguarding health. London: Zed Books; 1999.
- 11 Mak I, Evaniew N, Ghert M. Lost in translation: animal models and clinical trials in cancer treatment. *Am J Transl Res.* 2014;6:114-118.
- 12 Akhtar A. The flaws and human harms of animal experimentation. *Camb Q Healthc Ethics.* 2015;24:407-419.
- 13 Johnson T, Martin K. Development and characterization of an adult retinal explant organotypic tissue culture system as an in vitro intraocular stem cell transplantation model. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2008;49:3503-3512.
- 14 Niyadurupola N, Sidaway P, Osborne A et al. The development of human organotypic retinal cultures (HORCs) to study retinal neurodegeneration. *Br J Ophthalmol.* 2011;95:720-726.
- 15 Osborne A, Hopes M, Wright P et al. Human organotypic retinal cultures (HORCs) as a chronic experimental model for investigation of retinal ganglion cell degeneration. *Exp Eye Res.* 2016;143:28-38.
- 16 Bull N, Johnson T, Welsapar G et al. Use of an adult rat retinal explant model for screening of potential retinal ganglion cell neuroprotective therapies. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2011;52:3309-3320.
- 17 Tanna T, Sachan V. Mesenchymal stem cells: potential in treatment of neurodegenerative diseases. *Curr Stem Cell Res Ther.* 2014;9:513-521.
- 18 Wang S, Qu X, Zhao R. Clinical applications of mesenchymal stem cells. *J Hematol Oncol.* 2012;5.
- 19 Mead B, Berry M, Logan A et al. Stem cell treatment of degenerative eye disease. *Stem Cell Res.* 2015;14:243-257.
- 20 Park C, Schneider I, Haugh J. Kinetic analysis of platelet-derived growth factor receptor/phosphoinositide 3-kinase/Akt

signaling in fibroblasts. *J Biol Chem.* 2003;278:37064-37072.

21 Sadiq M, Hanout M, Sarwar S et al. Platelet derived growth factor inhibitors: A potential therapeutic approach for ocular neovascularization. *Saudi J Ophthalmol.* 2015;29:287-291.

22 Biswas S, Zhao Y, Nagalingam A et al. PDGF- and insulin/IGF-1-specific distinct modes of class IA PI 3-kinase activation in normal rat retinas and RGC-5 retinal ganglion cells. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2008;49:3687-3698.

23 Sakata M, Yanamoto H, Hashimoto N et al. Induction of infarct tolerance by platelet-derived growth factor against reversible focal ischemia. *Brain Res.* 1998;784:250-255.

24 Arimura K, Ago T, Kamouchi M et al. PDGF receptor β signaling in pericytes following ischemic brain injury. *Curr Neurovasc Res.* 2012;9:1-9.

25 Egawa-Tsuzuki T, Ohno M, Tanaka N et al. The PDGF B-chain is involved in the ontogenic susceptibility of the developing rat brain to NMDA toxicity. *Exp Neurol.* 2004;186:89-98.

26 Beazely M, Lim A, Li H et al. Platelet-derived growth factor selectively inhibits NR2B-containing N-methyl-D-aspartate receptors in CA1 hippocampal neurons. *J Biol Chem.* 2009;284:8054-8063.

27 Vasefi M, Kruk J, Heikkilä J et al. 5-Hydroxytryptamine type 7 receptor neuroprotection against NMDA-induced excitotoxicity is PDGF β receptor dependent. *J Neurochem.* 2013;125:26-36.

28 Nikkhah G, Odin P, Smits A et al. Platelet-derived growth factor promotes survival of rat and human mesencephalic dopaminergic neurons in culture. *Exp Brain Res.* 1993;92:516-523.

29 Ishii Y, Oya T, Zheng L et al. Mouse brains deficient in neuronal PDGF receptor-beta develop normally but are vulnerable to injury. *J Neurochem.* 2006;98:588-600.

30 Othberg A, Odin P, Ballagi A et al. Specific effects of platelet derived growth factor (PDGF) on fetal rat and human dopaminergic neurons in vitro. *Exp Brain Res.* 1995;105:111-122.

31 Zachrisson O, Zhao M, Andersson A et al. Restorative effects of platelet derived growth factor-BB in rodent models of Parkinson's disease. *J Parkinsons Dis.* 2011;1:49-63.

32 Paul G, Zachrisson O, Varrone A et al. Safety and tolerability of intracerebroventricular PDGF-BB in Parkinson's disease patients. *J Clin Invest.* 2015;125:1339-1346.

33 Hart C, Forstrom J, Kelly J et al. Two classes of PDGF receptor recognize different isoforms of PDGF. *Science.* 1988;240:1529-1531.

34 Johnson T, Bull N, Martin K. Identification of barriers to retinal engraftment of transplanted stem cells. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2010;51:960-970.

35 Sarbassov D, Ali S, Sabatini D. Growing roles for the mTOR pathway. *Curr Opin Cell Biol.* 2005;17:596-603.

36 Tsang C, Qi H, Liu L et al. Targeting mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) for health and diseases. *Drug Discov Today.* 2007;12:112-124.

37 Morgan-Warren P, Berry M, Ahmed Z et al. Exploiting mTOR signaling: a novel translatable treatment strategy for traumatic optic neuropathy. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2013;54:6903-6916.

38 Huang Y, Li Z, Wang N et al. Roles of PI3K and JAK pathways in viability of retinal ganglion cells after acute elevation of intraocular pressure in rats with different autoimmune backgrounds. *BMC Neurosci.* 2008;9.

39 Park K, Liu K, Hu Y et al. Promoting axon regeneration in the adult CNS by modulation of the PTEN/mTOR pathway. *Science.* 2008;322:963-966.

40 Leibinger M, Müller A, Andreadaki A et al. Neuroprotective and axon growth-promoting effects following inflammatory stimulation on mature retinal ganglion cells in mice depend on ciliary neurotrophic factor and leukemia inhibitory factor. *J Neurosci.* 2009;29:14334-14341.

41 Leibinger M, Andreadaki A, Diekmann H et al. Neuronal STAT3 activation is essential for CNTF- and inflammatory stimulation-induced CNS axon regeneration. *Cell Death Dis.* 2013:e805.

42 Morgan-Warren P, O'Neill J, de Cogan F et al. siRNA-Mediated Knockdown of the mTOR Inhibitor RTP801 Promotes Retinal Ganglion Cell Survival and Axon Elongation by Direct and Indirect Mechanisms. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2016;57:429-443.

43 Park K, Luo J, Hisheh S et al. Cellular mechanisms associated with spontaneous and ciliary neurotrophic factor-cAMP-induced survival and axonal regeneration of adult retinal ganglion cells. *J Neurosci.* 2004;24:10806-10815.

44 Huang Y, Cen L, Choy K et al. JAK/STAT pathway mediates retinal ganglion cell survival after acute ocular hypertension but not under normal conditions. *Exp Eye Res.* 2007;85:684-695.

45 Luo J, Cen L, Zhang X et al. PI3K/akt, JAK/STAT and MEK/ERK pathway inhibition protects retinal ganglion cells via different mechanisms after optic nerve injury. *Eur J Neurosci.* 2007;26:828-842.

46 McCubrey J, Steelman L, Abrams S et al. Targeting survival cascades induced by activation of Ras/Raf/MEK/ERK, PI3K/PTEN/Akt/mTOR and Jak/STAT pathways for effective leukemia therapy. *Leukemia.* 2008;22:708-722.

47 Yang L, Guo W, Zhang Q et al. Crosstalk between Raf/MEK/ERK and PI3K/AKT in suppression of Bax conformational change by Grp75 under glucose deprivation conditions. *J Mol Biol.* 2011;414:654-666.

48 Parrilla-Reverter G, Agudo M, Sobrado-Calvo P et al. Effects of different neurotrophic factors on the survival of retinal ganglion cells after a complete intraorbital nerve crush injury: a quantitative in vivo study. *Exp Eye Res.* 2009;89:32-41.

49 Mead B, Logan A, Berry M et al. Paracrine-mediated neuroprotection and neuritogenesis of axotomized retinal ganglion cells by human dental pulp stem cells: comparison with human bone marrow and adipose-derived mesenchymal stem cells. *PLoS One.* 2014;9:e109305.

50 Johnson T, Martin K. Cell transplantation approaches to retinal ganglion cell neuroprotection in glaucoma. *Curr Opin Pharmacol.* 2013;13:78-82.

51 Tassoni A, Gutteridge A, Barber A et al. Molecular Mechanisms Mediating Retinal Reactive Gliosis Following Bone Marrow Mesenchymal Stem Cell Transplantation. *Stem Cells.* 2015;33:3006-3016.

52 Fischer A, Zelinka C, Milani-Nejad N. Reactive retinal microglia, neuronal survival, and the formation of retinal folds and detachments. *Glia.* 2015;63:313-327.



See www.StemCells.com for supporting information available online. STEM CELLS ; 00:000-000

Figure 1. Human retinal ganglion cell (RGC) neuroprotection by platelet derived growth factor (PDGF) or human mesenchymal stem cells (hMSCs) following regular medium replenishment. Human retinal explants were cultured for 7 days in medium containing PDGF or with the addition of hMSCs pipetted directly onto the RGC layer surface. (A-C) Neuronal survival in the RGC layer was quantified immediately post dissection, 0 days' *ex vivo* (0DEV) or after 7 days' culture (7DEV) (n=6). (D) Number of apoptotic, NeuN⁺ cells were quantified and expressed as a percentage of all NeuN⁺ cells in the RGC layer (n=6). (E-F) Representative images of RGCs (NeuN - green or TUJ1 - blue) in human retinal explants with apoptotic cells labelled red. 20X objective, scale bar = 50µm, * P<0.05, ** P<0.01 and *** P<0.001. RGCL = retinal ganglion cell layer. Bar and scatter graphs show mean ± s.e.m with (n) number indicating the number of unique *post mortem* eyes used. The schematic in the top left shows the number of explants processed from each retina and the treatment time course.




Figure 2. Human retinal ganglion cell (RGC) neuroprotection after a single treatment of platelet derived growth factor (PDGF) or human mesenchymal stem cells (hMSCs). Human retinal explants were cultured for 7 days in medium containing PDGF or with the addition of hMSCs pipetted directly onto the RGC layer surface. (A-C) Neuronal survival in the RGC layer was quantified immediately post dissection, 0 days' ex vivo (0DEV) or after 7 days' culture with no further medium change (7DEV) (n=6) (D) Number of apoptotic, NeuN⁺ cells were quantified and expressed as a percentage of all NeuN⁺ cells in the RGC layer (n=6). (E-F) Magnified, representative images of RGCs (NeuN - green or TUJ1 - blue) in human retinal explants with apoptotic cells labelled red. 40X objective, scale bar = 50µm, * P<0.05, ** P<0.01 and *** P<0.001. RGCL = retinal ganglion cell layer. Bar and scatter graphs show mean ± s.e.m with (n) number indicating the number of unique post mortem eyes used. The schematic in the top left shows the number of explants processed from each retina and the treatment time course.




Figure 3. Necrotic cell damage in human retinal explants over time and the detectable levels of platelet derived growth factor (PDGF) in the culture medium. (A) LDH within the culture medium relative to the protein content within human retinal explants treated with PDGF or hMSCs (n=6), * P<0.05. (B) Detection of PDGF-AB in the culture medium post addition at 0DEV (n=6), * P<0.05 compared to 0DEV PDGF-AB (150ng/ml), Ω P<0.05 compared to 0DEV PDGF-AB (50ng/ml). DEV = days' ex vivo. Bar graphs show mean \pm s.e.m with (n) number indicating the number of unique post mortem eyes used. The schematic in the top left shows the number of explants processed from each retina and the treatment time course.




Figure 4. Activation of downstream signaling pathways in human retinal explants after a single treatment with platelet derived growth factor (PDGF) or human mesenchymal stem cells (hMSCs). (A-D) Activation of cell survival pathways 1 and 3 days after human explant culture in PDGF supplemented medium or addition of hMSCs relative to untreated, same time point controls (n=4), * P<0.05. (E) Pro-apoptotic BAX expression in treatment groups relative to untreated, same time point controls (n=4), * P<0.05. DEV = days *ex vivo*. Bar graphs show mean \pm s.e.m with (n) number indicating the number of unique *post mortem* eyes used. The schematic in the top left shows the number of explants processed from each retina and the treatment time course.




Figure 5. Platelet derived growth factor receptor (PDGFR) activation and downstream survival signaling could be seen within the retina and retinal ganglion cell layer (RGCL) after PDGF and human mesenchymal stem cell (hMSC) treatments. (A) Increased PDGFR α signaling (purple) was observed 3 days after PDGF-AB or hMSC treatments with elevation in phosphorylated STAT3 (p-STAT3 - yellow) and phosphorylated S6 (p-S6 - teal) signaling in the RGCL. PDGFR β activation (purple) was detected on RGCL cells and co-localized with activated ERK (p-ERK - yellow) or p-S6 (teal). 40X objective, scale bar = 50 μ m, arrows show co-localization in the RGCL. Representative images from 3 experimental repeats.




Figure 6. The beneficial effects of platelet derived growth factor (PDGF) or human mesenchymal stem cells (hMSCs) could be reduced using a variety of inhibitors when assessed 3 days after treatment. (A-E) PDGF in combination with a PDGF inhibitor no longer activated survival pathways or protect against a decrease in retinal ganglion cell (TUJ1) expression (n=4). Inhibitors of PI3K, ERK or STAT3 effectively blocked individual pathway signaling but did not improve retinal (BAX) or retinal ganglion cell specific (TUJ1) survival (n=4). (F-J) hMSCs could still offer some protection even in the presence of inhibitors against PDGF, PI3K, ERK or STAT3 (n=4), * P<0.05 and ** P<0.01. Bar graphs show mean \pm s.e.m with (n) number indicating the number of unique post mortem eyes used.




Figure 7. Inhibition of PDGF in human retinal explants cultured with human mesenchymal stem cells (hMSCs) did not prevent MSC mediated neuroprotection. (A-C) Neuronal survival in the retinal ganglion cell (RGC) layer was quantified immediately post dissection, 0 days' ex vivo (0DEV) or after 7 days' culture with no further medium change (7DEV) (n=3). (D) Number of apoptotic, NeuN⁺ cells were quantified and expressed as a percentage of all NeuN⁺ cells in the RGC layer (n=3). (E) Human MSCs (CD105⁺ - green and CD73⁺ - red cells) could be visualized on the surface of the human retina in close proximity to the RGC layer. (F) Human MSCs remained viable throughout the 7-day culture with little evidence of apoptotic labelling (red staining on green cells). (G) Multiple growth factors and neurotrophins could be measured in the hMSC secretome after 72 hours in culture. 40X objective, scale bar = 50µm. Bar and scatter graphs show mean \pm s.e.m with (n) number indicating the number of unique post mortem eyes used. The schematic in the top left shows the number of explants processed from each retina and the treatment time course. EGF = epidermal growth factor, HGF = hepatocyte growth factor, Ang = angiopoietin, FGF = fibroblast growth factor, IL = interleukin, VEGF = vascular endothelial growth factor, MCP = monocyte chemotactic protein, RGCL = retinal ganglion cell layer.




Table 1: Primary antibodies

Specificity	Source	Isotype	Company	Concentration
NeuN	Mouse	Monoclonal	Millipore	1:250 (IHC)
TUJ1	Mouse	Monoclonal	Promega	1:400 (IHC) 1:500 (WB)
PI3K	Rabbit	Monoclonal	Cell Signaling	1:750 (WB)
p-STAT3 (Tyr705)	Rabbit	Monoclonal	Cell Signaling	1:1000 (WB)
p-STAT3 (Tyr705)	Mouse	Monoclonal	Cell Signaling	1: 200 (IHC)
t-STAT3	Rabbit	Monoclonal	Cell Signaling	1:1000 (WB)
p-AKT (Ser473)	Rabbit	Polyclonal	Cell Signaling	1:500 (WB)
t-AKT	Rabbit	Polyclonal	Cell Signaling	1:1000 (WB)
p-ERK1/2 (Thr202/Tyr204)	Rabbit	Monoclonal	Cell Signaling	1:500 (WB)
p-ERK1/2 (Thr202/Tyr204)	Mouse	Monoclonal	Cell Signaling	1:300 (IHC)
ERK1/2	Rabbit	Monoclonal	Cell Signaling	1:1000 (WB)
BAX	Rabbit	Polyclonal	Cell Signaling	1:500 (WB)
β -actin	Rabbit	Polyclonal	Cell Signaling	1:1000 (WB)
p-PDGFR α	Mouse	Monoclonal	Abcam	1:50 (IHC)
p-PDGFR β	Mouse	Monoclonal	Cell Signaling	1:100 (IHC)
p-PDGFR β	Rabbit	Monoclonal	Cell Signaling	1:100 (IHC)
t-PDGFR α	Rabbit	Monoclonal	Abcam	1:200 (IHC)
t-PDGFR β	Rabbit	Monoclonal	Abcam	1:100 (IHC)
p-S6 (Ser235/236)	Rabbit	Polyclonal	Cell Signaling	1:250 (IHC)

p-S6 (Ser235/236)	Mouse	Monoclonal	Santa Cruz	1:200 (IHC)
CD105	Mouse	Monoclonal	Abcam	prediluted (IHC)
CD73	Rabbit	Polyclonal	Abcam	1:200 (IHC)
IBA1 (AIF1)	Guinea Pig	Polyclonal	Synaptic Systems	1:500 (IHC)
IBA1 (AIF1)	Rabbit	Polyclonal	WAKO	1:500 (WB)
GFAP	Rabbit	Polyclonal	DAKO	1:500 (IHC)
				1:1000 (WB)
Ki-67	Rabbit	Polyclonal	Abcam	1:500 (IHC)