

Title:

Assessing the quality of primary care referrals to surgery of patients with diabetes in the East of England: A multi-centre cross-sectional cohort study.

Authors:

Pournaras DJ^{1,2}
Photi ES¹
Barnett N³
Challand CP⁴
Chatzizacharias NA²
Dlamini NP⁵
Doulas T⁶
Foley A¹
Hernon J¹
Kumar B¹
Martin J⁴
Nunney I⁷
Panagiotopoulou I⁸
Sengupta N⁹
Shivakumar O⁹
Sinclair P⁹
Stather P¹⁰
Than MM⁸
Wells AC³
Xanthis A²
Dhatariya K^{1,7}

Affiliations

1. Norfolk and Norwich University Hospitals, Colney Lane, Norfolk, NR4 7UY
2. Addenbrookes Hospital, Hills Rd, Cambridge CB2 0QQ,
3. Mid Essex Hospital Services NHS Trust , Broomfield Hospital, Court Road, Broomfield, Chelmsford, Essex, CM1 7ET,
4. Peterborough City Hospital, Edith Cavell Campus, Bretton Gate, Peterborough PE3 9GZ,
5. Hinchingsbrooke Health Care NHS Trust, Hinchingsbrooke Park Road, Huntingdon PE29 6NT,
6. West Suffolk NHS Foundation Trust, Hardwick Ln, Bury St Edmunds, Suffolk IP33 2QZ,
7. University of East Anglia, Norwich Research Park, Norwich, Norfolk NR4 7TJ,
8. Bedford Hospital, Kempston Rd, Bedford MK42 9DJ,
9. Luton and Dunstable University Hospital, Lewsey Rd, Luton, Bedfordshire LU4 0DZ,
10. Queen Elizabeth Hospital Kings Lynn, Gayton Rd, King's Lynn, Norfolk PE30 4ET

Corresponding author:

Dr Ketan Dhatariya
Consultant in Diabetes and Endocrinology.
Elsie Bertram Diabetes Centre,
Norfolk and Norwich University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust,
Colney Lane,
Norwich, Norfolk, UK
NR4 7UY

Tel: +44 (0)1603 288170

Fax: +44 (0)1603 287320

Email: ketan.dhatariya@nnuh.nhs.uk

Short title:

Primary care surgical referral quality across the East of England

Word Count: Abstract - 281 Main document - 2763

Funding: None

Duality of Interest: None declared

Keywords: diabetes; peri-operative diabetes; primary care; referral; surgery

ABSTRACT

Aim: Peri-operative hyperglycaemia is associated with an increased incidence of adverse outcomes. Communication between primary and secondary care is paramount to minimise these harms. National guidance in the UK recommends that the glycated haemoglobin (HbA1c) should be measured within 3 months prior to surgery and that the concentration should be less than 69mmol/mol (8.5%). In addition, national guidance outlines the minimum dataset that should be included in any letter at the time of referral to the surgeons. Currently it is unclear how well this process is being carried out. This study investigated the quality of information being handed over during the referral from primary care to surgical outpatients within the East of England.

Methods: Primary care referrals to nine different NHS hospital Trusts were gathered over a 1 week period. All age groups were included from 11 different surgical specialties. Referral letters were analysed using a standardised data collection tool based on the national guidelines.

Results: 1,919 referrals were received, of whom 169 (8.8%) had previously diagnosed diabetes mellitus (DM). However, of these 38 made no mention of DM in the referral letter but were on glucose lowering agents. Only 13 (7.7%) referrals for patients with DM contained a recent HbA1c, and 20 (11.8%) contained no documentation of glucose lowering medication.

Conclusion: This study has shown that the quality of referral letters to surgical specialties for patients with DM in the East of England remain inadequate. There is a clear need for improving the quality of clinical data contained within referral letters from primary care. In addition, we have shown that the rate of referral for surgery for people with diabetes is almost 50% higher than the background population with diabetes.

What's known?

Previous work has shown that, in the hospital setting, effective communication between the healthcare professionals; and between the healthcare professionals and the people with diabetes helps to improve outcomes.

There are UK national guidelines on the management of adult patients with diabetes undergoing surgery. These include a recommended amount of information that should be passed from primary care to the surgeons at the time of referral. No work has been done looking at the quality of this communication

What's new?

Our data show that the quality of referral letters could be improved with appropriate clinical information, to facilitate better clinical care, and that a lot of information that should be in the letter (including that the patient has diabetes) is not included. Thus, at the very first stage of the patients' surgical journey there is room for improvement in the communication between primary and secondary care.

In addition, we have shown that the rate of referral for surgery for people with diabetes is almost 50% higher than the background population with diabetes.

INTRODUCTION

Diabetes Mellitus (DM) is the most commonly occurring metabolic disorder in the United Kingdom (UK). In 2013, data suggested that the prevalence of patients with diagnosed DM in England amongst all age groups was 5.9%, a figure that had risen 31% since 2009 [1]. In addition, it has been estimated that DM accounts for 10% of the entire budget of the UK National Health Service [2]. The 2015 National Diabetes Inpatient Audit (NaDIA) showed that 16.8% of all UK inpatient hospital beds were occupied by someone with diabetes, a relative increase of 15% since 2010 [3]. This is not to say that they were in hospital *because* of their diabetes, but happened to have it *in addition* to their primary underlying diagnosis – no matter what the speciality. However, surgical patients with DM have increased peri-operative morbidity, longer hospital length of stay and greater use of healthcare resources [4,5,6,7,8].

The process of managing a patient with DM undergoing surgery can be subdivided, following the patient journey. This journey starts with a primary care referral to the surgeon. The next step is the surgical outpatient appointment, and once the decision has been made that an elective procedure is required, the patient is often seen in a pre-operative assessment clinic. Soon afterwards, the patient is admitted to hospital to have their procedure when they are in the operating theatre, the recovery room and possibly on the wards. After their post-operative recovery, they are discharged home [9].

Previous work has shown that standards of in-hospital care for patients with DM during their peri-operative journey remain suboptimal and that there is room for substantial improvement [10]. To try and improve things, there are national

recommendations for the minimum data set that should be included in the primary care referral for a patient with DM who is being considered for surgery. These are listed in Table 1 [9]. However, to date there are no data to assess whether part of the suboptimal care could be due to a lack of information passed from primary to secondary care.

The present study was aimed at the first step in this process, to assess the quality of information contained in referral letters from primary care to surgical outpatients.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

We collected data on every primary care surgical referral sent to nine National Health Service Trusts in the East of England between 28th July 2014 and 3rd August 2014. These hospitals are listed in Table 2. Data were collected using a standardised form (Figure 1). This was designed to reflect whether the specified minimum information was provided according to the UK national guideline on the management of adults with DM undergoing surgery and elective procedures [9]. All general surgical subspecialties (gastrointestinal, vascular, endocrine, breast) as well as trauma and orthopaedics, obstetrics and gynaecology, ear, nose and throat (ENT), plastic surgery, neurosurgery, paediatric and maxillofacial surgery were included. All GP referral letters of patients with previously diagnosed DM or treated with glucose lowering agents were identified.

RESULTS

Across the nine centres that participated in this study, data were collected for 1,919 primary care referrals to surgery (Table 2). They included 1,053 females, 851 males

and 15 patients with gender not documented. The median age was 53 years (range 6 weeks to 98 years).

The number of referrals received for each surgical specialty, including the number of patients with DM, is shown in Table 3. The majority of referrals were to trauma and orthopaedics, followed by general surgery and ENT. The highest proportion of patients with DM were referred to the vascular surgeons, reflecting the increased cardiovascular disease burden in the population with DM.

One hundred and sixty nine referrals (8.8% of all referrals) were for patients with DM. As can be seen in Table 4, 117 (69.2%) were documented as having type 2 DM, 24 (14.2%) were documented as having type 1 DM, and in 38 (22.5%) there was no documentation of the type of DM in the referral letter but were on glucose lowering agents. In addition, there were several key biochemical and physical examination findings that were not included in the referral letter, including almost half (49.7%) containing no documentation of DM related co-morbidities such as pre-existing hypertension, ischemic heart disease, neuropathy, foot disease or renal disease. Furthermore, in 11.8% of referrals no documentation of oral hypoglycaemic or insulin-based medication was provided.

DISCUSSION

This study has shown that the quality of referral letters from primary care to all surgical specialties for people with DM across the East of England could be improved with appropriate clinical information, to facilitate better clinical care. Most letters did not contain the information recommended by the UK national guideline on the management of adults with DM undergoing surgery and elective procedures [9]. We

have also shown that the prevalence of DM amongst patients referred for elective surgery was almost 50% higher than the prevalence in the general UK population (8.8% vs 5.9%).

The many stages of the patient journey from primary care referral to discharge home after an operation are fraught with difficulties for the patients with long-term conditions such as diabetes. There are many studies that demonstrate the association between poor peri-operative glycaemic control and adverse outcomes after all forms of surgery in patients with DM [11,12,13,14,15,16]. What is currently lacking however, is the data to show that intervention is associated with a reduction in harms [17]. However, the premise is that the achievement of good glucose control is likely to be associated with better outcomes. Key to achieving this is appropriate, timely and accurate communication between the relevant parties at each stage of the journey. Recent work has been done looking at parts of this journey within the hospital, in particular looking at developing a more patient centred approach to care [10]. This was because it was felt that this approach would contribute to a more positive patient experience, as well as delivering higher-quality inpatient DM care [18,19,10]. Hommel et al showed that the lack of appropriate handover of clinically important information related to DM was not limited to primary care, and that other parts of the patient journey also required improvement to increase perioperative safety [10]. However, this work was done looking at communication within the hospital, but none has been done looking at the first stage in the patient journey, the referral from primary care to the surgeons.

Our data showed that in over 20% of cases, people with DM (identified by the medications they were taking), had no mention of the condition in their referral letter.

The use of the electronic referral letter, with the past history and current drug list automatically generated should help to reduce this lack of data, but currently is not universally used. Anaesthetists and surgeons are aware that this information materially affects pre-operative planning of elective surgery, e.g. placing a person with diabetes at the start of an operating list to minimise their starvation time, and minimises the risk of inpatient management errors [20]. With the extensive data now showing that peri-operative hyperglycaemia is associated with harm, and that the awareness that someone has diabetes prior to their procedure helps to minimise any potential harms, HbA1c should be part of this standard information [21]. Ideally all of this information should be transferred at the time of referral and not left to be collected in the few days prior to the operation at the time of the pre-operative assessment clinic. This also dovetails with the principles of enhanced recovery programmes in surgery, which aim to optimise pre-operative health prior to surgery, thereby minimising hospital length of stay [22]. In the current study only 31.4% of the referred patients with DM had a recent blood pressure reading recorded in the referral letter, 27% had a recent BMI, and only 7.7% had a documented recent HbA1c reading (i.e. checked within the 3 months prior to referral). Recently published guidelines by NICE in the UK state that “people with diabetes who are being referred for surgical consultation from primary care should have their most recent HbA1c test results included in their referral information”, also that “if the patient has not been tested in the last 3 months then HbA1c testing should be offered” [21].

Previous work has shown that people with diabetes were already being inappropriately refused day case surgery [23,24]. But it is not just poor glycaemic control that leads to last minute cancellations of elective surgery. A recent paper

highlighted the importance of pre-operative medical optimisation for hypertension to avoid such cancellations [25].

Information on hypertension, other DM related co-morbidities and medical management of glycaemic control was also lacking in many referral letters. Almost half of all referrals had no documentation DM related co-morbidities such as hypertension, ischemic heart disease, neuropathy, foot disease and renal disease. This may well have been for two reasons: Firstly, because the patients did not have any co-morbidities, but there were a number of people who did have significant co-morbidities which were picked up because of the medication they were on. These co-morbidities were not listed in the referral letters. Secondly, we feel that this is one of those situations when a mention should be made of 'relevant negatives'. Several co-morbidities are much more common in people with diabetes, and these can make a material difference to how the patients are managed by the surgeon, the anaesthetist and the nursing staff.

Also 11.8% of referrals had no documentation of insulin or oral hypoglycaemic medication usage. It is possible that these were people with diet controlled diabetes. The individual patient notes were not examined to see if this was the case. However, all patients with diabetes should be regarded as high-risk and therefore appropriate peri-operative measures should be taken to minimise risk and anticipate potential complications such as hyper or hypoglycaemia, misuse of insulin and errors associated with converting IV insulin infusion to regular oral hypoglycaemic medication [9]. Previous work has shown that patients with DM often require referral to critical care for post-operative management [26]. They also require input from the

specialist DM team because polypharmacy and complicated insulin regimes can put patients with DM at risk if not appropriately monitored.

Agents that are used to treat diabetes are very rarely used to treat any other conditions. An exception to this is metformin for polycystic ovarian syndrome but the numbers of people with this condition were likely to be very small. Thus when a diabetes drug was listed it was assumed to be because the patient had diabetes.

Our data also highlight the need for increased awareness about the importance of DM among primary and secondary care teams. The recent decision by the UK National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) to ensure that a recent HbA1c for people with diabetes is available pre-operatively [21], means that they recognise that there is an onus on primary care teams and surgeons to ensure that a) they know who has diabetes, and b) that this information is passed on between stages in the patient journey, not just at the time of referral.

The higher rate of referrals for patients with diabetes when compared to the background population is consistent with previous studies which demonstrated an over-representation of patients with DM undergoing surgery [20,22]. The phenomenon was more pronounced in referrals to vascular surgery where 24.1% of referrals were for patients with DM, possibly reflecting the higher cardiovascular disease burden these patients carry.

The strength of these data are that they were collected from a very wide geographical area across the East of England, from 9 different hospitals. These included large teaching hospitals as well as much smaller district general hospitals.

The data were collected based on the UK national guidelines and included data on referrals made to all surgical specialities, and thus should be applicable to most situations in the UK and further afield.

The limitations of the data are that it was from only 1 week. Whilst we tried to ensure that all the referrals were captured, and we had several mechanisms in place to ensure this was the case (including interrogating the electronic databases of each institution) there may have been some that we missed. However, we believe that this is unlikely to have made a material difference to our findings. It is also worth noting that a substantial proportion of surgical referrals resulted in conservative management or surgery was deemed inappropriate. For those that did go on to have surgery, we did not have outcome data on these individuals. However, that was not the intention of this study. In addition, there are conflicting data on the significance of poor perioperative glycaemic control and surgical outcomes. A recent systematic review of twenty studies including 19,514 patients with DM showed no association between elevated preoperative HbA1c and increased post-operative morbidity and mortality [27]. The authors of the study did however state that analysis was difficult due to the heterogeneity of the studies included. Nevertheless, UK national guidance recommends that primary care teams should be aiming for an HbA1c less than 69mmol/mol where it is possible to achieve [9].

These findings are not to be taken as a criticism of primary care teams. As health care professions whose primary concern is the common goal of the wellbeing and safety of the patients under our care, this service improvement exercise was just that – an attempt to identify where the gaps in care lie along this initial part of the patient journey. The next logical stage would be to try and improve the communication gap

we have identified. We are aware that many referrals are now made electronically, with much of the data that is recommended to be included now being so. However, this still relies on up to date biochemistry being done.

Another reason why early identification of people with diabetes is important is because of data showing that it is those people with previously diagnosed diabetes who do better than those who have previously unidentified hyperglycaemia [28,29]. Thus the very knowledge that someone has diabetes, regardless of glycaemic control, makes a material difference to outcomes.

This study is the first to examine the quality of care at the start of the patient journey. It is one of the many steps along the way where appropriate, and comprehensive communication can reduce peri-operative morbidity and mortality. The next step in evaluating the management of peri-operative surgical patient with DM would be the assessment of processes carried out in surgical outpatients, the preoperative assessment clinic to determine the degree of glycaemic optimisation of the patient with DM undergoing elective surgery.

CONCLUSION

In summary, we have shown that the quality of diabetes related information in letters from primary care teams for patients with diabetes referred for elective surgery in all specialities could be improved, with appropriate clinical information, to facilitate better clinical care. In addition, we have found that the proportion of patients with diabetes referred for surgery is almost 50% higher than would be expected if the rates were the same as the general population.

Further work needs to be done to improve the peri-operative care of the patient with diabetes undergoing a surgical procedure.

Legends for Tables and Figures

Table 1.

Minimum data set recommended for GP letter with recommendations for referral of patients with DM for surgery. DM – Diabetes mellitus. Taken from reference [9].

Table 2.

Number of surgical referrals by hospital Trust

Table 3.

Number of surgical referral and proportion of referrals with DM by specialty

Table 4.

Summary of minimum data set information for the 169 patients referred with diabetes mellitus. *Co-morbidities include 1 or more of hypertension, ischaemic heart disease, neuropathy, foot disease and renal disease. [†]64mmol/mol (43-98mmol/mol) = 8.0% (6.1-11.1%).

Figure 1

The data collection tool used

Table 1

Up to date current DM care	Duration and type of DM
	Place of usual DM care (primary or secondary care)
	Other co-morbidities
	Treatment <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For DM: Oral agents/insulin doses and frequency • For other co-morbidities
Specific complications of DM	At risk foot
	Renal impairment
	Cardiac disease
Recent values for	Body Mass Index (BMI)
	Blood pressure
	Glycated haemoglobin (HbA1c)
	estimated Glomerular Filtration Rate (eGFR)

Table 2

Hospital	Number of surgical referrals received (%)
Addenbrooke's Hospital NHS Trust	135 (7.0)
Bedford Hospital NHS Trust	93 (4.8)
Hinchingbrooke Health Care NHS Trust	113 (5.9)
Luton and Dunstable University Hospital NHS Trust	44 (2.3)
Norfolk and Norwich University Hospitals NHS Trust	751 (39.1)
Queen Elizabeth Hospital Kings Lynn NHS Trust	189 (9.8)
West Suffolk NHS Foundation Trust	155 (8.1)
Mid Essex Hospital Services NHS Trust	360 (18.8)
Peterborough City Hospital NHS Trust	79 (4.1)

Table 3

Subspecialties	Number of referrals	Patients with DM (%)
Vascular surgery	54	13 (24.1%)
General surgery	419	53 (12.6%)
Maxillofacial surgery	9	1 (11.1%)
Trauma and Orthopaedics	459	47 (10.2%)
Urology	195	16 (8.2%)
Plastic surgery	126	7 (5.6%)
Obstetrics and Gynaecology	205	10 (4.9%)
Breast surgery	84	4 (4.8%)
Ear, Nose and Throat	353	13 (3.7%)
Neurosurgery	1	0 (0%)
Paediatric surgery	7	0 (0%)
No data	7	0 (0%)

Table 4

		Number of referrals with information included in referral letter about DM (%)	Median (range)
Type of DM	Type 1	14 (8.3)	-
	Type 2	117 (69.2)	-
	Not Documented	38 (22.5)	-
Place of care for DM management	Primary Care	24 (14.2)	-
	Secondary Care	7 (4.1)	-
	Not Documented	138 (81.7)	-
DM related clinical information	Systolic Blood Pressure	53 (31.4)	134mmHg (101-169mmHg)
	Body Mass Index	46 (27.0)	31.3kg/m ² (19-45.8)
	HbA1c	13 (7.7)	64mmol/mol (43-98mmol/mol) ⁺
	eGFR	11 (6.5)	64mL/mol (20-90mL/mol)
Co-morbidities*	1 co-morbidity	44 (26.0)	-
	2 co-morbidities	27 (16.0)	-
	3 co-morbidities	12 (7.1)	-
	4 co-morbidities	2 (1.2)	-
	Not documented in referral letter	84 (49.7)	-
Treatment	Oral hypoglycaemics	88 (52.1)	-

	alone		
	Insulin alone	19 (11.2)	-
	Insulin and oral hypoglycaemics	18 (10.7)	-
	None	24 (14.2)	-
	Not provided	20 (11.8)	-

Reference List

1. Public Health England. NHS Diabetes Prevention Programme (NHS DPP) Non-diabetic hyperglycaemia. https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/456149/Non_diabetic_hyperglycaemia.pdf .2015 [Last accessed 22nd April 2017]
2. Hex N, Bartlett C, Wright D, Taylor M, Varley D. Estimating the current and future costs of Type 1 and Type 2 diabetes in the United Kingdom, including direct health costs and indirect societal and productivity costs. *Diabetic Med* 2012;**29**:855-862.
3. Health and Social Care Information Centre. National Diabetes Inpatient Audit (NaDIA) - 2015. <http://www.hscic.gov.uk/catalogue/PUB20206>. 2016 [Last accessed 22nd April 2017]
4. Clement S, Braithwaite SS, Magee MF et al. Management of diabetes and hyperglycemia in hospitals. *Diabetes Care* 2004;**27**:553-597.
5. Smiley DD, Umpierrez GE. Perioperative glucose control in the diabetic or nondiabetic patient. *South Med J* 2006;**99**:580-589.
6. Edelson GW, Fachnie JD, Whitehouse FW. Perioperative management of diabetes. *Henry Ford Hosp Med J* 1990;**38**:262-265.
7. Gustafsson UO, Thorell A, Soop M, Ljungqvist O, Nygren J. Haemoglobin A1c as a predictor of postoperative hyperglycaemia and complications after major colorectal surgery. *Br J Surg* 2009;**96**:1358-1364.
8. Sampson MJ, Dozio N, Ferguson B, Dhatariya K. Total and excess bed occupancy by age, speciality and insulin use for nearly one million diabetes patients discharged from all English Acute Hospitals. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 2007;**77**:92-98.
9. Dhatariya K, Levy N, Kilvert A et al. NHS Diabetes guideline for the perioperative management of the adult patient with diabetes. *Diabetic Med* 2012;**29**:420-433.
10. Hommel I, van Gurp PJ, Tack CJ et al. Perioperative diabetes care: room for improving the person centredness. *Diabetic Med* 2015;**32**:561-568.
11. Walid MS, Newman BF, Yelverton JC, Nutter JP, Ajjan M, Robinson JS. Prevalence of previously unknown elevation of glycosylated hemoglobin in spine surgery patients and impact on length of stay and total cost. *J Hosp Med* 2010;**5**:E10-E14.
12. O'Sullivan CJ, Hynes N, Mahendran B et al. Haemoglobin A1c (HbA1C) in non-diabetic and diabetic vascular patients. Is HbA1C an independent risk factor and predictor of adverse outcome? *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg* 2006;**32**:188-197.
13. Halkos ME, Lattouf OM, Puskas JD et al. Elevated preoperative hemoglobin A1c level is associated with reduced long-term survival after coronary artery bypass surgery. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2008;**86**:1431-1437.

14. Vilar-Compte D, Alvarez de Iturbe I, Martin-Onraet A, Perez-Amador M, Sanchez-Hernandez C, Volkow P. Hyperglycemia as a risk factor for surgical site infections in patients undergoing mastectomy. *Am J Infect Control* 2008;**36**:192-198.
15. Ambiru S, Kato A, Kimura F et al. Poor postoperative blood glucose control increases surgical site infections after surgery for hepato-biliary-pancreatic cancer: a prospective study in a high-volume institute in Japan. *J Hosp Infect* 2008;**68**:230-233.
16. Shibuya N, Humphers JM, Fluhman BL, Jupiter DC. Factors associated with nonunion, delayed union, and malunion in foot and ankle surgery in diabetic patients. *J Foot Ankle Surg* 2013;**52**:207-211.
17. Dhatariya K. Should inpatient hyperglycaemia be treated? *Br Med J* 2013;**346**:f134
18. Inzucchi SE, Bergenstal RM, Buse JB et al. Management of hyperglycaemia in type 2 diabetes: a patient-centered approach. Position statement of the American Diabetes Association (ADA) and the European Association for the Study of Diabetes (EASD). *Diabetologia* 2012;**55**:1577-1596.
19. Glasgow RE, Peeples M, Skovlund SE. Where is the patient in diabetes performance measures? *Diabetes Care* 2008;**31**:1046-1050.
20. Bucarius J, Gummert JF, Walther T et al. Impact of diabetes mellitus on cardiac surgery outcome. *Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2003;**51**:11-16.
21. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Routine preoperative tests for elective surgery (NG45). <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng45>. 2016 [Last accessed 22nd April 2017]
22. Lassen K, Soop M, Nygren J et al. Consensus review of optimal perioperative care in colorectal surgery: Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS) group recommendations. *Arch Surg* 2009;**144**:961-969.
23. Kerr M. Inpatient care for people with diabetes: the economic case for change. <https://www.diabetes.org.uk/upload/News/Inpatient%20Care%20for%20People%20with%20Diabetes%20%20The%20Economic%20Case%20for%20Change%20Nov%202011.pdf>. 2013 [Last accessed 22nd April 2017]
24. Modi A, Levy N, Lipp A. A national survey on the perioperative management of diabetes in day case surgery units. *J One Day Surg* 2012;**22**:P15
25. Hartle A, McCormack T, Carlisle J et al. The measurement of adult blood pressure and management of hypertension before elective surgery. *Anaesthesia* 2016;**71**:326-337.
26. Jhanji S, Thomas B, Ely A, Watson D, Hinds CJ, Pearce RM. Mortality and utilisation of critical care resources amongst high-risk surgical patients in a large NHS trust. *Anaesthesia* 2008;**63**:695-700.

27. Rollins KE, Varadhan KK, Dhatariya K, Lobo DN. Systematic review of the impact of HbA1c on outcomes following surgery in patients with diabetes mellitus. *Clin Nutr* 2016;**35**:308-316.
28. Kwon S, Thompson R, Dellinger P, Yanez D, Farrohki E, Flum D. Importance of perioperative glycemic control in general surgery: A report from the surgical care and outcomes assessment program. *Ann Surg* 2013;**257**:8-14.
29. Frisch A, Chandra P, Smiley D et al. Prevalence and clinical outcome of hyperglycemia in the perioperative period in noncardiac surgery. *Diabetes Care* 2010;**33**:1783-1788.