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Abstract

The aim of this work was to unravel the molecular basis of the connection of mitochondrial and cytosolic FeS assembly. Following on from previous work showing that the mitochondrial ABC-transporter ATM3 is required for cytosolic FeS assembly (Bernard et al., 2009), one part of my project was to provide in-vivo evidence for the substrate of ATM3. I found that the mitochondrial glutathione pool in-atm3 seedlings is shifted towards oxidation, indicating accumulation of oxidised glutathione. Furthermore I showed that ATM3 genetically interacts with two enzymes involved in persulfide metabolism (ETHE1, NFS1). This complemented in-vitro studies by Dr. Schaedler (Schaedler et al., 2014) who showed that oxidised glutathione is a preferred substrate and glutathione carrying additional S0 can be transported by yeast Atm1.

To gather further insight into biosynthesis of the ATM3 substrate I investigated the role of a cytosolic and a mitochondrial glutaredoxin (GRXS17, GRXS15). Mutants had minor but specific effects on FeS enzymes and I concluded that the glutaredoxins are not generally involved in de-novo cluster assembly.

Another approach was to identify unknown components of mitochondrial-cytosolic persulfide transport. I characterised two mutants with phenotypic resemblance to atm3 mutants. For one, I located a point mutation in the sequence of ATM3 leading to an amino acid exchange in the 6th transmembrane domain and showed that the ATM3 protein was lacking. The second line was a mutant of CNX2, a mitochondrial enzyme necessary for generation of the first MoCo intermediate cPMP. I found ATM3 protein breakdown in cnx2-2 and in a mutant of CNX5 which is involved in MoCo assembly and t-RNA thiomodification. ATM3 was previously suggested to export cPMP (Teschner et al., 2010). My findings give new evidence for a link between ATM3 and MoCo assembly.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Full Form</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ABA</td>
<td>Abscisic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABA3</td>
<td>Abscisic acid deficient 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABC</td>
<td>ATP-binding cassette</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADP</td>
<td>Adenosine diphosphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AE7</td>
<td>Asymmetric leaves ½ enhancer 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aft</td>
<td>Activator of ferrous transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMP</td>
<td>Adenosine monophosphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At</td>
<td>Arabidopsis thaliana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATM</td>
<td>ABC-transporter of the mitochondria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATP</td>
<td>Adenosine triphosphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATR</td>
<td>Aminotransferase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIO</td>
<td>Biotin synthase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bp</td>
<td>Base pairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSO</td>
<td>Buthionine sulfoximine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cDNA</td>
<td>Complementary DNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaMV</td>
<td>Cauliflower mosaic virus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CER</td>
<td>Controlled environment room</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIA</td>
<td>Cytosolic iron-sulfur protein assembly machinery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNX</td>
<td>Cofactor of Nitrate reductase and Xanthine dehydrogenase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CoA</td>
<td>Coenzyme A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Col-0</td>
<td>Columbia-0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cPMP</td>
<td>Cyclic pyranopterin monophosphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dH₂O</td>
<td>distilled water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DI</td>
<td>Deionised</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNA</td>
<td>Deoxyribonucleic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRE2</td>
<td>Derepressed for Ribosomal protein S14 Expression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTT</td>
<td>Dithiothreitol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDTA</td>
<td>Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMS</td>
<td>Ethyl methanesulfonate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ETHE</td>
<td>Ethylmalonic encephalopathy protein 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1</td>
<td>First filial generation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAD</td>
<td>Flavin adenine dinucleotide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FDX</td>
<td>Ferredoxin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeS</td>
<td>Iron-sulfur</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gDNA</td>
<td>Genomic DNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFP/roGFP</td>
<td>Green fluorescent protein/ redox sensitive green fluorescent protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRX</td>
<td>Glutaredoxin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSH/GSSG</td>
<td>Reduced/oxidised glutathione</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSH1</td>
<td>Glutamate-cysteine ligase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSH2</td>
<td>Glutathione synthetase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GTP</td>
<td>Guanosine triphosphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HNA</td>
<td>2-hydroxy-1-naphthaldehyde</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HNC</td>
<td>2-hydroxy-1-naphthoic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEPES</td>
<td>4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSC</td>
<td>Heat shock cognate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IBA</td>
<td>Iron-sulfur cluster assembly factor for biotin synthase and aconitase-like mitochondrial proteins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISC</td>
<td>Iron-sulfur cluster pathway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISD11</td>
<td>Iron-sulfur protein biogenesis, desulfurase-interacting protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISU</td>
<td>IscU-like</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ler</td>
<td>Landsberg erecta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIP</td>
<td>Lipoate synthase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mARC</td>
<td>Mitochondrial amidoxime reducing component</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MES</td>
<td>2-(N-morpholino)ethanesulfonic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MET18</td>
<td>Methionine requiring 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoaD</td>
<td>Molybdopterin synthase, small subunit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoCo</td>
<td>Molybdenum cofactor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOCS</td>
<td>Molybdenum cofactor synthesis protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOPS</td>
<td>3-(N-morpholino)propanesulfonic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPT</td>
<td>Molybdopterin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS</td>
<td>Murashige and Skoog</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAD+</td>
<td>Nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (oxidised)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NADH</td>
<td>Nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (reduced)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NADP+</td>
<td>Nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate (oxidised)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NADPH</td>
<td>Nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate (reduced)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NFS1</td>
<td>Nitrogen Fixation S (NIFS)-like 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NFU</td>
<td>Nitrogen fixation U (NIFU)-like</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NF-YC11</td>
<td>Nuclear factor Y, subunit C11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTP</td>
<td>Nucleotide triphosphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OASTLC</td>
<td>O-acetylserine (thiol) lyase C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAGE</td>
<td>Polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCR</td>
<td>Polymerase chain reaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMS</td>
<td>Phenazine methosulfate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMSF</td>
<td>Phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ps a</td>
<td>Photosystem I subunit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNA</td>
<td>Ribonucleic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S°</td>
<td>zero valency sulfur/sulfane sulfur</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAM</td>
<td>S-adenosyl-L-methionine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Standard deviation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDS</td>
<td>Sodium dodecyl sulphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAT</td>
<td>Serine-acetyltransferase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNP</td>
<td>Single nucleotide polymorphism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOC</td>
<td>Super optimal broth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSP</td>
<td>Sulfane sulfur probe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STR</td>
<td>Sulfurtransferase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUF</td>
<td>Sulfur mobilization machinery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAE</td>
<td>Tris base, acetic acid, EDTA buffer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TE</td>
<td>Tris-EDTA buffer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-DNA</td>
<td>Transfer DNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T_M</td>
<td>Melting temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tris</td>
<td>Tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tRNA</td>
<td>Transfer RNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v/v</td>
<td>Volume/volume</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>w/v</td>
<td>Weight/volume</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WT</td>
<td>Wild-type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XD</td>
<td>Xinhua Dai</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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1. Introduction

Metal cofactors form the active site for numerous proteins in virtually all organisms. There is a vast diversity of metal cofactors which are of high importance. Several metals play important roles for enzymes such as zinc in alkaline phosphatases and alcohol dehydrogenases, manganese in photosystem II and isocitrate dehydrogenase, copper in cytochrome oxidase and superoxide dismutase, molybdenum in aldehyde oxidase or nitrate reductase just to name a few. However, the most prominent metal is probably iron. Iron cofactors are involved in processes like oxygen binding in the form of heme. Another well-known iron cofactor is the iron-sulfur (FeS) cluster which occurs in multiple forms. A defect in assembly or an excess of Fe or S can be fatal and thus a good understanding of the FeS cluster assembly pathways is important. The main focus of this work will be on FeS cofactors and their assembly in plant mitochondria and cytosol.

FeS cofactors are redox active and involved in processes like electron transport chains in the chloroplasts and mitochondria, in the assimilation of nitrogen and sulfur and also in DNA repair mechanisms and the maintenance of telomeres and genome stability (Balk and Schaedler, 2014; Lill, 2009). The most common types of FeS are the Fe$_2$S$_2$, the Fe$_3$S$_4$ and the Fe$_4$S$_4$ clusters, but other, more complex forms exist (Beinert et al., 1997; Drennan and Peters, 2003; Rees, 2002). Fe$_2$S$_2$ clusters are the most stable type of cluster; However, FeS clusters are easily oxidised when exposed to oxygen or reactive oxygen species (Balk and Schaedler, 2014; Imsande, 1999). In comparison to other Fe containing cofactors, FeS enzymes tend to have a more negative reduction potential (in the range between -590 and -20 mV with only a few exceptions) which makes them good electron donors but FeS clusters can also have catalytic or stabilising functions or serve as a sulfur donor making it a versatile cofactor. (Balk and Schaedler, 2014; Beinert, 2000a). It is the versatility of S as well as the redox activity of Fe which gives the clusters their unique properties. Sulfur in its lower oxidation states is chemically versatile with sulfenate (SO$^-$) being at the upper limit of oxidation (Beinert, 2000b). As summarised by Beinert in 2000 (Beinert, 2000a), S has several properties which contribute to the function and versatility of FeS clusters: (1) nuclear charge effects in S are not strong (2) S electrons can populate 3d orbitals (3) S has low tendency to form hydrogen bonds (4)
S can occur in formal valences 2- to 6+ (5) bonds with S are highly covalent (6) little energy is needed to change S from an electrophile to a nucleophile. Fe on the other hand is responsible for the redox reactivity of the cluster and in some cases acts catalytically. Cluster degradation releases Fe which can then catalyse the Fenton reaction which produces reactive oxygen species, increasing the damage to FeS clusters. Thus, the widespread usage of FeS clusters presents a risk for the organism but the benefits outweigh the dangers.

1.1. FeS enzymes in plants

FeS enzymes are involved in a vast variety of processes. In the following I will give an overview of many known processes in plants that involve FeS enzymes and will highlight a few examples. FeS enzymes are involved in hormone biosynthesis, seedling establishment and vegetative growth. One of those enzymes is aldehyde oxidase (2Fe2S2, see also Section 1.4) which catalyses the last step of abscisic acid synthesis and is also thought to be involved in auxin biosynthesis, thus affecting various growth processes (Bittner, 2014; Dai et al., 2005; Seo et al., 2000). Another prominent example is the involvement of two FeS enzymes in the tricarboxylic acid cycle: (1) Aconitase (Fe4S4) (Arnaud et al., 2007; Bernard et al., 2009; Moeder et al., 2007) which mediates the conversion of cis-aconitate to isocitrate. (2) Succinate dehydrogenase (Fe2S2, Fe4S4, Fe3S4) (Figueroa et al., 2001; Hagerhall, 1997; Leon et al., 2007; Millar et al., 2004; Sweetlove et al., 2010) which mediates the conversion of succinate to fumarate and the reduction of ubiquinone to ubiquinol. Succinate dehydrogenase is also part of the mitochondrial respiratory chain (Complex II). The respiratory complexes Complex I and III also require FeS clusters for their function (Beinert, 2000a; Hunte et al., 2010; Rieske, 1976).

The photosynthetic electron transport chain is also dependent on FeS as cofactors. Photosystem I subunits PsaA and PsaB (Amunts et al., 2007) are bridged by a Fe4S4 cluster and PsaC contains a further Fe2S2 cluster. Another important process is the electron transfer by ferredoxins (Fe2S2) (Hanke and Mulo, 2013; Takubo et al., 2003). Ferredoxins donate electrons to various processes including sulfur and nitrogen assimilation and amino acid synthesis. Sulfite and nitrite reductase require a
Fe₄S₄ cluster and siroheme which is synthesised in a pathway involving the FeS cluster enzyme sirohydrochlorin ferrochelatase (Fe₂S₂) (Guerrero et al., 1981; Raux-Deery et al., 2005; Saha et al., 2012; Saito, 2004). Nitrogen assimilation is vital for amino acid synthesis. There are several isoforms of glutamate synthase which contain FeS clusters (varying FeS clusters in the isozymes) (Vanoni and Curti, 2008) and also an enzyme involved in leucine biosynthesis (3-isopropylmalate isomerase, Fe₄S₄) (He et al., 2010; He et al., 2011; Knill et al., 2009; Sureshkumar et al., 2009) further linking FeS cluster enzymes to amino acid metabolism.

It has recently been discovered that FeS enzymes also play a pivotal role in DNA metabolism, repair and epigenetics (as reviewed for example by (Balk and Schaedler, 2014)). Amidophosphoribosyl transferase (Fe₄S₄) (Hung et al., 2004) and xanthine dehydrogenase (2 Fe₂S₂) (Bittner, 2014; Hesberg et al., 2004) for example are involved in purine metabolism. Various DNA glycosylases, helicases, primases and endonucleases are also dependent on FeS clusters for their function (Balk and Pilon, 2011; Balk and Schaedler, 2014).

FeS proteins are also important for cofactor biosynthesis. Various enzymes, electron donors and scaffolds are involved in FeS assembly itself and also in biotin synthesis (biotin synthase (BIO) 2, Fe₂S₂), lipoate synthesis (lipoate synthase (LIP) 1, 2 Fe₄S₄) as well as MoCo synthesis (Cofactor of Nitrate reductase and Xanthine dehydrogenase (CNX) 2), Fe₄S₄, see also Section 1.4) and NAD synthesis (Quinolinate synthase, Fe₄S₄) (Balk and Pilon, 2011; Balk and Schaedler, 2014).

### 1.2. FeS cluster assembly in plants

FeS cluster assembly pathways are based on a common principle. First, a S⁰ is mobilised from cysteine by a cysteine desulfurase, producing alanine in the process. The S⁰ stays protein-bound and is transferred to a scaffold protein. During cluster assembly with Fe the S⁰ is reduced to S²⁻ requiring two electrons (Balk and Lobreaux, 2005; Paul and Lill, 2014). In a final step the cluster is then transferred to an apo-protein. The source of the iron in plants remains elusive. In yeast and mammals and plants frataxin has been suggested. It has to be taken into account that the role of frataxin is discussed controversially. Recent findings suggest that frataxin is involved in early sulfuration steps of the FeS assembly (as reviewed by Lill et al.,
2015). On one hand, there is in-vitro evidence suggesting that frataxin stimulates cysteine binding to Nfs1 by exposing substrate binding sites (Pandey et al., 2013; Bridwell-Rabb et al., 2014). On the other hand, Parent et al. (2015) showed kinetic stimulation of the subsequent trans-sulfuration. However, frataxin mutants of Arabidopsis showed only minor Fe-homeostasis defects in comparison to yeast mutants (Busi et al., 2006; Lill et al., 2012; Martin et al., 2009; Pastore and Puccio, 2013).

In plants three assembly machineries are known: the sulfur mobilization machinery in the plastids (SUF), the iron-sulfur cluster pathway in the mitochondria (ISC) and the cytosolic iron-sulfur protein assembly machinery in the cytosol (CIA) which also provides FeS clusters for nuclear proteins (see Figure 1.1). All three pathways depend on a cysteine desulfurase and several scaffolds and carriers (Balk and Pilon, 2011; Balk and Schaedler, 2014; Couturier et al., 2013). The mitochondrial and cytosolic machineries are thought to be linked in eukaryotes by the mitochondrial cysteine desulfurase and a mitochondrial ABC-transporter (Lill, 2009) (see Figure 1.1).

In plants, the link between mitochondrial and cytosolic FeS assembly is comprised of the mitochondrial cysteine desulphurase Nitrogen Fixation S (NIFS)-like 1 (NFS1) and the ABC transporter of the Mitochondria 3 (ATM3) which will be discussed further in Section 1.3 (see also Figure 1.1) (Bernard et al., 2009; Bernard et al., 2013).
Figure 1.1 Scheme of the CIA, ISC and MoCo assembly in plants. Components of the ISC are indicated in red, components of the CIA in purple, components of the MoCo assembly in green. AE7 = Asymmetric leaves1/2 Enhancer 7; ATM3 = ABC Transporter of the Mitochondria 3; CIA1 = Cytosolic Iron-sulfur protein Assembly; CNX = Cofactor of Nitrate reductase and Xanthine dehydrogenase; cPMP = cyclic pyranopterin monophosphate; DRE2 = Derepressed for Ribosomal protein S14 Expression; FDX = Ferredoxin; GRX = Glutaredoxin; GSH/GSSG = reduced/oxidised glutathione; GTP = guanosine triphosphate; HSC = Heat Shock Cognate, IBA = Iron-sulfur cluster assembly factor for Biotin synthase and Aconitase-like mitochondrial proteins; ISCA = IscA-like; ISD11 = Iron-Sulfur protein biogenesis, desulfurase-interacting protein; ISU1 = IscU-like, MET18 = Methionine requiring 18; MPT = Molybdopterin; NFS1 = Nitrogen fixation S (NIFS)-like 1, NFU = Nitrogen fixation U (NIFU)-like; $S^0$ = zero valency sulfur/sulfane sulfur. Dashed arrows indicate unknown/proposed steps.
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1.3. ABC-transporters of the mitochondria

In the mitochondria of most eukaryotes, there are 2-4 ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporters. ABC transporters have been linked to heavy metal transport, oxidative stress, and molybdenum and Fe cofactor synthesis. As mentioned above, the mitochondrial ABC transporter Atm1/ATM3/ABCB7 plays a pivotal role in cytosolic FeS assembly in yeast, plants and mammals (Schaedler et al., 2015).

In yeast, mutants of the ABC transporter of the mitochondria 1(Atm1) accumulated Fe in the mitochondria (Kispal et al., 1997) and in 1999 (Kispal et al.) found that the yeast cysteine desulfurase Nitrogen Fixation S-like 1 (Nfs1) as well as the ABC transporter of the mitochondria 1 (Atm1) are necessary for FeS assembly in the cytosol. Soon it was speculated whether FeS clusters could pass the mitochondrial membrane via Atm1 and what type of cluster could be transported (Beinert, 2000b). However, many years later it was found that the iron accumulation is due to a defect in iron sensing: A FeS cluster is needed to suppress the transcription factor activator of ferrous transport (Aft) 2 and its paralog Aft1 which, when active, upregulate Fe uptake genes (Poor et al., 2014; Ueta et al., 2012).

Similar observations were made in plants: In 2009, (Bernard et al.) found that mutants of the Arabidopsis Atm1 homolog ATM3 mutants did not accumulate Fe in the mitochondria thus providing further evidence that the transported compound does not contain Fe. In 2013 (Bernard et al.) showed that cytosolic FeS assembly depends on the mitochondrial cysteine desulfurase NFS1 but not on the plastid NFS2 or cytosolic abscisic acid deficient 3 (ABA3).

Taken together, this indicates that the compound exported from the mitochondria by ATM3 is a persulfide derivative. Evidence has been found that suggests glutathione as a carrier for the sulfur: In 2002 (Sipos et al.) found that maturation of cytosolic FeS proteins in yeast was dependent on glutamate-cysteine ligase 1 (Gsh1) which is involved in glutathione biosynthesis. Four years later, Kim et al. (2006) showed that atm3 mutants had elevated levels of non-protein thiols and increased GSH1 levels.

Furthermore, glutathione has been linked to ABC transporters and heavy metal transport on several occasions (see for example (Kang et al., 2011; Raichaudhuri, 2016)). Recently, crystallography studies by the R. Lill and D. Rees groups found reduced glutathione bound to yeast Atm1 (Srinivasan et al., 2014) and oxidised or reduced glutathione to bacterial Atm1 (Lee et al., 2014b) in crystal structures.
Furthermore, Schaedler et al. (2014) provided *in-vitro* evidence for the transport of oxidised glutathione by yeast Atm1 and Arabidopsis ATM3. They proposed oxidised glutathione containing a $S^0$ (glutathione trisulfide) as the substrate of Atm1 and ATM3 and verified *in-vitro* transport of oxidised glutathione carrying a $S^0$ (GSSSG) for Atm1 by mass spectrometry. Interestingly, ATM3 has been linked to molybdenum cofactor (MoCo) biosynthesis before (see Figure 1.1). Teschner et al. (2010) found that atm3 mutants had lower MoCo levels and activity of MoCo enzymes was decreased while mitochondria accumulated the MoCo precursor cyclic pyranopterin monophosphate (cPMP). This points to a dual role of ATM3 in FeS assembly and MoCo assembly, however this still needs to be proven.

### 1.4. MoCo assembly

MoCo biosynthesis is best studied in bacteria and *Neurospora* but the plant homologues have also been characterised (see Figure 1.1). The first step of MoCo biosynthesis is carried out in the mitochondria by Cofactor of Nitrate reductase and Xanthine dehydrogenase (CNX) 2 and 3. CNX2 is a radical SAM enzyme and binds and activates 5’-GTP which is then converted into cPMP by CNX3 (Hover et al., 2013; Hover et al., 2015; Mendel and Kruse, 2012; Mendel and Leimkuhler, 2015). Radical SAM enzymes catalyse the FeS dependent reduction of SAM, generating a 5’-deoxyadenosyl 5’-radical which is critical for the initiation of various reactions. cPMP then enters the cytosol, however, it has not been resolved if it passes the membrane or if it is transported via ATM3. In the cytosol the next step is carried out by the heterotetramer formed of the large subunit CNX6 and the small subunit CNX7 which mediates the conversion of cPMP to molybdopterin (MPT). cPMP is bound to CNX6 while CNX7 mediates the 2-step sulfur transfer which is coupled to the cleavage of the cyclic phosphate group and is vital for the binding and coordination of the molybdenum. After donating sulfur, the CNX7 subunit is replaced by a sulfurated subunit. Bacterial MoaD and human MOCS2A are the sulfurttransferases in the respective organism and CNX7 is annotated as the homologue in plants according to uniprot.org, arabidopsis.org (as in August 2016) and (Mendel and Kruse, 2012). The resulfuration of CNX7 is mediated by CNX5.
Interestingly, CNX5 also donates sulfur for cytosolic tRNA thiomodification (Nakai et al., 2012).

Molybdenum insertion into MPT is mediated by CNX1 in an ATP dependent manner. CNX1 has two distinct domains that are separate proteins in bacteria. The G-domain catalyses the formation of MPT-AMP which is necessary for the subsequent insertion of molybdenum by the E-domain. It has been proposed that all cytosolic components of the MoCo pathway form a complex that is anchored to actin filaments via CNX1 (Kaufholdt et al., 2016). The MoCo can be sulfurated which is necessary for xanthine dehydrogenase and aldehyde oxidase (see Figure 1.2). The sulfur for this modification is donated by the cytosolic cysteine desulfurase ABA3.

There are only 5 known MoCo enzymes in Arabidopsis (see Figure 1.2): There are two isoforms of xanthine dehydrogenase which is involved in purine metabolism (see above) and four isoforms of aldehyde oxidase which are involved in ABA and auxin metabolism (see above). Xanthine dehydrogenases and aldehyde oxidases are cytosolic and, in addition to MoCo, contain 2 Fe₂S₂ clusters and an FAD cofactor. In the peroxisome there is a sulfite oxidase which contains a MoCo as its only cofactor and is involved in sulfite detoxification (Eilers et al., 2001; Schrader et al., 2003). Nitrate reductase is located in the cytosol. It catalyses the first step of nitrate assimilation and contains MoCo, heme and FAD (Mendel and Kruse, 2012). Further MoCo enzymes are the mitochondrial amidoxime reducing component (mARC) 1 and 2 which are localised in the outer mitochondrial membrane and are involved in amidoxime metabolism in humans (Gruenewald et al., 2008; Havemeyer et al., 2006; Klein et al., 2012; Plitzko et al., 2013) and homologs are also found in the outer mitochondrial membrane and cytosol of Arabidopsis. However, the exact function in plants remains unclear. MoCo assembly and FeS assembly are not only linked by the FeS clusters in CNX2 and the function of ATM3 but also by the usage of both cofactors in aldehyde oxidase and xanthine dehydrogenase (Figure 1.2).
Figure 1.2 Links between FeS assembly and MoCo assembly in plants. ABA3 = ABA-deficient 3; ATM3 = ABC Transporter of the Mitochondria 3; CIA = Cytosolic Iron-sulfur protein Assembly; CNX = Cofactor of Nitrate reductase and Xanthine dehydrogenase; cPMP = cyclic pyranopterin monophosphate; GSH/GSSG = reduced/oxidised glutathione; GTP = guanosine triphosphate; mARC = mitochondrial amidoxime reducing component; MoCo = Molybdenum cofactor; MPT = Molybdopterin; Dashed arrows indicate unknown/proposed steps.

1.5. Sulfur metabolism in the mitochondria

Little is known about sulfur metabolism in plant mitochondria (see Figure 1.3). The protein bound zero-valent form of an additional sulfur is referred to as $S^0$ while an additional sulfur bound to a protein is referred to by adding the suffix -persulfide. This is only a formal denominator as $S^0$ is always protein bound and does not exist on its own (Beinert, 2000b). However, sulfur in this form is necessary for FeS assembly as it needs to be reduced to sulfide in the process of cluster formation. As described above the mobilisation of $S^0$ from cysteine is mediated by NFS1 which is used for FeS assembly in the mitochondria and possibly exported by ATM3. Thus, FeS assembly but also FeS turnover in the mitochondria contribute to sulfur metabolism. Cysteine can be transported from and to the cytosol (Lee et al., 2014a) and sulfide in the form of $H_2S$ can freely pass the mitochondrial membrane (Jacques, 1936; Mathai et al., 2009) and thus can enter the mitochondria from the cytosol. Free
Sulfide in the cell is in equilibrium between the forms $S^{2-} \leftrightarrow HS^- \leftrightarrow H_2S$. Strong basic conditions shift the equilibrium to $S^{2-}$ which acts as a base but it is easily converted to gaseous $H_2S$ under acidic conditions.

Sulfide has been found to inhibit Complex IV activity in mammals (Dorman et al., 2002; Leschelle et al., 2005; Li et al., 2011; Szabo, 2007) and plants (Allam and Hollis, 1972; Birke et al., 2012) and thus a tight regulation is vital. In bacteria, archaea and eukaryotes, but excluding plants, sulfide quinone reductase is also involved in sulfide detoxification mediating the generation of polysulfides from sulfide with the help of quinone (Griesbeck et al., 2002; Marcia et al., 2010). Inside the mitochondria sulfide is generated when sulfur-containing amino acids are broken down, in plants for example during senescence, extended darkness or short day conditions. The sulfur dioxygenase ETHylmalonic Encephalopathy protein 1 (ETHE1) is essential for detoxification of excess sulfide under these conditions (Tiranti et al., 2009) and uses glutathione as a cofactor. During cysteine catabolism, cysteine is converted to 3-mercaptopyruvate by a transaminase and the sulfhydryl group is transferred to reduced glutathione by sulfurtransferase 1 which releases glutathione-persulfide. The sulfhydryl group is then oxidised by ETHE1 which releases sulphite and reduced glutathione. Sulfite is converted into thiosulfate by sulfurtransferase 1 using an additional sulfur from glutathione-persulfide (Hofler et al., 2016; Holdorf et al., 2012; Krussel et al., 2014; Tiranti et al., 2009). Although the main substrate of ETHE1 is primarily formed in the process of amino acid degradation, free sulfide and glutathione in its oxidised form are also thought to form glutathione persulfide non-enzymatically or by means of an unknown enzyme (Birke et al., 2015; Krussel et al., 2014). Sulfide is also released during cyanide detoxification, where cyanide is incorporated into cysteine by beta-cyanoalanine synthase forming beta-cyanoalanine (Miller and Conn, 1980). Sulfide is incorporated into O-acetylseryserine to form cysteine which is mediated by O-acetylseryserine (thiol) lyase C (OASTLC) (Birke et al., 2012). The O-acetylseryserine is formed by serine-acetyltransferase from serine and acetyl-CoA (Wirtz and Hell, 2006).
Figure 1.3 ATM3, NFS1, ETHE1, OASTLC and their role in mitochondrial cysteine metabolism. CoA = coenzyme A, SAT = serine-acetyltransferase, OASTLC = O-acetylserine(thiol)lyase C, NFS1 = nitrogen fixation S (NIFS)-like 1, ATM3 = ABC-transporter of the mitochondria 3, ATR= aminotransferase, STR = sulfurtransferase, ETHE1 = ethylmalonic encephalopathy protein 1, ISC = mitochondrial iron sulfur cluster pathway, CIA = cytosolic iron-sulfur protein assembly machinery.

1.6. Glutathione and glutaredoxins

Glutathione together with ascorbate is vital for the maintenance of the redox balance in the cell (Foyer and Noctor, 2011). Glutathione as well as glutaredoxins are also important in other processes like heavy metal detoxification, stress responses and protein regulation (Rouhier et al., 2008). Glutathione biosynthesis is carried out by the function of two enzymes: The first step is the generation of gamma-L-glutamyl-L-cysteine from glutamate and cysteine and is mediated by GSH1 in the plastids. The second step is mediated by glutathione synthetase (GSH2) which incorporates glycine into gamma-L-glutamyl-L-cysteine to form glutathione (Noctor et al., 1998) (see Figure 1.4). This step is thought to be mainly localised in the cytosol. Glutathione mainly acts as a reducing agent and is regenerated by the action of glutathione reductases.
**Figure 1.4 Glutathione biosynthesis in plants.** BSO = buthionine sulfoximine, a competitive inhibitor of GSH1; GSH1 = glutamate-cysteine ligase 1; GSH2 = glutathione synthetase

It is important for the cell to maintain a high GSH:GSSG ratio which can also be accomplished by *de-novo* synthesis. Transport of oxidised glutathione from the cytosol to the vacuole has been suggested to contribute to the redox balance in the cytosol and several glutathione breakdown processes are also considered with specificity of the different enzymes to reduced or oxidised glutathione (Noctor et al., 2012).

As described above, glutathione is involved in sulfide detoxification and is also thought to be involved in the export of a sulfur component from the mitochondria into the cytosol for FeS assembly. Class II (monothiol) glutaredoxins are characterised by their active site motif (CGFS) and have been thought to be involved in FeS assembly for a long time (Couturier et al., 2013). The phenotype of ∆grx5 mutants of the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* was found to be complemented by overexpression of genes involved in FeS assembly and ∆grx5 mutants showed inactivation of FeS enzymes (Rodriguez-Manzaneque et al., 2002). Furthermore Grx5 was found to be required for cluster binding on the FeS scaffold Isu1 (Muhlenhoff et al., 2003). Grx5 of *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* was shown to interact with the putative FeS scaffold proteins Isa1 and Isa2 *in-vivo* and mutants had decreased cytosolic and mitochondrial FeS enzyme activity which could be partially rescued by overexpression of putative scaffold proteins of the FeS assembly (Kim et al., 2010). In *E.coli*, grxD mutants showed synthetic lethality when combined with mutants of the isc operon (Yeung et al., 2011). In plants there is mostly *in-vitro* evidence, like the ability to transfer a FeS cluster to apoproteins (see for example (Wang et al., 2012; Xia et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2013)). Furthermore monothiol glutaredoxins, including Arabidopsis isoforms, have been shown to complement the ∆grx5 phenotype of *S.cerevisiae*, however, it is not clear if plant glutaredoxins donate FeS clusters *in-vivo* as well (Couturier et al., 2015).
1.7. Objectives of this study

The aim of this study was to give further insight into the connection between the mitochondrial and cytosolic FeS assembly represented by NFS1 and ATM3. *In-vivo* experiments were conducted to provide evidence for oxidised glutathione carrying $S^0$ as a substrate of ATM3 to support the *in-vitro* evidence presented in Schaedler et al. (2014). In Chapter 3 I present roGFP measurements to investigate if oxidised glutathione specifically accumulates in *atm3* mutant mitochondria. In Chapter 4 I present the results of genetic interaction studies between *ATM3* and other components of mitochondrial sulfur metabolism. Many steps of the sulfur export from the mitochondria for the cytosolic FeS assembly remain unknown like the generation of the glutathione-sulfur compound and the delivery to and from ATM3. Thus I investigated the possible role of glutaredoxins in FeS assembly pathways (Chapter 5). In addition I also characterised mutants with a phenotype resembling *atm3* mutants in an attempt to identify new components of the cytosolic-mitochondrial FeS assembly (Chapter 6).
2. Materials and methods

2.1. Bioinformatics; databases, alignments, transmembrane helix prediction

2.1.1. Databases

*Arabidopsis thaliana*  
http://www.arabidopsis.org/

*Arabidopsis thaliana* Ler-0 genome  
http://mus.well.ox.ac.uk/19genomes/

Protein sequences  
http://www.uniprot.org/  

2.1.2. Alignments

Amino acid alignments were performed using the BLAST programme hosted at http://www.uniprot.org/ or http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/protein/. Nucleic acid alignments were performed using ClustalOmega hosted at http://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/clustalo/. Sequence similarities were highlighted using BoxShade hosted at http://www.ch.embnet.org/software/BOX_form.html (Kay Hofmann & Michael D. Baron).

2.1.3. Transmembrane helix predictions

Transmembrane helix predictions were performed using the following programmes:  
TMPred hosted at http://www.ch.embnet.org/cgi-bin/TMPRED_form_parser  
DAS hosted at http://www.sbc.su.se/~miklos/DAS/tmdas.cgi  
TMHMM2 hosted at www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/TMHMM-2.0/  
HMMTOP hosted at http://www.enzim.hu/hmmtop/server/hmmtop.cgi
2.2. Chemicals

All chemicals were obtained from Alfa Aesar (Heysham, UK), Amersham (Little Chalfont, UK), AMSBio (Abingdon, UK), Anachem (Luton, UK), Bio-Rad Laboratories Ltd (Hemel Hempstead, UK), Biochem (Cosne-Cours-sur-Loire, France), DBC Foodservice (Petersfield, UK), Duchefa (Haarlem, Netherlands), Expedeon (Swavesey, UK), Formedium (Hunstanton, UK), Invitrogen ((Melford (Ipswich, UK)), Qiagen (Manchester, UK), Roche (Burgess Hill, UK), Sigma-Aldrich/Fluka (Dorset, UK), Starch Art Corporation (Smithville, US), Thermo Fisher Scientific/Acros (Loughborough, UK), VWR/BDH (Lutterworth, UK).

2.3. Bacterial Strains

Table 2.1 List of bacterial strains

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Antibiotic resistance</th>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Source/Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E.coli</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>B F- ompT gal dcm lon hsdS(rB mB) [malB(^{+})](_{K,12})S(^{5})</td>
<td>Studier and Moffatt, 1986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BL21</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOP10</td>
<td>Streptomycin</td>
<td>F-mcrAΔ(mrr-hsdRMS-mcrBC )(\phi80lacZAMA139Δ(ara-leu)7697gal E15galK16rpsL(StrR) endA1 λ-(F-), endA1, supE44, thi-1, recA1, relA1, gyrA96, phoA, (Φ80d lacZ) M15, (Δ (lacZYA - argF) U169, (Δ (mrr - hsdRMS - mcrBC), ΔmcrA, λ-)</td>
<td>Invitrogen (Thermo Fisher Scientific)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stellar/</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HST08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.tumefaciens</td>
<td>Rifampicin</td>
<td>pAL 4404; disarmed version of Ach5, RifR</td>
<td>(Hoekema et al., 1983)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.4. Arabidopsis lines and growth conditions

Table 2.2 List of Arabidopsis lines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line</th>
<th>Ecotype</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>atm3-1</td>
<td>C24 backcrossed to Col-0</td>
<td>Kushnir et al., 2001 Bernard et al., 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>atm3-3</td>
<td>Col-0</td>
<td>Bernard et al., 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>atm3-4</td>
<td>Col-0</td>
<td>Bernard et al., 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ethe1-1</td>
<td>Col-0</td>
<td>Krussel et al., 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ethe1-3</td>
<td>Col-0</td>
<td>Krussel et al., 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>grxS15-1</td>
<td>Col-0</td>
<td>Cheng et al., 2008 Stroher et al., 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>grxS15-3</td>
<td>UBQ10:GRXS15 K83&gt;A</td>
<td>Moseler et al., 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>grxS15 amiRNA</td>
<td>Col-0</td>
<td>Stroher et al., 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>grxS17 knock-out</td>
<td>Col-0</td>
<td>Alonso et al., 2003 Cheng et al., 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>grxS17 “C3” +GRXS17</td>
<td>Col-0</td>
<td>Knuesting et al., 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>grxS17 “C17” +GRXS17</td>
<td>Col-0</td>
<td>Knuesting et al., 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nfs1-1</td>
<td>Col-0</td>
<td>Bernard et al., 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>oastlC</td>
<td>Col-0</td>
<td>Heeg et al., 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>xd22, xd31, xd32, xd54, xd105 xd442, xd460, xd576, xd724</td>
<td>Ler</td>
<td>Zhao et al., 2003 Dai et al., 2005 Kahlfeldt, 2006</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Unless stated otherwise, the Arabidopsis lines were grown after 48 hours of vernalisation at 4°C under standard conditions, 16 hours light, 8 hours dark, 22°C at a light intensity of 180-200 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ (growth on soil) or 120-160 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ (growth on plate). Plants for mitochondria isolation were grown under short day conditions (8 hours light, 16 hours dark).

For growth on plates seeds were surface sterilised by incubation in a desiccator with chlorine gas released from a mixture of 100 ml Parazone thick bleach with 6 ml 5 M HCl. Seeds were sterilised for 3-4 hours and excess chlorine gas was allowed to volatise in a laminar flow hood under sterile conditions. Unless stated otherwise seeds were grown on 0.8% agar plates containing ½-strength Murashige and Skoog salts. Plants transformed with A. tumefaciens were isolated on plates containing 25 µM hygromycin.
Soil grown plants were grown on Levington’s F2 (Levington Horticulture, Ipswich, UK).

Hydroponic cultures for mitochondria isolation were grown in 250 ml flasks containing 50 ml of ½ MS containing 0.5 g/l MES. 0.15% agar and 1% sucrose (w/v) at pH5.8. Seeds were surface sterilised and vernalised as described above. Samples were grown in a controlled environment chamber for 2 days without shaking and for further 7-11 days at 70 rpm.

2.5. Root callus cultures from Arabidopsis seedlings

Roots from 10-14-day-old plate-grown plants were placed on callus induction plates containing 0.8% agar. Roots were sliced with a sterile razor blade and incubated for 3-4 weeks under standard growth conditions. Formed callus tissue was then replaced on fresh callus induction plates without transferring original root material. Calli were subcultured every 3-4 weeks.

2.6. Media and antibiotics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibiotic</th>
<th>Concentration (µg/ml)</th>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>Target organism</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carbenicillin</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>H₂O</td>
<td>Gram negative bacteria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gentamycin</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>H₂O</td>
<td>Gram negative bacteria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kanamycin</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>H₂O</td>
<td>Bacteria, fungi, plants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rifampicin</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>DMSO</td>
<td>Bacteria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hygromycin</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>H₂O</td>
<td>Bacteria, fungi, plants</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Table 2.4 List of media

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Medium</th>
<th>Components (per l of medium)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Luria-Bertani Broth (LB) for bacterial cultures</td>
<td>10 g tryptone, 5 g yeast extract, 10 g NaCl, pH 7.0, 1.5% agar (w/v) for solid medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Super Optimal broth with Catabolite repression (SOC) for bacterial cultures</td>
<td>20 g tryptone, 5 g yeast extract, 0.5 g NaCl, 0.186 g KCl, 20 mM glucose, 2 mM MgCl₂, pH 7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yeast-peptone broth (YEP) for bacterial cultures</td>
<td>10 g yeast extract, 10 g peptone, 5 g NaCl, pH 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>½ strength Murashige &amp; Skoog medium from Duchefa) for plant growth (½ MS)</td>
<td><a href="https://www.duchefa-biochemie.com/product/details/number/M0221">https://www.duchefa-biochemie.com/product/details/number/M0221</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Callus induction medium                                                | 3.86 g Gamborg’s B5 medium including vitamins, 20 g glucose, 0.5 g 4-morpholinoethanesulfonic acid (MES), 0.5 mg 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid, 0.05 mg kinetin, pH 5.7  
Kinetin stock (1mg/ml) was prepared in 1M KOH  
2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid stock was prepared by dissolving 10 mg in 1 ml ethanol and 1 ml 0.1M KOH. After complete dissolution dH₂O was added to a final concentration of 1 mg/ml in 0.01M KOH. |
### 2.7. Antibodies

#### Table 2.5 List of antibodies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antigen</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Dilution</th>
<th>Observed size</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aconitase</td>
<td>Rabbit</td>
<td>1:5000</td>
<td>98 kDa</td>
<td>Agrisera</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actin</td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>1:1000</td>
<td>45 kDa</td>
<td>Affinity bio reagents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATM3</td>
<td>Rabbit</td>
<td>1:1000</td>
<td>70 kDa</td>
<td>F. Bittner, University of Braunschweig</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biotin Strep-Tactin® HRPl conjugate</td>
<td>1:100000</td>
<td>various</td>
<td>IBA-lifesciences</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ETHE1</td>
<td>Rabbit</td>
<td>1:2000</td>
<td>28 kDa</td>
<td>J. Balk, John Innes Centre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRXS15</td>
<td>Rabbit</td>
<td>1:1000</td>
<td>15 kDa</td>
<td>N. Rouhier, UMR, University of Lorraine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H-Protein</td>
<td>Rabbit</td>
<td>1:1000</td>
<td>various</td>
<td>Olivier Keech, University of Umeå</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipoate</td>
<td>Rabbit</td>
<td>1:3000</td>
<td>various</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PsaA</td>
<td>Rabbit</td>
<td>1:1000</td>
<td>60 kDa</td>
<td>Agrisera</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary HRP-conjugated, anti-rabbit or anti-goat</td>
<td>Goat</td>
<td>1:5000</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>Biorad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOM40</td>
<td>Rabbit</td>
<td>1:2000</td>
<td>36 kDa</td>
<td>J. Whelan, University of Western Australia</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.8. Oligonucleotides

Oligonucleotides were from Sigma-Aldrich Ltd (Haverhill, UK, http://www.sigmaaldrich.com/united-kingdom.html) or Eurofins (Ebersberg, Germany) and used at a concentration of 10 μM.

Table 2.6 Primers used for reverse transcriptase PCR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oligonucleotide</th>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>5’-3’ sequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CNX2_RT_F1</td>
<td>CNX2</td>
<td>TAATTCTCTAGGCTCTCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNX2_RT_R1</td>
<td></td>
<td>CTTTAATCGGATTTTAGGCTT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATM3_RT_F1</td>
<td>ATM3</td>
<td>TTAATCGGAGAGAAGAACAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATM3_RT_R1</td>
<td></td>
<td>TCCCCCTCTGAGATTTAGGAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTPCR-ACT2F2</td>
<td>Actin</td>
<td>CCCAAAGCCCAACAGAGAGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTPCR-ACT2R2</td>
<td></td>
<td>ACCATCAACGAGAATCCAGCA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.7 List of primers for genotyping

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>mutant</th>
<th>genotype</th>
<th>Oligonucleotide</th>
<th>5’-3’ sequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ethe1-l/</td>
<td>wild type</td>
<td>ETHE1_LP2</td>
<td>CTCTTGACCTAAACCGTGAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ethe1-3</td>
<td></td>
<td>ETHE1_RP</td>
<td>CAGTCTTTGCTACCCAGGATCAAAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T-DNA</td>
<td>LbB1.3</td>
<td>ATTTTGCCGATTTGGAAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ETHE1_RP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>atm3-l</td>
<td>wild type</td>
<td>ATM3_F3</td>
<td>GACATCAACAAATACAAAGTGAGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ATM3_R6</td>
<td>TTAGATGCTTTAACAGAAGAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T-DNA</td>
<td>ATM3_F3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NPTII-R2</td>
<td>CATAGCCGAATAAGCTCTCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cnx2-l</td>
<td>wild type</td>
<td>CNX2_RP</td>
<td>CTCAAGTTGGGTCTTTTTCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CNX2_LP</td>
<td>TTTGAAGTCTCCATCTCAAGAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T-DNA</td>
<td>CNX2_RP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LbB1.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cnx2-2: BsaWI digest:</td>
<td>3 bands for wild type, 2 bands for cnx2-2</td>
<td>gentCNX2endog F</td>
<td>CGATTTATAAACCTATCATGACCTT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cnx2-2: BsaWI digest:</td>
<td>3 bands for wild type, 2 bands for cnx2-2</td>
<td>gentCNX2endog R</td>
<td>AGAAACTAGATTGCTACCTGCTGT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNX2 complementation construct</td>
<td>gentcnx2trans F</td>
<td>CGGTCTGGTGGCTGATGATGA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>gentcnx2trans R</td>
<td>TAAAGTGCCCCTGATGATGAGATTTCTAAA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2.8 List of primers used for mapping

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oligonucleotide</th>
<th>5’-3’ sequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AT1G07810 F</td>
<td>GTTCACGGACAAAGAGCCTGAAAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT1G07810 R</td>
<td>AAGCAGTCAATATTGCAGGAAGGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT1G49610 F</td>
<td>ACATTTTCTCAATCTTACTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT1G49610 R</td>
<td>GAGAGCTTCTTTATTTGTGAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT1G72650 F</td>
<td>TGGTTTTTGGCAAAATGGCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT1G72650 R</td>
<td>CTCCAGTTGGAAGCTAAAGGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT1G09940 F</td>
<td>TCAATGACGTGAAAGAGAAGAAAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT1G09940 R</td>
<td>CATATCGCTGCTACTAATTTAAAAACAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT2G04066 F</td>
<td>GGGGATAATAGGATAGGACTACG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT2G04066 R</td>
<td>GCTGAGAAGGCAAGAGAGAAGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT2G14890 F</td>
<td>GAAACTCAATGAAATCCACTT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT2G14890 R</td>
<td>TGAACGGTGGTGGACTTGGTA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT2G39010 F</td>
<td>TCGTCTACTGACTGCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT2G39010 R</td>
<td>GAGGACATGTATAGGAGCCTCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT3G11220 F</td>
<td>GGATTAGATGGGAGATTTCTGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT3G11220 R</td>
<td>TTGCTCGATCAACACACAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT3G26605 F</td>
<td>CCCCGAGTGAGGTATT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT3G26605 R</td>
<td>GAAGAAATTTCCTAAGCATT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT3G50820 F</td>
<td>GTTCAATGAAACTTCGTGGTGT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT3G50820 R</td>
<td>TACGTCAGATGAGTGGATTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT4G01710 F</td>
<td>AGATTTACGTTGGAAGCAAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT4G01710 R</td>
<td>GTTAAAATTAGGTTAGGCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT4G10360 F</td>
<td>GCCAAAACCCAAAATTGTGAAAAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT4G10360 R</td>
<td>TAGAGGGAACAATCGGATGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT4G29860 F</td>
<td>GGGGAGAGGAAGAAGAGCAGAAAATAGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT4G29860 R</td>
<td>TGCCAGGTTTATAGGAGAATGTGGGAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT5G22545 F</td>
<td>TAGTGAAACCCTTTTCAGAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT5G22545 R</td>
<td>TTATGTTTCTTCAATCAGTT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT5G42600 F</td>
<td>CAGACGTATCAAATGACAAATG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT5G42600 R</td>
<td>GACTACTGCTCAAACCTATTCCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT5G63640 F</td>
<td>ATCACTGTGTTGTTAACCATT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT5G63640 R</td>
<td>GAGCATTTTGACAGAGACG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT2G21420 F</td>
<td>GATGCCCTTTCTTCTGGTTG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT2G21420 R</td>
<td>AATATAGCCGTCGCTTCTATCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT2G31070 F</td>
<td>AAAGAGATGAGAATTGGGAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT2G31070 R</td>
<td>CATATCAATATATTAAAGTAGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT2G44798 F</td>
<td>TGTTCTCCTCTTGCACAAACCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT2G44798 R</td>
<td>GTGGCAATATGGGCTAAACTA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT2G29995 F</td>
<td>CTGCAATTGTGAAGAAGAAAGAAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT2G29995 R</td>
<td>TCATGTCGAAAAACATATAATTGAGC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Chapter 2 Materials and methods

#### Table 2.9 Primers used for sequencing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oligonucleotide</th>
<th>5’-3’ sequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ATM3_IK1F</td>
<td>TTTGCTTCGAGGACCCATA AC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATM3_R4</td>
<td>GATGGGTAGTTATCTGAGAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GKPrimer</td>
<td>GCTCTTTACTCTGTCGCGTTAATCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATM3_IK3R</td>
<td>TGAAAGTTGAAGAGAATGTATGAAGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATM3_IK2F</td>
<td>TCTTTGGATCGGCTTTAAATCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STA1_R1</td>
<td>GCGTCACCTTGTATTTGTGATGTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATM3_F3</td>
<td>as above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATM3_R5</td>
<td>CTTGGAAGCCTGATTGACTTAGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATM3_IK4F</td>
<td>AGGGGGCTCAAGGTAGTCAGAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATM3_R1</td>
<td>CACTATTCAAATTTGATAGCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATM3_F2</td>
<td>GCAGCTATCAAATTTGGAATAGT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATM3_R3</td>
<td>CACCACGTAGTCAGCTCTTCTACC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATM3_IK2F</td>
<td>as above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATM3_R6</td>
<td>as above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNX2_R1</td>
<td>TTTCCCTCTTGTTTTTCGTTTCTG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNX2_F2</td>
<td>GGAGCTTAGCCACCAATGT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNX2_R2</td>
<td>CCGTTTCATCGAGTTATGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNX2_F3</td>
<td>GGAGATCTCTGCATTCTGTTT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNX2_R3</td>
<td>CCGTCTGAAGGTGTGAAGT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNX2_F4</td>
<td>CCACCAGTCAACCGAATCTT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNX2_R4</td>
<td>GCGTTTTAGGCAAATCTCTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNX2 R5</td>
<td>TGTAAGCTAGACAGATATGCAAAAAACCATTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pUCAP F3</td>
<td>GAGTCAGTGAGCGGAGAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pUCAP rev</td>
<td>GATTTGTAGAGAGAGACTGGTG</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 2.9. Molecular methods

**2.9.1. Nuclei extraction from Arabidopsis leaves**

Adapted from the user manual of the SIGMA nuclei purification kit. For extraction of nuclei from Arabidopsis leaves 2-3 g of leaf material from 80-100 plants was collected and immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen. The frozen material was ground at least three times in a mortar and pestle with liquid nitrogen, resting on dry ice. After evaporation of the liquid nitrogen leaf material was transferred into a 50 ml falcon tube with 50 ml of ice-cold NIBPlus and homogenised by inversion. The supernatant was filtered through a 100 µm filter into a precooled 500 ml conical
flask. 1.6 ml of 10% Triton X-100 (v/v) in NIB was added and the mixture was incubated on ice for 10 minutes and swirled every 2 minutes. The lysate was then spun down at 2753xg for 15 minutes at 4°C. The supernatant was discarded and the pellet was resuspended by swirling in 2 ml ice-cold NIB with freshly added dithiothreitol and protease inhibitor cocktail. The sample was then split equally over five 2-ml reaction tubes and spun down in a benchtop centrifuge at 2753xg for 5 minutes at 4°C. The supernatant was discarded and 600 µl of protein precipitation buffer (QIAGEN Puregen kit) was added to each pellet and homogenised by pipetting. Samples were incubated at 65°C for 60 minutes and cooled down to room temperature afterwards. 2 µl of RNAse One (10 mg/ml, DNAse free, Promega) were added to each tube and inverted 25 times. Samples were incubated at 37°C for 40-60 minutes and cooled down to room temperature afterwards. 200 µl of protein precipitation solution (QIAGEN Puregen kit) were added and samples were spun at 16100xg for 3 minutes at room temperature. Each supernatant was added to fresh tubes containing 750 µl isopropanol and inverted 50 times. The DNA was then centrifuged at 16100xg for 5 minutes at room temperature. The supernatant was discarded and the pellet washed with 70% ethanol (v/v) and spun as before. All ethanol was allowed to evaporate before reuspending the samples in 60 µl of TE buffer.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2.10 Buffer for nuclei extraction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Buffer</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuclei isolation buffer (NIB)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIBPlus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tris-EDTA buffer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.9.2. DNA extraction from Arabidopsis leaves or callus material

Tissue was frozen in liquid nitrogen and ground with a mini pellet-pestle in 1.5 ml reaction tubes. 500 µl of extraction buffer (0.2 M Tris, 0.4 M LiCl, 25 mM EDTA, 1% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), pH 8.0) was added and mixed by inversion. Samples were centrifuged for 10 minutes at 16100xg and room temperature. 400 µl of supernatant were transferred to fresh tubes containing 400 µl isopropanol and mixed by inversion. Samples were centrifuged as before and the supernatant was discarded. The pellet was washed with 70% ethanol (v/v) and spun as before and the supernatant was discarded. All ethanol was allowed to evaporate before dissolving the pellet in 100 µl TE buffer (see above).

2.9.3. DNA extraction from bacteria

Bacterial material was dissolved in 10 µl dH₂O and incubated at 95°C for 10 minutes. Debris was removed by centrifugation in a benchtop centrifuge at 16100xg and 2 µl of the supernatant was used for polymerase chain reaction.

2.9.4. RNA extraction from Arabidopsis seedlings

RNA from Arabidopsis seedlings was performed using the RNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen) kit according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

2.9.5. cDNA synthesis and reverse transcriptase DNA

1-2.4 µg of RNA were used for cDNA synthesis with equal amounts for samples of the same set. Samples were mixed with 0.7 µl dT(20)VN primer (Eurofins) in a total volume of 12 µl and incubated for 5 minutes at 70°C. Samples were cooled and centrifuged briefly to collect condensation. 4 µl of 5xRT buffer (M-MLV Reverse Transcriptase kit, Invitrogen) 2 µl 10 mM dNTPs and 1 µl RNAse OUT (Invitrogen) were added and samples were incubated for 5 minutes at 37°C. 0.8 µl reverse transcriptase enzyme (M-MVL Reverse Transcriptase kit, Invitrogen) were added.
The sample was incubated for 60 minutes at 42°C followed by 10 minutes at 70°C. 1 µg RNA was used per 25 µl PCR reaction.

2.9.6. Polymerase chain reaction

Genotyping PCRs were performed using a *Thermus aquaticus* EcoTaq-polymerase. Expression in *E.coli* and purification was performed by Bahattin Tanyolac (Alison Smith’s lab, University of Cambridge) and Janneke Balk (University of Cambridge; John Innes Centre, Norwich) using a pUC18 expression vector according to (Desai and Pfaffle, 1995).

Reactions were carried out intaq-buffer (16 mM ammonium sulfate, 67 mM Tris (pH 8.8), 0.01% Tween-20) containing 0.2 mM dNTPs, 5 pmol of each primer, 0.5-2 µl template (depending on DNA concentration and quality) and 0.2 µl Eco-taq. PCR reactions were performed based on the publication by (Sambrook et al., 1989). The standard protocol started with an initial denaturation step for 3 minutes at 95°C followed by 30 to 35 cycles of 95°C for 30 seconds, 40 seconds at the appropriate annealing temperature and elongation at 72°C for 60 seconds per 1 kbp of product. After the last cycle a final incubation at 72°C for 3 minutes followed. Unless otherwise stated, the annealing temperature was chosen as the melting temperature $T_M$ minus 1 °C of the primer with the lower $T_M$.

The PCR reaction for the detection of the *atm3-1* T-DNA and wild-type product was an adapted protocol with the denaturing temperature changed to 94°C, 32 cycles and a elongation time of 1:10 minutes and a final incubation time of 7 minutes. The detection of the wild type DNA in the locus of the *atm3-1* T-DNA was similar to the T-DNA amplification protocol but with 1:20 of elongation time and 35 cycles.

Reverse transcriptase PCRs were performed using the phusion taq-polymerase as described in the manufacturer’s instructions (New England Biolabs). For the amplification of the *CNX2* genomic sequence for subsequent ligation into the pUCAP plasmid, the HiFi taq-polymerase of the Clonetech infusion kit was used according to the manufacturer’s instructions.
2.10. Map based cloning and whole genome sequencing

Coarse mapping was performed as described in Chapter 6.7. RNAse treated nuclear DNA of mutant plants from the mapping population was send to TGAC (Norwich Research Park) for Whole Genome Sequencing. This was performed on the Illumina GAIIx platform with 80 bp paired-end reads and expected ≥40x coverage. The SNPs were filtered for EMS mutant exchanges (G>A, C>T) and a stringent level of homozygosity was applied (>90% of reads supporting the variant). This identified 2065 SNPs genome wide and 54 within the mapping interval (Chromosome 2, ~12799630-16291977).

2.11. Whole genome sequencing analysis

The initial alignment of the whole genome sequencing data against the Landsberg reference genome was performed by (1) Martin Trick (TGAC, Norwich) using the Integrative Genomics Viewer (Robinson et al., 2011; Thorvalsdottir et al., 2013) hosted on http://software.broadinstitute.org/software/igv/ in combination with the Bowtie program (Langmead et al., 2009) hosted on http://bowtie-bio.sourceforge.net/index.shtml and (2) Zamin Iqbal (University of Oxford) using the Cortex software (Iqbal et al., 2012) hosted on http://cortexassembler.sourceforge.net/index.html. The Landsberg reference genome was obtained from http://mus.well.ox.ac.uk/19genomes/fasta/MASKED/ and de-novo annotation for the Integrative Genomics Viewer analysis was obtained from http://mus.well.ox.ac.uk/19genomes/annotations/denovo_annotation_9.4.2011/. The analysis of the whole genome sequencing data is described in Section 6.7.

2.11.1. Genotyping of plant mutants and transformed bacteria

For genotyping the oligonucleotides were used as listed under Section 1.11. T-DNA insertion mutants were analysed by PCR amplification of a region spanning one border of the insertion site and part of the genomic DNA and the T-DNA to test for the insert. To test for the absence of wild type DNA PCR amplification of a region spanning both borders of the insertion site resulting in a band for the wild type
genotype which was absent for the homozygous mutant. For the \textit{cnx2-2} point mutation the PCR product spanned the site of the mutation. Digest with \textit{BsaWI} resulted in 3 products for the wild type and two products for the mutant as the mutation destroys one of two restriction sites.

The presence of transformed plasmids containing the \textit{CNX2} sequence in bacteria was determined by PCR amplification of a part of the \textit{CNX2} gene. The presence of the reintroduced gene by agrobacterium transformation in plants was distinguished from the endogenous gene by amplification with one primer in the \textit{CNX2} sequence and one in the flanking residual Ti sequence.

### 2.11.2. Restriction digest

Restriction digest for genotyping and cloning was performed according to the instructions given by the supplier (New England Biolabs).

### 2.11.3. Agarose gel electrophoresis

Agarose gel electrophoresis was performed in TAE (40 mM Tris, 20 mM Glacial Acetic Acid and 1 mM EDTA, Formedium) agarose-gels containing 0.04% ethidium bromide (w/v). For products between 300 and 2000 bp separation was performed in gels containing 1% agarose for 30-40 minutes at 100 V. For enhancing the resolution to distinguish between products smaller than 500 bp and/or a difference lower than 50 bp agarose content was 2-4% and the gels were ran at 80V until complete separation was achieved. The DNA bands were visualised by exposure to ultraviolet light using the Gel Doc 1000 system (Bio-Rad).

### 2.11.4. Cloning of PCR fragments into pUCAP and pBINH

For transformation of Arabidopsis \textit{cnx2-2} plants the genomic \textit{CNX2} sequence including UTRs and the promoter region was amplified with primers adding a 15 nucleotide overhang on both sides of the insert complementary of the sites flanking the insertion site in the pUCAP plasmid for E.coli transformation. The empty vectors
were amplified in *E.coli* TOP10 cells. The vector was linearised by restriction digest with HindIII and KpnI to remove the 35S promoter and translational enhancer sequence. Insertion of the sequence was performed using the Clonetech infusion kit according to the manufacturer’s instructions but with the incubation time increased to 1 hour for ligation. The pUCAP plasmid containing the *CNX2* insert was transformed into *E.coli* Stellar cells for amplification. The amplified insert was then cut out by restriction digest with PacI and Ascl. The pBINH plasmid was linearised by restriction digest with PacI and Ascl. Ligation of the insert into the plasmid was performed using T4-ligase according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Fermentas).

### 2.11.5. Plasmid extraction

Plasmid extraction of pUCAP plasmid (Mini) and the pBINH plasmid (Midi) were performed using the QIAGEN Plasmid Mini/Midi kits according to the manufacturer's instructions.

### 2.11.6. DNA sequencing

PCR products were excised from an agarose gel and purified using the QIAquick® Gel Extraction kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Sanger sequencing was performed by Eurofins (Ebersberg, Germany) (Sanger et al., 1977).

### 2.12. Transformation of organisms

#### 2.12.1. *E. coli* transformation

For transformation of chemically competent *E.coli* 50 µl competent cells were mixed with ~5 ng of DNA and incubated on ice for 30 minutes. A heat shock was performed at 42°C for 60 seconds and samples were cooled on ice for 2 minutes afterwards. 450 µl of 37°C-warm SOC medium (see section 1.9) was added and incubated at 37°C and 200 rpm for 1.5 hours. Cells were pelleted, resuspended in
100 µl of supernatant and plated on appropriate antibiotic LB medium plates for incubation over night at 37°C.

2.12.2. *A. tumefaciens* transformation

50 µl of electro competent *A. tumefaciens* were thawed on ice and 10 µl of plasmid added. Electroporation was performed in a 2 mm electroporation cuvette with 25 µF capacitance, 2.4 KV voltage and 200 Ohm resistance for a pulse of 5 milliseconds. Cells were then resuspended in 1 ml LB medium and incubated in a 15 ml falcon tube for 2-4 hours at 28°C and 200 rpm. Cells were pelleted for 8 seconds at 16100xg and most of the supernatant was removed. The pellet was resuspended in remaining medium and plated onto LB agar containing rifampicin (bacterial selection), gentamycin (helper plasmid) and kanamycin (binary vector containing gene of interest). Colonies were picked for further growth and genotype analysis after 2 days of growth at 28°C.

2.12.3. Arabidopsis transformation

Electro competent A. tumefaciens were grown over night in 10 ml LB medium. The overnight culture was then diluted in 200 ml YEP containing rifampicin (bacterial selection), gentamycin (helper plasmid) and kanamycin (binary vector containing gene of interest) and grown for 24 hours at 28°C and 200 rpm. Cells were collected by centrifugation for 10 minutes at 4000xg and the pellet was dissolved in 200 ml 5% sucrose (w/v) and 0.05% silwet (v/v). Ripening siliques were removed from Arabidopsis plants and the remaining inflorescence was dipped in the bacteria solution for 20 seconds. Plants were contained in a plastic bag for increased humidity and incubated overnight in the dark. Plants were then transferred to a growth cabinet and the dip was repeated after 1 week. Seeds were collected after 3-4 weeks of the first dip. And after 3-4 weeks of the second dip.
2.13. Plasmids

Figure 2.1 pUCAP plasmid map

Figure 2.2 pBinh plasmid map
2.14. Cross pollination of Arabidopsis plants

Flowers of the female parent were dissected before pollen was released, before opening of the flower. Flowers above and below the chosen female flower and the flower meristem were removed. Sepals, petals and anthers were removed using forceps and scissors that were cleaned of residual pollen with 100% EtOH. The pollination was performed using fully opened flowers visibly shedding pollen from the male parent. To access the pollen, the flower was opened by squeezing near the base. Siliques were contained in a paper bag and allowed to ripen fully before collecting the seeds.

2.15. Mitochondria extraction from leaves and hydroponic cultures

Mitochondria from soil grown Arabidopsis leaf material or hydroponic cultures were extracted essentially as described by (Sweetlove et al., 2007). Continuous Percoll density gradients were prepared on the same day in advance with 1 ml Percoll forming the bottom layer and 16 ml of heavy solution and 16 ml of light solution were mixed with a peristaltic pump. Plant material was harvested, washed and dried. All further steps were performed at 4°C. Homogenisation was performed in 4 volumes extraction buffer using a 2 cm polytron blender (2x3 seconds). The sample was filtered through 2 layers of muslin and 1 layer of miracloth and centrifuged for 5 minutes at 2000xg. The supernatant was transferred to a new tube and centrifuged for 20 minutes at 12500xg. The supernatant was discarded; the pellet resuspended in 1 ml 1xwashbuffer and the volume was made up to 15-20 ml per sample. Low and high-speed spins were repeated and the final pellet was resuspended in 1 ml 1xwash buffer and layered onto continuous Percoll density gradients. Gradients were centrifuged at 40000xg for 40 minutes with low break settings in a fixed angle rotor. Mitochondria were collected from the opaque white band in the lower third of the gradient. Mitochondria samples were washed twice with 1xwashbuffer by centrifugation for 20 minutes at 16000xg. Residual Percoll contaminations could be removed after transfer to a 1.5 ml reaction tube by washing with wash buffer at 16100xg for 2 minutes.
Table 2.11 Mitochondria extraction from leaves and hydroponic cultures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Buffer</th>
<th>Components</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Extraction buffer</td>
<td>0.3 M sucrose, 25 mM H$_2$Na$_2$P$_2$O$_7$, 2 mM EDTA, 10 mM KH$_2$PO$_4$, 0.5 PVP-40 (w/v), 0.2% BSA, add fresh: 4 mM cysteine pH 7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2x wash buffer</td>
<td>0.6 M sucrose, 20 mM TES-KOH pH 7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light solution</td>
<td>50% volume 2x wash buffer, 0.2% BSA (w/v), 28% Percoll</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavy solution</td>
<td>Heavy solution + 4.4% PVP40 (w/v)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.16. Mitochondria extraction from callus cultures

Mitochondria were isolated essentially as described by (Leon et al., 2007). All steps were performed at 4°C. The callus material was homogenised with mortar and pestle in ~2 ml extraction buffer containing glass beads. Two layers of miracloth were used for filtration. Centrifugation as well as buffer composition was as described by (Leon et al., 2007).

2.17. Protoplast isolation

Protoplasts were prepared essentially as described by (Robertson et al., 1996). Enzyme solution was prepared by shaking overnight in the dark and adjusting the pH to 5.6. Enzyme solution was then spun for 10 minutes at 3000xg and 4°C and the supernatant was filter sterilised. Leaf material was cut into stripes, covered with enzyme solution and kept in the dark. Incubation was performed for 1 hour without movement followed by 1 hour at 40-70 rpm, followed by 1.5 hours without movement. Protoplast suspension was transferred into a new 50-ml Falcon tube without the leaf debris and mixed gently 1:1 with Mannitol/W5. Samples were centrifuged for 10 minutes at 30-50xg at room temperature with slow acceleration and deceleration. The supernatant was discarded and the protoplast pellet gently resuspended by rocking manually in a volume of Mannitol/Mg similar to Mannitol/W5. Protoplasts were washed twice with
Mannitol/Mg by centrifugation and resuspension as before and the final pellet was resuspended in a small volume of Mannitol/Mg depending on the yield.

**Table 2.12 Buffers for protoplast isolation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Buffer</th>
<th>Components</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Enzyme solution</td>
<td>0.4 M mannitol, 3% sucrose (w/v), 8 mM CaCl₂, 1% cellulase (Gallard Schlesinger, “Onozouka” R10), 0.25% macerozyme (Serva, from <em>Rhizopus sp.</em> R10), pH 5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W5</td>
<td>5 mM glucose, 154 mM NaCl, 125 mM CaCl₂, 5 mM KCl, 1.5 mM MES, pH 5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W5/Mannitol</td>
<td>0.4 M mannitol, 0.2x W5, pH 5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mannitol/Mg</td>
<td>0.4 M mannitol, 0.1% MES, 15 mM MgCl₂, pH 5.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**2.18. Protein determination**

Protein measurements were performed using the Bio-Rad Protein Assay Dye Reagent Concentrate and the Pierce™ Bovine Serum Albumin (BSA) Standard Ampules (2mg/ml). 1-4 µl of sample were mixed with 100 µl of H₂O. 1 ml of 1x assay dye (1:5 dilution of concentrate) was added. Absorption at 595 nm was measured after incubation of ~5 minutes. Protein concentrations were determined using values of a BSA standard curve which was measured alongside for each sample set.
2.19. **Native PAGE and enzyme activity staining of aldehyde oxidase and xanthine dehydrogenase**

![Reaction scheme of the aldehyde oxidase in-gel assay](image.png)

**Figure 2.3 Reaction scheme of the aldehyde oxidase in-gel assay.** MTT = thiazolyl blue tetrazolium bromide, PMS = phenazine methosulfate. The reaction is representative for the xanthine dehydrogenase assay as well as the reaction mechanism is identical.

Aldehyde oxidase activities were visualised in a native in-gel assay as described by (Koshiba et al., 1996) (see Figure 2.3). Four isoforms of aldehyde oxidase have been annotated for Arabidopsis which form homo- or heterodimers. (Akaba et al., 1999; Hoff et al., 1998; Sekimoto et al., 1998; Seo et al., 1998; Seo et al., 2000). These isozymes can be separated on a standard native gel. All steps were carried out at 4°C and using strictly detergent free components. Leaf material was homogenised in 1.5 volumes (w/v) extraction buffer (100 mM KPi buffer, 5 mM DTT, 2 mM EDTA) using a mini pellet pestle. Samples were centrifuged for 10 minutes at 16100xg. The supernatant was transferred to a new tube, protein concentration was determined and samples were mixed with 1/10 volume of native gel loading buffer (2 M sucrose, 1% bromophenol blue (w/v)). For protein separation 35-100 µg of protein were loaded onto native gels (7.5% of 37.5:1 bisacylamide in the separating gel). Gels and running buffer were prepared as for standard SDS-PAGE but omitting the SDS. Separation of protein was performed in a controlled environment room at 8°C and additional cooling of the running chamber with an ice pack. Proteins were separated at 150 V for 1.5 hours using a mini gel system (Hoeffer). After separation, gels were equilibrated in 100 mM KPi buffer pH7.4 (aldehyde oxidase) or 250 mM Tris buffer pH 8.5 (xanthine dehydrogenase) for 15 minutes. The buffer was replaced with 10 ml staining solution and staining was performed for 10-40 minutes. The reaction was stopped by washing the gel several times with H2O.
Table 2.13 Staining solutions for aldehyde oxidase and xanthine dehydrogenase

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solution</th>
<th>Components</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aldehyde oxidase staining solution</td>
<td>in 10 ml 100 mM KPi buffer pH7.4: 4 mg 3-(4,5 dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazoliumbromide, 0.5 mg phenazine methosulfate, 3 mg 1-Naphthaldehyde, 3 mg Indole-3-(carb)oxaldehyde</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xanthine dehydrogenase staining solution</td>
<td>in 10 ml 250 mM Tris buffer pH 8.5: 4 mg 3-(4,5 dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazoliumbromide, 0.5 mg phenazine methosulfate, 5 mg hypoxanthine</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.20. Native starch PAGE and enzyme activity staining of aconitase

![Reaction scheme of the aconitase in-gel assay](image)

Figure 2.4 Reaction scheme of the aconitase in-gel assay. MTT = thiazolyl blue tetrazoliunm bromide, PMS = phenazine methosulfate.

In-gel activity assays for aconitase were performed essentially as described by (Bernard et al., 2009) (see Figure 2.4). Separation of protein was performed in a controlled environment room at 8°C and additional cooling of the running chamber with an ice pack. Proteins were separated at an initial 80 V for 20 minutes and when the sample entered the gel at 120 V for additional 3 hours and 20 minutes. Plant aconitase isoforms are very similar in molecular weight (98.15-108.48 kDa) and isoelectric point (6.36-7.17) (as annotated in the Arabidopsis.org database). Thus in this assay, the native proteins are separated on a starch gel which enables the separation of the three isoforms (Bernard et al., 2009). For activity staining, thiazolyl blue tetrazoliunm bromide and phenazine methosulfate are added which form a purple
precipitate upon reduction by NADPH. The colour formation is indicative of the enzyme activity and semi-quantitative.

2.21. **Spectrophotometric measurement of aconitase activity**

Aconitase activity in cell extracts and mitochondria was assayed in a spectrometric assay coupled to the activity of isocitrate dehydrogenase and the formation of NADPH as described by (Stehling et al., 2007). To obtain cytosolic fractions, leaf material was first homogenised on ice in 1.5x volumes of extraction buffer (0.6 M sorbitol, 50 mM Tris pH 8.0, 2 mM sodium citrate, 2 mM DTT, 1 mM EDTA) using a mini pellet pestle. The homogenate was then filtered through a cloth (Miracloth, 22-25 µm pore size) by centrifugation for 10 minutes at 4°C and 16100xg. The supernatant was retrieved and is enriched in cytosol. Absorption was measured at 340 nm.

2.22. **Spectrophotometric measurement of nitrate reductase activity**

Nitrate reductase activity was measured essentially as described in (Redinbaugh and Campbell, 1983). Leaf samples were frozen in liquid nitrogen and ground with a cold mini pellet pestle. 3 volumes of extraction buffer (50 mM KPi buffer pH 7.5, 1 mM EDTA, 10 mM beta-mercaptoethanol, 0.1 mM PMSF) were added and mixed until the sample was thawed completely. Samples were centrifuged for 5 minutes at 16100xg and 4°C and the supernatant was used for the assay. 20 µl extract were added to 480 µl assay buffer (50 mM KPi buffer pH 7.5, 10 mM KNO₃, 0.1 mM NADH and incubated for exactly 5 minutes at 28°C. The reaction was stopped by addition of 500 µl sulphanilamide (1% (w/v) in 3 M HCl) and vigorous mixing. 500 µl of N-naphthylethylenediamide (0.02% (w/v) in H₂O) was added and the sample was mixed. The formation of a pink azo-salt is dependent on NO₂ from KNO₃ by nitrate reductase. Samples were incubated for 15 minutes after which absorption at 540 nm was measured.
2.23. **Spectrophotometric measurement of Complex II+III activity**

Complex II+III activity was measured as the reduction of cytochrome c at 550 nm (see Section 4.4). Mitochondria were dissolved in 0.1 M Tris-SO₄ pH 7.4 containing 1 mM KCN, 125 µg/ml oxidised cytochrome c and 12 mM succinate and absorption increase over time was measured at 550 nm. The baseline was established by addition of antimycin A to a concentration of 10 µg/ml which abolishes activity. Enzyme activities were calculated using the Lambert-Beer equation. The extinction coefficient of cytochrome c is $\varepsilon = 21.84 \text{mM}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}$.

2.24. **Spectrophotometric measurement of Complex IV activity**

Complex IV activity was measured as the oxidation of cytochrome c at 550 nm (see Section 4.4). Mitochondria were dissolved in 0.1 M Tris-SO₄ pH 7.4 containing 100 µg/ml reduced cytochrome c and absorption decrease over time was measured at 550 nm. The baseline was established by addition of KCN to a concentration of 1 mM which abolishes activity. Enzyme activities were calculated using the Lambert-Beer equation. The extinction coefficient of cytochrome c is $\varepsilon = 21.84 \text{mM}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}$.

2.25. **SDS PAGE**

SDS PAGE was performed according to (Laemmli, 1970) using a Biorad mini gel system with 0.75-1.5 mm spacers and 10-12.5% of 37.5:1 Bisacrylamide. Samples from native gel assays or spectrometric assays were prepared by addition of 4xLaemmli loading buffer for a final concentration of 1x buffer. For preparation directly from leaf material samples were frozen in liquid nitrogen and immediately crushed with a mini pellet pestle in a 1.5 ml reaction tube. 2.5 volumes of lysis buffer (50 mM Tris pH 8, 5% glycerol (v/v), 1% SDS (w/v), 10 mM EDTA, 1 mM PMSF) were added and the cell debris was removed by centrifugation for 10 minutes at 16100xg and 4°C. The supernatant was transferred to a new reaction tube and mixed with 4x Laemmli loading buffer to a final concentration of 1x buffer.
2.26. Immunoblotting

Immunoblotting was performed according to (Towbin et al., 1979) in a biometra semi-dry blotting chamber (Analytic Jena) at 170 mA (1 gel per chamber) or 200 mA (2 gels per chamber) for 30 minutes to a nitrocellulose membrane (Whatman Protran 0.2 µm). Total protein was visualised using Ponceau solution (0.1% Ponceau Red (w/v), 5% acetic acid (v/v)). Primary antibodies were prepared in Tris-buffered saline (50 mM Tris-Cl, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl) containing 5% milk powder (w/v) and 0.1% Tween-20 (v/v) (TBS+TM). Membranes were blocked in TBS+TM overnight. Primary antibody was incubated for 2-3 hours and washed off thoroughly. Secondary antibody horseradish peroxidase conjugate was used for enhanced luminescence (ECL) staining of the primary antibody. Membranes were incubated with secondary antibody in TBS+TM for 45-60 minutes. ECL staining was performed by mixing solution 1:1 with solution 2 and incubating the membrane for 1 minute. Luminescence was detected in a ImageQuant LAS 500 (GE Healthcare).

Table 2.14 Solutions for immunoblotting

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solution</th>
<th>Components</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Luminol stock</td>
<td>4.4 % 3-aminophthalhydrazine (w/v) in DMSO (Fluka)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coumaric acid stock</td>
<td>1.5% p-coumaric acid (w/v) in DMSO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solution 1</td>
<td>0.1 M Tris (pH 8), 10 µl/ml luminol stock, 4.4 µl/ml coumaric acid stock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solution 2</td>
<td>0.1 M Tris (pH 8), 0.018% H₂O₂</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.27. Detection of biotin by Immunoblotting

After the transfer of proteins to the nitrocellulose membrane membranes are incubated in PBS buffer (4 mM KH₂PO₄, 16 mM Na₂HPO₄, 115 mM NaCl) containing 3% BSA (w/v) and 0.5% Tween-20 (v/v), for 1 hour at room temperature or at 4°C overnight. The membrane was washed 3 times for 5 minutes at room temperature with PBS + 0.1% Tween-20 (v/v). Strep-Tactin® HRP conjugate was pre-diluted 1:100 in enzyme dilution buffer (PBS + 0.2% BSA (w/v) and 0.1% Tween-20 (v/v)). The enzyme was diluted to a final dilution of 1:100000 in...
PBS+0.1% Tween-20 (v/v). Membranes were incubated with the antibody dilution for 60 minutes at room temperature. Wash steps were performed 2 times with PBS+0.1% Tween-20 (v/v) and 2 times with PBS only for 1 minute each and ECL was detected as described in Section 1.26.

2.28. Light microscopy

A Leica FluoIII Stereo-Fluorescence or a Leica M205FA Fluorescent Stereo microscope was used for screening for seedlings expressing roGFP and for seed counts, embryo dissection and dissection of floral organs. Images were taken using the Leica M205FA Fluorescent Stereo Microscope.

For higher magnification the Leica DM 6000 was used for embryo development analysis and pollen/anther morphology. Seed clearing was performed using Hoyer’s solution (prepared as described in a Cold Spring Harbor Protocol (2011)). Visualisation of sulfane sulfur was performed using SSP4 (see Section 4.3 and (Chen et al., 2013)). Plant material was incubated with 50 µM SSP4 in 0.1 M KPi buffer (pH 7.4) for 30 minutes. 10x concentrated stock solutions of SSP4 were prepared in 100% acetonitrile (v/v).

2.29. Confocal laser scanning microscopy and ratiometric calculations of roGFP fluorescence

CLSM microscopy and ratiometric analysis of the roGFP fluorescence were performed essentially as described in (Lehmann et al., 2009; Schwarzlander et al., 2008), see also Sections 3.3 and 3.5.

2.30. Statistical analysis of significance

Statistical tests (Student’s t-test, χ² goodness of fit) were performed as indicated in the figure legends using Genstat (version 18).
3. In vivo evidence for the substrate of ATM3

As described in Section 1.3 the activity of cytosolic FeS enzymes depends on the mitochondrial ABC-transporter ATM3 as well as the mitochondrial cysteine desulfurase NFS1. Based on this it has been suggested that ATM3 transports a substrate containing a $S^0$ mobilised by NFS1 (Bernard et al., 2009; Bernard et al., 2013). $S^0$ is highly reactive and has to be transported in a stable form. Glutathione is a likely carrier for this $S^0$. In 2002, (Sipos et al.) showed that maturation of cytosolic but not mitochondrial FeS proteins was decreased in a $\Delta gsh1$ yeast strain lacking gamma-glutamyl-cysteine synthase and that genetic depletion of both Gsh1 and Atm1 was lethal. A study by (Kim et al., 2006) showed a link between Arabidopsis ATM3 and glutathione synthesis. They showed that atm3 mutants contained more non-protein thiols and had higher expression of glutathione synthase $GSH1$. They also found that genetic ($GSH1$ antisense plants) or chemical (buthionine sulfoximine) inhibition of glutathione biosynthesis led to an increase of ATM3 expression levels. Furthermore, in-vitro assays carried out by (Schädler et al.) in 2014 showed the specific ATPase stimulation of ATM3 and yeast Atm1 by oxidised glutathione and oxidised glutathione carrying an additional $S^0$ (glutathione-persulfide). The transport of glutathione-persulfide by Atm1 was confirmed by transport assays and mass spectrometry.

To provide in-vivo evidence supporting the in-vitro experiments presented in (Schädler et al., 2014) I analysed the redox status of the glutathione pool in atm3 mutants and studied the effect of chemical glutathione depletion in atm3 mutants and the wild type.

3.1. atm3 mutants are hypersensitive to glutathione depletion

Buthionine sulfoximine (BSO) was used to test the effect of glutathione depletion on the growth phenotype of the atm3-3 and atm3-4 alleles. atm3-3 is a point mutant with no visible growth phenotype and decreased cytosolic FeS enzyme activities, atm3-4 has a promoter deletion, is chlorotic and has strongly decreased cytosolic FeS enzyme activities (as described in (Bernard et al., 2009)). BSO is a specific inhibitor for glutathione biosynthesis acting as a competitive inhibitor for
gamma-glutamylcysteine synthetase (Griffith and Meister, 1979). Chemical depletion was chosen over the generation of double mutants of glutathione biosynthesis and atm3 as the generation of double mutants is time consuming and potentially leads to nonviable plants. Concentrations of 200 µM of DL-BSO (racemic DL-buthionine-(SR)-sulfoximine) were chosen as the wild type was not visibly affected in growth under this treatment. As a control plants were also grown on plates containing 200 µM DL-BSO plus 200 µM GSH. Roots of both atm3 plants are ~50% shorter than wild type under standard growth conditions ((Bernard et al., 2009) and Figure 3.1) and growth is further decreased under DL-BSO treatment to about 19% of untreated wild type which was restored upon addition of GSH (Figure 3.1). When comparing plants treated with BSO to the respective untreated control wild-type plants show a decrease in root length to about 80% while atm3-3 plants show a decrease to 39% and atm3-4 a decrease to 36%. To conclude, atm3 mutants were found to be hypersensitive to chemical glutathione depletion which was also found by Theresia Schaedler (see (Schaedler et al., 2014)).
Figure 3.1 Root lengths of atm3 mutants under glutathione depletion. GSH was chemically depleted in 7-8 days old wild type (WT) and atm3 seedlings by BSO (buthionine sulfoximine). Plants were grown on ½ MS-agar containing either 400 μM of DL-BSO (racemic mixture of the D and L form) or 400 μM DL-BSO and 200 μM GSH. A. Growth phenotype of seedlings after 8 days of growth on ½ MS-Agar. Scale bar is 0.5 cm. B. Root lengths of plants from A, quantified using ImageJ. Values are mean root lengths in cm ± SD; n≥12, ** p<0.01 (Student’s t-test against the respective untreated control).
3.2. Glutathione depletion affects FeS enzyme activity

To determine the effect of glutathione depletion on FeS enzymes, activities of the cytosolic FeS enzyme aldehyde oxidase and the mitochondrial and cytosolic isoforms of aconitase were measured using an in-gel assay coupled to the production of the purple precipitate formazan. Aconitase activity was strongly affected in the wild type when grown on 400 µM of BSO while aconitase protein levels were unaffected. Aldehyde oxidase activity was mildly decreased (Figure 3.2). It was not possible to gain significant and reproducible results for the atm3 mutants under the BSO treatment despite multiple attempts and conditions.

Figure 3.2 FeS cluster enzyme activities upon glutathione depletion. Glutathione was chemically depleted in wild type seedlings using 400 µM of BSO (racemic DL-buthionine-(SR)-sulfoximine). Another sample was treated with 400 µM BSO and 200 µM GSH; untreated plants served as a control. Plants were grown for 7-8 days on ½ MS-agar plates containing the respective chemicals. Enzyme activities of aconitase and aldehyde oxidase were visualised in-gel enzyme assays. Aconitase protein was visualised using specific antibodies after denaturing-PAGE of an identical gel.

3.3. roGFP as a sensor for the redox state of the glutathione pool

The redox sensitive sensor roGFP was used to determine whether oxidised glutathione accumulates in mitochondria of atm3 mutants. If ATM3 does transport oxidised glutathione carrying an additional sulfur, then the redox state of the mitochondrial glutathione pool should be shifted towards oxidation in atm3 mutants. Ratiometric measurements using the redox sensitive reporter roGFP were chosen over measuring glutathione levels in isolated mitochondria because 1) the process of mitochondria isolation changes the redox balance in mitochondria as it exposes the
extract to oxygen 2) Measuring and distinguishing between oxidised and reduced glutathione involved a lengthy protocol which could further alter the redox balance. 3) In-vivo data from intact plants is more desirable than data from isolated mitochondria.

The used variant of a green fluorescent protein, roGFP2, has two amino acid exchanges to cysteine which changes the fluorescent properties in comparison to conventional GFP and depending on disulfide formation (Dooley et al., 2004; Hanson et al., 2004; Meyer et al., 2007; Schwarzlander et al., 2008) (Figure 3.3A).

roGFP2 has two excitation maxima, one at 405 nm and one at 488 nm (Figure 3.3B). These maxima change in intensity depending on the redox state and by means of this reflect the redox state of the surrounding medium. The glutaredoxin GRX1 fusion to the reporter increased specificity for the glutathione pool as glutaredoxin specifically binds to glutathione as a substrate thus transmitting the redox state of the glutathione pool to the transporter.
Figure 3.3 roGFP2 as a redox sensor. roGFP2 has two amino acid exchanges to cysteines which make it sensitive for redox changes. A. Structure of the roGFP sensor. The two amino acid exchanges to cysteine are at position 147 and 204 (S147C, Q204C) and lead to formation of a disulfide bond under oxidising conditions. B. Emission spectrum of the roGFP sensor. roGFP emits at 510 nm and has two excitation maxima, one at 405 and one at 488 nm. The ratio between the emission intensity upon excitation at 488 and 405 nm depends on the redox state of the reporter, where high values represent more oxidised conditions and low values more reducing conditions. Images are modified from (Hanson et al., 2004).
3.4. Isolating \textit{atm3} mutants expressing mitochondrial and cytosolic roGFP

Three \textit{atm3} mutant alleles were transformed with a cytosolic expressed GRX1-roGFP2 (Marty et al., 2009) or a mitochondrial expressed roGFP2-GRX1 (Albrecht et al., 2014) construct under the control of the CaMV-35S promoter kindly donated by Andreas Meyer and Markus Schwarzländer (INRES, Bonn) (Figure 3.4A). The transformation was done by Theresia Schaedler and Jonathan Foster (Group of Janneke Balk, Department of Plant Sciences, University of Cambridge; John Innes Centre, Norwich). The strong allele \textit{atm3-1} was chosen in addition to the weak \textit{atm3-3} and the intermediate \textit{atm3-4} allele as it was not clear how strongly \textit{atm3} mutations affect the redox state of the glutathione pool. Lines with a clear mitochondrial or cytosolic signal were isolated by screening for a strong signal in the progeny, in the expected cell compartment (Figure 3.4B).

The \textit{atm3-1} line has a T-DNA insertion resulting in an ATM3 fusion protein lacking the nucleotide binding domain. Plants are delayed in growth and chlorotic and have only 40\% or less activity of FeS enzymes as shown in (Bernard et al., 2009). Not a single plant of the \textit{atm3-1} allele expressing the mitochondrial construct was found. Thus seeds from transformed \textit{atm3-1/ATM3} plants were screened for \textit{atm3-1} homozygotes expressing the mitochondrial roGFP construct. Additionally, crosses were generated between a homozygous \textit{atm3-1} line and a wild type expressing the mitochondrial construct. Seeds from 4 crosses and 10 transformations were used (Figure 3.5A). However, no homozygous plants were recovered expressing the mitochondrial construct. Over 1000 seedlings from the progeny were screened for roGFP expression and a putative \textit{atm3-1} phenotype. Mature plants were re-screened for roGFP signal and positive plants were tested for their genotype. Of the 15 remaining plants none had the \textit{atm3-1} T-DNA insertion and thus this line had to be excluded from further experiments (Figure 3.5B).
Figure 3.4 Subcellular localisation of the roGFP reporter. *atm3* mutant alleles and wild-type plants were transformed with the roGFP2 construct either targeted to the cytosol or mitochondria. Constructs were kindly provided by A.J. Meyer (INRES, Bonn). **A.** Scheme of the cytosolic and mitochondrial expressed construct under the control of a CaMV-35S promoter. **B.** Subcellular localisation of the cytosolic and mitochondrial targeted construct. Scale bar is 20 μm.
Figure 3.5 Selection of atm3-1 mutants expressing mitochondrial roGFP. A. Selection scheme B. Genotyping for the atm3-1 allele in plants expressing roGFP2-GRX1 as indicated in A.

3.5. ATM3 is involved in the transport of oxidised glutathione

As described above, ratiometric analysis of the roGFP signal at 488 and 405 nm excitation is representative for the redox state of the glutathione pool in the cytosol or the mitochondria of transformed plants. High values of the 405/488 ratio indicate a shift to oxidation, low values indicate a more reduced redox state. The ratios were calculated using a program developed by Mark D. Fricker (Department of Plant Sciences, University of Oxford), essentially as described in (Lehmann et al., 2009;
Schwarzlander et al., 2008). I carried out the experiments in collaboration with and in the laboratory of Andreas Meyer and Markus Schwarzländer (INRES, Bonn). Measurements were performed on root tips as these have a high mitochondrial density and showed the best roGFP signal. The false colour visualisation shown in Figure 3.6A is representative for the readout of the sensor and shows that the signal is very similar between cytosolic but not mitochondrial samples. The areas with a very high 405/488 ratio (red) at the root tip were dead cells of the root cap and were excluded from measurements. Root cell auto fluorescence was measured at 435-485 nm upon excitation at 405 nm and bleed-through into the roGFP channel was accounted for in the calculations (as described in (Lehmann et al., 2009)). Cells with high auto fluorescence (not shown) were excluded. Cells which passed a visual quality check were marked and used for calculation of ratiometric values on a pixel-by-pixel basis. The mitochondrial lines had some leakage to the cytosol as some of the construct can lose the mitochondrial targeting sequence. This was accounted for by background corrections of the values (not shown). Quantification of 10-15 samples showed that the redox state of the mitochondrial glutathione pool of atm3 mutants was significantly more oxidised than in the wild type (Student’s t-test), despite a high variation between plants which is represented by the high standard deviation (see Figure 3.6B). The redox state of the cytosolic glutathione pool was similar in all samples.
Figure 3.6 The redox state of the glutathione pool in *atm3* mutant mitochondria is shifted towards oxidation. The roGFP2 sensor fused to GRX1 was targeted to the cytosol (cyto) or mitochondria (mito) and the 405/488 nm ratio values were determined using image analysis of confocal microscopy data. The ratio is a measure for the redox state of the local glutathione pool. Measurements were performed on indicated *atm3* alleles and the wild type (WT) after 7-8 days of growth on ½ MS-agar. A. False colour ratiometric images of root tips. Red areas indicate dead cells of the root cap. Scale bar is 20 µm B. and C. Quantified ratiometric measurements of root tip cells from A. The lower dotted line indicates the ratio of the fully reduced sensor, the upper dotted line indicates the ratio of the fully oxidised sensor. The values are the mean ± SD of 10 – 15 images. * p<0.05, ** p<0.01 (Student’s t-test against WT control). N/A, not available.
3.6. **The redox state of the glutathione pool in atm3 mutants is more susceptible to glutathione depletion than in the wild type**

To investigate if the chemical depletion of glutathione further increased the reduction potential of the glutathione pool in the *atm3* mutants than in the wild type I conducted roGFP measurements of plants treated with BSO (see Figure 3.7). The untreated control values from Section 3.5 were added for comparison. Depletion of the glutathione pool in the mitochondria caused a shift towards oxidation in the wild type and the *atm3* alleles which was rescued by addition of GSH in the wild type and the weak *atm3*-3 mutant but not in the intermediate *atm3*-4 mutant. In wild type seedlings, mitochondrial roGFP showed a more pronounced shift towards oxidation (3.2x) than cytosolic roGFP with the same treatment (1.2x). For *atm3* mutants the opposite was observed. Interestingly, the mild *atm3*-3 mutant showed stronger shifts towards oxidation than the *atm3*-4 mutant despite having no growth phenotype (5x vs 2.1x for the cytosolic reporter and 2.9x vs 1.6 fold for the mitochondrial reporter). Addition of GSH to the BSO treatment returned the values of the mitochondrial reporter to control levels in the wild type and *atm3*-3. For the cytosolic reporter addition of GSH to the BSO treatment shifted the redox state towards the reduced form in *atm3*-3 plants only. The wild type did not show a significant difference and for *atm3*-4 and *atm3*-1 the additional treatment of GSH appeared to shift the values even more towards oxidation; however, this was difficult to assess due to the high variability of values due to an uneven effect of the treatment on different cells of the same sample.
Figure 3.7 Redox state of the glutathione pool in glutathione-depleted atm3 and wild type. 7 to 8-days-old wild-type (WT) and atm3 seedlings were treated with either 200 μM of DL-BSO (racemic DL-buthionine-(SR)-sulfoximine), 200 μM DL-BSO plus 200 μM GSH or 200 μM GSH only. The roGFP2 sensor fused to GRX1 was targeted to the cytosol (A) or mitochondria (B) and the 405/488 nm ratio values were determined using image analysis of confocal microscopy data. The ratio is a measure for the redox state of the local GSH pool. The dotted lines indicate the ratio of the fully reduced sensor. The values are the mean ± SD of 5 – 15 images of root tip cells. * p<0.05, ** p<0.01 (Student’s t test against untreated control). N/A, not available.
3.7. Mitochondrial morphology is altered in atm3-4 plants with or without glutathione depletion

The roGFP reporter was mainly used to assess the redox state of the glutathione pool in atm3 plants; however the experiments also showed changes in the mitochondrial morphology of atm3-4 plants. atm3-4 samples treated with BSO showed mitochondrial aggregation in 7 out of 10 examined roots (Figure 3.8D, H, L). This effect was partially rescued by addition of GSH (data not shown). Untreated atm3-4 samples (figure 3.8B, F, J) also showed aggregation but to a far lesser extent. The weaker atm3-3 allele showed mitochondrial aggregation in 2 out of 14 roots under BSO treatment (data not shown) of about the same frequency as the untreated intermediate allele atm3-4. These mitochondrial aggregates were not observed in wild-type seedlings, whether treated with BSO or not (Figure 3.8A, E, I and C, G, K).
Figure 3.8 Mitochondrial aggregation in *atm3-4* mutants. 7-8 days old wild-type (WT) and *atm3-4* seedlings were treated with 200 μM of DL-BSO. The roGFP2 sensor fused to GRX1 was targeted to mitochondria. Shown is the overlay of the signal at 405 nm (red channel) and at 488 nm (green channel). The 405/488 ratio indicates the redox state of the glutathione pool. A relative increase of fluorescence upon excitation at 405 nm indicates a more oxidised glutathione pool. Images were taken of root tip or epidermis cells of the root elongation zone. White arrows indicate mitochondrial aggregation. Representative images are shown of 5-15 roots. Scale bar is 20 μm.
3.8. Discussion

In this Chapter I presented *in-vivo* evidence for the transport of GSSG by ATM3. Root growth in *atm3* mutants was found to be more sensitive to glutathione depletion by treatment with BSO than in the wild type. Furthermore, the glutathione pool of *atm3* mutants showed a mild but significant shift towards oxidation in comparison to the wild type. Treatment with BSO caused a further shift towards oxidation in all *atm3* samples in the cytosol and mitochondria (between 1.6x and 5x). A shift towards oxidation can be caused by either a decrease in the overall glutathione level in the mitochondria or by an increase in the GSSG:GSH ratio (Meyer et al., 2007). However, (Kim et al., 2006) showed that *atm3-1* mutants had a 2-fold increase of non-protein thiols (like glutathione) and (Schaedler et al., 2014) confirmed that *atm3-1* and *atm3-4* mutants did not have a decrease of non-protein thiols. Thus it is reasonable to assume that the shift towards oxidation of the glutathione pool in *atm3* mitochondria is due to an increase in the GSSG:GSH ratio rather than due to lower glutathione levels. The observed shift of the redox state of the mitochondrial glutathione pool towards oxidation in *atm3* mutants (405/488 = 0.25-0.27) in comparison to the wild type (0.18) was mild compared to previously observed changes and the capacity of the mitochondrial sensor which can show 4.5-5.3-fold changes (Schwarzlander et al., 2008). However, this may be due to the tight regulation of the redox balance of the glutathione pool (Noctor et al., 2012).

To assess if an accumulation of the hypothetical ATM3-substrate GSSSG in *atm3* mutants could be detected by roGFP2, I analysed the theoretical shift in the roGFP2 reduction state if GSSSG would accumulate 10-fold. For this purpose I will assume that the total concentration of glutathione is 10 mM and that [GSSSG] equals [GSSG]. The reduction potential can be calculated according to the Nernst Equation (Eq. 1).

\[
E' = E'_0 - \frac{2.303 R T}{z F} \log_{10} \frac{[GSH]^2}{[GSSSG]},
\]

*Eq. 1*

*E*\(_{_0}\) is the standard mid-point potential for glutathione which is -0.240 V (Schafer and Buettner, 2001)
*R* is the universal gas constant
*F* is the Faraday constant
*T* is the standard temperature
*z* is the number of transferred electrons (2 in this case)

[GSH] and [GSSSG] are the concentrations of reduced and oxidised glutathione, respectively
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The \( E_0 \) has to be adapted to the pH of 7.8 in the mitochondrial matrix according to Eq.2 (Nobel, 1991; Schwarzlander et al, 2008):

\[
E_{0}^{\text{pH}} = E_0' - \frac{2.303 R T}{z F} (pH - 7)
\]

Eq.2

\[
E_{0}^{\text{pH glutathione}} = -0.240 V - \frac{2.303 \cdot 8.31 J K^{-1} mol^{-1} \cdot 298.15 K}{2 \cdot 96485.34 C mol^{-1}} (7.8 - 7)
\]

\[
E_{0}^{\text{pH glutathione}} = -0.240 V - \frac{2.303 \cdot 8.31 C V K^{-1} mol^{-1} \cdot 298.15 K}{2 \cdot 96485.34 C mol^{-1}} (7.8 - 7)
\]

Glutathione is the most abundant redox buffer and thus determines the intracellular reduction potential. The intracellular resting reduction potential of wild-type mitochondria has been measured to be \(-0.356\) V before (Schwarzlander et al., 2008).

Thus if we assume that the total glutathione concentration is 10 mM, then \([GSSG]\) has to be about 0.08 \( \mu \)M in wild-type plants to reach \(-0.356\) V.

\[
E' \text{ glutathione} = E_{0}^{\text{pH glutathione}} - \frac{2.303 R T}{z F} \log_{10} \left( \frac{[GSH]^2}{[GSSG]} \right)
\]

\[
E'_{0} \text{ glutathione} = -0.264 V - \frac{2.303 \cdot 8.31 J K^{-1} mol^{-1} \cdot 298.15 K}{2 \cdot 96485.34 C mol^{-1}} \log_{10} \left( \frac{[GSH]^2}{[GSSG]} \right)
\]

\[
E'_{0} \text{ glutathione} = -0.264 V - \frac{2.303 \cdot 8.31 C V K^{-1} mol^{-1} \cdot 298.15 K}{2 \cdot 96485.34 C mol^{-1}} \log_{10} \left( \frac{[GSH]^2}{[GSSG]} \right)
\]

\[
E' \text{ glutathione} = -0.264 V - 0.0296 V \log_{10} \left( \frac{[GSH]^2}{[GSSG]} \right)
\]

\[
E' \text{ glutathione} = -0.264 V - 0.0296 V \log_{10} \left( \frac{1 \cdot 10^{-2}}{8 \cdot 10^{-8}} \right)
\]

\[
E' \text{ glutathione} = -0.264 V - 0.0296 V \log_{10}(1250) = -0.356 V
\]
If the \( \text{atm3} \) mutation leads to a 10-fold accumulation of GSSSG, then the reduction potential would change to \(-0.326 \text{ V}\) with concentrations of \([\text{GSH}] \approx 10 \text{ mM}\) and \([\text{GSSSG}] \approx 0.8 \text{ µM}\)

\[
E'_{\text{glutathione}} = -0.264 \text{ V} - 0.0296 \text{ V} \cdot \log_{10}\left(\frac{(1 \cdot 10^{-2})^2}{8 \cdot 10^{-7}}\right)
\]

\[
E'_{\text{glutathione}} = -0.264 \text{ V} - 0.0296 \text{ V} \cdot \log_{10}(10) = -0.326 \text{ V}
\]

As mentioned above, glutathione is the most abundant redox buffer in the cell and thus the \( \text{roGFP2} \) reduction potential will be directly dependent on the reduction potential of the glutathione couple. To assess if a 10-fold accumulation of GSSSG will be detectable by \( \text{roGFP2} \), I will calculate the change in the ratio of oxidised to reduced \( \text{roGFP2} \) at the above calculated reduction potentials according to equation 3.

\[
E' = E'_{\text{roGFP2}}^0 - 0.0296 \text{ V} \cdot \log_{10}\left[\frac{[\text{red}]}{[\text{ox}]}\right] \quad \text{Eq. 3}
\]

As before, the standard potential for \( \text{roGFP2} \), which is \(-0.272 \text{ V}\) (according to Hanson et al. (2004)), has to be adjusted for the alkaline pH of the mitochondrial matrix:

\[
E'_{0(\text{roGFP2})}^{\text{pH}} = E'_{0(\text{roGFP2})} - \frac{2.303 \cdot R \cdot T \cdot (pH - 7)}{z \cdot F}
\]

\[
E'_{0(\text{roGFP2})}^{\text{pH}} = -0.272 \text{ V} - \frac{2.303 \cdot 8.31 \text{ J K}^{-1} \text{ mol}^{-1} \cdot 298.15 \text{ K}}{2 \cdot 96485.34 \text{ C mol}^{-1}}(7.8 - 7)
\]

\[
E'_{0(\text{roGFP2})}^{\text{pH}} = -0.272 \text{ V} - \frac{2.303 \cdot 8.31 \text{ C V K}^{-1} \text{ mol}^{-1} \cdot 298.15 \text{ K}}{2 \cdot 96485.34 \text{ C mol}^{-1}}(7.8 - 7)
\]

\[
E'_{0(\text{roGFP2})}^{\text{pH}} = -0.272 \text{ V} - \frac{2.303 \cdot 8.31 \text{ V} \cdot 298.15}{2 \cdot 96485.34}(0.8)
\]

\[
E'_{0(\text{roGFP2})}^{\text{pH}} = -0.272 \text{ V} - \frac{2.303 \cdot 8.31 \text{ V} \cdot 298.15}{2 \cdot 96485.34}(0.8)
\]

\[
E'_{0(\text{roGFP2})}^{\text{pH}} = -0.272 \text{ V} - 0.0237 \text{ V}
\]

\[
E'_{0(\text{roGFP2})}^{\text{pH}} = -0.296 \text{ V}
\]
For the calculated reduction potential of glutathione in a wild-type plant of -0.356 V the roGFP2 would be highly reduced (see also Schwarzlander et al. (2008)):

\[ E' = E^0_{(roGFP2)} - 0.0296 \, V \log_{10} \left( \frac{[\text{red}]}{[\text{ox}]} \right) \]

\[ -0.356 \, V = -0.296 \, V - 0.0296 \, V \log_{10} \left( \frac{[\text{red}]}{[\text{ox}]} \right) \]

\[ \frac{-0.356 \, V + 0.296 \, V}{-0.0296 \, V} = \log_{10} \left( \frac{[\text{red}]}{[\text{ox}]} \right) \]

2.03 = \log_{10} \left( \frac{[\text{red}]}{[\text{ox}]} \right)

\[ 104.7 = \frac{[\text{red}]}{[\text{ox}]} \]

This means that in the wild-type mitochondria the roGFP2 could be estimated to be 99.05% reduced:

\[ [\text{ox}] + [\text{red}] = 100\% \]

\[ \frac{[\text{red}]}{[\text{ox}]} = 104.7 \]

\[ \frac{[\text{red}]}{100\% - [\text{red}]} = 104.7 \]

\[ [\text{red}] = 104.70\% - 104.7[\text{red}] \]

\[ 105.7[\text{red}] = 104.70\% \]

\[ [\text{red}] = 99.05\% \]

Upon a 10-fold accumulation the reduction potential is calculated to be -0.326 V and the ratio changes as follows:

\[ -0.326 \, V = -0.296 \, V - 0.0296 \, V \log_{10} \left( \frac{[\text{red}]}{[\text{ox}]} \right) \]

\[ \frac{-0.326 \, V + 0.296 \, V}{-0.0296 \, V} = \log_{10} \left( \frac{[\text{red}]}{[\text{ox}]} \right) \]

1.01 = \log_{10} \left( \frac{[\text{red}]}{[\text{ox}]} \right)

\[ 10.23 = \frac{[\text{red}]}{[\text{ox}]} \]
A 10-fold accumulation thus could be estimated to change the redox state of roGFP2 to 91.1% reduction.

\[
\frac{\text{red}}{100\% - \text{red}} = 10.23
\]

\[
\text{red} = 1023\% - 10.23\text{red}
\]

\[
11.23\ \text{red} = 1023\%
\]

\[
\text{red} = 91.1\%
\]

To summarise, at wild-type glutathione balance I predict roGFP2 to be 99.05% reduced and upon a 10-fold accumulation of GSSSG roGFP2 to be 91.1% reduced. This means that already a 10-fold change of the low total concentrations of GSSSG (0.08 µM to 0.8 µM in 10 mM total glutathione) theoretically causes a big change in the redox state of the reporter thus making it an effective probe for the assessment of GSSSG accumulation in atm3 mutants.

To estimate if the calculated shift in the redox state of roGFP2 would be detectable I estimated how the 405/488 ratio would change according to above calculations. The fully reduced sensor had a ratio of 0.2, the fully oxidised a ratio of 0.95. Thus a ratio change of 0.75 corresponds to a change from fully reduced to fully oxidised. According to my calculations, a 10-fold accumulation of GSSSG would result in an 8% more oxidised roGFP2. The equivalent 405/488 ratio would be 0.26 which, interestingly, is close to the measured ratio in atm3-4 mutant mitochondria of 0.256±0.053. Thus, I conclude that (1) roGFP2 is an appropriate probe to measure even changes in the redox balance of the glutathione pool even with very low starting concentrations of oxidised glutathione and (2) the observed small shift towards oxidation in atm3-4 mitochondria could indicate a considerable accumulation of oxidised glutathione.

Taken together, the described experiments gave supporting in-vivo data for the in-vitro data presented by (Schaedler et al., 2014) thus providing evidence that oxidised glutathione is the substrate of ATM3.
4. Genetic interactions of ATM3 with genes involved in sulfur metabolism

One aim of my PhD was to provide *in-vivo* evidence for the substrate of ATM3. In eukaryotes, $S^0$ is mobilised by the cysteine desulfurase in the mitochondria Nfs1 is thought to be exported to the cytosol via Atm1 (ATM3 in plants) (Lill, 2009). (Schaedler et al., 2014) showed that *Lactococcus* inside-out vesicles containing yeast Atm1 accumulated GSSSG, providing evidence for transport of additional $S^0$ *in-vitro*. However, data gathered *in-vitro* are not always representative for processes *in-vivo* and need to be confirmed. As a direct approach of measuring if $S^0$ accumulates in *atm3* mutant mitochondria I tested the newly developed Sulfane Sufur Probe 4. In addition, a more indirect approach was to measure the damaging effect of sulfide accumulation on Complex IV activity. However, these quantitative methods did not yield any conclusive data and will be discussed at the end of the chapter.

First I will describe genetic interaction studies of ATM3 with components of the mitochondrial sulfur metabolism ETHE1, OASTLC and NFS1. As described in Chapter 1.5, ETHE1 is a mitochondrial sulfur dioxygenase involved in $S^0$ detoxification after cysteine degradation and it uses glutathione as a cofactor; OASTLC incorporates sulfide into O-acetylserine to form cysteine. NFS1 uses cysteine as a substrate to transfer a $S^0$ for FeS assembly.

Genetic interaction studies can reveal if two proteins act in the same pathway or compete for the same substrate and can be investigated by analysing the phenotype of double mutants of the genes of interest in comparison to the parental single mutants. Five different effects can be observed when studying genetic interactions:

1. **Epistatic mutations**: The term epistasis is used under different definitions, however for reasons of simplicity, for this study the following definition will be used: a gene A is epistatic for another gene B if a knock-out of A masks the phenotype of B, whether B is mutated or not.

2. **Additive mutations** due to mutations in genes of different pathways: Combination of mutants A and B with different phenotypes results in a double mutant that combines phenotypes of both parents without aggravation of the phenotypes. Additive mutations can appear similar to (3) **Allelic mutations**: Two allelic mutations affect the same gene and thus a combination results in an intermediate phenotype (see also Chapter 6.4).
recessive mutants it can be distinguished between additive mutations and allelic mutations: In heterozygous mutants, the phenotype of additive mutations is restored but if the mutations are allelic the phenotype is not restored. (4) **Suppressor mutations**: A mutation in A suppresses a mutation in B if the phenotype of mutant B is complemented by an additional mutation in A. (5) **Synergistic interactions**: Combination of mutants of A and B which are part of the same pathway result in a double mutant which has a phenotype that is stronger than the sum of the parental phenotypes. Epistatic effects can only be clearly observed in knock-out mutants. Knock-out mutants for *ATM3* are sterile and lethal for *ETHE1* and *NFS1* and thus epistasis could not be investigated. Combinations of knock-down alleles for *ATM3*, *ETHE1* and *NFS1* as well as a knock-out of *OASTLC* were analysed to determine if *ATM3* is involved in sulfur metabolism. Details of all mutants are given in Table 4.1.

### 4.1. *ATM3* shows a synergistic genetic interaction with *ETHE1*

The interactions between *ETHE1* and *ATM3* and *OASTLC* and *ATM3* were included in the publication of Schaedler et al (2014) and will be presented first. Plants homozygous for *atm3-1* and heterozygous for *ethe1-1* were selected by Dr. Schaedler who did not find homozygous double mutants. To investigate if there is a defect in seed formation or germination I analysed seed development in *ethe1-1atm3-1/ATM3* plants compared to the wild type and both parents (Figure 4.1).
Table 4.1 Mutant lines in mitochondrial sulfur metabolism.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>line</th>
<th>mutation</th>
<th>expression and enzyme activity</th>
<th>phenotype</th>
<th>publication</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ethe1-3</td>
<td>T-DNA insertion in 5’-UTR (-64)</td>
<td>transcript ~60-70 enzyme activity ~36%</td>
<td>No growth difference to wild type</td>
<td>Krussel et al., 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SALK_127065</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ethe1-1</td>
<td>T-DNA insertion in 5’-UTR (-327)</td>
<td>transcript ~25% enzyme activity 1%</td>
<td>delayed embryo and seedling development, early senescence (short day/ extended darkness)</td>
<td>Krussel et al., 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SALK_021573</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>atm3-4 /M2934</td>
<td>39 nucleotide deletion in promoter</td>
<td>transcript ~8%</td>
<td>growth delay, chlorophyll 90%, Seedling root length ~40%</td>
<td>Bernard et al., 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>atm3-1 /starik1</td>
<td>T-DNA insertion at the position +1458</td>
<td>fusion protein of the membrane domain and neomycin phosphotransferase i.e. ATPase domain is lacking but protein is expressed</td>
<td>dwarfed, chlorophyll 50%, Seedling root length ~30%</td>
<td>Kushnir et al., 2001 Bernard et al., 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SALK_000860</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>oastlc</td>
<td>T-DNA insertion in intron 1</td>
<td>No OASTLC detected by immunolabelling</td>
<td>freshweight 75% Shorter root hairs, Growth phenotype under short day conditions</td>
<td>Heeg et al., 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SALK_000860</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nfs1-1</td>
<td>T-DNA insertion in 5’-UTR</td>
<td>transcript ~50%</td>
<td></td>
<td>Bernard et al., 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SALK_083681</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Figure 4.1** Seed development in single and double mutants of *ethe1* and *atm3* alleles. A. Percentages of normal and aborted seeds in siliques of indicated double mutants and parental lines. Scale bar is 1 mm. B. Bar graph of data in A.
Chapter 4 Genetic interactions of ATM3 with genes involved in sulfur metabolism

Silique from the hemizygous parent had 25.2% aborted and 0.7% unfertilised seeds which are most likely the ethe1-latm3-1 double mutant. ethe1-1 as well as oastlC (characterised before, as described above) siliques showed maximally 2% in aborted or unfertilised seeds similar to the wild type, and atm3-1 siliques had 5.6% aborted and 11% unfertilised seeds.

For further characterisation of the defect in the ethe1-latm3-1 double mutant, I investigated at what stage the embryo development is arrested (Figure 4.2). A third of the embryos were arrested in early development (globular to heart stage) while two thirds were arrested in the torpedo stage.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>n</th>
<th>WT</th>
<th>aborted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>185</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>early abortion - globular</th>
<th>heart</th>
<th>torpedo - late torpedo</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4.2 Embryo development in seeds from a ethe1-latm3-1/ATM3 parent. Seeds were scored when the majority of seeds were fully developed and healthy and aborted embryos were counted in 5 siliques from 2 independent plants. Pictures are representative for each category, scale bar is 100 μm.

Further analysis of over 2400 plants revealed that ethe1-latm3-1 double mutants segregate in a low percentage (1.9%) from the ethe1-latm3-1/ATM3 parent (data not shown). I analysed the growth phenotype of double mutants in young seedlings and mature plants (see Figure 4.3).
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Figure 4.3 Growth phenotype of ethe1-1atm3-1 double mutants. The atm3-1 oastlC double mutant served as a control. Seedlings were grown on ½ MS-agar for 16 days (upper panel), transferred to soil and grown for further two weeks (middle panel). Double mutants had to be isolated from a segregating ethe1-1atm3-1/ATM3 parent and the genotype was confirmed (lower panel). Scale bar is 1 cm.

atm3-1ethe1-1 double mutants are smaller than both parents and show a severe delay in growth (Figure 4.3). Mature plants were found to be sterile and siliques were shortened and showed asymmetric valve growth (Figure 4.4). In contrast, seedlings as well as adult plants of oastlCatm3-1 double mutants had an atm3-1-like growth phenotype with no enhanced phenotype. oastlCatm3-1 double mutants were viable and fertile with 1.9% aborted and 17.2% unfertilised seeds. This indicates that there is no synergistic interaction between ATM3 and OASTLC.
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Figure 4.4 Silique phenotype of ethel-1atm3-1 plants in comparison to WT. Scale bar is 1 mm.

Because the ethel-1atm3-1 double mutant is infertile and difficult to obtain (~1 in 1000) I repeated the genetic analysis with weaker alleles of ethel and atm3 (Table 1.1) in the following combinations: ethel-1atm3-4 and ethel-3atm3-1. As shown in Figure 4.5, the atm3-4 ethel-1 and the atm3-1 ethel-3 double mutant had a growth phenotype similar to the respective atm3 parent.

Figure 4.5 Phenotypes of ethelatm3 double mutants. The atm3-4 allele was crossed with the ethel-1 allele, the atm3-1 allele was crossed with the ethel-3 allele. Seedlings were grown for 16 days on ½ MS-agar (upper panel), transferred to soil and grown for further two weeks (lower panel). Scale bar is 1 cm.
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Figure 4.6 Phenotype characterisation of ethe1-3atm3-1 seedlings in comparison to parental lines and the wild type. A. Fresh weight of 10-day-old individual seedlings grown on ½-MS agar. Values were calculated from measurements of 3-7 samples with 5 seedlings each. B. Primary root lengths of seedlings from A. Values are ± SD; * = p≤0.05, ** = p≤0.001 (Student’s t-test).

When analysing the growth phenotype of the ethe1-3atm3-1 mutants more closely, no growth differences to the more severe atm3-1 parent were found in fresh-weight and root lengths in 10 day old seedlings grown on ½ MS-agar. atm3-1 plants are known to have delayed growth and shorter roots (Bernard et al., 2009). The double mutant differed from the atm3-1 parent only in the number of healthy versus non-viable seeds (aborted and unfertilised together). Healthy seeds were 83.4% in atm3-1 siliques and 73.2% in the ethe1-3atm3-1 mutant (see Figure 4.1) which was found to be significantly different (Student’s t-test, p<0.003).

Taken together, ETHE1 and ATM3 show a synergistic genetic interaction. This is genetic evidence that ATM3 and ETHE1 function in the same pathway, thus supporting the hypothesis that ATM3 transports a persulfide compound from the mitochondria into the cytosol. However, ATM3 did not show a synergistic genetic interaction with OASTLC.
4.2. ATM3 interacts genetically with NFS1

NFS1 mobilises $S^0$ in the mitochondria (which is then transferred to specific targets, generating persulfides) while ETHE1 oxidises the sulfhydryl group of glutathione-persulfide (see Chapter 1.5). Thus combining the ethel1-atm3-1 mutant with a nfs1-1 allele could alleviate the phenotype by decreasing $S^0$ accumulation or it could worsen the phenotype by a further decrease of FeS assembly in the cytosol. This could be distinguished if a suppressor-phenotype or an additive phenotype is observed in the triple mutant, however, it is possible that a combination of both effects occurs. The atm3-1 allele was chosen for this study because, as described in the previous section this showed most clearly a genetic interaction with ethel1-1. The ethel1-atm3-1/ATM3 plants were crossed with nfs1-1 plants. In a first selection step, three lines were isolated from the segregating F2 generation: (1) ethel1-nfs1-1/NFS1atm3-1/ATM3, (2) nfs1-1atm3-1/ATM3 which lost the ethel1-1 mutant allele and (3) ethel1-nfs1-1/NFS1 which lost the atm3-1 mutant allele. The genotype analysis to identify these three lines was performed by Isobel Blower, an undergraduate doing work experience in the summer.

I isolated a line with the genotype nfs1-1ethel1-1atm3-1/ATM3 from (1) but segregation was very low (1 in 24 plants). I will first describe phenotypes of the lines (2) and (3) and the isolation of a line with the genotype nfs1-1ethel1-1. I will then compare the results to the phenotype and segregation of nfs1-1ethel1-1atm3-1/ATM3. No double homozygous nfs1-1atm3-1 segregated from line 2 (see Figure 4.7). The expected segregation rate for nfs1-1atm3-1, nfs1-1atm3-1/ATM3 and nfs1-1ATM3 was 1:2:1, however, all 16 analysed plants were of the nfs1-1ATM3 genotype. This could indicate that the homozygous nfs1-1 mutation in combination with the homozygous atm3-1 mutation is lethal but only 16 plants were tested further analysis would be necessary to confirm this. In contrast, double homozygous plants for nfs1-1ethel1-1 were isolated from line number 1 and 3 without difficulty (data not shown). These plants did not show any major growth differences in comparison to wild type (Figure 4.7B).
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A

WT  atm3-1  nfs1-1/atm3-1/ATM3

B

WT  nfs1-1  ethel-1

C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>parent</th>
<th>offspring atm3 genotype</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>ATM3/ATM3 (%)</th>
<th>atm3-1/ATM3 (%)</th>
<th>atm3-1/atm3-1 (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>nfs1-1</td>
<td></td>
<td>24</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ethel-1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>atm3-1/ATM3</td>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nfs1-1</td>
<td></td>
<td>82</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>atm3-1/ATM3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4.7 Mutant phenotype of nfs1-1/ethel-1 mutants in combination with atm3-1. A. Phenotype of mature nfs1-1/ethel-1/atm3-1/ATM3 plants recovered from the F1 generation of a nfs1-1 plant cross fertilised with ethel-1/atm3-1/ATM3. Wild type (WT) and atm3-1 as comparison. Scale bar is 1 cm. B. Phenotype of mature nfs1-1/ethel-1/ATM3/ATM3 and nfs1-1/ethel-1/atm3-1/ATM3 plants recovered from the offspring from A. WT, nfs1-1 and nfs1-1/ethel-1 as comparison. Scale bar is 1 cm. C. Segregation of the atm3-1 allele in the offspring from indicated lines, including ethel-1/atm3-1/ATM3 (latter performed by Luke Browning).
Half of the analysed offspring from an \textit{ethe1-1nfs1-1/atm3-1/ATM3} were heterozygous for the \textit{atm3-1} allele ($\chi^2$ goodness of fit $p = 0.423$) but it was not possible to isolate a triple mutant (Figure 4.7C). The parental line did not show any obvious growth difference to the \textit{ethe1-1nfs1-1} double mutant (Figure 4.7B). As there are no visible growth defects in mature plants, it is likely that the lack of the \textit{atm3-1} allele is due to defect in seed formation or fertilisation.

Luke Browning analysed the segregation from \textit{ethe1-1atm3-1ATM3} plants. Here the \textit{atm3-1/ATM3} genotype in comparison to the ATM3 genotype was found in a ratio close to 2:1 and a few plants were found to be homozygous for \textit{atm3-1} which indicates that the \textit{atm3-1} genotype is lethal.

To investigate if no triple mutant was recovered due to fertilisation or embryo development defects I analysed the seed segregation in siliques of \textit{ethe1-1ethe1-1nfs1-1nfs1-1atm3-1/ATM3} plants in comparison to those of the \textit{nfs1-1ethe1-1} mutant (see Figure 4.8).

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=0.5\textwidth]{Figure4.8.png}
\caption{Segregation analysis of the \textit{atm3} allele in \textit{nfs1-1ethe1-1atm3-1/ATM3} and \textit{nfs1-1ethe1-1} plants. A. Silique phenotype. Scale bar siliques 1 mm. B. Seed phenotype of mature siliques. B. Quantification of seed segregation in mature siliques from 2-4 plants.}
\end{figure}

Siliques from \textit{nfs1-1ethe1-1} plants were wild-type like with 95.9% healthy seeds compared to 97.2% (Figure 4.1 and 4.8). In contrast, siliques from \textit{ethe1-1nfs1-1atm3-1/ATM3} plants only had 65.8% healthy seeds.

To conclude, the \textit{atm3-1/ATM3} genotype was only found in combination with the homozygous \textit{nfs1-1} genotype when segregating from a \textit{nfs1-1/NFS1atm3-1/ATM3}
background but not in the absence NFS1. However, from an nfs1-1 homozygous genotype combination with an ethe1-1 homozygous genotype, the atm3-1 allele segregated 1:1 ATM3 to atm3-1/ATM3. The 1:1 genotype segregation indicates female sterility when the atm3-1 allele is present as defect pollen could be replaced by ATM3 pollen to fertilise healthy ovules in case of male sterility of the atm3-1 genotype. The segregation ratio of the atm3-1/ATM3 genotype was found to be higher in the ethe1-1 background than in the nfs1-1 background. All in all this shows that the combination of a nfs1-1 mutant allele and a atm3-1 mutant allele is more harmful than combining either, nfs1-1 or atm3-1 with an ethe1-1 allele.

4.3. Quantitative analysis of persulfide accumulation using a sulfane sulfur probe

As stated above, ATM3 could transport an oxidises glutathione carrying S\(^0\). A direct approach to test for persulfide accumulation was by using a S\(^0\) specific probe. Chemical compounds that can be used in-vivo to detect S\(^0\) have recently been developed (Chen et al., 2013). The Sulfane Sulfur Probe 4 (SSP4) is an improved version of the SSP2 which was described in (Chen et al., 2013) and kindly donated for testing in- planta. (Chen et al.) successfully tested SSP2 in mammalian cells; however, it was not clear if SSP4 would also be suitable for plant cells. The probe consists of an inactive fluorophore linked to a nucleophilic compound. Sulfane sulfur can react with the nucleophilic component to form an intermediate containing a SH group. The fluorophore serves as an electrophile causing the SH group to undergo a spontaneous cyclisation thus releasing an activated fluorophore (see Figure 4.9, taken from (Chen et al., 2013)).
I tested SSP4 \textit{in-vivo} on whole leaves, dissected embryos, root tissue and protoplasts of \textit{ethe1-atm3-1} mutants. The expectation was that $S^0$ staining would be most intense in \textit{ethe1-atm3-1} double mutants and localised in the mitochondria. However, it was difficult to find samples emitting a visible signal at all and results were not consistent with or between experiments. The comparison between the double mutant and the wild type showed hints of stronger staining in some experiments but this was not reproducible and not true for all experiments. To illustrate the localisation of the SSP4 probe, selected root samples and protoplast samples of the double mutant are shown in Figure 4.10. The probe will be discussed further in Section 4.5. The SSP4 probe has potential to be a very accurate tool to monitor persulfide accumulation \textit{in-vivo}, however, infiltration of plant material needs to be optimised and it is still unclear why the localisation of the probe is inconsistent.
Figure 4.10 Visualisation of sulfane sulfur in *ethe1-atm3-1* double mutants. Sulfane sulfur was detected using the specific sulfane sulfur probe 4 (SSP4) with maxima of excitation at 485 nm and emission at 515 nm. Excitation range was 460-500 nm, emission range 512-543 nm. A. SSP4 fluorescence in roots. Samples without SSP4 treatment served as a control. Scale bar is 20 μm. B. SSP4 fluorescence in leaf protoplasts. Scale bar is 10 μm.
4.4. Analysis of persulfide accumulation by measuring Complex IV activity

As described in Section 1.5 Sulfur components and especially non-physiological levels of the signalling molecule H₂S strongly inhibit cytochrome c oxidase activity (Complex IV) in mammals and plants and thus a decrease of Complex IV activity could indicate sulfide accumulation as shown in (Birke et al., 2012). This could possibly be used to indirectly detect persulfide accumulation in the mitochondria. Cytochrome c oxidase activity can be quantified in isolated mitochondria by measuring the oxidation of cytochrome c photospectrometrically (see Figure 4.11). Activity of Complex II/III was used as a reference. Isolation of mitochondria requires a large amount of plant tissue and as the ethe1-latm3-1 double mutant is infertile and only few plants are found from a segregating parent. Thus I generated root callus cultures for mitochondria isolation. Measurements were carried out from 4-5 preparations and assays were repeated 4-5 times. However, it was not possible to yield conclusive data from the measurements, as the values for cytochrome c oxidase activity were very variable and thus no conclusions could be drawn (see Figure 4.12).

To conclude, indirect assessment of persulfide accumulation by measuring Complex IV activities in mitochondria from callus cultures were found to be not suitable and a viable double mutant with a strong phenotype would be needed for further experiments.
Schematic diagram of the respiratory chain. The mitochondrial respiratory chain is shown schematically. Combined Complex II+III activity was measured by addition of succinate for electron donation and oxidised cytochrome c as an electron acceptor. Enzyme activity was monitored as the reduction of cytochrome c (increasing absorption at 550 nm). Non-specific cytochrome c reduction was measured after inhibition with Antimycin A. Complex IV activity was measured as the oxidation of cytochrome c which was measured spectrophotometrically at 550 nm. Non-specific cytochrome c oxidation was measured after inhibition of Complex IV with potassium cyanide (KCN).

**Figure 4.11 Schematic diagram of the respiratory chain.**

- **I** = NADH:Ubiquinone-Oxidoreductase,
- **II** = Succinate:Ubiquinone-Oxidoreductase,
- **III** = Ubiquinone: Cytochrome-c-Oxidoreductase,
- **IV** = Cytochrome-c-oxidase, **V** = ATP-synthase, **DH** = dehydrogenases, **AOX** = alternative oxidase, **cytC** = cytochrome c, **ox** = oxidised, **red** = reduced
Figure 4.12 Complex IV activity in callus mitochondria of *ethe1-atm3-1, atm3-1, ethe1-1* and wild type. Complex IV activity was measured as the oxidation of cytochrome *c* at 550 nm. Complex II+III activity was measured as the reduction of cytochrome *c* at 550 nm. Values are the mean of 3-5 measurements ±SD. A. Complex IV activities in mU/mg. B. Normalised complex IV activity displayed as the ratio of complex IV to complex II+III activity.
4.5. Discussion

As discussed above, the substrate of ATM3 was proposed to be an oxidised glutathione-persulfide. Evidence for the persulfide being transported is difficult to obtain \textit{in-vitro} and more so \textit{in-vivo}. As an indirect approach to gain \textit{in-vivo} data, I conducted genetic interaction studies with \textit{ATM3} and three other components of the mitochondrial sulfur metabolism: \textit{NFS1} which mobilises \textit{S}^0\textit{ from from cysteine}, \textit{ETHE1} which is involved in sulfide detoxification during amino acid break down and \textit{OASTLC} which contributes to cysteine homeostasis by generating cysteine from sulfide and O-acetylserine. The genetic interaction studies showed that \textit{ATM3} synergistically interacts with \textit{ETHE1} as well as \textit{NFS1} but not with \textit{OASTLC}.

The interaction of \textit{ATM3} with \textit{NFS1} is a good indicator that ATM3 transports a substrate containing a \textit{S}^0\textit{ as NFS1 is the only cysteine desulfurase in the mitochondria. The interaction between \textit{ATM3} and \textit{ETHE1} provides further evidence as ETHE1 was found to be highly specific for glutathione-persulfide (Krussel et al., 2014). Thus, if ATM3 does transport an oxidised glutathione-persulfide it would be possible that excess glutathione-persulfide in \textit{atm3} mutants is detoxified by ETHE1.

The SSP4 probe was tested successfully in human cell cultures before (Chen et al., 2013). When testing the probe in plant cells I observed inconsistency of the SSP4 fluorescence and it seemed that the localisation varied as well. Especially in protoplasts it was evident that the probe located to the chloroplasts, cytosol and mitochondria to varying extents between preparations of the mutant line \textit{ethe1-3atm3-1}. Of all tested tissues (dissected embryos, leaves, roots, protoplasts) only root tips and protoplasts showed a signal that was significantly different from the untreated control. It is possible that the plant cell wall inhibits SSP4 uptake. The cell wall is removed during protoplast preparation which probably leads to the uptake in protoplast samples. Roots are prone to take up the probe during natural liquid uptake. Root hairs should be more susceptible for uptake of SSP4 as the cell wall here is thinner, however, no increase in SSP4 signal was found in this tissue compared to other root cells. The strong signal in root tips specifically could be due to the high cell and mitochondria density in this tissue. However, it was difficult to locate mitochondrial patterns. It remained unclear if the signal was specifically higher in mitochondria. Unfortunately, neither the signal in root tips nor in protoplasts was stably reproducible. It remains unclear if this was due to inconsistent penetration of
the probe or if sulfur accumulation of the same plant line varied. For future research it will be necessary to test the uptake into plant cells also using methods to increase permeability of whole plant cells and to investigate the variation in localisation.

As a different approach of measuring persulfide accumulation I tested an assay to measure the decrease of Complex IV activity in isolated mitochondria as an indicator for sulfur toxicity. The results from this assay were variable. This could be due to suppressor mutations occurring in the cell cultures. The initial root tissue cultures of double mutants grew very slowly in comparison to parental and wild-type lines. Callus cultures need to be maintained over several months to harvest enough material and cultures of double mutants showed enhanced growth over time (data not shown). Suppressor mutations can occur in a high frequency in callus tissue and could overcome the effect of the original mutations, however, more research would be needed to fully understand the reason for improved growth. Another factor that has to be considered is the isolation procedure of mitochondria which could stress cells to varying extends, depending on the quality of the preparation leading to altered metabolism.

It is, however, also possible that the accumulation of persulfide due to the lack of export by ATM3 and the lack of detoxification by ETHE1 in the ethe1-atm3-1 double mutant never reaches a level that would cause notable damage to complex IV activity. In this case even the optimisation of sample production would not show a decrease of complex IV activity in the double mutant.

Taken together, further optimisation is needed for successful in-vivo visualisation of persulfide accumulation in Arabidopsis in a quantitative way. ATM3 shows synergistic genetic interactions with NFS1 which mobilises a $S^0$ which is then thought to be incorporated into oxidised glutathione and with ETHE1 which uses a glutathione-persulfide as a substrate but not with OASTLC which uses sulfide exclusively. This provides in-vivo evidence that ATM3 does transport a glutathione with an additional $S^0$ as it is needed for FeS assembly.
5. The role of glutaredoxins in mitochondrial and cytosolic FeS assembly

The mitochondrial and cytosolic FeS assembly pathways in plants are linked by the mitochondrial components NFS1 and ATM3 (Bernard et al., 2009; Bernard et al., 2013). As discussed before, there is in-vitro evidence that ATM3 exports a glutathione compound with an additional $S^0$ into the cytosol (Schaedler et al., 2014). In Chapter 3 I provided supporting in-vivo evidence for the transport of oxidised glutathione. It is possible that glutaredoxins are involved in the assembly or transfer of the glutathione compound transported by ATM3. Class II monothiol glutaredoxins have been linked to FeS assembly in yeast and animals (see Chapter 1.6). The mitochondrial monothiol glutathione Grx5 in yeast is vital for the activity of FeS cluster enzymes (Rodriguez-Manzaneque et al., 2002). In plants all four identified monothiol glutaredoxins GRXS14-17 were shown to complement the yeast grx5Δ mutant. GRXS14 and GRXS16 are located in the plastids, GRXS17 in the cytosol and GRXS15 in the mitochondria (Bandyopadhyay et al., 2008; Cheng, 2008; Knuesting et al., 2015; Moseler et al., 2015). However, it remains unclear if and how plant glutaredoxins are involved in FeS assembly as in-planta data is scarce. In Arabidopsis, the nucleo-cytosolic GRXS17 and the mitochondrial GRXS15 glutaredoxin are the only confirmed class II glutaredoxin in the respective compartment. Arabidopsis mutants of GRXS17 and GRXS15 have become available recently which provided a good opportunity to study the effect of glutaredoxin depletion on FeS assembly in-vivo. The results for GRXS17 were included in the publication by (Knuesting et al., 2015) and will be presented first.

5.1. The growth phenotype of grxS17 mutant plants differs from that of atm3 mutants

To investigate the effect of GRXS17 depletion on cytosolic FeS enzymes three grxS17 lines (kindly donated by the group of Pascal Rey, Biosciences and Biotechnologies Institute of Aix-Marseille) were analysed: a T-DNA insertion line with no detectable GRXS17 protein (grxS17), a partially complemented grxS17 line (C3) and a fully complemented grxS17 line (C17); the complemented plants were
transformed with the *GRXS17* cDNA under the control of the CaMV-35S promoter (see Knuesting et al., 2015). *grxs17* mutants were compared to the intermediate *atm3-4* and the severe *atm3-1* mutants, which served as a reference for the phenotype of mutants involved in cytosolic FeS assembly. *grxS17* plants show elongation of petioles under standard conditions which is increased under heat stress conditions at 28°C (Cheng et al., 2011) and thus experiments were conducted on plants grown under both conditions (see Figure 5.1).

**Figure 5.1 Growth of grxS17 mutants at 22°C and 28°C.** *grxS17* knock-out mutants, two complemented lines (C3, C17), two *atm3* alleles and the wild type (WT) were grown for 14 days under standard conditions (22°C) or transferred after 7 days to 28°C.

Under standard growth conditions, the *grxS17* knock-out had elongated petioles and narrow, elongated leaves. In contrast, *atm3* mutant lines were chlorotic and delayed in growth. The *grxS17* phenotype was partially rescued in the complemented C3 line. The complemented line C17 had a fully restored growth phenotype. Under high temperatures (28°C), the *grxS17* mutants showed a further increase in petiole length and the meristem is arrested (not shown, see (Knuesting et al., 2015)). The C17 line was not fully rescued under these conditions in comparison to the wild type. At 28°C the *atm3* mutants had elongated petioles but did not show any other visible phenotype alterations. It has to be noted that the *grxS17* mutant presented here is a full knock-out and shows considerable differences to *atm3-1* which has part-functional ATM3 protein. A full knock-out of ATM3, the *atm3-2* T-DNA insertion mutant, was described to be male sterile with dwarfed, chlorotic plants
(Bernard et al., 2009). This indicates that GRXS17 has a non-essential function under standard growth conditions.

5.2. grxS17 mutant plants have a minor decrease in FeS enzyme activities

In order to determine whether FeS enzyme activities in the cytosol or mitochondria are altered in grxS17 mutants I investigated protein stabilities and activities of the cytosolic and mitochondrial isoforms of aconitase and isoforms of the cytosolic aldehyde oxidase (see Figure 5.2). Aconitase protein levels were analysed by immunoblotting. The grxS17 and C3 plants were found to have a decrease in aconitase activity, similar to atm3 mutants under both temperature conditions while the aconitase activity in C17 line was similar to the wild type. However, there was an inverse correlation between enzyme activity and mutant phenotype at 22°C and 28°C. Aconitase activities were measured in an in-gel assay which separated mitochondrial and cytosolic isoforms. grxS17 and C3 plants had decreased cytosolic aconitase activity and increased mitochondrial activity in comparison to the wild type, however, the change was not as severe as in atm3 mutants. Activities were partially complemented in the C17 mutant. Densitometric analysis of 3 repeats showed that the relative change in mitochondrial and cytosolic aconitase activities was similar under standard and elevated temperatures. All three grxS17 mutants showed a mild decrease in aldehyde oxidase activity under standard temperature conditions but not at 28°C. atm3 mutants had undetectable aldehyde oxidase activities under both conditions. PsaA levels served as a protein loading control and were unaltered in all samples. This also indicates that FeS assembly in the plastids is not affected in mutants of GRXS17. The data presented here show that FeS activity is only mildly affected in grxS17 knock-out plants, however, knock-out of components of FeS assembly (like NFS1 and the cytosolic assembly proteins AE7, NAR1, DRE2, NBP35) are lethal (Bernard et al., 2009; Bernard et al., 2013). This indicates that GRXS17 does not have a function in de-novo FeS assembly. The results are included in the publication by (Knuesting et al., 2015) in which evidence is presented that GRXS17 interacts with the nuclear factor Y subunit C11 (NF-YC11) in the maintenance of the shoot apical meristem under long-day conditions.
Figure 5.2 FeS enzyme activities in grxS17 lines under standard and elevated temperature conditions. grxS17 knock-out mutants, two complemented lines (C3, C17), two atm3 alleles and the wild type (WT) were grown for 14 days under standard conditions (22°C) or transferred after 7 days to 28°C. A. The activities of aconitase and aldehyde oxidase isozymes were visualized as a formazan precipitate in a native gel assay using synthetic substrates. The cytosolic (cyto) and mitochondrial (mito) isoforms of aconitase are indicated. Protein levels of aconitase and PsaA (Photosystem I) were visualised by immunoblotting under denaturing conditions and labelling with specific antibodies B. Densitometric analysis of cytosolic and mitochondrial aconitase activity as quantified using ImageJ software. Values are percentages of the sum of all band intensities per lane (mean ± SD; n = 3).
5.3. Growth phenotype of grxS15 mutants in comparison to atm3

For investigation of the role of GRXS15 in FeS cluster assembly two mutant lines of GRXS15 were used: A grxS15 knock-out complemented with a copy containing a codon change to substitute K83 to A, which was generated by Anna Moseler (grxs15-3 UBQ10:GRXS15 K83>A). K83 is a conserved residue predicted to be involved in glutathione binding (Moseler et al., 2015). This line will be referred to as K83A. The second line was an amiRNA suppressor line generated by Elke Stroher and will be referred to as amiR (Stroher et al., 2016). The phenotypes of grxS15 mutants are shown in Figure 5.3. The phenotype of the amiR line was heterogeneous but all plants were smaller than the wild type. Individuals of the amiR line with a severe phenotype and all plants of the K83A line had dwarfed stature and altered root growth in common. When comparing these plants to atm3-1, the grxS15 mutants were smaller and only showed patches of chlorosis along the mid rib.

![Figure 5.3 Growth of grxS15 mutants. grxS15-3 knock-out mutants expressing UBQ10:GRXS15K83>A (K83A) and an amiRNA line (amiR) were grown alongside the strong atm3-1 allele and a wild type. Scale bar is 1 cm.](image-url)
5.4. \textit{grxS15} mutants have 40\% mitochondrial aconitase activity but no significant decrease in aldehyde oxidase activity

The two recent publications by the groups of Harvey Millar (Stroher et al., 2016) and Andreas Meyer (Moseler et al., 2015) suggested a role of GRXS15 in mitochondrial FeS assembly. However, (Moseler et al., 2015) measured a 65\% decrease in total aconitase while (Stroher et al., 2016) reported that there was no difference in aconitase activity in mitochondrial extracts. Thus I investigated further if \textit{grxS15} mutants have a mitochondrial or cytosolic FeS enzyme defect. I visualised the mitochondrial and cytosolic aconitase activity in an in-gel assay (Figure 5.4). Results are representative for three repeats of which one was performed with Anna Moseler.

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=0.5\textwidth]{Figure5.4.png}
\caption{Aconitase activities in whole leaf extracts of \textit{grxS15} mutants. Extracts were prepared from \textit{grxS15} mutants (K83A, amiR), \textit{atm3-1} mutants and a wild type (WT) grown for 2 weeks on plates and then transferred to soil and grown for further 3 weeks. Aconitase activity was measured in a native in-gel assay coupled to activity of isocitrate dehydrogenase activity and the formation of a purple precipitant. Aconitase protein levels were visualised by immunoblotting of an identical gel under denaturing conditions. Total protein staining served as a loading control.}
\end{figure}

In the in-gel assay, wild-type plants showed a very characteristic pattern of one strong cytosolic band and two significantly weaker mitochondrial bands (Bernard et al., 2009) which are sometimes not separated and appear as one. The \textit{atm3-1} plants have strongly decreased cytosolic activity and can have increased mitochondrial activity (not visible in figure 1.4). Both \textit{grxS15} mutant lines had consistently decreased cytosolic aconitase activity and the mitochondrial activities were increased.
To determine quantitatively if mitochondrial aconitase activity is affected I measured the enzyme activity spectrometrically in isolated mitochondria of wild-type, K83A and amiR plants. The mitochondria for the grxS15 mutants were isolated in two different preparations and the activities are shown as a percentage of the wild-type which was included on each day of the mitochondrial preparation to account for variations of mitochondria-quality between preparations (Figure 5.5).

**Figure 5.5 Aconitase activities in mitochondria of grxS15 mutants.** Mitochondria were extracted from grxS15 mutants (K83A, amiR) and a wild type (WT). Plants were grown on soil for 29-35 days. Aconitase (ACO) activity was measured in a spectrometric assay coupled to activity of isocitrate dehydrogenase activity and the conversion of NADP to NADPH at 340 nm (two technical repeats). Activities are shown as percentage of the wild type (WT). ACO and translocase of the mitochondria 40 (TOM40) protein levels were visualised by immunoblotting of an identical gel under denaturing conditions. Total protein staining served as a loading control.
The activity of mitochondrial aconitase is decreased by about 60% of the wild-type activity in both mutant samples. This experiment was performed on one biological replicate with two technical repeats. However, the decrease in mitochondria activity was confirmed by Anna Moseler (personal communication). Considering the strength of the mutant phenotype of the K83A line, this decrease in aconitase activity is not severe enough to account for the growth defect.

I also measured aldehyde oxidase activities in total leaf extracts in a native in-gel assay (Figure 5.6). Aldehyde oxidase activities were found to be wild-type like in both grxS15 mutants while atm3-1 had no detectable aldehyde oxidase activity.

To summarise, mitochondrial extracts of grxS15 mutants had decreased aconitase activity. Aconitase protein levels and aldehyde oxidase activities were found to be similar to the wild type. This indicates that GRXS15 is not essential for de-novo FeS assembly and the observed defects could be due to secondary effects on FeS assembly or insertion.

Figure 5.6 Aldehyde oxidase activities in whole seedling extracts of grxS15 mutants. Extracts were prepared from grxS15 mutants (K83A, amiR), atm3-1 and a wild type (WT). Plants were grown on ½-MS agar for two weeks. Aldehyde oxidase activity was measured in a native in-gel assay coupled to the formation of a purple precipitant. Total protein was visualised in an identical gel under denaturing conditions.
5.5. *grxS15* mutant plants may have a defect in biotin and lipoate cofactor synthesis

(Stroher et al., 2016) showed that lipoate cofactor biosynthesis is affected in *grxS15* mutants for specific proteins: lipoate cofactor binding was decreased for H-proteins which are part of the glycine decarboxylase complex. Two out of three tested isoforms of E2 subunits of pyruvate dehydrogenase but not for an isoform of the E2 subunit of branched-chain acid dehydrogenase also had less lipoate. Furthermore, they found that lipoate synthase 1 (Lip1) levels were decreased in the *amiR* line. Lipoate synthase contains a Fe₄S₄ cluster which is turned over during enzyme function as two sulfur atoms from the cluster are incorporated into octanoate to form lipoate (Marquet et al., 2001). First, I repeated the immunoblot analysis for lipoate cofactor binding and I included the *K83A* mutant which has not been tested before. Lipoate cofactor labelling was performed with Andrew Maclean (Group of Janneke Balk, John Innes Centre, Norwich) (Figure 5.7A). The H-protein immunoblot was generated by Andrew Maclean and is displayed for comparison with his permission (Figure 5.7B). Specific antibodies were used for immunodetection of the lipoate cofactor (Figure 5.7). Both *grxS15* lines showed a decrease of lipoated H-protein. A decrease in lipoated H-protein has been observed in the *amiR* line before by Stroher et al (2016) which was now observed for the K83A line as well. The levels of H-protein were not affected, indicating a lack of lipoate cofactor or a defect in incorporation into H-proteins.
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Figure 5.7 Lipoate proteins in grxS15 mutants. A. Mitochondria were extracted from grxS15 mutants (K83A, amiR) and a wild type (WT). Plants were grown on soil for 29-35 days. Lipoate proteins were labelled with a lipoate specific antibody after denaturing PAGE. Total protein served as a loading control. Mitochondria extraction and lipoate labelling was performed with Andrew Maclean (Group of Janneke Balk, John Innes Centre, Norwich). B. H-protein levels in an identical sample as for A. Data displayed in figure B was generated by Andrew Maclean and is displayed here for comparison with his permission.

To further investigate if GRXS15 is involved in the repair of FeS I also analysed biotin cofactor assembly. Biotin synthase contains a Fe$_2$S$_2$ cluster which is turned over during enzyme function as a sulfur from the cluster is transferred to desthiobiotin to form biotin. I visualised biotin in leaf samples separated by denaturing PAGE using a Strep-tactin® antibody conjugated to horseradish peroxidase (Figure 5.8).
Figure 5.8 Biotin levels in whole seedling extracts of grxS15 mutants. Extracts were prepared from grxS15 mutants (K83A, amiR), two atm3 alleles and a wild type (WT). Plants were grown on ½-MS agar for ten days. Biotin-containing proteins were labelled immunologically after denaturing PAGE with antibody strep-tactin antibody conjugated with horseradish peroxidase (HRP) which is specific for biotin proteins. Actin and GRXS15 protein levels served as controls.

Biotin labelling in specific bands was decreased in the K83A sample and the amiR sample. To investigate if this is due to lack of sulfur transfer to desthiobiotin by biotin-synthase, I treated plants with desthiobiotin or biotin (Figures 5.9 and 5.10) prior to immunolabelling of biotin.
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Figure 5.9 Growth phenotype of grxS15 mutants treated with biotin or desthio-biotin. A. Biotin synthase reaction. Based on a model by (Picciocchi et al., 2003). ADX = adrenodoxin B. grxS15 mutants (K83A, amiR) and a wild type (WT) were grown on ½-MS agar with 1 μM biotin, 1 μM desthio-biotin or no additional treatment for ten days. For repeat A biotin was dissolved in DI H2O, for repeat B in DI H2O with a pH of 10.6 which resulted in an increase of pH from ~5.6 to ~6 in the agar.
Figure 5.10 Biotin proteins in grxS15 mutants treated with biotin or desthio-biotin. grxS15 mutants (K83A, amiR) and a wild type (WT) were grown on ½-MS agar with 1 μM biotin, 1 μM desthio-biotin or no additional treatment for ten days. For repeat A biotin was dissolved in DI H2O, for repeat B in DI H2O with a pH of 10.6 which resulted in an increase of pH from ~5.6 to ~6 in the agar. Biotin-containing proteins were labelled immunologically with a biotin-specific antibody after denaturing PAGE. Total protein served as a control.
The first experiment indicated that grxs15 mutant plants had improved growth and biotin synthesis appeared partially rescued upon treatment with both desthiobiotin and biotin. However, biotin was poorly dissolved in the first experiment, thus a repeat was performed with altered conditions to improve biotin solubility. For the second experiment, the pH of the biotin stock solution was adjusted to ~10.6 for complete dissolution of biotin. The pH of the agar was consequently at 6. Results were not as distinct as for the first experiment and were contradictory. Thus, it remains unclear if there is a biotin defect in grxs15 mutants and if biotin and/or desthiobiotin treatment can rescue chemically.

In summary, only little effect was seen on FeS protein activity and FeS turnover in grxs15 mutants which display a strong growth phenotype. The defect in lipoate cofactor biosynthesis was found by (Stroher et al., 2016) to be specific for H-proteins and two of three isoforms of a pyruvate dehydrogenase subunit while no effect was seen on the lipoate cofactor binding on the third isoform or a subunit of branched-chain acid dehydrogenase. All FeS specific defects seen could be due to secondary effects and it remains unclear what the exact function of GRXS15 is.

5.6. Discussion

Plant glutaredoxins have been proposed to be involved in de-novo FeS cluster assembly based on work in yeast and mammals (Couturier et al., 2013). However, investigation of the role of a cytosolic and a mitochondrial monothiol glutaredoxin in FeS assembly showed that severe mutants only had mild defects in FeS enzyme activity which could not account for the severity of the growth phenotype. This indicates that both glutaredoxins are not involved in de-novo FeS cluster assembly directly. GRXS17 and GRXS15 are the only monothiol glutaredoxins in the cytosol and mitochondria, respectively. Considering the involvement of glutaredoxins in FeS assembly in yeast (Rodriguez-Manzaneque et al., 2002) it is surprising that neither of the tested Arabidopsis homologues seem to have an obvious similar function. The cytosolic GRXS17 has been shown to interact with nuclear factor Y subunit C11/negative cofactor 2α (NF-YC11/NC2α) contributing to maintenance of the shoot apical meristem under long-day conditions by (Knuesting et al., 2015). Mutants of both, GRXS17 and NF-Y11 were sensitive to elevated temperatures and
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elongated photoperiods (Knuesting et al., 2015). Therefore, they are proposed to function in the relay of a redox signal in response to the photoperiod to maintain meristem function. Arabidopsis flowering time is dependent on various factors including the day length with long days promoting earlier flowering (Koornneef et al., 1998). Glutathione biosynthesis is linked to bolting and flowering time (Ogawa et al., 2001; Yanagida et al., 2004). (Knuesting et al., 2015) showed that grxS17 mutants had compromised shoot apical meristem and delayed bolting under long day conditions and suggested that GRXS17 could be involved in redox-sensing. Combined data from (Stroher et al., 2016) and (Moseler et al., 2015) showed that GRXS15 lacks most of the common functions of glutaredoxins like de glutathionylation and antioxidant reduction activities. (Stroher et al., 2016) discovered a defect in primary root length in mutants of GRXS15 which was aggravated by arsenite treatment and under long day conditions. Arsenite also severely decreases root tip respiration and rosette diameter. (Stroher et al., 2016) analysed protein contents in a mild grxS15 knock-down mutant and found changes in protein levels of some FeS and lipoate containing proteins. Arsenite is known to bind to lipoate (Spuches et al., 2005) and lipoate was found to protect against oxidative stress caused by arsenicals in rats (Dixit et al., 2011; Dwivedi et al., 2014; Shila et al., 2005). A plastidial glutaredoxin from the fern Pteris vittata has been shown to act in arsenite tolerance (Sundaram et al., 2008) and thus it is not unlikely that GRXS15 could have a similar function in Arabidopsis. The two recent publications regarding GRXS15 (Moseler et al., 2015; Stroher et al., 2016) show that this glutaredoxin seems to have none of the usual functions of a glutaredoxin. GRXS15 defects had most severe effects on the root meristem (Stroher et al., 2016) where it is also most highly expressed in plants (Brady et al., 2007). Interestingly, grxS15 mutants were sensitive to long-day conditions, similar to grxS17 mutants. As GRXS17 is involved in maintenance of the shoot apical meristem it could be possible that GRXS15 contributes to the maintenance of the root meristem. On the other hand, considering the severe effect of arsenite treatment on grxS15 mutants, a role in arsenite detoxification is very likely and would explain the high expression of GRXS15 in root tips. The specific role of GRXS15 still has to be determined and it remains unclear if the annotated or to date unidentified glutaredoxins play a primary role in FeS assembly.
6. Identification of new proteins with a function close to ATM3

As discussed in Section 1.2, the mitochondrial FeS assembly machinery is linked to the one in the cytosol but only little of this link is understood. The cysteine desulfurase NFS1 mobilises $S^0$ from cysteine in the mitochondria. The $S^0$ is used for mitochondrial FeS assembly but it is also thought to be transported into the cytosol (Lill, 2009), most likely in the form of glutathione-persulfide via ATM3 (Schaedler et al., 2014). As discussed in previous Sections 1.6 and 5, glutaredoxins are involved in de novo assembly of FeS clusters in yeast but evidence found so far in Arabidopsis points to a different role of the mitochondrial and cytosolic glutaredoxins in plants. Thus it remains unknown how the $S^0$ is incorporated into glutathione for transport across the mitochondrial membrane, how it is delivered to and from ATM3 and if there are any other acceptor proteins.

In order to find new components involved in mitochondrial-cytosolic persulfide transport with a function close to ATM3 I characterised four mutant lines from a pool of sirtinol-resistant mutants. The genetic screen using sirtinol was originally employed to find genes involved in auxin signalling (Zhao et al., 2003). However, mutants of four components of the cytosolic part of the MoCo assembly pathway (Dai et al., 2005; Zhao et al., 2003) and also two alleles of atm3 (Bernard et al., 2009) have been identified. The reason for detection of the MoCo and atm3 mutants lies in the enzyme aldehyde oxidase. Aldehyde oxidase mediates the last step of the reaction that converts sirtinol into a toxic auxin analogue and the enzyme requires the cofactors MoCo, FAD and two $Fe_2S_2$ clusters for activity (Mendel, 2011) (Figure 6.1A). In this chapter I present the characterisation of mutants with a phenotype resembling atm3 mutants and subsequent identification of the underlying mutation for two lines.
Figure 6.1 Scheme of sirtinol conversion reactions. Adapted from (Dai et al., 2005). A. The sirtinol screen was initially developed by (Zhao et al., 2003) to screen for auxin signalling mutants. The reaction intermediates were characterised by (Dai et al., 2005). Sirtinol is first converted by an unknown mechanism into 2-hydroxy-1-naphthaldehyde (HNA). HNA is converted into the toxic auxin analogue 2-hydroxy-1-naphthoic acid (HNC) by aldehyde oxidase. Aldehyde oxidase contains two FeS clusters, a MoCo and one flavin adenine dinucleotide (FAD). One of the aldehyde oxidase mediated reactions is the last step of auxin biosynthesis. B. Figure from (Simon and Petrasek, 2011), “structure of auxin species”.

6.1. Selection of four xd mutants for further characterisation (Nina Kahlfeldt, Delphine Bernard, Andrew Maclean)

The selection process for the four mutant lines characterised in this study was carried out by Nina Kahlfeldt (Kahlfeldt, 2006), Delphine Bernard and Andrew Maclean and is summarised in figure 1.2. A large pool of EMS mutants was analysed in search of additional mutants in the MoCo assembly by Nina Kahlfeldt (Group of Ralf Mendel and Florian Bittner, Institut für Pflanzenbiologie der Technischen Universität Braunschweig). The work was carried out in the laboratory of Yunde Zhao, Section of Cell and Developmental Biology, University of California at San Diego). Nina Kahlfeldt tested about 700 lines for sirtinol resistant root growth of young seedlings on plates. 211 of these showed a strong sirtinol resistance and the seedlings were transferred to soil. Based on visual phenotype characteristics plants were sorted into three groups “ABA3-like” (small, dark green leaves, early flowering), “Auxin-like”
(abnormal leaf morphology) and “MoCo-like” (chlorosis, normal leaf morphology, no early flowering). The cytosolic cysteine desulfurase ABA deficient 3 (ABA3) mediates the sulfur transfer to sulfurated MoCo which is vital for aldehyde oxidase and xanthine dehydrogenase (Bittner et al., 2001; Leon-Kloosterziel et al., 1996; Xiong et al., 2001). Nina Kahlfeldt chose 50 representative plants (with focus on the MoCo-like group) which were tested for enzyme activities of the MoCo-containing enzymes nitrate reductase (NR), aldehyde oxidase (AldOx) and xanthine dehydrogenase (XDH). Plant lines were sorted into 5 groups based on enzyme activity levels and 25 representative lines were tested for levels of MoCo/molybdopterin (MPT) and the levels of the first MoCo intermediate cyclic pyranopterin monophosphate (cPMP, in earlier literature referred to as PrecursorZ) using HPLC. Levels of MoCo and its direct precursor MPT were measured by generating a stable derivative and MoCo and MPT could not be distinguished by this method. Nina Kahlfeldt adapted the final grouping of the lines according to MoCo enzyme activity, MoCo/MPT and cPMP levels. Seven lines grouped with M2934, which was later identified as the allele atm3-4 (Bernard et al., 2009). These lines were xd31, xd32, xd54, xd442, xd460, xd576, xd724. The abbreviation was chosen for the initials of X. Dai who conducted the main work of the initial sirtinol screen (Dai et al., 2005; Zhao et al., 2003).
Figure 6.2 Work-flow for the selection of the four xd lines analysed in this study.
The selection resulting in the four lines characterised in this study was performed by Nina Kahlfeldt, Delphine Bernard and Andrew Maclean. Nina Kahlfeldt performed the initial large scale screen and grouping of lines. Delphine Bernard showed that NH4NO3 treatment improves growth of the MoCo mutant cnx5/sir1. Andrew Maclean performed preliminary NH4NO3 treatments and aconitase activity measurements.

Analysing mutants that grouped with the atm3-4 allele might reveal an unknown component of the CIA pathway. However, Nina Kahlfeldt’s assays did not distinguish between MoCo and FeS mutants. Delphine Bernard (Group of Janneke Balk, University of Cambridge) initiated studies to separate MoCo mutants and
Chapter 6 Identification of new proteins with a function close to ATM3

atm3-like mutants. She developed a treatment with NH₄NO₃ which should chemically complement a nitrate reductase (MoCo) defect allowing to distinguish between mutants of the MoCo pathway and atm3-like mutants (Section 1.4 and Figure 6.3A). Indeed, treatment with 50 mM NH₄NO₃ improved growth of the cnx5 point mutant sir1 (first characterised by (Zhao et al., 2003)) but caused a mild growth delay in atm3 mutants. In addition to the 7 lines grouping with atm3-4 from Nina Kahlfeldt’s work, Delphine Bernard included the lines xd22 and xd105 because of phenotype similarities to atm3 mutants (such as mild chlorosis and narrow leaves). The seed stock for line xd442 was found to be not viable and thus was not analysed. Andrew Maclean performed preliminary tests on the aforementioned lines for response to NH₄NO₃ watering and for activity of cytosolic aconitase. He found that the lines xd22, xd31, xd105 and xd460 showed an atm3-like response to NH₄NO₃ watering and decreased cytosolic aconitase activity (Table 1.1) suggesting that the underlying mutations affect components of the cytosolic FeS assembly.

Table 6.1 Results of preliminary tests for 8 selected lines. Cytosolic aconitase activity is decreased in mutants in ATM3 and cytosolic FeS assembly. Treatment with 50 mM NH₄NO₃ restores growth of a cnx5 mutant but not of atm3 mutants. Data from Andrew Maclean (Rotation report, 2013).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>line</th>
<th>Aconitase activity under standard conditions</th>
<th>Growth upon NH₄NO₃ treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>wild type</td>
<td>unaltered</td>
<td>unaltered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cnx5</td>
<td>all decreased</td>
<td>improved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>atm3-1</td>
<td>cytosolic activity decreased</td>
<td>delayed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>xd22</td>
<td>all strongly decreased</td>
<td>strongly delayed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>xd31</td>
<td>all strongly decreased</td>
<td>delayed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>xd32</td>
<td>wild-type like</td>
<td>improved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>xd54</td>
<td>wild-type like</td>
<td>unaltered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>xd105</td>
<td>no cytosolic activity, decreased mitochondrial activity</td>
<td>delayed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>xd442</td>
<td>not viable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>xd460</td>
<td>cytosolic activity decreased</td>
<td>delayed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>xd576</td>
<td>wild-type like</td>
<td>delayed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>xd724</td>
<td>wild-type like</td>
<td>improved</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6.2. \textit{xd22} and \textit{xd105} show delayed growth upon NH$_4$NO$_3$ watering

To validate that \textit{xd22}, \textit{xd31}, \textit{xd105} and \textit{xd460} were similar to atm3 in their response to NH$_4$NO$_3$, I repeated the treatment. Plants were grown under standard conditions for two weeks before treatment. After that, plants were watered with 50 mM NH$_4$NO$_3$ as the sole source of liquid or with DI water for the mock treatment (Figure 1.3B). The mutant lines were compared to a wild type of the Landsberg ecotype (ecotype background of the \textit{xd} mutants), two \textit{atm3} alleles and \textit{cnx5}. Wild-type plants were only mildly affected by NH$_4$NO$_3$ treatment and showed a darker shade of green of the leaves. Both \textit{atm3} alleles stayed chlorotic under these conditions but also were additionally delayed in growth. The \textit{cnx5} mutant, which was chlorotic and delayed in growth under standard conditions, shows a significant improvement in growth when treated with NH$_4$NO$_3$, as observed by Delphine Bernard. Under standard conditions (H$_2$O watering), the mutants \textit{xd22} and \textit{xd31} were similar in appearance to \textit{atm3} and \textit{cnx5} mutants, in that they were both chlorotic and dwarfed. While \textit{xd31} plants were rescued in growth by the treatment, \textit{xd22} plants did not survive a 12 day course of NH$_4$NO$_3$ watering. \textit{xd105} plants had a resemblance to \textit{atm3-4} under both conditions. An additional phenotype later in plant development was early bolting (Figure 6.3B) and early senescence of rosette leaves (data not shown). \textit{xd460} plants were wild-type like with and without treatment in early plant development but this line showed a heterogeneous phenotype in late growth stages unrelated to the treatment (data not shown). Thus, \textit{xd22} and \textit{xd105} were chosen for further analysis.
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Figure 6.3 Growth of *xd22*, *xd31*, *xd105* and *xd460* under ammonium nitrate treatment. A. Scheme of the nitrogen assimilation reactions catalysed by nitrate reductase and nitrite reductase. B. Growth of *xd22*, *xd31*, *xd105* and *xd460* watered with DI water or 50 mM NH₄NO₃. A Landsberg wild type (WT Ler), two *atm3* alleles and the MoCo mutant *cnx5* served as controls. Plants were grown on soil for 2 weeks and then treated as indicated for 12 days. Scale bar is 2 cm.
6.3. Aconitase activities in *xd22* and *xd105* are impaired

To further distinguish between FeS and MoCo mutants, enzymes activities of the FeS enzyme aconitase were measured in a spectrometric coupled assay of cytosolic fractions and in an in-gel assay (mitochondrial and cytosolic isoforms) (see Figure 6.4). Aconitase mediates the conversion of citrate to cis-aconitate to isocitrate. Isocitrate can be converted to α-ketoglutarate by the NADP-dependent isocitrate-dehydrogenase, which couples the activity of aconitase to NADPH production which can be followed at 340 nm (Stehling et al., 2007). To obtain a cytosolic fraction the cell extract was filtered through Miracloth with 22-25 µm pore size and separated by centrifugation in a microcentrifuge tube. Mitochondria, chloroplasts and cell debris were pelleted while larger cell debris remained in the filter. In the *atm3-1* mutant cytosolic aconitase activity was strongly decreased to 19.5 ± 2.1% of the wild-type. In *xd22* mutants aconitase activity was decreased to 17.2 ± 1.3% and for *xd105* to 14.6 ± 6.2% (see Figure 6.4A).
Figure 6.4 Aconitase activities in xd22 and xd105 mutants compared to wild type and atm3-1. A. Cytosolic aconitase activity measured by a photospectrometric assay in which aconitase activity is coupled to isocitrate dehydrogenase and NADPH production. Cytosolic fractions were isolated from leaf samples of 24-day-old soil-grown plants. Values are the mean ± SD (2 biological, 2 technical repeats.) B. Activity of aconitase isozymes separated by native starch-PAGE. Plants were grown for 2 weeks on ½-MS agar, activities were visualised in-gel coupled to isocitrate dehydrogenase activity and, formation of a purple precipitant. Results are representative for three biological repeats (independent preparations). Total protein was visualised by Ponceau S staining after SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting of a sample from the same extract. C. Ratio of cytosolic to mitochondrial activities quantified from bands in (B) using the ImageJ software.
In order to analyze mitochondrial as well as the cytosolic isoforms of aconitase, I performed a native in-gel assay as described by (Bernard et al., 2009). The gel shown in Figure 6.4B is representative for three biological repeats. Wild-type samples showed a pattern characteristic of rosette leaves with a stronger cytosolic activity and two weak mitochondrial bands (Bernard et al., 2009). In *atm3* mutants cytosolic aconitase activity was decreased and mitochondrial activity was increased in one or both isoforms. The *xd105* mutant line showed decreased cytosolic activity and slightly increased mitochondrial activity. Densitometric analysis was performed as it was found to be very useful for assessing the relative changes in aconitase activities (as shown in Section 5.2). While the gel does not clearly show a decrease in cytosolic activity of *xd22* samples, densitometric analysis revealed that the ratio between cytosolic and mitochondrial activity is strongly decreased in *xd22* and *xd105* samples and that the ratio is similar to *atm3-1* samples (Figure 6.4C). The ratios showed a similar trend in all experiments despite variation in the absolute intensity.

To conclude, *xd22* and *xd105* were shown to have decreased cytosolic aconitase activity and thus I proceeded to identify the mutations underlying the phenotypes.

### 6.4. *xd105* but not *xd22* is allelic with *atm3*

Before continuing with mapping and sequencing of the *xd22* and *xd105* lines, I tested if either is allelic to *atm3*. Due to the selection process for *atm3*-like mutants and due to the previous identification of already two mutant alleles of *atm3* from the sirtinol screen it was possible that the *xd22* and *xd105* lines could be other *atm3* alleles. For an allelism test, two homozygous mutant lines are crossed and the F1 is analysed to test if the mutations affect the same gene. Unlinked recessive mutations should have a restored phenotype while recessive mutations of the same gene maintain the defect. *xd22*, *xd105* and both *atm3* alleles are recessive (as found in various backcrosses, data not shown). *xd22* and *xd105* were crossed with both *atm3-1* and *atm3-4*. F1 seeds were collected and the growth phenotype was analysed in young seedlings grown on ½-MS agar.
The F1 generation of xd22 crosses with atm3 alleles was very similar to the wild type and did not show the chlorosis and dwarfed growth characteristic for all parents or the pronounced veins characteristic of atm3 mutants. The F1 plants from the cross between xd105 and atm3 alleles grew bigger than the parental lines as well as the wild types, which was surprising (Figure 6.5). However, this could be caused by heterosis. This effect describes the increased growth vigour of heterozygous crosses over the parental lines which is exploited in crops for yield improvement. The exact mechanisms leading to the improved growth are not yet fully understood (Charlesworth and Willis, 2009; Hochholdinger and Hoecker, 2007; Lippman and Zamir, 2007; Meyer et al., 2004). In this particular case, however, atm3 alleles are known to accumulate mutations (Luo et al., 2012). Crossing of two atm3 lines then restores to many of the damaged loci and could lead to improved growth. The F1 generation of xd105 crosses was slightly chlorotic reminiscent of the parents and showed pronounced leaf veins (not visible in Figure 6.5). However, all changes were not strongly pronounced and it was difficult to distinguish between rescued growth phenotype due to non-allelic parents or due to heterosis.
Figure 6.5 F1 plants and parental lines from crosses between xd105 or xd22 and two atm3 alleles. The xd lines were crossed with the weak atm3-4 or the strong atm3-1 allele. F1 seeds were grown on ½-MS agar. A wild type of the atm3 ecotype background (Columbia, WT Col-0) and the xd ecotype background (Landsberg, WT Ler) served as controls. Scale bar is 0.5 cm. A. 11-day-old seedlings of F1 plants and parental lines of xd22 crosses. B. 15-day-old seedlings of F1 plants and parental lines of xd105 crosses.
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Figure 6.6 Aldehyde oxidase activities in F1 plants and parental lines from crosses between xd105 or xd22 and two atm3 alleles. Plants were grown on ½-MS agar and aldehyde oxidase activities were visualised in a native in-gel assay coupled to the formation of the purple formazan. A wild type of the atm3 ecotype background (Columbia, WT Col-0) and the xd ecotype background (Landsberg, WT Ler) served as controls. A. Aldehyde oxidase activities in 16-day-old F1 plants and parental lines of xd22 crosses. B. Aldehyde oxidase activities in 20-day-old F1 plants and parental lines of xd105 crosses. Total protein was visualised by Ponceau S staining after SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting of a sample from the same extract.

As it was not clear if the growth phenotype of F1 plants was rescued, the aldehyde oxidase levels were analysed as a biochemical marker for restoration of the mutant phenotype (see Figure 6.6). In F1 plants from xd22 crosses with atm3, aldehyde oxidase activities were restored to wild-type levels. F1 plants of xd105 crosses with atm3 did not show recovery of the enzyme activities. Thus it can be concluded that xd105 carries a mutation in the ATM3 gene, while the mutation of xd22 is in a different location.
6.5. *xd105* is an *atm3* allele with a point mutation leading to an amino acid change in the 6\textsuperscript{th} transmembrane helix

To identify the mutation of *xd105*, I sequenced the *ATM3* gene and located a single point mutation (G1271>A) in the 13\textsuperscript{th} exon (Figure 1.7 A). This changes codon GGA to GAA, predicted to cause an amino acid change from glycine to glutamate at position 424 (Figure 1.7B). Gly424 is located in the 6\textsuperscript{th} transmembrane helix of ATM3 (Srinivasan et al., 2014) (see Figure 6.7C).

The alignment of ATM3 with homologs in mammals, fungi and bacteria (Figure 6.7C) shows that the residue occurs mainly as a glutamine or glycine (or a threonine for the bacterium *Novosphingobium aromaticivorans*). In contrast to these, glutamate contains a carboxyl group in its side chain which has a pKa of ~4. This means that the amino acid exchange caused by the *xd105* mutation introduces an additional negative charge at physiological pH.

As mentioned above, the G424E substitution is located in the 6\textsuperscript{th} transmembrane region of *ATM3* and to investigate if this could alter protein folding I analysed transmembrane prediction data. Four different transmembrane prediction online tools predicted that G424>E disrupts the transmembrane region (Figure 6.8).
Figure 6.7 Gene model of ATM3 and amino acid homology in the region of the xd105 mutation. **A.** Gene model of ATM3 (AT5G58270) with indicated positions of other atm3 alleles. Exons are black boxes, introns are lines, UTRs are white boxes. **B.** Nucleotide sequence alignment of the xd105 and the wild-type sequence with amino acid translation. The alignment was performed using the Clustal Omega server. **C.** Amino acid alignment of the 6th transmembrane region of Arabidopsis ATM3 with metazoan and bacterial homologs. Protein sequences were acquired from and aligned in the UniProt database: *Arabidopsis thaliana* (accession no. Q9LVM1) (ARATH), *Homo sapiens* (accession no. O75027) (HUMAN), *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (accession no. P40416) (YEAST), *Novosphingobium aromaticivorans* (accession no. Q2G506) (NOVAD), *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* (accession no. O14286) (SCHPO), *Neurospora crassa* (accession no. Q7RX59) (NEUCR), *Candida albicans* (accession no. Q59R09) (CANAL), *Cryptococcus neoformans var. neoformans* (accession no. P0CL92) (CRYNJ), *Ustilago maydis* (accession no. Q4PH16) (USTMA). Shading was performed using the BoxShade server. Shading indicates the level of conservation if 50% of the sequences match; black = identical amino acids, grey = similar amino acids.
Figure 6.8 Transmembrane helix prediction for the ATM3 protein sequence with the wild type residue or G242E substitution.

A. TMPred (http://www.ch.embnet.org/cgi-bin/TMPRED_form_parser); Arrows indicate peaks for the 6th transmembrane region.
B. DAS (http://www.sbc.su.se/~miklos/DAS/tmdas.cgi)

C. TMHMM2 (www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/TMHMM-2.0/)

D. HMMTOP (http://www.enzim.hu/hmmtop/server/hmmtop.cgi). i = inside; o = outside, h = transmembrane helix. Lower/upper case = low/high confidence.
6.6. *xd105 (atm3-5)* lacks ATM3 protein

Misfolding of the transmembrane region can lead to protein degradation and to investigate whether the G1271>A (Gly424Glu) mutation does affect ATM3 protein levels, I isolated mitochondria and detected ATM3 levels by immunoblotting (Figure 6.9).

![Image of immunoblot](image)

**Figure 6.9** ATM3 protein levels in wild-type, *xd105 (atm3-5), atm3-1*. Plants were grown for 5 weeks on soil under short day conditions. ATM3 levels were detected immunologically on isolated mitochondria. Translocase of the Outer mitochondrial Membrane 40 (TOM40) levels served as control for equal loading and mitochondrial purity. WT Ler = wild type Landsberg.

ATM3 protein levels were undetectable in mitochondria from *xd105*. These results confirm that *xd105* is another *atm3* allele and is from now on referred to as *atm3-5*. However, the absence of ATM3 protein could be due to protein degradation or due to decreased expression. To test this, I performed semi-quantitative reverse-transcriptase PCR. *ATM3* transcript levels in *atm3-5* were found to be comparable to wild-type levels (Figure 6.13) indicating that the mutation does not affect expression levels of ATM3 on a RNA level.

To summarise, the phenotype of *xd105* plants is caused by a G1271>A mutation in the *ATM3* gene which leads to a change from glycine to glutamate at the position 424. This is predicted to destabilise the 6th transmembrane helix and degradation of the ATM3 protein.
6.7. The *xd22* mutation leads to a single amino acid change in a conserved residue of CNX2

The analysis presented in the previous Sections showed that the *xd22* line was not allelic with *atm3*, growth defects were not rescued in response to NH$_4$NO$_3$ and aldehyde oxidase and aconitase levels were decreased. Thus I proceeded with the identification of the underlying mutation for the *xd22* line. I used the approach of map based cloning to approximately localise the mutation.

Map based cloning is based on the fact that Arabidopsis ecotypes have simple sequence length polymorphisms (SSLPs) throughout the genome. Primers specific for an SSLP amplify PCR products that differ in size between the two ecotypes. These SSLPs can be associated with the phenotypes caused by a mutation. A mapping population is generated by crossing one ecotype carrying the mutation (in this case Landsberg, Ler) with a different wild-type ecotype (in this case Columbia, Col-0). The heterozygous F1 generation is grown up and seeds of the F2 generation are collected, this is the mapping population. The mapping population has random recombinant events between Ler and Col-0 with an equal segregation percentage if no selection is made. Then, individual plants are selected from the mapping population which show the phenotype of the mutant line and thus must have the Ler genetic background surrounding the mutation. The segregating mapping population showed a 1:3 segregation ratio between the mutant and the wild-type phenotype which is evidence that the *xd22* mutation is recessive. For recessive mutations like the *xd22* mutation, plants showing a phenotype must be homozygous for the mutation. In the selected mutants, the probability of recombinant events is lower the closer the SSLP marker is to the mutation that was selected for. This method serves to approximately localise the mutation in the genome. The higher the number of individuals tested, the better is the resolution of the mapping but the number of PCR reactions can become unpractical. Initially I tested 40 individuals, and added 40 more when narrowing down the interval. The sequences for the initial 16 primer pairs were kindly donated by Doreen Feike and I added three more primer pairs (Table 2.8 in Section 2.8). The individual plants were tested for each of the SSLPs and percentages of recombinant events were calculated (Figure 6.10). No recombinant events were found at the genetic marker closest to *AT2G31070* and thus
the mapping interval for further sequence analysis was chosen between the two flanking markers with 1.2% (AT2G29995) and 11.3% (AT2G39010) recombination.

Figure 6.10 Percentages of recombination from coarse mapping of the xd22 mutation. 40-80 plants of the xd22 mapping population were screened for occurrence of recombinant events between Landsberg and Columbia SSLPs throughout the genome. The probability of a recombinant event to Columbia is ~50% in unlinked loci but becomes lower closer to the mutation. Numbers 1 to 5 indicate Arabidopsis chromosomes.

Nuclear DNA of about 200 individual plants was pooled and whole genome sequencing was performed by The Genome Analysis Centre (TGAC, Norwich). Sequence assembly, alignment against the Ler reference genome and initial screening for EMS mutations (G>A, C>T) was performed by Zamin Iqbal (University of Oxford) using the Cortex software and by Martin Trick (TGAC, Norwich) using Bowtie and the Integrative Genomics Viewer. I then combined the data and screened all mutations in the mapping interval for a region with decreased ecotype variation as shown in Figure 6.11. Non G>A/C>T mutations were considered as ecotype variations.
Figure 6.11 Frequency of SNPs in the mapping interval for *xd22*. Putative EMS mutations (shown in red, G>A and C>T) and putative ecotype variations (shown in blue, other nucleotide changes) in the 3.49 Mbp mapping interval on chromosome 2 of *xd22*.

The region of low ecotype variation was not very clearly pronounced but lay within the initially determined mapping interval. I decided to analyse all mutations within the initial mapping (Table 6.3) interval to identify those that cause an amino acid exchange. (Table 6.4).
Table 6.2 Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) potentially caused by EMS in the mapping interval of \( x_{d22} \). SNPs were identified by whole genome sequencing. Detection frequencies of Bowtie and Cortex are shown. (N/A): SNP detected in a stretch of multiple changes, individual frequencies do not apply. (x,y) indicates frequency of x = other nucleotides, y = indicated nucleotide.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>coordinate base change</th>
<th>Bowtie2</th>
<th>Cortex</th>
<th>gene</th>
<th>exon/intron</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12572330 G&gt;A</td>
<td>(0,16)</td>
<td>AT2G30220</td>
<td>Exon</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12580404 G&gt;A</td>
<td>(0,25)</td>
<td>AT2G30240</td>
<td>Intron - middle</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12580405 C&gt;T</td>
<td>(2.29)</td>
<td>AT2G30240</td>
<td>Intron - middle</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12684588 G&gt;A</td>
<td>(0,26)</td>
<td>AT2G30520</td>
<td>exon</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12813573 C&gt;T</td>
<td>(0,17)</td>
<td>AT2G30830</td>
<td>Intron - middle</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12833768 G&gt;A</td>
<td>(1.34)</td>
<td>AT2G30910</td>
<td>Intron - middle</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12850200 C&gt;T</td>
<td>(0,17)</td>
<td>AT2G30940</td>
<td>Exon</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12862616 C&gt;T</td>
<td>(0,18)</td>
<td>AT2G30980</td>
<td>Intron - middle</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12989093 C&gt;T</td>
<td>(0,4)</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13012823 G&gt;A</td>
<td>(5,25)</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1302527 C&gt;T</td>
<td>(2.36)</td>
<td>AT2G31300</td>
<td>Intron - middle</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13025535 G&gt;A</td>
<td>(1.36)</td>
<td>AT2G31300</td>
<td>Intron - middle</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13025537 G&gt;A</td>
<td>(1.37)</td>
<td>AT2G31300</td>
<td>Intron -13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13106900 G&gt;A</td>
<td>(0,12)</td>
<td>AT2G31540</td>
<td>Exon</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13110318 C&gt;T</td>
<td>(0,25)</td>
<td>AT2G31550</td>
<td>Exon</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13176602 C&gt;T</td>
<td>(0,17)</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13198497 C&gt;T</td>
<td>(0,30)</td>
<td>AT2G31800</td>
<td>Intron - middle</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13262001 C&gt;T</td>
<td>(0,39)</td>
<td>AT2G31955</td>
<td>Exon</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13348745 C&gt;T</td>
<td>(0,15)</td>
<td>AT2G32180</td>
<td>Exon</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13387295 C&gt;T</td>
<td>(0,16)</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13469997 C&gt;T</td>
<td>(0,22)</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13514570 G&gt;A</td>
<td>(1,24)</td>
<td>AT2G32610</td>
<td>Exon</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13514746 C&gt;T</td>
<td>(0,21)</td>
<td>AT2G32610</td>
<td>Exon</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13527612 G&gt;A</td>
<td>(0,26)</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13527823 C&gt;T</td>
<td>(0,25)</td>
<td>AT2G32650</td>
<td>Exon 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13527972 C&gt;T</td>
<td>(3,23)</td>
<td>AT2G32650</td>
<td>Exon 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13528608 C&gt;T</td>
<td>(1,19)</td>
<td>AT2G32645</td>
<td>3'UTR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13535102 G&gt;A</td>
<td>(0,28)</td>
<td>AT2G32670</td>
<td>Intron +10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13535134 G&gt;A</td>
<td>(1,27)</td>
<td>AT2G32670</td>
<td>Intron +42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13558437 C&gt;T</td>
<td>(0,21)</td>
<td>AT2G32730</td>
<td>Intron -17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13692736 G&gt;A</td>
<td>(0,27)</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13705900 G&gt;A</td>
<td>(0,19)</td>
<td>AT2G33070</td>
<td>Exon</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13710036 G&gt;A</td>
<td>(0,22)</td>
<td>AT2G33080</td>
<td>Exon 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13710049 C&gt;T</td>
<td>(0,19)</td>
<td>AT2G33080</td>
<td>Exon 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13984658 G&gt;A</td>
<td>(1,39)</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14073597 C&gt;T</td>
<td>(0,26)</td>
<td>AT2G34090</td>
<td>Intron -33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14085068 G&gt;A</td>
<td>(7,11)</td>
<td>(NA)</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14209196 G&gt;A</td>
<td>(0,12)</td>
<td>(NA)</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14220473 G&gt;A</td>
<td>(9,13)</td>
<td>(NA)</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14346745 C&gt;T</td>
<td>(0,21)</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14467403 C&gt;T</td>
<td>(0,25)</td>
<td>AT2G35110</td>
<td>Intron – middle</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14597511 C&gt;T</td>
<td>(8,21)</td>
<td>(NA)</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14597518 G&gt;A</td>
<td>(2,27)</td>
<td>(NA)</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14597521 C&gt;T</td>
<td>(9,20)</td>
<td>(NA)</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14644164 C&gt;T</td>
<td>(3,23)</td>
<td>AT2G35635</td>
<td>Intron – middle</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14651847 C&gt;T</td>
<td>(0,9)</td>
<td>AT2G35660</td>
<td>Intron – middle</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15079862 C&gt;T</td>
<td>(1,21)</td>
<td>AT2G36780</td>
<td>Exon 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15175019 C&gt;T</td>
<td>(0,24)</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15272439 G&gt;A</td>
<td>(0,19)</td>
<td>AT2G37170</td>
<td>Intron -17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15362370 C&gt;T</td>
<td>(3,31)</td>
<td>AT2G37420</td>
<td>Exon</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15542764 C&gt;T</td>
<td>(0,25)</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15686318 C&gt;T</td>
<td>(0,29)</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15856924 C&gt;T</td>
<td>(0,20)</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15941764 G&gt;A</td>
<td>(0,18)</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 6.3 Single nucleotide polymorphisms in exons of the $xd22$ mapping interval.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>gene affected</th>
<th>exon/intron</th>
<th>codon change</th>
<th>amino acid change</th>
<th>surrounding sequence</th>
<th>conserved residue?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AT2G30220</td>
<td>Exon</td>
<td>GAC &gt; GAT</td>
<td>Asp = Asp</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT2G30520</td>
<td>exon</td>
<td>TCC &gt; TCT</td>
<td>Ser = Ser</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT2G30940</td>
<td>Exon</td>
<td>CGG &gt; TGG</td>
<td>Arg &gt; Trp</td>
<td>QKITR SHEV</td>
<td>R442: in non-conserved C-term</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT2G31540</td>
<td>Exon</td>
<td>TCC &gt; TCT</td>
<td>Ser = Ser</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT2G31550</td>
<td>Exon</td>
<td>TTG &gt; TTA</td>
<td>Leu = Leu</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT2G31955 = CNX2</td>
<td>Exon</td>
<td>CGG &gt; CAG</td>
<td>Arg &gt; Gln</td>
<td>RCLNRCQYC</td>
<td>R88: conserved in homologs, Q in related NifB's</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT2G32180</td>
<td>Exon</td>
<td>AGG &gt; AAG</td>
<td>Arg &gt; Lys</td>
<td>RVTCMQTE</td>
<td>R30: non-conserved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT2G32610</td>
<td>Exon</td>
<td>CGA &gt; CAA</td>
<td>Arg &gt; Gln</td>
<td>IEAAREVGH</td>
<td>R435: Q in A. lyrata and in paralogs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT2G32610</td>
<td>Exon</td>
<td>ATC &gt; ATT</td>
<td>Ile = Ile</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT2G32650</td>
<td>Exon 1</td>
<td>CCA &gt; TCA</td>
<td>Pro &gt; Ser</td>
<td>TTPFPGSVT</td>
<td>P11: in N-term, not conserved, many paralogs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT2G32650</td>
<td>Exon 1</td>
<td>CTC &gt; CTT</td>
<td>Leu = Leu</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT2G33070</td>
<td>Exon</td>
<td>TAC to TAT</td>
<td>Tyr = Tyr</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT2G33080</td>
<td>Exon 1</td>
<td>CAG to CAA</td>
<td>Glu = Glu</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT2G33080</td>
<td>Exon 1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Asn=Asn</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT2G36780</td>
<td>Exon 1</td>
<td>CGT &gt; CTA</td>
<td>Leu = Leu</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT2G37420</td>
<td>Exon</td>
<td>ACT &gt; ATT</td>
<td>Thr &gt; Ile</td>
<td>KKEKTHFTE</td>
<td>S, R or N in other plant species</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Only six of the EMS mutations in the mapping interval caused an amino acid exchange, and only one was a conserved residue. The affected gene was $CNX2$, encoding a mitochondrial located protein which is involved in the synthesis of cPMP, the first intermediate of the MoCo assembly (Bittner, 2014; Teschner et al., 2010) (Figure 6.11).
A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>WT Ler</th>
<th>cnx2-2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>84</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Q</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Q</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250</td>
<td>CGG</td>
<td>CGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>251</td>
<td>TGT</td>
<td>TGT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>252</td>
<td>AAT</td>
<td>CAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>253</td>
<td>CTC</td>
<td>TAT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 6.12** Amino acid homology analysis of CNX2 in the region of the xd22 mutation. A. Nucleotide sequence alignment of the xd22 and wild-type sequence with amino acid translation. The xd22 sequence from the whole genome sequencing was aligned against the Landsberg wild type. Alignment was performed using the Clustal Omega server. B. Amino acid alignment of the N-terminal sequence of Arabidopsis CNX2 and homologs. Protein sequences were acquired from and aligned in the UniProt database: *Arabidopsis thaliana* (accession no. Q39055) (ARATH), *Staphylococcus aureus* (accession no. P69848) (STAA8), *Homo sapiens* (accession no. Q9NZB8) (HUMAN) and *Escherichia coli* (accession no. P30745) (ECOLI). Shading was performed using the BoxShade server. CXXRCXXC highlights the position of the residue at the position of the xd22 mutation in the context of the three adjacent cluster binding cysteines. Shading indicates the level of conservation if 50% of the sequences match; black = identical amino acids, grey = similar amino acids.

The point mutation (G263>A) changes the codon CGG to CAG which is predicted to cause a single amino acid change from arginine to glutamine at position 88. This amino acid is located adjacent to a cysteine involved in FeS cluster binding and is highly conserved amongst CNX2/MOCS1/MoaA from various organisms (Figure 6.12B). No antibody for CNX2 is available and thus protein levels could not be tested in xd22 mutants. To investigate if the point mutation alters transcript levels semi-quantitative reverse transcriptase PCR was performed (Figure 6.13). CNX2 transcript levels were not decreased but slightly elevated in xd22 mutants. This indicates that the observed mutant phenotype is due to a defect in the protein.
Figure 6.13 Transcript levels of CNX2 and ATM3 in xd22, xd105 and wild type plants. Semi-quantitative reverse-transcriptase PCR was performed with specific intron-spanning primers on three week old seedlings. Arabidopsis ACTIN2 levels served as a control for the levels of template cDNA. WT Ler = Wild type Landsberg.

6.8. The xd22 mutation leads to a defect in MoCo enzyme activity which is complemented by reintroducing the CNX2 sequence

For further confirmation that xd22 plants have a defect in MoCo assembly I tested the activities of the MoCo-enzymes nitrate reductase, xanthine dehydrogenase and aldehyde oxidase in mature xd22 plants in comparison the Landsberg wild type (Figure 6.14). Activities of the MoCo enzymes aldehyde oxidase and xanthine dehydrogenase were visualised in a native in-gel assay. Xanthine dehydrogenase specificity was assured by addition of the specific substrate hypoxanthine. Nitrate reductase activity was measured in a photospectrometric assay as the formation of nitrite. Aldehyde oxidase and xanthine dehydrogenase activities were undetectable in cnx2-2 plants and nitrate reductase activity was decreased to ~55% +/- 5% of the wild type levels (Figure 6.14B). Activities of three MoCo enzymes were found to be significantly decreased indicating a defect in MoCo assembly in xd22 plants.
Figure 6.14 Phenotype and MoCo enzyme activities in the xd22 point mutant. 
A. Phenotype of 4-week-old xd22 (cnx2-2) and wild-type plants of the Landsberg ecotype (WT Ler). Scale bar is 1 cm. B. MoCo enzyme activities in mature xd22 (cnx2-2) and wild type (WT, Landsberg ecotype) plants. Total protein was visualised by instant blue TM staining after SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting of a sample from the same extract. Images are representative for three biological repeats.

To confirm that the xd22 phenotypes are caused by the G263>A mutation in CNX2, the mutant was transformed with a pBINH vector carrying the wild-type CNX2 gene including the promoter region and untranslated regions (from -1224 upstream of the start codon to +614 downstream of the stop codon). The construct also contained a hygromycin resistance gene. Plants were selected on agar plates containing hygromycin. Hygromycin resistant seedlings were transferred to soil and showed a rescue of the xd22 growth phenotype (Figure 6.15). Genotyping confirmed that the plants carried both, the xd22 mutation and the CNX2 transgene.
Figure 6.15 Growth phenotype of *xd22* plants expressing *CNX2* in comparison to *xd22* and a wild type. Seedlings were isolated on medium containing 25 μg/ml hygromycin and transferred to soil. WT Ler = wild type Landsberg. Plants are 3 months old. Scale bar is 2 cm. B. Scheme for the genotyping PCR/digest to identify plants carrying the *xd22* mutation. Two primers were designed to amplify a PCR product spanning the *xd22* mutation. BsaWI digest of this product results in three bands for the wild-type and two bands for the mutant product as one of the restriction sites is removed by the *xd22* mutation. C. Confirmation of the presence of the *xd22* mutation as described in A. Presence of the reintroduced *CNX2* was confirmed by PCR with the reverse primer annealing in the flanking sequence originating from the transformation vector.
To confirm the biochemical complementation of the \( xd22 \) phenotype I analysed aldehyde oxidase activity. Enzyme activity was restored and thus it can be concluded that the observed phenotype of \( xd22 \) plants is due to the mutation in the \( CNX2 \) sequence (see Figure 6.16). Thus this line will be referred to as \( cnx2-2 \).

**Figure 6.16 Aldehyde oxidase activities in \( xd22 \) plants complemented with \( CNX2 \).** Aldehyde oxidase activity was visualised in leaf samples from mature plants in a native in-gel assay. Total protein was visualised by instant blue\textsuperscript{TM} staining after SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting of a sample from the same extract.

**6.9. A \( cnx2 \) T-DNA insertion mutant is lethal in early seedling establishment**

In order to gain more insight into the \( cnx2 \) mutant phenotype another mutant allele was investigated which will be referred to as \( cnx2-1 \) (SALK\_037143). The \( cnx2-1 \) line carries a T-DNA insertion in the second exon (see Figure 6.17). This line was mentioned before by (Dai et al., 2005) who showed sirtinol resistance in three day old seedlings but no homozygous adult plants have been reported. Therefore I genotyped 30 individuals from the progeny of a \( cnx2-1/CNX2 \) plant. The seedlings all had a wild-type appearance and I found a 2:1 ratio of \( cnx2-1/CNX2 \) to \( CNX2 \) (\( \chi^2 \) goodness of fit test \( p = 1 \); figure 1.18A). These results confirm that \( cnx2-1 \) homozygous plants were not viable in the adult stage.
**Figure 6.17** Gene model of CNX2. Exons (black boxes), introns (black lines) and UTRs (blank boxes) are indicated. The upper panel represents the gDNA sequence with the cnx2-1 (triangle) and cnx2-2 (line) mutations. Primer pair 1 was used for identification of the xd22 mutation, primer pair 2 for reverse transcriptase PCR. The primers 3F and 3R amplify the wild-type sequence in the position of the cnx2-1 T-DNA insertion. The left border primer of the T-DNA insertion together with the 3F primer amplifies a product if the T-DNA insertion is present. The lower panel represents the gDNA inserted in the plasmid for Arabidopsis transformation with the flanking plasmid DNA (grey box). Primer pair 4 amplifies a transgene-specific sequence.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>n</th>
<th>CNX2/CNX2 [%]</th>
<th>cnx2-1/CNX2 [%]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>66.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 6.18** Segregation analysis of the cnx2-1 mutation from a cnx2-1/CNX2 parent. **A.** Genotyping of mature plants from a cnx2-1/CNX2 parent. **B.** Phenotype of representative siliques of plants from A. Scale bar is 0.5 cm. **C.** Seed phenotype of 3-7 fully mature siliques from cnx2-1/CNX2 (4 plants) and wild type (2 plants) were analysed.
To investigate if the mutation is lethal in seed or early seedling development I investigated if there were any abnormalities in the phenotype of seeds and floral organs. Setting of healthy seeds in cnx2-1/CNX2 siliques did not differ significantly from the wild type (Student’s t-test p = 0.139; Figure 6.18B and C). This is evidence that the ovules carrying the cnx2-1 gene are viable. Another explanation for the lack of cnx2-1/cnx2-1 could be a defect in pollen formation. However, pollen and anthers were also found to be wild-type-like (Figure 6.19). The third possibility is that homozygous cnx2-1 seeds develop normally, but that they fail to germinate or die during germination. To facilitate germination, seeds were planted on ½ -MS agar containing 1% sucrose.

These growth conditions revealed that ~21% of the seedlings showed a strong phenotype with elongated hypocotyls, chlorosis and dwarfed growth which is in accordance with the expected 1 in 4 ratio for homozygous mutants ($\chi^2$ goodness of fit $p = 0.334$) (see Figure 6.20A-C). They developed necrotic tissue of the leaves and arrested in young seedling stage when the second pair of true leaves emerged.
Chapter 6 Identification of new proteins with a function close to ATM3

Figure 6.20 Characterisation of the cnx2-1 T-DNA insertion mutant. A. Percentages of mutant-like plants from three different experiments with samples spread over two plates each. B. Growth of wild-type (WT)-like seedlings and cnx2-1 seedlings segregating from a heterozygous parent in comparison to cnx2-2 and wild type seedlings of both ecotypes. Scale bar is 1 cm. C. Genotyping of putative homozygous cnx2-1 plants in B, upper panel. D. Transcript levels of CNX2, ATM3 and ACTIN2. Specific, intron-spanning primers (downstream of the mutation) were used for all reactions. ACTIN2 transcript levels served as a control.

The dwarfed seedlings were identified as cnx2-1/cnx2-1 (Figure 6.20C). As shown in Figure 6.17, the T-DNA insertion in the cnx2-1 mutants is located in the second exon of the gene and thus was assumed to be a knock-out mutant. However, low levels of CNX2 transcript are still detected in the mutants, which may be due to sample contamination (Figure 6.20D). Due to the position of the T-DNA mutation, low
transcript levels and the severe phenotype of the mutant plants it is likely that no functional CNX2 protein is present in these plants.

6.10. Mild NH_4NO_3 treatment partially rescues the cnx2-2 phenotype

As shown above, the cnx5 mutant phenotype is partially rescued under NH_4NO_3 treatment, because of chemical complementation of a nitrate reductase defect. In contrast, plants of the cnx2-2 line died after 12 days of NH_4NO_3 treatment. This is curious as both affected proteins function in the biosynthesis of MoCo which is a cofactor of nitrate reductase. It is possible that high concentrations of 50 mM NH_4NO_3 have a secondary effect that is lethal for plants with the cnx2-2 mutation but not for plants with the cnx5 mutation. Thus I repeated the NH_4NO_3 treatment with milder conditions: Two week old plants were treated on day 1, 4, 6, 9 and 12 while standard watering (tap water) was continued (see Figure 6.21).

![Figure 6.21 Growth phenotype of cnx2-2 plants under mild NH_4NO_3 treatment.](image)

Plants were grown on soil for two weeks under standard conditions. Watering was continued and plants were treated with 10 ml of 50 mM NH_4NO_3 on day 1, 4, 6, 9 and 12. Untreated plants served as a control. Scale bar is 1 cm.

After 12 days of this treatment, all plants showed improved growth. The cnx5 mutants were not rescued to an equal extend as with the daily treatment and showed no additional greening (see Figure 6.3B). The cnx2-2 plants remained chlorotic as well but growth was visibly improved. The cnx2-2 phenotype was not rescued to the
same extend as the \textit{cnx5} phenotype under daily treatment. This and the overall growth enhancing effect of the treatment indicate a general fertilising effect of \( \text{NH}_4\text{NO}_3 \) at this dosage rather than a specific rescue of the lack of nitrate reductase activity. Thus it is still unclear why the stronger treatment is lethal to \textit{cnx2}-2 but not to \textit{cnx5} mutants.

6.11. Mutants of proteins involved in MoCo biosynthesis have decreased ATM3 protein levels

As described earlier, the selection process for mutants of proteins with a function close to ATM3 was designed to exclude MoCo mutants. It is curious that \textit{xd22} was found to be a mutant allele of \textit{CNX2}, which is involved in the first step of MoCo biosynthesis. To investigate if there is a link between CNX2 and ATM3, I tested if ATM3 protein levels were affected in \textit{cnx2}-2 plants (Figure 6.22). Interestingly, the \textit{xd22} line had decreased ATM3 protein levels and what seemed to be degradation products of lower molecular weight.

However, this is not necessary linked to the \textit{cnx2}-2 mutation and could be due to another genetic variant in the plant line. Therefore I tested ATM3 protein levels in plants from the mapping population with the \textit{xd22} phenotype, as well as in plants of the complemented \textit{cnx2}-2 + \textit{CNX2} line.
ATM3 protein levels were decreased in the backcrossed cnx2-2 plants and putative degradation bands were visible (Figure 6.22). However, ATM3 protein levels were restored in the complemented cnx2-2 + CNX2 line and thus it can be concluded that the cnx2-2 mutation affects ATM3 protein stability.

To investigate if this effect is specific to proteins involved in MoCo assembly or if ATM3 degradation also occurs in mutants of FeS assembly proteins, I tested ATM3 levels in cnx5 and a mutant of the nucleotide binding protein 35 (NBP35). CNX5 is involved in the cytosolic part of MoCo assembly, downstream of CNX2. NBP35 is involved in cytosolic FeS assembly (see Section 1.2). The nbp35-1+UBQ:nbp35C14A (this line will be referred to as nbp35) is a knock-out
mutant with a reintroduced mutated version of the protein under the expression of an ubiquitin promoter (Bastow et al., accepted manuscript). While ATM3 levels were wild-type like in the nbp35 mutant, cnx5 mutants had no detectable ATM3 protein (Figure 6.22). However, it has to be noted that the results of ATM3 protein levels in cnx5, nbp35 and the complemented cnx2-2+CNX2 were only from one biological replicate and this needs to be confirmed.

To conclude, the uncharacterised sirtinol resistant mutant xd22 was identified as a mutant allele of CNX2 (cnx2-2). This is the first viable mutant of a mitochondrial component of the MoCo pathway. Mutant plants show a severe growth phenotype and decreased MoCo enzyme activities which was complemented by reintroducing the native CNX2 gene. The cnx2-2 as well as a cnx5 mutant showed decreased ATM3 protein levels showing that the MoCo pathway and cytosolic FeS assembly are linked. It remains unclear how the flux through the MoCo pathway affects protein levels of ATM3.

### 6.12. Discussion

In this Chapter I analysed mutants with a phenotype close to atm3 in order to find unknown components of the mitochondrial-cytosolic FeS assembly. I chose two mutant lines (xd22 and xd105) for identification of the underlying mutation due to their growth phenotype and biochemical properties. xd105 was identified as a new allele of atm3 (atm3-5) thus being a good internal control for the selection process. Selection of the mutants was based on a previous screen and characterisation performed by Nina Kahlfeldt. Interestingly, according to her categories xd105/atm3-5 did not group with the atm3-4 allele that was included in her analysis and none of the lines that did group with atm3-4 showed characteristics specific for cytosolic FeS mutants (e.g. mild growth defect upon NH4NO3 treatment and decreased cytosolic aconitase activity). The size of an EMS mutant pool, which is usually several hundred lines for a screen for unknown mutants, renders it necessary to decrease the number of samples drastically for downstream analysis. However, the unexpected outcome of the described analysis underlines the importance of finding the balance between a thorough selection scheme and a manageable amount of mutants in order to ensure efficiency and quality of a large scale mutant screen.
The second mutant line, \textit{xd22}, was identified as a mutant of CNX2 (\textit{cnx2-2}) which is involved in the mitochondrial part of MoCo assembly. This outcome was puzzling as the selection process was specifically designed to exclude MoCo mutants. The \textit{NH}_4\textit{NO}_3 treatment was a central part of the selection between MoCo and FeS mutants. Based on the observation that \textit{cnx5} mutants were partially rescued under the treatment it was reasonable to assume that \textit{NH}_4\textit{NO}_3 chemically complements for the lack of the MoCo enzyme nitrate reductase. However, the \textit{xd22} plants were not viable under these conditions and thus were assumed to have to defect in FeS assembly. This could be due to the difference in localisation, while CNX5 is located in the cytosol, CNX2 is located in the mitochondria. However, what exactly the mechanism behind the \textit{NH}_4\textit{NO}_3 rescue or lethality is remains unclear. Another part of the selection was the analysis of cytosolic aconitase activity. This enzyme has no MoCo but a FeS cluster and thus depends on the cytosolic FeS assembly as well as the mitochondrial components NFS1 and ATM3. \textit{xd22} showed a severe defect in cytosolic aconitase and this was assumed to be due to a defect in cytosolic FeS assembly. This could be explained by the link that was found between decreased functionality of CNX2 and the degradation of ATM3 protein which will be discussed in Section 7.2.
7. Discussion

7.1. The role of ATM3 in FeS and MoCo assembly and t-RNA thiomodification

As described before, ATM3 is necessary for the assembly of FeS clusters in the cytosol (Bernard et al., 2009). The substrate of ATM3 has been a matter of debate for decades and I propose that ATM3 transports oxidised glutathione carrying additional $S^0$ not only for cytosolic FeS assembly but also for MoCo assembly and tRNA-thiomodification in the cytosol (see Figure 7.1).

In *-vitro data presented in (Schaedler et al., 2014) showed that ATM3 in Arabidopsis and Atm1 in yeast can transport oxidised glutathione and that oxidised glutathione-persulfide can be transported by Atm1. In Chapter 3 and 4 I describe in-*vivo* evidence supporting the hypothesis that ATM3 transports oxidised glutathione with a $S^0$. The redox state of the glutathione pool in the mitochondria of *atm3* mutants was significantly more oxidised than in the wild type which indicates that ATM3 is involved in transport of oxidised glutathione. Furthermore I found that ATM3 interacts genetically with NFS1 and ETHE1, two enzymes directly involved in the
generation or detoxification of S\(^0\) species, respectively. Taken together, these data indicate that ATM3 does transport an oxidised glutathione carrying a S\(^0\).

Further to the debated role of ATM3 in cytosolic FeS assembly cPMP was proposed as a substrate in 2010 when (Teschner et al.) found that atm3 mutants had a defect in MoCo enzyme activity and accumulated cPMP in the mitochondria. However, they also found cPMP accumulation in a cnx5 mutant. This is unexpected, as CNX5 is a cytosolic component of the MoCo assembly machinery necessary for the sulfuration of cPMP and thus cPMP accumulation should occur in the cytosol. I found that ATM3 protein levels were decreased in plants of the same cnx5 mutant allele. This could explain the accumulation of cPMP in cnx5 mitochondria rather than in the cytosol and supports the hypothesis that cPMP is transported by ATM3. However cPMP deficiency in mice and humans can be treated with injections of cPMP (Schwarz et al., 2004)(Veldman et al., 2010), showing that cPMP likely can pass membranes. This indicates that either a transporter is not required or that there is a transporter for cPMP in the cell membrane. It remains unclear whether ATM3 transports cPMP as well but it is possible that the transport of a persulfide compound by ATM3 is necessary for MoCo assembly.

cPMP accepts two sulfur atoms in the cytosol which are transferred from CNX5 via CNX7 (part of the MPT-synthase complex). CNX5 does not only donate sulfur to the MoCo pathway but also to tRNA-thiomodification (Nakai et al., 2012). The source of the S\(^0\) in plants has not yet been identified. However, in humans it originates from cysteine, which is generated by NFS1 in the cytosol and transferred to the MPT-synthase complex via the CNX5 homolog MOCS3 (Krepinsky and Leimkuhler, 2007; Marelja et al., 2008). There is one cytosolic cysteine desulfurases in Arabidopsis (ABA3), one plastidic (NFS2/CpNifS) and one mitochondrial (NFS1). ABA3 has been extensively studied before and it was found that the functions of aldehyde oxidase and xanthine dehydrogenase but not nitrate reductase depend on ABA3. It has been established that ABA3 catalyses the transfer of an additional sulfur to the MoCo as it is needed for aldehyde oxidase and xanthine dehydrogenase. However, nitrate reductase is not affected which means that the initial sulfuration of cPMP does not depend on ABA3 (Bittner et al., 2001; Heidenreich et al., 2005; Lehrke et al., 2012; Schwartz et al., 1997; Wollers et al., 2008). In 2013 (Bernard et al.) showed that NFS2 is not needed for aldehyde oxidase and xanthine dehydrogenase. NFS1 on the other hand is needed for the activity of the
cytosolic isoform of aconitase (FeS only) (Bernard et al., 2013) and for aldehyde oxidase (MoCo, FeS and FAD).

Taken together, this indicates that the source of S\(^0\) for CNX5 in Arabidopsis is NFS1 in the mitochondria. This would mean that cytosolic FeS and MoCo assembly as well as tRNA-thiomodification depend on ATM3.

For future research it would be insightful to investigate whether ATM3 and its homologs can transport cPMP. ATPase activity and transport of cPMP could be tested using lactococcus inside-out vesicles expressing ATM3 or Atm1. It is also necessary to test if tRNA-thiomodification is dependent on ATM3 function and this could be achieved by testing tRNA-thiomodification in atm3 mutants using the gel-shift assay described in (Nakai et al., 2012) or by investigating if disruption of components downstream of CNX5 can cause ATM3 breakdown.

### 7.2. Regulation of ATM3 protein levels

As mentioned above, I observed ATM3 protein degradation in a cnx5 mutant. It is puzzling that cnx2-2 mutants also showed ATM3 degradation as this would further decrease the already low cPMP export. It is unlikely that cPMP excess as well as decrease levels of cPMP both trigger ATM3 degradation as part of the same mechanism. Thus I suggest that ATM3 degradation is independent of cPMP transport and rather is a way of regulating ATM3 function. This would limit glutathione-persulfide export and contain it in the mitochondria. As described in Chapter 1.5 ETHE1 in the mitochondria accepts S\(^0\) from glutathione. Thus it could serve as a general detoxification mechanism to prevent damage by excess S\(^0\). The cnx2-2 mutant plants have decreased levels of cPMP and cnx5 mutant plants have decreased levels of S\(^0\) transfer for MoCo assembly as well as t-RNA thiomodification thus limiting the S\(^0\) acceptors in the cytosol. Thus the breakdown of ATM3 in both mutants could represent a mechanism to contain S\(^0\) in the mitochondria to prevent accumulation and damage in the cytosol. However, no breakdown of ATM3 protein was observed in a mutant of the CIA scaffold NPB35. It is possible that the defect in cytosolic FeS assembly in nbp35 plants does not cause an accumulation of S\(^0\) to a similar extent as in MoCo mutants. It has to be noted that the degradation of ATM3 was incomplete in cnx2-2 mutants while no residual
protein was detected in cnx5. This could be due to the double function of CNX5 which donates sulfur not only to the MoCo pathway but also to the tRNA-thiomodification pathway.

It remains unclear why ATM3 degradation occurs in cnx2-2 mutants but not in npb35 mutants but it is also possible that the mutations are different in severity as the npb35 mutants have a mild growth phenotype in comparison to cnx2-2 mutants. However, it has to be considered that ATM3 degradation could be due to an unknown regulatory mechanism specific for MoCo assembly and further experiments are needed. It also has to be noted that there could be a background mutation in the cnx5 line as it originates from an EMS population and sequencing of the ATM3 gene will be necessary. As mentioned above, it will be important to test ATM3 protein levels in other components of the tRNA-thiomodification as well as the cytosolic FeS and MoCo assembly. It would also be interesting to investigate if NFS1 overexpression causes ATM3 breakdown.

7.3. Glutathione export by ATM3 as a mechanism to control glutathione redox balance in the mitochondria.

Reduced glutathione is needed for many stress responses including the prevention of oxidative stress. Thus it is vital that the redox balance is maintained (Noctor et al., 2012). As discussed above, ATM3 can transport oxidised glutathione. Thus I want to propose that transport of oxidised glutathione by ATM3 is a mechanism to shift the redox state of the glutathione pool towards the reduced state. I found that the redox state of the glutathione pool in mitochondria of atm3 mutants is shifted towards oxidation. Furthermore, I observed mitochondrial aggregation in atm3-4 mutants expressing mitochondrial roGFP. This also indicates an accumulation of oxidised glutathione as oxidised glutathione can be a signal for apoptosis which causes mitochondria aggregation (Circu and Aw, 2008; Haga et al., 2003). Expression of the mitochondrial roGFP construct also means overexpression of glutaredoxin and was lethal for atm3-1 mutants. Glutaredoxins mainly mediate reduction reactions using reduced glutathione as an electron donor thus producing oxidised glutathione. Thus overexpression of glutaredoxin could lead to cell death in combination with the accumulation of oxidised glutathione due to the atm3-1 mutation. The wild type with
the mitochondrial roGFP construct, overexpressing GRX did not show a sign of mitochondrial aggregation. Taken together, this could indicate that ATM3 is important for the redox balance of the glutathione pool, shifting it towards the reduced state by exporting oxidised glutathione. It would be interesting to test if ATM3 genetically interacts with proteins involved in glutathione biosynthesis and glutathione redox reactions.

7.4. Glutaredoxins in FeS assembly

For a long time there has been controversy in the discussion of glutaredoxins. The group of monothiol glutaredoxins has been thought to be involved in FeS assembly but evidence is mostly from in-vitro work except for yeast Grx5. I have worked on the mitochondrial GRXS15 and cytosolic GRXS17 and found evidence that they are not involved in de-novo cluster assembly. Instead I would like to discuss the possibility that glutaredoxins could impact the availability of substrate for ATM3. Further I propose that glutaredoxins could contribute to the reduction of thiol groups for \( S^0 \) or cluster transfer. I have found evidence that GRX overexpression in atm3 mutants is lethal and this may also mean that reduced glutathione is needed for the synthesis of oxidised glutathione-persulfide, the substrate of ATM3. Overexpression of glutaredoxin could lead to a further decrease of glutathione-persulfide export causing a further aggravation of the atm3-I phenotype.

The grxS15 mutants showed a mild decrease of mitochondrial aconitase activity, however the aconitase in-gel assay indicates that there is also a decrease in cytosolic activity. This indicates that GRXS15 promotes FeS cluster insertion in mitochondrial apoproteins. However, it could also mean that GRXS15 is important for formation of the substrate of ATM3 by reduction of thiol groups for the transfer of \( S^0 \). Thus it would also affect the cytosolic FeS assembly. On the other hand, the activity of the cytosolic FeS enzyme aldehyde oxidase was not affected in grxS15 mutants. This would indicate that (1) cytosolic FeS assembly in general is not affected and (2) mitochondrial FeS assembly is not affected as aldehyde oxidase activity is dependent on the activity of CNX2 in the mitochondria which contains two \( Fe_4S_4 \) clusters. Furthermore, Janneke Balk found that a double mutant of the mild grxS15-I knockout mutant and atm3-4 or atm3-1 did not show an additional phenotype.
indicating that GRXS15 and ATM3 do not have a synergistic genetic interaction (personal communication with Janneke Balk). However, it is possible that the genetic interaction was not visible due to the still relatively high GRXS15 protein levels in the grxS15-1 knockdown. This was also the case for crosses of weaker ethe1 and atm3 alleles for which a synergistic genetic interaction was evident from crosses of stronger alleles. All in all the evidence indicates that plant glutaredoxins are not involved in de-novo cluster assembly, however, it is puzzling that links keep being found. (Knuesting et al., 2015) showed that GRXS17 is involved in the maintenance of the shoot apical meristem under long day conditions. However, a recent publication shows that GRXS17 associates with most components of the cytosolic FeS assembly and also with some FeS enzymes (Inigo et al., 2016). It is interesting that they found an interaction between GRXS17 and the cytosolic thiouridylase subunits (CTU) 1 and 2 which mediate thiolation reactions for tRNA modification. (Inigo et al.) concluded that GRXS17 is not essential for FeS enzyme activity, but contributes to correct FeS enzyme function. This could also explain the minor effects on FeS enzyme activities that were observed in grxS17 mutants.

It is possible that GRX in general could be one reducing mechanism for the reduction of thiol groups for either the transfer of a cluster throughout the FeS transfer chain and for apoproteins or more generally for transfer of $S^0$ but it cannot be the only mechanism as FeS cluster enzymes are not as severely affected as mutants of essential components of the assembly pathways.
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Background: ABC transporters of mitochondria (ATM) are required for formation of cytosolic iron-sulfur clusters and molybdenum cofactor.

Results: Arabidopsis ATM3 and yeast Atm1 transport radiolabeled glutathione disulfide (GSSG). Transport of glutathione trisulfide (GS-S-SG) was demonstrated by mass spectrometry.

Conclusion: A mitochondrial transporter exports glutathione polysulfide.

Significance: Identification of substrate(s) of ATMs defines their role in metal cofactor assembly and iron homeostasis.

An ATP-binding cassette transporter located in the inner mitochondrial membrane is involved in iron-sulfur cluster and molybdenum cofactor assembly in the cytosol, but the transported substrate is unknown. ATM3 (ABCR25) from Arabidopsis thaliana and its functional orthologue Atm1 from Saccharomyces cerevisiae were expressed in Lactococcus lactis and studied in inside-out membrane vesicles and in purified form. Both proteins selectively transported glutathione disulfide (GSSG) but not reduced glutathione in agreement with a 3-fold stimulation of ATPase activity by GSSG. By contrast, Fe²⁺ alone or in combination with glutathione did not stimulate ATPase activity. Arabidopsis atm3 mutants were hypersensitive to an inhibitor of glutathione biosynthesis and accumulated GSSG in the mitochondria. The growth phenotype of atm3-1 was strongly enhanced by depletion of the mitochondrion-localized, GSH-dependent persulfide oxygenase ETHE1, suggesting that the physiological substrate of ATM3 contains persulfide in addition to glutathione. Consistent with this idea, a transportomics approach using mass spectrometry showed that glutathione trisulfide (GS-S-SG) was transported by Atm1. We propose that mitochondria export glutathione polysulfide, containing glutathione and persulfide, for iron-sulfur cluster assembly in the cytosol.

Iron-sulfur (Fe-S)⁷ proteins perform essential functions in respiration, photosynthesis, DNA metabolism, and many other processes in different compartments of the eukaryotic cell. Mitochondrial and chloroplasts harbor autonomous pathways for the assembly of Fe-S clusters (1, 2). The biogenesis of Fe-S proteins in the cytosol and nucleus requires a separate set of five to six assembly proteins but also depends on mitochondria (3, 4). An ATP-binding cassette transporter of the mitochondria (ATM) has been identified in yeast, plants, and mammals, which is required for cytosolic and nuclear Fe-S cluster assembly (5–7). It is therefore likely that the mitochondria provide a compound that is exported by the ATM transporter, but this molecule has not been identified.

The assembly of Fe-S clusters starts with the extraction of sulfur from cysteine catalyzed by cysteine desulfurase. The sulfur is bound to the enzyme in the form of persulfide, also called sulfane sulfur, with an oxidation state of 0 (RS-SH). The enzyme-bound persulfide is then transferred to a scaffold protein where it is combined with iron (4). Mitochondrial localization of the cysteine desulfurase activity appears to be critical for Fe-S cluster assembly in the cytosol and nucleus in yeast and plant mitochondria (5). A mitochondrial transporter has been identified that exports glutathione disulfide (6). We now set out to identify the substrate of this transporter, which is thought to be glutathione trisulfide (GS-S-SG). To this end, we have developed a transportomics approach using mass spectrometry and a yeast knockout model system.

Significance: Identification of substrate(s) of ATMs defines their role in metal cofactor assembly and iron homeostasis.

An ATP-binding cassette transporter located in the inner mitochondrial membrane is involved in iron-sulfur cluster and molybdenum cofactor assembly in the cytosol, but the transported substrate is unknown. ATM3 (ABCR25) from Arabidopsis thaliana and its functional orthologue Atm1 from Saccharomyces cerevisiae were expressed in Lactococcus lactis and studied in inside-out membrane vesicles and in purified form. Both proteins selectively transported glutathione disulfide (GSSG) but not reduced glutathione in agreement with a 3-fold stimulation of ATPase activity by GSSG. By contrast, Fe²⁺ alone or in combination with glutathione did not stimulate ATPase activity. Arabidopsis atm3 mutants were hypersensitive to an inhibitor of glutathione biosynthesis and accumulated GSSG in the mitochondria. The growth phenotype of atm3-1 was strongly enhanced by depletion of the mitochondrion-localized, GSH-dependent persulfide oxygenase ETHE1, suggesting that the physiological substrate of ATM3 contains persulfide in addition to glutathione. Consistent with this idea, a transportomics approach using mass spectrometry showed that glutathione trisulfide (GS-S-SG) was transported by Atm1. We propose that mitochondria export glutathione polysulfide, containing glutathione and persulfide, for iron-sulfur cluster assembly in the cytosol.

Iron-sulfur (Fe-S)⁷ proteins perform essential functions in respiration, photosynthesis, DNA metabolism, and many other processes in different compartments of the eukaryotic cell. Mitochondrial and chloroplasts harbor autonomous pathways for the assembly of Fe-S clusters (1, 2). The biogenesis of Fe-S proteins in the cytosol and nucleus requires a separate set of five to six assembly proteins but also depends on mitochondria (3, 4). An ATP-binding cassette transporter of the mitochondria (ATM) has been identified in yeast, plants, and mammals, which is required for cytosolic and nuclear Fe-S cluster assembly (5–7). It is therefore likely that the mitochondria provide a compound that is exported by the ATM transporter, but this molecule has not been identified.

The assembly of Fe-S clusters starts with the extraction of sulfur from cysteine catalyzed by cysteine desulfurase. The sulfur is bound to the enzyme in the form of persulfide, also called sulfane sulfur, with an oxidation state of 0 (RS-SH). The enzyme-bound persulfide is then transferred to a scaffold protein where it is combined with iron (4). Mitochondrial localization of the cysteine desulfurase activity appears to be critical for Fe-S cluster assembly in the cytosol and nucleus in yeast and plant mitochondria (5). A mitochondrial transporter has been identified that exports glutathione disulfide (6). We now set out to identify the substrate of this transporter, which is thought to be glutathione trisulfide (GS-S-SG). To this end, we have developed a transportomics approach using mass spectrometry and a yeast knockout model system.

Significance: Identification of substrate(s) of ATMs defines their role in metal cofactor assembly and iron homeostasis.

An ATP-binding cassette transporter located in the inner mitochondrial membrane is involved in iron-sulfur cluster and molybdenum cofactor assembly in the cytosol, but the transported substrate is unknown. ATM3 (ABCR25) from Arabidopsis thaliana and its functional orthologue Atm1 from Saccharomyces cerevisiae were expressed in Lactococcus lactis and studied in inside-out membrane vesicles and in purified form. Both proteins selectively transported glutathione disulfide (GSSG) but not reduced glutathione in agreement with a 3-fold stimulation of ATPase activity by GSSG. By contrast, Fe²⁺ alone or in combination with glutathione did not stimulate ATPase activity. Arabidopsis atm3 mutants were hypersensitive to an inhibitor of glutathione biosynthesis and accumulated GSSG in the mitochondria. The growth phenotype of atm3-1 was strongly enhanced by depletion of the mitochondrion-localized, GSH-dependent persulfide oxygenase ETHE1, suggesting that the physiological substrate of ATM3 contains persulfide in addition to glutathione. Consistent with this idea, a transportomics approach using mass spectrometry showed that glutathione trisulfide (GS-S-SG) was transported by Atm1. We propose that mitochondria export glutathione polysulfide, containing glutathione and persulfide, for iron-sulfur cluster assembly in the cytosol.

Iron-sulfur (Fe-S)⁷ proteins perform essential functions in respiration, photosynthesis, DNA metabolism, and many other processes in different compartments of the eukaryotic cell. Mitochondrial and chloroplasts harbor autonomous pathways for the assembly of Fe-S clusters (1, 2). The biogenesis of Fe-S proteins in the cytosol and nucleus requires a separate set of five to six assembly proteins but also depends on mitochondria (3, 4). An ATP-binding cassette transporter of the mitochondria (ATM) has been identified in yeast, plants, and mammals, which is required for cytosolic and nuclear Fe-S cluster assembly (5–7). It is therefore likely that the mitochondria provide a compound that is exported by the ATM transporter, but this molecule has not been identified.

The assembly of Fe-S clusters starts with the extraction of sulfur from cysteine catalyzed by cysteine desulfurase. The sulfur is bound to the enzyme in the form of persulfide, also called sulfane sulfur, with an oxidation state of 0 (RS-SH). The enzyme-bound persulfide is then transferred to a scaffold protein where it is combined with iron (4). Mitochondrial localization of the cysteine desulfurase activity appears to be critical for Fe-S cluster assembly in the cytosol and nucleus in yeast and plant mitochondria (5). A mitochondrial transporter has been identified that exports glutathione disulfide (6). We now set out to identify the substrate of this transporter, which is thought to be glutathione trisulfide (GS-S-SG). To this end, we have developed a transportomics approach using mass spectrometry and a yeast knockout model system.

Significance: Identification of substrate(s) of ATMs defines their role in metal cofactor assembly and iron homeostasis.
plants (3, 8), despite the occurrence of extramitochondrial cysteine desulfurases (9, 10).

The ATM proteins belong to the ABCB subfamily of ABC proteins and are half-transporters that dimerize for function. Recent crystal structures of yeast Atm1 (11) and a bacterial homologue (12) revealed their typical architecture, with two membrane domains that provide the pathway for substrate transport and two nucleotide-binding domains (NBDs) that couple the energy provided by ATP binding and hydrolysis to the translocation of substrates across the membrane. Atm1 in yeast is localized in the inner membrane of the mitochondria with the NBDs facing the matrix (13). The yeast, plant, and mammalian ATMs are functional orthologues, because ATM3 (ABCB25) from the model plant Arabidopsis and ABCB7 from human can complement the phenotypes of a yeast Δatm1 mutant (14–17).

It was initially suggested that ATMs transport an Fe-S cluster intermediate, because iron accumulates to high levels in mitochondria in yeast atm1 mutants (18). However, recent studies have suggested that increased iron uptake is the result of disrupted iron homeostasis in the cell (19). Moreover, mitochondrial iron accumulation was not found in Arabidopsis atm3 mutants (6) or in mouse hepatocytes depleted of ABCB7 (7). The plant ATM3 gene has also been implicated in heavy metal resistance (20) and in molybdenum cofactor (Moco) biosynthesis (21). The assembly of Moco requires the precursor cyclic pyranopterin monophosphate synthesized in the mitochondria and two sulfur atoms of which the origin is uncertain. Therefore, ATM3 either exhibits a broad substrate specificity for different molecules or it transports a molecule shared by cytosolic Fe-S cluster assembly, Moco biosynthesis, and heavy metal detoxification.

The tripeptide glutathione has been previously implicated in the function of yeast Atm1 (22, 23). Moreover, reduced glutathione (GSH) was associated with yeast and bacterial Atm1 in the crystal structures (11, 12), whereas bacterial Atm1 also bound oxidized glutathione (glutathione disulfide, GSSG) (12). However, transport of glutathione or glutathione conjugates has not yet been shown for the mitochondrial ATM transporters.

Using our previously identified allelic series of Arabidopsis atm3 mutants (6), we have investigated whether glutathione plays a role in the function of ATM3 in plants. In addition, various putative substrates were tested for their capacity to stimulate ATPase activity of purified Arabidopsis ATM3 and yeast Atm1. We found that both proteins can transport GSSG but not GSH. Further in vitro and genetic interaction studies provide evidence for transport of persulfide in the form of glutathione trisulfide (GS-S^-SG) as a physiological substrate.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

*Plant Materials and Growth—Arabidopsis thaliana* ecotype Columbia (Col-0) was used as wild type in all our studies. The atm3 mutant alleles (gene identifier AT5G58270) and atm1-1 (AT4G28630) have been described previously (6). The oaslIC (AT3G59760) knock-out mutant is described in Ref. 24, and the ethel-1 (AT1G53580) knockdown mutant in Ref. 25. Plants were germinated on half-strength Murashige and Skoog medium with 0.8% (w/v) agar and transplanted to compost after 2 weeks. Buthionine sulfoximine (BSO) and glutathione were added after autoclaving the medium. BSO was either added as pure L-BSO or the racemic mix of Dl-BSO (Sigma). Plants were grown in a controlled environment at 20 °C, 65% humidity in a 16-h light/8-h dark cycle with a photon flux density of 100–120 μmol m^-2 s^-1.

**Glutathione Levels and roGFP Analysis—**Mitochondria were isolated from cell culture or hydroponic seedlings as described previously (6). Thiols were extracted with sulfosalicylic acid and quantified with dithionitrobenzoic acid (Ellman’s reagent) in a cyclic assay using glutathione reductase (18). Alternatively, mitochondria were extracted with hydrochloric acid and labeled with monobromobimane for HPLC analysis of GSH (24). Quantitative in vivo imaging of the cytosolic GRX1-roGFP2 (26) and mitochondrial roGFP2-GRX1 sensor constructs (27) in 7–8-day-old seedlings was performed using a Zeiss LSM780 confocal microscope (Carl Zeiss MicroImaging GmbH, Goettingen, Germany). Image collection and ratiometric analysis were essentially as described previously (28, 29).

**Bacterial Strains, Plasmids, and Growth Conditions—**Lactococcus lactis strain NZ9000 ΔmrrA ΔmrrCD (30) was grown at 30 °C in M17 broth (Oxoid) supplemented with 0.5% (w/v) glucose and appropriate antibiotics for maintenance of plasmids. Cells were transformed with empty expression vector pNZ8048 (31), pNZ8048 encoding C-terminally His6-tagged Atm1 or Atm1 ΔK475 (this study), empty vector pERL (32), or pERL encoding C-terminally His10-tagged ATM3 or ATM3 E641Q (this study), downstream of a nisin A-inducible promoter. Medium was inoculated with a 1:50 dilution of overnight culture, and cells were grown to an OD660 of 0.5–0.6. Expression of ATM proteins was induced for 1.5 h at 30 °C in the presence of 0.1% (v/v) of nisin A-containing supernatant of the nisin-producing strain L. lactis NZ9700 (31).

**Construction of ATM Mutants—**The first 58 codons of the ATM1 gene from Saccharomyces cerevisiae were removed, and a C-terminal His6 tag and XbaI site were introduced by PCR using primer Sc_tr and Sc_Rev_His (for all primer sequences see supplemental Table S1). The PCR product was cloned into pJET vector (Fermentas). Site-directed mutagenesis was performed using the QuikChange lightning kit (Stratagene) to introduce the ΔK475 mutation with primers Atm1_DK_For and Atm1_DK_Rev. Wild-type and mutant ATM1 were reamplified by PCR and cloned into pNZ8048 to generate pNZ_Atm1 and pNZ_Atm1ΔK475.

ATM3 expression constructs were generated using the backbone exchange method (32). N-terminally truncated versions of ATM3 were amplified using ATM3_FX_Rev and ATM3_FX30_For, ATM3_FX60_For, or ATM3_FX97_For and cloned into vector pREX containing a C-terminal His10 tag as described (32, 33). Site-directed mutagenesis was performed using primers ATM3_EQ_For and ATM3_EQ_Rev.

**Preparation of Inside-out Membrane Vesicles and Protein Purification—**Inside-out membrane vesicles were prepared from lactococcal cells by passage through a Basic Z 0.75-kW Benchtop Cell Disruptor (Constant Systems) at 20,000 p.s.i. as described previously (34). Protein concentration was determined using the DC assay kit (Bio-Rad), and expression of the
proteins was confirmed by protein blot analysis with antibodies against the His tag, ATM3, or Atm1.

Inside-out membrane vesicles (30 mg of total protein) were solubilized in 7.5 ml of Buffer A (50 mM HEPES-KOH buffer, pH 8.0, 100 mM NaCl, 10% (v/v) glycerol, containing 1.0% (w/v) β-d-dodecyl maltoside (DDM, Melford) or 1.0% (w/v) lauryl maltoside n-octylglycoside (LMNPG, Affymetrix). The solubilization mixture was incubated on a rotating wheel for 2 h at 4 °C. Unsolubilized particles were removed by centrifugation at 125,000 × g for 30 min at 4 °C, and the supernatant was transferred to 400 µl of nickel-nitritrocetic acid (Ni-NTA)-agarose suspension (Qiagen) pre-equilibrated with Buffer A containing 0.1% (w/v) DDM or 0.05% (w/v) LMNPG and 30 mM imidazole. The mixture was incubated for a further 2 h and subsequently transferred to a Bio-spin column (Bio-Rad). The resin was washed with 5 column volumes of equilibration buffer and with 6 volumes of Buffer B (50 mM HEPES-KOH buffer, pH 7.0, 100 mM NaCl, 10% (v/v) glycerol, 30 mM imidazole, 0.1% (w/v) DDM, or 0.05% (w/v) LMNPG). The protein was eluted in elution buffer (Buffer B, but containing 5% (v/v) glycerol and 250 mM imidazole). Protein concentrations were determined using the Micro BCA assay kit (Pierce).

ATPase Measurements—ATPase activity of purified ATM3 and Atm1 was determined using the malachite green colorimetric assay. Briefly, 5 µg of purified protein was added to 0.1 M HEPES-KOH, pH 7.0, supplemented with 5 mM MgCl2 and 5 mM Na-ATP. The samples were incubated at 30 °C for 5 min, and subsequently 150 µl of malachite green solution was added (0.525 g of ammonium molybdate-7H2O, 17 mg of malachite green, 12.5 ml of 4 N hydrochloric acid, and MilliQ water to 50 ml), which had been activated with 0.1% Triton X-100. The absorbance was measured at a wavelength of 640 nm. Background levels of P, in the elution buffer were measured and subtracted. The NADH-coupled assay was performed as described previously (35). Where indicated, compounds were added to the assay mixture, at a final concentration of 3.3 mM unless otherwise stated, to determine their ability to stimulate ATPase activity. The GSSG polysulfide mixture was prepared as described (36). Glutathione persulfide (GSSH) was produced by mixing GSSG and Na2S in a 1:4 ratio with subsequent incubation at 30 °C for 15 min.

Substrate Transport in Inside-out Membrane Vesicles—Inside-out membrane vesicles with expressed ATM proteins were prepared as described above. The transport reaction contained 1 mg/ml membrane vesicles, 5 mM ATP, 5 mM MgCl2, and an ATP regeneration system consisting of 0.1 mg/ml creatine kinase and 5 mM phosphocreatine (both from Roche Applied Science) in 0.25 ml of 0.1 M KP, pH 7.0. [35S]GSH (PerkinElmer Life Sciences; 944 Ci/mmol) was mixed with nonlabeled GSH to obtain a final concentration of 250 µM [35S]GSH (0.95 Ci/mmol). [35S]GSSG was prepared from [35S]GSH as described in Ref. 37. In brief, dithiothreitol was removed by solvent extraction with ethyl acetate, and GSH was oxidized to GSSG by addition of 1% (v/v) H2O2. The purity of [35S]GSH and [35S]GSSG was analyzed by thin liquid chromatography on Silica 60 F254 nm plates (Merck) in 16:3:5 isopropanol/H2O/acetic acid. Plates were dried and exposed to Biomax MR film (Eastman Kodak) at −80 °C for 3 days.

The transport reaction was incubated for the indicated time and then filtered over nitrocellulose filters (Whatman; 0.45 µm) by rapid vacuum filtration. The filters were washed twice with 5 ml of ice-cold 0.1 M KP, pH 7.0, to decrease background binding of free [35S]-labeled compounds. Radioactivity retained on the filters was determined by liquid scintillation counting in Ultima Gold XR (PerkinElmer Life Sciences).

Transport reactions for GS-S-SG were carried out as for the radiolabeled substrate, except that the membrane vesicles were mixed with GSSG/GS-S-SG mixture containing 500 µM GSSG and 100 µM GS-S-SG as quantified by LC-MS/MS. After incubation for 30 min, samples were filtered over MultiScreen HTS+ plates (Millipore), washed with KP, and eluted in 70% (v/v) acetonitrile. Samples were diluted to 50% (v/v) acetonitrile, and 15 µl was applied to a Luna 3-µm NH2 column (100 × 2 mm, Phenomenex) attached to a Thermo-Finnigan Surveyor HPLC system. GSSG and GS-S-SG were separated in HILIC mode using a 90 to 10% gradient of acetonitrile in 20 mM ammonium acetate, pH 4.5, over 15 min. Eluted peaks were resolved on a LCQ DECA XPplus MS equipped with an electrospray ionization source. This was operated in negative mode and set to trap ions of m/z 611.1 (GSSG) and m/z 643.1 (GS-S-SG) to detect characteristic fragments. Ion intensities were quantified by peak integration using XCalibur software (ThermoFisher Scientific) and normalized to standards in the same experiment.

RESULTS

Arabidopsis atm3 Mutants Are Hypersensitive to an Inhibitor of Glutathione Biosynthesis—Arabidopsis atm3-1 seedlings were previously shown to accumulate 2-fold more non-protein thiols such as glutathione, whereas transcript levels of the GSH1 gene were elevated (20). To further investigate a possible interaction between glutathione and ATM3 function in plants, wild-type and atm3 seedlings were germinated on medium with low concentrations of BSO, a specific inhibitor of glutamate-cysteine ligase (EC 6.3.2.2), which is encoded by GSH1 and mediates the first step of glutathione synthesis (38). We tested three atm3 mutant alleles as follows: the weak atm3-3 allele that has an R612K substitution in the X-loop of the NBD; the intermediate atm3-4 allele that has less than 10% expression of ATM3 due to a 39-nucleotide deletion in the promoter; and the strong atm3-1 allele that lacks the NBD (6). Concentrations of 200 or 400 µM BSO in the growth medium did not affect root growth of wild-type seedlings (Fig. 1A). By contrast, a significant decrease in relative root growth was seen in all three atm3 mutant lines, with up to 60% inhibition in the stronger atm3-1 allele exposed to 400 µM BSO. The degree of growth inhibition correlated with the severity of other phenotypic parameters in the atm3 mutants (6) and could be reversed by addition of GSH to the growth medium. GSH alone had no major effect on root growth; however, a slight increase in root length was observed in the atm3-3 and atm3-4 mutant alleles (Fig. 1B). Thus, depletion of glutathione aggravates the slow growth phenotype of atm3 mutants. The functional interaction between glutathione and the Arabidopsis ATM3 transporter echoes the findings in yeast, in which genetic depletion of both GSH and Atm1 is lethal (22).
\[ \text{Glutathione Redox State Is Shifted toward Oxidation in Mitochondria of atm3 Mutants} \]

The greater sensitivity of \textit{atm3} mutants to BSO could be caused by the following: (i) lower steady-state levels of glutathione; (ii) altered distribution of glutathione in the cell; or (iii) a more oxidized glutathione pool, \textit{i.e.} an increased GSSG to GSH ratio as seen in total cell extracts of the yeast \textit{Δatm1} mutant (18). A previous report on the \textit{Arabidopsis atm3-1} mutant (20) showed that non-protein thiols such as glutathione were increased 2-fold in cell extracts, so therefore we rejected point i. To analyze whether the cellular distribution of glutathione is altered when ATM3 is not functional (point ii), we measured the glutathione content in mitochondria from wild-type and \textit{atm3} mutants. Intact and >90\% pure mitochondria were isolated from cell cultures or hydroponic seedlings, extracted under acid conditions and analyzed by the cyclic dithionitrobenzoate assay for non-protein thiols (known to be mostly glutathione) or by bromobimane derivatization and HPLC. We found that the total glutathione levels (GSH + GSSG) were significantly increased by up to 2-fold in \textit{atm3} mitochondria (Fig. 2A). However, compared with the overall 2-fold increase in the cell (20), we conclude that glutathione does not specifically accumulate in the mitochondria of \textit{atm3} mutants.

To investigate the redox state of the glutathione pool on the mitochondrial and cytosolic side of the ATM3 transporter (see point iii above), we used the redox-sensitive GFP2 (roGFP2) fused to glutaredoxin1 (GRX1). This approach reflects the \textit{in vivo} situation and is therefore superior to cell-disruptive methods, because the glutathione pool tends to become oxidized during the isolation procedure for mitochondria. The roGFP2 sensor was targeted to the mitochondrial matrix and cytosol, respectively (27, 39). Stable expression of the roGFP2 sensors in each cell compartment was confirmed by fluorescence microscopy in wild-type, \textit{atm3-3}, and \textit{atm3-4} mutants (data not shown). However, for the strong \textit{atm3-1} mutant allele, we could only obtain expression of the sensor in the cytosol but not in the mitochondria. The emission ratio upon excitation of the sensor with 405 and 488 nm provides a readout of the redox potential of the surrounding GSSG/GSH buffer and ranged from ~0.2 (fully reduced) to 1–1.2 (fully oxidized). We found that the 405:488 ratio value of roGFP2 was significantly increased in images of the mitochondrial sensor in \textit{atm3-3} and \textit{atm3-4} mutants compared with wild type (Fig. 2, B and C). This observation was consistently made in two separate experiments and in different tissue types as follows: root tip, root elongation zone, and coteledon epidermis. By contrast, the 405:488 ratio values for the cytosolic roGFP sensor were similar in \textit{atm3} mutants and wild type.

The glutathione redox potential shifts toward oxidation either by a decrease in total glutathione levels or an increase in the GSSG/GSH ratio. Both lead to an increase in the roGFP ratio value (39). In mitochondria from \textit{atm3} mutants, glutathione levels are higher than in wild type (Fig. 2A), and when glutathione was depleted using the inhibitor BSO, the 405:488 ratio of mitochondrial roGFP increased to a similar extent in wild-type and \textit{atm3} mutants (data not shown). Therefore, an increased 405:488 ratio of the roGFP sensor indicates that the mitochondrial matrix of \textit{atm3} mutants contains relatively more GSSG than in wild-type mitochondria. These data also suggest that if glutathione is a direct substrate of ATM3 it is preferentially transported in the oxidized disulfide form.
expression systems. For ATM3, prediction programs (MitoProt, TargetP, and SignalProt) were inconclusive as to the targeting sequence of 26 residues was predicted by MitoProt and TargetP, whereas alignment with bacterial AtmA homologues suggested that the first 58 residues comprise the MTS. By contrast, 93 ± 2 amino acids were cleaved off the N terminus when Atm1 was expressed in Escherichia coli (23). As a consensus, we decided to remove the first 58 amino acids, which yielded stable and active Atm1. A C-terminal histidine tag was introduced in both constructs to enable purification by Ni-NTA affinity chromatography.

We also generated ATM3 and Atm1 mutant proteins with an impaired ability to hydrolyze ATP (43). Deletion of the catalytic lysine in the Walker A motif, which is often used for this purpose, destabilized the ATM3 protein; therefore, we generated E641Q adjacent to the Walker B motif. For yeast Atm1, deletion of Lys-475 in the Walker A motif did not affect its stability. Equal expression of wild-type and mutant proteins in lactococcal membranes was confirmed by protein blot analysis (Fig. 3, A and B).

To assess the orientation of ATM3 and Atm1 in the lactococcal plasma membrane, we tested the accessibility of the histidine tag at the C terminus of both proteins in well defined inside-out membrane vesicles using a membrane-impermeable protease. We found that protease treatment removed the histidine tag but that the ATM protein remained intact (Fig. 3C). When detergent was added to solubilize the phospholipid bilayer, protease K was able to access and digest Atm1. These results suggest that the NBDs of both ATM3 and Atm1 are exposed to the exterior of the membrane vesicles and therefore that the transporter is in the physiological orientation in the plasma membrane of *L. lactis*.

Both ATM3 and Atm1 were purified to homogeneity using Ni-NTA affinity chromatography (Fig. 3D) and retained their ATPase activity in detergent solution (Fig. 4, A and B). The purified yeast Atm1 had a higher basal ATPase activity than *Arabidopsis* ATM3 as measured by colorimetric detection of Pi, followed by post hoc Tukey’s test; comparison of atm3 mutants to WT.

N/A, not available as we could not obtain atm3-1 expressing the mitochondrial roGFP2-GRX1 construct.
release from ATP by malachite green. As expected, the E641Q mutation in ATM3 and the ΔK475 deletion in Atm1 led to a substantial decrease in the observed ATPase activity (Fig. 4, A and B) confirming that the measured activity was due to the expressed ATM proteins.

ATPase Activity of ATM Transporters Is Stimulated by GSSG but Not GSH—Stimulation of ATPase activity of ABC transporters by transported substrates is well documented for a wide variety of these proteins (44, 45). Therefore, ATP hydrolysis rates of ATM3 and Atm1 were measured in the presence of a range of potential substrates, such as GSH, GSSG, thiols, sulfur compounds, and glutathione conjugates. Glutathione persulfide (GSSG) was produced chemically by mixing GSSG and Na$_2$S. The efficiency of the reaction was analyzed by quantification of sulfane sulfur (S$_0^-$) using the cold cyanolysis method, a colorimetric assay based on the formation of a ferric thiocyanate complex (46), which showed that 33% of the GSSG was converted to GSSH, yielding a 1:1 molar ratio of GSSH and GSSG. A GSSG polysulfide mixture was generated from elemental sulfur ($S_n^-$) and GSSH as described previously (36). Mass spectrometry revealed the presence of GS-$S_n^-$-SG ($n \leq 5$) and GSSG at a molar ratio of ~1:2 and no other significant reaction intermediates (data not shown).

Neither ATM3 nor Atm1 showed a significant stimulation of ATPase activity by GSH, GSSH, cysteine, acetylcysteine, Cys-Gly, dithiothreitol, or other compounds with free thiols (Fig. 4, A and B, and data not shown). There was also no stimulation by cystine (disulfide of cysteine), lactoylglutathione, sulfite, or sulfide. However, a significant enhancement of ATPase activity was measured in the presence of GSSG and GS-S-SG/GSSG, and this stimulation was concentration-dependent and similar for both substrates (compare 0.83 and 1.7 mM values for each substrate). By contrast, the GSSH/GSSG mixture did not stimulate ATPase activity, indicating that GSSH inhibits the stimulatory effect of GSSG. For both Arabidopsis ATM3 and yeast Atm1, the stimulation of Pi release was ~3-fold in the presence of 3.3 mM GSSG ($p < 0.01$). This is in the same range as observed for other ABC exporters (47–49).

Next, we tested whether ferrous iron was able to stimulate ATPase activity, either alone or in combination with GSH or GSSG. Because of the redox chemistry between iron and malachite green, we measured the ATPase activity in an indirect enzyme assay in which the release of ADP is coupled to NADH oxidation (35). Nevertheless, FeCl$_2$ concentrations of more than 0.1 mM interfered with the reaction. We confirmed that GSSG stimulated the ATPase activity of yeast Atm1 ~2-fold (Fig. 4C), but neither iron alone nor iron in combination with GSH or GSSG had a stimulatory effect.

**ATMs Can Mediate ATP-dependent GSSG and GS-S-SG Transport**—To further assess the substrate specificity of ATM3 and Atm1, we investigated whether GSH or GSSG are transported into lactococcal inside-out membrane vesicles by rapid filtration. For this purpose, membrane vesicles containing the respective proteins were incubated with 250 μM $^{35}$S-labeled GSH or GSSG (0.95 Ci/mmol). The reaction mixture contained an ATP-generating system, ATP (where indicated), and MgCl$_2$. GSSG was prepared by oxidation of GSH with hydrogen peroxide (see “Experimental Procedures”). To confirm the purity of the substrates, we analyzed 8 nCi on silica TLC plates (Fig. 5A). Clear separation of the two compounds under these conditions confirmed that the GSH sample did not contain detectable $^{35}$SGSG and that the GSSG working stock solution contained less than 20% $^{35}$S-GSH.

We observed ATP-dependent uptake of $^{35}$S-GSSG in membrane vesicles expressing ATM3 (Fig. 5B) or Atm1 (Fig. 5C). By contrast, $^{35}$S-GSH did not accumulate in the membrane vesicles. The accumulation of radiolabel was dependent on functional ATM protein as neither ATM3 E641Q nor Atm1 ΔK475 displayed significant transport activity. Kinetic analysis of Atm1-mediated $^{35}$S-GSSG transport at a substrate concentration between 10 and 500 μM revealed an apparent affinity ($K_m$) of ~109 ± 6 μM (Fig. 5D), which is in a similar range as described for mammalian MRP1 (ABCC1) (50).

To investigate whether GS-S-SG can be transported, we developed a transportomics approach (51) in which the accumulated substrates in inside-out membrane vesicles were identified by LC-MS/MS. Membrane vesicles containing Atm1 were incubated with GS-S-SG/GSSG mixture. After 30 min incubation in the presence of ATP followed by rapid filtration and washes, 3.1 ± 0.6 pmol of GS-S-SG per mg of protein was detected in Atm1-containing vesicles. In contrast, GS-S-SG was not detected in Atm1 vesicles (Fig. 5E). These results indicate that Atm1 can transport GS-S-SG when GSSG is added. We conclude that Atm1, like other ABC transporters, is able to transport both GSSG and GS-S-SG.

**Figure 3. Expression and purification of ATMs.** Arabidopsis ATM3 and ATM3 E641Q (A), and yeast Atm1 and Atm1 ΔΔK475 (B) were expressed from plasmids in *L. lactis*. Total membrane protein (24 μg) was analyzed by immunoblotting for expression of the transporter proteins using anti-His or specific antibodies. Coomassie staining confirmed equal loading. Control, membranes from cells carrying an empty plasmid. C, orientation of ATMs in inside-out membrane vesicles. Samples (24 μg of protein) were treated as indicated and then subjected to immunoblotting with anti-His or anti-ATM antibodies. ATM3 has a C-terminal His$_6^+$ tag with a cleavage site specific for PreScission protease. Atm1 has a C-terminal His$_6^+$ tag without a cleavage site; therefore, the nonspecific protease K (Prot K) was used. In both cases, the His tag is accessible to the protease, indicating that the C-terminal NBD is oriented to the outside of the membrane vesicles. Addition of the detergent Triton X-100 renders Atm1 accessible to protease K. D, ATM3 and Atm1 were purified to homogeneity using Ni-NTA affinity chromatography. Sol, solubilized membranes; FT, flow-through.
was not detected in control membrane vesicles containing the Atm1 vesicles also accumulated 82.8 ± 8.4 pmol of GSSG per mg of protein.

To provide evidence for direct interactions between Atm1 and GSSG, we mutated the first arginine in a conserved (R/K)XXXR motif in the transmembrane domain of Atm1 (Fig. 6A). The R216Q change in Atm1 did not affect the stability of the protein or its basal ATPase activity (Fig. 6, B and D). Interestingly, the ATP hydrolysis rate of Atm1 R216Q could not be stimulated by GSSG (Fig. 6C). This correlated with the inability of the mutant protein to mediate [35S]GSSG transport in inside-out membrane vesicles (Fig. 6D), providing further evidence that GSSG transport requires functional Atm1 in our measurements.

Overall, our experimental evidence indicates that GSSG and GS-S-SG but not GSH are transported in a micromolar range of concentrations by the ATM transporters. This correlates well with the ATP hydrolysis measurements shown above, which did not show any stimulation by GSH under these conditions.

Recently, two distinct mitochondrial activities for removal of either S2− or S0 have been characterized in Arabidopsis. A mitochondrial isoform of O-acetylserine(thiol)lyase (EC 2.5.1.47) catalyzes the assimilation of S2− by replacing the activated acetyl moiety in O-acetyl-L-serine to produce cysteine and acetate (24, 52). A knock-out mutant of mitochondrial OAS-TL (oastlC) has been characterized previously and displayed a mild growth defect (24). ETHE1 is a sulfur dioxygenase (EC 1.13.11.18) that oxidizes S0 to sulfite using GSH as a cofactor to form the intermediary substrate GSSH (25, 53, 54). Disruption of ETHE1 caused embryo lethality (54), but a promoter mutant with strongly decreased transcript levels and sulfur dioxygenase activity is viable and displayed only a mild growth defect under normal conditions (25).

To investigate whether depletion of either OAS-TL C or ETHE1 activity would enhance the phenotype of atm3 mutants, the oastlC and the ethe1-1 mutants were crossed with atm3-1. We isolated the expected frequency of oastlC atm3-1 double mutants (17:61 from an oastlC+/− atm3-1 parent), but we initially failed to find the double mutant of ethe1-1 and the atm3-1 allele. Inspection of the siliques of plants homozygous for ethe1-1 and heterozygous for atm3-1 revealed that about 25% of the seeds aborted (Fig. 7, A and B). Some seeds aborted early
during development, whereas most died shortly before matu-
rity. More careful scrutiny of the germinated seedlings revealed
a very low percentage (1.9%) of small, pale seedlings that sur-
vived after transfer to soil (Fig. 7C). PCR analysis confirmed
these were ethel-1 atm3-1 double mutants (Fig. 7D). The
ethel-1 atm3-1 plants were infertile (Fig. 7A). In contrast,
oastlC atm3-1 mutants were similar in appearance to the
atm3-1 parental line. These data suggest that toxic persulfide,
but not sulfide, accumulates in mitochondria when ATM3 is
nonfunctional.

DISCUSSION

Only a small number of ABC transporters are found in the
inner mitochondrial membrane, where members of the mito-
chondrial carrier family are far more abundant. ATMs have
been maintained during the evolution of the eukaryotic cell,
apart from a few exceptions in unicellular parasitic eukaryotes,
suggesting that they transport compounds essential for con-
served biochemical pathways. In the light of the proposed role
of ATMs in Fe-S protein biogenesis in the cytosol, and previous
implication of glutathione (18, 20, 22), we focused on glutathi-
one conjugates as possible substrates of ATMs. We found that
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oastlC atm3-1 mutants were similar in appearance to the
atm3-1 parental line. These data suggest that toxic persulfide,
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nonfunctional.

DISCUSSION

Only a small number of ABC transporters are found in the
inner mitochondrial membrane, where members of the mito-
chondrial carrier family are far more abundant. ATMs have
been maintained during the evolution of the eukaryotic cell,
apart from a few exceptions in unicellular parasitic eukaryotes,
suggesting that they transport compounds essential for con-
served biochemical pathways. In the light of the proposed role
of ATMs in Fe-S protein biogenesis in the cytosol, and previous
implication of glutathione (18, 20, 22), we focused on glutathi-
one conjugates as possible substrates of ATMs. We found that
ATP hydrolysis activity of plant ATM3 and yeast Atm1 is stim-
ulated by GSSG but not by GSH, and that both proteins prefer-
entially transport GSSG (Figs. 4 and 5). This substrate specific-
ity is not unprecedented for ABC transporters and has also been
reported for a bacterial Atm1-type transporter (12) and for MRP1/ABCC1 (50). In contrast, a previous study by Kunhke and co-workers (23) found that yeast Atm1, which was expressed in *E. coli* and reconstituted into liposomes, was stimulated by a range of molecules with a free thiol group, including GSH. Interestingly, their study showed that GSSG also stimulated ATPase activity but not other disulfides. The discrepancy with our study may be due to the choice of bacterial expression system. The *Lactococcus* NZ9000 strain used here does not produce glutathione; therefore, all effects seen are due to exogenously added GSH or GSSG to the *in vitro* assays.

Expression of roGFP2-GRX1 sensors showed that plant atm3 mutants accumulate relatively more GSSG in the mitochondrial matrix (Fig. 2, B and C), providing *in vivo* support for this substrate. It should be pointed out that although the change in the mitochondrial glutathione redox state is significant, the overall effect is modest. This is not surprising considering that plant mitochondria contain an active GSH regeneration system consisting of GSSG reductase (GR2). GR2 is dual localized to the mitochondria and plastids (55). Depletion of GR2 from the mitochondria, in a gr2 mutant expressing only plastid GR2, led to a highly oxidized mitochondrial glutathione pool.8 Oxidation of the mitochondrial glutathione pool can happen in the presence of GR2, for instance under stress conditions (39), although reasons for this phenomenon are not yet known. We did not observe a redox change in the cytosolic glutathione pool in atm3 mutants. Decreased export of GSSG resulting in over-reduction of the cytosol is unlikely to be detectable using the roGFP2 reporter, which is already close to its fully reduced state under control conditions (39). In addition, the high activity and low *Km* value of cytosolic GR (GR1) for GSSG will efficiently buffer any variation in organellar export of oxidized glutathione.

The relatively small changes in the cellular glutathione redox state in atm3 mutants suggest that the primary role of ATM3 is not to mediate export of GSSG from the mitochondria. Instead, we propose that GSSG serves as a vehicle to transport persulfide (sulfane sulfur with oxidation state 0), which is required for both Fe-S cluster assembly and Moco biosynthesis. The activated state of persulfide is important for the formation of Fe-S

---

A. J. Meyer, unpublished data.
clusters, and sulfide ($S^{2-}$) cannot be used for this purpose (4, 56). For reasons that are not yet understood, the cysteine desulfurase that generates persulfide for Fe-S cluster assembly resides in the mitochondrial matrix of plants and yeast. Export of persulfide in the form of RS-S'H across the inner mitochondrial membrane would be a challenge because of its reactivity. However, persulfide in the form of GS-SG is relatively more stable. We found that GSSH could not stimulate the ATPase activity of ATM3 and Atm1, and in fact it inhibited the stimulatory effect of GSSG. In contrast, GS-SG did not have an inhibitory effect on stimulation by GSSG (Fig. 4, A and B), and accumulated in inside-out membrane vesicles containing Atm1 in a reproducible manner (Fig. 5E). Recent advances in the synthesis and quantitative analysis of glutathione polysulfides by Ida et al. (57) will enable a more detailed kinetic analysis of GS-SG transport by ATMs in the near future.

The severely compromised growth of the ethe1-1 atm3-1 double mutant compared with either parent suggests that an excess of glutathione persulfide accumulates in atm3-1 mutants but is rapidly detoxified by the sulfur dioxygenase ETHE1 localized in the mitochondrial matrix (Fig. 7E). GS-SG would need to be chemically or enzymatically converted to GSSH to be a substrate of ETHE1, and GR2 or glutaredoxins are likely to catalyze this conversion (57). It should be noted that ETHE1 itself does not play a role in Fe-S cluster assembly (25), and the gene is absent from yeast. The physiological role of ETHE1 appears to be restricted to detoxification of persulfide bound to GSSH rather than protein (53) and, in plants, to the catabolism of cysteine in cells undergoing high protein turnover (25).

In conclusion, we have identified two substrates of the mitochondrial ABC transporters, GSSH and GS-SG. Further biochemical studies are needed to establish how GS-SG is formed and delivered to the transporter and how the persulfide is transferred across the mitochondrial intermembrane space and delivered to cofactor assembly proteins in the cytosol.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME OF PRIMER</th>
<th>APPLICATION</th>
<th>SEQUENCE (5’-3’)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SC_tr</td>
<td>Generation of Atm1 construct into pJET plasmid</td>
<td>ACG CAC AAA AAA TCC GTC GAG C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC_REV_HIS</td>
<td>Generation of Atm1 construct into pJET plasmid</td>
<td>CTA AAA GAC CAG CAA GAA CTA CAC CAC CAC CAC CAC TGA TCT AGA TTG G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATM3_FX30_FOR</td>
<td>Generation of ATM3 construct for cloning into pREX plasmid</td>
<td>GCG TAT GCT CTT CTA GTT ACA GTC TTC GCT CTA G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATM3_FX60_FOR</td>
<td>Generation of ATM3 construct for cloning into pREX plasmid</td>
<td>GCG GAT GCT CTT CTA GTT TCC TCT CAG ATA ACT CAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATM3_FX97_FOR</td>
<td>Generation of ATM3 construct for cloning into pREX plasmid</td>
<td>GCA TAT GCT CTT CTA GT G ACC AAA CCA CCA CCA AGA C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATM3_FX_REV</td>
<td>Generation of ATM3 construct for cloning into pREX plasmid</td>
<td>GCA TAT GCT CTT CTA GTG ACC AAA CCA CCA CCA AGA C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATM1_DK_FOR</td>
<td>Site directed mutagenesis</td>
<td>CTA CGG GGA GCG GCT CAA CAA TTC TTA G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATM1_DK_REV</td>
<td>Site directed mutagenesis</td>
<td>CTA AGA ATT GTT GAG CCG CTC CCG CTA G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATM3_EQ_FOR</td>
<td>Site directed mutagenesis</td>
<td>GAT TCT GTT GTG TGA TCA GGC AAC GAG TGC GC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATM3_EQ_REV</td>
<td>Site directed mutagenesis</td>
<td>GCG CAC TCG TTT GGT TAT GAC ACA ACA GAA TC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ETHE1_LP</td>
<td>Genotyping</td>
<td>ACAATTATC TCATGATTCCGGTTG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ETHE1_RP</td>
<td>Genotyping</td>
<td>CAGTCTTGTCCACCGGATCAAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LBa1</td>
<td>Genotyping</td>
<td>TGGTTCAGTAGTGCCCGCATCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATM3-F3</td>
<td>Genotyping</td>
<td>GACATCACAATAACAGGACGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATM3-R6</td>
<td>Genotyping</td>
<td>TTAGATGCTAAACAGAAGAGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPTII-R2</td>
<td>Genotyping</td>
<td>CATAGCGAATAGCC TCTCC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Glutaredoxins (GRXs) catalyze the reduction of protein disulfide bonds using glutathione as a reductant. Certain GRXs are able to transfer iron-sulfur clusters to other proteins. To investigate the function of Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana) GRXS17, we applied a strategy combining biochemical, genetic, and physiological approaches. GRXS17 was localized in the nucleus and cytosol, and its expression was elevated in the shoot meristems and reproductive tissues. Recombinant GRXS17 bound Fe2S2 clusters, a property likely contributing to its ability to complement the defects of a Baker’s yeast (Saccharomyces cerevisiae) strain lacking the mitochondrial GRX5. However, a grxs17 knockout Arabidopsis mutant exhibited only a minor decrease in the activities of iron-sulfur enzymes, suggesting that its primary function is as a disulfide oxidoreductase. The grxs17 plants were sensitive to high temperatures and long-day photoperiods, resulting in elongated leaves, compromised shoot apical meristem, and delayed bolting. Both environmental conditions applied simultaneously led to a growth arrest. Using affinity chromatography and split-Yellow Fluorescent Protein methods, a nuclear transcriptional regulator, the Nuclear Factor Y Subunit C11/Negative Cofactor 2α (NF-YC11/NC2α), was identified as a GRXS17 interacting partner. A mutant deficient in NF-YC11/NC2α exhibited similar phenotypes to grxs17 in response to photoperiod. Therefore, we propose that GRXS17 interacts with NF-YC11/NC2α to relay a redox signal generated by the photoperiod to maintain meristem function.
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Glutaredoxins (GRXs) are small oxidoreductases structurally related to thioredoxins (TRXs) and present in most organisms (Rouhier et al., 2008; Meyer et al., 2009). Their capacity to reduce disulfide bonds is usually dependent on glutathione (GSH) and relies on a four-residue active-site motif comprising at least one redox-active Cys (Rouhier et al., 2006). In higher plants, GRXs are encoded by multigene families and subdivided into four classes (Couturier et al., 2009). GRXs from classes I and II are present in all photosynthetic organisms and possess, in most cases, the

motifs CPXC and CGFS as active sites, respectively. GRXs from class III are restricted to terrestrial plants and display a CCXX motif. Class IV GRXs are present in both green algae and terrestrial plants and composed of three domains: one N-terminal GRX module carrying a CXXC/S motif followed by two domains of unknown function.

Through their biochemical function as disulfide reductases, GRXs are thought to alter the activity of metabolic enzymes and transcriptional factors (Michelot et al., 2005; Murmu et al., 2010; Couturier et al., 2014). They also participate in the regeneration of thiol-dependent antioxidant enzymes (Rouhier et al., 2001; Gama et al., 2007; Tarrago et al., 2009; Couturier et al., 2011). Also, other functions have been proposed for classes I and II GRXs owing to their capacity to bind iron-sulfur clusters (Rouhier et al., 2007, 2010; Bandypadhyay et al., 2008). For instance, oxidized GSH promotes iron-sulfur cluster disassembly from human GRX2 and restores disulfide reductase activity; therefore, class I GRXs may constitute redox sensors (Lillig et al., 2005). GRXs belonging to class II, also named monothiol GRXs, seem intimately linked to iron metabolism. Those present in mitochondria participate in iron-sulfur cluster assembly, most likely as iron-sulfur transfer proteins from scaffold proteins to acceptor proteins (Rodriguez-Manzaneque et al., 2002; Mühlenhoff et al., 2003; Bandypadhyay et al., 2008). In addition, nucleocytoplasmic monothiol GRXs participate in iron sensing and trafficking in Baker’s yeast (Saccharomyces cerevisiae) and animals (Ojeda et al., 2006; Pujol-Carrion et al., 2006; Kumanovics et al., 2008; Mühlenhoff et al., 2010; Haunhorst et al., 2013). However, it is not known if GRXs play a role in iron-sulfur cluster assembly or iron sensing in plants.

Recently, essential roles of plant GRXs have been unveiled in developmental processes and stress responses. Several Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana) GRXs from class III participate in the tolerance to photooxidative stress (Laporte et al., 2012) and defense against pathogens (Ndamukong et al., 2007; La Camera et al., 2011). Others are required for proper reproductive development through interaction with basic Leu zipper-type TGA transcription factors (Xing and Zachgo, 2008; Murmu et al., 2010). Concerning class I GRXs, an Arabidopsis mutant deficient in both GRXC1 and C2 has a lethal phenotype because of impaired embryo development (Riondet et al., 2012). Among the four class II GRXs (S14, S15, S16, and S17), the plastidial S14 isoform participates in arsenic tolerance in a hyperaccumulating fern (Pteris vittata; Sundaram et al., 2008) and is induced in response to high temperature in Arabidopsis (Sundaram and Rathinasabapathi, 2010). Tomato (Solanum lycopersicum) plants silenced for the expression of GRXS16 encoding another plastid-localized GRX display increased sensitivity to osmotic stress (Guo et al., 2010). GRXS14 and GRXS15 are presumed to participate in responses to oxidative stress (Cheng et al., 2006; Cheng, 2008). Concerning GRXS17, Arabidopsis knockout plants growing at 28°C exhibited impaired primary root growth, impaired flowering, and altered sensitivity to auxin (Cheng et al., 2011). Consistently, ectopic expression of Arabidopsis GRXS17 in tomato plants resulted in enhanced thermostolerance (Wu et al., 2012).

In this work, we examined the physiological role of Arabidopsis GRXS17, which belongs to class II and has three CGFS active sites, in relation to its biochemical functions. Recombinant GRXS17 incorporated Fe2S2 clusters and complemented the Baker’s yeast gtx5 mutant. However, in plants, GRXS17 had a minor role in iron-sulfur cluster metabolism, because the activities of cytosolic iron-sulfur-dependent enzymes were not substantially altered in gtx5 mutant plants. In fact, gtxs17 plants exhibited severe developmental defects as a consequence of a perturbed shoot meristem, specifically at elevated temperatures and in long-day conditions. We show that GRXS17 interacts with the Nuclear Factor Y Subunit C11/Negative Cofactor 2α (NF-YC11/NC2α), which is also involved in the control of plant development as a function of photoperiod duration. Our data indicate that GRXS17 plays an important role in meristem maintenance and suggest that this role is fulfilled through the relay of a redox-dependent signal to NF-YC11/NC2α.

RESULTS

Expression of GRXS17 in Arabidopsis Plant Organs and Subcellular Localization

To investigate the function of GRXS17 in planta, we first analyzed its expression pattern. Previously published quantitative reverse transcription (RT)-PCR and promoter-GUS fusion data showed high GRXS17 expression in growing leaves and anthers of Arabidopsis (Cheng et al., 2011). To gain more detail, the GRXS17 protein abundance in various organs was determined using a serum raised against the whole recombinant protein. The serum specifically recognized a protein at approximately 50 kD as shown by western-blot analysis of flower protein extracts from the wild type, and the signal was absent in homozygous grxs17 plants (Fig. 1A; Supplemental Fig. S1). GRXS17 was substantially more abundant in stems, young leaves, and flowers. Note that the electrophoretic mobility of GRXS17 varies in leaf samples because of the proximity of Rubisco, which appears as a light-gray background band in grxs17 extracts (Fig. 1, A and B). In situ hybridization was performed on shoot apical meristem (SAM) and flowers of Arabidopsis wild-type plants. A strong digoxigenin staining was found in all meristematic cells, particularly stem cells (Fig. 1, C and D), pollen, and ovules (Fig. 1, E and F). These data reveal that GRXS17 is expressed in very different cell types localized in meristematic areas or reproductive and vascular organs.

To determine the subcellular localization of GRXS17, transient expression in protoplasts and stable expression of P35S:GRXS17:GFP fusion were undertaken. The results indicated that the protein is targeted to both cytosol...
and nucleus (Fig. 2, A and B). The nuclear localization is surprising considering the absence of a recognizable nuclear localization signal in GRXS17 sequence and the size of the fusion protein, which should be too big to freely diffuse through nuclear pores. Therefore, we prepared nuclear and cytosolic fractions from Arabidopsis in florescences. Their relative purity was verified using sera against cytosolic and nuclear markers TRXh5 (Marchal et al., 2014) and Nucleolin1 (NUC1; Pontvianne et al., 2010), respectively. GRXS17 was detected in both fractions in agreement with GRXS17-GFP localization (Fig. 2C). No signal for GRXS17 was detected in mitochondrial or chloroplastic extracts (Supplemental Fig. S2).

Using bimolecular fluorescence complementation (BiFC; i.e. transient expression of two GRXS17 constructs fused to one-half Yellow Fluorescent Proteins (YFPs) in Arabidopsis protoplasts), we observed YFP signal confirming the nucleocytosolic localization and indicating that GRXS17 forms dimers in both compartments (Fig. 2D; Supplemental Fig. S3). The ability of GRXS17 to dimerize in vivo was further investigated in crude leaf extracts incubated with the cross-linker dimethyl pimelimidate/2 HCl (DMP). Upon nonreducing SDS-PAGE and western-blot analysis, a single band at 50 kD was apparent in untreated wild-type samples, and an additional 100-kD band, corresponding to a GRXS17 dimer, was specifically observed in the cross-linked extract (Fig. 2E). These data indicate that the GRX dimer is not formed by disulfide bridging.

Development of the grxS17 Mutant in Response to Photoperiod

To analyze the physiological function of AtGRXS17, we isolated homozygous grxS17 knockout plants from the Arabidopsis SALK_021301 line (Supplemental Fig. S1) and transformed this line with the GRXS17 complementary DNA (cDNA) under the control of the Cauliflower mosaic virus-35S promoter. Two independent grxS17 complemented lines, termed 3.3 and 17.8, were generated, and transgene expression was confirmed at the protein level (Supplemental Fig. S1). The phenotype...
characteristics of grxS17 mutant and complemented lines were investigated under various light and temperature conditions. When cultivated under standard conditions (22°C/18°C day-night regime, 8-h photoperiod, and 200 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹), all lines showed a similar development (Fig. 3A). Transfer of 2.5-week-old seedlings to 28°C and standard light for 2.5 weeks strongly impaired development of all genotypes, which displayed thin and elongated leaves. In addition, the grxS17 mutant failed to form new leaves, which was partially or entirely complemented in lines 3.3 and 17.8, respectively (Fig. 3B). These data indicate that GRXS17 is required for maintenance of the SAM at high temperature, consistent with the previously reported thermosensitivity of the grxS17 line (Cheng et al., 2011).

When plants were grown at 22°C and moderate light but under long-day photoperiod (16-h-day/8-h-night cycle), we observed that 4-week-old grxS17 plants displayed elongated and thickened lamina (Fig. 3C). The development of the main floral spike (raceme) was delayed, which entirely failed to form when plants were shifted to continuous light (Fig. 3D). To analyze whether the phenotype appearance is caused by day length or related to the total light inception, plants grown for 2 weeks under standard conditions were transferred to high-light (500 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹), 22°C, and short-day conditions. After 3 weeks, there was no change in grxS17 development in this light regime (Supplemental Fig. S4A), indicating that photoperiod duration is the primary determinant for the observed phenotype. When plants were grown under the same high-light intensity under long days, grxS17 exhibited strongly impaired development (Supplemental Figs. S4B and S5G). It is worth mentioning that the temperature measured at the plant level is elevated by 2°C (24°C) in high-light conditions, thus possibly explaining the more severe phenotype in long days at high light compared with moderate light. Under this light regime, there was no visual evidence of photooxidative damage in grxS17 leaves (Supplemental Fig. S4B). This was confirmed by autoluminescence imaging (Supplemental Fig. S6), which allows recording of the photon emission associated with lipid peroxidation (Havaux et al., 2006). When combining high temperature and long day, we observed that grxS17 growth stopped after a few days (Fig. 3E). Interestingly, the growth and the reproductive development of grxS17 plants cultivated for 4 weeks in long-day conditions at 15°C were not modified (Fig. 3F). Similarly, when young

Figure 3. Growth and development of plants modified in GRXS17 expression as a function of photoperiod and temperature. A, Five-week-old plants grown in standard conditions (8-h photoperiod and 200 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹) at 22°C. B, Plants grown for 2.5 weeks in standard conditions and transferred to 28°C (8-h photoperiod and 200 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹) for 2.5 weeks. The arrow indicates the absence of young leaves. C, Plants grown in long-day photoperiod conditions (16 h and 200 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹) at 22°C. D, Plants grown for 2 weeks in standard conditions and transferred to continuous light (200 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ and 22°C) for 3 weeks. E, Plants grown for 2 weeks in standard conditions and transferred to 28°C and long-day photoperiod (16 h and 200 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹) for 2.5 weeks. F, Plants grown for 3 weeks in standard conditions and transferred to 15°C and long-day photoperiod (16 h and 200 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹) for 4 weeks. grxS17, Homozygous SALK_021301 plants; grxS17 3.3 and 17.8, two independent grxS17 lines expressing GRXS17; LD, long (16-h) day; SD, short (8-h) day; WT, wild type.
plants were transferred to long-day conditions at 15°C and high light (500 μmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹), no alteration was noticed in grxS17 (Supplemental Fig. S4C). Altogether, these data reveal that plants deficient in GRXS17 display sensitivity to a long-day regime in a temperature-dependent manner.

When grxS17 plants were grown under long-day and high-light conditions, a significant delay of bolting was observed. These plants only formed secondary floral spikes after some time (Supplemental Fig. S5, B, D, F, and G). In short-day conditions, floral development was even accelerated (Supplemental Fig. S5, A, C, and E), whereas grxS17 vegetative growth was not affected. Complemented lines exhibited contrasting phenotypes under short day: one line (3.3) flowering like the mutant and the other (17.8) flowering like the wild type. The difference could originate from the much higher GRXS17 amount in the latter (Supplemental Fig. S1). Collectively, these data point to the central role of GRXS17 in conveying environmental variations, such as temperature and day length, to coordinate the flowering response in plants.

The SAM Is Compromised in the grxS17 Mutant

Because floral induction depends on the transition of the SAM from vegetative to reproductive fate (Levy and Dean, 1998), we performed histological analysis of SAM in grxS17 mutants. The SAM overall structure was not altered in the mutant grown in short-day conditions (Supplemental Fig. S7). On the contrary, when grown under long days, the meristem area was smaller in grxS17 compared with the wild type (Fig. 4A). The cell numbers in L1, L2, and L3 layers were 45% lower in grxS17 than in the wild type, revealing impairment in the division of stem cells (Fig. 4C). Moreover, the size of meristematic cells was noticeably increased in the mutant (Fig. 4A), suggesting that the lower cell division rates are associated with increased cell expansion. These changes in meristematic cell size and numbers are consistent with the high GRXS17 expression level observed in the meristem (Fig. 1C) and likely lead to the impaired development of grxS17 plants observed under conditions of long-day photoperiod and/or high temperature (Fig. 3; Supplemental Fig. S5). Histological analysis of mesophyll cells in plants grown under long-day and high-light conditions revealed a reduced cell density in mutant plants (652 ± 79 cells mm⁻²) compared with the wild type (1,148 ± 68 cells mm⁻²) and a much larger cell size (Fig. 4, B and D). These data indicate that GRXS17 is required for cell division under long-day conditions.

Figure 4. Structure of SAM and leaves in grxS17 plants. A, Histological structure of the SAM stained by toluidine blue in 7-d-old wild-type, grxS17, and grxS17 GRXS17 (line 3.3) plants grown in long-day conditions (16 h) and high light (500 μmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹). B, Observation of mesophyll cells in leaves of 3-week-old plants grown under long-day/high-light conditions. C, Number of L1, L2, and L3 layer stem cells in the SAM cross sections shown in A. Ten sections per genotype were analyzed. D, Density of mesophyll cells in the sections shown in B (n = 12). WT, Wild type. * Value significantly different from wild-type value with P < 0.05 (Student’s t test).
AtGRXS17 Architecture and Capacity to Bind Iron-Sulfur Clusters

To investigate the biochemical function of AtGRXS17 and how this could affect meristem development, we first analyzed the capacity of the protein to bind iron-sulfur clusters. Arabidopsis GRXS17 possesses an N-terminal TRX-like domain with a WCDAS motif in place of the canonical WCGPC active site followed by three GRX domains containing CGFS motifs (Fig. 5A). This architecture is unique to land plants, because mammalian, fungal, and algal homologs consist of one TRX and maximally, two GRX domains (Couturier et al., 2009a, 2009b). The GRX modules of GRXS17 share 62% to 65% identity and are subsequently referred to as M2, M3, and M4. From secondary structure prediction and three-dimensional structure modeling, the four AtGRXS17 domains all adopt a classical TRX fold and are connected by long linker sequences (Supplemental Fig. S8). The capacity of recombinant AtGRXS17 to incorporate iron-sulfur clusters, like other Arabidopsis CGFS GRXs (Bandyopadhyay et al., 2008), was analyzed after anaerobic in vitro reconstitution mediated by the Cys desulfurase IscS in the presence of GSH. Indeed, upon purification of GRXS17, the oxygen-sensitive iron-sulfur clusters are lost; therefore, reconstitution of the clusters guarantees a sufficient amount of holo-GRXS17 for spectroscopy analysis. The UV-visible spectrum of the reconstituted GRXS17 showed absorbance peaks at 320 nm and around 420 nm, similar to other iron-sulfur cluster-coordinating GRXs and typical for Fe₂S₂ clusters (Fig. 5B). Estimation of the iron content in a freshly reconstituted wild-type protein indicated the presence of 2.48 ± 0.58 iron atoms per monomer. To investigate the contribution of each domain to cluster binding, the active-site cysteines were replaced by Ser either individually or in all three GRX domains. Whereas the triple-Cys mutant (C179/309/416S) did not incorporate any iron-sulfur cluster upon in vitro reconstitution, variants carrying one single substitution all incorporated between 40% and 60% of clusters as assessed by relative absorbance measurements at 420 nm (Fig. 5C). Because each of the active-site cysteines of the GRX subunits contributed to Fe₂S₂ incorporation, these data—together with the quantification of iron—indicate that AtGRXS17 dimers incorporate three Fe₂S₂ clusters in vitro, involving each GRX domain. Furthermore, the stoichiometry indicates that the GSH included in the reconstitution assay acts as an iron-sulfur-cluster ligand as described for all other CGFS GRXs.

Arabidopsis GRXS17 Rescues Most Baker’s Yeast grx5 Mutant Phenotypes

To further investigate a possible role of GRXS17 as an iron-sulfur-cluster transfer protein, its capacity to rescue the defects of a Baker’s yeast grx mutant was examined. The entire protein or the three individual GRX domains were fused to the Grx5 mitochondrial targeting sequence and a C-terminal hemaglutinin (HA) tag. The constructs were expressed in Baker’s yeast, and the localization of the Arabidopsis proteins in the mitochondrial matrix was confirmed by western-blot analysis (Supplemental Fig. S9). Phenotype studies indicated that only the entire protein (the wild type) and the M3 module, to a lesser extent, rescued the sensitivity to two externally added oxidants, for which Baker’s yeast grx5 mutant cells are hypersensitive (Rodríguez-Manzaneque et al., 2002): tert-butyl hydroperoxide (causing general oxidative damage on cellular macromolecules) and diamide (specific oxidant of thiol groups; Fig. 6A). When grown under

Figure 5. Incorporation of iron-sulfur clusters into recombinant wild-type and mutated AtGRXS17. A, Domain structure of GRXS17. Positions of active-site cysteines are indicated by black triangles, and positions of other Cys are indicated by gray triangles. M2-GRX, M3-GRX, and M4-GRX are three monothiol-GRX domains. TRX-HD, TRX-like homology domain; WCDAS. B, Absorption spectra of GRXS17 and Cys mutants. UV-visible absorption spectra were recorded immediately after in vitro reconstitution in anaerobic conditions. The active-site cysteines of each GRX domain were individually or together substituted by Ser (M2:C179S, M3:C309S, M4:C416S, and C179/309/416S). C, Relative absorption at 420 nm of GRXS17 mutants. WT, Wild type.
obligate respiratory conditions (glycerol as the carbon source), both the M3 and M4 modules in addition to the entire GRXS17 molecule totally or partially rescued the Baker’s yeast grx5-defective phenotype (Fig. 6B). The M3 module also fully rescued, like GRXS17, the ability to express active aconitase holoenzyme (Fig. 6C) and mostly restored isopropylmalate isomerase (Leu-1) activity (Fig. 6D). These two iron-sulfur-containing enzymes are located in mitochondria and cytosol, respectively, and both are dependent on the mitochondrial iron-sulfur cluster assembly pathway. We then checked the expression of iron uptake genes by determining the transcript levels of two reporter genes of the Activation of ferrous transport1 regulon, FTR1 (for Fe Transporter1) and FIT3 (for Facilitator of Iron Transport3). Of the three modules, only M3 restored repression of both genes in grx5 cells, whereas the entire GRXS17 molecule was unable to repress FTR1 and FIT3 expression (Fig. 6E), indicating that one component participating in iron status signaling is still deficient and that this could be caused by some steric incompatibility linked to the modular architecture of GRXS17. Accordingly, the M3 domain suppressed iron accumulation in grx5. Of note, expression of the entire GRXS17 protein also prevented iron accumulation (Fig. 6F). To summarize, the entire AtGRXS17 rescued most grx5 phenotypes, notably the activities of iron-sulfur-containing enzymes.

GRXS17 Plays a Minor Role in Maintaining the Activity of Cytosolic Iron-Sulfur Enzymes

Next, we investigated whether GRXS17 fulfills a role in iron-sulfur cluster assembly in Arabidopsis. Therefore, activities and/or abundance of iron-sulfur enzymes were analyzed, including aconitases (one Fe₄S₄), aldehyde oxidases (two Fe₂S₂, FAD, and molybdenum cofactors), and PSI (three Fe₄S₄). All measurements were performed using 2-week-old seedlings grown under conditions where mild phenotypic changes are visible (22°C and 16 h of light) or conditions leading to severely impaired growth in grxS17 (plants shifted to 28°C and 16 h of light; Supplemental Fig. S10A). Two mutant alleles of ABC TRANSPORTER OF THE MITOCHONDRIA3/ABCB25 (ATM3) encoding a transporter that provides persulfide for cytosolic iron-sulfur cluster assembly (Schaedler et al., 2014) were used for comparison. The atm3-1 and atm3-4 mutants are strong and weak mutant alleles, respectively, that have significantly decreased activities of aldehyde oxidases and cytosolic aconitase (Bernard et al., 2009).
extracts from leaf samples were separated by native gel electrophoresis followed by in-gel activity staining. Part of the same protein extract was subjected to denaturing SDS-PAGE and western blotting to estimate protein levels of aconitase and PSI. As expected for a cytosolic protein, GRXS17 is not required for the maturation of mitochondrial (aconitases) and plastidial (PSI) iron-sulfur proteins (Fig. 7A). In grxs17 plants grown at 22°C, the amount of total aconitase protein was approximately 40% of the wild type, corresponding to a similar decrease in activity of the cytosolic isofrm (Fig. 7). Aldehyde oxidase activity was decreased to approximately 50% in grxs17 at this temperature compared with less than 5% in atm3-4 (Fig. 7A, left; Supplemental Fig. S10B). In the complemented 3.3 line, the activities at 22°C of cytosolic aconitase and aldehyde oxidase were partially restored, and they were fully restored in the 17.8 line. In grxs17 plants grown at 28°C, the activities of the mitochondrial aconitase isofrns were increased, and total aconitase protein levels were close to wild-type levels (Fig. 7, right). Furthermore, there was no difference in aldehyde oxidase activity at this temperature (Supplemental Fig. S10B, right). Compared with the atm3 alleles, neither of which is a knockout, the grxs17 knockout mutant displayed a relatively moderate decrease in cytosolic iron-sulfur enzymes in environmental conditions that leads to strongly impaired development. Taken together, these data suggest that GRXS17, despite its capacity to bind iron-sulfur clusters in vitro and rescue the Baker’s yeast grx5 mutant, does not play a critical function in de novo synthesis of iron-sulfur clusters in planta.

Identification of a Nuclear Factor Interacting with GRXS17

To explore other possibilities for how GRXS17 functions in meristem development, we performed affinity chromatography using a nickel matrix loaded with Histagged GRXS17 to identify interacting proteins. After applying a crude leaf extract, the bound proteins were eluted with dithiothreitol (DTT) and identified by mass spectrometry. A number of proteins were repeatedly isolated in 15 independent affinity experiments (Table I). Interestingly, the predicted or experimentally determined localization of most proteins (e.g. cytosol or nucleus) is comparable with that of GRXS17. In accordance with a role of GRXS17 in redox signaling pathways and the known interaction of GRX3/protein kinase C-interacting cousin of thioredoxin with protein kinase C in animal cells, one transcription factor and one kinase were identified. The At3g12480 gene product NF-YC11/NC2α isolated in 7 of 15 experiments displayed high peptide sequence coverage. This partner was selected for deeper investigations, because proteins of the NF-Y family are known nuclear factors regulating developmental processes (Kumimoto et al., 2010). Transient expression of NF-YC11/NC2α-GFP in Arabidopsis protoplasts indicated that the protein is localized in both cytosol and nucleus (Fig. 8A), like GRXS17. The interaction between both proteins was further confirmed using BiFC exclusively in the nucleus (Fig. 8B; Supplemental Fig. S3).
the short-day photoperiod, the first two leaves appeared at the same time in the three lines, the development of these two leaves was substantially delayed in $nf$-$yc11/nc2\alpha$ and $grxS17$ plants in long-day conditions (Fig. 9C). We analyzed the phenotype of $nf$-$yc11/nc2\alpha$ plants after sowing in long-day photoperiod and high-light conditions. We observed that growth and development of these plants were more perturbed than in control conditions (Fig. 9D). Particularly, $nf$-$yc11/nc2\alpha$ plants remained much smaller than wild-type and $grxS17$ plants. They exhibited elongated and distorted leaves, a trait characteristic shared by the $grxS17$ mutant. In these long-day conditions, no main floral spike was observed, and only secondary small spikes developed (Supplemental Fig. S11C). Taken together, these observations indicate that NF-YC11/NC2\alpha participates in plant developmental processes in relation to the photoperiod duration and reveal that $nf$-$yc11/nc2\alpha$ and $grxS17$ plants share similar developmental characteristics in long-day conditions.

**DISCUSSION**

**Physiological Function of GRXS17 in Relation to Its Biochemical Properties**

In this work, we showed that GRXS17 is a central element for plant development in relation to environmental factors, such as photoperiod and temperature, and we investigated whether a major function in iron-sulfur protein biogenesis may underpin its physiological role. Previous studies indicated that multidomain GRX orthologs from Baker’s yeast and vertebrates bind iron-sulfur clusters and modify the activity of iron-responsive transcriptional regulators (Ojeda et al., 2006; Pujol-Carrion et al., 2006; Kumánovics et al., 2008; Mercier and Labbé, 2009; Jbel et al., 2011), affecting the intracellular iron distribution.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accession No.</th>
<th>Protein Name</th>
<th>Function</th>
<th>Subcellular Localization</th>
<th>Amino acids</th>
<th>Times</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>At4g04950</td>
<td>GRXS17</td>
<td>Cell redox homeostasis</td>
<td>CY, NU, PL</td>
<td>488</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At1g50570</td>
<td>Calcium-dependent lipid-binding family protein</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>NU</td>
<td>388</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At3g12480</td>
<td>NF-YC11/NC2\alpha</td>
<td>Transcription factor</td>
<td>CY, MI, NU, PL</td>
<td>293</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At4g25860</td>
<td>Oxysterol-binding protein-related protein 4A</td>
<td>Sterol transport</td>
<td>CY</td>
<td>386</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At3g13460</td>
<td>Calcineurin B-Like-Interacting Protein Kinase1 interacting protein Evolutionarily Conserved C-terminal Region2</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>CY, NU, PL</td>
<td>667</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At2g39960</td>
<td>Microsomal signal peptidase</td>
<td>Peptidase activity</td>
<td>CY, ER, MI, PM</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At1g13440</td>
<td>GapC2</td>
<td>Glycolysis</td>
<td>CY, MI, NU, PL, PX</td>
<td>338</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At5g46570</td>
<td>Brassinosteroid-signaling kinase2</td>
<td>Protein phosphorylation</td>
<td>CY, PM</td>
<td>492</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At4g31180</td>
<td>Asp-tRNA ligase-like protein</td>
<td>Aspartyl-tRNA synthetase activity</td>
<td>CY, PL</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At5g11870</td>
<td>Alkaline phytoceramidase</td>
<td>Hydrolase activity</td>
<td>ES, MI, PL, PM</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At5g54050</td>
<td>Cys/His-rich C1 domain-containing protein</td>
<td>Protein-disulfide reductase activity</td>
<td>ES, NU</td>
<td>580</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At5g20830</td>
<td>Suc synthase1</td>
<td>UDP-glycosyltransferase activity</td>
<td>CY, MI</td>
<td>808</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At1g24510</td>
<td>T-complex protein1 subunit-\epsilon</td>
<td>Chaperone activity</td>
<td>CY, MI, PM</td>
<td>535</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At4g22030</td>
<td>Probable F-box protein</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>CY, MI, PL</td>
<td>626</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Subcellular localization predicted by the BAR Cell eFP Browser. Proteins were identified because of the presence of their peptides in the indicated number of experiments. Identified peptides with a MOWSE score higher than 15 were used to calculate the total sequence coverage.
through the maturation of most iron-containing proteins (Mühlhoff et al., 2010; Haunhorst et al., 2013). Accordingly, we showed that AtGRXS17 is able to bind Fe$_2$S$_2$ clusters by in vitro reconstitution experiments and complement the defects in iron-sulfur cluster maturation of the Baker’s yeast grx5 strain (Figs. 5 and 6). However, we found that GRXS17 in Arabidopsis does not play a major role in iron-sulfur protein biogenesis. Although grxS17 seedlings do have decreased activities of cytosolic aconitase and aldehyde oxidases in control conditions (Fig. 7), the effect is far less severe than that observed in the atm3 mutant lines (Bernard et al., 2009). Moreover, the aldehyde oxidase activity, which depends on two Fe$_2$S$_2$ clusters, is not decreased in grxS17 plants at 28°C, a temperature condition leading to a severe phenotype. Therefore, our data indicate that GRXS17 is not involved in de novo biosynthesis of cytosolic Fe$_2$S$_2$ clusters, which is in agreement with the viability of the knockout mutant. Indeed, because many cytosolic and nuclear iron-sulfur proteins are essential, mutants in iron-sulfur cluster assembly are generally embryo lethal (Balk and Schaedler, 2014). An alternative explanation is that the function of GRXS17 is redundant or compensated for by another component of the iron-sulfur cluster assembly pathway. However, because GRXS17 is the only class II GRX present in cytosol and nucleus, it is unlikely that such a function is fulfilled by another GRX. Taking into consideration the in vitro capacity of GRXS17 to bind iron-sulfur clusters and the variations observed in aconitase activity in grxS17 plants, we can speculate that it protects iron-sulfur proteins from oxidative stress and destruction of clusters. Such a hypothesis will need further investigation.

We assumed a function of GRXS17 in connection with its redox properties and hypothesized that it participates in signaling pathways related to the changes in the cellular redox status occurring in response to environmental variations. Of note, AtGRXS17 has been recently reported as prone to hydrogen peroxide-induced Cys sulfenation (Waszczak et al., 2014). Redox changes might affect the subcellular localization of AtGRXS17 or the set of interacting partners through posttranslational redox modifications. Concerning the first point, our experiments (GFP fusion and cellular fractionation) show that GRXS17 is localized in both nucleus and cytosol (Fig. 2), and a former study indicated that high temperature induces GRXS17 translocation from cytosol to nucleus (Wu et al., 2012). Thus, in response to environmental signals or a specific physiological state, the GRXS17 function might be associated with nucleocytoplasmic shuttling. With regard to 14 putative GRXS17 interaction partners identified by affinity chromatography, their localization is consistent with an interaction in vivo. Note that BOLA2, a possible transcriptional regulator interacting with GRXS17 in binary yeast two-hybrid and BiFC experiments (Couturier et al., 2014), was not isolated. This could originate from a low abundance or the type of plant material used in this work. Nevertheless, the data gained from affinity experiments clearly show the ability of GRXS17 to interact with different types of partners and thus, possibly modify their conformation or regulate their activity through posttranslational redox modification.

**GRXS17: A Hub Integrating Hormonal and Redox Signals?**

Consistent with the pleiotropic phenotype of grxS17 plants, western data revealed the presence of the GRXS17 protein in all organs, particularly those containing actively dividing or elongating cells. In addition, in situ hybridization showed a high transcript level in apical meristem, and histological analyses of plants grown in long-day conditions.
conditions specifically revealed a larger cell size in both meristems and leaves of *grxS17* plants. All of these data suggest a crucial role of GRXS17 in meristem activity and cell division. Several studies provided evidence for a tight relationship between intracellular redox status, disulfide reductases, and development (Considine and Foyer, 2014). For instance, the development of root meristems is influenced by changes in the overall redox status and auxin content/distribution (Vernoux et al., 2000; Jiang et al., 2003; Yu et al., 2013). Another example is the Arabidopsis NADPH-dependent thioredoxin reductase A (ntra), NADPH-dependent thioredoxin reductase B (ntrb), and cadmium-sensitive2 (cad2), ntra ntrb cad2 triple mutant, which is defective in TRX reduction and GSH synthesis and exhibits strongly impaired reproductive development in relation to altered auxin metabolism (Bashandy et al., 2010). The plastidial TRXm3 is essential for meristem maintenance in Arabidopsis through a role in symplastic permeability (Benitez-Alonso et al., 2009), and the nuclear class III GRXs, ROXY1 and ROXY2, are required for proper development of floral organs in Arabidopsis, likely through interaction with TGA transcription factors (Xing and Zachgo, 2008; Hong et al., 2012). Altogether, these reports support the view that disulfide reductases finely control plant development.

Arabidopsis *grxS17* plants display hypersensitivity to high temperature and altered auxin-mediated signaling pathways in roots (Cheng et al., 2011). This work unveils another function for GRXS17 in integrating photoperiod signals for proper development. Temperature is, however, an important determinant, because the phenotype is evident in long-day conditions at 22°C and 28°C but not at 15°C. In this study, we mainly investigated the phenotype of aerial parts of plants grown on soil and observed an altered shape of the leaves, which turned thick and elongated and displayed a reduced number of

---

**Figure 9.** Characterization of an *nf-yc11/nc2a* mutant and comparison of the growth of *grxS17* and *nf-yc11/nc2a* plants as a function of photoperiod duration. A, PCR analysis of genomic DNA of At3g12480 and transfer DNA in wild-type, heterozygous, and homozygous plants of the German Plant Genomics Research Program-042E02 mutant line. B, RT-PCR analysis of wild-type and homozygous plants. C, Seedling development in short- and long-day conditions (8 and 16 h, respectively) at moderate light (200 μmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹) and 22°C. The seedlings were grown simultaneously in the same conditions, and the original photographs are shown in Supplemental Figure S10. D, Vegetative growth of plants in standard light conditions (short day, 8-h photoperiod and moderate light at 200 μmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹) and long-day and high-light conditions (16-h photoperiod and 500 μmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹) at 22°C. The plant age is indicated for each genotype and culture condition. Hmz, Homozygous; Hz, heterozygous; WT, wild type. Bar in C = 1 cm. Bar in D = 6 cm.
large cells. Looking for mutants with a similar phenotype (Bensmihen et al., 2008), we noticed that *dvrl* and *elo* mutants exhibit elongated leaves and a strongly reduced number of larger palisade cells compared with the wild type (Nelissen et al., 2003, 2005). DEFORMED ROOTS AND LEAVES1 (DRL1) regulates RNA polymerase II-mediated transcription through the elongator complex, which is composed of several ELONGATED (ELO) proteins and displays histone acetyltransferase activity preferentially in regions of auxin-related genes (Nelissen et al., 2010). Importantly, the floral development of *dvrl* plants is delayed, and the root development of both *elo* and *dvrl* mutants is substantially reduced (Nelissen et al., 2003).

Interestingly, by investigating the genes coexpressed with GRXS17 using Genevestigator (Hruz et al., 2008), a high correlation was found with *ELO2* (At5g13680). It is, thus, tempting to hypothesize that the grxs17 phenotype is linked to defects in ELO- and/or DRL1-dependent transcription mechanisms.

**Possible Roles of GRXS17 in Interaction with the NF-YC11/NC2α Nuclear Factor**

Among the GRXS17 partners identified using affinity chromatography, we focused on the nuclear factor NF-YC11/NC2α. Because the interaction between this factor and the GRX was confirmed by BiFC experiments in Arabidopsis protoplasts, a physiologically relevant interaction can be assumed. The Arabidopsis NF-Y family comprises three main types (A–C). Initially named CCAAT-box-binding factor or Heme Activator Protein (HAP), they usually form trimeric complexes of A, B, and C subunits binding to CCAAT-promoter sequences and transcriptionally regulating genes participating in plant development and stress responses (Dolfini et al., 2012; Laloum et al., 2013). In animal cells, they are central to cell-cycle progression (Benatti et al., 2011), and in fungi, the corresponding trimeric complex formed by HAP2, HAP3, and HAP5 includes a fourth HAP4 subunit. Interestingly, in *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*, the function and subcellular localization of HAP4, which participates in iron homeostasis, are under the control of the multidomain GRX4 (Mercier and Labbé, 2009). In relation to the grxs17 phenotype, it is worth mentioning that AtNF-YC11 specifically interacts with the Arabidopsis nuclear factor Y subunit B3 (AtNF-YB3), an isoform controlling flowering time (Kumimoto et al., 2008). To date, the only evidence for redox control was obtained for a mammalian NF-YB, which has an association to NF-YC that is dependent on the reduction of an intermolecular disulfide bond (Nakshatri et al., 1996). Interestingly, all plant NF-YC11 orthologs exhibit a unique N-terminal sequence clearly distinguishing them from other NF-YCs. On this basis, they have been reclassified as homologs to NC2α factors (Petroni et al., 2012). NC2α together with another factor called NC2β forms a tight heterodimer able to associate with the TATA-binding complex and act as a transcription repressor as shown in Baker’s yeast and rice (*Oryza sativa*; Kim et al., 1997; Song et al., 2002). Two Cys residues are present in the NF-YC11/NC2α N-terminal extension (Supplemental Fig. S12). Of note, one is strictly conserved in plant orthologs and also, human NC2α protein, whereas the position of the second varies in Dicotyledons and Monocotyledons. Unfortunately, all attempts to produce recombinant NF-YC11/NC2α failed, which precluded investigations on a possible redox-mediated interaction with GRXS17. The data gained from the characterization of the Arabidopsis *nf-yc11/nc2α* mutant line (Fig. 9; Supplemental Fig. S11) revealed that the nuclear factor is a central element for proper plant development. In short-day conditions, *nf-yc11/nc2α* plants display developmental defects (slow growth and altered floral spikes). Most interestingly, comparing the phenotypes of grxs17 and *nf-yc11/nc2α* plants, we noticed that both mutants share common photoperiod-dependent characteristics, such as delayed appearance of the first two leaves, abnormal leaf shapes, and impaired flowering (Fig. 9; Supplemental Fig. S11). This phenotype resemblance, which is revealed in long- but not short-day conditions, gives further credence to a concerted action of GRXS17 and NF-YC11/NC2α in the control of plant development in relation to environmental conditions. We might, thus, speculate that GRXS17 modulates the NF-YC11/NC2α function by controlling its redox state, and we propose a working model illustrating such a role for GRXS17 (Fig. 10). Based on the resemblance of grxs17 and *nf-yc11/nc2α* mutants, it is conceivable that GRXS17-mediated redox changes modify the capacity of AtNF-YC11/NC2α to bind to an NC2β subunit (AtNF-YB11-13), ultimately resulting in the
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*Figure 10.* Suggested role of GRXS17 during plant development in connection with environmental conditions and auxin-related mechanisms. Dotted lines indicate the proposed steps in signal transduction involving GRXS17. The model is based on the data presented in this work (1) and those reported in Cheng et al., 2011 (2). ROS, Reactive oxygen species.
modification of the transcription level of genes involved in meristem maintenance and plant developmental programs, such as those related to auxin action (Fig. 10). Taken collectively, these data lead us to propose that Arabidopsis GRXS17 relays environmental signals, possibly through subtle changes in the cellular/nuclear redox state, and then, enters this information into the control of gene transcription to initiate essential developmental steps. The use of mutant lines expressing mutated GRXS17 and NF-YC11/NC2 forms will help to determine the precise mechanisms underlying the functions of these two key actors in plant development in relation to the presence and the redox status of their cysteines.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Material and Growth Conditions

Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana) Columbia-0 plants were grown in standard conditions under an 8-h photoperiod and a photon flux density of 200 μmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ at 22°C. Other conditions of light (500 μmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹), temperature (15°C or 28°C), and photoperiod (16 h or continuous light) were applied in controlled growth chambers either from sowing or on 2- to 3-week-old plants grown under standard conditions.

Transformation of Arabidopsis Plants

The full-length GRXS17 cDNA (At4g04950) was cloned into the pB2GW7 vector (GATEWAY; Invitrogen). After transformation using Agrobacterium tumefaciens C58 strain (Clough and Bent, 1998), homologous lines (T2) were obtained from resistance segregation assays. Leaf genomic DNA was extracted using the DNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen) to perform PCR using appropriate primers (Supplemental Table S1), Tag DNA Polymerase (Life Technologies), and the GeneAmp PCRSystem 2700 (Applied Biosystems). RT-PCR was performed using Sensiscript III (Life Technologies) after leaf RNA extraction (Nucleospin; Macherey-Nagel).

Expression of the Recombinant Wild Type and Cys-Mutant GRXS17

AtGRXS17 cDNA was cloned into the pET-16b vector (Novagen; Merck Biosciences) for expression in E. coli BL21(DE3)-pLysS. The protein was purified by nickel-chelate chromatography (GE Healthcare). Site-directed mutagenesis was performed using the QuikChange II protocol (Stratagene) and appropriate primers (Supplemental Table S3).

In Vitro Reconstitution Assay of Iron-Sulfur Clusters and Analytical Measurements

GRXS17 (50 μM) was reconstituted in vitro by incubation with Fe(NH₄)₂(SO₄)₂·6H₂O (300 mM DTT) under argon atmosphere for 2 h. The oxidized (4 min at 4°C) or reduced (1 min at 4°C) solutions were recorded with a Shimadzu UV-2100 Spectrophotometer. Iron content was determined after reconstitution of 100 μM GRXS17 according to Fihl (1986).

Cytology and In Situ Hybridization

Meristem cross sections were prepared using a microtome (Leica RM2255) from tissues fixed with formaldehyde/glutaraldehyde, embedded in hydroxyethyl methacrylate (Technovit 7100; Heraeus Kulzer), and counterstained with toluidine blue. Measurements of mesophyll cells were performed after propidium iodide staining (10 ng ml⁻¹). Confocal microscopic observations were carried out using the Asio Observer Z1 Microscope with the LSM 700 Scanning Module, the ZEN 2010 software (Zeiss), and the propidium iodide (566–610 nm) filters. In situ hybridization was performed as in Bashandy et al. (2010). After fixation, dehydration, and embedding in paraffin wax, sample sections (7 mm thick) were attached to precoated glass slides (DAKO). Probes were synthesized using digoxigenin-UTP (Boehringer Mannheim). Immunodetection was performed using an anticycteine antibody coupled to alkaline phosphatase.

Affinity Chromatography and Electrospray Ionization Mass Spectrometry

His-tagged GRXS17 was bound to a nickel-nitritotriacetic acid column and used as affinity matrix. Leaves of 5-week-old plants grown under short-day conditions were homogenized in 20 ml of 50 mM BisTris, pH 7.8, 100 mM Suc, and 50 mM NaCl. After filtration through Miracloth and centrifugation (10 min at 6,000 g at 4°C and 50 min at 4°C at 100,000 g), the clarified supernatant (30 µg of protein) was applied to the matrix and incubated for 2 h at 4°C. Non-bound material was removed by washing the column four times with 10 ml of 20 mM BisTris, pH 7.8. Elution was achieved with 4 ml of the same buffer containing 150 mM DTT. After tryptic digestion (50 µg of proteins per analysis), the fragments were separated by reverse-phase HPLC and analyzed by electrospray ionization-mass spectrometry (Holtgrewe et al., 2008). Results were analyzed using the Bruker Daltonics software.

Biochemical Methods

Soluble proteins were prepared from plant material, separated by SDS-PAGE, and electrophoretically transferred onto a nitrocellulose membrane (Pall Corporation; Rey et al., 2005). Protein cross-linking was achieved using DMP (Thermo Fisher Scientific; Röndet et al., 2012). Polyclonal antibodies were raised in rabbit against His-tagged AtGRXS17 (Genecust). Immunodetection of AtGRXS17 was carried out using primary antibodies diluted 1:10,000 (Invitrogen). Bound antibodies were revealed at 680 nm using the Odyssey Infrared Imager (LiCor). For immunodetection of aconitase (Bernard et al., 2006), 200 μl of the antibody (1:5,000; Agpira) was applied in controlled growth chambers either from sowing or on 2- to 3-week-old plants grown under standard conditions. Sensitivity to oxidants was performed as in Bandyopadhyay et al. (2008). Northern-blot analyses using Baker yeast (Saccharomyces cerevisiae) strain pM221, which contains the Baker’s yeast GRXS5 mitochondrial targeting sequence plus a C-terminal 3HA/His-6 tag under the control of the doxycycline-regulatory tetO2 promoter (Supplemental Table S1 and S2; Molina et al., 2004), pMM54 contains a Baker’s yeast GRXS5-3HA construction under its endogenous promoter (Rodriguez-Manzaneque et al., 2002). Strains are described in Supplemental Table S3. Plasmids were transformed by Cbl previous to chromosomal integration. Samples were taken from cultures grown exponentially (Molina et al., 2004) for at least 10 generations at 30°C. Sensitivity to oxidants was determined on yeast extract-peptone-dextrose plates by spotting 1.5 serial dilutions of exponential cultures and recording growth after 2 at 4°C. Subfractionation of mitochondria was performed as in Bandyopadhyay et al. (2008). Northern-blot analyses using Baker’s yeast RNA were performed with digoxigenin (Belli et al., 1998). Gene probes were generated by PCR from genomic DNA using appropriate oligonucleotides (Supplemental Table S3).

Enzyme Activity Determinations

Aconitase and malate dehydrogenase were assayed in extracts from Baker’s yeast growing exponentially in yeast extract-peptone-Gal medium (Robinson et al., 2000).
et al., 1987). Isopropylmalate isomerase activity was determined in extracts prepared from cells growing exponentially in synthetic complete medium supplemented with the specific auxotrophy requirement (Pierik et al., 2009). In the case of Leu, only one-third of the standard concentration was added into the medium to allow growth. In-gel activity assays for aldehyde oxidase and aconitase were as previously described (Bernard et al., 2009).

Supplemental Data

The following supplemental materials are available.

Supplemental Figure S1. Molecular characterization of Arabidopsis plants modified in GRXS17 expression and GRXS17 protein abundance.

Supplemental Figure S2. Subcellular localization of GRXS17.

Supplemental Figure S3. Controls of the bimolecular fluorescence complementation assay of GRXS17 and NF-YC11/NC2a.

Supplemental Figure S4. Growth of Arabidopsis plants modified in GRXS17 expression as a function of light and temperature.

Supplemental Figure S5. Floral development of Arabidopsis plants modified in GRXS17 expression as a function of photoperiod and light intensity.

Supplemental Figure S6. Autoluminescence in Arabidopsis plants modified in GRXS17 expression.

Supplemental Figure S7. Structure of the shoot apical meristem in the grx517 mutant.

Supplemental Figure S8. Hypothetical structure of GRXS17.

Supplemental Figure S9. Analysis of the GRXS17 forms expressed in yeast.

Supplemental Figure S10. Growth phenotypes and quantification of aldehyde oxidase enzyme activity.

Supplemental Figure S11. Development of nf-yc11/nc2a plants as a function of light environment.

Supplemental Figure S12. Sequence alignment of plant, human, and yeast proteins containing an NC2a domain.

Supplemental Table S1. List of primers used in the study.

Supplemental Table S2. Plasmids used for experiments on Baker’s yeast.

Supplemental Table S3. Baker’s yeast strains.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the Groupe de Recherche Appliquée en Phytotechnologie (Commissariat à l’Énergie Atomique, Institut de Biologie Environnementale et Biotechnologie, and Service de Biologie Végétale et Microbiologie Environnementales) for technical assistance with controlled growth chambers; Patricia Henri (Commissariat à l’Énergie Atomique, Institut de Biologie Environnementale et Biotechnologie, and Service de Biologie Végétale et Microbiologie Environnementales) for valuable assistance in phenotype characterization and imaging experiments; the Groupe de Recherche Appliquée en Phytotechnologie (Commissariat à l’Énergie Atomique, Institut de Biologie Environnementale et Biotechnologie, and Service de Biologie Végétale et Microbiologie Environnementales) for helpful assistance in phenotype characterization and imaging experiments; and Des. Mohammed Bendahmane and Michel Vanderbrussche (Laboratoire de Reproduction et Développement des Plantes-Unité Mixte de Recherche 5667 Ecole Normale Supérieure-Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique-Institut National de la Recherche Agronomique-Université de Lyon) for fruitful discussion about NF-Y transcription factors.

Received January 14, 2015; accepted February 10, 2015; published February 19, 2015.

LITERATURE CITED


Cheng NH (2008) AtGRX4, an Arabidopsis chloroplastic monothiol glutaredoxin, is able to suppress yeast grx5 mutant phenotypes and respond to oxidative stress. FEBS Lett 582: 854–858


expression database for the meta-analysis of transcriptomes. Adv Bioinforma 2008: 420747


