



# A 6-Point TACS Score Predicts In-Hospital Mortality Following Total Anterior Circulation Stroke

Adrian D Wood<sup>a\*</sup>  
Nicholas D Gollop<sup>b\*</sup>  
Joao H Bettencourt-Silva<sup>b,c</sup>  
Allan B Clark<sup>d</sup>  
Anthony K Metcalf<sup>b</sup>  
Kristian M Bowles<sup>b,d</sup>  
Marcus D Flather<sup>b,d</sup>  
John F Potter<sup>b,d</sup>  
Phyo Kyaw Myint<sup>a,d</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Epidemiology Group, School of Medicine, Medical Sciences and Nutrition, Institute of Applied Health Sciences, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen, UK

<sup>b</sup>Norfolk and Norwich University Hospital, Norwich, UK

<sup>c</sup>Clinical Informatics, Department of Medicine, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK

<sup>d</sup>Norwich Cardiovascular Research Group, Norwich Medical School, University of East Anglia, Norwich Research Park, Norwich, UK

**Background and Purpose** Little is known about the factors associated with in-hospital mortality following total anterior circulation stroke (TACS). We examined the characteristics and comorbidity data for TACS patients in relation to in-hospital mortality with the aim of developing a simple clinical rule for predicting the acute mortality outcome in TACS.

**Methods** A routine data registry of one regional hospital in the UK was analyzed. The subjects were 2,971 stroke patients with TACS (82% ischemic; median age=81 years, interquartile age range=74–86 years) admitted between 1996 and 2012. Uni- and multivariate regression models were used to estimate in-hospital mortality odds ratios for the study covariates. A 6-point TACS scoring system was developed from regression analyses to predict in-hospital mortality as the outcome.

**Results** Factors associated with in-hospital mortality of TACS were male sex [adjusted odds ratio (AOR)=1.19], age (AOR=4.96 for  $\geq 85$  years vs.  $< 65$  years), hemorrhagic subtype (AOR=1.70), nonlateralization (AOR=1.75), prestroke disability (AOR=1.73 for moderate disability vs. no symptoms), and congestive heart failure (CHF) (AOR=1.61). Risk stratification using the 6-point TACS Score [T=type (hemorrhage=1 point) and territory (nonlateralization=1 point), A=age (65–84 years=1 point,  $\geq 85$  years=2 points), C=CHF (if present=1 point), S=status before stroke (prestroke modified Rankin Scale score of 4 or 5=1 point)] reliably predicted a mortality outcome: score=0, 29.4% mortality; score=1, 46.2% mortality [negative predictive value (NPV)=70.6%, positive predictive value (PPV)=46.2%]; score=2, 64.1% mortality (NPV=70.6, PPV=64.1%); score=3, 73.7% mortality (NPV=70.6%, PPV=73.7%); and score=4 or 5, 81.2% mortality (NPV=70.6%, PPV=81.2%).

**Conclusions** We have identified the key determinants of in-hospital mortality following TACS and derived a 6-point TACS Score that can be used to predict the prognosis of particular patients.

**Key Words** total anterior circulation stroke, risk factors, in-hospital mortality, prognosis, prognosis score, advanced age.

**Received** November 18, 2015

**Revised** January 26, 2016

**Accepted** January 29, 2016

## Correspondence

Phyo Kyaw Myint, MBBS, MD, FRCP (Edin), FRCP (Lond)  
Room 4:013 Polwarth Building,  
Epidemiology Group, School of  
Medicine, Medical Sciences and  
Nutrition, Institute of Applied Health  
Sciences, University of Aberdeen,  
Aberdeen, UK  
**Tel** +44 (0) 1224 437974  
**Fax** +44 (0) 1224 437911  
**E-mail** phyo.myint@abdn.ac.uk

\*These authors are contributed equally to this work.

## INTRODUCTION

Stroke is a leading cause of death and disability worldwide. Globally, 16 million people suffer an incident stroke every year.<sup>1</sup> The stroke incidence and mortality increase sharply with age, with 0.8, 1.1, and 3.8 million estimated annual global deaths among adults aged  $< 60$ , 60–69, and  $\geq 70$  years, respectively.<sup>1</sup> Total anterior circulation stroke (TACS) accounts for 17–21% of all strokes.<sup>2,3</sup> TACS is classified as the most severe form of stroke according to the Oxford Community Stroke Project classification defined by Bamford et al.<sup>4</sup> In 675 TACS patients with first-ever stroke, after 30 days ( $n=85$ ) approximately 40% had died, 56% had become dependent, and only 4% remained independent.

Despite overall improvements in stroke prevention and treatment since the late 20th cen-

©This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0>) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

tury,<sup>5-7</sup> the rate of in-hospital mortality following TACS remains high.<sup>8</sup> We recently evaluated the potential for readily available data on patient-related factors such as age, sex, stroke subtype, and patient's prestroke disability to predict stroke outcome,<sup>6,9-11</sup> which revealed a paucity of data on the clinical significance of such factors in relation to mortality following TACS. This is important because knowledge about the prognostic factors predictive of in-hospital mortality at the point of admission could be used to inform clinical management decisions and individualize patient care.

In this prospective cohort study, we examined readily available data on patient-related factors (age, sex, stroke subtype, lateralization, and prestroke disability) and data on pre-existing comorbid conditions in relation to in-hospital mortality with the aim of developing a risk scoring system to provide prognostic information on in-hospital mortality in this patient group.

## METHODS

The study participants comprised a prospectively identified cohort consisting of 2,971 patients with ischemic or hemorrhagic TACS who were consecutively admitted to a university hospital in the east of England, UK (with a catchment population of ~750,000) between November 1996 and June 2012. TACS was defined as a significant ischemic or hemorrhagic event affecting the territories of the middle and/or anterior cerebral arteries.

TACS was diagnosed during a hospital admission by the presence of all three of the following signs: 1) unilateral weakness (and/or sensory deficit) affecting at least two of the face, arm, and leg, 2) homonymous hemianopia, and 3) higher cerebral dysfunction (e.g., dysphasia and/or visuospatial disorder). In the absence of lateralization (i.e., unilateral weakness), the diagnosis was based on neuroradiological findings such as the arterial territory involved and the extent of the injury in conjunction with other circumstantial evidence (e.g., eye deviation or obvious neglect).

The study participants were drawn from the routinely collected Stroke & TIA Register (first TACS diagnosis), and the study itself was conducted in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki (1964). Ethical approval was obtained from the Research Ethics Committee of the Newcastle and Tyneside National Health Service (NHS); the UK publicly funded health-care system (approval no. 12/NE/0170), and the study protocol was approved by the Steering Committee of the Stroke and TIA Register.

### Data collection

The data collection methods of the register have been report-

ed previously.<sup>12</sup> For the present study, demographic data including sex, age, stroke subtype, prestroke modified Rankin Scale (mRS) score (measure of prestroke disability as detailed in the footnote of Table 1, and modified by the UK-TIA investigators),<sup>13</sup> stroke lateralization (right, left, or undetermined hemispheric dominance), and prestroke residence were collected directly from the clinical stroke register. Data on pre-existing comorbid conditions were retrieved from the hospital's patient administration database as described previously [identified from codes in the 10th revision of the International Classification of Disease (ICD-10) based on clinical findings].<sup>12</sup> A status of dead or alive at discharge was recorded to capture incidents of in-hospital mortality. Additional checks of linkage with the hospital administration database were performed to further validate the cohort.

### Statistical analysis

Logistic regression models were used to estimate the odds ratios for in-hospital mortality for characteristic variables. Adjusted odds ratios (AORs) were also calculated by including factors in a multivariate model. The variables listed in Table 1 were selected for inclusion using forward selection with a significance cutoff of 10%. From this model we constructed a score by rounding the logarithm of the AOR to the nearest integer value. Our statistical approach for developing a TACS scoring scale was similar to the approach used by Lim et al.<sup>14</sup> in their study of community acquired pneumonia. Factors for inclusion in our study were agreed upon *a-priori*. Thirteen patient-level parameters selected from the current literature as potentially important for patient prognosis following stroke<sup>6,9-11</sup> were examined, including information on age, sex, stroke subtype (infarct or bleed), prestroke residence, disability status (mRS score), and presence of comorbid conditions. Variables from the *a-priori* defined potential variables listed in Table 1 were selected for inclusion in the TACS scoring system using forward selection with a significance cutoff of 10%.

Variations in mortality rates were examined using chi-square analysis for the period from 1997 to 2011 (full data for 1996 and 2012 were not available). The diagnostic parameters, sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV), and negative predictive value (NPV) for in-hospital deaths were estimated for each score compared with a score of 0. The overall diagnostic accuracy was measured using the area under the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve.

## RESULTS

This study included 2,971 patients [42.1% males aged  $76.9 \pm 10.8$  years (mean  $\pm$  SD) and 57.9% females aged  $81.5 \pm 9.3$

**Table 1.** Summary of characteristics for all individuals and unadjusted and adjusted odds ratio for in-hospital mortality

Characteristic	Total		Died		Unadjusted			Adjusted		
	No.	%	No.	%	OR	95% CI	p value	OR	95% CI	p value
Sex										
Female	1,722	58.0	1,005	58.4	1			1		
Male	1,249	42.0	702	56.2	0.92	0.79–1.06	0.24	1.19	1.00–1.42	0.049
Age										
<65	232	7.8	73	31.5	1			1		
65–84	1,721	57.9	919	53.4	2.5	1.86–3.34	<0.001	2.56	1.84–3.54	<0.001
≥85	1,018	34.3	715	70.2	5.14	3.78–6.99	<0.001	4.96	3.47–7.10	<0.001
Stroke subtype										
Infarct	2,445	82.3	1,379	56.4	1			1		
Bleed	526	17.7	328	62.4	1.28	1.06–1.55	0.012	1.7	1.35–2.13	<0.001
Pre-stroke modified Rankin score* (missing data n=455)										
0	1,477	58.7	727	49.2	1			1		
1	206	8.2	123	59.7	1.53	1.14–2.06	0.005	1.32	0.97–1.80	0.080
2	235	9.3	139	59.1	1.49	1.13–1.98	0.005	1.20	0.90–1.61	0.217
3	312	12.4	208	66.7	2.06	1.60–2.67	<0.001	1.54	1.17–2.03	0.002
4	190	7.5	133	70.0	2.41	1.74–3.34	<0.001	1.73	1.19–2.50	0.004
5	97	3.9	69	71.1	2.54	1.62–3.99	<0.001	1.71	0.99–2.96	0.054
AF										
No	2,483	83.6	1,381	55.6	1			1		
Yes	488	16.4	326	66.8	1.61	1.31–1.97	<0.001	1.24	0.96–1.59	0.097
CHF										
No	2,681	90.2	1,499	55.9	1			1		
Yes	290	9.8	208	71.7	2.00	1.53–2.61	<0.001	1.61	1.17–2.22	0.004
CKD										
No	2,917	98.2	1,670	57.3	1			1		
Yes	54	1.8	37	68.5	1.63	0.91–2.90	0.100	0.89	0.47–1.70	0.734
Dementia (any)										
No	2,883	97.0	1,646	57.1	1			1		
Yes	88	3.0	61	69.3	1.7	1.07–2.69	0.024	0.93	0.54–1.58	0.778
Diabetes										
No	2,700	90.9	1,533	56.8	1			1		
Yes	271	9.1	174	64.2	1.37	1.05–1.77	0.019	1.11	0.82–1.50	0.503
MI										
No	2,821	95.0	1,605	56.9	1			1		
Yes	150	5.0	102	68.0	1.61	1.13–2.29	0.008	1.29	0.86–1.93	0.219

**Table 1.** Summary of characteristics for all individuals and unadjusted and adjusted odds ratio for in-hospital mortality (continued)

Characteristic	Total		Died		OR	p value	Unadjusted		Adjusted	
	No.	%	No.	%			95% CI	OR	95% CI	p value
Stroke										
No	2,654	89.3	1,503	56.6	1					
Yes	317	10.7	204	64.4	1.38	0.009	1.08–1.76	1.02	0.77–1.36	0.879
Lateralisation										
Left	1,263	42.5	725	57.4						
Right	1,430	48.1	792	55.4	0.92	0.292	0.79–1.07	0.97	0.82–1.15	0.735
None	278	9.4	190	68.3	1.60	0.001	1.22–2.11	1.75	1.26–2.41	0.001
Pre-stroke residence										
Home alone	988	33.3	576	58.3	1					
Home family	1,521	51.2	816	53.6	0.83	0.022	0.70–0.97	1.02	0.85–1.24	0.805
Care home	399	13.4	286	71.7	1.81	<0.001	1.41–2.33	1.18	0.84–1.66	0.350
Other	63	2.1	29	46	0.61	0.058	0.37–1.02	0.43	0.19–0.99	0.047

\*0=No symptoms. 1=No significant disability. Able to carry out all usual activities, despite some symptoms. 2=Slight disability. Able to look after own affairs without assistance, but unable to carry out all previous activities. 3=Moderate disability. Requires some help, but able to walk unassisted. 4=Moderately severe disability. Unable to attend to own bodily needs without assistance, and unable to walk unassisted. 5=Severe disability. Requires constant nursing care and attention, bedridden, incontinent.

AF: atrial fibrillation, CHF: congestive heart failure, CKD: chronic kidney disease, MI: myocardial infarction.

years) who sustained a TACS between November 1996 and June 2012. The median age of our cohort was 81 years [range=20–102 years; interquartile range (IQR)=74–86 years]. Fifty percent ( $n=1,480$ ) of the study participants were fully independent (prestroke mRS score=0) prior to their stroke. Ischemic stroke was more prevalent (82%,  $n=2,445$ ) than hemorrhagic stroke (18%,  $n=526$ ).

Multivariate analysis showed that factors associated with in-hospital mortality (Table 1) were male sex (AOR=1.19,  $p=0.05$ ), a prestroke mRS score of 3 or 4 (AOR=1.54 and  $p=0.002$ , and AOR=1.73 and  $p=0.004$ , respectively), advanced age (AOR=2.56 for 65–84 vs.  $\geq 85$  years,  $p<0.001$ ; AOR=4.96 for 65–84 vs.  $<65$  years,  $p<0.001$ ), hemorrhagic stroke subtype (AOR=1.70,  $p<0.001$ ), absence of lateralization (AOR=1.75,  $p=0.001$ ), and congestive heart failure (CHF; AOR=1.61,  $p=0.004$ ). There was no significant trend ( $p=0.12$ ) in TACS mortality rates across time (1997–2011) (Supplementary Fig. 1 in the online-only Data Supplement).

We developed a 6-point TACS scoring system (Table 2) based on the results of our multiple logistic regression model for in-patient mortality. Based on the TACS Score [T=type (hemorrhage=1 point) and territory (nonlateralization=1 point), A=age (65–84 years=1 point,  $\geq 85$  years=2 points), C=CHF (if present=1 point), S=status before stroke (prestroke mRS score of 4 or 5=1 point)], we assigned each patient into one of five risk groups (Table 3). Risk stratification in this way reliably predicted patient outcome, with low-risk patients having the best chance of being discharged alive and high-risk patients having the highest rates of in-patient mortality: score=0, 29.4% mortality; score=1, 46.2% mortality [NPV=70.6, PPV=46.2%]; score=2, 64.1% mortality (NPV=70.6%, PPV=64.1%); score=3, 73.7% mortality (NPV=70.6%, PPV=73.7%), and score=4 or 5, 81.2% mortality (NPV=70.6%, PPV=81.2%). Higher risk was associated with higher PPV, lower sensitivity, and higher specificity. The area under the ROC curve for the logistic regression model based on the covariates was 0.67 [95% confidence interval (CI)=0.65–0.69], compared to 0.64 (95% CI=0.62–0.66) using the numerical score, suggesting that the discriminatory ability was only slightly lower when using the scoring system rather than the original variables.

## DISCUSSION

Our study has provided new knowledge about the patient-level characteristic determinants of in-hospital mortality following TACS, which is the most severe form of stroke that commonly affects older people. Major strengths of this study include the largeness of the sample, inclusion of consecutive hospital admissions, and performing statistical analyses that

were robustly adjusted for patient-level parameters and other important known prognostic indicators such as components of the validated SOAR (Stroke subtype, Oxford Community Stroke Project classification, Age, prestroke modified Rankin) stroke score.<sup>3,11,15</sup> Using our findings we were able to derive a pragmatic scoring system that potentially has utility in the clinical setting and which is based on readily available patient factors at the point of care.

In the UK alone, treatment and productivity losses from stroke cost UKP 8.9 billion per year, with in-patient costs accounting for approximately 50% of this total (and 5% of total UK NHS costs).<sup>16</sup> The economic relevance of pragmatic risk stratification in TACS patients is clear. According to the Aus-

tralian NEMESIS study,<sup>17</sup> the average cost per case during the first year was greater for TACS (AUS\$ 28,266) than for all other stroke types, including hemorrhage. This was also consistent with data reported by the Belgium Stroke Council.<sup>18</sup>

The presence or absence of specific patient-related factors such as advanced age, disability status, and pre-existing comorbid conditions may contribute to whether a patient will have a good or poor outcome following stroke.<sup>19-22</sup> Although there are currently no robust TACS data with which we can compare the present findings, they do share similarities with those from some previous research studies examining all stroke types. Langhorne et al.<sup>23</sup> undertook a prospective, multicenter study and reported that most acute strokes are ischemic in nature ( $n=277$ , 89%), and are suffered by those with advanced age (mean=76 years, IQR=70-82 years) and who are independent (prestroke mRS score=0-2;  $n=229$ , 74%). Similar findings were reported in 2008 by Arnold et al.<sup>10</sup>

The rate of in-hospital mortality following TACS increases with age.<sup>1</sup> The presence of pre-existing CHF [ICD-10 codes for this condition include CHF (I50.0), left ventricular failure (I50.1), or heart failure unspecified (I50.9)] is associated with higher mortality following TACS. Poor outcome from stroke for such pathologies is well established.<sup>24</sup> Our data show that the absence of hemispheric lateralization increased the risk of in-hospital mortality. Nonlateralization is defined as the absence of any signs that could indicate which side of the brain is predominantly affected following stroke; this is generally indicative of severe stroke with cerebral edema that is likely to have a poor overall prognosis.

Several large and influential studies<sup>25-27</sup> have explicitly linked the prestroke mRS score and poststroke survival. The mRS score as a measure of prestroke disability appears to be a very good prognostic indicator for those with TACS. Our results are broadly consistent with these observations, although the lack of association between prestroke mRS score and in-hospital mortality among patients in our cohort with an mRS score of 5 (indicating severe disability) may reflect the very small number of such patients, as suggested by the wide CI.

**Table 2.** The proposed 6-point TACS scoring system

Patient-specific factor	Log AOR	TACS score
Bleeding	0.54	1
Age		
65-84 years	0.91	1
≥85 years	1.55	2
Prestroke mRS score*		
0	0	0
1	0.29	0
2	0.18	0
3	0.44	0
4	0.60	1
5	0.63	1
Atrial fibrillation	0.22	0
Lateralization		
Left	0	
Right	-0.02	0
No lateralization	0.54	1
CHF	0.51	1

Generation of the 6-point TACS Score using known patient-specific factors: T, type (hemorrhage=1 point) and territory (nonlateralization=1 point); A, age (65-84 years=1 point, ≥85=2 points); C, CHF (congestive heart failure; if present=1 point); S, status before stroke [prestroke modified Rankin Scale (mRS) score of 4 or 5=1 point]. Male sex was not included in the final model, since the adjusted odds ratio (AOR) was too close to 1.

\*0=no symptoms, 4=moderate disability, 5=severe disability.

**Table 3.** Prediction of in-hospital mortality using the proposed 6-point TACS scoring system

TASC score	No.	Mortality, %	Sensitivity, % (95% CI)	Specificity, % (95% CI)	NPV, % (95% CI)	PPV, % (95% CI)
0	143	29.4	-	-	-	-
1	1,146	46.2	92.6 (90.2-94.6)	14.1 (11.6-16.8)	70.6 (62.4-77.9)	46.2 (43.2-49.1)
2	1,145	64.1	94.6 (92.8-96.1)	19.7 (16.4-23.4)	70.6 (62.4-77.9)	64.1 (61.2-66.9)
3	452	73.7	88.8 (85.2-91.8)	45.9 (39.2-52.7)	70.6 (62.4-77.9)	73.7 (69.4-77.7)
4 or 5	85	81.2	62.2 (52.5-71.2)	86.3 (78.7-92.0)	70.6 (62.4-77.9)	81.2 (71.2-88.8)

There were no patients in our cohort with a TACS Score of 6.

NPV: negative predictive value, PPV: positive predictive value, TACS: total anterior circulation stroke.

The rate of in-hospital death following TACS remained consistent over the duration of this study, suggesting that our cohort was not affected by the year of entry to the study. Despite an overall improvement in prevention and treatment of all-cause stroke,<sup>8</sup> the in-hospital mortality following TACS was consistently high for a period lasting more than one decade. It is therefore vital to understand the factors associated with in-hospital mortality following this type of stroke in order to be able to develop strategies for improving patient outcomes.

This is the first report in the literature of a scoring system that allows TACS patients to be stratified according to their risk and for the likely outcome (in-hospital death or survival to discharge) of their event to be predicted. Providing clinicians with such information is important in the context of TACS management given the poor overall patient prognosis. Based on the clinical situation and presence of specific factors, the treating clinician may instigate step-up or step-down care, or initiate palliative support. Further, it is essential for policy-makers, hospital managers, and bed-flow coordinators to have access to prognostic information, including the likelihood of in-hospital mortality when organizing health-care systems and managing patient flow. Identifying adverse and favorable factors in TACS is vital to optimize the use of resources by appropriately directing the allocation of expertise and funding.

We acknowledge that this study was subject to some limitations. The data were analyzed retrospectively. However, we identified patients and collected clinical data prospectively, and information was recorded in real time, reviewed and double checked for accuracy (only comorbidity data were retrieved at a later date from electronic records; however, electronic records were recorded prospectively). Also, patients who died of stroke prior to arrival to hospital were not included in our analyses, since admitted patients are more relevant to clinical practice.

We have identified the determinants of in-hospital mortality in the most severe form of stroke (TACS) regardless of its pathophysiology. Moreover, we have developed a bedside TACS prognostic scoring system which can predict in-hospital mortality. The reproducibility of the results obtained using this scoring system requires further evaluation; we would propose performing the validation in an independent cohort at an early stage of TACS. Nevertheless, we believe our findings provide the essential framework for future service evaluations and for identifying patients with the highest mortality risk following TACS.

### Supplementary Materials

The online-only Data Supplement is available with this arti-

cle at <http://dx.doi.org/10.3988/jcn.2016.12.4.407>.

### Conflicts of Interest

The authors have no financial conflicts of interest.

### Acknowledgements

We thank the stroke data team at the Norfolk and Norwich University Hospital for providing data.

This work was supported by Stroke Services at the Norfolk and Norwich University Hospital Foundation NHS Trust Norfolk, which maintains the Norwich Clinical Stroke Register and Research Capability Funding from the Norfolk and Norwich University Hospital Research & Development Department.

### REFERENCES

- Strong K, Mathers C, Bonita R. Preventing stroke: saving lives around the world. *Lancet Neurol* 2007;6:182-187.
- Mead GE, Lewis SC, Wardlaw JM, Dennis MS, Warlow CP. How well does the Oxfordshire community stroke project classification predict the site and size of the infarct on brain imaging? *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2000;68:558-562.
- Kwok CS, Potter JF, Dalton G, George A, Metcalf AK, Ngeh J, et al. The SOAR stroke score predicts inpatient and 7-day mortality in acute stroke. *Stroke* 2013;44:2010-2012.
- Bamford J, Sandercock P, Dennis M, Burn J, Warlow C. Classification and natural history of clinically identifiable subtypes of cerebral infarction. *Lancet* 1991;337:1521-1526.
- Tsai CF, Thomas B, Sudlow CL. Epidemiology of stroke and its subtypes in Chinese vs white populations: a systematic review. *Neurology* 2013;81:264-272.
- Schmidt M, Jacobsen JB, Johnsen SP, Bøtker HE, Sørensen HT. Eighteen-year trends in stroke mortality and the prognostic influence of comorbidity. *Neurology* 2014;82:340-350.
- Lackland DT, Roccella EJ, Deutsch AF, Fornage M, George MG, Howard G, et al. Factors influencing the decline in stroke mortality: a statement from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. *Stroke* 2014;45:315-353.
- Reggiani M; Società Inter-Regionale Piemonte e Valle d'Aosta per le Cerebrovasculopatie Group. Five-year survival after first-ever ischaemic stroke is worse in total anterior circulation infarcts: the SIN-PAC cohort. *Cerebrovasc Dis* 2009;27:29-36.
- O'Donnell MJ, Xavier D, Liu L, Zhang H, Chin SL, Rao-Melacini P, et al. Risk factors for ischaemic and intracerebral haemorrhagic stroke in 22 countries (the INTERSTROKE study): a case-control study. *Lancet* 2010;376:112-123.
- Arnold M, Halpern M, Meier N, Fischer U, Haefeli T, Kappeler L, et al. Age-dependent differences in demographics, risk factors, comorbidity, etiology, management, and clinical outcome of acute ischemic stroke. *J Neurol* 2008;255:1503-1507.
- Myint PK, Clark AB, Kwok CS, Davis J, Durairaj R, Dixit AK, et al. The SOAR (Stroke subtype, Oxford Community Stroke Project classification, Age, prestroke modified Rankin) score strongly predicts early outcomes in acute stroke. *Int J Stroke* 2014;9:278-283.
- Bettencourt-Silva J, De La Iglesia B, Donell S, Rayward-Smith V. On creating a patient-centric database from multiple Hospital Information Systems. *Methods Inf Med* 2012;51:210-220.
- Farrell B, Godwin J, Richards S, Warlow C. The United Kingdom transient ischaemic attack (UK-TIA) aspirin trial: final results. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 1991;54:1044-1054.
- Lim WS, van der Eerden MM, Laing R, Boersma WG, Karalus N, Town GI, et al. Defining community acquired pneumonia severity on presentation to hospital: an international derivation and validation study. *Thorax* 2003;58:377-382.

15. Kwok CS, Clark AB, Musgrave SD, Potter JF, Dalton G, Day DJ, et al. The SOAR stroke score predicts hospital length of stay in acute stroke: an external validation study. *Int J Clin Pract* 2015;69:659-665.
16. Saka O, McGuire A, Wolfe C. Cost of stroke in the United Kingdom. *Age Ageing* 2009;38:27-32.
17. Dewey HM, Thrift AG, Mihalopoulos C, Carter R, Macdonell RA, McNeil JJ, et al. Lifetime cost of stroke subtypes in Australia: findings from the North East Melbourne Stroke Incidence Study (NEMESIS). *Stroke* 2003;34:2502-2507.
18. Laloux P; Belgian Stroke Council. Cost of acute stroke. A review. *Acta Neurol Belg* 2003;103:71-77.
19. Warlow CP, Dennis MS, van Gijn J, Hankey GJ, Sandercock PA, Bamford JM, et al. *Stroke: a Practical Guide to Management*. Cambridge, MA: Blackwell Science, 1996;414-441.
20. Allen CM. Predicting the outcome of acute stroke: a prognostic score. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 1984;47:475-480.
21. Unsworth CA. Selection for rehabilitation: acute care discharge patterns for stroke and orthopaedic patients. *Int J Rehabil Res* 2001;24:103-114.
22. Agarwal V, McRae MP, Bhardwaj A, Teasell RW. A model to aid in the prediction of discharge location for stroke rehabilitation patients. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2003;84:1703-1709.
23. Langhorne P, Stott DJ, Robertson L, MacDonald J, Jones L, McAlpine C, et al. Medical complications after stroke: a multicenter study. *Stroke* 2000;31:1223-1229.
24. Haeusler KG, Laufs U, Endres M. Chronic heart failure and ischemic stroke. *Stroke* 2011;42:2977-2982.
25. Colantonio A, Kasl SV, Ostfeld AM, Berkman LF. Prestroke physical function predicts stroke outcomes in the elderly. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 1996;77:562-566.
26. Huybrechts KF, Caro JJ. The Barthel Index and modified Rankin Scale as prognostic tools for long-term outcomes after stroke: a qualitative review of the literature. *Curr Med Res Opin* 2007;23:1627-1636.
27. Dallas MI, Rone-Adams S, Echternach JL, Brass LM, Bravata DM. Dependence in prestroke mobility predicts adverse outcomes among patients with acute ischemic stroke. *Stroke* 2008;39:2298-2303.