3	Giampietro Pellizzer ^a , and David M. Livermore ^h
4	
5	ANTIMICROBIAL USE AND MICROBIOLOGICAL TESTING IN
6	DISTRICT GENERAL HOSPITAL ICUS OF THE VENETO REGION OF
7	NORTH-EAST ITALY
8	
9 10	^a Unità Operativa di Malattie Infettive e Tropicali, ^c Laboratorio di Microbiologia, and ^g Servizio Statistico, Ospedale San Bortolo, Viale Rodolfi 37, 36100 Vicenza (Italy)
11 12	^b Institute of Cell and Molecular Science, Centre for Infectious Disease, Barts and the London School of Medicine and Dentistry, 4 Newark Street, London E1 2AT (UK)
13 14	^d Laboratorio di analisi chimico-cliniche e microbiologiche, Nuovo Ospedale Santorso, Via delle Garziere 42, 36014 Santorso (Italy)
15 16	^e Laboratorio di analisi chimico-cliniche e microbiologiche, Ospedale San Giacomo Apostolo, Via dei Carpani 16/Z, 31033 Castelfranco Veneto (Italy)
17 18	^f Medicina di laboratorio, Ospedale San Bassiano, Via dei Lotti 40, 36061 Bassano del Grappa Italy)
19 20	^g Servizio di Statistica, Dipartimento di Biologia, Università degli studi di Padova, Via Ugo Bassi 58/B, 35121 Padova (Italy)
21 22	^h Norwich Medical School, University of East Anglia, Norwich Research Park, NR4 7TJ Norwich (UK)
23	<u>* Corresponding author:</u> Tel: +39 0444 753624/753266 Fax: +39 0444 753405
24	E-mail address: paolo.benedetti@ulssvicenza.it (Paolo Benedetti)

Paolo Benedetti ^{a*}, Armine M. Sefton ^b Margherita Menegozzo ^c, Chiara

Guerriero ^d, Graziano Bordignon ^e, Giorgio Da Rin ^f, Chiara Romualdi ^g,

25 ABSTRACT

26 <u>Purpose.</u> International - predominantly American - studies undertaken in the ICUs of teaching 27 centres show that inadequate antibiotic therapy increases mortality and stay. We sought to ascertain 28 whether this pertains also for smaller ICUs in the Veneto region of North-east Italy. To the best of 29 our knowledge, this is the first such survey in the Veneto or in Italy as a whole.

<u>Methods</u>. A retrospective, observational study was performed across five general-hospital ICUs to
 examine appropriateness of microbiological sampling, empirical antibiotic adequacy and outcomes.

Results. Among 911 patients (mean age, 65.8 years ± 16.2 SD; median ICU stay, 17.0 days [IQR, 32 8.0-29.0]), 757 (83.1%) were given empirical antibiotics. Treatment adequacy could be fully 33 34 assessed in only 212 patients (28.0%) who received empirical treatment and who had a relevant 35 clinical sample collected at the initiation of this antibiotic (T0). Many other patients only had delayed microbiological investigation of their infections between Day 1 to Day 10 of therapy. 36 Mortality was significantly higher among the 34.9% of patients receiving inadequate treatment 37 (48.6% vs. 18.80%; p < 0.001). Only 32.5% of combination regimens comprised a broad-spectrum 38 Gram-negative β-lactam plus an anti-MRSA agent, and many combinations were irrational. 39

40 <u>**Conclusions.**</u> Inadequate treatment was frequent and was strongly associated with mortality; 41 moreover, there was delayed microbiological investigation of many infections, precluding 42 appropriate treatment modification and de-escalation. Improvements in these aspects and in 43 antibiotic stewardship are being sought.

- 44
- 45
- 46

47 **<u>KEYWORDS</u>**: ICU, microbiological sample, antibiotic, inadequate treatment.

48

49 **INTRODUCTION**

Patients admitted to intensive care units (ICUs) present challenging and complex clinical problems. The estimated risk for serious infection is 5 to 10 times greater than for patients on general medical wards owing to three major factors: (1) severe underlying disease, including multiple illnesses, malnutrition, extremes of age and immunosuppression; (2) invasive medical devices, such as endotracheal tubes for mechanical ventilation and intravascular and urinary catheters, which provide entry portals for pathogens; and (3) crowding, especially in neonatal ICUs, with consequent proximity to other colonized or infected patients, increasing the risk of cross-infection [1-3].

Antimicrobial resistance is a critical variable of ICU outcomes, co-determining patient 57 morbidity, mortality and cost, at least in the major teaching centres where this topic has been largely 58 investigated [4-12]. Kollef, in the U.S.A., found an infection-related mortality rate of 17.7% among 59 60 486 patients receiving appropriate empirical antimicrobial therapy versus 42% among 169 receiving inappropriate antimicrobial treatment (p <0.001) [13]. The major single reason for antibiotic 61 therapy being classed "inappropriate" was the presence of bacteria that had inherent or acquired 62 resistance to the regimen. Others have found similar associations, particularly in bloodstream 63 infections and sepsis [14-20], with mortality shown to increase progressively for each hour's delay 64 in initiating adequate therapy after the onset of hypotension [21]. In the few countervailing studies, 65 where an association between antibiotic resistance and mortality was not confirmed, few patients 66 received microbiologically inappropriate therapy, due to early recognition of resistance and/or 67 timely adjustment of the regimen(s) [22, 23]. Beyond its impact on mortality, initial inappropriate 68 antibiotic therapy is also associated with extended length of stay for ICU patients [24-26]. 69

It is less clear whether these relationships, demonstrated in teaching centres with a complex patient mix, hold true for the smaller ICUs of district general hospitals or in the context of different countries' cultures of prescribing and microbiological testing. We therefore present here the results of a multicentre, retrospective, observational study covering five ICUs in the Veneto region of North-east Italy, four of them in small hospitals and the fifth in a regional centre. The study had three main goals: first, to test whether, as elsewhere, there was a relationship between treatment inadequacy and clinical outcomes; secondly, to examine the adequacy of first-line antimicrobial
therapy prescribed and the principal reasons for any inadequacy; and, thirdly, to verify the
appropriateness of microbiological testing performed in the participating ICUs.

79

80 PATIENTS AND METHODS

81 *Study location and patients*

The study was conducted from 2002 to 2010 at five general hospitals in the Veneto region of North-82 east Italy. Four hospitals were in small towns within 50 km of Vicenza and one in Vicenza itself, 83 located between Venice and Verona. When this study was performed, the Vicenza hospital 84 (Hospital 5) ICU had 14 beds, admitted approximately 700 patients per year, and was in a 1050-bed 85 regional hospital; a further 5-bed high-dependency provision for post-surgical care was excluded. 86 87 Hospital 1 (165 beds) admitted c. 350 patients per year to its 6-bed medical-surgical ICU; Hospital 2 (400 beds) had a 10-bed general ICU admitting c. 450 patients per year; Hospital 3 (350 beds) had 88 a 6-bed general ICU admitting c. 240 patients per year; Hospital 4 (220 beds) had a 7-bedded ICU 89 admitting c. 340 patients per year. The total number of ICU beds represented was 43, accounting for 90 10.8% of ICU provision in the Veneto and for 1.5% of 3739 Italy's total intensive care bed 91 92 provision as of 2005 [27].

93 Data Collection

Patients admitted into the participating ICUs from 15 May 2002 to 10 June 2010 were assessed.
Data input was performed manually in Microsoft Office Excel, with the following information
recorded: hospital record number; gender; date of birth; date of hospital admission; date of ICU
admission (if different); age at ICU admission, and main diagnosis at admission. Any other
diagnoses indicated in the clinical records and constituting: (1) a co-morbidity, (2) a chronic disease
directly related to ICU admission, or (3) a secondary pathological event that occurred during the

ICU stay was also recorded. For statistical analysis, diagnoses were classified into main categories, 100 all as recognised in the WHO International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health 101 Problems [28]. The date of the primary outcome (death, or transfer to another unit) was recorded. 102 Additionally, for patients transferred from the ICU to other units in the same hospital, the dates of 103 104 transfer were recorded until the final outcome (death or discharge to home). The duration of ICU stay and entire hospital stay were calculated separately. For each antibiotic course, the regimen and 105 dates of initiation and cessation were recorded. An antibiotic treatment was defined as empirical 106 when it was initiated on the basis of a clinical suspicion of infection and when the causative 107 microorganism and its antibiotic susceptibility were not yet known. Fungal infections were 108 excluded. A sample was considered clinically relevant when it had been taken from a body site 109 110 related to the reported infection.

Inadequate antimicrobial treatment was defined (based upon, e.g., [29,30) as the 111 microbiological documentation of infection that was not being adequately treated at the time when 112 the causative micro-organism and its antibiotic susceptibility became known, and included: (1) the 113 absence of any agent directed against the family or genus of micro-organism present; (2) the 114 administration of an antimicrobial agent to which the particular isolate was resistant; (3) the 115 complete lack of antimicrobial treatment, and (4) the lack of adherence to minimum requirements in 116 antibiotic administration (i.e., proper dosing, monitoring of drug levels when appropriate, and 117 avoidance of unwanted drug interactions). A regimen was defined as adequate if it adequately 118 119 covered all pathogens present in a sample taken at the time of clinical diagnosis (T0). Adequacy was considered not to be assessable if there was no T0 sample, if no pathogen was grown from a T0 120 sample, or if there was no concordance between the type of specimen sent to the laboratory and the 121 patient's clinical presentation (e.g., clinically-diagnosed septic shock in post-surgical patients, but 122 where the first isolates were grown from surgical wound swabs taken many days after initiation of 123 empirical antibiotic treatment; or cases of sepsis where the only microbiological examinations 124 performed were on bronchial aspirates). Cases where only questionable pathogens (principally 125

126 coagulase-negative staphylococci) were isolated were reviewed individually and discounted unless
127 therapy was escalated on the basis of the microbiological result, implying that the organism was
128 thought to be clinically significant.

129 *Statistical analyses*

Normally- or near-normally-distributed variables were reported as means with standard deviations 130 and were compared by Student's t-test or by analysis of variance with the Bonferroni correction for 131 multiple comparisons. Non-normally-distributed continuous data were reported as medians with 132 interquartile ranges (IQRs) and were compared using the Mann-Whitney U-test or the Kruskal-133 Wallis test. The Spearman's rho correlation coefficient was calculated to measure the association at 134 135 the ordinal level between mortality rates and their associated rates of inadequacy of first-line antimicrobial therapy. Kaplan-Meier methods were used to estimate survival rates during follow-up, 136 whilst the log-rank test was used to test equality of survivor functions. Exploratory univariate 137 analysis for several variables was performed to identify possible predictors of hospital mortality. 138 Multivariable logistic regression analysis was conducted to investigate independent predictors for 139 hospital mortality. Results of logistic regression analysis are reported as adjusted odds ratios 140 (AORs) with 95% confidence intervals (CI). All statistical analyses were performed using STATA 141 10.1 (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX) and a two-sided p < 0.05 was routinely considered to be 142 143 significant.

144 Ethics

The study was performed in accordance with the recommendations guiding physicians in biomedical research involving human subjects adopted by the 18th World Medical Association, Helsinki, Finland, 1964 and later revisions [31]. Since it was performed retrospectively on specimens that were collected as part of the routine sampling required for the microbiological assessment of patients admitted into ICUs, there was no possible risk to any of the patients reviewed, nor any possible modification of their treatment. Consequently, individual consent was not needed. The Institutional Sanitary Board of each hospital approved the protocol and confirmed
that submission to their ethics committee was not required, provided that the principal investigator
(PB) was personally responsible for the security of patient-identifiable data.

154

155 **RESULTS**

156 Patients

The study reviewed 911 patients admitted into the five ICUs (Table 1): 570 (62.6%) were men and 157 341 (37.4%) women. Eighty-eight (9.6%) had diabetes mellitus, 45 (5.0%) chronic renal failure, 158 and 35 (3.9%) cirrhosis of the liver. Five hundred and fifty-two (60.6%) were admitted to an ICU 159 with a medical diagnosis, 206 (22.6%) with a surgical diagnosis and 153 (16.8%) following major 160 trauma. Their mean age upon ICU admission was 65.8 + 16.2 years (range, 14 - 93); those admitted 161 to the Hospital 5 ICU were significantly younger (p < 0.001) than those admitted elsewhere, partly 162 reflecting a larger proportion of trauma patients. The median duration of ICU stay was 17.0 days 163 (IQR, 8.0-29.0), with inter-hospitals difference approaching significance (p=0.079), while the 164 165 median total length of hospital stay was 25.0 days (IQR, 14.0-44), with significant inter-centre variation (p<0.001). 166

167 *Antibiotic treatment*

A total of 3549 antimicrobial treatments were prescribed in the 5 ICUs over the study period. Of these, 3470 (97.8%) were parenteral and 79 (2.2%) oral. Seven hundred and fifty-seven patients (83.1%) received empiric antibiotic courses (1223 courses in total, 34.5% of all antimicrobial treatments) (Table 2). Monotherapy was used in 30.2% of empirical courses, with combination therapy used in 69.8%. The commonest empirical combinations were piperacillin/tazobactam plus a glycopeptide or linezolid (52 patients, 13.4%), a carbapenem plus a glycopeptide or linezolid (47 patients, 12.1%), a cephalosporin plus a glycopeptide or linezolid (27 patients, 7.0%), piperacillin/tazobactam plus a fluoroquinolone (25 patients, 6.4%), a cephalosporin plus
metronidazole (23 patients, 6.0%), and piperacillin/tazobactam plus metronidazole (21 patients,
5.4%).

178 Combination therapies included two antibiotics in 329 cases (85.0%), three in 55 cases 179 (14.2%), and four in three cases (0.8%). Cephalosporins (148 courses) accounted for 40.1% of all 180 empirical monotherapies, with cefazolin (first-generation) in 66 (44.6%), cefotetan (second-181 generation) in four (2.7%), cefotaxime, ceftazidime, and ceftriaxone (third-generation) in 69 182 (46.6%), and cefepime (fourth-generation) in nine (6.1%). Other frequently-prescribed 183 monotherapies were piperacillin/tazobactam (74 courses, 20% of all monotherapies) and other 184 penicillin/ β -lactamase inhibitor combinations (68 courses, 18.4%).

185 Only 126 of the 388 combination regimens (32.5%) comprised a broad-spectrum Gram-186 negative β -lactam plus an anti-MRSA agent (a glycopeptide, usually teicoplanin, or linezolid); 91 187 (12.2%) of the first-line empirical regimens were irrational or redundant poly-pharmacy, commonly 188 comprising a combination of a β -lactam with anti-anaerobe activity (i.e. a β -lactamase inhibitor 189 combination or a carbapenem) with metronidazole.

The median duration of the first-line empirical therapy was 11.0 days (IQR, 6.7 - 19.0) for patients with bacteraemia, 9.0 days (5.0 - 14.0) for medical patients and 10.0 days (7.0 - 17.0) for surgical patients. Although there is a growing trend to shorten treatment durations, particularly in Northern Europe, these longer courses are typical of Italy in the study period and are not out of line with many international guidelines [32].

195 Laboratory data

196 There was often a poor match between the site of infection indicated in the patient record and the 197 specimens from which organisms, if any, were grown by the laboratory. Moreover, there were frequent long delays between the clinical diagnosis and any result becoming available to the treatingclinician(s).

At the four smaller sites (Hospitals 1-4), respiratory samples accounted for >50% of all specimens with a pathogen grown, and for fully 74% and 82% at Hospitals 2 and 3, respectively (Figure 1). Blood and (especially) urine were rarely sampled, even when an infection was believed to involve these sites. Thus, at Hospitals 2 and 3, urines accounted for only 5.6% and 8.7% of total microbiological investigations, respectively. These patterns seem to reflect a practice of performing surveillance cultures of respiratory secretions and basing therapy upon these, rather than of undertaking microbiological investigations of actual infections.

Clinical specimens yielding an organism were collected at the initiation of empirical 207 antibiotic (T0) only from 251 of the 911 patients (27.6%). Sixteen of these 251 did not receive 208 antibiotics, as they were considered to be colonised rather than infected (n=13) or died early (n=3), 209 210 leaving 235 patients who had a T0 specimen and an assessable empirical antibiotic treatment. This total reduced to 212 after exclusion of 23 patients whose T0 sample was from a body site different 211 212 to the infection recorded in the patient's notes. Samples yielding reported organisms were taken within 10 days of therapy initiation from a further 361 patients (39.6%) whilst, in the remaining 299 213 cases (32.8%), the interval between initiation of antibiotic therapy and the first sample with a 214 215 reported organism was >10 days, or there was no relationship between the type of specimen from which any organism was grown and the patient's clinical setting (Table 3). The median interval 216 between the initiation of empirical antibiotic therapy and the availability of a first (post-infection) 217 antibiotic sensitivity result was 7 days (IQR, 3.0-14.0), with significant variation amongst the five 218 sites (p < 0.001). The lag between arrival of a growth-yielding sample at the laboratory and the 219 availability of the result varied between sites from 3 to 4.5 days, meaning that around half of this 220 overall 7-day delay was between the clinical diagnosis of infection and the specimen being sent to 221 the laboratory for microbiology. It follows that many of the patients were already receiving 222

antibiotics at the time the first culture was taken, potentially compromising pathogen recovery and
meaning that many were nearing the end of their antibiotic course when any microbiological results
became available.

The lack of a T0 organism may be because no specimen was sent to the laboratory, or because no organism was grown by the laboratory. Discriminating these scenarios in the hospital record systems proved difficult but, for a random sample of 23 patients lacking culture results, we could identify six who had a relevant-site T0 sample from which the laboratory failed to grow a pathogen, eight who had only a T1 to T10 specimen failing to yield growth, and nine who had no evidence of any specimen being sent to the microbiology laboratory within 10 days of clinical diagnosis.

In total, 313 isolates from clinical samples (regardless of site and apparent relevance) were 233 collected at T0 from the 235 patients starting empirical treatment. In 147 cases (62.6%) the 234 235 organism(s) proved susceptible to the antibiotic regimen initiated whereas 88 (37.4%) patients had bacteria resistant to the regimen initiated. The lowest proportion of resistance was at Hospital 1 236 (25.0%) and the highest at Hospital 2 (42.4%). Resistance to the empirical therapy was more 237 prevalent (192/347, 53%, p <0.001) amongst cases who had initial isolates collected in the T1-10 238 period, again with the lowest proportion (49.1%) at Hospital 4 and the highest (61.5%) at Hospital 239 240 2. The differential in resistance, between patients with a T0 vs. T1-10 initial sample was moderately significant even in the bacteraemia subset, where 35/54 (64.8%) isolates from patients with a T1-10 241 sample were resistant to the empirical therapy given vs. 14/34 (41.2%) (p = 0.098) isolates from TO 242 243 samples, whilst the difference in resistance between the whole series bacteraemic vs. non bacteraemic patients was not significant (p=0.1891) (Table 4). Among the 212 patients who had clinically relevant 244 TO samples, resistance to the empirical therapy given was observed in 74 (34.9%), with the lowest 245 proportion (22.6%) at Hospital 1 and the highest (40.6%) at Hospital 2 (p = 0.2277). A greater 246 proportion of resistance to empirical therapy (142/266, 53.4%, was seen in cases with an initial T1-247

10 sample, with the lowest rate (43.4%) at Hospital 4 and the highest (70.0%), again, at Hospital 2 (p=0.01). Amongst bacteraemic cases, 6/24 (25.0%) of T0 isolates were resistant compared with 13/25 (52%) among those collected from T1-10. There was little obvious demographic difference between the groups with a first relevant-site sample at T0, T1-10 and T>10 (or no relevant sample at all), with average ages of 66.3, 65.8, and 66.5 years and medical:surgical:trauma ratios of

253 73.5:15.5:11.0; 59.0:19.9:21.1 and 71.2:14.8:14.0, respectively.

The frequent lateness of microbiological data may explain the small proportion of cases (282 out of 757, 37.2%) in whom empirical regimens were adjusted based upon susceptibility results. The vast majority of these changes (252/282, 89.4%) were escalations, meaning the addition of further agents or switches to broader-spectrum agents; first-line empirical antibiotic was steppeddown in only 30 cases (10.6%).

259 *Outcomes*

Two hundred and twenty-seven patients (24.9%) died during their ICU stay and 316 (34.7%) during their entire hospitalization. One hundred and forty-three of the ICU deaths (63% of all ICU deaths) could reasonably be related to infection.

Patient primary outcome data in relation to treatment adequacy for the 212 cases with a 263 relevant-site T0 clinical specimen is displayed in Table 5. Among the 74 (34.9%) whose empirical 264 antibiotic(s) failed to cover the organisms subsequently identified there were 36 ICU deaths 265 (48.6%) vs. 26 deaths (18.8%) among the 138 receiving therapy that covered all pathogens present 266 (p <0.001). This pattern was maintained among patients whose first relevant specimen was taken in 267 268 the T1-10 interval, where there was 43% mortality among those receiving inadequate therapy vs. 23% among those receiving adequate antimicrobial therapy (OR = 1.84; 95% CI, 1.3 to 2.5). In 269 270 this case, however, it is impossible to distinguish whether inadequacy was against the initial pathogen, its resistant progeny, or against a secondary invader. Overall mortality rates among 271

272 patient with a first relevant-site sample at T0, T1-10 and T>10 (or no relevant sample at all were

273 29.2%, 19.9 % and 27.6%, respectively.

The adequacy of the initial regimen did not significantly affect the duration of ICU stay (p = 0.93) (Figure 2) partly because survivors who were hospitalized for extended periods were balanced by cases who died early.

Development of septic shock was a significant predictor of mortality as was the patient's age (p < 0.001). Non-survivors also were more likely to have had acute renal failure upon admission (p < 0.001). By contrast, those admitted because of traumatic shock were more likely to survive (p < 0.001), perhaps owing to a higher probability of receiving adequate antibiotic treatment, given to 36.6% of trauma patients *vs.* 30.9% of other patient categories.

The commonest pathogens isolated from bloodstream samples and their associated rates of inadequate antimicrobial treatment were *P. aeruginosa* (n = 23; 80% inadequacy), MRSA (n = 19; 80% inadequacy), and *E. coli* (n = 14; 77% inadequacy). The large number of MRSA is unsurprising: EARS-net data (http://www.ecdc.europa.eu) show that the MRSA rate among bloodstream *S. aureus* fluctuated between 33.2 and 39.4% through the study period.

287 Despite extensive cephalosporin use (above) only two cases of *Clostridium difficile* 288 diarrhoea were recorded, though it should be cautioned that diarrhoeal patients were not routinely 289 screened for this pathogen in the study period, leading to likely under-recording.

290

291 **DISCUSSION**

Studies of antibiotic inadequacy and its consequences in severely-ill patients have largely been undertaken in teaching centres [13-21, 33-35], particularly in the U.S.A. [13,15,17,33]. We investigated whether their general conclusion - that inadequate empirical therapy is associated with increased mortality – applied also for smaller centres in the Veneto.

Assessing treatment adequacy proved challenging, owing to the many patients in whom 296 microbiology was carried out improperly or belatedly. Clinical specimens were collected at 297 initiation of empirical antibiotics (T0) for only 31.0% (235/757) of patients starting an initial 298 empirical antibiotic course, and only 28% (212) had a sample taken from the reported infection site. 299 In rather more cases (347, 45.8%), initial samples were taken between T1-10 whilst, for 299 cases 300 (39.5%), the interval to the first sample was >10 days, or there was no concordance between any 301 laboratory specimen and the patient's clinical setting. Resistance to the initial antibiotic therapy 302 303 was significantly more prevalent amongst T1-10 isolates than among those collected at T0, regardless of whether comparison was irrespective of body site (55.3 vs. 37.4%) or solely from 304 isolates from the relevant site (53.4 vs. 34.9%). Similar patterns – with greater resistance in T1-10 vs. T0 305 306 samples - were seen for the subset of bacteraemia patients (64.1% vs. 41.1% for isolates from any body site and 52.0 vs. 25.0% amongst bloodstream isolates). Greater resistance rates among 'late' isolates 307 may reflect selection of resistance in the original pathogen, or super-infection by more resistant 308 organisms during therapy. 309

When only the 212 empirically treated patients with a relevant TO sample were analysed 310 (Table 5), 138 (65.1%) treatments were assessed as adequate, with 18.8% deaths in the ICU, versus 311 74 (34.9%) judged inadequate, with 48.6% deaths) (p = < 0.001). Total mortality among these 212 312 patients with timely microbiological investigation was 29.2% compared with 27.6% among the 313 patients who had very belated microbiological investigation (>10 days) or no investigation at all. 314 These two groups were well matched in terms of average age and proportions of medical vs. 315 surgical vs. trauma cases; the overall similarity in outcome may well reflect the fact that, even 316 where microbiological investigation was performed, therapy was rarely changed. Mortality was 317 lower (19.9%) among the patients who had a first relevant sample in the T0-10 period, but this 318 group contained a higher proportion of trauma patients, who anyway tended to have better 319 320 outcomes.

This study, covering five small ICUs in the Veneto, thus confirms a significant association 321 between inadequate empirical antimicrobials and ICU mortality. S. aureus and resistant gram-322 negative bacteria were the pathogens most frequently associated with poor outcomes, also as seen 323 elsewhere [14,15,17,21,33-35]. Furthermore, and in keeping with a recent meta-analysis [36], ICU 324 infections following trauma had lower mortality, perhaps because most trauma patients are younger 325 and have fewer co-morbidities. In contrast to several published studies [8,14,18,20,24-26], we 326 found no relationship between treatment adequacy and duration of ICU stay, though this calculation 327 328 may be confounded by how duration is counted for patients who die early.

The frequent lack of prompt microbiological investigation is the core finding of this study. In many cases clinicians' undertook seemed to depend upon surveillance sampling for bacterial colonization of the lower airways rather than direct microbiological investigation of the clinicallydiagnosed infections, often with an excess of antibiotics. Such overtreatment seems widespread in Italy [37,38] and elsewhere [38,40].

Even when relevant specimens were collected, they often were collected late, meaning that the organisms grown may have been secondary colonists, and that susceptibility results only became available around the time when primary empirical treatment was ending, or even afterwards. This may explain the infrequency of treatment de-escalation based on laboratory results. Even Hospital 5 - where laboratory results were available earlier - was little exception and, in all but two cases, changes to initial empirical antibiotic treatment were escalations, not de-escalations.

In summary, despite its limitations (e.g., being retrospective, exclusion of fungal infections, and the difficulty of evaluating empirical therapy among patients whose microbiological investigation was inadequate), this study provided a clear picture of sub-optimal microbiological testing and antibiotic use in the five ICUs. There was frequent antibiotic misuse, inappropriate empirical treatment, and high variability in (generally overlong) treatment duration and a considerable need for the ICUs to improve specimen-taking and use of the microbiology laboratory.

Notably, the ICUs lacked local antibiotic practice guidelines, which represent one tool for clinicians 346 to manage patient and stewardship needs. Clinicians should be aware that any transient clinical 347 benefit achieved by overtreatment is counterbalanced by collateral damage and detriment to the 348 community as a whole via increased selection pressure for resistance. These issues are becoming 349 350 even more serious and urgent with the recent and extensive dissemination in Italy of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* with KPC carbapenemases [20,41,42]. Poorly directed antibiotic use may have helped 351 to drive this dissemination, which saw the proportion of carbapenem-resistant bloodstream K. 352 *pneumoniae* in Italy rise from 1-2% from 353 2006-9 to over 30% in 2013-14 (http://www.ecdc.europa.eu). Most of these isolates are, however, clonal [43] and it therefore seems 354 likely that infection control failures are a greater issue, a view supported by the observation that 355 near-identical strains of carbapenemase-producing K. pneumoniae were reduced in prevalence in 356 Israel by improved infection control rather than stewardship changes. Carbapenemase-producing 357 K. pneumoniae remain rare at the ICUs included here (5-8 isolates, hospital-wide, per annum from 358 2010-12 in Hospital 5, rising to 14 in 2013, 18 in 2014 and 34 in the first half of 2015) but are 359 hugely more prevalent in a major teaching centre just 60 km away (data not shown). 360

361

362 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are indebted to the Institutional Sanitary Boards of the participating hospitals for the assistance in performing the study. We are also grateful to Dr P. Piccinni, Dr D. Mastropasqua, Dr M. Baiocchi, Dr G. Zanardo, and Dr L. Ongaro for giving the permission to examine patients' clinical records in the participating ICUs. A special thank to Prof A. Holmes and to Prof P. Wilson for their careful reading of the PhD thesis upon which this manuscript is based.

368

369 FUNDING

No funding of any kind has been received for this work, and data have been generated as part of the routine work. No financial support is ongoing for any of the authors. The manuscript has been written without any external professional support.

373

374 CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

DML: Advisory Boards or ad-hoc consultancy for Accelerate, Achaogen, Adenium, Allecra,
AstraZeneca, Auspherix, Basilea, BioVersys, Centauri, Cubist, Cycle, Discuva, Meiji, Nordic,
Pfizer, Roche, Shionogi, Tetraphase, VenatoRx, Wockhardt; paid lectures – AOP Orphan,
AstraZeneca, Merck, Nordic, Pfizer; relevant shareholdings in Dechra, GSK, Merck, Perkin Elmer,
Pfizer amounting to <10% of portfolio value; contract research for Achaogen, Allecra
Antiinfectives, AstraZeneca, Cubist Pharmaceuticals, GlaxoSmithKline, Merck, Meiji Melinta, and
Wockhardt Ltd. All other authors have none to declare.

382

383 **REFERENCES**

- Kollef M (2008) SMART approaches for reducing nosocomial infections in the ICU. Chest
 134: 447-456
- Joram N, de Saint Blanquat L, Stamm D, Launay E, Gras-Le Guen C (2012) Healthcare associated infection prevention in pediatric intensive care units: a review. Eur J Clin
 Microbiol Infect Dis 31:2481-90
- 389 3. Osman MF, Askari R (2014) Infection control in the intensive care unit. Surg Clin North
 390 Am 94: 1175-94
- 391 4. Cohen ML (1992) Epidemiology of drug resistance: implications for a post-antimicrobial
 392 era. Science 257: 1030-1055

393	5.	Goldmann DA, Weinstein RA, Wenzel RP, Tablan OC, Duma RJ, Gaynes RP et al (1996).
394		Strategies to prevent and control the emergence and spread of antibiotic-resistant organisms
395		in hospitals - a challenge to hospital leadership. JAMA; 275: 234-40
396	6.	Carbon C (1999) Costs of treating infections caused by methicillin-resistant staphylococci
397		and vancomycin-resistant enterococci. J Antimicrob Chemother 44 Suppl A: 31-36
398	7.	Vincent JL (2000) Microbial resistance: lessons from the EPIC study. Intensive Care Med
399		26 (Suppl. 1): S3-S8
400	8.	Vincent JL, Sakr Y, Sprung CL, Ranieri VM, Reinhart K, Gerlach H et al (2006) Sepsis in
401		European intensive care units: results of the SOAP study. Crit Care Med 34: 344-53
402	9.	Raymond DP, Pelletier SJ, Crabtree DT, Evans HL, Pruett TL, Sawyer RG (2003) Impact of
403		antibiotic-resistant Gram-negative bacilli infections on outcome in hospitalized patients. Crit
404		Care Med 31: 1035-1041
405	10.	Cosgrove SE (2006) The relationship between antimicrobial resistance and patient outcomes:
406		mortality, length of hospital stay, and health care costs. Clin Infect Dis 42 (Suppl 2): 82-89
407	11.	Ammerlaan H, Seifert H, Harbarth S, Brun-Buisson C, Torres A, Antonelli M et al (2009)
408		Adequacy of antimicrobial treatment and outcome of Staphylococcus aureus bacteremia in 9
409		Western European Countries. Clin Infect Dis 49: 997-1005
410	12.	Paruk F, Richards G, Scribante J, Bhagwanjee S, Mer M, Perrie H et al (2012) Antibiotic
411		prescription practices and their relationship to outcome in South Africa: findings of the
412		prevalence of infection in South African intensive care units (PISA) study. S Afr Med J 102:
413		613-18
414	13.	Kollef MH, Sherman G, Ward S, Fraser VJ (1999) Inadequate antimicrobial treatment of
415		infections: a risk factor for hospital mortality among critically ill patients. Chest 115: 462-
416		474

- 417 14. Leibovici L, Shraga I, Drucker M, Konigsberger H, Samra Z, Pitlik SD (1998) The benefit of
 418 appropriate empirical antibiotic treatment in patients with bloodstream infection. J Intern
 419 Med 244: 379-86
- Ibrahim EH, Sherman G, Ward S, Fraser VJ, Kollef MH (2000) The influence of inadequate
 antimicrobial treatment of bloodstream infections on patient outcomes in the ICU setting.
 Chest 118: 146-155
- 423 16. Vallés J, Rello J, Ochagavía A, Garnacho J, Alcalá MA (2003) Community-acquired
 424 bloodstream infection in critically ill adult patients. Impact of shock and inappropriate
 425 antibiotic therapy on survival. Chest 123: 1615-1624
- 426 17. Cosgrove SE, Qi Y, Kaye KS, Harbarth S, Karchmer AW, Carmeli Y et al (2005) The
 427 impact of methicillin resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteremia on patient outcomes:
 428 mortality, length of stay, and hospital charges. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 26: 166–74
- 429 18. Garnacho-Montero J, Ortiz-Leyba C, Herrera-Melero I, Aldabó-Pallás T, Cayuela430 Dominguez A, Marquez-Vacaro JA et al (2008) Mortality and morbidity attributable to
 431 inadequate empirical antimicrobial therapy in patients admitted to the ICU with sepsis: a
 432 matched cohort study. J Antimicrob Chemother 61: 436-441
- Retamar P, Portillo MM, López-Prieto MD, Rodríguez-López F, de Cueto M, García MV et
 al (2012) Impact of inadequate empirical therapy on the mortality of patients with
 bloodstream infections: a propensity score-based analysis. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*;
 56: 472-478
- Girometti N1, Lewis RE, Giannella M, Ambretti S, Bartoletti M, Tedeschi S, Tumietto F,
 Cristini F, Trapani F, Gaibani P, Viale P (2014) *Klebsiella pneumoniae* bloodstream
 infection: epidemiology and impact of inappropriate empirical therapy. Medicine
 (Baltimore) 93: 298-309

441	21. Kumar A, Roberts D, Wood KE, Light B, Parrillo JE, Sharma S et al (2006) Duration of
442	hypotension before initiation of effective antimicrobial therapy is the critical determinant of
443	survival in human septic shock. Crit Care Med 34: 1589-1596
444	22. Carmeli Y, Troillet N, Karchmer AW, Samore MH (1999) Health and economic outcomes
445	of antibiotic resistance in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Arch Intern Med 159: 1127-32
446	23. Moroney JF, Fiore AE, Harrison LH, Patterson JE, Farley MM, Jorgensen JH et al (2001)
447	Clinical outcomes of bacteremic pneumococcal pneumonia in the era of antibiotic resistance.
448	Clin Infect Dis 33: 797-805
449	24. Lautenbach E, Patel JB, Bilker WB, Edelstein PH, Fishman NO (2001) Extended-spectrum
450	β -lactamase-producing <i>Escherichia coli</i> and <i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i> : risk factors for
451	infection and impact of resistance on outcomes. Clin Infect Dis 32: 1162-71
452	25. Shorr AF (2009) Review of studies of the impact on Gram-negative bacterial resistance on
453	outcomes in the intensive care unit. Crit Care Med 37:1463-9
454	26. Shorr AF, Micek ST, Welch EC, Doherty JA, Reichley RM, Kollef MH (2011)
455	Inappropriate antibiotic therapy in Gram-negative sepsis increases hospital length of stay.
456	Crit Care Med 39: 46-51
457	27. Italian Association of Hospital Anaesthetists. National Census of ICU beds, as of June 30th
458	2005. <u>www.aaroi.it</u>
459	28. World Health Organization. International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related
460	Health Problems - 10th Revision. <u>http://apps.who.int/classifications/apps/icd/icd10online/</u> :
461	29. Kollef MH (2000) Inadequate antimicrobial treatment: an important determinant of outcome
462	for hospitalized patients. Clin Infect Dis 31 (Suppl. 4): 131-8
463	30. Cosgrove SE, Carmeli Y (2003) The impact of antimicrobial resistance on health and
464	economic outcomes. Clin Infect Dis 36:1433–7
465	31. World Medical Association. DECLARATION OF HELSINKI. Ethical Principles for
466	Medical Research Involving Human Subjects. Adopted by the 18th WMA General

467 Assembly, Helsinki, Finland, June 1964, and amended by the 55th WMA General Assembly,

468 Tokyo 2004 <u>www.wma.net/en/30publications/10policies/b3/17c.pdf</u>

- Barrett J, Edgeworth J, Wyncoll D (2015) Shortening the course of antibiotic treatment in
 the intensive care unit. Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther 13:463-71 doi:
 10.1586/14787210.2015.1008451
- 472 33. Paterson DL, Ko WC, Von Gottberg A, Mohapatra S, Casellas JM, Goossens H et al (2004)
 473 Antibiotic therapy for *Klebsiella pneumoniae* bacteremia: implications of production of
 474 extended-spectrum β-lactamases. Clin Infect Dis 39: 31-7
- 475 34. Tumbarello M, Sanguinetti M, Montuori E, Trecarichi EM, Posteraro B, Fiori B et al (2007)
 476 Predictors of mortality in patients with bloodstream infections caused by extended477 spectrum-β-lactamase-producing Enterobacteriaceae: importance of inadequate initial
 478 antimicrobial treatment. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 51: 1987-1994
- Kumar A, Ellis P, Arabi Y, Roberts D, Light B, Parrillo JE et al (2009) Initiation of
 inappropriate antimicrobial therapy results in a fivefold reduction of survival in human
 septic shock. Chest 136: 1237-48
- 36. Siempos II, Vardakas KZ, Kyriakopoulos CE, Ntaidou TK, Falagas ME (2010) Predictors of
 mortality in adult patients with ventilator-associated pneumonia: a meta-analysis. Shock 33:
 590-601
- 485 37. Malacarne P, Rossi P, Bertolini G (2004) Antibiotic usage in intensive care units: a
 486 pharmaco-epidemiological multicentre study. J Antimicrob Chemother 54: 221-224
- 487 38. Vaccheri A, Silvani MC, Bersaglia L, Motola D, Strahinja P, Vargiu A et al (2008) A 3 year
 488 survey on the use of antibacterial agents in five Italian hospitals. J Antimicrob Chemother
 489 61: 953-958
- 490 39. Vlahovic-Palcevski V1, Francetic I, Palcevski G, Novak S, Abram M, Bergman U (2007)
 491 Antimicrobial use at a university hospital: appropriate or misused? A qualitative study. Int J
 492 Clin Pharmacol Ther 45:169-74

493	40.	Kariv G, Paul M, Shani V, Muchtar E, Leibovici L (2013) Benchmarking inappropriate
494		empirical antibiotic treatment. Clin Microbiol Infect 19:629-33
495	41.	Nordmann P (2014) Carbapenemase-producing Enterobacteriaceae: overview of a major
496		public health challenge. Med Mal Infect 44:51-6. doi: 10.1016/j.medmal.2013.11.007.
497	42.	Corcione S, Rocchetti A, Argentero PA, Raso R, Zotti CM, De Rosa FG, Ghisetti V (2015)
498		A one-year survey of carbapenemase-producing Klebsiella pneumoniae in Italy: beyond the
499		ICU. Clin Microbiol Infect 21: e11–e13 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cmi.2014.09.012</u>
500	43.	Albiger B, Glasner C, Struelens M, Grundmann H, Monnet D, the European Survey of
501		Carbapenemase-Producing Enterobacteriaceae (EuSCAPE) working group (2015).
502		Carbapenemase-producing Enterobacteriaceae in Europe: assessment by national experts
503		from 38 countries. Euro Surveill 20 (45):pii=30062. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.2807/1560-
504		7917.ES.2015.20.45.30062

Site	Hospital 1	Hospital 2	Hospital 3	Hospital 4	Hospital 5	Total	p-value [§]				
\mathbf{N}° of pts.	184	172	136	171	248	911					
N° (%) of male patients	119 (64.7)	107 (62.2)	89 (65.4)	102 (59.7)	153 (61.7)	570 (62.6)	0.819				
N° (%) of female patients	65 (35.3)	65 (37.8)	47 (34.6)	69 (40.3)	95 (38.3)	341 (37.4)	0.819				
Mean age (years) <u>+</u> SD	69.4 <u>+</u> 14.5	69.3 <u>+</u> 14.7	65.3 <u>+</u> 15.9	69.0 <u>+</u> 14.6	58.7 <u>+</u> 17.4	65.8 <u>+</u> 16.2	< 0.001 ***				
Age range (years)	18 - 92	20 - 93	17 - 91	16 - 91	14 - 89	14 - 93					
Median length of ICU stay (days) (IQR)	15.0 (7.7-25.0)	19.0 (9.0-33.5)	18.0 (10.0-30.0)	20.0 (7.0-33.0)	16.0 (9.0-24.0)	17.0 (8.0-29.0)	0.079 *				
Median length of hospital stay (IQR)	19.0 (11.5–32.5)	35.0 (18.5–56.0)	25.0 (14.0-44.0)	31.5 (16.0-50.0)	22.0 (12.0-37.5)	25.0 (14.0-44.0)	< 0.001 ***				
N° (%) of ICU deaths	40 (21.7)	50 (29.0)	33 (24.3)	37 (21.7)	67 (27.0)	227 (24.9)	0.383				
N° (%) of hospital deaths	54 (29.3)	70 (40.7)	43 (31.6)	55 (32.2)	94 (37.9)	316 (34.7)	0.1239				
Diagnosis on admission:											
Medical (%)	129 (70.1)	103 (59.9)	79 (58.1)	104 (60.9)	151 (60.9)	552 (60.6)	< 0.001 ***				
Surgical (%)	41 (22.3)	35 (20.3)	31 (22.8)	49 (28.6)	36 (14.5)	206 (22.6)	< 0.001 ***				
Trauma (%)	14 (7.6)	34 (19.8)	26 (19.1)	18 (10.5)	61 (24.6)	153 (16.8)	< 0.001 ***				
Patients admitted from:											
The community (%)	82 (44.6)	71 (41.3)	70 (51.5)	68 (39.8)	100 (40.3)	391 (42.9)	< 0.001 ***				
Another hospital (%)	32 (17.4)	17 (9.9)	18 (13.2)	19 (11.1)	44 (17.7)	130 (14.3)	< 0.001 ***				
Other wards (%)	70 (38.0)	84 (48.8)	48 (35.3)	84 (49.1)	104 (42.0)	390 (42.8)	< 0.001 ***				
Patients discharged home (%)	5 (2.7)	3 (1.7)	2 (1.5)	5 (2.9)	1 (0.4)	16 (1.8)	0.284				
Patients transferred to other wards (%)	78 (42.4)	105 (61.0)	62 (45.6)	99 (57.9)	100 (40.3)	444 (48.7)	< 0.001 ***				
Patients transferred to other hospital (%)	61 (33.2)	14 (8.1)	39 (28.7)	30 (17.5)	80 (32.3)	224 (24.6)	< 0.001 ***				
Diabetes (%)	16 (8.7)	22 (12.8)	13 (9.6)	23 (13.5)	14 (5.7)	88 (9.6)	0.04923 **				
Chronic renal failure (%)	9 (4.9)	12 (7.0)	6 (4.4)	9 (5.3)	9 (3.6)	45 (5.0)	0.636				
Cirrhosis (%)	5 (2.7)	12 (7.0)	7 (5.2)	7 (4.1)	4 (1.6)	35 (3.9)	0.056 *				
Sepsis/septic shock (%)	22 (12.0)	34 (19.8)	22 (16.2)	37 (21.7)	42 (17.0)	157 (17.3)	0.146				
	§ *** p<0.01; ** p<0.05; * p<0.1										

Antibiotics	N° pts. receiving antibiotic, N° (%) *	Proportion of total antibiotic use, % ^ç	Proportion of mono- therapy,% [§]	Proportion of combination therapy, % ^
Cephalosporins	253 (33.4)	20.7	40.1	12.3
Cefazolin	85 (11.2)	7.0	17.8	2.3
Ceftriaxone	71 (9.4)	5.8	10.1	4.0
Cefotaxime	37 (4.9)	3.0	6.5	1.5
Ceftazidime	26 (3.4)	2.1	2.2	2.1
Cefepime	24 (3.1)	2.0	2.4	1.8
Cefotetan	8 (1.1)	0.7	1.1	0.4
Ceftizoxime	2 (0.3)	0.1	0.0	0.2
Piperacillin/tazobactam	217 (28.6)	17.7	20.5	16.8
Glycopeptides	147 (19.4)	12.0	3.2	15.3
Teicoplanin	107 (14.1)	8.7	2.4	11.7
Vancomycin	40 (5.3)	3.3	0.8	3.6
Fluoroquinolones	133 (17.5)	10.9	8.9	11.8
Levofloxacin	79 (10.4)	6.5	4.3	7.5
Ciprofloxacin	53 (7.0)	4.3	4.6	4.3
Moxifloxacin	1 (0.1)	0.1	0.0	0.0
Carbapenems	120 (15.8)	9.8	6.2	11.6
Meropenem	77 (10.2)	6.3	2.7	8.0
Imipenem	43 (5.7)	3.5	3.5	3.6
Penicillins	102 (13.5)	8.3	19.4	3.5
Amoxicillin/clavulanate	52 (6.9)	4.3	10.5	1.5
Ampicillin/sulbactam	40 (5.3)	3.3	7.8	1.3
Oxacillin	5 (0.7)	0.4	0.5	0.4
Penicillin	2 (0.3)	0.2	0.3	0.1
Piperacillin	2 (0.3)	0.2	0.3	0.1
Ampicillin	1 (0.1)	0.1	0.0	0.1
Metronidazole	99 (13.1)	8.1	0.0	11.8
Aminoglycosides	53 (7.0)	4.3	0.0	6.3
Amikacin	21 (2.8)	1.7	0.0	2.5
Gentamicin	17 (2.2)	1.4	0.0	2.0
Netilmicin	8 (1.1)	0.7	0.0	1.0
Tobramicin	7 (0.9)	0.6	0.0	0.8
Clindamycin	48 (6.3)	3.9	0.8	5.4
Others	47 (6.2)	3.8	1.0	5.2
TOTALS		100.0	100.0	100.0

Table 2 Initial empiric antibiotic therapy receidev by patients surveyed

* Many patients received more than one antibiotic, meaning that this column does not total 100%. Antibiotic classes received by > 5% of patients are shown; other antibiotics used in a few cases included macrolides, linezolid, chloramphenicol, and tigecycline.

ç Proportion of total 1223 antibiotic courses administered as initial empiric therapy

§ Proportion of monotherapy was calculated as the N° of patients receiving the antibiotic alone, as a proportion of all 369 patients receiving monotherapy

^ Proportion of combination therapy was calculated as the N° of patients receiving the individual antibiotic as a component of their combination therapy, as a proportion of the 388 patients receiving combination therapy

Table 3 Timing of initial clinical specimens*

TIME OF SAMPLING	Hospital 1	Hospital 2	Hospital 3	Hospital 4	Hospital 5	Total (%)
T0 (same day as clinical diagnosis)	34	33	43	52	89	251 (27.6)
Cases with bacteraemia	2	4	2	12	22	42
Non-bacteraemic cases	32	29	41	40	67	209
Cases with a relevant-site specimen	31	32	36	43	70	212
T1 (within 10 days of clinical diagnosis)	86	65	30	69	111	361 (39.6)
Cases with bacteraemia	9	8	0	10	42	69
Non-bacteraemic cases	77	57	30	59	69	292
Cases with a relevant-site specimen	67	50	28	53	68	266
Day > 10 (\geq 10 days after clinical diagnosis, or no sample	64	74	63	50	48	299 (32.8)
Cases with bacteraemia	3	9	4	6	15	37
Non-bacteraemic cases	61	65	59	44	33	262
TOTAL	184	172	136	171	248	911 (100.0)

* including patients not given any antimicrobial therapy

Hospital 1 Hospital 2 Hospital 3		ital S	Hospital 4		Hospital 5		Total (%)				
R	S	R	S	R	S	R	S	R	S	R	S
8	24	14	19	11	26	19	32	36	46	88	147
(25.0)	(75.0)	(42.4)	(57.6)	(29.7)	(70.3)	(37.2)	(62.8)	(43.9)	(56.1)	(37.4)	(62.6)
0	1	2	2	1	1	4	8	7	8	14	20
8	23	12	17	10	25	15	24	29	38	74	127
	32	3	3	3	7	5	1	8	2	235	
	35	4	0	5	4	6	3	12	21	313	
46	37	40	25	15	13	30	31	61	49	192	155
(55.4)	(44.6)	(61.5)	(38.5)	(53.6)	(46.4)	(49.1)	(50.9)	(55.5)	(44.5)	(55.3)	(44.7)
6	3	5	3	0	0	6	4	18	9	35	19
40	34	35	22	15	13	24	27	43	40	157	136
83		65		28		61		110		347	
1	112		86		5	85		221		549	
R	S	R	S	R	S	R	S	R	S	R	S
7	24	13	19	10	26	16	27	28	42	74	138
(22.6)	(77.4)	(40.6)	(59.4)	(27.8)	(72.2)	(37.2)	(62.8)	(40.0)	(60.0)	(34.9)	(65.1)
0	1	1	2	1	1	2	6	2	8	6	18
7	23	12	17	9	25	14	21	26	34	68	120
	31	32		36		43		70		212	
35	32	35	15	15	13	23	30	34	34	142	124
(52.2)	(47.8)	(70.0)	(30.0)	(53.6)	(46.4)	(43.4)	(56.6)	(50.0)	(50.0)	(53.4)	(46.6)
2	2	5	1	0	0	2	4	4	5	13	12
33	30	30	14	15	13	21	26	30	29	129	112
(57	50		28		53		68		266	
1	84	17	72	13	36	17	71	24	18	91	1
	R 8 (25.0) 0 8 (25.0) 0 8 (25.0) 0 8 (25.0) 0 8 (25.0) 0 8 (25.0) 6 40 1 R 7 (22.6) 0 7 (22.6) 0 7 (35) (52.2) 2 33 0 1	R S 8 24 (25.0) (75.0) 0 1 8 23 32 32 35 46 46 37 (55.4) (44.6) 6 3 40 34 83 112 R S 7 24 (22.6) (77.4) 0 1 7 23 31 35 32 (47.8) 2 2 33 30 67 184	R S R 8 24 14 (25.0) (75.0) (42.4) 0 1 2 8 23 12 32 33 12 35 40 (61.5) 6 3 5 40 34 35 83 6 112 8 R S R 7 24 13 (22.6) (77.4) (40.6) 0 1 1 7 24 13 (22.6) (77.4) (40.6) 0 1 1 7 23 12 31 35 32 35 32 35 (52.2) (47.8) (70.0) 2 2 5 33 30 30 67 5 33 30 30	R S R S 8 24 14 19 (25.0) (75.0) (42.4) (57.6) 0 1 2 2 8 23 12 17 32 33 35 40 46 37 40 25 (55.4) (44.6) (61.5) (38.5) 6 3 5 3 40 34 35 22 83 65 112 86 R S R S 7 24 13 19 (22.6) (77.4) (40.6) (59.4) 0 1 1 2 7 23 12 17 31 32 35 15 (52.2) (47.8) (70.0) (30.0) 2 2 5 1 33 30 30 14 67 <td>R S R S R S R 8 24 14 19 11 (25.0) (75.0) (42.4) (57.6) (29.7) 0 1 2 2 1 8 23 12 17 10 32 33 33 3 35 40 25 15 (55.4) (44.6) (61.5) (38.5) (53.6) 6 3 5 3 0 40 34 35 22 15 83 65 2 15 83 65 2 15 112 86 4 R S R S 7 24 13 19 10 (22.6) (77.4) (40.6) (59.4) (27.8) 0 1 1 2 1 7 23 12 17</td> <td>R S R S R S R S 8 24 14 19 11 26 (25.0) (75.0) (42.4) (57.6) (29.7) (70.3) 0 1 2 2 1 1 8 23 12 17 10 25 32 33 37 35 40 54 46 37 40 25 15 13 (55.4) (44.6) (61.5) (38.5) (53.6) (46.4) 6 3 5 3 0 0 40 34 35 22 15 13 83 65 28 112 86 45 R S R S R S (22.6) (77.4) (40.6) (59.4) (27.8) (72.2) 0 1 1 2 1 1 <td>R S S R S R S R S S R S R S R S R S R S R S R S R S R S R</td><td>R S Q Q</td><td>R S S R S S R S S R S S R S S R S S S S S S S S S S S S S</td><td>$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$</td><td>R S S R S S S S S S S S S S S</td></td>	R S R S R S R 8 24 14 19 11 (25.0) (75.0) (42.4) (57.6) (29.7) 0 1 2 2 1 8 23 12 17 10 32 33 33 3 35 40 25 15 (55.4) (44.6) (61.5) (38.5) (53.6) 6 3 5 3 0 40 34 35 22 15 83 65 2 15 83 65 2 15 112 86 4 R S R S 7 24 13 19 10 (22.6) (77.4) (40.6) (59.4) (27.8) 0 1 1 2 1 7 23 12 17	R S R S R S R S 8 24 14 19 11 26 (25.0) (75.0) (42.4) (57.6) (29.7) (70.3) 0 1 2 2 1 1 8 23 12 17 10 25 32 33 37 35 40 54 46 37 40 25 15 13 (55.4) (44.6) (61.5) (38.5) (53.6) (46.4) 6 3 5 3 0 0 40 34 35 22 15 13 83 65 28 112 86 45 R S R S R S (22.6) (77.4) (40.6) (59.4) (27.8) (72.2) 0 1 1 2 1 1 <td>R S S R S R S R S S R S R S R S R S R S R S R S R S R S R</td> <td>R S Q Q</td> <td>R S S R S S R S S R S S R S S R S S S S S S S S S S S S S</td> <td>$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$</td> <td>R S S R S S S S S S S S S S S</td>	R S S R S R S R S S R S R S R S R S R S R S R S R S R S R	R S Q Q	R S S R S S R S S R S S R S S R S S S S S S S S S S S S S	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	R S S R S S S S S S S S S S S

Table 4 Bacterial resistance (R) or susceptibility (S) to empirical therapy administered *

* Defined as in Methods, p.5-6

^ i.e., taken from a body site corresponding to an infection recorded in the clinical records

§ Excluding coagulase-negative staphylococci which, based upon the clinical records, were not considered to be clinically significant

Table 5 Patient primary outcome^{*} in relation to treatment adequacy among patients with a baseline relevant specimen

	TOTAL TREATED (WITH RELEVANT SPECIMEN)	TOTAL ADEQUATE (% of total treated)	DEATH (% of adequately treated)	SURVIVAL (% of adequately treated)	TOTAL INADEQUATE (% of total treated)	DEATH (% of inadequately treated)	SURVIVAL (% of inadequately treated)
Hospital 1	31	24 (77.4)	5 (20.8)	19 (79.2)	7 (22.6)	5 (71.4)	2 (28.6)
Hospital 2	32	19 (59.4)	4 (21.0)	15 (79.0)	13 (40.6)	8 (61.5)	5 (38.5)
Hospital 3	36	26 (72.2)	5 (19.2)	21 (80.8)	10 (27.8)	2 (20.0)	8 (80.0)
Hospital 4	43	27 (62.8)	3 (11.1)	24 (88.9)	16 (37.2)	6 (37.5)	10 (62.5)
Hospital 5	70	42 (60.0)	9 (21.4)	33 (78.6)	28 (40.0)	15 (53.6)	13 (46.4)
TOTAL	212 (100.0)	138 (65.1)	26 (18.8)	112 (81.2)	74 (34.9)	36 (48.6)	38 (51.4)

* Defined as in Methods, p. 5-6

Figure captions

Figure. 1 Sites of clinically-diagnosed infections (grey) compared to number of specimens sampled (black), by site. Numbers indicate hospitals.

Figure 2 Duration of ICU stay in relation to adequacy of empirical treatment





All hospitals

Microbiol Adequacy p-value= 0.9329