2	and anthropological processes
3	Yoan Fourcade ^{1, 2, 3} , David S Richardson ² *, Oskars Keišs ⁴ , Michał Budka ⁵ , Rhys E
4	Green ^{6,7} , Sergei Fokin ⁸ , Jean Secondi ^{1,9 *}
5	* Joint senior and corresponding authors
6	
7	¹ GECCO, Université d'Angers, 49045 Angers, France
8	² Centre for Ecology, Evolution and Conservation, School of Biological Sciences, University of East Anglia,
9	Norwich Research Park, Norwich NR4 7TJ, United Kingdom
10	³ Department of Ecology, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, SE-75007 Uppsala, Sweden
11	⁴ Laboratory of Ornithology, Institute of Biology, University of Latvia, LV-2169 Salaspils, Latvia
12	⁵ Department of Behavioural Ecology, Institute of Environmental Biology, Faculty of Biology, Adam
13	Mickiewicz University, 61614 Poznan, Poland
14	⁶ Department of Zoology, Conservation Science Group, Downing Street, Cambridge CB2 3EJ, United
15	Kingdom
16	⁷ The Royal Society for the Protection of Birds, The Lodge, Sandy, Bedfordshire SG19 2DL, United Kingdom
17	⁸ State informational-analytical centre of game animals and environment ("Centrokhot control"), Moscow,
18	Russia
19	⁹ UMR CNRS 6554 LETG-LEESA, Université d'Angers, 49045 Angers, France
20	
21	Corresponding authors
22	Jean Secondi, Université d'Angers, GECCO, 2 bd Lavoisier 49045 Angers, France
23	Email: jean.secondi@univ-angers.fr
24	Phone: +33241735030
25	David S Richardson, School of Biological Sciences, University of East Anglia, Norwich
26	Research Park, Norwich NR4 7TJ, United Kingdom
27	Email: david.richardson@uea.ac.uk
28	Phone: +441603591496

Corncrake conservation genetics at a European scale: the impact of biogeographical

29 ABSTRACT

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

Understanding patterns of genetic structure, gene flow and diversity across a species range is required if we are to determine the genetic status and viability of small peripheral populations. This is especially crucial in species distributed across a large range where spatial heterogeneity makes it difficult to predict the distribution of genetic diversity. Although biogeographical models provide expectations of how spatially structured genetic variation may be at the range scale, human disturbance may cause strong deviations from these theoretical predictions. In this study, we investigated genetic structure and demography at a pan-European scale, in the corncrake Crex crex, a grassland bird species strongly affected by agricultural changes. We assessed population structure and genetic diversity, as well as demographic trends and direction of gene flow, in and among 15 contemporary populations of this species. Analyses revealed low genetic structure across the entire range with high levels of genetic diversity in all sites. However, we found some evidence that the westernmost populations were, to a very limited extent, differentiated from the rest of the European population. Demographic trends showed that population numbers have decreased in western Europe and remained constant across eastern Europe. Results may also suggest asymmetric gene flow from eastern to western populations. In conclusion, we suggest that the most likely scenario is that contrasting demographic regimes between eastern and western populations, driven by heterogeneous human activity, has caused asymmetric gene flow that has buffered small peripheral populations against genetic diversity loss, but also erased any genetic structure that may have existed. Our study highlight the need of coordinated actions at the European scale to preserve source populations and ensure the maintenance of reproductive productivity in the most threatened sites, in order to avoid

- losing any adaptive potential and too strongly relying on sink source populations whose
- 53 future is uncertain.

KEYWORDS

- 56 Central-marginal hypothesis, conservation genetics, genetic diversity, demography, genetic
- 57 structure, Approximate Bayesian Computation

1. INTRODUCTION

Spatial heterogeneity in the environment is an important factor affecting widely distributed species (Pickett & Cadenasso 1995). The distribution of factors such as ecogeographic regions, natural barriers to dispersion, migration routes, or other organisms such as competitors, predators or pathogens, may vary over spatial scales and affect overall connectivity and local adaptation in any focal species. Similarly, when a species' range overlaps several countries, it may be affected by the ecological impact of different levels of economic development and environmental awareness (Dallimer & Strange 2015). Therefore, the distribution of genetic variation across a species' range often emerges from a complex interaction between natural biogeographic and anthropogenic processes. However the pattern of the biological component may not match the pattern of the socio-economic component (Moilanen & Arponen 2011). If the relative contribution of the latter is strong enough it may be difficult to use classical biogeographical models to predict the range dynamics of a focal species, and thus to make and implement international conservation plans. Ad-hoc models of range dynamics may need to be developed for such species. Information on gene flow and demographic trends across a range are key to identifying

Evolutionarily Significant Units (ESU, Ryder 1986) and evaluating the threats associated with changes in connectivity, i.e. inbreeding depression or the loss of adaptive potential (Hedrick & Kalinowski 2000). Therefore such knowledge is critical in the design of informed conservation action plans.

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

Biogeographic models of range dynamics provide predictions regarding patterns of genetic variation across a species' distribution. Under the central-marginal model, focal species abundance is expected to be higher at the range core (i.e. the area of ecological optimum), and less abundant and more isolated at the periphery as environmental conditions gradually depart from the ecological optimum (Hengeveld & Haeck 1982; Brussard 1984; Brown 1984). This has implications for the distribution of genetic variation at the rangescale (Eckert et al. 2008) and for the evolution of species' range (Hoffmann & Blows 1994; Kirkpatrick & Barton 1997). Although the central-marginal model is widely accepted, the hypothesis has been challenged by empirical and theoretical studies (Sagarin & Gaines 2002; Sagarin et al. 2006; Samis & Eckert 2007) and the model itself can generate opposite patterns. A first hypothesis implies that populations at the core have higher effective population sizes and produce more dispersing migrants than do the smaller, peripheral populations. Under this model, genetic drift in the peripheral populations is only partially compensated by limited gene flow from the core area, and therefore results in lower genetic diversity in, and higher differentiation among, these peripheral populations (Hoffmann & Blows 1994; Lesica & Allendorf 1995; Eckert et al. 2008). Consequently, these marginal populations are expected to be more sensitive to environmental changes – either stochastic or directional - and more prone to extinction (Lesica & Allendorf 1995; Channell & Lomolino 2000). In contrast, a second hypothesis suggest that if core populations are large and peripheral populations are small, there could be asymmetric gene flow from core to

periphery (Kirkpatrick & Barton 1997) analogous to that expected in a source-sink (Pulliam 1988), or island-continent model (Slatkin 1987). Homogenisation of genetic diversity and weak structure at the range scale is expected if the effect of the asymmetric gene flow is greater than the combined effects of drift and selection at the range margins.

Importantly, human disturbance, by disrupting natural dynamics, may counteract the theoretical assumptions outlined above. Indeed, anthropic activity can result in barriers to gene flow, fragmenting species ranges and increasing genetic isolation between populations (Keller & Largiadèr 2003). On the contrary, human-assisted dispersal, or the creation of corridors through changes of landscape structure, can favour genetic mixing between previously isolated populations (Hale *et al.* 2001). Human activity frequently affects the growth of wild populations, either positively (Garrott *et al.* 1993), or negatively (Butchart *et al.* 2010), altering natural demographic trends and thus influencing the genetic characteristics of these populations. Moreover, climate change, by driving a rapid shift in species distributions, may further blur previously existing biogeographical patterns. Therefore, a combination of natural and anthropogenic dynamics is responsible for the observed patterns of genetic variation at large-scale. Thus it is important to consider both processes in interpreting the levels of population differentiation, or differences in genetic diversity, that are observed across the range of a species.

We used the corncrake (*Crex crex*) as a model species to study genetic structure and gene flow at a continental scale. As is the case for many grassland bird species (Donald *et al.* 2006), agriculture intensification has severely affected the number and distribution of the corncrake (Green *et al.* 1997). This situation has motivated numerous conservation plans, especially in western Europe. Interestingly, because land use change and agriculture intensification is variable across Europe, the corncrake has been affected by human activity

at various intensities in different parts of its range. To date, knowledge regarding genetic structure in this species is very limited and incomplete (Wettstein 2003) and other methods (e.g. monitoring returning individuals) do not provide adequate amounts of data to determine dispersal patterns, connectivity between sites, or identify distinct evolutionary significant units in this species (Ryder 1986). Interestingly the extensive population monitoring of the corncrake undertaken in many European countries allows survey-based demographic trends to be compared against the historical demography inferred using genetic data. The availability of such fine-scale demographic data provides an exciting opportunity to determine if apparent local trends, which usually drive conservation actions, concur with the continental-scale demographic landscape. Specifically, we tested two competing hypotheses arising from the central-marginal model: 1) peripheral populations are isolated from the core populations and are thus genetically differentiated and show a reduction of genetic diversity, 2) demographic imbalance between core and peripheral populations generates net gene flow towards the periphery that homogenises populations across the range. We used a suite of microsatellite markers to assess genetic diversity and structure across the European range of the corncrake. Approximate Bayesian computation (ABC) (Beaumont et al. 2002) was used to estimate corncrake historical demography at the population scale in order to assess finescale spatial variation in demographic trends across Europe. In order to assess the dynamics generating the observed pattern of genetic structuring, an ABC framework was also used to determine the direction of gene flow between western and eastern populations.

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

2. METHODS

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

2.1. Study species and sample collection

The corncrake is a migratory bird that breeds on grasslands across the Palearctic (Schäffer & Koffijberg 2004). Ecological niche modelling (Fourcade et al. 2013) and expert field knowledge (Schäffer & Koffijberg 2004) suggest the species' range core is located in Russia and eastern Europe, while favourable habitats are scarcer and more fragmented in western Europe. Changes in anthropogenic activities, e.g. the intensification of agricultural practices, have contributed to creating large demographic differences across the species range. In western Europe, numbers have declined severely (Green & Gibbons 2000; Deceuninck et al. 2011) but the situation in eastern Europe/Asia, which includes 90% of the world's corncrake population (Schäffer & Koffijberg 2004) is fundamentally different. In the east the impact of agriculture intensification during the 20th century is difficult to assess, but was probably less important than in western Europe. Indeed recent surveys highlight the positive effect of agricultural abandonment after the demise of the USSR on corncrake populations (Keišs 2005; Mischenko 2008). Although dispersal patterns are unclear in this species due to a very low recovery rate of ringed birds (< 5%, Green 1999), there is some evidence of longdistance movement (> 500 Km) within the breeding season (Schäffer & Koffijberg 2004; Mikkelsen et al. 2013). We focus on the European part of the corncrake's range. This includes a core area (eastern Europe) in which corncrakes are relatively abundant and evenly distributed, surrounded by several smaller populations in the north (Sweden), west (Scotland, France) and south (Romania, Italy) of the range.

With the collaboration of local ringers we collected 496 corncrake samples from 15 locations across Europe (Figure 1) in 2011–2012. Samples were collected from May to July to avoid the capture of migrating birds. Individuals were attracted using playback of

conspecific male calls at night during the peak of calling activity and captured using a mist net or large dipnet. Because of the playback-assisted capture method only males were sampled (Green 1999). Depending on the local legislation and experience of the fieldworkers, different sources of DNA were collected. The different tissues sampled did not affect the quality of DNA extracted or the accuracy of the genotyping. In France, Germany, Italy, Hungary, Poland (all sites), Czech Republic, Latvia, Belarus and Russia (20 samples out of 32), ca. 50 μ l of blood was collected from the brachial vein and stored in absolute ethanol. In Scotland buccal swabs served as a source of DNA, whereas feathers were collected from Romania, Sweden (all sites), and Russia (12 samples out of 32). All birds were released unharmed immediately after sampling, with the exception of a Russian individual which died from its collision with the landing net. Population sample sizes ranged from 7 to 66 (Figure 1 and Table 1).

2.2. Microsatellite genotyping

We extracted genomic DNA using a method of salt extraction following Richardson *et al.* (2001). All individuals were genotyped at 15 microsatellite markers of which eight had been designed for the corncrake: *Crex1*, *Crex2*, *Crex6*, *Crex7*, *Crex8*, *Crex9*, *Crex11*, *Crex12* (Gautschi *et al.* 2002). The seven other markers are conserved across a large range of bird families: *CAM18* (Dawson *et al.* 2013), *TG02-120*, *TG04-12*, *TG04-12a*, *TG04-41*, *TG05-30* and *TG012-15* (Dawson *et al.* 2010). We amplified markers by Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) in three multiplexes (Appendix A, Table A1), using 1 μL of Qiagen Multiplex MasterMix, 1 μL of DNA (dried in the tube, ca. 15 ng) and 1 μL of 5 μM primer mix (Kenta *et al.* 2008) in a final PCR volume of 2 μL. The PCRs were run under the following conditions: an initial step at 95°C for 15 min, followed by 40 cycles of 94°C during

30 s (denaturation), 56.6°C during 90 s (annealing) and 72°C during 60 s (elongation). The final stage consisted of 30 min at 60°C. Amplified fragments were mixed with a solution of formamide and GeneScan 500 ROX Size Standard (Applied Biosystems) and separated by micro-capillary electrophoresis. Alleles were subsequently scored using GeneMapper v3.7 software (Applied Biosystems).

Deviations from Hardy-Weinberg and linkage equilibria were estimated at each locus for each population using the package "adegenet" (Jombart 2008) for R 3.0.2 (R Development Core Team 2013) and the GENEPOP software (Rousset 2008) respectively. Significance levels were adjusted using the Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons. The proportion of null alleles in the dataset and its influence on the genetic differentiation between populations, as estimated by G_{ST} (Nei 1973), was assessed using the FreeNA package (Chapuis & Estoup 2007). Error rate was estimated using PEDANT software (Johnson & Haydon 2007) with 10000 simulations on 10 re-genotyped individuals. To test for the potential effect of any null alleles in the dataset, we ran a STRUCTURE analysis after exclusion of the marker which exhibited the highest rate of null alleles, using the same parameters as for the main analysis (see section below "Population structure").

2.3. Genetic diversity

We computed standard genetic diversity statistics for each population. Observed (H_o) , expected heterozygosity (H_e) , and rarefied allelic richness (A_R) were calculated using the R package "Hierfstat" (Goudet 2005). As rarefaction depends on sample size, A_R is highly influenced by the low number of samples in Italy (9) and Hungary (7). Therefore, we also reported the rarefied allelic richness after exclusion of these two sites (A_R^*) . Single-locus observed and expected heterozygosity measures were also computed at each locus for each

population (Appendix A, Table A3). We assessed the effect of geography on genetic diversity by testing the correlation between the genetic indices and distance-based eigenvector maps (dbMEM). dbMEM are orthogonal variables that describe the spatial structure of sampling points and are constructed from the principal coordinates of a neighbourhood matrix (Borcard & Legendre 2002; Dray *et al.* 2006). dbMEM were computed using the "vegan" R package (Oksanen *et al.* 2015), using as truncation distance the largest distance in the minimum spanning tree connecting all sites. All significant positive eigenvectors (Moran's I coefficients larger than the expected values) were used in a linear regression against measures of genetic diversity. We also computed the same regression analyses using longitude, latitude and their interaction instead of dbMEM as predictors of genetic diversity.

2.4. Population structure

Population structure was first examined using two measures of pairwise genetic differentiation: Nei's G_{ST} (Nei 1973), the extension of F_{ST} for multi-allelic loci, and Jost's D (Jost 2008), both using the "DEMEtics" R package (Gerlach et~al.~2010) and corrected for sample size following Nei & Chesser (1983). Significance was estimated based on 1000 permutations. Differentiation was considered as significant for p-values <0.05 after Bonferroni correction. We tested the effect of geography on population structure using the method implemented in GESTE 2.0 (Foll & Gaggiotti 2006) with its default settings. This approach estimates population-specific F_{ST} within a Bayesian framework and links it to environmental factors. We included longitude, latitude and the dbMEM variables selected in previous analysis as environmental predictors. GESTE runs all combinations of variables and estimates the posterior probability of each models (including a constant model

incorporating only genetic drift), which allowed to test whether spatial factors influenced population structure.

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

Isolation by distance was tested first using Mantel tests to assess the correlation between pairwise geographic distance and pairwise genetic distance (Diniz-Filho et al. 2013). In addition to using the total geographic distances, we also ran Mantel tests using only longitudinal or latitudinal distances. However, the ability of Mantel test to detect spatial patterns has been questioned, especially when original data, not in the form of distance matrices, are used, as is the case for geographic coordinates (Legendre & Fortin 2010; Legendre et al. 2015). Therefore, we also investigated how spatial features correlate with genetic structure using distance-based redundancy analyses (dbRDA), a method that ordinates the genetic distance matrix and uses the positive axes in a multivariate regression (Kierepka & Latch 2015). As for the regression analyses against genetic diversity, we used as spatial predictors either the dbMEM variables or alternatively longitude, latitude and their interaction. Our individual samples are not evenly distributed in space but clustered in 15 sites, which could result in confounding isolation-by-distance and population structure (Meirmans 2012). In order to assess the effect of the spatial configuration of sampling, we also computed partial individual analyses accounting for the identity of sampling sites. Mantel tests and dbRDA were computed using the "vegan" R package and their significance was tested with 10000 permutations. Both types of analyses were performed both at the population and individual levels, using as the measure of genetic distance: (i) linearized pairwise population differentiation $G_{ST}/1$ - G_{ST} or (ii) pairwise individual genetic distance \hat{a} (Rousset 2000) computed using the software SPAGeDi (Hardy & Vekemans 2002).

We estimated the contribution of within individual and within and among population variance on global genetic variation using an analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA,

Excoffier et al. 1992) computed using Arlequin 3.5 (Excoffier & Lischer 2010), with significance based on 10000 permutations. We also tested for the presence of genetic structure using the software STRUCTURE 2.3.4 (Pritchard et al. 2000) which uses a Bayesian approach to assign individuals to genetic clusters based on allele frequencies (full detail in Appendix A, Methods A1). We used sampling locations as prior information (LOCPRIOR option) to help in the detection of weak structure (Hubisz et al. 2009) but we also reported the results of the same analysis without this option activated. We varied the number of K clusters from 1 to 15 (the number of populations sampled). The most probable number of clusters was subsequently determined using both the likelihood of K and the second order rate of change of likelihood between two consecutive values of $K(\Delta K)$ following Evanno et al. (2005). We also estimated genetic clustering of our samples using the method of Discriminant Analysis of Principal Components (DAPC, Jombart et al. 2010) implemented in the "adegenet" R package (Jombart 2008). This approach does not assume any migration model or prior based on sampling location, but aims to identify synthetic variables that distinguish between groups while minimizing within-group variation. We assessed the most likely number of clusters using Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC).

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

2.5. Demographic trends and gene flow

We used Approximate Bayesian Computation (ABC) to assess demographic trends in the sampling sites and to determine the direction of gene flow between western and eastern populations (Beaumont *et al.* 2002). The ABC approach estimates parameters in absence of computable likelihood functions by comparing empirical observations to simulated data. It first generates a large set of simulated data using parameters randomly drawn from prior distributions. Observed and simulated data are then reduced to a set of summary statistics.

The posterior probability of models and parameters are estimated using the fraction of simulated models whose summary statistics are closest to those of observed data (Beaumont 2010; Csilléry *et al.* 2010).

We tested whether the changes in census size reported by national surveys (Green *et al.* 1997; Koffijberg & Schäffer 2006) were reflected in the genetic data. Note that only national-level trends were available (constant, fluctuating, declining or increasing), which prevented us from being able to compare rates among the three Polish sites. For each population three scenarios of demographic change over time (constant, decreasing and increasing effective population size) were tested. We used ABC Toolbox (Wegmann *et al.* 2010) to sample parameters in our prior distributions and coalescent simulations were computed using Fastsimcoal (Excoffier & Foll 2011) under the three demographic models. The posterior probabilities of models were then evaluated using the 'abc' R package (Csilléry *et al.* 2012) under the neural network approach, which has been shown to be less sensitive to tolerance rate and correlations between summary statistics than regression-based methods (Blum & François 2010).

The direction of longitudinal gene flow was assessed using another set of simulations in a similar ABC workflow. Estimates of gene flow may be affected by the fact that genetic structuring across all sampled populations is unclear and that some populations showed evidence of declining size over time (see Results section and Figures 1 and 2). Therefore, to simplify our model, we focused on gene flow between pairs of eastern (core and abundant) and western (small and more peripheral) populations on a France – Russia axis. We selected the three westernmost (Italy was excluded owing to its small sample size) – Scotland, France and Germany – and the three easternmost populations – Russia, Latvia, Belarus –, resulting in nine pairs of populations. We determined the posterior probabilities of three models: a

reference model in which the two populations exchange migrants symmetrically and two models with a unidirectional gene flow (from west to east and from east to west respectively). In order to speed-up computation and to assess uncertainty related to sample size, a single set of simulations was run, simulating only 20 individuals in each of the two populations. For each pair of populations, 20 individuals were randomly sampled in each population and this subsample was used to compute the posterior probability of each model of gene flow. This process was repeated ten times so that we reported the mean and standard-deviation of posterior probabilities across these ten replicates. The full details of the ABC methodology are given in Appendix A, Methods A1.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Genetic diversity

The 15 microsatellites genotyped had between 9 and 34 alleles per locus (Table 1), with the corncrake specific markers being more variable than the cross species utility markers (mean allele number: 26 vs. 12 respectively). Across 225 tests (15 populations*15 loci), 26 showed a departure from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium, but the same loci or populations were not consistently affected (Appendix A, Table A3). Similarly, GENEPOP revealed no significant deviation from linkage disequilibrium after Bonferroni correction. The proportion of null alleles was moderate to low (mean null allele frequency over loci = 0.039, SD = 0.031), ranging from 0.011 (Crex12) to 0.118 (Crex11) and the false allele rate was estimated at 0.01. Moreover, the mean G_{ST} estimated after correction for null alleles (0.009, 95% CI = 0.006-0.013) was similar to the value calculated without taking null alleles into account (0.008, 95% CI = 0.005-0.012). Given this, and since the presence of null alleles would have

little impact on Bayesian genetic clustering anyway (Carlsson 2008), we kept all markers in further analyses.

All populations were similar in terms of genetic diversity (Table 1); allelic richness ranged from 3.86–4.68, observed heterozygosity (H_o), from 0.63 to 0.75, and expected heterozygosity (H_e), from 0.70 to 0.77. Mean rarefied allelic richness was 4.42 (3.86–4.42). When excluding the Italy and Hungary populations for which sample size was very small, it was noted that the Scottish population had a lower allelic richness (7.27) than all the other populations (8.42–9.12). None of these components of genetic diversity showed a significant relationship with longitude and latitude. Similarly, the regressions against dbMEMs (3 variables had significant positive Moran's I and were thus used in these analyses) revealed no significant relationship (Appendix A, Table A5).

3.2. Population structure

Pairwise G_{ST} and D did not show significant differentiation between populations after Bonferroni correction (P-values from 0.103 to 1.00), although 58 and 52 comparisons (out of 105) respectively were significant before Bonferroni correction, most of them involving Scotland, France, Italy or Romania (Appendix A, Table A4). Both indices exhibited very low values (mean \pm SD; $G_{ST} = 0.008 \pm 0.008$; $D = 0.062 \pm 0.060$). However, the highest values constantly involved the same two populations: G_{ST} was > 0.01 in 28 (out of 105) pairwise comparisons, 14 involving Scotland and 14 involving Italy, including the G_{ST} between Scotland and Italy which was the highest in the dataset (0.033). Similarly, the highest values of D always involved Scotland and Italy. GESTE did not identify any link between population-specific F_{ST} and geographical variables, since the posterior probability of the constant model largely exceeded models that included longitude, latitude or dbMEM

(Appendix A, Table A6). The AMOVA analysis revealed that the vast majority of global genetic variance was within individuals (93.4%, P < 0.01) while among population variation was very low (0.44% P > 0.99) (Appendix A, Table A7).

At the population level, no significant pattern of isolation by distance was detected by Mantel tests or dbRDA analyses (Table 2 and Appendix A, Figure A1), even if there is a marginal non-significant increase of genetic differentiation with geographic distance (r = 0.330, P = 0.064), although probably not linear (Appendix A, Figure A1). Conversely, at the individual level, the dbRDA analysis revealed a significant link between genetic distance and all spatial factors tested (dbMEM - 8 variables had a significant positive Moran's I and were retained, and coordinates, Table 2). Similarly, Mantel test highlighted a significant relationship with longitudinal distance. However, dbRDA with sampling site as conditional variable revealed no significant pattern (Table 2), suggesting that significant results were mostly a result of the spatial aggregation of samples.

Using sampling locations as priors and following the ΔK method (Evanno *et al.* 2005), the Bayesian clustering analysis performed by STRUCTURE retained four genetic clusters (Figure 2). However, the likelihoods of K=1 to K=4 were very close, indicating that support for K=4 over K=1, 2 or 3 was limited. Moreover, assuming K=4 did not provide any useful information since the 4^{th} cluster was split between all geographic populations. We will therefore focus on results for K=3. Individual estimated memberships highlighted reasonable support for a Scottish cluster, since almost all birds sampled in Scotland had > 0.7 probability of belonging to the same cluster. French and Italian populations appeared to be grouped in a second cluster, suggesting the existence of a southwestern European cluster. However, membership coefficients for this group indicated a probability of belonging to several genetic clusters, thus revealing weak differentiation.

This is confirmed by the fact that STRUCTURE was unable to detect any significant population structure when no spatial prior was provided (Appendix A, Figure A2). All eastern European populations were roughly similar, with individuals mainly assigned to the 3rd cluster. Romania was the only site where more than 10% of individuals were assigned to the Scottish cluster. Details of the mean membership coefficients per sampling population are available in Appendix A, Table A8.

Eighty principal components (PC) had to be kept in the discriminant analysis of principal components (DAPC) to retain more than 80% of the total variation, indicating that there was no clear or simple partitioning of genetic variation. Following Bayesian information criterion, the optimal number of genetic clusters was five (Appendix A, Figure A3). However, these five clusters did not match the geographic distribution of samples and were mixed between individuals from multiple origins. DAPC was thus unable to identify a reliable population structure in our dataset.

3.3. Estimation of demographic history and gene flow

Using a 100-fold cross-validation confirmed that our method was able to distinguish between the three different demographic scenarios (Appendix A, Table A9). The whole dataset indicated a scenario of decreasing effective population size (Table 3) with high confidence (posterior probability of the 'decreasing' model for all data pooled together = 0.98). Populations considered separately gave different results (Figure 1, Table 3). All western European sites (Scotland, France, Italy and Germany) supported a decreasing demographic model (Table 3). In contrast, the southern and easternmost populations (Hungary, Romania, Belarus and Russia) supported a demographic scenario of constant effective population size. Among the other sites, four were assigned to the decreasing model (Sweden (Gotland),

Poland (north), Poland (east) and Latvia) and three to the constant model (Czech Republic, Sweden (continent) and Poland (south)) (Table 3). In all analyses, the model of increasing population size always had a null posterior probability, indicating strong support for the rejection of this demographic scenario in all corncrake populations.

The cross-validation procedure highlighted that our model selection analysis was unable to perfectly discriminate between models of gene flow, especially between the east-to-west and symmetric models, although the pseudo-observed datasets were assigned to the model they belonged to in most cases (Appendix A, Table A9). The simulations resulted in the strong rejection of the west-to-east gene flow model for all populations pairs (posterior probability < 0.002 in all cases) (Table 4). However, the analyses failed to clearly distinguish which of the unidirectional east-to-west or symmetric gene flow models was the most likely. Although mean posterior probabilities attributed three population pairs to the east-to-west model and six to the symmetric model, standard-deviations across the 10 replicates overlapped values of east-to-west and symmetric models in all cases, indicating that the two hypotheses are statistically equally probable (Table 4).

4. DISCUSSION

We determined patterns of genetic variation within and among European populations of corncrake and assessed our result in comparison to theories relating to the range dynamics of a species (the central-marginal hypothesis) and to expectations from the demographic patterns observed for this species through field surveys. Our results suggest the existence of only very weak genetic structure, subtly dividing the European corncrake population into three clusters. Genetic diversity was high in all populations and showed no geographic pattern. The demographic estimates revealed different population trends, with numbers

constant or decreasing depending on location. These inferred trends were mostly congruent with national field surveys.

431

432

433

434

435

436

437

438

439

440

441

442

443

444

445

446

447

448

449

450

451

452

453

454

Overall, the low G_{ST} and D values, the AMOVA and DAPC analyses, as well as the STRUCTURE analysis (when run with no geographic prior), revealed that there is only very limited genetic structure among corncrake populations. Analyses also failed to reveal any spatial pattern of genetic diversity or isolation by distance among populations, but suggested a longitudinal differentiation when analysed at the individual level. The STRUCTURE analysis, when using the sampling locations as priors for its estimation, did provide some evidence that three weakly differentiated genetic clusters may exist. One encompassed all eastern European populations and two more occurred in western European, grouping birds from France-Italy and Scotland respectively. The detection of (weakly) genetically differentiated groups in Western Europe is consistent with other data. For example, previous biometrical analyses found that French and British corncrakes were heavier than eastern European birds (Keišs et al. 2004; Schäffer & Koffijberg 2004). Furthermore, a recent study detected geographic variation in male calls across Europe, but also high inter-annual variation (Budka et al. 2014). These patterns could plausibly be the result of limited genetic structuring with dispersal among distant breeding sites. Finally, as part of an ongoing study of corncrake migration, some Scottish birds have been tagged with geolocators (Green 2013) and all recaptured individuals were found to have wintered in western Africa. Previously all corncrakes were believed to winter in eastern and southern Africa, where overwinter densities of corncrake are much higher (Schäffer & Koffijberg 2004). Although further evidence is needed to confirm that populations are segregated in wintering grounds, these findings may indicate that western and eastern European populations have distinct wintering areas. Similarly, it has been observed that French corncrakes are infected by a distinct set of haemosporidian (avian malaria) lineages genetically different than those found in all eastern European populations (Fourcade *et al.* 2014). Unfortunately, due to the use of buccal samples from Scotland we could not test whether this population also had differing pathogen lineages. Taken altogether, this evidence supports the genetic evidence and suggest some limited differentiation between the western populations (France and, especially, Scotland) and the more continental populations.

455

456

457

458

459

460

461

462

463

464

465

466

467

468

469

470

471

472

473

474

475

476

477

478

If we are to believe the evidence of a subtle amount of genetic structure, then two different scenarios may be responsible for the low differentiation observed. First, the pattern may result from the existence of shared ancestral polymorphism with recent, or ongoing, isolation of the western populations from an originally panmictic European population. We know from historical data that the corncrake was still common and widespread in Europe in the early 20th century (Green et al. 1997) and we can assume that favourable habitats were highly connected. However, habitat fragmentation (Donald et al. 2001; Tockner & Stanford 2002) may have since caused the limited population differentiation. The second possibility is that it results from contemporary gene flow among corncrake populations that were structured in the past. Assuming a constant migration rate, the more recent asymmetry in sizes between eastern and western populations would result in a higher number of effective migrants leaving the core populations for the smaller peripheral populations than vice versa. Thus, differences in demographic regimes may have gradually erased most, if not all, of any initial difference in allele frequencies between Scotland, France, and Eastern Europe, resulting in an observed pattern of high gene flow with low, but still just apparent, genetic structure. We performed ABC analyses to test for this hypothesis of asymmetric gene flow between eastern and western populations. Unfortunately, we could not conclusively distinguish between a simple model of symmetric gene flow and a scenario of east-to-west

asymmetric gene flow, possibly because gene flow is not strictly unidirectional or symmetric. However, all models showed a very strong rejection of the west-to-east model, which tends to support the opposite hypothesis of asymmetric gene flow towards western populations.

479

480

481

482

483

484

485

486

487

488

489

490

491

492

493

494

495

496

497

498

499

500

501

502

Our Approximate Bayesian Computation analyses of demography supported a model of decreasing size of the European corncrake population when all populations were included as one (the global analysis), but revealed a more complex pattern at a smaller scale. A model of demographic decline was supported for all western European populations (Scotland, France, Germany and Italy) which corroborates the trends identified from the national surveys which tend to indicate a decrease since the late 19th century (Green & Gibbons 2000). A scenario of constant population size was supported for some of the most southern or eastern sampling sites (Czech Republic, Hungary, Romania, South Poland, Belarus and Russia) where recent population surveys also suggest that corncrake populations have remained roughly stable, or even increased (Bürger et al. 1998; Keišs 2003; Sukhanova & Mischenko 2003; Schäffer & Koffijberg 2004). More surprising is that a scenario of decreasing population size was identified in Latvia and two Polish populations, despite no survey-based evidence of declining corncrake numbers in these populations. On the contrary, recent agricultural decline in former communist countries appears to have favoured population expansion (Keišs 2003, 2005). However, human activities may have negatively affected these populations during the Soviet period (Tucker et al. 1994), leaving a genetic signature that is still detectable in the current corncrake populations. Importantly, these results indicate that the ABC method is able to identify population trends that are not detected by classical surveys, such as historical declines or trends masked by fluctuations in local population numbers. Although the time period reflected by ABC analyses remains

uncertain, such methods may be particularly useful for species whose behaviour makes the accurate detection of population trends through surveys difficult. For example, species with high dispersal ability, such as the corncrake (Mikkelsen *et al.* 2013), may undertake long-distance movements during the breeding season to avoid unsuitable conditions, therefore causing large annual fluctuations in population sizes recorded at specific sites.

503

504

505

506

507

508

509

510

511

512

513

514

515

516

517

518

519

520

521

522

523

524

525

526

Although patterns of genetic variation at the range scale and related hypotheses, have been studied for many years, there are still various unresolved issues regarding theoretical expectations (Sagarin et al. 2006; Eckert et al. 2008). The central-marginal hypothesis may result either in the differentiation of peripheral populations with a reduction of their genetic diversity, or on the contrary in a source-sink dynamics which homogenise populations (Sagarin & Gaines 2002; Eckert et al. 2008). In our study, levels of genetic differentiation indicated that considerable gene flow occurs, or at least has occurred, between corncrake populations. We also did not detect a clear reduction in genetic diversity in peripheral populations. Indeed, all measures of genetic diversity remained notably high across the entire European range (Table 1), although measures of allelic richness suggest a slight reduction of diversity in Scotland, the most north-western site. The apparent homogeneity of genetic variation would therefore be more congruent with a source-sink model. However, analyses also show a weak signature of a longitudinal differentiation between western and eastern populations of the corncrake. This pattern does not involve all marginal populations – no differentiation of the northernmost or southernmost populations was observed – and thus does not seem to match the classical hypotheses of the central-marginal model either. In conclusion, we show that a classical biogeographical model seems unable to predict the pattern of genetic structure – very weak and longitudinal – and the maintenance of high genetic diversity observed across the European range of the corncrake. However, most

results could be explained by the spatial heterogeneity of human activity which drove demographic differences and may subsequently be responsible for either an asymmetric east to west gene flow, or a recent divergence of the westernmost populations. Finally our vision of the global pattern of genetic variation in the corncrake remains limited by our sampling which covered its European range only. A larger view may reveal a different pattern: in their Asian breeding area, where habitat is still relatively undisturbed, corncrake populations may show more "natural" dynamics closer to the expectations of the central-marginal hypothesis. It is also possible that, at this larger scale, Asian and European populations are more significantly structured. In this regard, analysing samples from Asia, including peripheral sites, as well as more western European sites such as Ireland would provide clues to the determination of the actual differentiation between the western and eastern sites and between core and marginal populations.

Whatever the actual drivers of the observed genetic variation, the evidence from our study suggests that all European corncrake populations are (or were recently) interconnected. Although there are also some evidence of a certain degree of site fidelity in this species (Green 1999), recurrent long-distance dispersal events likely contribute to maintain genetic diversity within and among populations across the corncrake's European range. Despite some uncertainties regarding its exact underlying mechanisms, this high intra-European connectivity should motivate the implementation of large-scale conservation schemes. A European action plan has been published as early as 1996 (Crockford *et al.* 1997) but since then most management actions are restricted to small areas and European coordination remains limited. Similarly, the results of successful management experiences, such as the spectacular recoveries in some areas such as the Scottish islands (O'Brien *et al.* 2006), could perhaps be better shared among managers. If our hypothesis of asymmetric gene flow is

confirmed, it would also suggest that the threatened populations of western Europe are sustained by birds from core eastern populations. Incoming gene flow should reduce the extinction probability of corncrake populations in western Europe, where their fate is more uncertain, as long as the suitable habitat is maintained and friendly agricultural practices are used. We emphasize here the need for efficient conservation management in both areas. On the one hand, if western European sites act, at least partly, as population sinks, the preservation of the core eastern European sites is decisive for the conservation of the species. The current favourable status of eastern populations is likely due to a recent event of agriculture abandonment. However, this trend is reversed and the renewed intensification of agriculture through the reconversion of abandoned croplands already impacts grassland birds in Eurasian steppes (Kamp et al. 2015). In the long term, western sites may not be sustained by eastern source populations. On the other hand, although the observed gene flow may help avoiding the negative effects of inbreeding depression and loss of adaptive potential (Frankham 2005) in the smallest populations, the gradual replacement of western European birds through a source-sink dynamics may also, potentially, lead to a loss of local adaptation (Kawecki & Ebert 2004) which could be detrimental to long-term survival of populations. Thus, even if western populations are sustained by eastern birds, conservation efforts should aim at preserving isolated and declining western sites to enhance local recruitment and limit the loss of local adaptive characteristics so that their survival would not rely on immigration from sources with uncertain future. However, it must be noted that their long-term persistence may also depend on their response to global warming, especially on the southern margins of the species range.

551

552

553

554

555

556

557

558

559

560

561

562

563

564

565

566

567

568

569

570

571

572

573

574

Furthermore, the existence of a slight differentiation between the western European sites – where the decline has been particularly strong – and the more eastern sites, raises the

possibility of different migration routes or wintering sites for these populations, and conservation action focused on these differing sits or flyways may be necessary. Generally, we see that the genetic approach developed here was not sufficient on its own to conclusively determine the direction of gene flow among European sites. Alternative methods to monitoring individual movements may be necessary to combine with the genetic data and facilitate a better understanding of intra-European migrations. The rate of ring recoveries being very low in this species (Green 2004), tracking devices with GSM or satellite transmitters (Bridge et al. 2011) may soon provide an opportunity to resolve as yet unknown dispersal patterns between European populations. Likewise, the method of capture which allowed the sampling of males only may prevent from inferring sex specific dispersal patterns in the corncrake. Although there is currently no evidence for sex-biased dispersal in this species, such a bias may have demographic consequences. For example, female biased dispersal could lead to male-biased sex ratios in isolated peripheral populations and further increase extinction risk (Dale 2001). Unfortunately, to our knowledge no unbiased capture methods is currently available. A better understanding of the processes driving the observed genetic variation may be gained from analysing different types of markers. Mitochondrial markers, being maternally inherited (Harrison 1989), and having shorter coalescent time that nuclear loci (Zink & Barrowclough 2008), may potentially show other patterns than those revealed by microsatellites. For example, a spatial structuring of mitochondrial haplotypes is expected if the almost complete panmixia we observed is caused by male dispersal only (Prugnolle & de Meeus 2002). Furthermore, a low diversity of mitochondrial haplotypes across Europe may indicate the lack of population structure is the result of recent population expansion across Europe (perhaps from a refugium outside of the sampled range), whereas high mitochondrial diversity may indicate the species has long been distributed across Europe with high levels of gene flow (Provan & Bennett 2008). Similarly, as microsatellites

575

576

577

578

579

580

581

582

583

584

585

586

587

588

589

590

591

592

593

594

595

596

597

598

are often characterised by a high level of homoplasy, some subtle patterns may be only identifiable by mitochondrial markers. Such an approach may thus be a relevant axis for future research that would help to resolve some remaining uncertainties of our study.

Approximate Bayesian computation (ABC) (Beaumont et al. 2002) provides an innovative simulation-based tool which is now widely used to distinguish between demographic scenarios (Bertorelle et al. 2010). Here, an ABC approach was used to identify discrepancies between population trends observed in surveys and variation in effective population size. It provides opportunities to better quantify the relative importance of natural and human pressures on the contemporary dynamics of ranges in the face of the current changes (Mace et al. 2010). This method, associated with more classical clustering and spatial analyses, helped us to unravel the consequences of different levels of anthropogenic pressure across a large species range on the resulting spatial genetic structure of that species. Developing and improving similar approaches in other species would certainly provide insights into range dynamics of species across large continental landmasses like the Palearctic. It is notably a strong help to improve the spatial scaling of conservation actions by highlighting the current levels of range-wide connectivity. It may also raise the awareness of practitioners to some aspects of human disturbance such as the loss of adaptive potential that could remain neglected by more local studies. In general, large-scale genetic approaches have the potential to take an important part in the guidance of conservation actions (Frankham 2010), for example by revealing historical demographic processes in species that have experienced contrasting effects of human activity across their range.

600

601

602

603

604

605

606

607

608

609

610

611

612

613

614

615

616

617

618

619

ACKOWLEDGMENTS 622 623 The authors wish to thank all the people who helped in corncrake DNA sampling: Attila Sandor, Sandor Boldogh, Peter Olsson, Peter Sverev, Paolo Vasca, Patrik Rhönnstad, 624 625 Susanne Arbeiter, Jochen Bellebaum, Joachim Sadlik and especially people from LPO Anjou: Gilles Mourgaud, Emmanuel Séchet and Edouard Beslot. We also thank Christophe 626 627 Lemaire for his useful suggestions on ABC analyses and Karl Phillips for his help in 628 genotyping. This study was funded by a grant from Plan Loire Grandeur Nature and European Regional Development Fund to JS and DSR. 629 630 **REFERENCES** 631 Beaumont MA, Zhang W, Balding DJ (2002) Approximate Bayesian computation in 632 population genetics. Genetics, 162, 2025–2035. 633 634 Beaumont MA (2010) Approximate Bayesian Computation in Evolution and Ecology. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics, 41, 379–406. 635 636 Berg A, Gustafson T (2007) Meadow management and occurrence of corncrake Crex crex. Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment, 120, 139–144. 637 638 Bertorelle G, Benazzo A, Mona S (2010) ABC as a flexible framework to estimate demography over space and time: some cons, many pros. *Molecular Ecology*, **19**, 639 640 2609–25. 641 Blum MGB, François O (2010) Non-linear regression models for Approximate Bayesian Computation. Statistics and Computing, 20, 63–73. 642 Borcard D, Legendre P (2002) All-scale spatial analysis of ecological data by means of 643

principal coordinates of neighbour matrices. *Ecological Modelling*, **153**, 51–68.

Bridge ES, Thorup K, Bowlin MS et al. (2011) Technology on the Move: Recent and 645 646 Forthcoming Innovations for Tracking Migratory Birds. *BioScience*, **61**, 689–698. Brown JH (1984) On the relationship between abundance and distribution of species. The 647 *American Naturalist*, **124**, 255–279. 648 Brussard PF (1984) Geographic patterns and environmental gradients: the central-marginal 649 model in Drosophila revisited. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics*, **15**, 25–64. 650 Budka M, Mikkelsen G, Turcokova L et al. (2014) Macrogeographic variations in the call 651 of the corncrake Crex crex. *Journal of Avian Biology*, **45**, 65–74. 652 Bürger P, Pykal J, Hora J (1998) Distribution, numbers and ringing results of Corncrake 653 (Crex crex) in the Czech republic in te period 1993-1997. Sylvia, 34, 73-84. 654 655 Busche G (1994) The decline of wet-meadow birds in Schleswig-Holstein/Germany from 1950 to 1992. *Journal für Ornithologie*, **135**, 167–177. 656 657 Butchart SHM, Walpole M, Collen B et al. (2010) Global biodiversity: indicators of recent declines. Science, 328, 1164-8. 658 659 Carlsson J (2008) Effects of microsatellite null alleles on assignment testing. *Journal of Heredity*, **99**, 616–23. 660 Channell R, Lomolino M (2000) Dynamic biogeography and conservation of endangered 661 662 species. Nature, 403, 84–86. Chapuis M-P, Estoup A (2007) Microsatellite null alleles and estimation of population 663 differentiation. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, **24**, 621–31. 664 Crockford N, Green RE, Rocamora G et al. (1997) A summary of the European Action 665 plan for the Corncrake Crex crex. Vogelwelt, 118, 169–173. 666

Csilléry K, Blum MGB, Gaggiotti OE, François O (2010) Approximate Bayesian

- Computation (ABC) in practice. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, **25**, 410–8.
- 669 Csilléry K, François O, Blum MGB (2012) abc: an R package for approximate Bayesian
- 670 computation (ABC). *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, **3**, 475–479.
- Dale S (2001) Female-biased dispersal, low female recruitment, unpaired males, and the
- extinction of small and isolated bird populations. *Oikos*, **92**, 344–356.
- Dallimer M, Strange N (2015) Why socio-political borders and boundaries matter in
- 674 conservation. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, **30**, 132–139.
- Dawson DA, Horsburgh GJ, Küpper C et al. (2010) New methods to identify conserved
- microsatellite loci and develop primer sets of high cross-species utility as
- demonstrated for birds. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, **10**, 475–494.
- Dawson DA, Ball AD, Spurgin LG et al. (2013) High-utility conserved avian
- microsatellite markers enable parentage and population studies across a wide range of
- species. *BMC Genomics*, **14**, 176.
- Deceuninck B, Mourgaud G, Beslot E (2011) Plan National d'Action Râle des genêts
- 682 (2005-2009). Bilan final. Rapport LPO/MEEDDTL-DREAL PL.
- 683 Diniz-Filho JAF, Soares TN, Lima JS et al. (2013) Mantel test in population genetics.
- *Genetics and Molecular Biology*, **36**, 475–485.
- Donald PF, Green RE, Heath MF (2001) Agricultural intensification and the collapse of
- Europe's farmland bird populations. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B:*
- 687 *Biological Sciences*, **268**, 25–29.
- Donald PF, Sanderson FJ, Burfield IJ, van Bommel FPJ (2006) Further evidence of
- continent-wide impacts of agricultural intensification on European farmland birds,
- 690 1990-2000. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*, **116**, 189–196.

Dray S, Legendre P, Peres-Neto PR (2006) Spatial modelling: a comprehensive framework 691 692 for principal coordinate analysis of neighbour matrices (PCNM). Ecological Modelling, 196, 483-493. 693 694 Eckert CG, Samis KE, Lougheed SC (2008) Genetic variation across species' geographical ranges: the central-marginal hypothesis and beyond. *Molecular Ecology*, **17**, 1170–88. 695 696 Evanno G, Regnaut S, Goudet J (2005) Detecting the number of clusters of individuals 697 using the software STRUCTURE: a simulation study. Molecular Ecology, 14, 2611– 2620. 698 699 Excoffier L, Smouse PE, Quattro JM (1992) Analysis of molecular variance inferred from 700 metric distances among DNA haplotypes: application to human mitochondrial DNA 701 restriction data. Genetics, 131, 479-491. 702 Excoffier L, Foll M (2011) Fastsimcoal: a Continuous-Time Coalescent Simulator of 703 Genomic Diversity Under Arbitrarily Complex Evolutionary Scenarios. *Bioinformatics*, **27**, 1332–4. 704 705 Excoffier L, Lischer HEL (2010) Arlequin suite ver 3.5: a new series of programs to 706 perform population genetics analyses under Linux and Windows. Molecular Ecology 707 *Resources*, **10**, 564–7. 708 Foll M, Gaggiotti OE (2006) Identifying the environmental factors that determine the genetic structure of populations. *Genetics*, **174**, 875–91. 709 710 Fourcade Y, Engler JO, Besnard AG, Rödder D, Secondi J (2013) Confronting expert-711 based and modelled distributions for species with uncertain conservation status: a case study from the Corncrake (Crex crex). *Biological Conservation*, **167**, 161–171. 712

Fourcade Y, Keišs O, Richardson DS, Secondi J (2014) Continental-scale patterns of

- pathogen prevalence: a case study on the corncrake. *Evolutionary Applications*, **7**,
- 715 1043–1055.
- Frankham R (2005) Genetics and extinction. *Biological Conservation*, **126**, 131–140.
- 717 Frankham R (2010) Challenges and opportunities of genetic approaches to biological
- 718 conservation. *Biological Conservation*, **143**, 1919–1927.
- Garrott RA, White PJ, Vanderbilt White CA (1993) Overabundance: An Issue for
- 720 Conservation Biologists? *Conservation Biology*, **7**, 946–949.
- Gautschi B, Klug Arter M, Husi R, Wettstein W, Schmid B (2002) Isolation and
- characterization of microsatellite loci in the globally endangered Corncrake, Crex
- 723 crex Linné. *Conservation Genetics*, **3**, 451–453.
- Gerlach G, Jueterbock A, Kraemer P, Deppermann J, Harmand P (2010) Calculations of
- 725 population differentiation based on GST and D: forget GST but not all of statistics!
- 726 *Molecular Ecology*, **19**, 3845–52.
- Goudet J (2005) HIERFSTAT, a package for R to compute and test hierarchical F -
- statistics. *Molecular Ecology*, **2**, 184–186.
- Green RE (1995) The decline of the corncrake Crex crex in Britain continues. Bird Study,
- 730 **42**, 66–75.
- Green RE, Rocamora G, Schäffer N (1997) Populations, ecology and threats to the
- 732 Corncrake Crex crex in Europe. *Vogelwelt*, **118**, 117–134.
- Green RE (1999) Survival and dispersal of male Corncrakes Crex crex in a threatened
- 734 population. *Bird Study*, **46**, 218–229.
- Green RE (2004) A new method for estimating the adult survival rate of the Corncrake
- Crex crex and comparison with estimates from ring-recovery and ring-recapture data.

- 737 *Ibis*, **146**, 501–508.
- Green RE (2013) Tracking Scotland's Corncrakes. *Birdwatch*, april, 26–28.
- Green RE, Gibbons DW (2000) The status of the Corncrake Crex crex in Britain in 1998.
- 740 *Bird Study*, **47**, 129–137.
- Hale ML, Lurz PW, Shirley MD et al. (2001) Impact of landscape management on the
- genetic structure of red squirrel populations. *Science*, **293**, 2246–8.
- Hardy OJ, Vekemans X (2002) SPAGeDi: a versatile computer program to analyse spatial
- genetic structure at the individual or population levels. *Molecular Ecology Notes*, **2**,
- 745 618–620.
- Harrison RG (1989) Animal mitochondrial DNA as a genetic marker in population and
- evolutionary biology. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, **4**, 6–11.
- 748 Hedrick PW, Kalinowski ST (2000) Inbreeding Depression in Conservation Biology.
- 749 *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics*, **31**, 139–162.
- 750 Hengeveld R, Haeck J (1982) The distribution of abundance. I. Measurements. *Journal of*
- 751 *Biogeography*, **9**, 303–316.
- Hoffmann AA, Blows MW (1994) Species borders: Ecological and evolutionary
- perspectives. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, **9**, 223–227.
- Hubisz MJ, Falush D, Stephens M, Pritchard JK (2009) Inferring weak population
- structure with the assistance of sample group information. *Molecular Ecology*, **9**,
- 756 1322–1332.
- Johnson PCD, Haydon DT (2007) Maximum-likelihood estimation of allelic dropout and
- false allele error rates from microsatellite genotypes in the absence of reference data.
- 759 *Genetics*, **175**, 827–842.

- Jombart T (2008) adegenet: a R package for the multivariate analysis of genetic markers.
- 761 *Bioinformatics*, **24**, 1403–5.
- Jombart T, Devillard S, Balloux F (2010) Discriminant analysis of principal components: a
- new method for the analysis of genetically structured populations. *BMC Genetics*, **11**,
- 764 94.
- Jost L (2008) GST and its relatives do not measure differentiation. *Molecular Ecology*, 17,
- 766 4015–4026.
- Kamp J, Urazaliev R, Balmford A et al. (2015) Agricultural development and the
- conservation of avian biodiversity on the Eurasian steppes: a comparison of land-
- sparing and land-sharing approaches. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, **52**, 1578–1587.
- Kawecki TJ, Ebert D (2004) Conceptual issues in local adaptation. *Ecology Letters*, **7**,
- 771 1225–1241.
- Keišs O (2003) Recent increases in numbers and the future of Corncrake Crex crex in
- 773 Latvia. *Ornis Hungarica*, **12-13**, 151–156.
- Keišs O, Granāts J, Mednis A (2004) Use of biometrical data to study Corncrake Crex crex
- population in Latvia. *Acta Universitatis Latviensis*, **676**, 119–126.
- Keišs O (2005) Impact of changes in agricultural land use on the Corncrake Crex crex
- population in Latvia. *Acta Universitatis Latviensis*, **691**, 93–109.
- Keller I, Largiadèr CR (2003) Recent habitat fragmentation caused by major roads leads to
- 779 reduction of gene flow and loss of genetic variability in ground beetles. *Proceedings*
- 780 *of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, **270**, 417–23.
- Kenta T, Gratten J, Haigh NS et al. (2008) Multiplex SNP-SCALE: a cost-effective
- medium-throughput single nucleotide polymorphism genotyping method. *Molecular*

- 783 *Ecology Resources*, **8**, 1230–8.
- 784 Kierepka EM, Latch EK (2015) Performance of partial statistics in individual-based
- landscape genetics. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, **15**, 512–525.
- 786 Kirkpatrick M, Barton NH (1997) Evolution of a species' range. *The American Naturalist*,
- 787 **150**, 1–23.
- 788 Koffijberg K, Schäffer N (2006) International Single Species Action Plan for the
- Conservation of the Corncrake Crex crex. *CMS/AEWA Technical Series*, **14-9**.
- Legendre P, Fortin M-J, Borcard D (2015) Should the Mantel test be used in spatial
- analysis? *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, **6**, 1239–1247.
- Legendre P, Fortin M-J (2010) Comparison of the Mantel test and alternative approaches
- for detecting complex multivariate relationships in the spatial analysis of genetic data.
- 794 *Molecular Ecology Resources*, **10**, 831–844.
- Lesica P, Allendorf F (1995) When are peripheral populations valuable for conservation?
- 796 *Conservation Biology*, **9**, 753–760.
- Mace GM, Collen B, Fuller RA, Boakes EH (2010) Population and geographic range
- dynamics: implications for conservation planning. *Philosophical Transactions of the*
- 799 *Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, **365**, 3743–3751.
- Meirmans PG (2012) The trouble with isolation by distance. *Molecular Ecology*, **21**, 2839–
- 801 46.
- Mikkelsen G, Dale S, Holtskog T (2013) Can individually characteristic calls be used to
- identify long-distance movements of Corncrakes Crex crex? *Journal of Ornithology*,
- **154**, 751–760.
- Mischenko A (2008) Corncrake Crex crex monitoring in European Russia in 2002-2003: a

- pilot study. *Revista Catalana d'Ornitologia*, 65–70.
- Moilanen A, Arponen A (2011) Administrative regions in conservation: Balancing local
- priorities with regional to global preferences in spatial planning. *Biological*
- 809 *Conservation*, **144**, 1719–1725.
- Nei M (1973) Analysis of gene diversity in subdivided populations. *Proceedings of the*
- National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, **70**, 3321–3.
- Nei M, Chesser RK (1983) Estimation of fixation indices and gene diversities. *Annals of*
- 813 *Human Genetics*, **47**, 253–9.
- O'Brien M, Green RE, Wilson JD (2006) Partial recovery of the population of Corncrakes
- 815 Crex crex in Britain, 1993-2004. *Bird Study*, **53**, 213–224.
- Oksanen J, Blanchet FG, Kindt R et al. (2015) vegan: Community Ecology Package.
- Pickett ST, Cadenasso ML (1995) Landscape ecology: spatial heterogeneity in ecological
- 818 systems. *Science*, **269**, 331–334.
- Pritchard JK, Stephens M, Donnelly P (2000) Inference of population structure using
- multilocus genotype data. *Genetics*, **155**, 945–59.
- Provan J, Bennett KD (2008) Phylogeographic insights into cryptic glacial refugia. *Trends*
- 822 *in Ecology and Evolution*, **23**, 564–571.
- Prugnolle F, de Meeus T (2002) Inferring sex-biased dispersal from population genetic
- 824 tools: a review. *Heredity*, **88**, 161–165.
- Pulliam R (1988) Source, sinks and population regulation. The American Naturalist, 132,
- 826 652–661.
- 827 R Development Core Team (2013) R: A Language and Environment for Statistical
- 828 *Computing*. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.

Richardson DS, Jury FL, Blaakmeer K, Komdeur J, Burke T (2001) Parentage assignment 829 830 and extra-group paternity in a cooperative breeder: the Seychelles warbler (Acrocephalus sechellensis). *Molecular Ecology*, **10**, 2263–73. 831 832 Rousset F (2000) Genetic differentiation between individuals. Journal of Evolutionary 833 Biology, 13, 58–62. 834 Rousset F (2008) GENEPOP'007: a complete re-implementation of the genepop software for Windows and Linux. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, **8**, 103–6. 835 Ryder OA (1986) Species conservation and systematics: the dilemma of subspecies. 836 *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, **1**, 9–10. 837 Sagarin RD, Gaines SD, Gaylord B (2006) Moving beyond assumptions to understand 838 839 abundance distributions across the ranges of species. Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 840 **21**, 524–30. 841 Sagarin RD, Gaines SD (2002) The "abundant centre" distribution: to what extent is it a biogeographical rule? *Ecology Letters*, **5**, 137–147. 842 Samis KE, Eckert CG (2007) Testing the abundant center model using range-wide 843 demographic surveys of two coastal dune plants. *Ecology*, **88**, 1747–1758. 844 Schäffer N, Koffijberg K (2004) Crex crex Corncrake. Bwp Update, 6, 57–78. 845 Slatkin M (1987) Gene flow and the geographic structure of natural populations. Science, 846 847 **236**, 787–792. Sukhanova O, Mischenko A (2003) Monitoring Corncrake Crex crex numbers in European 848 849 Russia: the first stage. *Ornis Hungarica*, **12**, 135–141. Tockner K, Stanford JA (2002) Riverine flood plains: present state and future trends. 850

Environmental Conservation, 29, 308–330.

852	Tucker M, Heath MF, Tomialojc L, Grimmett R (1994) Birds in Europe: Their
853	Conservation Status. BirdLife International, Cambridge.
854	Wegmann D, Leuenberger C, Neuenschwander S, Excoffier L (2010) ABCtoolbox: a
855	versatile toolkit for approximate Bayesian computations. BMC Bioinformatics, 11,
856	116.
857	Wettstein W (2003) Conservation biology, population structure and large-scale spatial
858	behaviour of corncrakes (Crex crex L.) in Europe (Doctoral dissertation). University
859	of Zurich, Switzerland.
860	Zink R, Barrowclough G (2008) Mitochondrial DNA under siege in avian phylogeography
861	Molecular Ecology, 2107–2121.
862	
863	
864	

865	Appendix A: Supplementary material
866	Methods A1: Detailed methods of genetic analyses
867	Fig. A1: Isolation by distance (IBD) plots, at the population and individual levels.
868	Fig. A2: STRUCTURE results, computed without using the LOCPRIOR option
869	Fig. A3: Inference of genetic clusters following Discriminant Analysis of Principal
870	Components (DAPC).
871	Table A1: Genetic diversity statistics calculated for each microsatellite locus and
872	details on genotyping.
873	Table A2: Prior distributions of parameters and summary statistics used for ABC
874	simulations
875	Table A3: Genetic diversity for each of the 15 loci and 15 populations
876	Table A4: Pairwise differentiation between populations, G_{ST} and Jost's D
877	Table A5: Regressions between within-population genetic diversity and spatial
878	factors
879	Table A6: Posterior probabilities of models inferred by GESTE
880	Table A7: Analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA)
881	Table A8: Individual membership coefficients averaged by sampling population, <i>i.e.</i>
882	mean probability of belonging to one of the 4 clusters inferred by STRUCTURE
883	Table A9: Confusion matrix of demographic and gene flow models
884	
885	Highlights
886	Understanding range-scale genetic patterns is essential in conservation biology
887	Predictions of biogeographical models can be disturbed by human activity
888	• In the Corncrake <i>Crex crex</i> , we observed a low genetic structure at the European
889	scale
890	Approximate Bayesian Computation informed about gene flow and demographic
891	trends
892	• European-scale coordination is required for efficient conservation of the corncrake
893	

TABLES

Table 1: Genetic diversity statistics calculated for each sampled location (population). n: number of individuals genotyped, H_o : observed heterozygosity, H_e : expected heterozygosity or gene diversity, A_R : rarefied allelic richness, A_R *: rarefied allelic richness calculated excluding the two populations with a low sample size, N_A : total number of alleles.

Population	n	H_o	H_e	A_R	A_R*	N_A
Scotland	25	0.64	0.70	3.99	7.27	120
France	55	0.66	0.75	4.49	8.52	179
Italy	9	0.64	0.69	3.86		85
Germany	32	0.63	0.74	4.54	8.88	168
Sweden (continent)	22	0.64	0.72	4.33	8.42	144
Czech Republic	24	0.75	0.76	4.56	8.43	146
Sweden (Gotland)	47	0.65	0.73	4.43	8.56	181
Poland (north)	45	0.68	0.72	4.43	8.57	178
Hungary	7	0.73	0.77	4.68		94
Poland (south)	31	0.70	0.73	4.48	8.64	164
Poland (east)	36	0.68	0.73	4.47	8.97	183
Latvia	66	0.70	0.77	4.67	9.12	208
Belarus	33	0.63	0.74	4.50	8.68	164
Romania	32	0.71	0.73	4.43	8.50	160
Russia	32	0.71	0.74	4.48	8.56	164

Table 2: Isolation-by-distance analysis results based on mantel tests and distance-based redundancy analyses (dbRDA). Mantel tests compared pairwise genetic distances to 1) geographic distances (log transformed) and 2) longitudinal and latitudinal distances. dbRDA tested the effect of 1) longitude and latitude, and 2) distance-based Moran's eigenvector maps (dbMEM, 8 factors) on genetic distances. Analyses were computed at the population level – genetic distances among populations being inferred by $G_{ST}/1$ - G_{ST} – and at the individual level with inter-individual genetic distances corresponding to Rousset's \hat{a} . Individual-level analyses were also computed after partialling out by sampling site identity. Statistically significant results are indicated in bold font.

	Population level						Individual level					Individual level (partialled out by sampling site)			
	dbRDA Mantel test			dbRDA Mantel test			dbRDA			Mantel test					
	adj R ²	F	P	r	P	adj R²	F	P	r	P	adj R ²	F	P	r	P
log Geographic distance				0.330	0.064		0.012	0.087						0.011	0.103
Longitude		1.369	0.098	0.361	0.080		1.117	0.011	0.053	0.020		1.008	0.403	0.054	0.023
Latitude	0.050	1.075	0.338	0.100	0.210	0.001	1.138	0.005	0.017	0.136	0.000	0.957	0.823	0.016	0.152
Longitude × Latitude		1.290	0.146				1.439	<0.001				0.942	0.904		
dbMEM	0.008	1.036	0.399			0.002	1.105	< 0.001			0.000	0.979	0.895		

Table 3: Posterior probability of the three demographic models – for each population and for all data pooled together – inferred from the neural network method. The highest posterior probability is highlighted in bold. The last column shows local demographic trends inferred from population surveys. Data come from Schäffer & Koffijberg (2004) unless stated otherwise.

\sim	\mathbf{a}	1
ч	_	

946

920

916

917

918

922		Decreasing	Constant	Increasing	Local survey trend
923	All data	0.98	0.02	0.00	
924	Scotland	1.00	0.00	0.00	Decreasing / increasing ^a
925	France	0.85	0.15	0.00	Decreasing
926	Italy	1.00	0.00	0.00	Decreasing
927	Germany	0.98	0.02	0.00	Increasing ? ^b
928	Sweden (continent)	0.36	0.64	0.00	Increasing ^c
929	Czech Republic	0.12	0.88	0.00	Increasing
930	Sweden (Gotland)	0.91	0.09	0.00	Decreasing ^d
931	Hungary	0.24	0.76	0.00	Fluctuating
932	Poland (north)	0.73	0.27	0.00	
933	Poland (south)	0.46	0.54	0.00	Increasing
934	Poland (east)	1.00	0.00	0.00	-
935	Latvia	0.91	0.09	0.00	Increasing
936	Belarus	0.26	0.74	0.00	Constant
937	Romania	0.11	0.89	0.00	Increasing
938	Russia	0.40	0.60	0.00	Fluctuating
939					

^a Long-term decrease (Green 1995) followed by recent recovery (O'Brien et al. 2006)

^b Schäffer & Koffijberg (2004) indicate an increasing population but Busche (1994) indicates a declining population in Northern Germany

⁹⁴⁰ 941 942 943 944 ^c Berg & Gustafson (2007)

^d Green *et al.* (1997a)

Table 4: Posterior probability (mean \pm SD) of the three models of gene flow for nine pairs of populations (three western sites: France, Scotland, Germany vs. three eastern sites: Belarus, Latvia, Russia). For each pair of population, posterior probabilities were inferred from 10 random samples of 20 individuals per site (the highest posterior probability for each comparison is underlined and highlighted in bold).

\cap	_	\mathbf{a}
9.	. ר	Z

Population pairs	West \leftarrow East	West \leftrightarrow East	West \rightarrow East
France-Belarus	0.493 ± 0.018	0.507 ±0.018	0.000 ± 0.000
France-Latvia	0.486 ± 0.020	0.514 ± 0.020	0.000 ± 0.000
France-Russia	0.510 ±0.023	0.490 ± 0.023	0.000 ± 0.000
Scotland-Belarus	0.503 ±0.017	0.496 ± 0.017	0.001 ± 0.000
Scotland-Latvia	0.484 ± 0.009	0.514 ± 0.009	0.002 ± 0.001
Scotland-Russia	0.500 ±0.024	0.499 ± 0.024	0.000 ± 0.000
Germany-Belarus	0.488 ± 0.023	0.511 ± 0.023	0.001 ± 0.003
Germany-Latvia	0.498 ± 0.020	$\underline{0.501}$ ± 0.020	0.000 ± 0.001
Germany-Russia	0.485 ± 0.020	0.515 ± 0.020	0.000 ± 0.000

FIGURES LEGENDS

Figure 1: Sampling locations of corncrakes across Europe, with the most probable demographic scenarios inferred by Approximate Bayesian Computation shown (squares: constant population size, down-pointing triangles: decreasing population size). The grey shading represents the distribution of the corncrake according to the IUCN. Sampling sites names are abbreviated: Sc: Scotland, Fr: France, It: Italy, G: Germany, Sw[C]: Sweden (continent), CzR: Czech Republic, Sw[G]: Sweden (Gotland), Pol[n]: Poland (north), Hun: Hungary, Pol[S]: Poland (south), Pol[E]: Poland (east), Lat: Latvia, Bel: Belarus, Rom: Romania, Ru: Russia. Sample sizes and posterior probabilities of ABC models are given in Tables 1 and 3 respectively.

Figure 2: Genetic structure among European corncrake populations based on the Bayesian clustering algorithm STRUCTURE, using the LOCPRIOR option (sampling locations used as priors). (a) Ln likelihood with confidence intervals of the ten replicates (b) ΔK for each value of K. The highest peak of ΔK and Ln likelihood at K=4 indicates most support for four genetic clusters. (c) Bar plots of individual membership to each cluster where K=2, K=3 and K=4. Sampling sites are separated by vertical bars and plotted according to their longitude. Visual inspection of plots revealed that no further information can be gained by considering K=4 over K=3.