Table 1:	ble 1: TPB and additional measures: scores range and Cronbach's alpha.											
	Measure <i>(source)</i>	No. of items	Scores range									

Cronbach's alpha

Age	-	-	-
Intention (Conner & McMillan, 1999)	2	1(Never) to 7(Most days)	.89
Attitudes (Conner & McMillan, 1999)	2	-3(Negative attitude) to +3(Positive attitude)	.89
Perceived norms (Conner & McMillan, 1999)	3	1(Negative perceptions) to 7(Positive perceptions)	.57
Perceived Behavioural Control (Conner & McMillan, 1999)	4	1(very little control) to 7(complete control)	.58
Impulsivity (Urgency, Lack of Premeditation, Lack of Perseverance, Sensation-seeking; <i>Whiteside et al., 2005</i>)	44	1(agree strongly) to 4 (disagree strongly)	.87
Moral Norms (Conner & McMillan, 1999)	4	1(strongly agree; cannabis use is not morally wrong) to 7(strongly disagree; cannabis use is morally wrong)	.88
Perceived Parenting Styles (Warmth, Rejection, Structure, Chaos, Autonomy Support, Coercion; <i>Skinner et al., 2005</i>)	24	1(not at all true) to 4 (very true)	.87
Mental Health (Emotional Symptoms, Conduct Problems , Hyperactivity, Peer Problems, Pro-social; <i>Bourdon et al., 2005</i>)	25	0 (no mental health symptoms) to 40 (high mental health symptoms)	.80
Delinquency (Tarry & Emler, 2007)	24	0 (no delinquency) to 72 (high delinquency)	.89
Behaviour (Conner & McMillan, 1999)	3	0 (never) to 5 (most days)	.98

Note: Internal consistency is not a requirement of the behavioural, normative, and control belief composites because different accessible beliefs may well be inconsistent with each other (Ajzen, 1991)

	Variables	М	SD	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
	Age	16.44	0.55																		
1	Intention	1.49	1.18	_																	
2	Attitude	-1.40	1.87	.720**	_																
3	Perceived norms	2.01	1.30	.505**	.502**	_															
4	РВС	4.80	1.41	.445**	.514**	.238**	_														
5	Moral Norms	4.52	2.06	567**	711**	428**	576**	_													
6	Urgency	2.73	0.63	.042	106	016	190*	.029	_												
7	Sensation Seeking	2.17	0.68	149*	235**	011	255**	.180*	.038	_											
8	Lack of Premeditation	2.22	0.54	.244**	.312**	.193*	.186**	415**	168*	093	_										
9	Lack of Perseverance	2.33	0.52	.148*	.258**	.149*	.176*	290*	264*	.158*	.507**	-									
10	PS: Warmth	3.15	0.72	089	041	089	101	.156*	.244**	090	235*	307*	-								
11	PS: Structure	2.74	0.76	092	082	125	120	.180*	.156*	116	235*	302*	.733**	_							
12	PS: Autonomy Support	3.19	0.72	113	082	099	064	.180*	.307**	098	342*	356*	.773**	.698**	_						
13	PS: Rejection	1.58	0.66	.114**	.001	.074	.139	030	420*	.001	.172*	.200**	691*	519*	.826**	_					
14	PS: Chaos	1.99	0.72	.086	.041	.142*	.121	047	345*	.016	.072	.115	492*	460*	.846**	.556**	-				
15	PS: Coercion	1.87	0.70	.159*	.141*	.129	.075	075	284*	.038	.184**	.140*	415*	358*	.833**	.534**	.547**	_			
16	Mental Health	12.84	6.01	.046	.085	.111	.124	118	537*	.215*	.179*	.395**	329*	338*	.449**	.410**	.353**	.382**	_		
17	Delinquency	30.42	7.78	.342**	.375**	.291**	.346**	393**	262*	253*	.305**	.195**	252*	171*	.206**	.274**	.163*	.086	.269**	_	
18	Behaviour	.74	.84	.692**	.536**	.454**	.354**	474**	.013	082	.295**	.107	058	033	046	082	084	033	.023	.291	_

TABLE 2: Correlations among measured variables and descriptive statistics.

** = p<.01, * = p<.05.

Correlations with Intentions were analysed using Point-Biserial Correlations (preferred correlation between a dichotomous and continuous variable).

Correlations with Behaviour were analysed using Point-Biserial Correlations (preferred correlation between a dichotomous and continuous variable).