

Table 1

*Description of environmental measures*

Domain	Measure	Range		Mean (SD) or percentage (n)
		Min	Max	
<b>Objective characterized environment</b>				
<b>Home</b>	Home has a garden (% Yes)			96.98 (8021)
<b>Safety</b>	Number of serious and fatal road traffic accidents in neighborhood (per km of road)	0	3.57	0.33 (0.38)
	Total crimes per 1000 population in area of residence	17	2368	63.81 (97.85)
	Density of streetlights in neighborhood (per km of road)	0	56.97	11.71 (12.49)
<b>Deprivation</b>	Index of Multiple Deprivation (Score)	1.86	47.23	13.64 (7.36)
<b>Road network</b>	Road density in neighborhood (km/km <sup>2</sup> )	0.69	20.20	10.17 (3.63)
	Proportion of roads in neighborhood that are A roads	0	1	0.06 (0.09)
	Junctions per km <sup>2</sup> in the neighborhood	0.01	0.68	0.23 (0.12)
	Effective Walkable area in the neighborhood (ratio)	0.07	0.71	0.36 (0.12)
<b>Pedestrian infrastructure</b>	Density of all pedestrian infrastructure in neighborhood (ratio)	0	12.16	4.59 (1.01)
	Density of pavements in neighborhood (ratio)	0	5.43	2.31 (1.25)
<b>Land use</b>	Natural greenspace in neighborhood (% area)	0	98.90	36.83(29.12)
	Parks in neighborhood (% area)	0	96.75	1.48 (4.26)
	Buildings in neighborhood (% area)	0	29.36	8.08 (5.54)
	Herfindahl-Hirschman Index (Score)	1241.07	9681.07	2930.27 (1168.20)
<b>Accessibility</b>	Distance to nearest travel (transit) facility (km)	0.05	17.3	3.80 (3.24)
	Distance to nearest recreational facility (km)	0.01	6.04	1.50 (0.85)
	Distance to nearest place of interest (km)	0.26	9.16	2.39 (1.64)
	Distance to nearest town or city center (km)	0.05	19.76	5.28 (3.75)
<b>Households</b>	Detached houses in neighborhood (%)	0.89	97.32	45.97 (22.07)
	Semi-detached houses in neighborhood (%)	1.67	75.15	31.11 (14.82)
	Terraced houses in neighborhood (%)	0	71.56	14.00 (12.23)
	Flats in neighborhood (%)	0	82.80	8.67 (11.71)
	Households with one or more cars in neighborhood (%)	42.59	100	82.35 (10.11)
<b>Perceptions from Environment and Physical Activity Questionnaire</b> (higher score: more conducive to physical activity)				
	Access to services (Mean Score - 4 items)	1	4	2.96 (0.78)
	Street connectivity (Mean Score - 4 items)	1	4	2.86 (0.52)
	Walking and cycling facilities (Mean Score - 3 items)	1	4	2.44 (0.85)
	Aesthetics (Mean Score - 3 items)	1	4	2.89 (0.69)
	Pedestrian and traffic safety (Mean Score - 5 items)	1	4	2.59 (0.58)
	Detached houses in neighborhood (% Yes)			97.43 (8009)
	Terraced houses in neighborhood (% Yes)			51.79 (4228)
	Flats in neighborhood (% Yes)			35.43 (2897)

Table 2

*Characteristics of the study sample*

Measure	Mean (SD) or percentage (n)	
	Urban (5587)	Rural (2690)
Age (years)	67.8 (8.4)	66.4 (8.3)
Males	42.6 (2381)	44.0 (1184)
Workplace activity of workers (MET hours/week)	60.4 (47.7)	65.9 (50.0)
Dog Owner	14.4 (803)	27.3 (734)
Outdoor Recreational Activity* (MET hours/week)	8.7 (14.8)	9.9 (14.2)
Commuting activity (MET hours/week)**	3.0 (6.0)	2.1 (5.5)

\*Includes pleasure walking, pleasure cycling and jogging activity

\*\*only for working participants, n=2974

Table 3

*The adjusted association between physical activity (MET hours/week), perceived and objective environmental measures in urban areas*

	Model 1 IRR	95%CI	Model 2 IRR	95%CI	Model 3 IRR	95%CI	Model 4 IRR	95%CI	Std. IRR
<b>Outdoor recreational activity</b>									
<b>Individual</b>									
Age (years)	<b>0.983</b> ‡	(0.976, 0.990)	<b>0.983</b> ‡	(0.976, 0.990)	<b>0.986</b> ‡	(0.979, 0.993)	<b>0.987</b> ‡	(0.980, 0.993)	0.893
Gender									
Male (reference)									
Female	<b>0.818</b> ‡	(0.747, 0.897)	<b>0.819</b> ‡	(0.747, 0.897)	<b>0.826</b> ‡	(0.754, 0.906)	<b>0.827</b> ‡	(0.754, 0.907)	0.910
Workplace activity (MET hours/ week)	<b>0.997</b> ‡	(0.995, 0.998)	<b>0.997</b> ‡	(0.995, 0.998)	<b>0.997</b> ‡	(0.996, 0.998)	<b>0.997</b> ‡	(0.996, 0.998)	0.876
Owns Dog									
No (reference)									
Yes	<b>2.438</b> ‡	(2.188, 2.717)	<b>2.446</b> ‡	(2.196, 2.725)	<b>2.389</b> ‡	(2.142, 2.664)	<b>2.397</b> ‡	(2.149, 2.674)	1.359
<b>Objective Environment</b>									
Density of all pedestrian infrastructure in neighborhood			<b>0.950</b> *	(0.908, 0.993)			<b>0.945</b> *	(0.904, 0.989)	0.951
<b>Perceived Environment</b>									
Access to services (Mean Score – 4 items)					<b>1.188</b> ‡	(1.093, 1.291)	<b>1.187</b> ‡	(1.092, 1.290)	1.110
Aesthetics (Mean Score - 3 items)					<b>1.205</b> ‡	(1.122, 1.294)	<b>1.208</b> ‡	(1.125, 1.298)	1.134
AIC	33722		33718		33645		33640		
Change in AIC estimates (%)	-		0.01		0.23		0.24		
<b>Commuting activity</b>									
<b>Individual</b>									
Age (years)	<b>0.954</b> ‡	(0.940, 0.969)	<b>0.956</b> ‡	(0.940, 0.971)	<b>0.953</b> ‡	(0.938, 0.968)	<b>0.953</b> ‡	(0.938, 0.969)	0.743
Gender									
Male (reference)									
Female	0.989	(0.821, 1.191)	1.019	(0.837, 1.241)	1.038	(0.857, 1.258)	1.058	(0.868, 1.291)	1.029
Workplace activity (MET hours/ week)	1.002	(1.000, 1.004)	1.002	(0.999, 1.004)	1.002	(0.999, 1.004)	1.001	(0.999, 1.004)	1.073
Owns Dog									
No (reference)									
Yes	0.792	(0.622, 1.008)	0.865	(0.671, 1.116)	0.824	(0.643, 1.057)	0.862	(0.668, 1.112)	0.945
<b>Objective Environment</b>									
Density of streetlights in neighborhood (per km of road)			<b>1.014</b> **	(1.055, 1.249)			<b>1.012</b> **	(1.034, 1.232)	1.164
Junctions per km2 in the neighborhood			<b>0.251</b> **	(0.093, 0.674)			<b>0.281</b> *	(0.104, 0.760)	0.873
Effective Walkable area in the neighborhood (m2)			<b>2.485</b> *	(1.137, 5.431)			2.076	(0.934, 4.614)	1.093
Buildings in neighborhood (%)			<b>1.043</b> **	(1.017, 1.069)			<b>1.033</b> *	(1.005, 1.060)	1.153
Distance to nearest town or city center (km)			<b>0.955</b> *	(0.921, 0.991)			<b>0.955</b> *	(0.920, 0.992)	0.955
<b>Perceived Environment</b>									
Access to services (Mean Score – 4 items)					<b>1.231</b> *	(1.043, 1.452)	1.130	(0.954, 1.340)	1.071
Detached houses in neighborhood (Yes/No)					<b>0.398</b> ‡	(0.288, 0.550)	<b>0.498</b> ‡	(0.342, 0.725)	0.886
Flats in neighborhood (Yes/No)					<b>1.436</b> ‡	(1.196, 1.724)	1.145	(0.931, 1.407)	1.070
AIC	6910		6872		6888		6870		
Change in AIC estimates (%)	-		0.55		0.32		0.58		

\*p<0.05; \*\*p<0.01; ‡p<0.001.; Std. IRR: standardized incidence rate ratio

Model 1: The association between physical activity and the individual level factors; Models 2: The associations between physical activity, objective environmental measures with adjustment for individual level factors; Model 3: The associations between physical activity and perceived environmental measures with adjustment for individual level factors; Model 4: The associations between physical activity and all the objective and perceived environmental measures with adjustment for individual level factors.

Table 4

*The adjusted association between physical activity (MET hours/week), perceived and objective environmental measures in rural areas*

	Model 1 IRR	95%CI	Model 2 IRR	95%CI	Model 3 IRR	95%CI	Model 4 IRR	95%CI	Std. IRR
<b>Outdoor recreational activity</b>									
<b>Individual</b>									
Age (years)	<b>0.983</b> ‡	(0.976, 0.991)	<b>0.983</b> ‡	(0.976, 0.991)	<b>0.986</b> ‡	(0.978, 0.993)	<b>0.985</b> ‡	(0.978, 0.993)	0.885
Gender									
Male (reference)									
Female	<b>0.863</b> **	(0.773, 0.963)	<b>0.858</b> **	(0.769, 0.957)	<b>0.869</b> *	(0.777, 0.971)	<b>0.863</b> **	(0.773, 0.964)	0.930
Workplace activity (MET hours / week)	<b>0.997</b> ‡	(0.996, 0.999)	<b>0.997</b> ‡	(0.996, 0.999)	<b>0.997</b> ‡	(0.996, 0.999)	<b>0.997</b> ‡	(0.995, 0.999)	0.875
Owens Dog									
No (reference)									
Yes	<b>2.144</b> ‡	(1.920, 2.395)	<b>2.120</b> ‡	(1.897, 2.370)	<b>2.183</b> ‡	(1.947, 2.447)	<b>2.161</b> ‡	(1.927, 2.423)	1.410
<b>Objective Environment</b>									
Effective walkable area in the neighborhood (m2)			<b>1.824</b> *	(1.117, 2.980)			<b>1.767</b> *	(1.077, 2.897)	1.060
Distance to nearest place of interest (km)			<b>1.042</b> *	(1.001, 1.086)			1.036	(0.994, 1.081)	1.052
Flats in neighborhood (%)			<b>0.977</b> **	(0.964, 0.990)			<b>0.975</b> ‡	(0.962, 0.988)	0.918
<b>Perceived Environment</b>									
Aesthetics (Mean Score - 3 items)					<b>1.189</b> ‡	(1.089, 1.298)	<b>1.191</b> ‡	(1.092, 1.298)	1.130
AIC	17043		17029		17022		17008		
Change in AIC estimates (%)	-		0.08		0.12		0.21		
<b>Commuting activity</b>									
<b>Individual</b>									
Age (years)	0.999	(0.972, 1.027)	0.996	(0.970, 1.024)	No model		0.996	(0.970, 1.024)	0.977
Gender									
Male (reference)									
Female	0.793	(0.563, 1.118)	0.805	(0.573, 1.132)			0.805	(0.573, 1.132)	0.897
Workplace activity (MET hours / week)	<b>1.006</b> **	(1.002, 1.009)	<b>1.001</b> ‡	(1.003, 1.010)			<b>1.001</b> ‡	(1.003, 1.010)	1.366
Owens Dog									
No (reference)									
Yes	<b>1.441</b> *	(1.041, 1.996)	<b>1.527</b> *	(1.101, 2.118)			<b>1.527</b> *	(1.101, 2.118)	1.218
<b>Objective Environment</b>									
Density of all pedestrian infrastructure in neighborhood			<b>0.783</b> **	(0.679, 0.902)			<b>0.783</b> **	(0.679, 0.902)	0.754
AIC	2662		2658		2658		2658		
Change in AIC estimates (%)	-		0.15		0.15		0.15		

\*p<0.05; \*\*p<0.01; ‡p<0.001.; Std. IRR: standardized incidence rate ratio

Model 1: The association between physical activity and the individual level factors; Models 2: The associations between physical activity, objective environmental measures with adjustment for individual level factors; Model 3: The associations between physical activity and perceived environmental measures with adjustment for individual level factors; Model 4: The associations between physical activity and all the objective and perceived environmental measures with adjustment for individual level factors.

Table 5

*A comparison of relative importance of the matched objective and perceived environmental characteristics*

Corresponding objective and perceived environmental measures		Urban (Model 5)		Rural (Model 5)					
		Std. IRR (95% CI)		Std. IRR (95% CI)					
Objective (O)	Perceived (P)	O	P	O	P				
<b>Outdoor recreational activity</b>									
Distance to nearest place of interest	Access to services	<b>1.046*</b>	(1.004, 1.089)	<b>1.143‡</b>	(1.087, 1.204)	<b>1.108‡</b>	(1.046, 1.174)	<b>1.111‡</b>	(1.047, 1.178)
Effective Walkable area in the neighborhood	Street connectivity	0.970	(0.927, 1.102)	1.041	(0.997, 1.087)	<b>1.061*</b>	(1.008, 1.117)	<b>1.108‡</b>	(1.047, 1.172)
Density of all pedestrian infrastructure	Walking and cycling facilities	<b>0.933**</b>	(0.896, 0.972)	<b>1.084‡</b>	(1.038, 1.132)	1.024	(0.966, 1.086)	0.970	(0.920, 1.022)
Number of serious and fatal road traffic accidents	Pedestrian and traffic safety	0.989	(0.947, 1.032)	1.002	(0.958, 1.047)	1.007	(0.952, 1.066)	<b>1.057*</b>	(1.002, 1.116)
Density of detached houses	Detached houses	0.999	(0.957, 1.042)	0.981	(0.948, 1.014)	1.007	(0.953, 1.063)	1.031	(0.982, 1.081)
Density of terraced houses	Terraced houses	1.014	(0.967, 1.063)	1.001	(0.956, 1.049)	1.021	(0.965, 1.080)	1.016	(0.959, 1.076)
Density of flats	Flats	1.024	(0.981, 1.069)	0.998	(0.950, 1.048)	<b>0.926**</b>	(0.884, 0.971)	0.972	(0.920, 1.027)
<b>Commuting activity</b>									
Distance to nearest place of interest	Access to services	<b>0.838*</b>	(0.747, 0.962)	1.075	(0.974, 1.200)	1.061	(0.913, 1.229)	1.170	(0.976, 1.412)
Effective Walkable area in the neighborhood	Street connectivity	<b>1.228‡</b>	(1.128, 1.334)	0.946	(0.864, 1.034)	1.135	(0.954, 1.361)	1.006	(0.854, 1.186)
Density of all pedestrian infrastructure	Walking and cycling facilities	1.054	(0.966, 1.144)	1.020	(0.928, 1.121)	<b>0.774**</b>	(0.653, 0.912)	0.883	(0.727, 1.056)
Number of serious and fatal road traffic accidents	Pedestrian and traffic safety	<b>1.314‡</b>	(1.208, 1.428)	1.045	(0.958, 1.144)	0.957	(0.815, 1.120)	0.842	(0.701, 0.998)
Density of detached houses	Detached houses	<b>0.758‡</b>	(0.688, 0.832)	<b>0.894‡</b>	(0.851, 0.944)	0.971	(0.820, 1.147)	0.963	(0.848, 1.089)
Density of terraced houses	Terraced houses	<b>1.404‡</b>	(1.347, 1.566)	0.933	(0.843, 1.032)	1.044	(0.907, 1.202)	0.983	(0.837, 1.155)
Density of flats	Flats	<b>1.286‡</b>	(1.172, 1.379)	<b>1.106*</b>	(1.000, 1.099)	0.890	(0.775, 1.021)	1.102	(0.932, 1.312)

\*p<0.05; \*\*p<0.01; ‡p<0.001.; Std. IRR: standardized incidence rate ratio

Model 5: the associations between physical activity and the corresponding objective and perceived environmental measures with adjustment for individual level factors