Title Page

Title: Prevalence of depressive symptoms and anxiety in osteoarthritis: a systematic review and meta-analysis.

Concise Title: Depression and anxiety in OA

Authors: Stubbs B[1,2], Adelusi Y[3], Myint PK[3], Smith TO[4]

Brendon Stubbs MSc, BSc (Hons), MCSP – Head of Physiotherapy and Research Physiotherapist

Yetunde Adelusi, BSc, MCSP - Research Associate

Phyo Kyaw Myint, MBBS, MD, FRCP (Edin.), FRCP (Lond.) - Professor of Medicine of Old Age,

Toby O Smith PhD, MA, MSc, BSc (Hons), MCSP – University Lecturer

Affiliations

1.   Health Service and Population Research Department, Institute of Psychiatry, King's College London, London, United Kingdom

2.   Physiotherapy Department, South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust, London, United Kingdom

3.   Epidemiology Group, School of Medicine & Dentistry, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen, Scotland, UK

4.   Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, University of East Anglia, Norwich, UK

Word Count: 3000

Abstract

Objective: Osteoarthritis is a leading cause of disability. This systematic review aimed to establish the prevalence of depressive symptoms and anxiety among people with osteoarthritis in comparison to those without osteoarthritis.

Method: We systematically reviewed databases including AMED, EMBASE, MEDLINE, PsycINFO, BNI, CINAHL and the Cochrane database library from their inception to January 2015. Studies presenting data on depressive symptoms and anxiety in people with osteoarthritis were included. A random and fixed-effect meta-analysis was conducted on all eligible data.

Results: A total of 49 studies were included, representing 15,855 individuals (59% women; mean age 65.2 years). The evidence-base was moderate in quality. The pooled prevalence of depressive symptoms in osteoarthritis was 19.9% (95% Confidence Intervals (CI): 15.9% to 24.5%, n=10,811). The corresponding pooled prevalence was 21.3% (95% CI: 15.5% to 28.5%; n=1,226) for anxiety symptoms. The relative risk of depression among people with osteoarthritis was 1.17 (95% CI 0.69 to 2.00, 3 studies, n=941) compared to people without osteoarthritis. The relative risk of anxiety was 1.35 (95% CI: 0.51 to 3.59; 3 studies, n=733) compared to those without osteoarthritis.

Conclusion: One fifth of people with osteoarthritis experience symptoms of depression and anxiety. However it is uncertain whether this is increased compared to those without osteoarthritis, with no direct evidence to support an increase in anxiety and depression in osteoarthritis.

Keywords: Osteoarthritis; degenerative; psychological; mood; pain

PROSPERO Registration Number: CRD42013006733

Introduction

Osteoarthritis is a chronic, degenerative, musculoskeletal disease with global prevalence of 10% of men and 20% of women greater than 60 years [1]. Whilst life expectancy has increased globally [2, 3], people are also experiencing more years lived with disability due to such musculoskeletal conditions [4]. Osteoarthritis often has a profound impact on an individual's health and wellbeing since it is associated with increased pain, decreased function and elevated disability [5, 6], with the concomitant difficulties experienced in maintaining activities of daily living [7] and subsequent reductions in quality of life [8]. Thus, it is unsurprising that people with osteoarthritis are at greater risk of experiencing mental health problems [9-11]. A substantial body of literature has established that chronic pain, a common feature with osteoarthritis, is associated with depressive and anxiety symptoms [10, 11].

Recently, the importance of major depression, depressive symptoms and anxiety among people with osteoarthritis has gained increasing recognition [7]. Depressive symptoms were highlighted as a potential barrier to physical activity for people with osteoarthritis in a recent systematic review [12]. This is important as physical activity has been demonstrated to reduce pain and disability in this population [13]. Gleicher et al [14] reported that among a cohort of over 2,000 individuals with probable osteoarthritis, 29% had probable depression yet almost half did not receive any mental healthcare support. This is despite a recent evidence suggesting that cognitive behavioural therapy, exercise and integrated depression management may improve outcomes for those with osteoarthritis and comorbid depression [15].

A recent review established that depressive symptoms were highly prevalent among people with rheumatoid arthritis and associated with poorer outcomes [16]. It remains unclear if this extends to osteoarthritis. However, no study to date has attempted to systematically review and quantify the prevalence of depression and anxiety in osteoarthritis through meta-analysis techniques. We therefore

aimed to address this limitation and to provide robust evidence on clinical relevance and importance of symptoms of depression and anxiety in osteoarthritis.

Materials and Methods

This systematic review was conducted within the Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA; [17]) statement and followed a predetermined registered protocol (PROSPERO: CRD42013006733).

Search Strategy

Three authors (BS, YA, TO) searched major electronic databases (AMED, EMBASE, MEDLINE, PsycINFO, BNI, CINAHL, the Cochrane library), grey literature and trial databases (OpenGrey (System for Information on Grey Literature in Europe), WHO International Clinical Trials Registry Platform, Current Controlled Trials and the United States National Institute of Health Trials Registry) from inception until 14th January 2015. The search terms used for the MEDLINE search are presented as Supplementary Table 1 and these were modified for each database. We conducted citation chasing search strategy with all reference lists of included articles and relevant review papers were considered to identify potentially omitted articles. Finally, all corresponding authors were emailed to consult whether any currently unpublished or previously unidentified papers could be incorporated into the final review.

Eligibility Criteria

Studies were eligible if they met the following criteria: a) case control, cohort or intervention studies (using baseline data only) with or without a comparison group without osteoarthritis; b) reported the prevalence, incidence or relationship of major depression, depressive symptoms and/ or anxiety in adults diagnosed with osteoarthritis of any joint. We accepted studies that diagnosed major depression through a structured clinical interview (the gold standard) and also those that defined depressive symptoms through an appropriate screening measure, for example the Patient Health questionnaire (PHQ, [18]). We accepted a diagnosis of osteoarthritis determined through a combination of clinical

signs and symptoms and/or radiological evidence of degenerative osteoarthritis changes in line with recognised international criteria (American College of Rheumatologists; [**19**]).

We excluded single-case studies and animal studies but did not exclude studies based on the year or language of publication. Studies which recruited people with non-osteoarthritis diagnoses such as rheumatoid arthritis, fibromyalgia or chronic pain were excluded. Papers reporting depressive symptoms and anxiety in cohorts with joint replacement (arthroplasty) were excluded. If we encountered studies with participants with mixed diagnosis (e.g. some with osteoarthritis and rheumatoid arthritis) we contacted the authors to acquire the osteoarthritis specific data. If we encountered multiple publications from the same cohort, we used the data from the most recent and/or paper reporting data from the largest number of participants.

Study Identification

Two authors (BS, YA) independently reviewed the titles and abstracts from potentially relevant papers identified through the search strategy. The full-text of all potentially eligible papers were reviewed independently by the two authors (BS, YA) before making a final decision on eligibility. Any disagreements in paper eligibility were resolved through a third reviewer (TS).

Outcome Measures

The outcomes of interest were major depression diagnosed with a structured clinical assessment (e.g. DSM-IV [**20**] or ICD 10 or depressive symptoms and/or anxiety assessed with a validated assessment tool or screening measure (for example the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale, Centre for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale or Geriatric Depression Scale, CES-D).

Data Extraction

Two authors (BS, YA) independently extracted data. The data extracted included characteristics of study participants, details of osteoarthritis (method of diagnosis, severity according to pain on a visual analogue scale (VAS), functional impairment e.g. Western Ontario and McMaster Universities (WOMAC) or radiological details such as Kellgren-Lawrence scale) [21] details of comorbidities, method of assessing depression/anxiety and the results. Wherever possible, we extracted the number affected and not affected by depression/depressive symptoms/anxiety in each sample (using the authors' cut off points for each outcome measure). If this was not available, we extracted the mean and standard deviation of the depression/anxiety assessment scale.

Critical Appraisal

Two authors (BS, YA) critically appraised each included paper using a tool based on the CASP 'Case Control' and 'Cohort' appraisal tools (CASP, [22]). Studies were classified as high, moderate and low quality using the threshold values of low (0-5 points), moderate (6-8 points) and high (9-10 points). Any disagreements in data extraction and appraisal were resolved through discussion between the two reviewers (BS, YA), or adjudication with a third reviewer (TS).

Data Analysis

Three analyses were undertaken. First, we pooled studies reporting the prevalence of depression (including depressive symptoms) and anxiety in the osteoarthritis sample using a random effects meta-analysis. Second, we conducted a meta-analysis using a pooled relative risk (RR) analysis with random-effect (RE) model to compare the risk of depressive symptoms and anxiety in osteoarthritis and non-osteoarthritis cohorts. Third, when studies reported mean scores for the depressive symptoms and anxiety, we conducted a pooled standardised mean difference (SMD) analysis to investigate differences between those with and without osteoarthritis. Statistical heterogeneity was assessed using I^2 statistical test [23]. Publication bias was assessed with a visual inspection of a funnel plot and the Egger's bias value.

Wherever possible, subgroup analyses were conducted to assess the difference in symptoms of depression and anxiety between those who present with OA of different joints e.g. knee vs. hip, and those presenting with multiple joint symptoms. A subgroup analysis was also undertaken to compare results from studies conducted with cohort from the United States of America (USA) compared to Europe. Sensitivity analyses were undertaken when there were sufficient numbers of studies presenting with variation in study quality. In such instances, we compared the meta-analysis findings from high, moderate and low quality studies. Finally, a meta-regression was undertaken to explore the influence of age on prevalence of depression and the influence of study quality on the prevalence of depression and anxiety in people with osteoarthritis.

Statistical analyses were conducted on RevMan (Review Manager) Version 5.1 (Copenhagen: The Nordic Cochrane Centre, The Cochrane Collaboration, 2011), STATA version 11.0 (StataCorp LP, Texas, USA) and statsdirect (Statsdirect ltd, Chester, UK).

Results

Search Results

A total of 445 citations were identified. From this, 109 were potentially eligible and considered in the full-text review. Sixty articles were excluded, thus 49 records met the eligibility criteria and were included. A summary of the search results is presented in Figure 1.

Critical Appraisal

A summary of the critical appraisal results are presented in Supplementary Table 2. As this represents, the evidence-base was largely moderate in quality. On specific assessment of the criteria, the evidence-base was largely strong in relation to clearly presenting a research question (n= 48 studies) and recruitment of clearly defined cohorts through transparent approach (n=49). However, recurrent limitations to the evidence-base included not clearly defining and then adjusting for all important confounding factors such as age, co-morbidities and severity of osteoarthritis, as seen in 27 studies.

Characteristics of Included Studies

A summary of the included study characteristics is presented in Supplementary Table 3. A total of 15,855 participants were included in the 49 papers with a mean age of 65.2 years (Standard Deviation: 5.2). This included 5,382 males and 9,422 females; the gender-mix was not presented in four studies representing 1,051 individuals [20-23]. The most frequently assessed anatomical region of osteoarthritis was the knee (n=24), followed by mixed lower limb osteoarthritis (n=12) and hip and/or knee osteoarthritis together (n=9). Two studies solely assessed people with hip osteoarthritis, whilst one study assessed people with hand osteoarthritis. A single study did not classify the anatomical region of their cohort with osteoarthritis [24]. Only ten studies reported the duration of symptoms,

ranging from 5.3 months [25] to 19 years [23].

Most studies were conducted in the United States of America (USA, n=20). Other studies were conducted in the UK (n=5), Turkey (n=4), Canada (n=4) and Taiwan (n=3). Individual studies were conducted in Ireland, Brazil, New Zealand, Germany, Finland and Korea.

The methods employed to assess anxiety and depressive symptoms, and the frequency of their use are presented in Supplementary Table 4.

Thirteen studies reported details regarding the type or frequency of comorbidities among participants. From the studies which assessed co-morbidities, the number ranged from 2.1 [26] to 3.1 [27]. When the specific type of co-morbidity was presented, the most commonly presented were hypertension, metabolic disorders, cardiovascular disease, diabetes mellitus and osteoporosis [28,29]. The mean VAS pain score for the cohorts was presented in 14 studies and was 4.28 (SD=1.5) ranging from 2.4 [30] to 6.4 [31].

Meta-Analysis

A summary of the meta-analysis results are presented in Supplementary Table 5 and Supplementary Table 6.

Publication Bias

Figure 2 demonstrated an asymmetrical funnel-plot for the prevalence of depressive in people with osteoarthritis, suggesting evidence of some publication bias although quantitative testing with Egger bias confirmed this was not significant (intercept 1.2, p=0.5). Individual egger bias test scores are presented for each analysis in Supplementary Table 5.

Depressive symptoms

Prevalence and relative risk of depressive symptoms in people with osteoarthritis

A summary of the prevalence data for osteoarthritis and depressive symptoms is presented in Supplementary Table 5. In brief, data from 24 studies (n=10,506) established the pooled prevalence of depressive symptoms in people with osteoarthritis was 19.9% (95% CI: 15.9% to 24.5%; I^2: 96.1%; Figure 3). The prevalence of depressive symptoms was 15.6% (95% CI: 8.3% to 27.2; N=4), 20.5% (95% CI: 15.1% to 27.3%) and 21.1% (95% CI: 13.4% to 31.6%) in low, medium and high quality studies respectively (Figure 3).

The pooled prevalence of depressive symptoms across 11 studies (n=6722) in adults with isolated knee osteoarthritis was 18.5% (95% CI: 13.8% to 23.7%; I^2: 85.4%). The prevalence was 23.0% (95% CI: 16.4% to 30.2%; I^2: 95.8%) among 4,039 people with mixed lower limb osteoarthritis. There was a greater prevalence of depressive symptoms in people with osteoarthritis from studies conducted in the USA (23.1%; 95% CI: 14.6% to 32.8%; I^2: 95.8%) compared to Europe (19.9%; 95% CI: 15.8% to 24.3%; I^2: 90%).

Four studies included data on depressive symptoms for people without osteoarthritis. A pooled relative risk (RR) of 0.49 (95% CI: 0.10 to 2.45; I^2: 96.5; n=1490; Supplementary Figure 1) was established. Visual inspection of the forest plot demonstrated that one study was an obvious outlier [32]. Therefore, in a sensitivity analyses this low quality study was removed and the pooled relative risk was 1.17 (95% CI: 0.69 to 2.00) among 3 medium quality studies.

Depressive symptoms in osteoarthritis versus non-osteoarthritis cohorts

Four studies (n=736) presented data comparing depressive symptoms scores for people with osteoarthritis compared to those without osteoarthritis. No significant differences were observed in studies using the GDS or CES-D (SMD: 0.64; 95% CI: -0.24 to 1.52). There was no significant

difference in the CES-D either when assessing people with isolated knee osteoarthritis (MD: 0.83, 95% CI: -0.62 to 2.29).

Meta-Regression of Age and Study Quality on Depression

Neither mean age of the osteoarthritis samples nor study quality moderated for the prevalence of depression in the meta-regression analysis (Age: p=0.75; goodness of fit: I^2=95.0%; Study Quality: p=0.71; goodness to fit: I^2=96.1%). However, mean age explained some of the statistical heterogeneity observed in the results (R2=0.12). Study quality did not explain the statistical heterogeneity (R2=0.02).

Anxiety symptoms

Prevalence of anxiety symptoms in osteoarthritis cohorts

A summary of the prevalence data for osteoarthritis and anxiety is presented in Supplementary Table 6. The pooled prevalence of anxiety symptoms across seven studies (n=1,226) among people with osteoarthritis was 21.3% (95% CI: 15.5% to 28.5%; I^2: 88.9%; Figure 4). The pooled prevalence of anxiety symptoms across four studies including 665 individuals with isolated knee osteoarthritis was 15.8% (95% CI: 7.5% to 25.1%; I^2: 87.7%). The prevalence of anxiety was 28.2% (95% CI: 23.0% to 33.8%; I^2: 12.6%) among 315 people with lower limb osteoarthritis. It was possible to undertake a subgroup analysis to assess the prevalence of anxiety in people with osteoarthritis from studies undertaken in Europe alone. This reported a prevalence of 26.6% (95% CI: 21.1% to 32.4%; I^2: 72.1% n=999).

The relative risk of anxiety was 1.35 (95% CI: 0.51 to 3.59; I^2: 52.4; n=733; Supplementary Figure 2) indicating no significant differences in anxiety. Caution should be attached to this as only two studies were included in the comparative analysis.

Anxiety symptoms score in osteoarthritis versus non- osteoarthritis cohorts

No studies provided data to compare anxiety symptoms scores for people with osteoarthritis compared to those without in case-controlled studies.

Meta-Regression of Study Quality on Anxiety

Study quality did not moderate the prevalence of anxiety in the meta-regression analysis (p=0.51; goodness to fit: I^2=87.3%). However, study quality explained some of the statistical heterogeneity observed in the results (R2=0.24).

<u>Discussion</u>

Our results suggest that people with osteoarthritis experience concerning levels of depressive symptoms (approximately 20%). These findings mirror that of the rheumatoid arthritis population where depression has been reported as highly prevalent [16]. However, it remains unclear if people with osteoarthritis are at a higher risk of experiencing depressive symptoms compared to general population, because of the paucity of comparative data. Furthermore, around a fifth of people with osteoarthritis also experience anxiety, but again it is unclear if this elevated compared to people without osteoarthritis due to the paucity of studies that provided comparative data.

These results did not find any direct evidence to support an increase in anxiety and depression in people with osteoarthritis. However the results reported higher depression and anxiety than previous population estimates where the prevalence of clinically relevant depression has been estimated at 15% [32] and anxiety 8% to 10% [33] in older people. The prevalence of these psychiatric morbidities is important in osteoarthritis since depressive symptoms may be a better predictor of disability than radiographic evidence of degenerative joint changes in people with osteoarthritis [34,35]. Secondly, depression is associated with heightened pain and increased functional disability [36]. Thirdly, depressive symptoms are associated with a drastically reduced quality of life, and are potential barriers to physical activity and social participation [7, 12]. Fourthly, depression is associated with a marked increased risk of falls in older people [37], which remain a leading cause of morbidity, admission to long-term care facilities and mortality [38]. Finally, individuals with osteoarthritis perceived as a disability appear more strongly related to depressive symptoms than actual functional performance [39]. Accordingly clinicians should be mindful of the importance of detection and multidisciplinary treatment of depression and anxiety in people with osteoarthritis [36].

The results of this review can be interpreted through considering possible mechanisms to explain the association between depressive symptomology and osteoarthritis. Both depression and osteoarthritis are associated with inflammation and exercise may also reduce inflammation [40]. Moreover, a recent

meta-analysis [41] demonstrated that nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) significantly reduce depressive symptoms and may also be an important treatment option for those with pain symptoms.

Whilst this is the first review of its type, several limitations should be acknowledged. First, there was inadequate data to conduct subgroup analyses according to variables of interest such as gender, duration of osteoarthritis symptoms, number of joints affected, severity of pain, or impact of age (e.g. <65 and 65> years). Second, almost all of the included studies defined depressive symptoms with a screening tool but accuracy and utility of these instruments in people with osteoarthritis is undetermined. Lastly, there was evidence of some heterogeneity in some of the analyses, which was only partially accounted for in meta-regression analyses.

To conclude, people with osteoarthritis experience high levels of depressive symptoms and anxiety. This appears to be higher than the general population of a similar age [32,33]. This is of high relevance as both have the potential to have a severe and deleterious impact on an individual's health and increase health-service utilisation. Clinicians should be mindful of these disorders in the management of people with osteoarthritis since they have the potential to exacerbate symptoms and have a detrimental effect on the quality of life and prognosis of this growing patient population. However further research with better designed comparative studies of age, gender and medical-morbidity matched cohorts are needed in community cohorts before recommendations are made for targeted screening and interventions for people with osteoarthritis. Indeed routine assessment of depression and anxiety could be argued to be part of the assessment of all people presenting with a chronic health conditions. Given the possible bi-directional nature of association and impact between physical and mental health it would require to explore whether detecting and treating these mental health issues or better management of associated physical co-morbidities in these patients has significant benefits for patients.

Funding: None

PLEASE NOTE: The very long list of references supporting this review has meant that only the most important are listed here and are represented by bold type throughout the text. The full list of references is available on the journal website http://www.ageing.oxfordjournals.org/ as appendix 1.

Conflict of interest

None to declare from any author.

References

1.   Hiligsmann Ml, Cooper C, Arden N, Boers M, Branco JC, Luisa Brandi M et al. Health economics in the field of osteoarthritis: An Expert's consensus paper from the European Society for Clinical and Economic Aspects of Osteoporosis and Osteoarthritis (ESCEO). Sem Arthritis Rheumat 2013;43:303-13.

2.   Murray CJL, Vos T, Lozano R, Naghavi M, Flaxman AD, Michaud C et al. Disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) for 291 diseases and injuries in 21 regions, 1990-2010: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. Lancet 2012;380:2197-223.

3.   Ilieva EM, Oral A, Küçükdeveci AA, Varela E, Valero R, Berteanu M et al. Osteoarthritis. The role of physical and rehabilitation medicine physicians. The European perspective based on the best evidence. A paper by the UEMS-PRM Section Professional Practice Committee. Euro J Phys Rehabil Med 2013;49:579-93.

4.   Vos T, Flaxman AD, Naghavi M, Lozano R, Michaud C, Ezzati M et al. Years lived with disability (YLDs) for 1160 sequelae of 289 diseases and injuries 1990–2010: A systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. Lancet 2012;380:2163-96.

5.   Foy CG, Penninx BW, Shumaker SA, Messier SP, Pahor M. Long-term exercise therapy resolves ethnic differences in baseline health status in older adults with knee osteoarthritis. J Am Geriat Soc 2005;53:1469-75.

6.   Messier SP, Mihalko SL, Legault C, Miller GD, Nicklas BJ, DeVita P et al. Effects of intensive diet and exercise on knee joint loads, inflammation, and clinical outcomes among overweight and obese adults with knee osteoarthritis: the IDEA randomized clinical trial. JAMA 2013;310:1263-73.

7.   Wilkie R, Blagojevic-Bucknall M, Jordan KP, Lacey R, McBeth J. Reasons why multimorbidity increases the risk of participation restriction in older adults with lower extremity osteoarthritis: a prospective cohort study in primary care. Arthritis Care Res 2013;65:910-9.

8.   Moskowitz RW. The burden of osteoarthritis: clinical and quality-of-life issues. Am J Managed Care 2009;15 Suppl: S223-9.

9.   Cook C, Pietrobon R, Hegedus E. Osteoarthritis and the impact on quality of life health indicators. Rheumatol Int 2007;27:315-21.

10.   Nicholl BI, Mackay D, Cullen B, Martin DJ, Ul-Haq Z, Mair FS, Evans J, McIntosh AM, Gallagher J, Roberts B, Deary IJ, Pell JP, Smith DJ. Chronic multisite pain in major depression and bipolar disorder: cross-sectional study of 149,611 participants in UK Biobank. BMC Psychiatry 2014;14:350.

11.   Stubbs B, Eggermont L, Mitchell AJ, De Hert M, Correll CU, Soundy A, Rosenbaum S, Vancampfort D. The prevalence of pain in bipolar disorder: a systematic review and large-scale meta-analysis. Acta Psychiatr Scand 2015; 131:75-88.

12.   Stubbs B, Hurley M, Smith T. What are the factors that influence physical activity participation in adults with knee and hip osteoarthritis? A systematic review of physical activity correlates: Under review.

13.   Uthman OA, van der Windt DA, Jordan JL, Dziedzic KS, Healey EL, Peat GM et al. Exercise for lower limb osteoarthritis: systematic review incorporating trial sequential analysis and network meta-analysis. BMJ 2013;347: f5555-f.

14.   Gleicher Y, Croxford R, Hochman J, Hawker G. A prospective study of mental health care for comorbid depressed mood in older adults with painful osteoarthritis. BMC Psychiatry 2011;11: 147.

15.   Yohannes AM, Caton S. Management of depression in older people with osteoarthritis: a systematic review. Aging Mental Health 2010;14:637-51.

16.   Matcham F, Rayner L, Steer S, Hotopf M. The prevalence of depression in rheumatoid arthritis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Rheumatology 2013;52:2136-48.

17.   Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG. Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses: The PRISMA Statement. PLoS Clinical Trials 2009;6:1-6.

18.   Kroenke K, Spitzer RL, Williams JB. The PHQ-9: validity of a brief depression severity measure. J Gen Intern Med 2001;16:606-13.

19.   Altman R, Asch E, Bloch D, Bole G, Borenstein D, Brandt K et al. Development of criteria for the classification and reporting of osteoarthritis. Classification of osteoarthritis of the knee. Diagnostic and Therapeutic Criteria Committee of the American Rheumatism Association. Arthritis Rheumati 1986;29:1039-49.

20.   Pereira D, Severo M, Barros H, Branco J, Santos RA, Ramos E. The effect of depressive symptoms on the association between radiographic osteoarthritis and knee pain: a cross-sectional study. BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders 2013;14:1-9.

21.   O'Reilly SC, Jones A, Muir KR, Doherty M. Quadriceps weakness in knee osteoarthritis: the effect on pain and disability. Ann Rheumat Dis 1998;57:588-94.

22.   Ulus Y, Tander B, Akyol Y, Durmus D, Buyukakıncak O, Gul U et al. Therapeutic ultrasound versus sham ultrasound for the management of patients with knee osteoarthritis: a randomized double-blind controlled clinical study. Int J Rheumat Dis 2012;15:197-206.

23.   Blixen CE, Kippes c. Depression, social support, and quality of life in older adults with osteoarthritis. Image: J Nurs Scholar 1999;31:221-6.

Supplementary (Full) Reference List

1.   Hiligsmann Ml, Cooper C, Arden N, Boers M, Branco JC, Luisa Brandi M et al. Health economics in the field of osteoarthritis: An Expert's consensus paper from the European Society for Clinical and Economic Aspects of Osteoporosis and Osteoarthritis (ESCEO). Sem Arthritis Rheumat 2013;43:303-13.

2.   Murray CJL, Vos T, Lozano R, Naghavi M, Flaxman AD, Michaud C et al. Disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) for 291 diseases and injuries in 21 regions, 1990-2010: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. Lancet 2012;380:2197-223.

3.   Ilieva EM, Oral A, Küçükdeveci AA, Varela E, Valero R, Berteanu M et al. Osteoarthritis. The role of physical and rehabilitation medicine physicians. The European perspective based on the best evidence. A paper by the UEMS-PRM Section Professional Practice Committee. Euro J Phys Rehabil Med 2013;49:579-93.

4.   Vos T, Flaxman AD, Naghavi M, Lozano R, Michaud C, Ezzati M et al. Years lived with disability (YLDs) for 1160 sequelae of 289 diseases and injuries 1990–2010: A systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. Lancet 2012;380:2163-96.

5.   Foy CG, Penninx BW, Shumaker SA, Messier SP, Pahor M. Long-term exercise therapy resolves ethnic differences in baseline health status in older adults with knee osteoarthritis. J Am Geriat Soc 2005;53:1469-75.

6.   Messier SP, Mihalko SL, Legault C, Miller GD, Nicklas BJ, DeVita P et al. Effects of intensive diet and exercise on knee joint loads, inflammation, and clinical outcomes among overweight and obese adults with knee osteoarthritis: the IDEA randomized clinical trial. JAMA 2013;310:1263-73.

7.   Wilkie R, Blagojevic-Bucknall M, Jordan KP, Lacey R, McBeth J. Reasons why multimorbidity increases the risk of participation restriction in older adults with lower extremity osteoarthritis: a prospective cohort study in primary care. Arthritis Care Res 2013;65:910-9.

8.   Moskowitz RW. The burden of osteoarthritis: clinical and quality-of-life issues. Am J Managed Care 2009;15 Suppl: S223-9.

9.   Cook C, Pietrobon R, Hegedus E. Osteoarthritis and the impact on quality of life health indicators. Rheumatol Int 2007;27:315-21.

10.   Nicholl BI, Mackay D, Cullen B, Martin DJ, Ul-Haq Z, Mair FS, Evans J, McIntosh AM, Gallagher J, Roberts B, Deary IJ, Pell JP, Smith DJ. Chronic multisite pain in major depression and bipolar disorder: cross-sectional study of 149,611 participants in UK Biobank. BMC Psychiatry 2014; 14: 350.

11.   Stubbs B, Eggermont L, Mitchell AJ, De Hert M, Correll CU, Soundy A, Rosenbaum S, Vancampfort D. The prevalence of pain in bipolar disorder: a systematic review and large-scale meta-analysis. Acta Psychiatr Scand 2015; 131:75-88.

12.   Stubbs B, Hurley M, Smith T. What are the factors that influence physical activity participation in adults with knee and hip osteoarthritis? A systematic review of physical activity correlates: Under review.

13.   Uthman OA, van der Windt DA, Jordan JL, Dziedzic KS, Healey EL, Peat GM et al. Exercise for lower limb osteoarthritis: systematic review incorporating trial sequential analysis and network meta-analysis. BMJ 2013;347: f5555-f.

14.   Gleicher Y, Croxford R, Hochman J, Hawker G. A prospective study of mental health care for comorbid depressed mood in older adults with painful osteoarthritis. BMC Psychiatry 2011;11: 147.

15.   Yohannes AM, Caton S. Management of depression in older people with osteoarthritis: a systematic review. Aging Mental Health 2010;14:637-51.

16.   Matcham F, Rayner L, Steer S, Hotopf M. The prevalence of depression in rheumatoid arthritis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Rheumatology 2013;52:2136-48.

17.   Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG. Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses: The PRISMA Statement. PLoS Clinical Trials 2009;6:1-6.

18.   Kroenke K, Spitzer RL, Williams JB. The PHQ-9: validity of a brief depression severity measure. J Gen Intern Med 2001;16:606-13.

19.   Altman R, Asch E, Bloch D, Bole G, Borenstein D, Brandt K et al. Development of criteria for the classification and reporting of osteoarthritis. Classification of osteoarthritis of the knee. Diagnostic and Therapeutic Criteria Committee of the American Rheumatism Association. Arthritis Rheumati 1986;29:1039-49.

20.   Pereira D, Severo M, Barros H, Branco J, Santos RA, Ramos E. The effect of depressive symptoms on the association between radiographic osteoarthritis and knee pain: a cross-sectional study. BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders 2013;14:1-9.

21.   O'Reilly SC, Jones A, Muir KR, Doherty M. Quadriceps weakness in knee osteoarthritis: the effect on pain and disability. Ann Rheumat Dis 1998;57:588-94.

22.   Ulus Y, Tander B, Akyol Y, Durmus D, Buyukakıncak O, Gul U et al. Therapeutic ultrasound versus sham ultrasound for the management of patients with knee osteoarthritis: a randomized double-blind controlled clinical study. Int J Rheumat Dis 2012;15:197-206.

23.   Blixen CE, Kippes c. Depression, social support, and quality of life in older adults with osteoarthritis. Image: J Nurs Scholar 1999;31:221-6.

24.   Parmelee PA, Harralson TL, McPherron JA, Schumacher HR. The structure of affective symptomatology in older adults with osteoarthritis. Int J Ger Psych 2013;28:393-401.

25.   Weiner DK, Moore CG, Morone NE, Lee ES, Kwoh CK. Efficacy of periosteal stimulation for chronic pain associated with advanced knee osteoarthritis: A randomized, controlled clinical trial. Clinic Therap 2013;35:1704-20.

26.   French HP, Galvin R, Cusack T, McCarthy GM. Predictors of short-term outcome to exercise and manual therapy for people with hip osteoarthritis. Physical Ther 2014;94:31-9.

27.   Miguel Rde C, Dias RC, Dias JM d, a Silva SL, Menicucci Filho PR, TM R. Frailty syndrome in the community-dwelling elderly with osteoarthritis. Rev Bras Reumatol 2012;52:331-47.

28.   Ozcakir S, Raif S, Sivrioglu K, Kucukcakir N. Relationship between radiological severity and clinical and psychological factors in knee osteoarthritis. Clin Rheumat 2011;30:1521-6.

29.   Leite AA, Costa AJ, Lima Bde A, Padilha AV, Albuquerque EC, CD M. Comorbidities in patients with osteoarthritis: frequency and impact on pain and physical function. Rev Bras Reumatol 2011;51:118-23.

30.   Morone NE, Karp JF, Lynch CS, Bost JE, El Khoudary SR, Weiner DK. Impact of chronic musculoskeletal pathology on older adults: a study of differences between knee OA and low back pain. Pain Med 2009;10:693-701.

31.   Stebbings S, Herbison P, Doyle TCH, Treharne GJ, Highton J. A comparison of fatigue correlates in rheumatoid arthritis and osteoarthritis: disparity in associations with disability, anxiety and sleep disturbance. Rheumatology 2010;49:361-7.

32.   Ratliff S, Mezuk B. Depressive symptoms, psychiatric medication use, and risk of type 2 diabetes: results from the Health and Retirement Study. Gen Hosp Psychiatry 2015;37:420-426.

33.   Wittchen HU, Hoyer J. Generalized anxiety disorder: nature and course. J Clin Psychiatry 2001; Suppl 11: 15-9.

34.   Creamer P, Lethbridge-Cejku M, Hochberg MC. Factors associated with functional impairment in symptomatic knee osteoarthritis. Rheumatology 2000;39:490-6.

35.   van Baar ME, Dekker J, Lemmens JA, Oostendorp RA, Bijlsma JW. Pain and disability in patients with osteoarthritis of hip or knee: the relationship with articular, kinesiological, and psychological characteristics. J Rheumatol 1998;25:125-33.

36.   Axford J, Butt A, Heron C, Hammond J, Morgan J, Alavi A et al. Prevalence of anxiety and depression in osteoarthritis: use of the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale as a screening tool. Clin Rheumatol 2010;29:1277-83.

37.   Stubbs B, Stubbs J, Donald-Gnanaraj S, Souny A. Falls in older adults with Major depressive disorder (MDD): A systematic review and exploratory meta-analysis of prospective studies. Int Psychogeriatr 2015; In Press.

38.   Stubbs, B., Brefka, S. and Denkinger, M. (2015). What works to prevent falls in community dwelling older adults? An umbrella review of meta-analyses of randomised controlled trials. Phys Therap 2015; In Press.

39.   Becofsky K, Baruth M, Wilcox S. Physical functioning, perceived disability, and depressive symptoms in adults with arthritis. Arthritis 2013; 761.

40.   Hayashino Y, Jackson JL, Hirata T, Fukumori N, Nakamura F, Fukuhara S et al. Effects of exercise on C-reactive protein, inflammatory cytokine and adipokine in patients with type 2 diabetes: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Metabolism 2014; 63: 431-40.

41.   Köhler O, Benros ME, Nordentoft M, Farkouh ME, Iyengar RL, Mors O et al. Effect of anti-inflammatory treatment on depression, depressive symptoms, and adverse effects: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials. JAMA Psychiatry 2014; 71: 1381-91.

42.   Lin EHB, Katon W, Von Korff M, Tang L, Williams JW, Jr., Kroenke K et al. Effect of improving depression care on pain and functional outcomes among older adults with arthritis: a randomized controlled trial. JAMA 2003;290:2428-9.

43.   Axford J, Heron C, Ross F, Victor CR. Management of knee osteoarthritis in primary care: pain and depression are the major obstacles. J Psychosomatic Res 2008;64:461-7.

44.   Steiner JL, Bigatti SM, Ang DC. Trajectory of change in pain, depression, and physical functioning after physical activity adoption in fibromyalgia. J Health Psychology 2013: In Press.

45.   Mitchell AJ, Yadegarfar M., Stubbs B. Case-finding and screening clinical utility of the patient health questionnaire (PHQ9 & PHQ2): for depression in primary care: a diagnostic meta-analysis of 40 studies. BMC Psychiatry: 2015: Under review.

46.   Akyol Y, Durmus D, Alayli G, Tander B, Bek Y, Canturk F et al. Does short-wave diathermy increase the effectiveness of isokinetic exercise on pain, function, knee muscle strength, quality of life, and depression in the patients with knee osteoarthritis? A randomized controlled clinical study. Eur J Phys Rehabil Med 2010;46:325-36.

47.   Appelt CJ, Burant CJ, Siminoff LA, Kwoh CK, Ibrahim SA. Arthritis-specific health beliefs related to aging among older male patients with knee and/or hip osteoarthritis. J Gerontol Series A: 2007;62A:184-90.

48.   Ozcetin A, Ataoglu S, Kocer E, Yazici S, Yildiz O, Ataoglul A, Icmeli C. Effects of Depression and Anxiety on Quality of Life in Patients with Rheumatoid Arthritis, Knee Osteoarthritis and Fibromyalgia Syndrome. West Indian Med J 2007;56:122-9.

49.   Brandt KD, Heilman DK, Slemenda C, Katz BP, Mazzuca S, Braunstein EM et al. A comparison of lower extremity muscle strength, obesity, and depression scores in elderly subjects with knee pain with and without radiographic evidence of knee osteoarthritis. J Rheumatol 2000;27: 1937-46.

50.   Broderick JE, Junghaenel DU, Schneider S, Bruckenthal P, Keefe FJ. Treatment expectation for pain coping skills training: relationship to osteoarthritis patients' baseline psychosocial characteristics. Clin J Pain 2011;27:315-22.

51.   Chiou A, Lin H, Huang H. Disability and pain management methods of Taiwanese arthritic older patients. J Clin Nurs 2009;18:2206-16.

52.   Cruz-Almeida Y, King CD, Goodin BR, Sibille KT, Glover TL, Riley JL et al. Psychological profiles and pain characteristics of older adults with knee osteoarthritis. Arthritis Care Research 2013: In Press.

53.   DeVillis RF, Carl KL, DeVellis BM, Blalock SJ, Patterson CC. Correlates of changes in mood following a mood induction in osteoarthritis patients. Arthritis Care Research 1998;11:234-42.

54.   Duivenvoorden T, Vissers MM, Verhaar JAN, Busschbach JJV, Gosens T, Bloem RM et al. Anxiety and depressive symptoms before and after total hip and knee arthroplasty: a prospective multicentre study. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 2013;12:1834-40.

55.   Ellis HB, Howard KJ, Khaleel MA, Bucholz R. Effect of psychopathology on patient-perceived outcomes of total knee arthroplasty within an indigent population. J Bone Joint Surg Am 2012;94:e84-e.

56.   Gandhi R, Tsvetkov D, Dhottar H, Davey J, Mahomed N. Quantifying the pain experience in hip and knee osteoarthritis. Pain Res Manag 2010;15:224-8.

57.   Hawker GA, Gignac MAM, Badley E, Davis AM, French MR, Li Y et al. A longitudinal study to explain the pain-depression link in older adults with osteoarthritis. Arthritis Care Research 2011;63:1382-90.

58.   Hodkinson B, Maheu E, Michon M, Carrat F, Berenbaum F. Assessment and determinants of aesthetic discomfort in hand osteoarthritis. Ann Rheum Dis 2012;71:45-9.

59.   Hoogeboom TJ, de Bie RA, den Broeder AA, van den Ende CH The Dutch Lower Extremity Functional Scale was highly reliable, valid and responsive in individuals with hip/knee osteoarthritis: a validation study. BMC Musculoskelet Disord 2012;13:117-26.

60.   Hsieh R-L, Lee W-C, Lo M-T, Liao W-C. Postural Stability in Patients With Knee Osteoarthritis: Comparison With Controls and Evaluation of Relationships Between Postural Stability Scores and International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health Components. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2013;94:340-6.e1.

61.   Juhakoski R, Tenhonen S, Anttonen T, Kauppinen T, Arokoski JP. Factors affecting self-reported pain and physical function in patients with hip osteoarthritis. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2008;89:1066-73.

62.   Kim KW, Han JW, Cho HJ, Chang CB, Park JH, Lee JJ et al. Association between comorbid depression and osteoarthritis symptom severity in patients with knee osteoarthritis. J Bone Joint Surg Am 2011;93:556-63.

63.   Maly MR, Costigan PA, Olney SJ. Determinants of self efficacy for physical tasks in people with knee osteoarthritis. Arthritis Rheum 2006;55:94-101.

64.   McCurry SM, Von Korff M, Vitiello MV, Saunders K, Balderson BH, Moore AL et al. Frequency of comorbid insomnia, pain, and depression in older adults with osteoarthritis: Predictors of enrollment in a randomized treatment trial. J Psychosom Res 2011;71:296-9.

65.   Memel DS, Kirwan JR, Sharp DJ, Hehir M. General practitioners miss disability and anxiety as well as depression in their patients with osteoarthritis. Br J Gen Pract 2000;50:645-8.

66.   Morone NE, Karp JF, Lynch CS, Bost JE, El Khoudary SR, Weiner DK. Impact of Chronic Musculoskeletal Pathology on Older Adults: A Study of Differences between Knee OA and Low Back Pain. Pain Med 2009;10:693-701.

67.   Perrot S, Rozenberg S, Moyse D, Legout V, Marty M. Comparison of daily, weekly or monthly pain assessments in hip and knee osteoarthritis. A 29-day prospective study. Joint Bone Spine 2011;78:510-5.

68.   Perruccio AV, Power JD, Evans HMK, Mahomed SR, Gandhi R, Mahomed NN et al. Multiple joint involvement in total knee replacement for osteoarthritis: Effects on patient-reported outcomes. Arthritis Care & Research 2012;64:838-46.

69.   Possley D, Budiman-Mak E, O'Connell S, Jelinek C, Collins EG. Relationship between depression and functional measures in overweight and obese persons with osteoarthritis of the knee. J Rehabil Res Dev 2009;46:1091-7.

70.   Riddle DL, Kong X, Fitzgerald GK. Psychological health impact on 2-year changes in pain and function in persons with knee pain: data from the Osteoarthritis Initiative. Osteoarthritis & Cartilage 2011;19:1095-101.

71.   Rosemann T, Backenstrass M, Joest K, Rosemann A, Szecsenyi J, Laux G. Predictors of depression in a sample of 1,021 primary care patients with osteoarthritis. Arthritis & Rheumatism: Arthritis Care & Research 2007;57:415-22.

72.   Scopaz KA, Piva SR, Wisniewski S, Fitzgerald GK. Relationships of fear, anxiety, and depression with physical function in patients with knee osteoarthritis. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2009;90:1866-73.

73.   Sherman AM. Social relations and depressive symptoms in older adults with knee osteoarthritis. Soc Sci Med 2003;56:247-57.

74.   Steigerwald I, Müller M, Kujawa J, Balblanc J-C, Calvo-Alén J. Effectiveness and safety of tapentadol prolonged release with tapentadol immediate release on-demand for the management of severe, chronic osteoarthritis-related knee pain: results of an open-label, phase 3b study. J Pain Res 2012;5:121-38.

75.   Sullivan M, Bentley S, Ming-Yu F, Gardner G. A Single-Blind Placebo Run-In Study of Venlafaxine XR for Activity-Limiting Osteoarthritis Pain. Pain Med 2009;10:806-12.

76.   Tonelli SM, Rakel BA, Cooper NA, Angstom WL, Sluka KA. Women with knee osteoarthritis have more pain and poorer function than men, but similar physical activity prior to total knee replacement. Biol Sex Differ 2011;2:12.

77.   Tsai Y-F. Gender differences in pain and depressive tendency among Chinese elders with knee osteoarthritis. Pain 2007;130:188-94.

78.   Ulus Y, Tander B, Akyol Y, Durmus D, Buyukakıncak O, Gul U et al. Therapeutic ultrasound versus sham ultrasound for the management of patients with knee osteoarthritis: a randomized double-blind controlled clinical study. Int J Rheum Dis 2012;15:197-206.

79.   Wang C, Schmid CH, Hibberd PL, Kalish R, Roubenoff R, Rones R et al. Tai Chi is effective in treating knee osteoarthritis: A randomized controlled trial. Arthritis Rheumatism 2009;61: 1545-53.

80.   White DK, Keysor JJ, Neogi T, Felson DT, LaValley M, Gross KD et al. When it hurts, a positive attitude may help: association of positive affect with daily walking in knee osteoarthritis. Results from a multicenter longitudinal cohort study. Arthritis Care Research 2012;64:1312-9.

<u>Figure and Table Legends</u>

Figure 1: PRISMA Flow-Chart.

Figure 2: Funnel-Plot: Prevalence of depression in osteoarthritis

Figure 3: Forest-plot of prevalence of depressive symptoms in people with osteoarthritis (ordered descending with the highest mean age the first plot).

Figure 4: Forest-plot of prevalence of anxiety in people with osteoarthritis (ordered descending with the highest mean age the first plot).

<u>Supplementary files</u>

Supplementary Table 1: Search strategy for MEDLINE search (adopted for individual databases).

Supplementary Table 2: Summary of the critical appraisal results using the modified CASP tool.

Supplementary Table 3: Characteristics of included study table.

Supplementary Table 4: Assessment tools for anxiety and depression.

Supplementary Table 5: Meta-Analysis results of prevalence of depression.

Supplementary Table 6: Meta-Analysis results of prevalence of anxiety.

Supplementary Figure 1: Forest-plot of relative risk of depressive symptoms for people with osteoarthritis.

Supplementary Figure 2: Forest-plot of relative risk of anxiety for people with osteoarthritis.

Figure 1: PRISMA Flow-Chart

Figure 2: Funnel-Plot: Prevalence of depression in osteoarthritis

Bias assessment plot

RR – relative risk; SE (log[RR] – standard error (log relative risk)

Figure 3: Forest-plot of prevalence of depressive symptoms in people with osteoarthritis (grouped according to study quality).

Group by Study quality	Study name	Event rate	Lower limit	Upper limit	Event rate and 95% CI
1 Low	Possley 2009	0.419	0.329	0.515	
1 Low	Leite 2011	0.385	0.291	0.488	
1 Low	Perrots 2011	0.054	0.026	0.109	
1 Low	Creamer 2000	0.029	0.016	0.052	
1 Low		0.156	0.083	0.272	
2 Medium	Blixen 1999	0.460	0.328	0.598	
2 Medium	Miguel 2012	0.345	0.234	0.475	
2 Medium	O'Reilly 1998	0.300	0.251	0.354	
2 Medium	Broderick 2011	0.275	0.213	0.347	
2 Medium	Ozcakir 2011	0.270	0.192	0.365	
2 Medium	Ellis 2012	0.260	0.197	0.335	
2 Medium	Ataoglu 2003	0.259	0.176	0.365	
2 Medium	Rosemann 2007	0.192	0.169	0.217	
2 Medium	Hawker 2011	0.174	0.144	0.209	
2 Medium	Pereira 2013	0.160	0.134	0.190	
2 Medium	Tonelli 2011	0.152	0.101	0.222	
2 Medium	Juhakoski 2008	0.114	0.060	0.205	
2 Medium	Memel 2000	0.083	0.050	0.136	
2 Medium	Hsieh 2013	0.041	0.013	0.120	
2 Medium		0.205	0.151	0.273	
3 High	McCurry 2011	0.429	0.391	0.468	
3 High	Axford 2010	0.278	0.175	0.411	
3 High	Duivenvoorden 2013	0.258	0.217	0.304	
3 High	Wilkie 2013	0.157	0.136	0.180	
3 High	Riddle 2011	0.130	0.118	0.142	
3 High	White 2012	0.123	0.107	0.142	
3 High		0.211	0.134	0.316	
Overall		0.198	0.157	0.247	

Axis: -0.50 -0.25 0.00 0.25 0.50

Prevalence depressive symptoms

Note: the horizontal axis refers to the prevalence of depressive symptoms. 0.00 = 0% through to 0.50 which equates to 50%.

Figure 4: Forest-plot of prevalence of anxiety in people with osteoarthritis (ordered by increasing study quality with the lowest study quality plotted first).

Study name	Event rate	Lower limit	Upper limit	Event rate and 95% CI
Perrots 2011	0.323	0.236	0.424	
Memel 2000	0.244	0.185	0.315	
O'Reilly 1998	0.297	0.248	0.351	
Ellis 2012	0.110	0.070	0.170	
Hsieh 2013	0.041	0.013	0.120	
Axford 2010	0.315	0.206	0.449	
Duivenvoorden 2013	0.193	0.156	0.235	
	0.213	0.155	0.285	

-0.50 -0.25 0.00 0.25 0.50

Prevelance anxiety symptoms

Note: the horizontal axis refers to the prevalence of depressive symptoms. 0.00 = 0% through to 0.50 which equates to 50%.

Supplementary Table 1: Search strategy for MEDLINE search (adopted for individual databases)

1. Osteoarthritis
2. Degenerative
3. OR/1-2
4. exp. Joint/
5. Limb.ti.ab
6. Spine.ti.ab
7. Back.ti.ab
8. Neck.ti.ab
9. Hip.ti.ab
10. Knee.ti.ab
11. Ankle.ti.ab
12. Foot.ti.ab
13. Toe.ti.ab
14. Shoulder.ti.ab
15. Elbow.ti.ab
16. Wrist.ti.ab
17. Hand.ti.ab
18. Finger.ti.ab
19. OR/4-18
20. Anxiety/
21. Anxious.tw.
22. Panic disorder/
23. Depression/
24. Depressed.ti.ab
25. Psychopathological.ti.ab
26. Psychological Factors/
27. Psychology/
28. Psychiatr$.ti.ab
29. Mental health/
30. OR/20-29
31. AND/3,19,30

Supplementary Table 2: Summary of the critical appraisal results using the modified CASP tool

Criterion	Akyol et al [46]	Appelt et al [47]	Ataoglu et al [48]	Axford et al [36]	Axford et al [43]	Blixen et al [23]	Brandt et al [49]	Broderick et al [50]	Chiou et al [51]	Creamer et al [34]	Cruz-Almeida [52]	DeVellis et al [53]
Did the study address a clearly focused issue?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Was the cohort recruited in an acceptable way?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Was the exposure accurately measured to minimize bias?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Was the outcome accurately measure to minimize bias?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Have the authors identified all important confounding factors?	✓	X	✓	✓	X	X	X	✓	X	✓	X	✓
Was the follow up of the subjects complete enough?	✓	N/C	✓	N/C	✓	X	X	X	✓	N/C	✓	✓
Was the follow up of subjects long enough?	X	N/C	N/C	N/C	✓	X	X	N/C	X	N/C	X	X
Where confidence intervals presented?	X	✓	X	✓	X	X	X	x	X	X	X	X
Were the results generalisable to the general population?	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	X	X	N/C	X	X	X	X
Do the results of this study fit with other available evidence?	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓
Overall Methodological Quality	**M**	**L**	**M**	**H**	**M**	**M**	**M**	**M**	**M**	**L**	**M**	**M**

Criterion	Duivenvoorden et al [54]	Ellis et al [55]	French et al [26]	Gandhi et al [56]	Hawker et al [57]	Hodkinson et al [58]	Hoogeboom et al [59]	Hseih et al [60]	Juhakoski et al [61]	Kim et al [62]	Leite et al [29]	Maly et al [63]
Did the study address a clearly focused issue?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	N/C	✓
Was the cohort recruited in an acceptable way?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Was the exposure accurately measured to minimize bias?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Was the outcome accurately measure to minimize bias?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	N/C	✓
Have the authors identified all important confounding factors?	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	X	✓	✓	N/C	N/C
Was the follow up of the subjects complete enough?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	N/C	✓	✓	✓	N/C	✓
Was the follow up of subjects long enough?	✓	X	✓	X	X	✓	✓	X	N/C	✓	N/C	✓
Where confidence intervals presented?	X	X	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	X
Were the results generalisable to the general population?	✓	X	X	X	X	✓	N/C	X	X	✓	N/C	X
Do the results of this study fit with other available evidence?	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓
Overall Methodological Quality	**H**	**M**	**H**	**M**	**M**	**H**	**M**	**M**	**M**	**H**	**L**	**M**

Criterion	McCurry et al [64]	Memmel [65]	Miguel et al [27]	Morone et al [66]	O'Reilly et al [21]	Ozcakir et al [28]	Parmalee et al [24]	Pereira et al [20]	Perrot et al [67]	Perruccio et al [68]	Possley et al [69]	Riddle et al [70]
Did the study address a clearly focused issue?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Was the cohort recruited in an acceptable way?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Was the exposure accurately measured to minimize bias?	✓	✓	X	N/C	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓
Was the outcome accurately measure to minimize bias?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓
Have the authors identified all important confounding factors?	✓	✓	✓	✓	x	X	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓
Was the follow up of the subjects complete enough?	X	x	N/C	X	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓
Was the follow up of subjects long enough?	✓	✓	N/C	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	N/C	X	✓
Where confidence intervals presented?	X	✓	X	X	✓	✓	X	X	X	✓	X	✓
Were the results generalisable to the general population?	✓	x	✓	X	N/C	X	N/C	✓	N/C	✓	X	✓
Do the results of this study fit with other available evidence?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Overall Methodological Quality	**H**	**M**	**M**	**L**	**M**	**M**	**M**	**M**	**L**	**M**	**L**	**H**

Criterion	Rosemann et al [71]	Scopaz et al [72]	Sherman et al [73]	Stebbings et al [31]	Steigerwald et al [74]	Sullivan et al [75]	Tonelli et al [76]	Tsai et al [77]	Ulus et al [78]	Wang et al [79]	Weiner et al [25]	White et al [80]	Wilkie et al [7]
Did the study address a clearly focused issue?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Was the cohort recruited in an acceptable way?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Was the exposure accurately measured to minimize bias?	✓	✓	N/C	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Was the outcome accurately measure to minimize bias?	✓	✓	N/C	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Have the authors identified all important confounding factors?	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	X	N/C	X	X	X	X	✓	✓
Was the follow up of the subjects complete enough?	X	✓	✓	X	✓	N/C	✓	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓
Was the follow up of subjects long enough?	X	X	✓	X	N/C	X	✓	X	N/C	✓	✓	✓	✓
Where confidence intervals presented?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	✓	✓
Were the results generalisable to the general population?	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	X	✓	X	X	X	X	✓	✓
Do the results of this study fit with other available evidence?	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓
Overall Methodological Quality	**M**	**M**	**L**	**M**	**M**	**L**	**M**	**L**	**L**	**M**	**M**	**H**	**H**

✓ - yes; x – no; N/C – Not Clear

Methodological Quality: 9-10/10 = (H) high; 6-8/10 = (M) moderate; 5-0/10 = (L) low

Supplementary Table 3: Characteristics of included study table

Study	Study design	Study country of origin	Joint(s) affected by OA	Total sample size (OA participant)	Gender (m/f)	Mean Age in years (range or SD)	Mean Duration of symptoms (Months)	Source of recruitment (community/hospital)
Akyol et al [46]	RCT	Turkey	Knee	40 (40)	0/40	57.8 (10.6) 56.6 (8.1)	N/S	Hospital
Appelt et al [47]	Cohort	USA	Hip/ knee	591 (591)	591/0	66 (N/S)	N/S	Hospital
Ataoglu et al [48]	Cohort	Turkey	Knee	81 (81)	36/45	52.7 (8.6)	6.33	Hospital
Axford et al [36]	Cohort	UK	Lower limb	54 (54)	12/42	63.3 (N/S)	N/S	Hospital
Axford et al [43]	Cohort	UK	Mixed	170 (170)	59/111	63 (32-83)	N/S	Hospital
Blixen et al [23]	Cohort	USA	Mixed	50 (50)	N/S	70.5 (6.3)	228	Hospital
Brandt et al [49]	Cohort	USA	Knee	173 (173)	85/84	71.6 (1.1) 70.1 (0.6)	N/S	Hospital
Broderick et al [50]	Cohort	USA	Hip and knee	171 (171)	43/128	66.3 (9.5)	13.1	Hospital
Chiou et al [51]	Cohort	Taiwan	Mixed	69 (69)	20/49	68 (5.5)	7.1	Hospital
Creamer et al [34]	Case-Control	USA	Knee	374 (90)	21/48	65.8 (10.4)	N/S	Community
Cruz-Almeida et al [52]	Cohort	USA	Knee	194 (194)	60/134	57 (7.7)	N/S	Hospital

DeVellis et al [53]	Cohort	USA	Mixed	90 (90)	18/72	71 (N/S)	N/S	Community
Duivenvoorden et al [54]	Cohort	Holland	Knee	384 (384)	150/234	67.9 (9.6) 66.2 (9.7)	N/S	Hospital
Ellis et al [55]	Cohort	USA	Knee	154 (154)	44/110	60.4 (8.9)	N/S	Hospital
French et al [26]	RCT	Ireland	Hip	131 (131)	47/84	61.94 (9.93)	34.81	Hospital
Gandhi et al [56]	Cohort	Canada	Hip or knee	200 (200)	81/119	62.7 (10.6) 66.5 (8.4)	N/S	Hospital
Hawker et al [57]	Cohort	Canada	Hip or knee	529 (529)	130/399	75.4 (56.7-95.8)	N/S	Community
Hodkinson et al [58]	Cohort	France	Hand	138 (138)	17/155	64.2 (8.7)	9.0	Hospital
Hoogeboom et al [59]	Cohort	Holland	Hip or knee	401 (401)	170/231	58 (13)	N/S	Hospital
Hsieh et al [60]	Case-Control	Taiwan	Knee	123 (73)	10/63	60.3 (10.4)	N/S	Hospital
Juhakoski et al [61]	Cohort	Finland	Hip	118 (118)	36/ 84	Range: 55 to 80	N/S	Hospital
Kim et al [62]	RCT	Korea	Mixed	556 (226)	64/492	73.3 (5.6)	N/S	Hospital
Leite et al [29]	Cohort	France	Mixed	91 (91)	8/83	59.3 (38-85)	N/S	Hospital
Maly et al [63]	Cohort	Canada	Knee	54 (54)	22/32	68.3 (8.7)	N/S	Hospital

McCurry et al [64]	Cohort	USA	Mixed	834 (834)	198/636	72.9 (8.2) 70.8 (9.3)	N/S	Community
Memel et al [65]	Cohort	UK	Hip or knee	177 (177)	59/118	71 (42.92)	N/S	Hospital
Miguel et al [27]	Cohort	Brazil	Hip/ or knee	58 (58)	4/54	Non frail (17) 74 ± 5 Pre frail (28) 73 ± 6 Frail (13) 75 ± 6	N/S	Community
Morone et al [66]	RCT	USA	Knee	88 (88)	40/48	71.5 (5.4)	N/S	Hospital
O'Reilly et al [21]	Case-Control	UK	Knee	600 (300)	N/S	61.3 (10.4)	N/S	Community
Ozcakir et al [28]	Cohort	Turkey	Knee	100 (100)	17/83	59.5 (0.9)	7.7	Hospital
Parmelee et al [24]	Cohort	USA	N/S	367 (367)	133/234	67.89 (9.73)	N/S	Hospital
Pereira et al [20]	Cohort	Portugal	Knee	663 (663)	292/371	58.0 (15.2)	N/S	Community
Perrot et al [67]	Cohort	France	Hip or Knee	129 (129)	36/93	67.7 (10.0)	N/S	Hospital
Perruccio et al [68]	Cohort	Canada	Knee	494 (494)	171/323	64.9 (35-88)	N/S	Hospital
Possley et al [69]	Cohort	USA	Knee	105 (105)	93/12	67.5 (8.4)	N/S	Hospital
Riddle et al [70]	Cohort	USA	Knee	3047 (3047)	1032/2015	60.62 (9.04)	N/S	Community

Study	Design	Country	Joint	N (OA)	F/m	Age mean (SD)		Setting
Rosemann et al [71]	Cohort	Holland	Knee or Hip	1021 (1021)	347/ 674	66.1 (15.1)	13.7	Community
Scopaz et al [72]	Cohort	USA	Knee	182 (182)	60/122	63.90 (8.8)	N/S	Hospital
Sherman et al [73]	Cohort	USA	Knee	285 (285)	139/146	71 (4.6) 71.5 (4.4) 71.0 (4.9)	N/S	Community
Stebbings et al [31]	Cohort	New Zealand	Mixed	103 (103)	43/ 60	66.0 (9.0)	N/S	Hospital
Steigerwald et al [74]	RCT	Germany	Knee	200 (200)	65/135	67.4 (10.8)	N/S	Hospital
Sullivan et al [75]	RCT	USA	Mixed	18 (18)	8/10	61.5 (7.9)	8.53	Hospital
Tonelli et al [76]	Cohort	USA	Knee	208 (208)	70/138	61.9 (10.0) 61.6 (9.9)	N/S	Hospital
Tsai et al [77]	Cohort	Taiwan	Mixed	199 (199)	63/136	74.2 (6.1) 73.1 (5.2)	N/S	Hospital
Ulus et al [78]	RCT	Turkey	Knee	40 (40)	N/S	60.70 (10.1) 60.25 (8.8)	92.25 120.55	Hospital
Wang et al [79]	RCT	USA	Knee	40	10/30	63 (8.1) 68 (7.0)	9.7	Hospital
Weiner et al [25]	RCT	USA	Knee	190 (190)	161/ 29	67.1 (8.9) 65.8 (8.7) 66.8 (10.4)	5.7 6.2 7.2	Hospital
White et al [80]	Cohort	USA	Knee	1343 (1343)	511/832	63.1 (7.8)	N/S	Community
Wilkie et al [7]	Cohort	UK	Mixed lower limb	1053 (1053)	398/655	Range: 50 to 70	N/S	Community

F – females; m – males; N/S – Not stated; OA – osteoarthritis; UK – United Kingdom; USA – United States of America

Supplement Table 4: Assessment tools for anxiety and depression

Tool	Frequency
Hospital Anxiety and Depression Score (HADS)	14
Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale (CES-D)	11
Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS)	6
Beck Depression Inventory (BDI)	6
State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI) and CES-D	2
Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders 4th edition (DSM-IV)	1
Goldberg Depression Questionnaire	1
Beck Anxiety Inventory (BAI) and CES-D	1
Patient Health Questionnaire-9 (PHQ)	1
PHQ-8	1
PHQ	1
Symptom Checklist-20 (SCL)	1
General Health Questionnaire (GHQ)-12	1
STAI and GDS	1
Not clear	1

Supplementary Table 5: Meta-analysis results of prevalence of depressive symptoms

	Number of studies (n=number of participants)	Prevalence (95% CI)	Egger bias (p value)	I^2 value (%)
Prevalence of depressive symptoms	24 (n=10811)	19.9% (15.9-24.5%);	1.22 (p=0.5)	96.1%
Subgroup Analysis: Knee OA Alone	11 (n=6722)	18.5% (13.8-23.7%)	4.2 (p=0.06)	95.4%
Subgroup Analysis: Mixed Hip and/or Knee OA	11 (n=4039)	23.0% (16.4-30.2%)	2.74 (p=0.33)	95.8%
Sub group analyses USA alone	9 (n=6028)	23.1% (14.6-32.8%)	6.34 (p=009)	98.2%
Sub group analyses Europe only	12 (n=4123)	19.9% (15.8-24.3%)	2.20 (p=0.2)	90%

CI – confidence intervals; OA – osteoarthritis

**Supplementary Table 6:** Meta-Analysis results of prevalence of anxiety

	Study Number (n=number participants)	Prevalence (95% CI)	Egger bias (p value)	I^2 value (%)
Prevalence of anxiety	7 (n=1226)	21.3% (15.5-28.5%)	3.87 (p=0.38)	88.9%
Subgroup Analysis: Knee OA Alone	4 (n=665)	15.8% (7.5-25.1%)	1.72 (p=0.78)	87.7%
Subgroup Analysis: Mixed Hip and/or Knee OA	3 (n=315)	28.2% (23.0-33.8%)	N/E	12.6%
Subgroup analyses Europe Only	5 (n=999)	26.6% (21.1-32.4%)	3.07 (p=0.19)	72.1%

CI – confidence intervals; N/E – Not estimatable; OA – osteoarthritis

Supplementary Figure 1: Forest-plot of relative risk of depressive symptoms for people with osteoarthritis.

Relative risk meta-analysis plot (random effects)

O'Reilly 1998	1.58 (1.18, 2.11)
Creamer 2000	0.07 (0.04, 0.14)
Tonelli 2011	0.97 (0.51, 1.89)
Hsieh 2013	0.49 (0.13, 1.80)
combined [random]	0.49 (0.10, 2.45)

0.03   0.05   0.1   0.2   0.3   0.5   1   2   3

relative risk (95% confidence interval)

Supplementary Figure 2: Forest-plot of relative risk of anxiety for people with osteoarthritis.

Relative risk meta-analysis plot (random effects)

O'Reilly 1998	1.85 (1.36, 2.54)
Hsieh 2013	0.62 (0.16, 2.38)
combined [random]	1.36 (0.51, 3.59)

0.1   0.2   0.3   0.5   1   2   3   5

relative risk (95% confidence interval)

1. Hiligsmann Ml, Cooper C, Arden N, Boers M, Branco JC, Luisa Brandi M et al. Health economics in the field of osteoarthritis: An Expert's consensus paper from the European Society for Clinical and Economic Aspects of Osteoporosis and Osteoarthritis (ESCEO). Seminars in Arthritis & Rheumatism 2013;43 3: 303-13.

2. Murray CJL, Vos T, Lozano R, Naghavi M, Flaxman AD, Michaud C et al. Disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) for 291 diseases and injuries in 21 regions, 1990-2010: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. Lancet 2012;380 9859: 2197-223.

3. Ilieva EM, Oral A, Küçükdeveci AA, Varela E, Valero R, Berteanu M et al. Osteoarthritis. The role of physical and rehabilitation medicine physicians. The European perspective based on the best evidence. A paper by the UEMS-PRM Section Professional Practice Committee. European Journal Of Physical And Rehabilitation Medicine 2013;49 4: 579-93.

4. Vos T, Flaxman AD, Naghavi M, Lozano R, Michaud C, Ezzati M et al. Years lived with disability (YLDs) for 1160 sequelae of 289 diseases and injuries 1990–2010: A systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. The Lancet 2012;380 9859: 2163-96.

5. Foy CG, Penninx BW, Shumaker SA, Messier SP, Pahor M. Long-term exercise therapy resolves ethnic differences in baseline health status in older adults with knee osteoarthritis. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society 2005;53 9: 1469-75.

6. Messier SP, Mihalko SL, Legault C, Miller GD, Nicklas BJ, DeVita P et al. Effects of intensive diet and exercise on knee joint loads, inflammation, and clinical outcomes among overweight and obese adults with knee osteoarthritis: the IDEA randomized clinical trial. JAMA: Journal of the American Medical Association 2013;310 12: 1263-73.

7. Wilkie R, Blagojevic-Bucknall M, Jordan KP, Lacey R, McBeth J. Reasons why multimorbidity increases the risk of participation restriction in older adults with lower extremity osteoarthritis: a prospective cohort study in primary care. Arthritis Care & Research 2013;65 6: 910-9.

8. Moskowitz RW. The burden of osteoarthritis: clinical and quality-of-life issues. American Journal of Managed Care 2009;15 8 Suppl: S223-9.

9. Cook C, Pietrobon R, Hegedus E. Osteoarthritis and the impact on quality of life health indicators. Rheumatology International 2007;27 4: 315-21.

10. Arnow BA, Hunkeler EM, Blasey CM, Lee J, Constantino MJ, Fireman B et al. Comorbid depression, chronic pain, and disability in primary care. Psychosomatic Medicine 2006;68 2: 262-8.

11. Katon W, Lin EHB, Kroenke K. The association of depression and anxiety with medical symptom burden in patients with chronic medical illness. General Hospital Psychiatry 2007;29 2: 147-55.

12. Stubbs B, Hurley M, Smith T. What are the factors that influence physical activity participation in adults with knee and hip osteoarthritis? A systematic review of physical activity correlates: Under review.

13. Uthman OA, van der Windt DA, Jordan JL, Dziedzic KS, Healey EL, Peat GM et al. Exercise for lower limb osteoarthritis: systematic review incorporating trial sequential analysis and network meta-analysis. BMJ (Clinical Research Ed) 2013;347: f5555-f.

14. Gleicher Y, Croxford R, Hochman J, Hawker G. A prospective study of mental health care for comorbid depressed mood in older adults with painful osteoarthritis. BMC Psychiatry 2011;11: 147-.

15. Yohannes AM, Caton S. Management of depression in older people with osteoarthritis: a systematic review. Aging & Mental Health 2010;14 6: 637-51.

16. Matcham F, Rayner L, Steer S, Hotopf M. The prevalence of depression in rheumatoid arthritis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Rheumatology 2013;52 12: 2136-48.

17. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG. Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses: The PRISMA Statement. PLoS Clinical Trials 2009;6 7: 1-6.