## 1 Standards for Plant Synthetic Biology: A Common Syntax for Exchange of DNA

2 Parts

3

- 4 Nicola J Patron\*<sup>1,2</sup>, Diego Orzaez<sup>3</sup>, Sylvestre Marillonnet<sup>4</sup>, Heribert Warzecha<sup>5</sup>,
- 5 Colette Matthewman<sup>2,6</sup>, Mark Youles<sup>1</sup>, Oleg Raitskin<sup>1,2</sup>, Aymeric Leveau<sup>6</sup>, Gemma
- 6 Farré<sup>6</sup>, Christian Rogers<sup>6</sup>, Alison Smith<sup>2,7</sup>, Julian Hibberd<sup>2,7</sup>, Alex AR Webb<sup>2,7</sup>, James
- 7 Locke<sup>2,8</sup>, Sebastian Schornack<sup>2,8</sup>, Jim Ajioka<sup>2,7</sup>, David C Baulcombe<sup>2,7</sup>, Cyril Zipfel<sup>1</sup>,
- 8 Sophien Kamoun<sup>1</sup>, Jonathan DG Jones<sup>1</sup>, Hannah Kuhn<sup>1</sup>, Silke Robatzek<sup>1</sup>, H Peter Van
- 9 Esse<sup>1</sup>, Giles Oldroyd<sup>2,6</sup>, Cathie Martin<sup>2,6</sup>, Rob Field <sup>2,6</sup>, Sarah O'Connor<sup>2,6</sup>, Samantha
- 10 Fox<sup>2</sup>, Brande Wulff<sup>2</sup>, Ben Miller<sup>2</sup>, Andy Breakspear<sup>2</sup>, Guru Radhakrishnan<sup>2</sup>, Pierre-
- 11 Marc Delaux<sup>2</sup>, Dominique Loque<sup>9</sup>, Antonio Granell<sup>3</sup>, Alain Tissier<sup>4</sup>, Patrick Shih<sup>9</sup>,
- 12 Thomas P Brutnell<sup>10</sup>, Paul Quick W<sup>11</sup>, Heiko Rischer<sup>12</sup>, Paul D Fraser<sup>13</sup>, Asaph
- Aharoni<sup>14</sup>, Christine Raines<sup>15</sup>, Paul South<sup>16</sup>, Jean-Michel Ané<sup>17</sup>, Björn R Hamberger<sup>18</sup>,
- Jane Langdale<sup>19</sup>, Jens Stougaard<sup>20</sup>, Harro Bouwmeester<sup>21</sup>, Michael Udvardi<sup>22</sup>, James
- 15 AH Murray<sup>23</sup>, Anne Osbourn<sup>2,6</sup>, Jim Haseloff<sup>2,7</sup>

16

\*nicola.patron@tsl.ac.uk +44 1603 450527

18

## 19 Affiliations

- <sup>1</sup> The Sainsbury Laboratory, Norwich Research Park, Norfolk, UK
- <sup>2</sup>OpenPlant Consortium: The University of Cambridge, The John Innes Centre and The
- 22 Sainsbury Laboratory.
- <sup>3</sup> Instituto de Biología Molecular y Celular de Plantas (IBMCP), Consejo Superior de
- 24 Investigaciones Científicas, Universidad Politécnica de Valencia. Avda Tarongers SN,
- 25 Valencia, Spain
- <sup>4</sup> Leibniz-Institut für Pflanzenbiochemie, Weinberg 3, 06120 Halle (Saale), Germany
- <sup>5</sup> Technische Universität Darmstadt, Plant Biotechnology and Metabolic Engineering,
- 28 Schnittspahnstrasse 4, Darmstadt, Germany
- <sup>6</sup> The John Innes Centre, Norwich Research Park, Norfolk, UK
- <sup>7</sup> Department of Plant Sciences, University of Cambridge, Downing Street, Cambridge, UK
- 31 8 The Sainsbury Laboratory, Cambridge University, Bateman Street, Cambridge, UK
- <sup>9</sup> Joint BioEnergy Institute, Emeryville, CA, USA
- 33 <sup>10</sup> The Donald Danforth Plant Science Center, St. Louis, Missouri, USA
- 34 <sup>11</sup> Department of Animal and Plant Sciences, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK
- 35 12 VTT Technical Research Centre of Finland, Finland

36	School of Biological Sciences, Royal Holloway, University of London, Egham Hill, Egham,
37	Surrey, UK
38	<sup>14</sup> Department of Plant Sciences, Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, Israel
39	<sup>15</sup> School of Biological Sciences, University of Essex, Colchester, UK
40	<sup>16</sup> United States Department Of Agriculture, ARS 1206 West Gregory Drive, Urbana, IL,
41	USA
42	<sup>17</sup> Departments of Bacteriology and Agronomy, University of Wisconsin Madison, 1575
43	Linden Drive, Madison, WI ,USA
44	<sup>18</sup> Biochemistry Laboratory, Department of Plant and Environmental Sciences University of
45	Copenhagen, Thorvaldsensvej 40, Frederiksberg C, Denmark
46	<sup>19</sup> Department of Plant Sciences, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom.
47	<sup>20</sup> Centre for Carbohydrate Recognition and Signalling, Department of Molecular Biology and
48	Genetics, Aarhus University, Gustav Wieds Vej 10C, Aarhus, Denmark.
49	<sup>21</sup> Wageningen UR, Wageningen University, Wageningen, the Netherlands.
50	<sup>22</sup> Plant Biology Division, The Samuel Roberts Noble Foundation, 2510 Sam Noble Parkway,
51	Ardmore, OK, USA
52	<sup>23</sup> School of Biosciences, Sir Martin Evans Building, Cardiff University, Museum Avenue,
53	Cardiff, Wales, UK
54	
55	Total word count main body of the text: 2337
56	Abstract: 129
57	Introduction: 689
58	Golden Gate Cloning: 438
59	A Type IIS Syntax for Plants: 912
60	Summary: 169
61	Number of figures:
62	Four color figures

## Summary

Inventors in the field of mechanical and electronic engineering can access multitudes of components and, thanks to standardisation, parts from different manufacturers can be used in combination with each other. The introduction of BioBrick standards for the assembly of characterised DNA sequences was a landmark in microbial engineering, shaping the field of synthetic biology. Here, we describe a standard for Type IIS restriction endonuclease-mediated assembly, defining a common syntax of twelve fusion sites to enable the facile assembly of eukaryotic transcriptional units. This standard has been developed and agreed by representatives and leaders of the international plant science and synthetic biology communities, including inventors, developers and adopters of type IIS cloning methods. Our vision is of an extensive catalogue of standardised, characterised DNA parts that will accelerate plant bioengineering.

## Introduction

The World Bank estimates that almost 40% of land mass is used for cultivation of crop, pasture or forage plants. Plants also underpin production of building and packing materials, medicines, paper and decorations, as well as food and fuel. Plant synthetic biology offers the means and opportunity to engineer plants and algae for new roles in our environment, to produce therapeutic compounds and to address global problems such as food insecurity and the contamination of ecosystems with agrochemicals and macronutrients. The adoption of assembly standards will greatly accelerate the pathway from product design to market, enabling the full potential of plant synthetic biology to be realised.

The standardisation of components, from screw threads to printed circuit boards, drives both the speed of innovation and the economy of production in mechanical and electronic engineering. Products as diverse as ink-jet printers and airplanes are designed and constructed from component parts and devices. Many of these components can be selected from libraries and catalogues of standard parts in which specifications and performance characteristics are described. The agreement and implementation of assembly standards that allow parts, even those from multiple manufacturers, to be assembled together has underpinned invention in these fields.

98 This conceptual model is the basis of synthetic biology, with the same ideal being 99 applied to biological parts (DNA fragments) for the engineering of biological 100 systems. The first widely-adopted biological standard was the BioBrick, for which 101 sequences and performance data are stored in the Registry of Standard Biological 102 Parts (Knight, 2003). BioBrick assembly standard 10 (BBF RFC 10) was the first 103 biological assembly standard to be introduced. Its key feature is that the assembly 104 reactions are idempotent: each reaction retains the key structural elements of 105 the constituent parts so that resulting assemblies can be used as input in identical 106 assembly processes (Knight, 2003; Shetty et al., 2008). Over the years, several other 107 BioBrick assembly standards have been developed that diminish some of the 108 limitations of standard 10 (Phillips & Silver, 2006; Anderson et al., 2010). 109 Additionally, several alternative technologies have been developed that confer the 110 ability to assemble multiple parts in a single reaction (Engler et al., 2008; Gibson et al., 2009; Quan & Tian, 2009; Li & Elledge, 2012; Kok et al., 2014). 111 112 113 While overlap-dependent methods are powerful and generally result in 'scarless' 114 assemblies, their lack of idempotency and the requirement for custom 115 oligonucleotides and amplification of even well characterised standard parts for each 116 new assembly are considerable drawbacks (Ellis et al., 2011; Liu et al., 2013; Patron, 117 2014). Assembly methods based on Type IIS restriction enzymes, known widely as 118 Golden Gate cloning, are founded on standard parts that can be characterised, 119 exchanged and assembled cheaply, easily, and in an automatable way without 120 proprietary tools and reagents (Engler et al., 2009, 2014; Sarrion-Perdigones et al., 121 2011; Werner et al., 2012). 122 123 Type IIS assembly methods have been widely adopted in plant research laboratories 124 with many commonly used sequences being adapted for Type IIS assembly and 125 subsequently published and shared through public plasmid repositories such as 126 AddGene (Sarrion-Perdigones et al., 2011; Weber et al., 2011; Emami et al., 2013; 127 Lampropoulos et al., 2013; Binder et al., 2014; Engler et al., 2014; Vafaee et al., 128 2014). Type IIS assembly systems have also been adopted for the engineering of 129 fungi (Terfrüchte et al., 2014) and 'IP-Free' host expression systems have been 130 developed for bacteria, mammals and yeast (Whitman et al., 2013).

132	To reap the benefits of the exponential increase in genomic information, DNA
133	assembly and bioengineering technologies, biological assembly standards must be
134	agreed for multicellular eukaryotes. A standard for plants must be applicable to the
135	diverse taxa that comprise Archaeplastida and also be capable of retaining the features
136	that minimize the need to re-invent common steps such as transferring genetic
137	material into plant genomes. In this letter, the authors of which include inventors,
138	developers and adopters of Golden Gate cloning methods from multiple international
139	institutions, we define a Type IIS genetic grammar for plants, extendible to all
140	eukaryotes. This sets a consensus for establishing a common language across the plant
141	field, putting in place the framework for a sequence and data repository for plant
142	parts.
143	
144	Golden Gate Cloning
145	Golden Gate cloning is based on Type IIS restriction enzymes and enables parallel
146	assembly of multiple DNA parts in a one-pot, one-step reaction. Contrary to Type II
147	restriction enzymes, Type IIS restriction enzymes recognise non-palindromic
148	sequence motifs and cleave outside of their recognition site (Figure 1A). These
149	features enable the production of user-defined overhangs on either strand, which in
150	turn allow multiple parts to be assembled in a pre-determined order and orientation
151	using only one restriction enzyme. Parts are released from their original plasmids and
152	assembled into a new plasmid backbone in the same reaction, bypassing time-
153	consuming steps such as custom primer design, PCR amplification and gel
154	purification (Figure 1B).
155	
156	The one-step digestion-ligation reaction can be performed with any collection of
157	plasmid vectors and parts providing that:
158	
159	(a) Parts are housed in plasmids flanked by a convergent pair of Type IIS recognition
160	sequences
161	(b) The accepting plasmid has a divergent pair of recognition sequences for the same
162	enzyme, between which the part or parts will be assembled
163	(c) The parts themselves, and all plasmid backbones, are otherwise free of recognition
164	sites for this enzyme

165	(d) None of the parts are housed in a plasmid backbone with the same antibiotic
166	resistance as the accepting plasmid into which parts will be assembled
167	(e) The overhangs created by digestion with the Type IIS restriction enzymes are
168	unique and non-palindromic
169	
170	To date, several laboratories have converted 'in-house' and previously published
171	plasmids for use with Golden Gate cloning and have assigned compatible overhangs
172	to standard elements such as promoters, coding sequences and terminators found in
173	eukaryotic genes (Sarrion-Perdigones et al., 2011; Weber et al., 2011; Emami et al.,
174	2013; Lampropoulos et al., 2013; Binder et al., 2014; Engler et al., 2014). The
175	GoldenBraid2.0 (GB2.0) and Golden Gate Modular Cloning (MoClo) assembly
176	standards, the main features of which are described below, are both widely used
177	having been adopted by large communities of plant research laboratories such as the
178	European Cooperation in Science and Technology (COST) network for plant
179	metabolic engineering, the Engineering Nitrogen Symbiosis for Africa (ENSA)
180	project, the C4 Rice project and the Realizing Increased Photosynthetic Activity
181	(RIPE) project. MoClo and GB2.0 are largely, though not entirely, compatible. Other
182	standards have been developed independently resulting in parts that are non-
183	interchangeable with laboratories using MoClo or GB2.0. Even small variations
184	prevent the exchange of parts and hinder the creation of a registry of standard,
185	characterised, exchangeable parts for plants. The standard syntax defined below
186	addresses these points, establishing a common grammar to enable the sharing of parts
187	throughout the plant science community, whilst maintaining substantial compatibility
188	with the most widely adopted Type IIS-based standards.
189	
190	A Standard Type IIS Syntax for Plants
191	
192	Plasmid backbones of standard parts
193	For sequences to be assembled reliably in a desired order and in a single step, all
194	internal instances of the Type IIS restriction enzyme recognition sequence must be
195	removed. The removal of such sites and the cloning into a compatible backbone,
196	flanked by a convergent pair of Type IIS restriction enzyme recognition sequences, is
197	described as 'domestication'. Assembly of standard parts into a complete
198	transcriptional unit uses the enzyme BsaI. Standard parts for plants must minimally,

199 therefore, be domesticated for BsaI (Figure 2). Parts must also be housed in plasmid 200 backbones that, apart from the convergent pair of BsaI recognition sites flanking the 201 part, are otherwise free from this motif. The plasmid backbone should also not contain 202 bacterial resistance to ampicillin/carbenicillin or kanamycin as these are commonly 203 utilised in the plasmids in which standard parts will be assembled into complete 204 transcriptional units (e.g. Sarrion-Perdigones et al., 2013; Engler et al., 2014) (Figure 205 2). When released from its plasmid backbone by BsaI, each part will contain specific, 206 four-base-pair, 5' overhangs, known as fusion sites (Figure 2). 207 208 For assembly of transcriptional units into multi-gene constructs MoClo and GB2.0 209 require that parts are free of at least one other enzyme. MoClo uses BpiI to assemble 210 multiple transcriptional units in a single step, with subsequent assembly of larger 211 constructs using BsaI or BsmBI. GB2.0 uses BsaI and BsmBI for iterative assembly of 212 transcriptional units into multigene constructs (Figure 2). All three enzymes recognise 213 six base-pair sequences (and produce four-base-pair 5' overhangs) therefore 214 recognition sites are relatively rare. Compatibility with MoClo and GB2.0 multi-gene 215 assemble plasmid systems can be obtained by domesticating BpiI and BsmBI as well 216 as BsaI recognition sequences (Figure 2). 217 218 Standard parts 219 A standard syntax for eukaryotic genes has been defined and twelve fusion points 220 assigned (Figure 3). Such complexity allows for the complex and precise engineering 221 of genes that is becoming increasingly important for plant synthetic biology. 222 Standard parts are sequences that have been cloned into a compatible backbone 223 (described above) and are flanked by a convergent pair of *BsaI* recognition sequences 224 and two of the defined fusion sites. The sequence can comprise just one of the ten 225 defined parts of genetic syntax bounded by an adjacent pair of adjacent fusion sites. 226 However, when the full level of complexity is unnecessary, or if particular functional 227 elements such as N- or C-terminal tags are not required, standard parts can comprise 228 sequences that span multiple fusion sites (Figure 3). 229 230 The sequences that comprise the fusion sites have been selected both for maximum 231 compatibility in the one-step digestion-ligation reaction and to maximise biological 232 functionality. The 5' non-transcribed region is separated into core, proximal and distal

233 promoter sequences, with the core region containing the transcriptional start site 234 (TSS). The transcribed region is separated into coding parts and 5' and 3' untranslated 235 parts. For maximum flexibility, an ATG codon for methionine is wholly or partially 236 encoded into two fusion sites. The translated region, therefore, may be divided into 237 three or four parts. The 3' non-translated region is followed by the 3' non-transcribed 238 region, which contains the polyadenylation sequence (PAS). Amino acids coded by 239 fusion sites within the coding region have been rationally selected: Neutral, non-polar 240 amino acids, methionine and alanine, are encoded in the 3' overhangs of parts that may be used to house signal and transit peptides in order to prevent interference with 241 242 recognition and cleavage. An alternative overhang, encoding a glycine, is also 243 included to give greater flexibility for the fusion of non-cleaved coding parts. Serine, 244 a small amino acid commonly used to link peptide and reporter tags, is encoded in the 245 overhang that will fuse C terminal tag parts to coding sequences. 246 247 Universal acceptor plasmids 248 Universal acceptor plasmids (UAP) allow the conversion of any sequence to a 249 standard part in a single step (Figure 4). This is achieved by polymerase chain 250 reaction amplification of desired sequences as a single fragment or, if restriction sites 251 need to be domesticated, as multiple fragments (Figure 4). The oligonucleotide 252 primers used for amplification add 5' sequences to allow cloning into the UAP, add 253 the standard fusion sites that the sequence will be flanked with when released from 254 the UAP as a standard part with *BsaI* and can also introduce mutations (Figure 4). 255 Two UAPs, pUPD2 (https://gbcloning.org/feature/GB0307/) and pUAP1 (AddGene 256 #71721) can be used to create new standard parts in the chloramphenical resistant 257 pSB1C3 backbone, in which the majority of BioBricks housed at the Registry of 258 Standard Parts are cloned. A spectinomycin resistant UAP, pAGM9121 has been 259 published previously (AddGene #52833 (Engler et al., 2014)). 260 261 Compatibility with multigene assembly systems 262 Standard parts are assembled into transcriptional units in plasmid vectors that contain 263 the features and sequences required for delivery to the cell, for example Left (LB) and 264 Right Border (RB) sequences and an origin of replication for Agrobacterium-265 mediated delivery. Subsequently, transcriptional units can be assembled into 266 multigene constructs in plasmid acceptors that also contain these features. It is

267 important that a standard Type IIS syntax be compatible with the plasmid vector 268 systems that are in common use such as GB2.0 and MoClo while also allowing space 269 for further innovation in Type IIS-mediated multigene assembly methodologies and 270 the development of plasmid vectors with features required for delivery to other 271 species and by other delivery methods. The definition of a standard Type IIS syntax 272 for plants is therefore timely and will allow the growing plant synthetic biology 273 community access to an already large library of standard parts. 274 275 **Summary** 276 Synthetic biology aims to simplify the process of designing, constructing and 277 modifying complex biological systems. Plants provide an ideal chassis for synthetic 278 biology, are amenable to genetic engineering and have relatively simple requirements 279 for growth, (Cook et al., 2014; Fesenko & Edwards, 2014). However, their 280 eukaryotic gene structure and the methods commonly used for transferring DNA to 281 their genomes demand specific plasmid vectors and a tailored assembly standard. 282 Here, we have defined a Type IIS genetic syntax that employs the principles of part 283 reusability and standardisation. The standard has also been submitted as a Request for 284 Comments (BBF RFC 106) (Rutten et al., 2015) at The BioBrick Foundation to 285 facilitate iGEM teams working on plant chassis. Using the standards described here, 286 new standard parts for plants can be produced and exchanged between laboratories 287 enabling the facile construction of transcriptional units. We invite the plant science 288 and synthetic biology communities to build on this work by adopting this standard to 289 create a large repository of characterised standard parts for plants. 290 291 **Acknowledgements** 292 This work was supported by the UK Biotechnological and Biological Sciences 293 Research Council (BBSRC) Synthetic Biology Research Centre 'OpenPlant' award 294 (BB/L014130/1), BBSRC grant no. BB/K005952/1 (AO and AL), BBSRC grant no. 295 BB/L02182X/1(AW), the Spanish MINECO grant no. BIO2013-42193-R (DO), the 296 BBSRC Institute Strategic Programme Grants 'Understanding and Exploiting Plant 297 and Microbial Metabolism' and 'Biotic Interactions for Crop Productivity', the John 298 Innes Foundation and the Gatsby Foundation. The authors also acknowledge the 299 support of COST Action FA1006, PlantEngine.

- 300 Anderson JC, Dueber JE, Leguia M, Wu GC, Goler JA, Arkin AP, Keasling JD.
- **2010**. BglBricks: A flexible standard for biological part assembly. *Journal of*
- 302 Biological Engineering 4: 1.
- Binder A, Lambert J, Morbitzer R, Popp C, Ott T, Lahaye T, Parniske M. 2014.
- 304 A modular plasmid assembly kit for multigene expression, gene silencing and
- 305 silencing rescue in plants. *PLoS one* **9**.
- 306 Cook C, Bastow R, Martin L. 2014. Developing plant synthetic biology in the UK -
- 307 Challenges and opportunities. Report from the 2013 GARNet meeting 'An
- 308 Introduction to Opportunities in Plant Synthetic Biology'.
- 309 Ellis T, Adie T, Baldwin GS. 2011. DNA assembly for synthetic biology: from parts
- 310 to pathways and beyond. *Integrative biology: quantitative biosciences from nano to*
- 311 *macro* **3**: 109–18.
- Emami S, Yee M-C, Dinneny JR. 2013. A robust family of Golden Gate
- 313 Agrobacterium vectors for plant synthetic biology. Frontiers in plant science 4: 339.
- 314 Engler C, Gruetzner R, Kandzia R, Marillonnet S. 2009. Golden Gate shuffling: A
- one-pot DNA shuffling method based on type IIs restriction enzymes. *PloS one* **4**:
- 316 e5553.
- 317 Engler C, Kandzia R, Marillonnet S. 2008. A one pot, one step, precision cloning
- method with high throughput capability. *PloS one* **3**: e3647.
- Engler C, Youles M, Grüetzner R, Ehnert T-M, Werner S, Jones JDG, Patron
- 320 NJ, Marillonnet S. 2014. A Golden Gate modular cloning toolbox for plants. ACS
- 321 *Synthetic Biology* **3**: 839–843.
- 322 Fesenko E, Edwards R. 2014. Plant synthetic biology: A new platform for industrial
- 323 biotechnology. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **65**: 1927–1937.
- 324 Gibson D, Young L, Chuang R, Venter C, Hutchison III CA, Smith H. 2009.
- 325 Enzymatic assembly of DNA molecules up to several hundred kilobases. *Nature*
- 326 *Methods* **6**: 343–345.

- 327 Knight T. 2003. Idempotent vector design for standard assembly of BioBricks. MIT
- 328 Artificial Intelligence Laboratory; MIT Synthetic Biology Working Group.
- 329 Kok S, Stanton L, Slaby T. 2014. Rapid and reliable DNA assembly via ligase
- 330 cycling reaction. ACS Synthetic Biology 3: 97–106.
- Lampropoulos A, Sutikovic Z, Wenzl C, Maegele I, Lohmann JU, Forner J.
- 332 **2013**. GreenGate A novel, versatile, and efficient cloning system for plant
- transgenesis. *PloS one* **8**: e83043.
- 334 Li MZ, Elledge SJ. 2012. SLIC: A method for sequence- and ligation-independent
- cloning. In: Peccoud J, ed. Methods in Molecular Biology. Totowa, NJ: Humana
- 336 Press, 51–59.
- Liu W, Yuan JS, Stewart CN. 2013. Advanced genetic tools for plant
- biotechnology. *Nature reviews. Genetics* **14**: 781–93.
- Patron NJ. 2014. DNA assembly for plant biology: techniques and tools. Current
- 340 *Opinion in Plant Biology* **19C**: 14–19.
- **Phillips I, Silver P. 2006**. BBF RFC 23: A new BioBrick assembly strategy designed
- 342 *for facile protein engineering*. http://hdl.handle.net/1721.1/32535
- Quan J, Tian J. 2009. Circular polymerase extension cloning of complex gene
- libraries and pathways. *PloS one* **4**: e6441.
- 345 Sarrion-Perdigones A, Falconi EE, Zandalinas SI, Juárez P, Fernández-del-
- 346 Carmen A, Granell A, Orzaez D. 2011. GoldenBraid: An iterative cloning system
- for standardized assembly of reusable genetic modules. *PloS one* **6**: e21622.
- Rutten V, Munabi A, Riche F, Lewy G, Wilson H, Pipan M; Bhate S; Nghiem T-
- 349 A, Kaufhold W; Haseloff J, Rubert A, González A, Quijano A, Llopis I, Gavaldá
- J, Estellés L, Vásquez M, Orzáez D, Deal C; Gray J, Spiegel M, Monsey S,
- 351 Middlemiss A, Day J, Patron NJ. 2015. BBF RFC 106: A Standard Type IIS Syntax
- 352 *for Plants*. http://hdl.handle.net/1721.1/96069

353	Sarrion-Perdigones A, Vazquez-Vilar M, Palaci J, Castelijns B, Forment J,
354	Ziarsolo P, Blanca J, Granell A, Orzaez D. 2013. GoldenBraid2.0: A
355	comprehensive DNA assembly framework for plant synthetic biology. Plant
356	Physiology.
357	Shetty RP, Endy D, Knight TF. 2008. Engineering BioBrick vectors from BioBrick
358	parts. Journal of Biological Engineering 2: 5.
359	Terfrüchte M, Joehnk B, Fajardo-Somera R, Braus GH, Riquelme M, Schipper
360	K, Feldbrügge M. 2014. Establishing a versatile Golden Gate cloning system for
361	genetic engineering in fungi. Fungal Genetics and Biology 62: 1–10.
362	Vafaee Y, Staniek A, Mancheno-Solano M, Warzecha H. 2014. A modular cloning
363	toolbox for the generation of chloroplast transformation vectors. <i>PloS one</i> <b>9</b> : e110222.
364	Weber E, Engler C, Gruetzner R, Werner S, Marillonnet S. 2011. A modular
365	cloning system for standardized assembly of multigene constructs. PloS one 6:
366	e16765.
367	Werner S, Engler C, Weber E, Gruetzner R, Marillonnet S. 2012. Fast track
368	assembly of multigene constructs using Golden Gate cloning and the MoClo system.
369	Bioengineered Bugs 3: 38–43.
370	Whitman L, Gore M, Ness J, Theotdorou E, Gustafsson C, Minshull J. 2013.
371	Rapid, scarless cloning of gene fragments using the Electra Vector System <sup>TM</sup> . <i>Genetic</i>
372	Engineering and Biotechnology News <b>76</b> : 42.
373	
3 <i>71</i> .	

376 of their non-palindromic recognition sequences. **B** Providing compatible overhangs 377 are produced on digestion, standard parts cloned in plasmid backbones flanked by a 378 pair of convergent Type IIS restriction enzyme recognition sites can be assembled in a 379 single digestion-ligation reaction into an acceptor plasmid with divergent Type IIS 380 restriction enzyme recognition sites and a unique bacterial selection cassette. 381 Figure 2 A Standard parts for plants are free from BsaI recognition sequences. To be 382 compatible with Golden Gate Modular Cloning (MoClo) and GoldenBraid2.0 (GB2.0) 383 they must also be free from *Bpi*I and *Bsm*BI recognition sequences. **B** Standard parts 384 are housed in plasmid backbones flanked by convergent BsaI recognition sequences. 385 The plasmid backbones are otherwise free from BsaI recognition sites. The plasmid 386 backbone should not confer bacterial resistance to ampicillin, carbenicillin or 387 kanamycin. When released from their backbone by BsaI, parts are flanked by four-388 base-pair 5' overhangs, known as fusion sites. 389 Figure 3 Twelve fusion sites have been defined. These sites allow a multitude of 390 standard parts to be generated. Standard parts comprise any portion of a gene cloned 391 into a plasmid flanked by a convergent pair of BsaI recognition sequences. Parts can 392 comprise the region between an adjacent pair of adjacent fusion sites. Alternatively, to 393 reduce complexity or when a particular functional element is not required, parts can 394 span multiple fusion sites (examples in pink boxes). 395 Figure 4 A Universal acceptor plasmids (UAPs) comprise a small plasmid backbone 396 conferring resistance to spectinomycin or chloramphenicol in bacteria. They contain a 397 cloning site consisting of a pair of divergent Type IIS recognition sequences (e.g. 398 BpiI, as depicted, or BsmBI) flanked by overlapping convergent BsaI recognition 399 sequences. **B** A sequence containing an illegal *BsaI* recognition sequence can be 400 amplified in two fragments using oligonucleotide primers with 5' overhangs (red 401 dashed lines) that (i) introduce a mutation to destroy the illegal site (ii) add TypeIIS 402 recognition sequences (e.g. BpiI, as depicted, or BsmBI) and fusion sites to allow one 403 step digestion-ligation into the universal acceptor and (iii) add the desired fusion sites 404 (green numerals) that will define the type of standard part and that will flank the part 405 when re-released from the backbone with BsaI.

Figure 1 A Type IIS restriction enzymes such as BsaI are directional, cleaving outside