

Colony size predicts division of labour in Attine ants.

Journal:	Proceedings B
Manuscript ID:	RSPB-2014-1411.R1
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	01-Aug-2014
Complete List of Authors:	Ferguson-Gow, Henry; University of East Anglia, School of Biological Sciences; ZSL London Zoo, Institute of Zoology Sumner, Seirian; University of Bristol, School of Biological Sciences Bourke, Andrew; University of East Anglia, School of Biological Sciences Jones, Kate; University College London, Centre for Biodiversity and Environment Research
Subject:	Behaviour < BIOLOGY, Evolution < BIOLOGY
Keywords:	Division of labour, Formicidae, social evolution , queen-worker dimorphism, worker size polymorphism
Proceedings B category:	Evolutionary Biology

SCHOLARONE™ Manuscripts

Social Complexity in Attine Ants – Ferguson-Gow et al.

1	Colony size predicts division of labour in Attine ants
2	Henry Ferguson-Gow ^{1,2} *†, Seirian Sumner ^{2,3} , Andrew F. G. Bourke ¹ and Kate E. Jones ^{2,4}
3	¹ School of Biological Sciences, University of East Anglia, Norwich Research Park, Norwich
4	Norfolk, NR4 7TJ, United Kingdom
5	² Institute of Zoology, Zoological Society of London, Regent's Park, London, NW1 4RY,
6	United Kingdom
7	³ Current address: School of Biological Sciences, University of Bristol, Woodland Road,
8	Bristol, BS8 1UG, United Kingdom
9	⁴ Centre for Biodiversity and Environment Research, Department of Genetics, Evolution and
10	Environment, University College London, Gower Street, London, WC1E 6BT, United
11	Kingdom
12	
13	* Corresponding authors: h.ferguson-gow@ucl.ac.uk and kate.e.jones@ucl.ac.uk
14	
15	† Current address: Centre for Biodiversity and Environment Research, Department of
16	Genetics, Evolution and Environment, University College London, Gower Street, London,
17	WC1E 6BT, United Kingdom

evolution, caste evolution

Summary

Division of labour is central to the ecological success of eusocial insects, yet the evolutionary factors driving increases in complexity in division of labour are little known. The size-complexity hypothesis proposes that, as larger colonies evolve, both non-reproductive and reproductive division of labour become more complex as workers and queens act to maximise inclusive fitness. Using a statistically robust phylogenetic comparative analysis of social and environmental traits of species within the ant tribe Attini, we show that colony size is positively related to both non-reproductive (worker size variation) and reproductive (queenworker dimorphism) division of labour. The results also suggested that colony size acts on non-reproductive and reproductive division of labour in different ways. Environmental factors, including measures of variation in temperature and precipitation, had no significant effects on any division of labour measure or colony size. Overall, these results support the size-complexity hypothesis for the evolution of social complexity and division of labour in eusocial insects. Determining the evolutionary drivers of colony size may help contribute to our understanding of the evolution of social complexity.

Key Index words: Formicidae, queen-worker dimorphism, worker size polymorphism, social

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

3

1. Introduction

Insect eusociality represents one of the major transitions in evolution [1-3]. In these events, groups of formerly free-living individuals become sufficiently integrated to be considered individuals in their own right. A key component of this process is the evolution of division of labour [1, 4, 5]. In eusocial societies, the presence of a sterile caste (workers) and a dedicated reproductive caste (queens) creates a reproductive division of labour, while behavioural or morphological specialization within the worker caste on tasks such as brood care, nest maintenance, foraging and defence creates a non-reproductive division of labour. In 'simple' eusocial societies, queens are morphologically similar to workers, and workers are monomorphic. In 'complex' eusocial societies, queen-worker dimorphism is extreme and there is wide variation in worker size, often accompanied by discrete physical worker castes [4, 6, 7]. Previous studies have suggested positive effects of division of labour on the foraging efficiency and colony productivity of social insects, and hence on their ecological success [8-11]. However, the evolutionary determinants of division of labour have been less well researched. The 'size-complexity hypothesis' proposes that, as colony size increases, workers and queens maximize their inclusive fitness by specializing in non-reproductive and reproductive roles, respectively [4, 6, 12, 13]. Since such specialization permits further increases in colony size, the degree of non-reproductive and reproductive division of labour both increase via positive feedback between social complexity and colony size. The hypothesis therefore leads to the prediction that colony size is positively associated with two key aspects of social complexity non-reproductive and reproductive division of labour. Although theoretical models [14, 15] and single taxon experimental studies [16-18] offer some support for the size-complexity hypothesis, whether the predicted across-species relationships occur remains unclear, as early comparative studies [6, 19] were informal and

lacked an explicit evolutionary framework [20]. More recent phylogenetic comparative

studies across formicoid ant species [21] and corbiculate bees [22] found positive correlations
between colony size and measures of social complexity. While informative, these studies
either omitted species with very large colony sizes (> 10 ⁶ workers or more) [21], potentially
missing the predicted relationships [4], or measured social complexity as a single variable
[22], potentially missing the independent effects of colony size on individual components of
social complexity, namely the extent of reproductive and non-reproductive division of labour
[21].

Moreover, no previous study has considered possible effects of environmental factors, yet these also potentially influence the relationship between colony size and division of labour. For example, in ants, a non-linear relationship exists between colony size and primary productivity such that higher primary productivities are associated with decreasing colony size [23]. This suggests that it is important to control for environmental factors when analysing correlates of colony size across species. Environmental factors may also influence division of labour directly. Experiments show that in the desert ant *Cataglyphis velox*, smaller workers forage at lower temperatures than larger ones, suggesting that worker size variation has evolved as a mechanism for colonies to cope with diurnal fluctuations in temperature [24]. Overall, therefore, the potential role of environmental factors needs to be considered to gain a full understanding of the evolution of division of labour.

To test for evolutionary relationships between division of labour, colony size and environmental factors, we gathered species-specific data on social traits and evolutionary relationships and conducted a phylogenetically-controlled comparative analysis within the neotropical ant tribe Attini. We used worker size variation and queen-worker dimorphism as measures of non-reproductive and reproductive division of labour, respectively. We selected ants as the focal taxon because ants are the most socially diverse and ecologically successful social insect group [7, 25]. We focused on the tribe Attini because this taxon (252 species) exhibits wide variation in worker size, queen-worker dimorphism and colony size, and occurs in a relatively broad range of habitats and latitudes [26-32]. In addition, the Attini are

predominantly monogynous [26], i.e., having a single queen heading a colony, such that the size-complexity hypothesis can be tested in the absence of confounding effects of variation in colony genetic and social structure brought about by polygyny (multiple queens heading colonies) [4, 33]. Controlling for environmental variation, we show that evolutionary increases in colony size across the Attini are associated with increases in both worker size variation and queen-worker dimorphism.

2. Materials and Methods

(a) Data collection

We used all Attini genus names, including synonyms, as search terms in Web of Knowledge, Scopus and Google Scholar literature databases up to 2013. Literature sources resulting from this search were scanned manually and relevant data were extracted. Data from secondary sources were excluded. Additional data were collected from AntWeb (http://www.antweb.org). Data from 58 sources covering 632 observations of populations for 57 out of a total of 252 species in the Attini were collected (see electronic supplementary material, table S1). These data represented all Attine genera (except for the socially parasitic *Pseudoatta*, a derived form of *Acromyrmex* [34], and the recently erected genus *Paramycetophylax*). Taxonomic names followed the Bolton World Catalogue (http://www.antweb.org).

Data were collected and stored in a database following recommendations in Kattge et al. [35]. The following traits were recorded: worker and queen size measured as head width in mm (92 observations of populations for 36 and 39 species for worker and queen head widths, respectively), colony size (number of workers at colony maturity) (178 observations, 43 species) and geographical location (362 observations, 48 species). Where specific coordinates were not supplied in the source reference, they were inferred from the description of the locality except where the specified area exceeded 20 km². In these cases the locality was

deemed to be uninformative and excluded from analysis. Head-width measurements taken from AntWeb (http://www.antweb.org) (17 and 13 species for worker and queen head widths, respectively) were measured using the image analysis software ImageJ [36]. To ensure the measurements obtained from the specimens on AntWeb were representative, we compared the measurements obtained from images of seven species well represented both in the literature and on AntWeb. In all cases the AntWeb measurements were not significantly different from those obtained from the literature (paired t-test, t =1.044, p = 0.34, n = 7). We calculated per-species means for colony size and worker and queen head width (see electronic supplementary material, table S1) by averaging the mean value from each observation weighted by its sample size as:

$$\bar{x}$$
 mean trait value = $\frac{\sum (x_s n_s)}{\sum n_s}$

where x_s is the mean of the observation, n_s is the observation sample size, and Σn_s is the sum of all sample sizes of the observations contributing to the per-species mean for each trait. Observation sample sizes ranged from 1-1016; however, in many cases, observation sample sizes were not given in the original source and here we assumed it to equal 1. We report Σn_s as the sample size for each per-species mean trait value as this is more appropriate to the nature of our data than the number of sources.

To measure non-reproductive division of labour for each species, we quantified worker size variation using the coefficient of variation in worker head width (36 species) following previous authors [21]. We selected the coefficient of variation as it was an objective measure of trait variation that avoided subjective assessment of the number of discrete worker castes. In addition, using number of worker castes to measure worker size variation would not quantify non-reproductive division of labour correctly in species with size-based polyethism and a continuous distribution of worker sizes [8, 9]. Worker size variation was calculated as:

$$Worker\ size\ variation = 100 \left(\frac{\sigma\ worker\ head\ width}{\bar{x}\ worker\ head\ width} \right)$$

where \bar{x} = mean, σ = standard deviation. Standard deviation of worker head width was calculated as the standard deviation of all mean worker head width observations contributing to each per-species value, and \bar{x} worker head width was calculated by averaging the mean value from each observation weighted by its sample size. Our measure of worker size variation was not influenced by sample sizes: a linear regression model (for data where observation sample sizes were known, controlling for study effort) of square root worker size variation and log Σn_s was not significant (log Σn_s , beta = 0.002, df = 2, 30, p = 0.857).

dimorphism as the percentage difference between mean queen head width and mean worker head width (30 species), i.e. as:

Queen - worker dimorphism

$$= 100 \left(\frac{2(\bar{x} \text{ queen head width} - \bar{x} \text{ worker head width})}{\bar{x} \text{ queen head width} + \bar{x} \text{ worker head width}} \right)$$

For both measures we selected head width as a measure of body size because it is the most commonly reported measure of queen and worker size in the literature and, although showing allometric variation in some cases (e.g. *Atta* [37]), it correlates well with body size [7, 38-40]. To quantify environmental variation we downloaded the following data layers from the online database BioClim (http://www.worldclim.org/bioclim): diurnal temperature range, isothermality, temperature seasonality, and precipitation seasonality. We resampled BioClim data from its original resolution into a grid size of 10 arc-minutes per pixel (approximately 20km² at the equator) to reflect the threshold at which we discarded locality information. The R package "raster" [41] was used to extract these environmental values for sets of coordinates derived from the source references for each ant species and mean values for each species were calculated for use in subsequent analyses (48 species). Species locations ranged from latitudes

between 41.0° (DEC) and -29.7° (DEC), showing a broad range of environmental variation (see electronic supplementary material, table S1, figure S1).

(b) Phylogenetic reconstruction

Analyses of traits across species are often confounded by non-independence because closely related taxa have similar traits due to shared evolutionary history [42]. This non-independence can be statistically controlled for in analyses by incorporating an estimate of evolutionary relatedness. However, constructing rigorous and unbiased estimates of evolutionary relationships for all the taxa of interest is challenging when existing phylogenetic studies are incomplete and conflicting and use non-overlapping datasets [43]. Previous phylogenetic analyses of social traits in ants have not used formal methods to link separate phylogenies or cover missing taxa [21, 44, 45], resulting in phylogenetic estimates that may be biased and that contain no estimates of uncertainty.

Here, we go beyond previous studies and construct an Attini consensus phylogeny that

Here, we go beyond previous studies and construct an Attini consensus phylogeny that analyses the available phylogenetic hypotheses to generate a new, unbiased estimate, accompanied by calculations of uncertainty. We constructed a phylogeny using supertree protocols [43, 46, 47], since these methods allowed us to produce a tree that maximised the number of species in the resulting phylogeny and therefore the phylogenetic overlap with the species in our trait dataset. Available phylogenetic information for Attini is mainly based on morphological characters and is not well represented by genetic sequences in GenBank. As other consensus phylogenetic methods rely on constructing an estimate from genetic sequences (e.g. the supermatrix approach [48]), we chose the supertree method as the most appropriate for these data as it can combine both morphological and genetic evidence. We used matrix representation with parsimony (MRP) [43, 46]. This method involves coding the topologies of published phylogenies into a weighted character matrix that is analysed using maximum parsimony to produce a composite tree [49]. MRP was selected for consistency with previous studies employing supertree methods [46, 50] and has been shown to return

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

trees as well supported as those derived using other methods [51-53]. Prior to analysis we implemented safe taxonomic reduction [54] to remove species that had little or no phylogenetic signal, which if retained would reduce the resolution of the final tree. The final matrix had 71 out of 252 species drawn from 12 source phylogenies (see the electronic supplementary material, table S2), representing all genera of Attini (except for Paramycetophylax). We implemented a parsimony ratchet [55] in PAUP* v.4.0b10 [56] to analyse the matrix, and took the resulting consensus. Support values for each node of the tree were generated using rQS [57], which prunes the supertree and each source tree to confer identical taxon sets on them and then compares the topologies, assigning each node a score between +1 (full support) and -1 (total conflict). Positive rQS values indicate support for a node. We obtained, aligned and concatenated 4321 bp of sequence data for five genes (wingless, long-wavelength Rhodposin, elongation factor 1 alpha 1, elongation factor 1 alpha 2 and cytochrome oxidase subunit 1) from species of the Attini represented in GenBank [58]. We used the software packages BEAST [59] in conjunction with the alignment to calculate relative branching time estimates for the species shared between the alignment and the supertree following previous studies [46] under a strict molecular clock [60]. Three Attini fossils were used as calibration points at nodes 11 [61], 50 [62] and 54 [63] and a non-Attini fossil (Pheidole) [64] was used to date node 1 (see electronic supplementary material, figure S2), allowing dates to be calculated from relative branch lengths. The perl script chronographer.pl [65] was used to infer missing node ages based on a pure-birth model resulting in a supertree topology with branching time estimates following [46]. The final supertree was deposited in TreeBASE (http://purl.org/phylo/treebase/phylows/study/TB2:S14540). (c) Data analysis We tested all social traits for phylogenetic signal using the phylogenetic generalised least

We tested all social traits for phylogenetic signal using the phylogenetic generalised least squares (PGLS) function of the R package "caper" [66]. All traits contained phylogenetic signal (worker size variation lambda = 0.97, queen-worker dimorphism lambda = 0.94 and

colony size $lambda = 0.91$), and so we used phylogenetically-controlled regression models in
subsequent analyses.
Data were checked for normality and outliers. We used a square-root transformation for
worker size variation and a natural log. transformation for queen-worker dimorphism and
colony size to normalize the data. We checked for colinearity in all models separately by
calculating variance inflation factors (VIF) for each covariate. Covariates were sequentially
eliminated starting with the largest VIF until all VIFs were less than three [67].
Before fitting any models we removed species from the analysis with any missing data,
resulting in a dataset of 19 species. We adopted an information-theoretic approach to analyse
the effects of social and environmental factors on non-reproductive and reproductive division
of labour. PGLS models describing each possible iteration of specific hypotheses were fitted
to the data. We used the corrected Akaike information criterion (AICc) to assess model fit,
and calculated small-sample AICc weight and $\Delta AICc$ (the difference in AICc between the
model in question and the best fitting model) for each model. Models with $\Delta AICc$ <7 were
considered uninformative and were discarded [68]. Since no model had an AICc weight >0.44
and the informative models for each hypothesis included between them all covariates, we do
not report a single best model. We instead report relative importance and averaged parameter
estimates from the set of informative models [68].
The averaged models were based on a single consensus phylogenetic tree (a strict consensus
of 10,000 equally parimonious trees). Parameter estimates of the models are influenced by the

of 10,000 equally parimonious trees). Parameter estimates of the models are influenced by the phylogenetic estimate used and therefore are sensitive to other reconstructions [69]. To investigate the effects of phylogenetic uncertainty on our analysis, we fitted PGLS models on a dated sample of 1,000 of the 10,000 most parsimonious trees from the PAUP* analysis of the MRP matrix. We selected only variables that had a cumulative AICc weight of >0.4 for these models. This allowed more accurate measurements of parameter estimates, which were

generated as means from the sample of models, and of 95% phylogenetic uncertainty intervals [69].

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

240

241

3. Results

Mean worker size variation ranged from 0.23 to 64.37 (36 species), queen-worker dimorphism from 1.54% to 84.25% (30 species) and colony size from 16 to 6x10⁶ workers (43 species). The largest values for all these traits were found in the genera *Atta* and *Acromyrmex* (the leafcutter ants) (figure 1).

(a) Phylogenetic reconstruction.

The topology of our supertree (electronic supplementary material, figure S2) was broadly in agreement with the most recent molecular phylogeny for the Attini [70]. Clades that emerged as paraphyletic were *Cyphomyrmex* (with respect to *Mycetophylax conformis*) (node 7) and Trachymyrmex (with respect to Sericomyrmex) (node 12). None of these relationships are novel [70-72] and no novel clades were generated [73]. Furthermore, the supertree recovered the three clades of Attini defined by the nature of their fungal-agricultural system, i.e. the lower Attines (which cultivate environmentally derived fungi), the higher Attines excluding leafcutters (which engage in obligate fungal symbiosis but do not harvest fresh leaves) and the leafcutters (which engage in obligate fungal symbiosis and harvest fresh leaves) [31, 70]. The mean rQS score over 10000 bootstrap replicates of the tree was 0.282 and only three (nodes 52, 59 and 60) of the 60 nodes had a negative rQS score (reflecting more mismatches than matches in the source trees) (see electronic supplementary material, table S3). We dated the root node (node 1) to 37.7 million years (MY) ago, the node representing the origin of the higher Attini to 17.3 MY ago and the origin of the leafcutters to 12 MY ago. While this root estimate is 8.3-17.3 MY younger than equivalent nodes on other molecular trees [70], the other values of the other nodes are within the confidence intervals of previous estimates [70].

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

(b) Determinants of non-reproductive division of labour

Colony size was significantly positively correlated with worker size variation (table 1; figure 2). All models featured colony size as a covariate and had a range of high R² values (0.770-0.818), and colony size had a cumulative AICc weight of 1, showing its importance in all supported models. Furthermore, colony size was the only covariate in the averaged model to have confidence intervals that did not include zero (table 1). The presence of queen-worker dimorphism, mean diurnal temperature range and precipitation seasonality in the averaged model suggest they have an effect on worker size variation; however, all three of these covariates had confidence intervals that included zero (table 1). Models omitting colony size had no support (w_i =0 in both cases, electronic supplementary material, table S4a). These models were robust to phylogenetic uncertainty (table 1). Differences in mating systems among the Attini could have potentially confounded our analyses as species that were found to exhibit the largest colony sizes and worker size variation (leafcutter ants) are polyandrous [72]. To investigate this, we reanalysed our data including mating system as a dichotomous variable (0, monandrous and 1, polyandrous) in the models. We used all data on the presence and absence of polyandry from the literature and, for non-leafcutter ant species where data were not available, we assumed monandry (electronic supplementary material, table S1). We found the significance of the correlation between colony size and worker size variation to be unchanged whilst controlling for queen-worker dimorphism and mating system (for colony size, $\beta = 0.271$ (CI = 0.133, 0.509), W = 0.93, results from an averaged model).

(c) Determinants of reproductive division of labour.

To complement the analysis of Fjerdingstad & Crozier [21], which found that colony size and worker size variation across 35 ant species were not significantly associated after controlling for queen-worker dimorphism, we first ran a model that included worker size variation as a covariate. This found no effect of colony size on queen-worker dimorphism. The resulting averaged model had only parameter estimates with confidence intervals that included zero

(table 1). The best fitting model set also captured less of the variation in queen-worker dimorphism than the models for worker size variation ($r^2 = 0.031$ -0.342). These analyses were robust to phylogenetic uncertainty (table 1). However, according to our VIF threshold (VIF for worker size variation = 4.80), colony size and worker size variation could not be in the model together. We therefore ran models omitting worker size variation, which showed colony size to be a positive predictor of queen-worker dimorphism (table 1). The effect was not as powerful as the effect of colony size on worker size variation, and the covariate was not universally shared in the most informative models (cumulative AICc weight = 0.85). Overall, therefore, we found a significant positive correlation between colony size and queenworker dimorphism, but this result was weaker than the correlation of colony size with worker size variation. Moreover, it disappeared when worker size variation was included as a covariate, either because of shared variance or because worker size variation predicts queenworker dimorphism better than colony size.

(d) Environmental determinants of colony size.

We found no significant correlations between colony size and any of the environmental variables tested (table 1). The r^2 value of all models was low (range 0.001-0.211) and in all resulting average models the confidence intervals of the covariates overlapped with zero.

4. Discussion

In agreement with the size-complexity hypothesis [4, 6, 12, 13], our study shows that colony size is significantly positively correlated with measures of non-reproductive and reproductive division of labour in a tribe of ants. These findings provide novel support for the size-complexity hypothesis; we detected a strong relationship between colony size and worker size variation independent of the effects of queen-worker dimorphism, we controlled for environmental factors and we separated social complexity into component traits. Our results are also consistent with a recent study linking colony size with another predicted correlate of

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

social complexity [4, 6, 12], namely divergence in queen and worker lifespans in the eusocial Hymenoptera [44]. In addition, our results strengthen the idea that group size and complexity are positively related in the evolution of other levels of complexity within the hierarchy of major transitions, such as the evolution of multicellularity [4, 5, 13, 74]. We found no evidence for any effects of environmental factors on worker size variation, queen-worker dimorphism or colony size. Although colony size and primary productivity appear to be associated in ants, the relationship is non-linear [23] and, in general, relationships between colony size, latitude and climatic variables vary considerably across ant taxa [75]. Therefore, the lack of effects of environmental factors in our study could have arisen because Attini are exceptions to the colony size-primary productivity relationship or because the study sampled species across the range of primary productivities where the relationship is approximately flat [23]. Our results suggest that colony size acts upon the two forms of division labour in different ways. Specifically, we found that the positive association between colony size and queenworker dimorphism became non-significant when worker size variation was included, whereas the positive association between colony size and worker size variation remained significant in both the presence and absence of queen-worker dimorphism. If the two forms of division of labour responded to increasing colony size in the same way, we would have expected to see any combination of the two measures result in the absence of a positive association (due to very high colinearity). One plausible scenario that could account for our findings is non-simultaneous evolution of the two traits. A potential mechanism for this arises from an assumption of the size-complexity hypothesis, namely that the chance of any given worker attaining direct fitness falls as colonies evolve to become larger [4, 6, 12]. If so, this would lead workers' inclusive fitness interests to coincide more closely with those of queens at larger colonies sizes [4], since workers would be more strongly selected to maximise their fitness indirectly by aiding the direct reproduction of queens. Selection for worker size variation, which helps improve colony productivity [9, 76], might then lead to even stronger

344 selection for increased fecundity in queens and hence to greater queen-worker dimorphism. 345 This hypothesis could be tested by investigating the order of trait divergence among worker 346 size variation, queen-worker dimorphism and colony size, or by investigating the rates of 347 evolutionary change of these traits. 348 An unexpected association from our results was a link between fungal-agricultural system and 349 colony size. This was shown by the clustering of the three agricultural groups within the 350 Attini, i.e., lower Attines, higher Attines (excluding leafcutter ants) and leafcutter ants, within 351 the continuum of association between worker size variation and colony size (figure 2). To 352 investigate this more formally, we examine the relationship between colony size and fungal-353 agricultural system. We find that colony size has a highly significant effect on agricultural 354 system when treated as either a continuous variable (PGLS, β =0.12, p < 0.001), or a 355 categorical variable (univariate multinomial logistic regression, see electronic supplementary 356 material, multinomial model analysis). Although it is not possible from current data to 357 determine the evolutionary sequence of events, a possible scenario is that shifts in the fungal-358 agricultural system in the Attini act as ecological drivers permitting increases in colony size, 359 and that these then lead to increases in the complexity of division of labour proposed by the 360 size-complexity hypothesis and detected by our analysis. 361 As phylogenetic reconstructions and large datasets of social and environmental trait data 362 become increasingly available, studies like the present one that combine the power of 363 phylogenetically-controlled analyses with the rich social and ecological diversity of eusocial 364 insects will help test the size-complexity hypothesis in additional taxa and, more generally, 365 investigate further how social and environmental factors influence the evolution of social 366 complexity and division of labour within societies. 367 Acknowledgements 368 We thank Tracie Evans and Elizabeth Rowse for help with data collection, Olaf Bininda-369 Emonds for help with phylogenetic reconstruction and Koos (J.J.) Boomsma, David Redding,

370 Kim Mroz and two anonymous reviewers for comments on the manuscript. This study was 371 funded by a NERC Open CASE PhD studentship (NE/H018565/1) (H.F-G.). 372 References 373 1. Maynard-Smith, J. & Szathmary, E. 1995 The major transitions in evolution, Oxford 374 University Press, Oxford. 375 2. Queller, D.C. & Strassmann, J.E. 2009 Beyond society: the evolution of organismality. 376 Philos. Trans. R. Soc London., Ser. B: Biol. Sci. 364, 3143-3155. 377 3. Boomsma, J.J. 2013 Beyond promiscuity: mate-choice commitments in social breeding. 378 Philos. Trans. R. Soc London., Ser. B: Biol. Sci. 368, 20120050. 379 4. Bourke, A.F.G. 2011 Principles of social evolution, Oxford University Press, Oxford. 380 5. Simpson, C. 2012 The evolutionary history of division of labour. Proc. R. Soc. Lond., Ser. 381 B: Biol Sci 279, 116-121. 382 6. Bourke, A. 1999 Colony size, social complexity and reproductive conflict in social insects. 383 J. Evol. Biol. 12, 245-257. 384 7. Hölldobler, B. & Wilson, E.O. 1990 *The Ants*, Cambridge MA: Belknap Press. 385 8. Arnan, X., Ferrandiz-Rovira, M., Pladevall, C. & Rodrigo, A. 2011 Worker size-related 386 task partitioning in the foraging strategy of a seed-harvesting ant species. Behav. Ecol. 387 Sociobiol. 65, 1881-1890. 388 9. Beshers, S.N. & Traniello, J.F. 1994 The adaptiveness of worker demography in the attine 389 ant Trachymyrmex septentrionalis. Ecology 75, 763-775. 390 10. Passera, L., Roncin, E., Kaufmann, B. & Keller, L. 1996 Increased soldier production in 391 ant colonies exposed to intraspecific competition. *Nature* **379**, 630-631. 11. Yang, A.S., Martin, C.H. & Nijhout, H.F. 2004 Geographic variation of caste structure 392 393 among ant populations. Curr. Biol. 14, 514-519. 394 12. Alexander, R.D., Noonan, K.M. & Crespi, B.J. 1991 The evolution of eusociality. The 395 biology of the naked mole-rat. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press 396 13. Bonner, J. 2004 Perspective: the size-complexity rule. *Evolution* **58**, 1883-1890.

397 14. Jeon, J. & Choe, J.C. 2003 Reproductive skew and the origin of sterile castes. The Am. 398 Nat. 161, 206-224. 399 15. Gautrais, J., Theraulaz, G., Deneubourg, J.L. & Anderson, C. 2002 Emergent polyethism 400 as a consequence of increased colony size in insect societies. J. Theor. Biol. 215, 363-373. 401 16. Jeanne, R.L. 1986 The organization of work in *Polybia occidentalis*: costs and benefits of 402 specialization in a social wasp. Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol. 19, 333-341. 403 17. Karsai, I. & Wenzel, J.W. 1998 Productivity, individual-level and colony-level flexibility, 404 and organization of work as consequences of colony size. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci USA 95, 8665-405 8669. 406 18. Thomas, M.L. & Elgar, M.A. 2003 Colony size affects division of labour in the ponerine 407 ant Rhytidoponera metallica. Naturwissenschaften **90**, 88-92. 408 19. Anderson, C. & McShea, D.W. 2001 Individual versus social complexity, with particular 409 reference to ant colonies. Biol. Rev. Camb. Philos. Soc. 76, 211-237. 410 20. Dornhaus, A., Powell, S. & Bengston, S. 2012 Group Size and Its Effects on Collective 411 Organization. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 57, 123-141. 412 21. Fjerdingstad, E.J. & Crozier, R.H. 2006 The evolution of worker caste diversity in social 413 insects. Am. Nat. 167, 390-400. 414 22. Rodriguez-Serrano, E., Inostroza-Michael, O., Avaria-Llautureo, J. & Hernandez, C.E. 415 2012 Colony size evolution and the origin of eusociality in corbiculate bees (Hymenoptera: 416 Apinae). PLoS One 7, e40838. 417 23. Kaspari, M. 2005 Global energy gradients and size in colonial organisms: worker mass 418 and worker number in ant colonies. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 102, 5079-5083. 419 24. Cerdá, X. & Retana, J. 1997 Links between worker polymorphism and thermal biology in 420 a thermophilic ant species. Oikos 78, 467-474. 421 25. Moreau, C.S. & Bell, C.D. 2013 Testing the museum versus cradle tropical biological 422 diversity hypothesis: phylogeny, diversification, and ancestral biogeographic range evolution 423 of the ants. Evolution 67, 2240-2257.

- 424 26. Mehdiabadi, N.J. & Schultz, T.R. 2010 Natural history and phylogeny of the fungus-
- farming ants (Hymenoptera: Formicidae: Myrmicinae: Attini). *Myrmecological News* **13**, 37-
- 426 55.
- 427 27. Rabeling, C., Cover, S.P., Johnson, R.A. & Mueller, U.G. 2007 A review of the North
- 428 American species of the fungus-gardening ant genus *Trachymyrmex* (Hymenoptera:
- Formicidae). *Zootaxa* **1664**, 1-53.
- 430 28. Hughes, W.O., Sumner, S., Van Borm, S. & Boomsma, J.J. 2003 Worker caste
- polymorphism has a genetic basis in *Acromyrmex* leaf-cutting ants. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*
- 432 *USA* **100**, 9394-9397.
- 433 29. Mueller, U.G., Rehner, S.A. & Schultz, T.R. 1998 The evolution of agriculture in ants.
- 434 *Science* **281**, 2034-2038.
- 435 30. Mueller, U.G., Schultz, T.R., Currie, C.R., Adams, R.M. & Malloch, D. 2001 The origin
- of the attine ant-fungus mutualism. *Q. Rev. Biol.* **76**, 169-197.
- 437 31. Henrik, H., Schiøtt, M., Rogowska-Wrzesinska, A., Nygaard, S., Roepstorff, P. &
- 438 Boomsma, J.J. 2013 Laccase detoxification mediates the nutritional alliance between leaf-
- 439 cutting ants and fungus-garden symbionts. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **110**, 583-587.
- 440 32. Murakami, T., Higashi, S. & Windsor, D. 2000 Mating frequency, colony size, polyethism
- and sex ratio in fungus-growing ants (Attini). *Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.* **48**, 276-284.
- 33. Frumhoff, P.C. & Ward, P.S. 1992 Individual-level selection, colony-level selection, and
- the association between polygyny and worker monomorphism in ants. Am. Nat. 139, 559-590.
- 34. Cristiano, M.P., Cardoso, D.C. & Fernandes-Salomão, T.M. 2013 Cytogenetic and
- molecular analyses reveal a divergence between Acromyrmex striatus (Roger, 1863) and other
- congeneric species: taxonomic implications. *PLoS One* **8**, e59784.
- 447 35. Kattge, J., Ogle, K., Bönisch, G., Díaz, S., Lavorel, S., Madin, J., Nadrowski, K., Nöllert,
- 448 S., Sartor, K. & Wirth, C. 2011 A generic structure for plant trait databases. *Methods Ecol.*
- 449 Evol. 2, 202-213.
- 450 36. Schneider, C.A., Rasband, W.S. & Eliceiri, K.W. 2012 NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of
- image analysis. *Nat. Methods* **9**, 671-675.

452 37. Oster, G.F. & Wilson, E.O. 1978 Caste and ecology in the social insects, Princeton, NJ: 453 Princeton University Press. 454 38. Kaspari, M. 1993 Body size and microclimate use in Neotropical granivorous ants. 455 *Oecologia* **96**, 500-507. 39. Vainio, L., Hakkarainen, H., Rantala, M.J. & Sorvari, J. 2004 Individual variation in 456 457 immune function in the ant Formica exsecta; effects of the nest, body size and sex. Evol. 458 *Ecol.* **18**, 75-84. 459 40. Weiser, M.D. & Kaspari, M. 2006 Ecological morphospace of New World ants. *Ecol.* 460 Entomol. 31, 131-142. 461 41. Hijmans, R.J. & van Etten, J. 2012 raster: Geographic analysis and modeling with raster 462 data. R package version 2.0-08. 463 42. Felsenstein, J. 1985 Phylogenies and the comparative method. Am. Nat. 125, 1-15. 464 43. Bininda-Emonds, O.R.P. 2004 Phylogenetic supertrees: Combining information to reveal 465 the Tree of Life, New York, NY: Springer. 466 44. Kramer, B.H. & Schaible, R. 2013 Colony size explains the lifespan differences between 467 queens and workers in eusocial Hymenoptera. Biol. J. Linn. Soc. 109, 710-724. 468 45. Armitage, S.A., Fernández-Marín, H., Wcislo, W.T. & Boomsma, J.J. 2012 An evaluation 469 of the possible adaptive function of fungal brood covering by attine ants. Evolution 66, 1966-470 1975. 471 46. Nyakatura, K. & Bininda-Emonds, O.R.P. 2012 Updating the evolutionary history of 472 Carnivora (Mammalia): a new species-level supertree complete with divergence time 473 estimates. *BMC Biol.* **10**, 12-42. 474 47. Jones, K.E., Purvis, A., Maclarnon, A., Bininda-Emonds, O.R. & Simmons, N.B. 2002 A 475 phylogenetic supertree of the bats (Mammalia: Chiroptera). Biol. Rev. Camb. Philos. Soc. 77, 476 223-259. 477 48. de Queiroz, A. & Gatesy, J. 2007 The supermatrix approach to systematics. *Trends Ecol.* 478 Evol. 22, 34-41.

- 49. Bininda-Emonds, O.R.P., Beck, R.M.D. & Purvis, A. 2005 Getting to the roots of matrix
- 480 representation. *Syst. Biol.* **54**, 668-672.
- 481 50. Buerki, S., Forest, F., Salamin, N. & Alvarez, N. 2011 Comparative performance of
- 482 supertree algorithms in large data sets using the soapberry family (Sapindaceae) as a case
- 483 study. Syst. Biol. 60, 32-44.
- 484 51. Nguyen, N.P.D., Mirarab, S. & Warnow, T. 2012 MRL and SuperFine+ MRL: new
- supertree methods. *Algorithms Mol. Biol.* 7, 3.
- 486 52. Davis, R.B., Baldauf, S.L. & Mayhew, P.J. 2010 Many hexapod groups originated earlier
- 487 and withstood extinction events better than previously realized: inferences from supertrees.
- 488 Proc. R. Soc. Lond., Ser. B: Biol. Sci. 277, 1597-1606.
- 489 53. Gaubert, P., Denys, G. & Oberdorff, T. 2009 Genus-level supertree of Cyprinidae
- 490 (Actinopterygii: Cypriniformes), partitioned qualitative clade support and test of macro-
- 491 evolutionary scenarios. *Biol. Rev. Camb. Philos. Soc.* **84**, 653-689.
- 492 54. Wilkinson, M. 1994 Common cladistic information and its consensus representation:
- reduced Adams and reduced cladistic consensus trees and profiles. *Syst. Biol.* **43**, 343-368.
- 494 55. Nixon, K.C. 1999 The Parsimony Ratchet, a new method for rapid parsimony analysis.
- 495 *Cladistics* **15**, 407-414.
- 496 56. Swofford, D.L. 2002 PAUP* Phylogenetic Analysis Using parsimony (* And Other
- 497 Methods). Version 4. Sunderland, MA: Sinaeur Associates.
- 498 57. Price, S.A., Bininda-Emonds, O.R.P. & Gittleman, A.L. 2005 A complete phylogeny of
- 499 the whales, dolphins and even-toed hoofed mammals (Cetartiodactyla). Biol. Rev. Camb.
- 500 *Philos. Soc.* **80**, 445-473.
- 58. Benson, D.A., Karsch-Mizrachi, I., Lipman, D.J., Ostell, J. & Sayers, E.W. 2010
- GenBank. Nucleic Acids Res. 38, D46-D51.
- 59. Drummond, A.J., Suchard, M.A., Xie, D. & Rambaut, A. 2012 Bayesian phylogenetics
- with BEAUti and the BEAST 1.7. *Mol. Bio. Evol.* **29**, 1969-1973.
- 505 60. Purvis, A. 1995 A composite estimate of primate phylogeny. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond.*,
- 506 Ser B: Biol. Sci. **348**, 405-421.

507	61. Baroni Urbani, C. 1980 First description of fossil gardening ants.(Amber collection
508	Stuttgart and Natural History Museum Basel; Hymenoptera: Formicidae. I: Attini.).
509	Stuttgarter Beitraege zur Naturkunde 54 , 1-13.
510	62. de Andrade, M. 2003 First descriptions of two new amber species of <i>Cyphomyrmex</i> from
511	Mexico and the Dominican Republic. Beiträge zur Entomologie 53, 131-139.
512	63. Schultz, T.R. 2007 The fungus-growing ant genus Apterostigma in Dominican amber.
513	Mem. Am. Entomol. Inst. 80, 425-436.
514	64. Dubovikoff, D. 2011 The first record of the genus <i>Pheidole</i> Westwood, 1839
515	(Hymenoptera: Formicidae) from the Baltic amber. Russian Entomological Journal 20, 255-
516	257.
517	65. Bininda-Emonds, O.R.P. 2012 chronoHrapher.pl v.1.3.3. (AG Systematik und
518	Evolutionsbiologie, IBU - Fakultät V, Carl von Ossietzky Universität Oldenburg., Program
519	distributed by the author.
520	66. Orme, D., Freckleton, R.P., Thomas, G., Petzoldt, T., Fritz, S., Isaac, N. & Pearse, W.
521	2012 caper: Comparative Analyses of Phylogenetics and Evolution in R. R package version
522	0.5.
523	67. Zuur, A.F., Ieno, E.N. & Elphick, C.S. 2010 A protocol for data exploration to avoid
524	common statistical problems. Methods Ecol. Evol. 1, 3-14.
525	68. Burnham, K.P., Anderson, D.R. & Huyvaert, K.P. 2011 AIC model selection and
526	multimodel inference in behavioral ecology: some background, observations, and
527	comparisons. Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol. 65, 23-35.
528	69. Pearse, I.S. & Hipp, A.L. 2012 Global patterns of leaf defenses in oak species. <i>Evolution</i>
529	66 , 2272-2286.
530	70. Schultz, T.R. & Brady, S.G. 2008 Major evolutionary transitions in ant agriculture. <i>Proc.</i>
531	Natl. Acad. Sci., USA 105, 5435-5440.
532	71. Schultz, T.R. & Meier, R. 1995 A phylogenetic analysis of the fungus-growing ants
533	(Hymenoptera: Formicidae: Attini) based on morphological characters of the larvae. Syst.
534	Entomol. 20, 337-370.

72. Villesen, P., Murakami, T., Schultz, T.R. & Boomsma, J.J. 2002 Identifying the transition
between single and multiple mating of queens in fungus-growing ants. Proc. R. Soc. Lond.,
Ser. B 269 , 1541-1548.
73. Bininda-Emonds, O.R.P. 2003 Novel versus unsupported clades: assessing the qualitative
support for clades in MRP supertrees. Syst. Biol. 52, 839-848.
74. Fisher, R.M., Cornwallis, C.K. & West, S.A. 2013 Group Formation, Relatedness, and the
Evolution of Multicellularity. Curr. Biol. 23, 1120-1125.
75. Purcell, J. 2011 Geographic patterns in the distribution of social systems in terrestrial
arthropods. Biol. Rev. Camb. Philos. Soc. 86, 475-491.
76. Fournier, D., Battaille, G., Timmermans, I. & Aron, S. 2008 Genetic diversity, worker
size polymorphism and division of labour in the polyandrous ant Cataglyphis cursor. Anim.
Behav. 75, 151-158.

Social Complexity in Attine Ants – Ferguson-Gow et al.

23

Table 1. Averaged models describing effects of covariates on worker size variation, queen-worker dimorphism (where (a) and (b) represent models excluding and including worker size variation, respectively) and colony size in the Attini. Regression coefficients and confidence intervals are reported from best (Δ AICc > 4) PGLS models from full candidate sets (see electronic supplementary material, tables S4a, b and c). Bold type indicates significant covariates.

Covariates	Worker size variation	Queen-worker dimorphism (a)	Queen-worker dimorphism (b)	Colony size
(intercept)	β=1.806 (-0.554, 4.165), pCI±0.000	β=1.659 (1.644, 1.675), pCI±0.031	β=0.923 (-0.957, 2.802), pCI±0.010	β=4.230 (-3.954-12.414), pCI±0.146
Colony size	β=0.392 (0.227, 0.559), pCI±0.000, W=1.00	β=0.159 (0.042, 0.276), pCI±0.001, W=0.85	β=0.135 (-0.030, 0.301), pCI±0.005, W=0.60	-
Worker size variation	-	-	β=0.235 (-0.127, 0.598), pCI±0.011, W=0.52	-
Queen-worker dimorphism	β=0.108 (-0.497, 0.713), pCI=n/a, W=0.48	-	-	-
Mean diurnal temperature range	β=-0.015 (-0.035, 0.0058), pCI±0.000, W=0.69	-	-	β =-0.021 (-0.071, 0.029), $pCI\pm0.001$, W =0.40
Isothermality	-	β=-0.004 (-0.044, 0.036), W=0.28	β=-0.003 (-0.046, 0.038), W=0.33	β=0.022 (-0.126, 0.170), pCI±0.001, W=0.50
Temperature seasonality	-	-	-	-
Precipitation seasonality	β=0.013 (-0.017, 0.043), pCI±0.000, W=0.041	β=0.0009 (-0.014, 0.016), <i>W</i> =0.28	β=0.004 (-0.009, 0.016), <i>W</i> =0.36	β=-0.015 (-0.210, 0.179), pCI±0.001, W=0.62
Isothermality*precipitation seasonality	-	-	-	β=0.003 (-0.0002, 0.006), <i>W</i> =0.13
Latitude	-	-	-	β=0.009 (-0.077, 0.096), <i>W</i> =0.19

Key: β = model averaged regression slope (95% confidence intervals), pCI = 95% confidence interval for the regression slope from 1000 models including parameters with W>0.4 from a sample of 1000 equally likely trees; W = cumulative AICc weight over all models from the full candidate model set.

548	Figure legends
549	Figure 1. Distribution of colony size, worker size variation and queen-worker dimorphism on
550	a phylogenetic supertree for the Attini (30 species). The full tree (electronic supplementary
551	material, figure S2) was pruned to include only the species for which there were data on at
552	least one trait and appeared in the phylogeny. Black circles are proportional to ln mean colony
553	size, grey circles to the square root of worker size variation and white circles to ln queen-
554	worker dimorphism. Branch lengths are proportional to time (millions of years).
555	
556	Figure 2. The relationship between ln mean colony size and square root worker size variation
557	in the 19 species of Attini for which colony size and worker-size variation data were
558	available; triangles represent the lower Attini, circles the higher Attini (excluding the
559	leafcutter ants) and squares the leafcutter ants. Slope and intercept are taken from the
560	phylogenetically controlled averaged model (table 1), and dotted lines are \pm 95% confidence
561	intervals from the same model.



