DIRICHLET SERIES FOR FINITE COMBINATORIAL RANK DYNAMICS

G. EVEREST, R. MILES, S. STEVENS, AND T. WARD

Draft May 24, 2007

ABSTRACT. We introduce a class of group endomorphisms – those of finite combinatorial rank – exhibiting slow orbit growth. An associated Dirichlet series is used to obtain an exact orbit counting formula, and in the connected case this series is shown to have a closed rational form. Analytic properties of the Dirichlet series are related to orbit-growth asymptotics: depending on the location of the abscissa of convergence and the degree of the pole there, various orbit-growth asymptotics are found, all of which are polynomially bounded.

Contents

1.	Introduction	1
2.	Finite combinatorial rank	4
3.	Dynamical Dirichlet series	10
4.	Examples	13
5.	Proof of Theorems 3.3 and 3.4	16
6.	Proof of Theorem 3.5	20
7.	Proof of Theorem 3.6	22
References		35

1. Introduction

A closed orbit τ of length $|\tau|=n$ for a map $\alpha\colon X\to X$ is a set of the form

$$\{x, \alpha(x), \alpha^2(x), \dots, \alpha^n(x) = x\}$$

with cardinality n (in our setting X will always be a compact metric space and α a continuous map). Dynamical analogues of the prime

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 37C30; 26E30; 12J25.

This research was supported by E.P.S.R.C. grant EP/C015754/1.

number theorem concern the asymptotic behavior of quantities like

$$\pi_{\alpha}(N) = |\{\tau \text{ a closed orbit of } \alpha \colon |\tau| \leqslant N\}|.$$

When X has a metric structure with respect to which α is hyperbolic, results of Parry and Pollicott [11], [12], [13] and others apply to give a precise understanding of the growth properties of π_{α} . Without hyperbolicity less is known: Waddington [16] considered the case of a quasihyperbolic toral automorphism, and the authors [3] found asymptotics for connected S-integer systems with S finite (these are isometric extensions of hyperbolic automorphisms of arithmetic origin).

Writing

$$\mathsf{F}_{\alpha}(n) = |\{x \in X : \alpha^n x = x\}|$$

for the number of points fixed by α^n , the dynamical zeta function of α is defined by

$$\zeta_{\alpha}(z) = \exp \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n} \mathsf{F}_{\alpha}(n)$$

which has a formal expansion as an Euler product,

$$\zeta_{\alpha}(z) = \prod_{\tau} \left(1 - z^{|\tau|} \right)^{-1},$$

where the product is taken over all closed orbits of α . In the hyperbolic and quasihyperbolic settings, the dynamical zeta function is either rational or has a meromorphic extension beyond its radius of convergence, allowing analytic methods to be used to obtain asymptotic estimates for π_{α} . For S-integer systems with S finite the dynamical zeta function typically has a natural boundary, so more direct methods have to be used in [3]. In all these cases the asymptotic takes the form

$$\pi_{\alpha}(N) \sim \frac{e^{h(\alpha)N}}{N} \Psi(N),$$

where Ψ is an explicit almost-periodic function bounded away from zero and infinity, constant in the hyperbolic cases, and $h(\alpha)$ is the topological entropy of α . The S-integer systems with S finite may be viewed as arithmetical perturbations of (quasi)hyperbolic systems, and the orbit growth is still essentially exponential.

Our purpose here is to describe orbit growth for a class of dynamical systems much further from hyperbolicity: those of *finite combinatorial rank*. The dynamical system (X, α) has finite combinatorial rank if the sequence of periodic point counts $(\mathsf{F}_{\alpha}(n))$ has finite rank in a canonical partially ordered set of integer sequences (see Definition 2.9). These systems are found to possess an orbit structure which allows Dirichlet series to be used directly. The simplest non-trivial example of a group

automorphism with finite combinatorial rank is the automorphism α dual to the map $x \mapsto 2x$ on the localization $\mathbb{Z}_{(3)}$ of the integers at the prime 3 (the periodic point data for this map is the same as that of the map $z \mapsto z^2$ on $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : z^{3^k} = 1 \text{ for some } k \geqslant 0\}$). By [2], this system has $\mathsf{F}_{\alpha}(n) = |2^n - 1|_3^{-1}$, and Stangoe [14] used this to show the asymptotic

$$\pi_{\alpha}(N) = \frac{1}{\log 3} \log(N) + \mathcal{O}(1),$$

for all $N \ge 1$.

The main results concern an algebraic system (X, α) of finite combinatorial rank, and the number $O_{\alpha}(n)$ of closed orbits of length n under α . We associate the Dirichlet series

$$\mathsf{d}_{\alpha}(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathsf{O}_{\alpha}(n)}{n^z}$$

to (X, α) and use this to study the orbit-growth function

$$\pi_{\alpha}(N) = \sum_{n \leqslant N} \mathsf{O}_{\alpha}(n).$$

In Theorem 3.3 we find an exact expression for the Dirichlet series and use this to show that, in the connected case, $d_{\alpha}(z)$ is a rational function of the variables $\{c^{-z}:c\in\mathcal{C}\}$ where \mathcal{C} is a finite set of positive integers (see Example 4.1 for a simple instance of this rationality result).

The asymptotic behaviour of π_{α} is governed by the abscissa of convergence σ of d_{α} and the order K of the pole at σ . For the case $\sigma = 0$, Theorem 3.6(1) shows that

$$\pi_{\alpha}(N) = C (\log N)^K + O ((\log N)^{K-1}).$$

Example 7.2 illustrates this result. For $\sigma > 0$ the situation is more involved. In combinatorial rank one (in which case the pole at σ is necessarily simple), Theorem 3.5 shows that

$$\pi_{\alpha}(N) = \delta(N)N^{\sigma} + \mathrm{O}(1)$$

where δ is an explicit oscillatory function bounded away from zero and infinity. An instance of this phenomena may be found in Example 4.3.

For the general case of a simple pole, we show in Theorem 3.6(2) a Chebychev result of the form

$$AN^{\sigma} \leqslant \pi_{\alpha}(N) \leqslant BN^{\sigma}$$

for constants A, B > 0. Given the oscillatory function that arises in combinatorial rank one, no stronger asymptotic can be expected.

Finally, and surprisingly, in the case $\sigma > 0$ and $K \ge 2$, Theorem 3.6(3) gives the exact asymptotic

$$\pi_{\alpha}(N) \sim CN^{\sigma} (\log N)^{K-1}$$
.

This comes about because the higher-order pole introduces a factor which randomizes the oscillatory behaviour seen in the case of a simple pole. This is most easily seen in Example 7.4.

Typically, particularly in analytic number theory, the route from the singular behaviour of a Dirichlet series to a counting function goes via a Tauberian theorem. However, the presence of singularities of d_{α} arbitrarily close together along the line $\Re(z) = \sigma$ hampers this approach. Indeed, the oscillatory term in Theorem 3.5 is incompatible with the conclusion one might hope to reach via Tauberian methods. In simpler situations like Example 4.1 (where the singularities on the line $\Re(z) = \sigma$ are equally spaced), Agmon's Tauberian theorem [1] or Perron's Theorem [6, Th. 13] can be applied. However, it is far easier to obtain asymptotics directly from the series expression for d_{α} .

The letter C is used to denote various constants independent of variables n, N, x and so on; \mathbb{N}_0 denotes $\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.

2. FINITE COMBINATORIAL RANK

Let X be a compact metrizable abelian group of finite topological dimension and let α be a continuous ergodic epimorphism of X with finite topological entropy. Let \mathcal{D} denote the class of such dynamical systems (X, α) . For $(X, \alpha) \in \mathcal{D}$, Lemma 2.1 below will show that $\mathsf{F}_{\alpha}(n)$ is finite for all $n \geq 1$.

Consider the ring $\mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}}$ with the product ordering \leq (so $(a_n) \leq$ (b_n) if $a_n \leq b_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$). For a subset $A \subset \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}}$, $a \in A$ is said to have finite rank in A if there is some C > 0 such that all strictly decreasing chains of elements of A starting at a have length at most C.

Pontryagin duality gives a one-to-one correspondence between elements of \mathcal{D} and certain countable modules over the domain $R = \mathbb{Z}[t]$ as follows. The additive group $M = \widehat{X}$ has the structure of an R-module by identifying $x \mapsto tx$ with the map $\widehat{\alpha}$ and extending in an obvious way to polynomials. The module M satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) M is countable (since X is metrizable);
- (2) the map $x \mapsto tx$ is a monomorphism of M (since α is onto);
- (3) the set of associated primes Ass(M) is finite and consists entirely of non-zero principal ideals, none of which are generated

by cyclotomic polynomials (since X is finite-dimensional, α is ergodic and $h(\alpha) < \infty$);

(4) for each $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Ass}(M)$,

$$m(\mathfrak{p}) = \dim_{\mathbb{K}(\mathfrak{p})} M_{\mathfrak{p}} < \infty,$$

where $\mathbb{K}(\mathfrak{p})$ denotes the field of fractions of R/\mathfrak{p} (since X is finite-dimensional and $h(\alpha) < \infty$).

Conversely, if M is an R-module satisfying these four properties and α_M denotes the epimorphism of $X_M = \widehat{M}$ dual to $x \mapsto tx$ on M, then

$$(X_M, \alpha_M) \in \mathcal{D}.$$

Denote the class of all such R-modules M by $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}$.

The next lemma gives a formula for the number of periodic points of an element of \mathcal{D} in terms of valuations of sequences of the form

$$\theta(\mathfrak{p}) = (\overline{t}^n - 1)_{n \geqslant 1},$$

where \overline{t} denotes the image of t in R/\mathfrak{p} for some prime ideal \mathfrak{p} associated to M. In order to describe this, suppose that $\mathfrak{p} \subset R$ is a principal prime ideal so $\mathbb{K}(\mathfrak{p})$ is a global field with finite residue class fields. Let $\mathsf{P}(\mathfrak{p})$, $\mathsf{P}_0(\mathfrak{p})$, $\mathsf{P}_\infty(\mathfrak{p})$ denote the places, finite places and infinite places of $\mathbb{K}(\mathfrak{p})$ respectively. In what follows, if v denotes a normalized additive valuation corresponding to a place in $\mathsf{P}_0(\mathfrak{p})$, $|\cdot|_v$ is defined by $|\cdot|_v = |\mathfrak{K}_v|^{-v(\cdot)}$, where \mathfrak{K}_v is the residue class field. For ease of notation, if P is any subset of the set of places of a global field K then write

$$|x|_P = \prod_{v \in P} |x|_v,$$

for $x \in K$, with the convention that $|x|_{\varnothing} = 1$. Finally, note that each $v \in \mathsf{P}_0(\mathfrak{p})$ also induces a map $|\cdot|_v \colon \mathbb{K}(\mathfrak{p})^{\mathbb{N}} \to \mathbb{Q}^{\mathbb{N}}$, and $|\theta(\mathfrak{p})|_v$ is a unit in $\mathbb{Q}^{\mathbb{N}}$ provided \mathfrak{p} is not generated by a cyclotomic polynomial.

Write F_{α} for the sequence $(F_{\alpha}(n))_{n\geqslant 1}$, and for sequences $a=(a_n)$ and $b=(b_n)$ write ab, a^k for the sequences $(a_nb_n), (a_n^k)$ respectively.

Lemma 2.1. Let $M \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}$. Then there exist $\mathfrak{p}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{p}_r \in \mathrm{Ass}(M)$ and sets of places P_1, \ldots, P_r with $P_i \subset \mathsf{P}_0(\mathfrak{p}_i)$, $1 \leq i \leq r$, such that

$$\mathsf{F}_{\alpha_M} = \prod_{i=1}^r |\theta(\mathfrak{p}_i)|_{P_i}^{-1}.\tag{1}$$

Proof. This is shown in [8, Th. 1.1].

For $M \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}$, set

$$[M] = \{ \mathsf{F}_{\alpha_L} \colon L \text{ is a submodule of } M \} \subset \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}}.$$

Lemma 2.1 and the next result together show how [M] relates to the simpler constituent sets of sequences of the form $[\mathbb{K}(\mathfrak{p})]$. The members of a set like $[\mathbb{K}(\mathfrak{p})]$ are sequences of periodic points for S-integer systems. Write 2^P for the set of subsets of P.

Lemma 2.2. Let $\mathfrak{p} \subset R$ be a principal prime ideal which is not generated by a cyclotomic polynomial, and set

$$P = \{ v \in \mathsf{P}_0(\mathfrak{p}) \colon |R/\mathfrak{p}|_v \text{ is bounded} \}.$$

Then $[\mathbb{K}(\mathfrak{p})]$ is the image of the map $\psi \colon 2^P \to \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}}$ where

$$\psi(Q) = |\theta(\mathfrak{p})|_Q^{-1}.$$

Proof. The proof of [8, Th. 1.1] shows that $[\mathbb{K}(\mathfrak{p})] \subset \psi(2^P)$, so it remains to show the reverse inclusion. Fix a subset $Q \subset P$. If $Q = \emptyset$, set $L = \mathbb{K}(\mathfrak{p})$, otherwise set $L = \bigcap_{v \in Q} R_v$, where R_v is the discrete valuation ring of $\mathbb{K}(\mathfrak{p})$ corresponding to v. Then L is a submodule of $\mathbb{K}(\mathfrak{p})$ with $\mathsf{F}_{\alpha_L} = \psi(Q)$ by construction.

It follows that

$$[M] \subset \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Ass}(M)} [\mathbb{K}(\mathfrak{p})]^{m(\mathfrak{p})} \tag{2}$$

and each $[\mathbb{K}(\mathfrak{p})]$ has a description as in Lemma 2.2.

Proposition 2.3. For any R-module $M \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}$ the following holds.

(1) For each $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Ass}(M)$, the set $[\mathbb{K}(\mathfrak{p})]$ contains a greatest element

$$s(\mathfrak{p}) = \mathsf{F}_{\alpha_{R/\mathfrak{p}}}$$
 .

Furthermore, for any $a \in [\mathbb{K}(\mathfrak{p})], a^{-1}s(\mathfrak{p}) \in [\mathbb{K}(\mathfrak{p})].$

(2) The set [M] contains a greatest element

$$\mathsf{F}_{\alpha_L} = \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Ass}(M)} s(\mathfrak{p})^{m(\mathfrak{p})},$$

given by a Noetherian submodule $L \subset M$.

Proof. (1) This follows from the description given by Lemma 2.2: The sequence $\psi(P) = \mathsf{F}_{\alpha_{R/\mathfrak{p}}}$ is the greatest element of $[\mathbb{K}(\mathfrak{p})]$ and for any subset $Q \subset P$, we have $\psi(Q)\psi(P \setminus Q) = \psi(P)$.

(2) Let

$$s = \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Ass}(M)} s(\mathfrak{p})^{m(\mathfrak{p})},$$

then (1) and Lemma 2.1 show that $\mathsf{F}_{\alpha_M} \preccurlyeq s$. The result follows by observing that there is a Noetherian submodule $L \subset M$ with a prime filtration in which each of the primes $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Ass}(M)$ appears with multiplicity $m(\mathfrak{p})$ and no other primes appear. It follows that $\mathsf{F}_{\alpha_L} = s$. \square

Example 2.4. If α_M is a quasihyperbolic toral epimorphism then F_{α_M} is the greatest element of [M]. More generally, for any Noetherian module $M \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}$, F_{α_M} is the greatest element of [M].

The next result gives a convenient characterization of the finite-rank sequences in a poset of the form $[\mathbb{K}(\mathfrak{p})]$; this will be useful when considering dynamical systems of finite combinatorial rank in the next section.

Theorem 2.5. Let $\mathfrak{p} \subset R$ be a principal prime ideal which is not generated by a cyclotomic polynomial and let $a \in [\mathbb{K}(\mathfrak{p})]$. Then a has finite rank if and only if there is a finite set of places $Q \subset \mathsf{P}_0(\mathfrak{p})$ such that $|\theta(\mathfrak{p})|_Q^{-1} = a$.

The proof of Theorem 2.5 requires the following lemma, taken from [9, Lem. 4.9]. Recall that \mathfrak{K}_v denotes the residue class field of a place v, write ℓ_v for the multiplicative order of the image of t in \mathfrak{K}_v^{\times} and r_v for the (absolute) residue degree.

Lemma 2.6. Let $\mathfrak{p} \subset R$ be a principal prime ideal which is not generated by a cyclotomic polynomial. Let $v \in \mathsf{P}_0(\mathfrak{p})$ have the property that $|\overline{t}|_v = 1$ and let $p = \mathrm{char}(\mathfrak{K}_v)$. Then there exist constants $D \geqslant 1$, $E \geqslant 0$ such that

$$|\theta(\mathfrak{p})_n|_v^{-1} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \ell_v \nmid n, \\ Dp^{r_v \operatorname{ord}_p(n)} & \text{if } \ell_v | n, \operatorname{char}(\mathbb{K}(\mathfrak{p})) = 0 \text{ and } \operatorname{ord}_p(n) \geqslant E, \\ |\theta(\mathfrak{p})_{\ell_v}|_v^{-|n|_p^{-1}} & \text{if } \ell_v | n \text{ and } \operatorname{char}(\mathbb{K}(\mathfrak{p})) > 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof of Theorem 2.5. Let $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{K}(\mathfrak{p})$, $\theta = \theta(\mathfrak{p})$ and let P, ψ be as in Lemma 2.2. For any $Q \subset P$, set

$$\widetilde{Q} = \{ v \in Q \colon |\theta|_v^{-1} \neq 1 \}.$$

Notice that if $|\overline{t}|_v < 1$ then $|\theta|_v = 1$, so $|\overline{t}|_v = 1$ for all $v \in \widetilde{P}$.

Suppose that a has finite combinatorial rank and that $Q \subset P$ satisfies $\psi(Q) = a$. Assume \widetilde{Q} is infinite. By choosing a strictly decreasing chain

$$\widetilde{Q} = Q_1 \supset Q_2 \supset \cdots$$

it follows that

$$a = \psi(Q_1) \succ \psi(Q_2) \succ \cdots,$$

contradicting the assumption that a has finite combinatorial rank. Hence \widetilde{Q} is finite and satisfies $\psi(\widetilde{Q}) = a$.

Conversely, suppose there is a finite set $Q \subset P$ with $\psi(Q) = a$. Without loss of generality, assume that $Q = \widetilde{Q}$. If there are only finitely many distinct $Q' \subset \widetilde{P}$ with $\psi(Q') \leq a$, the required result

follows. Hence, for a contradiction assume there are infinitely many such Q'.

First suppose that $char(\mathbb{K}) = 0$. Then the set of rational primes

$$S = \{ \operatorname{char}(\mathfrak{K}_v) \colon v \in Q \}$$

is finite. Furthermore, using Lemma 2.6, there exist positive constants c, D, E such that for each $n \ge 1$ with $\min_{p \in S} \{ \operatorname{ord}_p(n) \} \ge E$,

$$a_n = |\theta_n|_Q^{-1} \leqslant Dc^{j(n)},\tag{3}$$

where $j(n) = \max\{\operatorname{ord}_p(n) : p \in S\}.$

There are only finitely many $v \in \mathsf{P}_0(\mathbb{K})$ with $\mathrm{char}(\mathfrak{K}_v)$ equal to a given prime. So, since there are infinitely many distinct $Q' \subset \widetilde{P}$ with $\psi(Q') \leq a$, it is possible to choose Q' to contain a place v with $\mathrm{char}(\mathfrak{K}_v) \not\in S$. For $r \geq 0$, set

$$n(r) = \ell_v q^r \prod_{p \in S} p^E,$$

where $q = \operatorname{char}(\mathfrak{K}_v)$. Since j(n(r)) is bounded, (3) shows that $a_{n(r)}$ is also bounded. However, again applying Lemma 2.6, for a sufficiently large choice of r,

$$|\theta_{n(r)}|_{Q'}^{-1} \ge |\theta_{n(r)}|_v^{-1} > a_{n(r)},$$

contradicting $\psi(Q') \leq a$.

Now assume that $\operatorname{char}(\mathbb{K}) = p > 0$. Then $R/\mathfrak{p} = \mathbb{F}_p[\overline{t}]$ and \overline{t} is transcendental over \mathbb{F}_p . Since Q is finite, Lemma 2.6 shows that the set $\{a_n \colon \operatorname{ord}_p(n) = 0, n \geqslant 1\}$ is bounded. There are, by assumption, infinitely many distinct $Q' \subset \widetilde{P}$ with $\psi(Q') \preccurlyeq a$ so it is possible to choose such a Q' to contain a place v for which $|\mathfrak{K}_v|$ is larger than this bound. However, $|\theta_{\ell_v}|_v \leqslant |\mathfrak{K}_v|^{-1}$ and since $\operatorname{ord}_p(\ell_v) = 0$,

$$|\theta_{\ell_v}|_{Q'}^{-1} \geqslant |\theta_{\ell_v}|_v^{-1} \geqslant |\mathfrak{K}_v| > a_{\ell_v},$$

contradicting $\psi(Q') \leq a$ again.

Example 2.7. The poset $[\mathbb{K}(t-2)]$, which contains the sequences of periodic point counts arising from algebraic factors of the map $x \mapsto 2x$ on the solenoid $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}$, is illustrated in Figure 1 (the figure shows a small part of the full poset: the first level from the bottom has infinitely many sequences, parameterised by the rational primes). The greatest element corresponds to the circle doubling map $x \mapsto 2x$ on $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$, and the central sequence represents $\psi(Q)$, where Q is the set of Mersenne primes. According to Theorem 2.5, $\psi(Q)$ has finite rank if and only

$$1, 3, 7, 15, 31, 63, 127, 255, 511, 1023$$

$$1, 3, 7, 3, 31, 63, 127, 51, 511, 1023$$

$$1, 3, 7, 3, 31, 63, 127, 51, 511, 93$$

$$\vdots$$

$$1, 3, 7, 3, 31, 63, 127, 3, 7, 93$$

$$\vdots$$

$$1, 3, 7, 3, 1, 63, 1, 3, 7, 3$$

$$\vdots$$

$$1, 3, 1, 3, 1, 9, 1, 3, 1, 3$$

$$1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1$$

FIGURE 1. Part of the Hasse diagram for $[\mathbb{K}(t-2)]$.

if there are finitely many Mersenne primes. The least element corresponds to the map $x\mapsto 2x$ on $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}$, corresponding to $\psi(Q)$ where Q is the set of all rational primes.

Remark 2.8. The S-integer systems studied by Chothi, Everest and Ward [2] give an alternative way to describe the periodic point sequences we study. In the connected case, they may be described as follows. Fix an algebraic number field \mathbb{K} with set of places $\mathsf{P}(\mathbb{K})$ and set of infinite places $\mathsf{P}_{\infty}(\mathbb{K})$, an element of infinite multiplicative order $\xi \in \mathbb{K}^*$, and a set $S \subset \mathsf{P}(\mathbb{K}) \backslash \mathsf{P}_{\infty}(\mathbb{K})$ with the property that $|\xi|_w \leqslant 1$ for all $w \notin S \cup \mathsf{P}_{\infty}(\mathbb{K})$. The associated ring of S-integers is

$$R_S = \{x \in \mathbb{K} : |x|_w \leqslant 1 \text{ for all } w \notin S \cup \mathsf{P}_{\infty}(\mathbb{K})\}.$$

Let X be the character group of R_S , and define an endomorphism α to be the dual of the map $x \mapsto \xi x$ on R_S . By [2, Lemma 5.2] the number of points in X fixed by α^n is

$$\mathsf{F}_{\alpha}(n) = \prod_{w \in S \cup \mathsf{P}_{\infty}(\mathbb{K})} |\xi^{n} - 1|_{w}.$$

In the language of [2], the systems we are interested in are the co-finite ones (those for which $P(\mathbb{K}) \setminus S$ is a finite set) and finite products of them. Using the product formula for global fields, the periodic point formula when S is co-finite reduces to one of the factors in (1).

In view of the canonical inclusion (2) and the results of this section, we make the following definition.

Definition 2.9. Let $M \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}}$. Then α_M has finite combinatorial rank if F_{α_M} has finite rank in

$$\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in \mathrm{Ass}(M)} [\mathbb{K}(\mathfrak{p})]^{m(\mathfrak{p})}.$$

For the rest of the paper, we assume that $(X, \alpha) \in \mathcal{D}$.

3. Dynamical Dirichlet Series

Writing $O_{\alpha}(n)$ for the number of orbits of length n under α , we have the following arithmetic relation between periodic points and orbits,

$$\mathsf{F}_{\alpha}(n) = \sum_{d|n} d \, \mathsf{O}_{\alpha}(d).$$

By Möbius inversion,

$$O_{\alpha}(n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d|n} \mu(n/d) \, \mathsf{F}_{\alpha}(d). \tag{4}$$

Definition 3.1. The dynamical Dirichlet series associated to the map α is the formal series

$$\mathsf{d}_{\alpha}(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathsf{O}_{\alpha}(n)}{n^z}.$$

Example 3.2. The examples we wish to study are group epimorphisms of finite combinatorial rank, but the quadratic map $\alpha : x \mapsto 1 - cx^2$ on the interval [-1,1] at the Feigenbaum value $c = 1.401155\cdots$ (see Feigenbaum's lecture notes [5]) gives a particularly simple example of a dynamical Dirichlet series. This map has

$$O_{\alpha}(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 2^k \text{ for some } k \geqslant 0; \\ 0 & \text{if not,} \end{cases}$$

so $d(z) = \frac{1}{1-2^{-z}}$. In this example it is clear that $\pi_{\alpha}(N) = \frac{\log N}{\log 2} + O(1)$ in accordance with Theorem 3.6, but it is important to emphasise that in general the asymptotic growth statements cannot be deduced from the analytic behaviour of the Dirichlet series alone.

Using convolution of Dirichlet series (see [15, Sec. 3.7]), an alternative way of expressing the relation (4) is

$$\mathsf{d}_{\alpha}(z) = \frac{1}{\zeta(z+1)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathsf{F}_{\alpha}(n)/n}{n^z},\tag{5}$$

where as usual

$$\zeta(z+1) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1/n}{n^z}.$$

For systems of finite combinatorial rank, this observation is extremely useful: it is possible to extract $\zeta(z+1)$ as a factor of the series on the right-hand side of (5), resulting in an exact expression for orbit counting. Note that only combinatorial properties of the zeta function are of importance here.

Recall that the set of rational primes p with

$$p \mid \mathsf{F}_{\alpha}(n) \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N}$$
 (6)

is finite when F_{α} has finite rank.

Theorem 3.3. Let (X, α) be a system of finite combinatorial rank and let Q be the set of rational primes given by (6). Then $d_{\alpha}(z)$ is a linear combination of Dirichlet series of the form

$$\sum_{\mathbf{e} \in \mathbb{N}_0^P} \frac{\varphi_P(\Lambda(\mathbf{e}))}{(b\varphi_P(\mathbf{e}))^z},$$

where $b \in \mathbb{N}$, $P \subset Q$, $\Lambda : \mathbb{N}_0^P \to \mathbb{N}_0^P$ and $\varphi_P(\mathbf{e}) = \prod_{p \in P} p^{e_p}$.

Furthermore, all these quantities can be determined explicitly. In particular, denoting the local field corresponding to a place v by \mathbb{K}_v and the residue degree of v by r_v ,

$$\Lambda(\mathbf{e})_p = -e_p + \sum_{v \in S(p)} r_v \lambda_v(e_p),$$

where S(p) is a set of places with residue class fields of characteristic p, and

$$\lambda_v(e_p) = \begin{cases} e_p & \text{if } \operatorname{char}(\mathbb{K}_v) = 0, \\ \eta_v p^{e_p} & \text{if } \operatorname{char}(\mathbb{K}_v) > 0, \end{cases}$$

for some positive integer constant η_v .

Theorem 3.3 and its proof allow us to deduce the following result for connected systems.

Theorem 3.4. Let (X, α) be a connected system of finite combinatorial rank. Then there is a finite set $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathbb{N}$ with the property that $\mathsf{d}_{\alpha}(z)$ is a rational function of the variables $\{c^{-z}: c \in \mathcal{C}\}.$

In principle, Theorem 3.3 provides an exact formula for orbit counting, since it implies that $\pi_{\alpha}(N)$ is a linear combination of expressions of the form

$$\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{e} \in \mathbb{N}_0^P, \\ \varphi_P(\mathbf{e}) \leqslant N/b}} \varphi_P(\Lambda(\mathbf{e})).$$

However, a closed asymptotic expression involving elementary functions is often more desirable, the prime number theorem being a case in point. Our focus is on connected systems, although Theorem 3.3 may also be applied in the disconnected case (*cf.* Example 4.4).

Theorem 3.5. Let (X, α) be a connected system of combinatorial rank one and let r_v be the residue degree of the associated place. Then

$$\pi_{\alpha}(N) = \begin{cases} C \log N + O(1) & \text{if } r_v = 1, \\ \delta(N)N^{r_v-1} + O(1) & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $\delta(N)$ is an explicit oscillatory function bounded away from zero and infinity.

Theorem 3.5 shows that an elementary asymptotic formula for π_{α} cannot be expected in general. Typically, an oscillatory function similar to that in Theorem 3.5 appears when the abscissa of convergence of \mathbf{d}_{α} is greater than zero and is a simple pole; we provide a Chebychev result in this case. In all other cases, an elementary asymptotic formula is found.

Theorem 3.6. Let (X, α) be a connected system of finite combinatorial rank whose Dirichlet series d_{α} has abscissa of convergence $\sigma \geqslant 0$. Let K denote the order of this pole.

(1) If $\sigma = 0$ then there is a constant C > 0 with

$$\pi_{\alpha}(N) = C (\log N)^K + O ((\log N)^{K-1}).$$

(2) If $\sigma > 0$ and K = 1 then there are constants $A, B, N_0 > 0$ such that for all $N > N_0$,

$$AN^{\sigma} \leqslant \pi_{\alpha}(N) \leqslant BN^{\sigma}.$$

(3) If $\sigma > 0$ and $K \geqslant 2$ then there is a constant C > 0 with

$$\pi_{\alpha}(N) \sim CN^{\sigma} (\log N)^{K-1}$$
.

Remark 3.7. (1) If (X, α) is a connected system of finite combinatorial rank and X has topological dimension one, the proof of Theorem 3.3 shows that d_{α} has abscissa of convergence $\sigma = 0$. Thus, the exact asymptotic of Theorem 3.6(1) applies. In general, there is no straightforward relationship between d_{α} and the topological dimension of X.

(2) Using Hlawka's bounds [7] on equidistribution in terms of discrepancy and Baker's theorem, the asymptotic in Theorem 3.6(3) could potentially be improved to give an error term.

4. Examples

One of the characteristic features of non-trivial S-integer dynamical systems is the extremely complex behavior of their dynamical zeta functions (see [3], [4], [17] for example), so the rationality of the Dirichlet series for systems of finite combinatorial rank is a little surprising.

Example 4.1. The basic reason for rationality of the Dirichlet series is already visible in the simplest non-trivial example given by the map α dual to the map $x \mapsto 2x$ on $\mathbb{Z}_{(3)} = \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}: p \text{ a prime } \neq 3]$. We have

$$\mathsf{F}_{\alpha}(n) = |2^{n} - 1|_{3}^{-1}$$

and hence

$$\mathsf{F}_{\alpha}(n) = \begin{cases} 3^{\operatorname{ord}_{3}(n)+1} & \text{if } n \text{ is even;} \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

From (5) we know that

$$\mathsf{d}_{\alpha}(z) = \zeta(z+1)^{-1} \left(\sum_{2 \nmid n} \frac{\mathsf{F}_{\alpha}(n)}{n^{z+1}} + \sum_{2 \mid n} \frac{\mathsf{F}_{\alpha}(n)}{n^{z+1}} \right).$$

Treating each term separately,

$$\sum_{2kn} \frac{\mathsf{F}_{\alpha}(n)}{n^{z+1}} = \sum_{n \ge 1} \frac{1}{n^{z+1}} - \sum_{n \ge 1} \frac{1}{(2n)^{z+1}} = \zeta(z+1) \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{z+1}}\right)$$

and (on writing an even n as $2 \cdot k \cdot 3^e$ with $3 \nmid k$)

$$\sum_{2|n} \frac{\mathsf{F}_{\alpha}(n)}{n^{z+1}} = \sum_{e\geqslant 0} \sum_{k\geqslant 1,3\nmid k} \frac{3^{e+1}}{(2k3^e)^{z+1}}$$

$$= \frac{3}{2^{z+1}} \sum_{e\geqslant 0} \frac{1}{3^{ez}} \sum_{k\geqslant 1,3\nmid k} \frac{1}{k^{z+1}}$$

$$= \frac{3}{2^{z+1}} \cdot \frac{1}{1-3^{-z}} \cdot \zeta(z+1) \left(1 - \frac{1}{3^{z+1}}\right).$$

Thus.

$$\mathsf{d}_\alpha(z) = 1 - \frac{1}{2^{z+1}} \left(1 - \frac{3}{1 - 3^{-z}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{3^{z+1}} \right) \right) = 1 + \frac{1}{2^z} \left(\frac{1}{1 - 3^{-z}} \right).$$

Note that the abscissa of convergence is $\sigma = 0$ and this is a simple pole.

The orbit-growth function π_{α} may be obtained by extracting the coefficients from the series expression for d_{α} ,

$$\pi_{\alpha}(N) = 1 + \sum_{e \geqslant 0, 2 \cdot 3^e \leqslant N} 1 = 1 + \sum_{e=0}^{\lfloor \log_3(N/2) \rfloor} 1 = \frac{\log N}{\log 3} + O(1).$$

Notice that in Example 4.1 the rational expression for d_{α} has infinitely many singularities along the line $\Re(z)=0$ at the points $2k\pi i/\log 3$ for $k\in\mathbb{Z}$. A less direct method for obtaining an asymptotic estimate for $\pi_{\alpha}(N)$ is provided by Agmon's Tauberian theorem [1] which applies in situations like this, but as the next example shows, the general case has even worse analytic properties. It also illustrates the impact of additional places.

Example 4.2. Let α be the map dual to $x \mapsto 2x$ on $\mathbb{Z}_{(3)} \cap \mathbb{Z}_{(5)}$. Then

$$\mathsf{F}_{\alpha}(n) = |2^n - 1|_3^{-1}|2^n - 1|_5^{-1},$$

SO

$$\mathsf{F}_{\alpha}(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd;} \\ 3 \cdot 3^{e_1} & \text{if } n = 2 \cdot k \cdot 3^{e_1}, 2 \nmid k, 3 \nmid k; \\ 15 \cdot 3^{e_1} \cdot 5^{e_2} & \text{if } n = 4 \cdot k \cdot 3^{e_1} \cdot 5^{e_2}, 3 \nmid k, 5 \nmid k. \end{cases}$$

A similar calculation to that used in Example 4.1 shows that

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{d}_{\alpha}(z) &= 1 - \frac{1}{2^{z+1}} + \frac{3}{2^{z+1}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{3^{z+1}} - \frac{1}{2^{z+1}} + \frac{1}{6^{z+1}} \right) \frac{1}{1 - 3^{-z}} \\ &+ \frac{15}{4^{z+1}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{3^{z+1}} - \frac{1}{5^{z+1}} + \frac{1}{15^{z+1}} \right) \frac{1}{(1 - 3^{-z})(1 - 5^{-z})}. \end{split}$$

Here, the abscissa of convergence $\sigma = 0$ is a double pole. An asymptotic expression for π_{α} is obtained in Section 7.1.

Notice that in Example 4.2 not only are there infinitely many singularities with $\Re(z) = 0$, but there are singularities that are arbitrarily close together.

The next example illustrates the situation when a higher topological dimension is allowed. This may also be regarded as allowing places with a higher residue degree.

Example 4.3. Let $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{5})$, with ring of integers

$$\mathfrak{O} = \mathbb{Z} + \sqrt[3]{5}\mathbb{Z} + (\sqrt[3]{5})^2\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt[3]{5}]$$

as \mathbb{Z} -modules. Notice that $\mathfrak{O} \cong R/(t^3-5)$ via the map

$$\sqrt[3]{5} \mapsto \overline{t} = t + (t^3 - 5).$$

There are two primes lying above the prime 2 of \mathbb{Z} , namely $(2, 1 + \overline{t})$ and $\mathfrak{m} = (2, 1 + \overline{t} + \overline{t}^2)$. The place v corresponding to \mathfrak{m} has residue degree 2, since $\mathfrak{D}/\mathfrak{m} \cong \mathbb{F}_4$.

Let $X = \widehat{\mathfrak{O}}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ and let α be the map dual to $x \mapsto \sqrt[3]{5} x$. Then X has topological dimension 3 and

$$\mathsf{F}_{\alpha}(n) = |\overline{t}^n - 1|_v = \begin{cases} 1 & 3 \nmid n; \\ 16 \cdot 2^{2 \operatorname{ord}_2(n)} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Using a similar method to the previous examples, the dynamical Dirichlet series for this example is,

$$\mathsf{d}_{\alpha}(z) = 1 + \frac{5}{3^z} + \frac{8}{6^z} \left(\frac{1}{1 - 2^{1-z}} \right).$$

In this case, the abscissa of convergence is $\sigma = 1$ and this is a simple pole. Using a similar method as before (or by using (4) directly), we obtain the closed formula

$$O_{\alpha}(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & n = 1; \\ 5 & n = 3; \\ 4 \cdot 2^{e} & n = 3 \cdot 2^{e} \text{ and } e \geqslant 1; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It follows that

$$\pi_{\alpha}(N) = 6 + 4 \sum_{e=1}^{\lfloor \log_2(N/3) \rfloor} 2^e$$

$$= \frac{8}{3} 2^{-\{\log_2(N/3)\}} N - 2, \tag{7}$$

for all $N \ge 6$, where $\{\cdot\}$ denotes the fractional part of a real number. Notice that (7) includes the oscillatory factor $\delta(N)$ appearing in Theorem 3.5. In this example,

$$\limsup_{N \to \infty} \delta(N) = \frac{8}{3}$$

and

$$\liminf_{N \to \infty} \delta(N) = \frac{4}{3}.$$

To conclude this section, the following example shows that the situation is quite different for disconnected systems. Here there is no rational expression for d_{α} although the series organizes orbit data in a convenient way, giving an exact expression for π_{α} .

Example 4.4. Let M be the discrete valuation ring $\mathbb{F}_3[t]_{(t-1)}$ obtained by localizing the domain $\mathbb{F}_3[t]$ at the prime ideal (t-1). Since the additive group of M is torsion, $X = \widehat{M}$ is zero-dimensional. Furthermore, the map α dual to $x \mapsto tx$ has periodic points counted by a single place v of $\mathbb{F}_3(t)$ corresponding to M. That is,

$$F_{\alpha}(n) = |t^n - 1|_{(t-1)}^{-1} = 3^{|n|_3^{-1}}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{d}_{\alpha}(z) &= \zeta(z+1)^{-1} \sum_{n\geqslant 1} \frac{3^{|n|_{3}^{-1}}}{n^{z+1}} \\ &= \zeta(z+1)^{-1} \sum_{e\geqslant 0} \frac{3^{3^{e}}}{(3^{e})^{z+1}} \sum_{k\geqslant 1, 3\nmid k} \frac{1}{k^{z+1}} \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{1}{3^{z+1}}\right) \sum_{e\geqslant 0} \frac{3^{3^{e}}/3^{e}}{(3^{e})^{z}}. \end{split}$$

Hence, we have the following exact expression for π_{α} ,

$$\pi_{\alpha}(N) = \sum_{e \geqslant 0, 3^{e} \leqslant N} \frac{3^{3^{e}}}{3^{e}} - \frac{1}{3} \sum_{e \geqslant 0, 3^{e} \leqslant N/3} \frac{3^{3^{e}}}{3^{e}}$$
$$= 1 + \frac{2}{3} \sum_{e \geqslant 0, 3^{e} \leqslant N} \frac{3^{3^{e}}}{3^{e}}.$$

A further calculation shows that

$$\limsup_{N \to \infty} \frac{N\pi_{\alpha}(N)}{3^N} = \frac{2}{3}$$

and

$$\liminf_{N \to \infty} \frac{N\pi_{\alpha}(N)}{3^N} = 0.$$

5. Proof of Theorems 3.3 and 3.4

The general case requires an inclusion–exclusion argument to deal with the congruence conditions arising from multiple terms in (1) and multiple places in each set P_i .

Since (X, α) has finite combinatorial rank, the sets P_i appearing in (1) are all finite. Let T be the union of the sets P_i , and let ξ_v for $v \in T$ be the image of t in the appropriate field $\mathbb{K}(\mathfrak{p})$. Notice that

each ξ_v has infinite multiplicative order and we may assume $|\xi_v|_v = 1$. For any finite non-empty set $S \subset T$ write

$$f_S(n) = \prod_{v \in S} |\xi_v^n - 1|_v^{-1}.$$
 (8)

Thus $f_T(n) = \mathsf{F}_{\alpha}(n)$. Let ℓ_v be the multiplicative order of the image of ξ_v in the residue field \mathfrak{K}_v of v. For $S \subset T$ and a set Q of rational primes, define

$$S(Q) = \{v \in S : \operatorname{char}(\mathfrak{K}_v) = p \text{ for some } p \in Q\} \subset S.$$

If $Q = \{p\}$, we also write S(p) for S(Q). Consider

$$d_{\alpha}(z) = \zeta(z+1)^{-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{f_{T}(n)}{n^{z+1}}.$$

Let $\ell_S = \text{lcm}\{\ell_v : v \in S\}$. Then the collection $\{N_S, S \subset T\}$, defined by

$$N_S = \{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \ell_S | n \text{ and } \ell_v \nmid n \text{ for all } v \in T \setminus S \},$$

forms a partition of \mathbb{N} . Hence,

$$d_{\alpha}(z) = \zeta(z+1)^{-1} \sum_{S \subset T} \sum_{n \in N_S} \frac{f_S(n)}{n^{z+1}},$$
(9)

since $f_S = f_T$ on N_S by Lemma 2.6.

Let $E = \mathbb{N}_0^Q$, where

$$Q = Q(S) = \{ \operatorname{char}(\mathfrak{K}_v) \colon v \in S \}.$$

For any $P \subset Q$, we extend the definition of φ_P given in the statement of Theorem 3.3 to accommodate vectors $\mathbf{e} = (e_p) \in E$ by setting

$$\varphi_P(\mathbf{e}) = \prod_{p \in P} p^{e_p}.$$

Each integer $n \in N_S$ can be written in the form

$$n = \ell_S k \varphi_Q(\mathbf{e}),\tag{10}$$

where $k \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfies

$$p \nmid k \text{ for all } p \in Q$$
 (11)

and

$$\ell_v \nmid \ell_S k \varphi_Q(\mathbf{e}) \text{ for all } v \in T \setminus S.$$
 (12)

It is more convenient to rephrase (12) according to the following partition of E. Let

$$e_0 = \max\{\operatorname{ord}_p(\ell_v) \colon p \in Q, v \in T \setminus S\}$$
(13)

and let $\mathbf{e}_0 \in E$ be the vector with each entry equal to e_0 . For $P \subset Q$, set

 $E_P = \{ \mathbf{e} \in E : e_p \geqslant e_0 \text{ for all } p \in P, e_p < e_0 \text{ for all } p \in Q \setminus P \},$

so the sets $\{E_P : P \subset Q\}$ partition E. For each q in the finite set $\varphi_{Q \setminus P}(E_P)$, let

$$E_P(q) = \{ \mathbf{e} \in E_P : \varphi_{Q \setminus P}(\mathbf{e}) = q \}.$$

Then, for $\mathbf{e} \in E_P(q)$,

$$\ell_S \varphi_Q(\mathbf{e}) = \ell_S \varphi_P(\mathbf{e}) q,$$

and if $v \in T \setminus S$,

$$\gcd(\ell_v, \ell_S \varphi_Q(\mathbf{e})) = \gcd(\ell_v, \ell_S \varphi_P(\mathbf{e})q) = \gcd(\ell_v, \ell_S \varphi_P(\mathbf{e}_0)q).$$

Hence, provided $\mathbf{e} \in E_P(q)$, condition (12) becomes,

$$j \nmid k \text{ for all } j \in \{\ell_v / \gcd(\ell_v, \ell_S \varphi_P(\mathbf{e}_0)q) \colon v \in T \setminus S\}.$$
 (14)

For n of the form (10), by Lemma 2.6, $f_S(n) = f_S(\ell_S \varphi_Q(\mathbf{e}))$. Furthermore, using the partition of E given above, the inner sum in (9) may be written

$$\sum_{P \subset Q} \sum_{q \in \varphi_{Q \setminus P}(E_P)} \sum_{\mathbf{e} \in E_P(q)} (\ell_S \varphi_Q(\mathbf{e}))^{-z-1} f_S(\ell_S \varphi_Q(\mathbf{e})) \sum_k k^{-z-1}, \quad (15)$$

where k runs through all natural numbers satisfying (11) and (14). Using inclusion-exclusion, the inner sum in (15) is of the form

$$\zeta(z+1)g(c^{-z}, c \in \mathcal{C}), \tag{16}$$

where $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathbb{N}$ is a finite set of constants and g is a multivariate polynomial with rational coefficients, the monomial terms of which have total degree one. Using (15) and (16), by cancelling the zeta function with its reciprocal and adjusting \mathcal{C} and g to absorb ℓ_S^{-z-1} , (9) becomes

$$\mathsf{d}_{\alpha}(z) = \sum_{S \subset T} \sum_{P \subset Q} \sum_{q \in \varphi_{Q \setminus P}(E_P)} \mathsf{d}_{S,P,q}(z),$$

where

$$d_{S,P,q}(z) = g(c^{-z}, c \in \mathcal{C}) \sum_{\mathbf{e} \in E_P(q)} \varphi_Q(\mathbf{e})^{-z-1} f_S(\ell_S \varphi_Q(\mathbf{e})).$$
 (17)

Again using Lemma 2.6, for each $p \in Q$, there exists e'_p such that for all $v \in S(p)$ and all $\mathbf{e} \in E$ with $e_p \geqslant e'_p$,

$$f_v(\ell_S \varphi_O(\mathbf{e})) = c_v p^{r_v \omega_v(e_p)}, \tag{18}$$

where c_v is a positive integer constant, $\omega_v(e_p) = e_p$ if the local field \mathbb{K}_v corresponding to v has zero characteristic and $\omega_v(e_p) = \eta_v' p^{e_p}$ for

some constant $\eta'_v \in \mathbb{N}$, otherwise. Without loss of generality, the steps already carried out may be performed with e_0 replaced by the maximum of the value given by (13) and $\max\{e'_p: p \in Q\}$.

For any $\mathbf{e} \in E_P(q)$, $\varphi_Q(\mathbf{e}) = \varphi_P(\mathbf{e})q$, so the summand in (17) is

$$(\varphi_P(\mathbf{e})q)^{-z-1} f_{S(Q \setminus P)}(\ell_S q) f_{S(P)}(\ell_S \varphi_P(\mathbf{e})). \tag{19}$$

Therefore,

$$\mathsf{d}_{S,P,q}(z) = g(c^{-z}, c \in \mathcal{C}) \sum_{\mathbf{e} \in E_P(q)} \varphi_P(\mathbf{e})^{-z-1} f_{S(P)}(\ell_S \varphi_P(\mathbf{e})),$$

where q and C have been adjusted to accommodate the factor

$$q^{-z-1}f_{S(Q\setminus P)}(\ell_S q),$$

appearing in (19). Using (18), it follows that

$$d_{S,P,q}(z) = C \times g(c^{-z}, c \in \mathcal{C}) \sum_{\mathbf{e} \in E_P(q)} \frac{\varphi_P(\Omega(\mathbf{e}) - \mathbf{e})}{\varphi_P(\mathbf{e})^z}$$
(20)

where C > 0 and $\Omega : \mathbb{N}_0^P \to \mathbb{N}_0^P$ is given by,

$$\Omega(\mathbf{e})_p = \sum_{v \in S(p)} r_v \omega_v(e_p).$$

By the definition of $E_P(q)$ and φ_P , the sum in (20) is

$$\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{e} \in \mathbb{N}_0^P, \\ e_p \geqslant e_0, p \in P}} \frac{\varphi_P(\Omega(\mathbf{e}) - \mathbf{e})}{\varphi_P(\mathbf{e})^z} = \sum_{\mathbf{e} \in \mathbb{N}_0^P} \frac{\varphi_P(\Omega(\mathbf{e} + \mathbf{e}_0) - \mathbf{e} - \mathbf{e}_0)}{\varphi_P(\mathbf{e} + \mathbf{e}_0)^z}$$
(21)

where $\mathbf{e}_0 \in \mathbb{N}_0^P$ is the vector with each entry equal to e_0 . For each $v \in S(p)$ with char $(\mathbb{K}_v) = p$, set $\eta_v = p^{e_0} \eta'_v$ and let $\Lambda : \mathbb{N}_0^P \to \mathbb{N}_0^P$ be defined in terms of η_v as in the statement of Theorem 3.3. Then

$$\Omega(\mathbf{e} + \mathbf{e}_0) - \mathbf{e} = \Lambda(\mathbf{e}) + \mathbf{d},$$

where $\mathbf{d} = (d_p) \in \mathbb{N}_0^P$ is given by

$$d_p = e_0 \sum_{\substack{v \in S(p), \\ \operatorname{char}(\mathbb{K}_v) = 0}} r_v.$$

Therefore, by (20) and (21),

$$d_{S,P,q}(z) = g(c^{-z}, c \in \mathcal{C}) \sum_{\mathbf{e} \in \mathbb{N}_0^P} \frac{\varphi_P(\Lambda(\mathbf{e}))}{\varphi_P(\mathbf{e})^z}, \tag{22}$$

where g has been modified to absorb the factor $C\varphi_P(\mathbf{d})\varphi_P(\mathbf{e}_0)^{-1-z}$. This concludes the proof of Theorem 3.3.

To prove Theorem 3.4, first note that since X is assumed to be connected, all places arise from number fields. Setting,

$$r_{S,p} = \sum_{v \in S(p)} r_v,$$

it follows from the definition of Λ that

$$\mathsf{d}_{S,P,q}(z) = g(c^{-z}, c \in \mathcal{C}) \sum_{\mathbf{e} \in \mathbb{N}_0^P} \prod_{p \in P} p^{e_p(r_{S,p}-1-z)}.$$

Therefore, the sum contains a geometric series corresponding to each prime $p \in P$. Hence

$$\mathsf{d}_{S,P,q}(z) = g(c^{-z}, c \in \mathcal{C}) \prod_{p \in P} (1 - p^{r_{S,p} - 1 - z})^{-1}. \tag{23}$$

Since $d_{\alpha}(z)$ is a finite sum of expressions of this form, this proves the theorem.

For the proof of the asymptotic results we will need a specific description of the function g appearing in (22) in order to control cancellation between terms of different signs in various counting arguments. Notice that for a finite set of positive integers J with $1 \notin J$,

$$\sum_{I \subset I} \frac{(-1)^{|I|}}{c_I} > 0,$$

where $c_I = \operatorname{lcm}\{i : i \in I\}.$

Lemma 5.1. Suppose $g \neq 0$. Then

$$g(c^{-z}, c \in \mathcal{C}) = C \times \sum_{I \subset J} \frac{(-1)^{|I|}}{c_I} b_I^{-z},$$

where C > 0, J is a finite set of positive integers with $1 \notin J$ and $b_I = Bc_I$ for each $I \subset J$, for some fixed constant $B \in \mathbb{N}$.

This may be seen by going through the steps in the proof above.

6. Proof of Theorem 3.5

By Lemma 2.6, we have constants ℓ_v , a_1, \ldots, a_d , D and a prime p with

$$\mathsf{F}_{\alpha}(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \ell_v \nmid n; \\ a_e & n = \ell_v p^e k, \text{ where } p \nmid k, 1 \leqslant e \leqslant d; \\ Dp^{r_v e} & n = \ell_v p^e k, p \nmid k, e > d. \end{cases}$$

By the method used in Section 5, we deduce that

$$\mathsf{d}_{\alpha}(z) = 1 - \ell_v^{-z-1} + \sum_{e=1}^{d} a_e \left(\ell_v p^e\right)^{-z-1} + \frac{cb^{-z}}{1 - p^{m-z}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{z+1}}\right)$$

where $b = \ell_v p^{d+1}$, $c = \frac{D}{\ell_v} p^{(d+1)(r_v-1)}$ and $m = r_v - 1$. It follows that – up to an error uniformly bounded in N – we can compute $\pi_{\alpha}(N)$ by considering the dominant term

$$\frac{b^{-z}}{1 - p^{m-z}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{z+1}} \right) = \frac{b^{-z}}{1 - p^{m-z}} - \frac{(bp)^{-z}}{p(1 - p^{m-z})}.$$
 (24)

The first term in (24) contributes

$$\sum_{e: bp^e \leqslant N} p^{me} = \sum_{e=0}^{\lfloor \log_p(N/b) \rfloor} p^{me}$$
 (25)

and the second term in (24) contributes

$$\frac{1}{p} \sum_{e: bp^{e+1} \leqslant N} p^{me} = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{e=1}^{\lfloor \log_p(N/b) \rfloor} p^{m(e-1)}$$

$$= p^{-m-1} \left(\sum_{e=0}^{\lfloor \log_p(N/b) \rfloor} p^{me} \right) - p^{-m-1}. \quad (26)$$

The total contribution from (25) and (26) is therefore

$$(1 - p^{-m-1}) \sum_{e=0}^{\lfloor \log_p(N/b) \rfloor} p^{me} + O(1).$$
 (27)

If $r_v = 1$, then m = 0 and (27) becomes,

$$\left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \log_p(N/b) + O(1) = \frac{p-1}{p \log p} \log N + O(1),$$

If $r_v > 1$, then m > 0 and (27) becomes,

$$C \sum_{e=0}^{\lfloor \log_p(N/b) \rfloor} p^{me} + O(1) = C \cdot \frac{p^{m(1+\lfloor \log_p(N/b) \rfloor)} - 1}{p^m - 1} + O(1)$$
$$= \delta(N)N^m + O(1)$$

for some constant C and a function δ satisfying the requirements of Theorem 3.5.

7. Proof of Theorem 3.6

Since X is connected, d_{α} has a rational expression which is a finite sum of terms of the form (23). By Lemma 5.1, each such term may be written as

$$F(z) = C \times G(z) \sum_{I \subset I} \frac{(-1)^{|I|}}{c_I} b_I^{-z},$$

where

$$G(z) = \prod_{p \in P} (1 - p^{n_p - z})^{-1}$$

for some $\mathbf{n} = (n_p) \in \mathbb{N}_0^P$. Let

$$m = \max\{n_p : p \in P\} \text{ and } L = |\{p \in P : n_p = m\}|.$$

Note that the Dirichlet series for G has abscissa of convergence m and G has a pole of order L at z=m.

By showing that the coefficient of $(z-m)^{-L}$ in the Laurent series for F is positive, it will follow that for at least one such F, $m = \sigma$, L = K and that there can be no F for which $m > \sigma$ nor any F for which $m = \sigma$ and L > K.

The coefficient of $(z-m)^{-L}$ in the Laurent series for G about m is $\kappa = \prod_{p \in P} \kappa_p$ where

$$\kappa_p = \begin{cases} (\log p)^{-1} & \text{if } n_p = m \\ (1 - p^{n_p - m})^{-1} & \text{if } n_p < m \end{cases}$$

Hence, the coefficient of $(z-m)^{-L}$ in the Laurent series for F is

$$C\kappa \sum_{I \subset J} \frac{(-1)^{|I|}}{c_I b_I^m} = \frac{C\kappa}{B^m} \sum_{I \subset J} \frac{(-1)^{|I|}}{(\text{lcm}\{i : i \in I\})^{m+1}}$$

$$= \frac{C\kappa}{B^m} \sum_{I \subset J} \frac{(-1)^{|I|}}{(\text{lcm}\{i^{m+1} : i \in I\})}$$

$$= \frac{C\kappa}{B^m} \sum_{I \subset J'} \frac{(-1)^{|I|}}{(\text{lcm}\{i : i \in I\})},$$

where $J' = \{j^{m+1} : j \in J\}$. Since the constants κ, B, C and the result of the sum are all positive, so too is the coefficient of $(z - m)^{-L}$ in the Laurent series for F.

Now consider the contribution to π_{α} arising from F. For any $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^P$ and $x \geqslant 0$, set

$$S_x^P(\mathbf{m}) = \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{e} \in \mathbb{N}_0^P, \\ \varphi_P(\mathbf{e}) \le x}} \varphi_P(\mathbf{m}\mathbf{e}),$$

where integer vectors are multiplied term-by-term. Extracting the coefficients from the Dirichlet series for F, it follows that F contributes

$$C \times \sum_{I \subset J} \frac{(-1)^{|I|}}{c_I} S_{N/b_I}^P(\mathbf{n})$$
 (28)

to $\pi_{\alpha}(N)$. This expression will be the main tool for obtaining our asymptotics.

The following result gives a Chebychev estimate for the individual terms $S_{N/b_I}^P(\mathbf{n})$.

Lemma 7.1. Let $\mathbf{m} = (m_p) \in \mathbb{Z}^P$ and $x \geqslant 0$. Then there exist constants $B \ge A > 0$ and $x_0 \ge 0$ such that for all $x > x_0$,

$$Ax^m(\log x)^j \leqslant S_x^P(\mathbf{m}) \leqslant Bx^m(\log x)^j,$$

where $m = \max\{0, m_p : p \in P\}$ and

$$j = \begin{cases} |\{p \in P : m_p = m\}| & \text{if } m = 0, \\ |\{p \in P : m_p = m\}| - 1 & \text{if } m > 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. First suppose m=0. For any $\mathbf{e} \in \mathbb{N}_0^P$

$$e_p \leqslant |P|^{-1} \log_p x$$
 for all $p \in P \Rightarrow \varphi_P(\mathbf{e}) \leqslant x$.

Therefore,

$$S_x^P(\mathbf{m}) \geqslant \prod_{p \in P} \sum_{e_p = 0}^{\lfloor |P|^{-1} \log_p x \rfloor} p^{m_p e_p}$$

 $\geqslant A(\log x)^j,$

for some positive constant A. On the other hand, for any $\mathbf{e} \in \mathbb{N}_0^P$,

$$\varphi_P(\mathbf{e}) \leqslant x \Rightarrow e_p \leqslant \log_p x \text{ for all } p \in P.$$

So,

$$S_x^P(\mathbf{m}) \leqslant \prod_{p \in P} \sum_{e_p=0}^{\lfloor \log_p x \rfloor} p^{m_p e_p}$$

 $\leqslant B(\log x)^j,$

for some positive constant $B \geqslant A$.

Now suppose m>0. The proof is by induction on |P|. If |P|=1then the result is obvious, so assume |P| > 1. Choose $q \in P$ such that $m_q = m$, set $P' = P \setminus \{q\}$ and $\mathbf{m}' = (m_p)_{p \in P'}$. Write

$$S_x^P(\mathbf{m}) = \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{e} \in \mathbb{N}_0^{P'}, \\ \varphi_{P'}(\mathbf{e}) \leq x}} \varphi_{P'}(\mathbf{m'e}) \sum_{e_q = 0}^{\lfloor \log_q(x/\varphi_{P'}(\mathbf{e})) \rfloor} q^{me_q}.$$
(29)

The inner sum in (29) is a geometric series with sum

$$\frac{q^{m(1+\lfloor \log_q x/\varphi_{P'}(\mathbf{e})\rfloor)}-1}{q^m-1}.$$

This expression is bounded above by

$$C_1 x^m \varphi_{P'}(-m\mathbf{e}) - C_2$$

for some constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$. It follows from (29) and the m = 0 case that

$$S_x^P(\mathbf{m}) \leqslant C_1 x^m S_x^{P'}(\mathbf{m}' - m\mathbf{1}) - C_2 S_x^{P'}(\mathbf{m}')$$

 $\leqslant C_3 x^m (\log x)^j - C_2 S_x^{P'}(\mathbf{m}'),$

for some constant $C_3 > 0$. Upon noting that either

- (1) $\max\{m'_p : p \in P'\} < m$ or
- (2) $\max\{\dot{m_p}: p \in P'\} = m \text{ and } |\{p \in P': m_p' = m\}| 1 < j,$

this completes the inductive step. A similar argument also gives the lower bound. \Box

7.1. **Proof of Theorem 3.6(1).** In this case all expressions of the form (28) contributing to $\pi_{\alpha}(N)$ have $\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{0}$. Example 4.2 illustrates some of the issues that arise in this setting when more than one prime is involved.

Example 7.2. (Example 4.2 revisited) Let α be the map dual to $x \mapsto 2x$ on $\mathbb{Z}_{(3)} \cap \mathbb{Z}_{(5)}$, so

$$\mathsf{F}_{\alpha}(n) = |2^{n} - 1|_{3}^{-1}|2^{n} - 1|_{5}^{-1}.$$

The term in d_{α} that determines the asymptotic growth in π_{α} is

$$15\left(\frac{1}{4^{z+1}} - \frac{1}{12^{z+1}} - \frac{1}{20^{z+1}} + \frac{1}{60^{z+1}}\right) \frac{1}{(1-3^{-z})(1-5^{-z})}.$$

Notice that each term of the form

$$\frac{b^{-z}}{(1-3^{-z})(1-5^{-z})}$$

with b > 1 contributes

$$S_{N} = \sum_{e_{1}=1}^{\lfloor \log_{3}(N/b) \rfloor} \sum_{e_{2}=0}^{\lfloor \log_{5}(N/b3^{e_{1}}) \rfloor} 1$$

$$= \frac{\log N}{\log 5} \sum_{e_{1}=1}^{\lfloor \log_{3}(N/b) \rfloor} 1 - \frac{\log 3}{\log 5} \sum_{e_{1}=1}^{\lfloor \log_{3}(N/b) \rfloor} e_{1} + O(\log N)$$

$$= \frac{(\log N)^{2}}{\log 3 \log 5} - \frac{\log 3}{2 \log 5} \lfloor \log_{3}(N/b) \rfloor^{2} + O(\log N)$$

$$= \frac{(\log N)^{2}}{\log 3 \log 5} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\right) + O(\log N)$$

to $\pi_{\alpha}(N)$. Summing over all the terms therefore gives

$$\pi_{\alpha}(N) = \frac{15}{4} \left(1 - \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{5} + \frac{1}{15} \right) \frac{(\log N)^2}{2 \log 3 \log 5} + \mathcal{O}(\log N)$$
$$= \frac{(\log N)^2}{\log 3 \log 5} + \mathcal{O}(\log N).$$

Returning to the general case, we will use an induction argument to obtain an exact asymptotic for $S_x^P(\mathbf{0})$. The following lemma provides the essential inductive step, but is more general than we need at this stage (the full statement being needed for the proof of Theorem 3.6(3)). The result is a little technical and requires some preparation. Suppose $\mathbf{m} = (m_p) \in \mathbb{Z}^P$ satisfies $m_p \leq 0$ for all $p \in P$. Set

$$\overline{P} = \{ p \in P : m_p < 0 \}. \tag{30}$$

Let $\overline{P} \subseteq W \subseteq P$, $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{N}_0^W$ and define $\widetilde{\mathbf{d}} = (\widetilde{d}_p) \in \mathbb{N}_0^W$ by

$$\widetilde{d}_p = \begin{cases}
0 & \text{if } p \in \overline{P}, \\
d_p & \text{if } p \notin \overline{P}.
\end{cases}$$
(31)

Set

$$T_x^W(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{d}, k) = (\log x)^{-k} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{e} \in \mathbb{N}_0^W, \\ \varphi_W(\mathbf{e}) \leqslant x}} \varphi_W(\mathbf{m}' \mathbf{e}) \mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{d}}, \tag{32}$$

where $\mathbf{m}' = (m_p)_{p \in W}$.

Notice that

$$T_{x}^{W}(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{d}, k) \leqslant (\log x)^{-k} \prod_{p \in W} \sum_{e_{p}=0}^{\lfloor \log_{p} x \rfloor} p^{m_{p}e_{p}} e_{p}^{d_{p}}$$

$$\leqslant C(\log x)^{-k} \prod_{p \in W \setminus \overline{P}} (\log x)^{d_{p}+1}$$

$$= C(\log x)^{\widetilde{\mathbf{d}} \cdot \mathbf{1} + |W \setminus \overline{P}| - k}$$
(33)

Lemma 7.3. Suppose that $W \neq \overline{P}$ and $W' = W \setminus \{s\}$ for some $s \in W \setminus \overline{P}$. If $\widetilde{\mathbf{m}} = \mathbf{0}$, $\mathbf{d} = \widetilde{\mathbf{d}}$, $k = \mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{1} + |W \setminus \overline{P}|$ then there exist a finite indexing set H and set of constants $\{c_h : h \in H\}$, both independent of x, such that

$$T_x^W(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{d}, k) = \left(\sum_{h \in H} c_h T_x^{W'}(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{d}(h), k_h)\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{\log x}\right),$$

where for each $h \in H$, $\mathbf{d}(h) \in \mathbb{N}_0^{W'}$ and $k_h \in \mathbb{N}_0$ satisfy

- $(1) \ \mathbf{d}(h) = \widetilde{\mathbf{d}(h)},$
- (2) $k_h = \mathbf{d}(h) \cdot \mathbf{1} + |W' \setminus \overline{P}|.$

Proof. If $W' = \emptyset$ the result is obvious, so assume $W' \neq \emptyset$. By assumption $m_s = 0$, so

$$T_x^W(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{d}, k) = (\log x)^{-k} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{e} \in \mathbb{N}_0^{W'}, \\ \varphi_{W'}(\mathbf{e}) \leqslant x}} \varphi_{W'}(\mathbf{m'e}) \mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{d'}} \sum_{\substack{e_s = 0}}^{\lfloor \log_s(x/\varphi_{W'}(\mathbf{e})) \rfloor} e_s^{d_s}, \quad (34)$$

where $\mathbf{m}' = (m_p)_{p \in W'}$ and $\mathbf{d}' = (d_p)_{p \in W'}$. Expanding the inner sum,

$$\sum_{e_{s}=0}^{\lfloor \log_{s}(x/\varphi_{W'}(\mathbf{e}))\rfloor} e_{s}^{d_{s}} = C\lfloor \log_{s} x/\varphi_{W'}(\mathbf{e})\rfloor^{d_{s}+1} + O\left((\log x)^{d_{s}}\right)$$

$$= C\left(\log_{s} x/\varphi_{W'}(\mathbf{e})\right)^{d_{s}+1} + O\left((\log x)^{d_{s}}\right)$$

$$= C\left(\log x - \mathbf{e} \cdot (\log p)_{p \in W'}\right)^{d_{s}+1} + O\left((\log x)^{d_{s}}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{h \in H} c_{h}(\log x)^{k'_{h}} \mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{d}'(h)} + O\left((\log x)^{d_{s}}\right), \qquad (35)$$

where the finite indexing set H and set of constants $\{c_h : h \in H\}$ are both independent of x, and $k_h \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $\mathbf{d}'(h) \in \mathbb{N}_0^{W'}$ satisfy

$$k_h' + \mathbf{d}'(h) \cdot \mathbf{1} = d_s + 1 \tag{36}$$

Let $k_h = k - k'_h$ and $\mathbf{d}(h) = \mathbf{d}' + \mathbf{d}'(h)$. Substituting (35) into (34) gives

$$T_x^W(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{d}, k) = \left(\sum_{h \in H'} c_h T_x^{W'}(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{d}(h), k_h)\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{\log x}\right); \quad (37)$$

the error term being obtained using (33), since

$$(\log x)^{d_s-k} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{e} \in \mathbb{N}_0^{W'}, \\ \varphi_{W'}(\mathbf{e}) \leqslant x}} \varphi_{W'}(\mathbf{m'e}) \mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{d'}} = O\left((\log x)^{\widetilde{\mathbf{d'}} \cdot \mathbf{1} + |W' \setminus \overline{P}| + d_s - k}\right)$$

$$= O\left((\log x)^{\widetilde{\mathbf{d}} \cdot \mathbf{1} + |W \setminus \overline{P}| - k - 1}\right)$$

$$= O\left((\log x)^{k-k-1}\right).$$

It remains to see that conditions (1) and (2) are satisfied. To see that (2) holds, note (36) implies

$$k - k_h + (\mathbf{d}(h) - \mathbf{d}') \cdot \mathbf{1} = d_s + 1$$

$$\Rightarrow k_h = \mathbf{d}(h) \cdot \mathbf{1} + k - \mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{1} - 1$$

$$= \mathbf{d}(h) \cdot \mathbf{1} + |W' \setminus \overline{P}|,$$

since by hypothesis $k - \mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{1} = |W \setminus \overline{P}|$.

To satisfy condition (1), we show that H may simply be replaced by

$$\{h \in H : \mathbf{d}(h) = \widetilde{\mathbf{d}(h)}\},\$$

in (37). To see this, note that if $\mathbf{d}(h) \neq \widetilde{\mathbf{d}(h)}$ then $\widetilde{\mathbf{d}(h)} \cdot 1 \leq \mathbf{d}(h) \cdot \mathbf{1} - 1$ and so

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{d}(h)} \cdot \mathbf{1} + |W' \setminus \overline{P}| - k_h \leqslant \mathbf{d}(h) \cdot \mathbf{1} + |W' \setminus \overline{P}| - k_h - 1$$

 $\leqslant -1,$

since (2) holds. Therefore,

$$T_x^{W'}(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{d}(h), k_h) = O\left(\frac{1}{\log x}\right)$$

by (33).

Let L = |P|. Since

$$(\log x)^{-L} S_x^P(\mathbf{0}) = T_x^{W'}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0}, L),$$

applying Lemma 7.3 and induction, we obtain

$$(\log x)^{-L} S_x^P(\mathbf{0}) = C_1 + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\log x}\right)$$

for some constant C_1 which is independent of x. Notice that $C_1 > 0$, otherwise there would be a contradiction to the lower bound provided by Lemma 7.1. Furthermore,

$$S_{N/b_I}^P(\mathbf{0}) = C_1(\log(N/b_I))^L + O((\log(N/b_I))^{L-1})$$

= $C_1(\log N)^L + O((\log N)^{L-1})$

It follows that the contribution to $\pi_{\alpha}(N)$ from (28) is

$$C_2\left(\sum_{I\subset J}\frac{(-1)^{|I|}}{c_I}\right)(\log N)^L + O((\log N)^{L-1}),$$

where $C_2 > 0$ and also $\sum_{I \subset J} (-1)^{|I|} / c_I > 0$.

Since there is at least one term of the form (28) contributing to $\pi_{\alpha}(N)$ for which L = K, this completes the proof.

7.2. **Proof of Theorem 3.6(2).** Recall that in this case, for each term of the form (28) contributing to $\pi_{\alpha}(N)$, $\mathbf{n} = (n_p)$ satisfies

$$\max\{n_p : p \in P\} \leqslant \sigma.$$

Moreover, since σ is a simple pole, any term with $\max\{n_p : p \in P\} = \sigma$ has at most one of the corresponding n_p equal to σ . The upper bound now follows from Lemma 7.1.

For the lower bound we use more elementary methods. The orbits for these systems mainly accumulate at specific lengths, and summing over orbits of those lengths suffices. Recall that $O_{\alpha}(n)$ is related to the number of periodic points by (4) where $F_{\alpha}(n)$ is given by the formula (8) with S = T. By (23), there is a prime $q \in Q$ such that

$$\sum_{v \in U} r_v = \sigma + 1,\tag{38}$$

where $U = \{v \in T : v|q\}.$

Using the notation from Section 5, let $\ell = \text{lcm}\{\ell_v : v \in U\}$. Notice that $\gcd(q,\ell) = 1$ since ℓ_v divides $q^{r_v} - 1$ for $v \in U$. Using basic

properties of the the Möbius function,

$$O_{\alpha}(\ell q^{e}) = \frac{1}{\ell q^{e}} \sum_{e'=0}^{e} \sum_{d|\ell} \mu(q^{e-e'}\ell/d) f_{T}(dq^{e'})
= \frac{1}{\ell q^{e}} \sum_{d|\ell} \mu(\ell/d) \left(f_{T}(dq^{e}) - f_{T}(dq^{e-1}) \right)
= \frac{1}{\ell q^{e}} \left(f_{T}(\ell q^{e}) - f_{T}(\ell q^{e-1}) \right)
+ \frac{1}{\ell q^{e}} \sum_{d|\ell|d \in \ell} \mu(\ell/d) \left(f_{T}(dq^{e}) - f_{T}(dq^{e-1}) \right).$$

By (38) and Lemma 2.6, there are constants D, E > 0 such that

$$f_T(\ell q^e) = Dq^{(\sigma+1)e}$$
 and $f_T(dq^e) \leqslant Eq^{\sigma e}$

for all $d < \ell$ and large enough e. Now,

$$O_{\alpha}(\ell q^{e}) \geqslant \frac{1}{\ell q^{e}} \left(\left(Dq^{(\sigma+1)e} - Dq^{(\sigma+1)(e-1)} \right) - 2E\ell q^{\sigma e} \right) \\
= \frac{D}{\ell} \left(1 - q^{-(\sigma+1)} \right) q^{\sigma e} - 2Eq^{(\sigma-1)e},$$

so there are constants $C_1 \ge 0$ and $C_2, C_3, C_4 > 0$ such that

$$\pi_{\alpha}(N) \geqslant \left(\sum_{e=0}^{\lfloor \log_{q} N/\ell \rfloor} \mathsf{O}_{\alpha}(\ell q^{e})\right) - C_{1}$$

$$\geqslant \left(\sum_{e=0}^{\lfloor \log_{q} N/\ell \rfloor} C_{2} q^{\sigma e} - C_{3} q^{(\sigma-1)e}\right) - C_{1}$$

$$\geqslant C_{4} N^{\sigma},$$

for all large N.

7.3. **Proof of Theorem 3.6(3).** We begin with an example that illuminates some of the issues that arise in this case.

Example 7.4. Consider $M = \mathbb{Z}^2_{(3)} \times \mathbb{Z}^2_{(5)}$, $X = \widehat{M}$ and the endomorphism $\alpha: X \to X$ given by $x \mapsto 2x$. The dynamical system (X, α) has periodic point data identical to that of a product of Example 4.2 and another duplicate system. Consequently,

$$\mathsf{F}_{\alpha}(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd;} \\ 9 \cdot 3^{2e_1} & \text{if } n = 2 \cdot k \cdot 3^{e_1}, 2 \nmid k, 3 \nmid k; \\ 225 \cdot 3^{2e_1} \cdot 5^{2e_2} & \text{if } n = 4 \cdot k \cdot 3^{e_1} \cdot 5^{e_2}, 3 \nmid k, 5 \nmid k. \end{cases}$$

Following a similar method to that used in Example 4.2, the term of d_{α} dominating the growth of π_{α} is

$$\left(\frac{1}{4^{z+1}} - \frac{1}{12^{z+1}} - \frac{1}{20^{z+1}} + \frac{1}{60^{z+1}}\right) \frac{225}{(1-3^{1-z})(1-5^{1-z})}.$$
(39)

Hence, consider

$$S_{N/b} = \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{e} \in \mathbb{N}_0^2, \\ 3^{e_1} 5^{e_2} \le N/b}} 3^{e_1} 5^{e_2}.$$

Then, writing $\{\cdot\}$ for the fractional part,

$$\frac{S_{N/b}}{N\log N} = \frac{1}{N\log N} \sum_{\substack{e \in \mathbb{N}_0^2, \\ 3^{e_1}5^{e_2} \leqslant N/b}} 3^{e_1}5^{e_2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{N\log N} \sum_{e_1=0}^{\lfloor \log_3(N/b) \rfloor} 3^{e_1} \sum_{e_2=0}^{\lfloor \log_5(N/3^{e_1}b) \rfloor} 5^{e_1}$$

$$= \frac{1}{N\log N} \sum_{e_1=0}^{\lfloor \log_3 N/b \rfloor} 3^{e_1} \left(\frac{5N}{4b} 3^{-e_1} 5^{-\{\log_5(N/3^{e_1}b)\}} - \frac{1}{4} \right)$$

$$= \frac{5}{4b\log N} \sum_{e_1=0}^{\lfloor \log_3 N/b \rfloor} 5^{-\{\log_5 N/b - e_1 \log_5 3\}} + O(N^{-1}).$$

Since the exponent in the inner sum is uniformly distributed in the unit interval, by Weyl's theorem [18],

$$\frac{1}{\log N} \sum_{e_1=0}^{\lfloor \log_3 N/b \rfloor} 5^{-\{\log_5 N/b - e_1 \log_5 3\}} \rightarrow \frac{1}{\log 3} \int_0^1 5^{-y} dy$$
$$= \frac{4}{5 \log 3 \log 5}.$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{S_{N/b}}{N\log N} \to \frac{1}{b\log 3\log 5}$$

From the above and (39), it follows that

$$\frac{\pi_{\alpha}(N)}{N \log N} \sim \frac{225}{N \log N} \left(\frac{S_{N/4}}{4} - \frac{S_{N/12}}{12} - \frac{S_{N/20}}{20} + \frac{S_{N/60}}{60} \right)$$

$$\sim \frac{225}{\log 3 \log 5} \left(\frac{1}{4^2} - \frac{1}{12^2} - \frac{1}{20^2} + \frac{1}{60^2} \right)$$

$$= \frac{12}{\log 3 \log 5}.$$

In the general case, the proof uses similar ideas, with the main steps being equidistribution and an inclusion-exclusion argument. As in the previous section, for each term of the form (28) contributing to $\pi_{\alpha}(N)$, $\mathbf{n} = (n_p)$ satisfies

$$\max\{n_p : p \in P\} \leqslant \sigma,$$

and if $\max\{n_p : p \in P\} = \sigma$ then

$$|\{p \in P : n_p = \sigma\}| \leqslant K.$$

Furthermore, there is at least one term with

$$\max\{n_p : p \in P\} = \sigma \text{ and } |\{p \in P : n_p = \sigma\}| = K$$
 (40)

In light of the upper bound provided by Lemma 7.1, terms of this form give the dominant contribution to $\pi_{\alpha}(N)$. Thus, to obtain the required asymptotic it suffices to prove that

$$\frac{1}{N^{\sigma}(\log N)^{K-1}} \sum_{I \subset J} \frac{(-1)^{|I|}}{c_I} S_{N/b_I}^P(\mathbf{n})$$
 (41)

convergences to a positive constant when \mathbf{n} satisfies (40).

Choose a prime $q \in P$ with $n_q = \sigma$ and set $P' = P \setminus \{q\}$. Write

$$a_N = \frac{1}{N^{\sigma} (\log N)^{K-1}} S_{N/b}^P(\mathbf{n}).$$

Then

$$a_N = \frac{1}{N^{\sigma} (\log N)^{K-1}} \sum_{\varphi_{P'}(\mathbf{e}) \leq N/b} \varphi_{P'}(\mathbf{n'e}) \sum_{e_q = 0}^{\lfloor \log_q(N/b\varphi_{P'}(\mathbf{e})) \rfloor} q^{\sigma e_q}$$

where $\mathbf{n}' = (n_p)_{p \in P'}$. Treating the inner sum as a geometric progression as usual, we see that a_N is equal to

$$\frac{C_1}{b^{\sigma}(\log N)^{K-1}} \left(\sum_{\varphi_{P'}(\mathbf{e}) \leqslant N/b} \varphi_{P'}(\mathbf{n}'\mathbf{e}) \varphi_{P'}(\sigma \mathbf{e})^{-1} q^{-\sigma\{\log_q(N/b\varphi_{P'}(\mathbf{e}))\}} \right) + \Delta_N$$

where $C_1 = 1/(1 - q^{-\sigma})$ and

$$\Delta_N = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^{\sigma}(\log N)^{K-1}} S_{N/b}^{P'}(\mathbf{n}')\right) = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\log N}\right)$$

by Lemma 7.1.

Now let $r \in P'$ be a prime with $m_r = \sigma$ (such a prime exists by hypothesis) and set $W = P \setminus \{q, r\}$. Then a_N is, up to $O(1/\log N)$,

$$\frac{C_1}{b^{\sigma}(\log N)^{K-1}} \sum_{\varphi_W(\mathbf{e}) \leqslant N/b} \varphi_W(\mathbf{m}\mathbf{e}) \sum_{e_r = 0}^{\lfloor \log_r(N/b\varphi_W(\mathbf{e})) \rfloor} q^{-\sigma\{\log_q(N/b\varphi_W(\mathbf{e})r^{e_r})\}}$$

where $\mathbf{m} = (m_p) \in \mathbb{Z}^W$ is given by

$$m_p = \begin{cases} n_p - \sigma & \text{if } n_p < \sigma, \\ 0 & \text{if } n_p = \sigma. \end{cases}$$

For $\varphi_W(\mathbf{e}) \leqslant x$, set

$$\mathcal{I}_{x}(\mathbf{e}) = \frac{1}{\log_{r}(x/\varphi_{W}(\mathbf{e}))} \sum_{e_{r}=0}^{\lfloor \log_{r}(x/\varphi_{W}(\mathbf{e})) \rfloor} q^{-\sigma \{\log_{q}(x/\varphi_{W}(\mathbf{e})r^{e_{r}})\}}$$

and

$$\mathcal{J}_x(\mathbf{e}) = \varphi_W(\mathbf{m}\mathbf{e}) \log(x/\varphi_W(\mathbf{e})).$$

Then, again up to $O(1/\log N)$, a_N is

$$\frac{C_2}{b^{\sigma}(\log N)^{K-1}} \sum_{\varphi_W(\mathbf{e}) \leqslant N/b} \mathcal{J}_{N/b}(\mathbf{e}) \mathcal{I}_{N/b}(\mathbf{e}),$$

where $C_2 = C_1/\log r$. Since $r \neq q$ the circle rotation by $\log_q r$ is uniquely ergodic, so there is uniform convergence for continuous functions in the ergodic theorem (see Oxtoby [10, §5] for example). Hence, given $\varepsilon > 0$ there is an M such that whenever $N/b\varphi_W(\mathbf{e}) \geqslant M$,

$$\mathcal{I}_{N/b}(\mathbf{e}) = \int_0^1 q^{-\sigma y} \, dy + \delta_{N/b}(\mathbf{e}),$$

where $|\delta_{N/b}(\mathbf{e})| < \varepsilon$. For $N/b\varphi_W(\mathbf{e}) < M$ (that is in the range $N/bM < \varphi_W(\mathbf{e}) \leq N/b$) there exists a uniform constant D for which

$$\mathcal{I}_{N/b}(\mathbf{e}) = \int_0^1 q^{-\sigma y} \, dy + \beta_{N/b}(\mathbf{e})$$

where $|\beta_{N/b}(\mathbf{e})| < D$.

Let

$$b_{N} = \sum_{\varphi_{W}(\mathbf{e}) \leqslant N/b} \mathcal{J}_{N/b}(\mathbf{e}),$$

$$c_{N} = \sum_{\varphi_{W}(\mathbf{e}) \leqslant N/bM} \mathcal{J}_{N/b}(\mathbf{e}) \delta_{N/b}(\mathbf{e}), \text{ and }$$

$$d_{N} = \sum_{N/bM < \varphi_{W}(\mathbf{e}) \leqslant N/b} \mathcal{J}_{N/b}(\mathbf{e}) \beta_{N/b}(\mathbf{e}).$$

Then

$$a_N = \frac{1}{b^{\sigma}} (\log N)^{1-K} (C_3 b_N + C_2 c_N + C_2 d_N) + O\left(\frac{1}{\log N}\right),$$

where $C_3 > 0$ is independent of b.

- (1) $(\log N)^{1-K}b_N$ converges to a constant $C_4 \geqslant 0$ that is independent of b;
- (2) $(\log N)^{1-K} |c_N| \leq C_5 \varepsilon$; and (3) $(\log N)^{1-K} d_N$ converges to zero as $N \to \infty$.

Assuming Lemma 7.5 for now and setting $C_6 = C_3C_4$, we deduce that

$$a_N \longrightarrow \frac{C_6}{b^{\sigma}} \text{ as } N \to \infty$$
 (42)

This can be used to show that (41) converges to a positive constant, therefore completing the proof. To see this, first note that if $C_6 = 0$ then (42) contradicts the lower bound provided by Lemma 7.1, therefore $C_6 > 0$. Furthermore, (41) converges to

$$C_{6} \sum_{I \subset J} \frac{(-1)^{|I|}}{c_{I} b_{I}^{\sigma}} = C_{6} \sum_{I \subset J} \frac{(-1)^{|I|}}{c_{I} (Bc_{I})^{\sigma}}$$

$$= \frac{C_{6}}{B^{\sigma}} \sum_{I \subset J'} \frac{(-1)^{|I|}}{\operatorname{lcm}\{i : i \in I\}}$$

$$> 0,$$

where $J' = \{i^{\sigma+1} : i \in J\}.$

Finally we turn to the postponed proof of the lemma.

Proof of Lemma 7.5. To prove (1), first note we may write

$$(\log N)^{1-K}b_N = \frac{1}{(\log(N/b) + \log b)^{K-1}}b_N$$
$$= (1 + \Delta_N)^{-1}(\log(N/b))^{1-K}b_N$$

where $\Delta_N = O((\log N)^{-1})$. Therefore, it suffices to prove

$$(\log(N/b))^{1-K}b_N \to C_4.$$

Write x = N/b and consider

$$(\log(N/b))^{1-K}b_N = (\log x)^{1-K} \sum_{\varphi_W(\mathbf{e}) \leqslant x} \mathcal{J}_x(\mathbf{e})$$

$$= (\log x)^{1-K} \sum_{\varphi_W(\mathbf{e}) \leqslant x} \varphi_W(\mathbf{me}) \log(x/\varphi_W(\mathbf{e}))$$

$$= (\log x)^{1-K} \sum_{\varphi_W(\mathbf{e}) \leqslant x} \varphi_W(\mathbf{me}) (\log x - \mathbf{e} \cdot (\log p)_{p \in W}).$$

Therefore, $(\log(N/b))^{1-K}b_N$ may be written as a sum of |W|+1 expressions of the form

$$(\log x)^{-k} \sum_{\varphi_W(\mathbf{e}) \leqslant x} \varphi_W(\mathbf{m}\mathbf{e}) \mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{d}},$$

where $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{N}_0^W$ satisfies

$$k - \mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{1} = K - 2 = |W \setminus \overline{P}|,\tag{43}$$

with \overline{P} defined by (30).

So, we must consider an expression of the form $T_x^W(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{d}, k)$, defined by (32). Let $\widetilde{\mathbf{d}}$ be defined by (31). If $\mathbf{d} \neq \widetilde{\mathbf{d}}$ then $\widetilde{\mathbf{d}} \cdot \mathbf{1} < \mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{1}$. Hence by (33) and (43),

$$T_x^W(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{d}, k) = O\left(\frac{1}{\log x}\right).$$

Thus, we need only consider those terms $T_x^W(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{d}, k)$ with $\mathbf{d} = \widetilde{\mathbf{d}}$. If $\overline{P} = \emptyset$, then proceeding as in Section 7.1, an induction using Lemma 7.3 shows that

$$T_x^W(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{d}, k) = C_7 + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\log x}\right),$$

where C_7 is a constant independent of x. If $\overline{P} \neq \emptyset$ then again by induction using Lemma 7.3, up to an error of $O(1/\log x)$, $T_x^W(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{d}, k)$ may be written as a linear combination of expressions of the form

$$T_x^{\overline{P}}(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{0}, 0) = \sum_{\varphi_{\overline{P}}(\mathbf{e}) \leqslant x} \varphi_{\overline{P}}(\mathbf{m}'\mathbf{e})$$

where $\mathbf{m}' = (m_p)_{p \in \overline{P}}$. Moreover,

$$\prod_{p \in \overline{P}} \sum_{p=0}^{\lfloor |\overline{P}|^{-1} \log_p x \rfloor} p^{m_p e_p} \leqslant T_x^{\overline{P}}(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{0}, 0) \leqslant \prod_{p \in \overline{P}} \sum_{p=0}^{\lfloor \log_p x \rfloor} p^{m_p e_p},$$

SO

$$T_x^{\overline{P}}(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{0}, 0) \longrightarrow \prod_{p \in \overline{P}} \frac{1}{1 - p^{m_p}}.$$

as $x \to \infty$.

Thus, $(\log(N/b))^{1-K}b_N$ converges to a constant C_4 independent of b which is non-negative, as each term of the sequence is non-negative.

To prove (2), simply note that $|c_N| \leq b_N \varepsilon$. To prove (3), first notice

$$|d_N| \leq D(\log N)^{1-K} \sum_{N/bM < \varphi_W(\mathbf{e}) \leq N/b} \mathcal{J}_{N/b}(\mathbf{e})$$

$$= D(\log N)^{1-K} \left(b_N - \sum_{\varphi_W(\mathbf{e}) \leq N/bM} \mathcal{J}_{N/b}(\mathbf{e}) \right).$$

But

$$\mathcal{J}_{N/b}(\mathbf{e}) = \mathcal{J}_{N/bM}(\mathbf{e}) + (\log M)\varphi_W(\mathbf{m}\mathbf{e}),$$

SO

$$|d_N| \le D(\log N)^{1-K} (b_N - b_{N/M}) - D(\log M) (\log N)^{1-K} S_{N/bM}^W(\mathbf{m})$$

= $\frac{D}{(\log N)^{1-K}} (b_N - b_{N/M}) + O\left(\frac{1}{\log N}\right),$

by Lemma 7.1. Since $(\log N)^{1-K}b_N$ and $(\log N)^{1-K}b_{N/M}$ both converge to C_4 , (3) follows.

References

- [1] S. Agmon. Complex variable Tauberians. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 74:444–481, 1953.
- [2] V. Chothi, G. Everest, and T. Ward. S-integer dynamical systems: periodic points. J. Reine Angew. Math., 489:99–132, 1997.
- [3] G. Everest, R. Miles, S. Stevens, and T. Ward. Orbit-counting in non-hyperbolic dynamical systems. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 2007. To appear.
- [4] G. Everest, V. Stangoe, and T. Ward. Orbit counting with an isometric direction. In *Algebraic and topological dynamics*, volume 385 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 293–302. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005.
- [5] M. J. Feigenbaum. Scaling function dynamics. In *Chaos, order, and patterns* (*Lake Como, 1990*), volume 280 of *NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. B Phys.*, pages 1–23. Plenum, New York, 1991. Lecture notes prepared by Zoltan Kovács.
- [6] G. H. Hardy and M. Riesz. The general theory of Dirichlet's series. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics and Mathematical Physics, No. 18. Stechert-Hafner, Inc., New York, 1964.
- [7] E. Hlawka. Discrepancy and uniform distribution of sequences. *Compositio Math.*, 16:83–91 (1964), 1964.
- [8] R. Miles. Periodic points of endomorphisms on solenoids and related groups. Preprint, 2006.
- [9] R. Miles. Zeta functions for elements of entropy rank one actions. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 27:567–582, 2007.
- [10] J. C. Oxtoby. Ergodic sets. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 58:116–136, 1952.
- [11] W. Parry. An analogue of the prime number theorem for closed orbits of shifts of finite type and their suspensions. *Israel J. Math.*, 45(1):41–52, 1983.
- [12] W. Parry and M. Pollicott. An analogue of the prime number theorem for closed orbits of Axiom A flows. *Ann. of Math.* (2), 118(3):573–591, 1983.

- [13] W. Parry and M. Pollicott. Zeta functions and the periodic orbit structure of hyperbolic dynamics. *Astérisque*, (187-188):268, 1990.
- [14] V. Stangoe. Orbit counting far from hyperbolicity. PhD thesis, University of East Anglia, 2004.
- [15] R. P. Stanley. Enumerative combinatorics. Vol. 1, volume 49 of Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997. With a foreword by Gian-Carlo Rota, Corrected reprint of the 1986 original.
- [16] S. Waddington. The prime orbit theorem for quasihyperbolic toral automorphisms. *Monatsh. Math.*, 112(3):235–248, 1991.
- [17] T. Ward. Dynamical zeta functions for typical extensions of full shifts. *Finite Fields Appl.*, 5(3):232–239, 1999.
- [18] H. Weyl. Über die Gleichverteilung von Zahlen mod. Eins. Math. Ann., 77(3):313–352, 1916.

School of Mathematics, University of East Anglia, Norwich NR4 7TJ, United Kingdom

E-mail address: t.ward@uea.ac.uk