Contents lists available at ScienceDirect





Optics Communications

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/optcom

Enantioselective optical gradient forces using 3D structured vortex light

Kayn A. Forbes*, Dale Green

School of Chemistry, University of East Anglia, Norwich NR4 7TJ, United Kingdom

ARTICLE INFO	A B S T R A C T
Keywords:	Here we highlight enantioselective optical gradient forces present in 3D (non-paraxial) structured optical vortex
Optical trapping	tweezing systems. One chiral force originates from the circular polarization of the light, while remarkably the other is independent of the input polarization - even occurring for unpolarized light – and is not present in 2D structured light nor propagating plane waves. This latter chiral sorting mechanism allows for the enantioselective trapping of chiral particles into distinct rings in the transverse plane through conservative radial forces.
Structured light	
Chirality	
Optical forces	
Optical manipulation	
Optical vortex	

1. Introduction

Light conveys energy and momentum - the basis for optical manipulation of matter [1]. Optical trapping encapsulates techniques involving one or more lasers that enable the three-dimensional control of particles via non-contact optical forces [2–4]. The *non-conservative* radiation pressure force stemming from the transfer of optical linear momentum acts to push particles in the direction of propagation (often referred to as the scattering force, though in terms of photons it is absorption and non-forward scattering); the *conservative* optical gradient force causes particles to be attracted to regions of high intensity (which is in fact the forward elastic scattering of photons); optical binding forces exist *between* particles [5].

An important class of laser modes are structured modes [6], and more specifically those that carry orbital angular momentum (OAM), known as optical vortices or twisted light [7]. Applications of optical vortices are widespread and diverse, including optical communications, quantum entanglement, nanofabrication techniques, astronomy, microscopy and imaging, etc. [8,9]. Optical tweezers using twisted light has seen much activity [10–12], predominantly because they can transfer their OAM and induce mechanical motion of trapped particles. This transfer of OAM to cause rotational motion is completely distinct from the gradient trapping force itself; optical angular momentum is conserved in the gradient force. Until now, as far as we are aware, no optical gradient force trapping scheme has utilized the OAM in a direct manner, i.e., a non-mechanical way.

The OAM of optical vortices is quantified in discrete units of $\ell \hbar$ per photon where $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$, and the sign of ℓ designates what direction the helical wavefront twists: for $\ell > 0$ it is left-handed, while $\ell < 0$ is right-handed. This chirality of the wavefront in optical vortices is analogous to the well known optical chirality of circularly polarized

corresponds to left-handed CPL and $\sigma = -1$ right-handed CPL, and the spin angular momentum (SAM) of CPL is given by $\sigma\hbar$ per photon. The chirality of optical vortices has witnessed a profusion of research activity, with the field recently surveyed [13]. An object is chiral if it can be distinguished from its mirror image, this manifests most obviously in material objects like human hands but equally applies to molecules and nanostructures [14]. An enantiomer is one of either the right- or left-handed stereoisomer in the enantiomeric pair. Generally, each one of the enantiomeric pair interacts differentially with other chiral objects, and chiroptical techniques involve using the chirality of light to probe this chirality of matter [15-18]. The question addressed in this paper is whether the OAM, both its sign (handedness) and magnitude, can influence the optical gradient trapping force when applied to Rayleigh-sized chiral particles. Specifically, we are concerned with whether an optical vortex can produce enantioselective trapping forces (i.e. chiral trapping forces which act to separate the enantiomeric pair) which depend on ℓ . Previous studies looking at enantioselective optical trapping forces were specifically concerned with circularly polarized unstructured or plane wave light interacting with small chiral particles [19-22]. Being able to optically sort chiral molecular enantiomers using all-optical methods at the nanoscale would have a profound impact on the drug and pharmaceutical industry. Beyond natural molecules, chiral trapping forces have been employed in a number of different setups, predominantly involving chiral nanoparticles and plasmonic tweezing systems [23-36], though there have been some studies involving structured laser light [31,37-41].

light (CPL), where the quantity often referred to as the helicity $\sigma = +1$

2. Conservative chirality-sorting forces

Quantum electrodynamics (QED) [42] is employed to describe the light-matter interactions that correspond to optical gradient forces

* Corresponding author. *E-mail address:* k.forbes@uea.ac.uk (K.A. Forbes).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.optcom.2022.128197

Received 25 January 2022; Received in revised form 9 March 2022; Accepted 11 March 2022 Available online 16 March 2022 0030-4018/© 2022 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. in this paper. Truncated to the dipole approximation, the Power– Zienau–Woolley (PZW) multipolar interaction Hamiltonian describes this coupling as [43]

$$H_{\text{int}}\left(\xi\right) = -\varepsilon_0^{-1} \mu_i\left(\xi\right) d_i^{\perp}\left(\boldsymbol{R}_{\xi}\right) - m_i\left(\xi\right) b_i\left(\boldsymbol{R}_{\xi}\right),\tag{1}$$

where $\mu_i(\xi)$ and $m_i(\xi)$ are the electric and magnetic dipole operators, respectively; $d_i^{\perp}(\mathbf{R}_{\xi})$ and $b_i(\mathbf{R}_{\xi})$ are the transverse (with respect to the Poynting vector) electric displacement field and magnetic field mode operators, respectively, acting on a particle ξ at the location \mathbf{R}_{ξ} ; Einstein summation of repeated tensor indices is assumed throughout, i.e. $a_i b_i = \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b}$. The first term in (1) is the electric dipole coupling (E1) and the second magnetic dipole coupling (M1). For input circularlypolarized Laguerre–Gaussian (LG) modes the electromagnetic free field expansion operators truncated to first-order in the paraxial parameter kw_0 may be given by [44,45]

$$d_{i}^{\perp}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{k,\sigma,\ell,p} \Omega \left[\left\{ e_{i}^{(\sigma)} + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}k} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r} - \frac{\ell \sigma}{r} \right) e^{i\sigma\phi} \hat{z}_{i} \right\} \times f_{|\ell|,p}(r) a_{|\ell|,p}^{(\sigma)}(k\hat{z}) e^{i(kz+\ell\phi)} - H.c. \right]$$
(2)

and

b

$$\sum_{i,\sigma,\ell,p} \frac{\Omega}{\varepsilon_0 c} \left[\left\{ b_i^{(\sigma)} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2k}} \left(\sigma \frac{\partial}{\partial r} - \frac{\ell}{r} \right) e^{i\sigma\phi} \hat{z}_i \right\} \right. \\ \left. \times f_{|\ell|,p} \left(r \right) a_{|\ell|,p}^{(\sigma)} \left(k \hat{z} \right) e^{i(kz+\ell\phi)} - H.c. \right],$$

$$(3)$$

where $\Omega = i \left(\hbar c k \epsilon_0 / 2 A_{\ell,p}^2 V \right)^{1/2}$ is the normalization constant for LG modes, $e_i^{(\sigma)}(b_i^{(\sigma)}) = \sqrt{2^{-1}}(\hat{x}(\hat{y}) \pm i\sigma\hat{y}(\hat{x}))_i$ represents the electric (magnetic) polarization vector for circularly polarized light with $\sigma = \pm 1$ the helicity, with V the quantization volume; $a_{|\ell|,p}^{(\sigma)}(k\hat{z})$ is the annihilation operator; $\exp i (kz + \ell \phi)$ is a phase term; and *H.c.* stands for Hermitian conjugate. The terms that depend on \hat{x} and \hat{y} are the transverse components of the fields while those that depend on \hat{z} are the longitudinal components. 2D structured light possesses only the transverse components, whilst 3D structured light also has the longitudinal component [6]. Furthermore, whilst the polarization state of 2D structured light is adequately described by 2D polarization theory, e.g. the four Stokes parameters, due to the fact all three spatial components of the field vectors (2) and (3) generally play a role in 3D structured light, the theory of polarization has been extended to the 3D case [46-49]. In this work we describe light by its source 2D polarization state and make clear whether it is paraxial (2D structured) or non-paraxial (3D structured). To generate significant longitudinal field components, a 2D structured laser source is tightly focused using a high numerical aperture (NA) lens, producing 3D structured light at the focal plane. $f_{|\ell|,p}(r)$ is a radial distribution function given as

$$f_{|\ell|,p}(r) = \frac{C_p^{|\ell|}}{w_0} \left(\frac{\sqrt{2}r}{w_0}\right)^{|\ell|} e^{-\frac{r^2}{w_0^2}} L_p^{|\ell|} \left[\frac{2r^2}{w_0^2}\right],\tag{4}$$

where $C_p^{|\ell|} = \sqrt{2p!/\pi (p + |\ell|)!}$ is a normalization constant, w_0 is the minimum transverse extent of the beam around z = 0 (beam waist), and $L_p^{|\ell|}$ is the generalized Laguerre polynomial, p being the radial index. The potential energy responsible for the optical gradient trapping force originates in forward Rayleigh scattering. In photonic terms, an input laser photon is annihilated at the particle and then an identical photon (same mode) is created at the same particle. This two photon interaction requires second-order perturbation theory to yield the leading order contribution to the potential energy [1]:

$$U = \operatorname{Re} \sum_{R} \frac{\langle I | H_{\operatorname{int}} | R \rangle \langle R | H_{\operatorname{int}} | I \rangle}{E_{R} - E_{I}},$$
(5)

where the initial state of the system is given by $|I\rangle = |E_0(\xi); n(k, \sigma, \ell, p)\rangle$: the particle is in the ground state and the radiation field consists of *n* photons in the mode (k, σ, ℓ, p) ; the final state of the system is identical to the initial; the virtual intermediate state is given by $|R\rangle = |E_{\alpha}(\xi); (n-1)(k, \sigma, \ell, p)\rangle$.

Working strictly in the dipole regime the potential energy of a particle is then a sum of three distinct contributions:

$$U \simeq U_{\rm E1E1} + U_{\rm E1M1} + U_{\rm M1M1}.$$
 (6)

Chiral effects dependent on material handedness originate in the E1M1 term and so from now on we neglect the pure E1E1 and M1M1 effects as they are independent of material chirality [15].

3. 2D circularly polarized 3D LG input

Using Eq. (1) with the fields Eqs. (2) and (3) in Eq. (5) we produce the following potential energy for a 2D circularly polarized 3D LG input

$$U_{\rm E1M1}^{\rm Circ} = -\frac{I}{2\varepsilon_0 c^2} \operatorname{Re} \left[\left\{ \overline{e}_i^{\sigma} f - \frac{i}{k} \left(f' - \frac{\ell \sigma}{r} f \right) e^{-i\sigma\phi} \hat{z}_i \right\} \times \left\{ b_j^{\sigma} f + \frac{1}{k} \left(\sigma f' - \frac{\ell}{r} f \right) e^{i\sigma\phi} \hat{z}_j \right\} G_{ij} + c.c. \left(i \leftrightarrow j \right) \right],$$
(7)

where we have dropped most dependencies for notational clarity, *c.c.* ($i \leftrightarrow j$) stands for taking the complex conjugate and swapping the indices of the expression in brackets, $I = n\hbar c^2 k/A_{\ell,p}^2 V$ is the beam intensity, $f' = \partial_r f_{|\ell|,p}(r)$, and the imaginary quantity referred to as the mixed electric–magnetic polarizability tensor (which has different signs for each chiral particle of an enantiomeric pair) is given explicitly as

$$G_{ij}(\omega, -\omega) = \sum_{\alpha} \left(\frac{\mu_i^{0\alpha} m_j^{\alpha 0}}{E_{\alpha 0} - \hbar \omega} + \frac{m_j^{0\alpha} \mu_i^{\alpha 0}}{E_{\alpha 0} + \hbar \omega} \right),\tag{8}$$

where the transition dipole moments are defined as $\mu_i^{0\alpha}(m_i^{0\alpha}) = \langle E_0 | \mu_i(m_i) | E_\alpha \rangle$ and $E_{\alpha 0} = E_\alpha - E_0$. To account for the randomly oriented nature of chiral particles in the liquid or gas phase the potential energy (7) must be rotationally averaged. Using well known methods [43] in which each particle is decoupled from the space-fixed frame into the laboratory frame we produce

$$\langle U_{\text{EIM1}}^{\text{Circ}} \rangle = -\frac{I}{3\epsilon_0 c^2} \left[\sigma f^2 + \frac{1}{2k^2} \left(\sigma f'^2 - \frac{2\ell}{r} f f' + \frac{\sigma \ell'^2}{r^2} f^2 \right) \right] \text{Im}G_{\lambda\lambda},$$

$$(9)$$

The optical force F can be calculated from the energy shift via the well-known relation: $F = -\text{Re}\nabla U$, its explicit form is given in Supplement 1. The potential energy (9) is plotted in Fig. 1 with the direction of the forces F overlaid for the parallel $\ell = |\sigma|$ and antiparallel $\ell = -|\sigma|$ cases (further plots can be found in Supplement 1). This enantioselective trapping mechanism is quite similar to that of circularly polarized plane waves or 2D structured light, except in our system we have the spin–orbit-interactions (SOI) which occur in 3D fields [50] and these influence the helicity density distributions. For example, in the antiparallel case we produce an on-axis helicity density for $|\ell| = 1$.

4. 2D polarization independent enantioselective force

While the physics of the mechanism in the previous section is similar to that of previous chiral gradient forces [19,21,51,52], we will now highlight a distinct enantioselective trapping mechanism which is *independent* of the 2D polarization state. Optical helicity density *h* for propagating plane waves, 2D structured fields, and evanescent waves is proportional to the degree of 2D circular polarization of the input beam $h \propto \sigma$, being zero for both linearly polarized and unpolarized inputs and taking on its maximum value for circular polarization. For both linearly polarized and unpolarized plane waves there is no possibility for enantioselective gradient forces because $\sigma = 0$ and thus their optical helicity h = 0. In comparison, 3D structured LG modes are known to possess a non-zero optical helicity density contribution for 2D linear polarizations [45,53] and it has recently been shown that remarkably this contribution is in fact independent of 2D polarization, persisting even



Fig. 1. Individually normalized enantioselective potential energy (9) with forces overlaid (arrows indicate only direction) $w_0 = \lambda$ in all plots.

for unpolarized input light [54]. The rotationally averaged gradient trapping potential energy due to an 2D unpolarized 3D LG beam may be calculated by averaging (9) over the two orthogonal polarizations $\sigma = 1$ and $\sigma = -1$ (see Supplement 1 for further details):

$$\langle U_{\rm E1M1}^{\rm ind} \rangle = \frac{I}{3\epsilon_0 c^2} \frac{\ell}{k^2 r} f' f \,{\rm Im}G_{\lambda\lambda}.$$
 (10)

The gradient forces acting on a chiral particle stemming from this 2D polarization independent potential energy are calculated as

$$\langle F_{\rm E1M1}^{\rm ind} \rangle = \hat{r} \frac{I}{3\varepsilon_0 c^2} \left(\frac{C_p^{|r|}}{w_0} \right) \left(\frac{\sqrt{2r}}{w_0} \right)^{1/2} e^{-\frac{\omega_0^2}{w_0^2}} \frac{8\ell}{k^2} \\ \times \left[\left(\frac{|\ell|}{w_0^2 r} - \frac{r}{w_0^4} \right) L_p^{2|\ell|} + \left(\frac{2|\ell|}{w_0^2 r} - \frac{4r}{w_0^4} \right) L_p^{|\ell|} L_{p-1}^{|\ell|+1} \right.$$

$$\left. - \frac{2r}{w_0^4} \left(L_{p-1}^{2|\ell|+1} + L_p^{|\ell|} L_{p-2}^{|\ell|+2} \right) \right] \, \mathrm{Im} G_{\lambda\lambda}.$$

$$(11)$$

The potential energy (10) with the direction of the forces (11)overlaid at the focal plane z = 0 are shown in Fig. 2. What the graphs show is that for a given sign of ℓ , the right-handed and left-handed chiral particles in an enantiomeric mixture are subject to discriminatory radial trapping forces which act to separate them into distinct rings in the transverse plane. For example, in Fig. 2the enantiomer with G > 0is pushed away from the beam axis and towards the blue (outer) ring, meanwhile in 2b it shows the G < 0 is pushed towards the central spot and away from the outer ring. The results are dependent on the sign of ℓ , and keeping everything else the same, for $-\ell$ the graphs in Fig. 2. are the same but with the signs reversed. For example, for $-\ell$ the 2a G > 0would look exactly like 2b. It is important to make clear that these 2D polarization independent enantioselective forces are identical for either a 2D linearly polarized or 2D unpolarized 3D structured optical vortex. Also crucial is that both (10) and (11) stem purely from the longitudinal fields of (2) and (3) and so the 3D structure of the optical vortex beam generated by a tight focus is essential.

5. Discussion and conclusions

The chiral forces derived here using perturbative QED can alternatively be interpreted as the force arising from the gradient of the optical helicity density *h* [35]. It is clear that the forces in Figs. 1 and 2 are proportional to $(\text{Im}G_{\lambda\lambda}) \nabla h$, where *h* is given by Eqs. (14) and (17) in Ref. [45], respectively. We note that our *conservative* discriminatory



Fig. 2. Individually normalized 2D polarization independent enantioselective potential energy (10) with vector optical force (11). Otherwise as Fig. 1 (arrows indicate only direction).

trapping force derived using QED relates to the $-\omega 4\pi \text{Re} \{\chi\} \nabla h$ term derived with a classical field.

In Eq. 2 of Ref. [35]. For the propagating plane wave and evanescent wave modelled in that study and elsewhere [55] the helicity density is $h \propto \sigma$ and so for linear or randomly polarized propagating plane or evanescent waves conservative enantioselective forces analogous to our 3D structured vortex 2D polarization independent force (11) do not exist. The lateral non-conservative optical forces presented in Ref. [35] are due to transverse spin angular momentum density [56] in evanescent waves. Although the evanescent fields have 2D polarization independent transverse spin density [57], they possess canonical momentum density only in the direction of propagation, and so projecting the transverse spin onto the momentum density in evanescent waves produces zero helicity. We have highlighted a similar chirality-sorting force as that discovered by Hayat et al. [35] but ours is a conservative gradient force in 3D optical vortex tweezing systems, its magnitude is proportional to the tightness of the focus, but also increases for higher *p* values as it stems from the gradients of the field.

Evidently for natural chiral particles (e.g. molecules) the magnitudes of the forces involved will be small due their small $G_{\lambda\lambda}$ alongside the fact there will be present the dominant chirality-independent trapping potential $U_{\rm EIE1}$ from (6), however they are considered experimentally distinguishable [1,21,51,52], though are yet to be observed. Experimental realization of the fundamental mechanisms outlined here therefore have more potential in systems comprising of nanoparticles or the use of plasmonic enhancement in nanophotonic setups [27,58,59], and in particular the newly proposed method of force detection by Sifatet al. [60] is readily amenable.

This work has provided further evidence of the significant potential of 3D structured light in light–matter interactions and nanophotonics [6]. To be clear, while enantiomer separation schemes utilizing the optical helicity of circularly polarized plane waves in gradient force mechanisms have been put forward [19,21], linearly polarized and unpolarized propagating plane waves possess no longitudinal fields or optical helicity and so the 2D polarization independent discriminatory force (11) could never have been envisaged under the plane wave approximation, nor a 2D structured light field.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Funding

KAF thanks the Leverhulme Trust for funding him through a Leverhulme Early Career Fellowship, UK (Grant Number ECF-2019-398).

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary material related to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.optcom.2022.128197.

References

- D.L. Andrews, D.S. Bradshaw, Optical Nanomanipulation, Morgan & Claypool Publishers, San Rafael, CA, 2017.
- [2] C. Bradac, Nanoscale optical trapping: A review, Adv. Opt. Mater. (2018) 1800005.
- [3] P.H. Jones, O.M. Maragò, G. Volpe, Optical Tweezers: Principles and Applications, Cambridge University Press, 2015.
- [4] S.E.S. Spesyvtseva, K. Dholakia, Trapping in a material world, ACS Photonics 3 (2016) 719–736.
- [5] K.A. Forbes, D.S. Bradshaw, D.L. Andrews, Optical binding of nanoparticles, Nanophotonics 9 (2019) 1–17.
- [6] A. Forbes, M. de Oliveira, M.R. Dennis, Structured light, Nat. Photonics 15 (2021) 253–262.
- [7] D.L. Andrews, M. Babiker (Eds.), The Angular Momentum of Light, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2012.
- [8] Y. Shen, X. Wang, Z. Xie, C. Min, X. Fu, Q. Liu, M. Gong, X. Yuan, Optical vortices 30 years on: OAM manipulation from topological charge to multiple singularities, Light Sci. Appl. 8 (2019) 1–29.
- [9] Y. Bai, H. Lv, X. Fu, Y. Yang, Vortex beam: generation and detection of orbital angular momentum, Chinese Opt. Lett. 20 (2022) 012601.
- [10] M.J. Padgett, R. Bowman, Tweezers with a twist, Nat. Photonics 5 (2011) 343–348.
- [11] M. Woerdemann, C. Alpmann, M. Esseling, C. Denz, Advanced optical trapping by complex beam shaping, Laser Photonics Rev. 7 (2013) 839–854.
- [12] Y. Yang, Y. Ren, M. Chen, Y. Arita, C. Rosales-Guzmán, Optical trapping with structured light: a review, Adv. Photonics 3 (2021) 034001.
- [13] K.A. Forbes, D.L. Andrews, Orbital angular momentum of twisted light: chirality and optical activity, J. Phys. Photonics 3 (2021) 022007, http://dx.doi.org/10. 1088/2515-7647/abdb06.
- [14] L.D. Barron, True and false chirality and parity violation, Chem. Phys. Lett. 123 (1986) 423–427.
- [15] D.L. Andrews, Quantum formulation for nanoscale optical and material chirality: Symmetry issues, space and time parity, and observables, J. Opt. 20 (2018) 033003.

- [16] J.T. Collins, C. Kuppe, D.C. Hooper, C. Sibilia, M. Centini, V.K. Valev, Chirality and chiroptical effects in metal nanostructures: fundamentals and current trends, Adv. Opt. Mater. 5 (2017) 1700182.
- [17] P.L. Polavarapu, Chiroptical Spectroscopy: Fundamentals and Applications, CRC Press, 2016.
- [18] L.D. Barron, Molecular Light Scattering and Optical Activity, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2009.
- [19] D.S. Bradshaw, D.L. Andrews, Chiral discrimination in optical trapping and manipulation, New J. Phys. 16 (2014) 103021.
- [20] D.S. Bradshaw, K.A. Forbes, J.M. Leeder, D.L. Andrews, Chirality in optical trapping and optical binding, Photonics 2 (2015) 483–497.
- [21] R.P. Cameron, S.M. Barnett, A.M. Yao, Discriminatory optical force for chiral molecules, New J. Phys. 16 (2014) 013020.
- [22] A. Canaguier-Durand, J.A. Hutchison, C. Genet, T.W. Ebbesen, Mechanical separation of chiral dipoles by chiral light, New J. Phys. 15 (2013) 123037.
- [23] Z.-H. Lin, J. Zhang, J.-S. Huang, Plasmonic elliptical nanoholes for chiroptical analysis and enantioselective optical trapping, Nanoscale 13 (2021) 9185–9192.
- [24] Y. Shi, T. Zhu, T. Zhang, A. Mazzulla, D.P. Tsai, W. Ding, A.Q. Liu, G. Cipparrone, J.J. Sáenz, C.-W. Qiu, Chirality-assisted lateral momentum transfer for bidirectional enantioselective separation, Light Sci. Appl. 9 (2020) 1–12.
- [25] R. Ali, F.A. Pinheiro, R.S. Dutra, F.S. Rosa, P.A.M. Neto, Enantioselective manipulation of single chiral nanoparticles using optical tweezers, Nanoscale 12 (2020) 5031–5037.
- [26] F. Patti, R. Saija, P. Denti, G. Pellegrini, P. Biagioni, M.A. Iatì, O.M. Maragò, Chiral optical tweezers for optically active particles in the T-matrix formalism, Sci. Rep. 9 (2019) 1–10.
- [27] Y. Zhao, A.A. Saleh, J.A. Dionne, Enantioselective optical trapping of chiral nanoparticles with plasmonic tweezers, ACS Photonics 3 (2016) 304–309.
- [28] Y. Li, G. Rui, S. Zhou, B. Gu, Y. Yu, Y. Cui, Q. Zhan, Enantioselective optical trapping of chiral nanoparticles using a transverse optical needle field with a transverse spin, Opt. Express 28 (2020) 27808–27822.
- [29] K. Ding, J. Ng, L. Zhou, C.T. Chan, Realization of optical pulling forces using chirality, Phys. Rev. A 89 (2014) 063825.
- [30] H. Zheng, H. Chen, J. Ng, Z. Lin, Optical gradient force in the absence of light intensity gradient, Phys. Rev. B. 103 (2021) 035103, http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/ PhysRevB.103.035103.
- [31] G. Tkachenko, E. Brasselet, Helicity-dependent three-dimensional optical trapping of chiral microparticles, Nat. Commun. 5 (2014) 4491.
- [32] N. Kravets, A. Aleksanyan, H. Chraïbi, J. Leng, E. Brasselet, Optical enantioseparation of racemic emulsions of chiral microparticles, Phys. Rev. Appl. 11 (2019) 044025, http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevApplied.11.044025.
- [33] L. Fang, J. Wang, Optical trapping separation of chiral nanoparticles by subwavelength slot waveguides, Phys. Rev. Lett. 127 (2021) 233902, http://dx.doi. org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.127.233902.
- [34] H. Chen, C. Liang, S. Liu, Z. Lin, Chirality sorting using two-wave-interferenceinduced lateral optical force, Phys. Rev. A 93 (2016) 053833.
- [35] A. Hayat, J.P.B. Mueller, F. Capasso, Lateral chirality-sorting optical forces, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. United States Am. 112 (2015) 13190–13194, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1073/pnas.1516704112.
- [36] Cyriaque Genet, Chiral light-chiral matter interactions: an optical force perspective, ACS Photonics 9 (2) (2022) 319–332, http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ acsphotonics.1c01130, https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsphotonics.1c01130.
- [37] H. Zhang, J. Li, M. Guo, M. Duan, Z. Feng, W. Yang, Optical trapping two types of particles using a focused vortex beam, Optik 166 (2018) 138–146.
- [38] M. Li, S. Yan, Y. Zhang, P. Zhang, B. Yao, Enantioselective optical trapping of chiral nanoparticles by tightly focused vector beams, J. Opt. Soc. Am. B 36 (2019) 2099–2105.
- [39] L. Carretero, P. Acebal, S. Blaya, Chiral Rayleigh particles discrimination in dynamic dual optical traps, J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transfer 201 (2017) 209–215.
- [40] W. Lu, H. Chen, S. Guo, S. Liu, Z. Lin, Selectively transporting small chiral particles with circularly polarized airy beams, Opt. Lett. 43 (2018) 2086–2089.
- [41] M. Li, S. Yan, Y. Zhang, X. Chen, B. Yao, Optical separation and discrimination of chiral particles by vector beams with orbital angular momentum, Nanoscale Adv. (2021).
- [42] D.L. Andrews, D.S. Bradshaw, K.A. Forbes, A. Salam, Quantum electrodynamics in modern optics and photonics: tutorial, J. Opt. Soc. Am. B 37 (2020) 1153–1172.
- [43] D.P. Craig, T. Thirunamachandran, Molecular Quantum Electrodynamics: An Introduction to Radiation-Molecule Interactions, Courier Corporation, New York, 1998.
- [44] K.A. Forbes, D. Green, G.A. Jones, Relevance of longitudinal fields of paraxial optical vortices, J. Opt. 23 (2021) 075401.
- [45] K.A. Forbes, G.A. Jones, Measures of helicity and chirality of optical vortex beams, J. Opt. 23 (2021) 115401, http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/2040-8986/ac24bd.
- [46] M.A. Alonso, Geometric descriptions for the polarization for nonparaxial optical fields: a tutorial, 2020, arXiv preprint arXiv:2008.02720.
- [47] C.J. Sheppard, Jones and Stokes parameters for polarization in three dimensions, Phys. Rev. A 90 (2014) 023809.

- [48] K. Lindfors, A. Priimagi, T. Setälä, A. Shevchenko, A.T. Friberg, M. Kaivola, Local polarization of tightly focused unpolarized light, Nat. Photonics 1 (2007) 228–231.
- [49] K. Lindfors, T. Setälä, M. Kaivola, A.T. Friberg, Degree of polarization in tightly focused optical fields, J. Opt. Soc. Am. A 22 (2005) 561–568.
- [50] K.Y. Bliokh, F.J. Rodríguez-Fortuño, F. Nori, A.V. Zayats, Spin–orbit interactions of light, Nat. Photonics 9 (2015) 796–808.
- [51] D.S. Bradshaw, D.L. Andrews, Laser optical separation of chiral molecules, Opt. Lett. 40 (2015) 677–680.
- [52] D.S. Bradshaw, D.L. Andrews, Electromagnetic trapping of chiral molecules: orientational effects of the irradiating beam, J. Opt. Soc. Am. B 32 (2015) B25–B31.
- [53] P. Woźniak, I.D. Leon, K. Höflich, G. Leuchs, P. Banzer, Interaction of light carrying orbital angular momentum with a chiral dipolar scatterer, Optica 6 (2019) 961–965, http://dx.doi.org/10.1364/OPTICA.6.000961.
- [54] K.A. Forbes, Optical helicity of unpolarized light, Phys. Rev. A 105 (2022) 023524.

- [55] K.Y. Bliokh, A.Y. Bekshaev, F. Nori, Extraordinary momentum and spin in evanescent waves, Nat. Commun. 5 (2014) 3300.
- [56] A. Aiello, P. Banzer, M. Neugebauer, G. Leuchs, From transverse angular momentum to photonic wheels, Nat. Photonics 9 (2015) 789–795.
- [57] J.S. Eismann, L.H. Nicholls, D.J. Roth, M.A. Alonso, P. Banzer, F.J. Rodríguez-Fortuño, A.V. Zayats, F. Nori, K.Y. Bliokh, Transverse spinning of unpolarized light, Nat. Photonics 15 (2021) 156–161.
- [58] E.S. Goerlitzer, A.S. Puri, J.J. Moses, L.V. Poulikakos, N. Vogel, The beginner's guide to chiral plasmonics: Mostly harmless theory and the design of large-area substrates, Adv. Opt. Mater. (2021) 2100378.
- [59] L.A. Warning, A.R. Miandashti, L.A. McCarthy, Q. Zhang, C.F. Landes, S. Link, Nanophotonic approaches for chirality sensing, ACS Nano 15 (2021) 15538–15566, http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acsnano.1c04992.
- [60] A.A. Sifat, F. Capolino, E.O. Potma, Force detection of electromagnetic beam chirality at the nanoscale, 2022, [Physics] arXiv:2108.13374 http://arxiv.org/ abs/2108.13374. (Accessed 7 March 2022).