# Evaluating Predictive Pharmacogenetic Signatures of Adverse Events in Colorectal Cancer Patients Treated with Fluoropyrimidines

# Barbara A. Jennings<sup>1</sup><sup>∗</sup>, Yoon K. Loke<sup>1</sup>, Jane Skinner<sup>1</sup>, Melanie Keane<sup>2</sup>, Gavin S. Chu<sup>2</sup>, Richard Turner<sup>2</sup>, Daniel Epurescu<sup>2</sup>, Ann Barrett<sup>2</sup>, Gavin Willis<sup>2</sup>

1 Norwich Medical School, University of East Anglia, Norwich, United Kingdom, 2 Norfolk and Norwich University Hospital, Norwich, United Kingdom

#### Abstract

The potential clinical utility of genetic markers associated with response to fluoropyrimidine treatment in colorectal cancer patients remains controversial despite extensive study. Our aim was to test the clinical validity of both novel and previously identified markers of adverse events in a broad clinical setting. We have conducted an observational pharmacogenetic study of early adverse events in a cohort study of 254 colorectal cancer patients treated with 5fluorouracil or capecitabine. Sixteen variants of nine key folate (pharmacodynamic) and drug metabolising (pharmacokinetic) enzymes have been analysed as individual markers and/or signatures of markers. We found a significant association between TYMP S471L (rs11479) and early dose modifications and/or severe adverse events (adjusted OR = 2.02 [1.03; 4.00], p = 0.042, adjusted OR = 2.70 [1.23; 5.92], p = 0.01 respectively). There was also a significant association between these phenotypes and a signature of DPYD mutations (Adjusted OR = 3.96 [1.17; 13.33], p = 0.03, adjusted OR = 6.76 [1.99; 22.96], p = 0.002 respectively). We did not identify any significant associations between the individual candidate pharmacodynamic markers and toxicity. If a predictive test for early adverse events analysed the TYMP and DPYD variants as a signature, the sensitivity would be 45.5 %, with a positive predictive value of just 33.9 % and thus poor clinical validity. Most studies to date have been under-powered to consider multiple pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic variants simultaneously but this and similar individualised data sets could be pooled in meta-analyses to resolve uncertainties about the potential clinical utility of these markers.

Citation: Jennings BA, Loke YK, Skinner J, Keane M, Chu GS, et al. (2013) Evaluating Predictive Pharmacogenetic Signatures of Adverse Events in Colorectal Cancer Patients Treated with Fluoropyrimidines. PLoS ONE 8(10): e78053. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0078053

Editor: Andreas-Claudius Hoffmann, West German Cancer Center, Germany

Received June 15, 2013; Accepted September 9, 2013; Published October 22, 2013

Copyright: © 2013 Jennings et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: The H.A. Andrews Memorial Fund (Oddfellows) funded our sample collection and genotyping. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

\* E-mail: b.jennings@uea.ac.uk

• These authors contributed equally to this work.

# Introduction

Folate-dependent one-carbon metabolism is a target for drug groups that are widely used in the treatment of cancer and inflammatory diseases. Two of the drugs, 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) and capecitabine, are central to the medical management of colorectal cancer in both advanced and adjuvant settings; they are used as monotherapies or in combination with other chemotherapeutic and biological agents. Both folate metabolism and the catabolism of 5-FU and capecitabine depend on a number of enzymes that are functionally polymorphic [1]. 5-FU is a fluoropyrimidine that has been used as a chemotherapeutic agent for more than five decades. Inhibition of thymidylate synthase (TYMS) is an important mechanism of action for 5-FU, which leads to inhibition of DNA synthesis and DNA repair. This cytotoxicity is partly dependent on the formation of a ternary complex between TYMS, the deoxyribonucleoside derived from 5-FU and 5-methyltetrahydrofolate (THF). This can be enhanced by the expansion of reduced folate pools, which can be achieved pharmacologically because 5-FU regimes include folinic acid (Leucovorin), a 5-formyl derivative of THF [2].

The fluoropyrimidine, capecitabine (a pro-drug that is preferentially converted to 5-FU in tumour cells), has been designed for oral administration and to be more specific than 5-FU, thus leading to potential differences in the safety profile [2-5].

The use of 5-FU/leucovorin in randomized controlled trials of adjuvant chemotherapy for colorectal cancer has been shown to improve both disease-free and overall survival as compared to surgery alone [6,7]. Subsequently, trial participants receiving 5-FU/leucovorin combined with oxaliplatin were found to have significantly improved progression-free survival of 9.0 months compared with 6.2 months in those receiving 5-FU/leucovorin alone (p<0.001) [8]. However, neutropenia and diarrhoea were important adverse effects noted in this trial. The need to manage toxicity is important because unintended effects may result in the patient having to receive a lower dose or shorter course of chemotherapy, with adverse consequences on the benefit/harm balance. Hence, there are potential clinical advantages from the development of predictive markers to guide clinicians in selecting individuals who are most likely to benefit (or least likely to be harmed) from a particular drug regimen. If individuals with high susceptibility for adverse effects could be identified before treatment, strategies to reduce the risk such as using alternative chemotherapy regimens (based on different agents or dose modification) and closer monitoring with greater use of supportive therapeutics, could be applied. Many studies have explored the predictive value of genotyping for beneficial response to chemotherapy and the likelihood of chemotherapy related adverse events [9-20] and heterogeneous conclusions were drawn about the association of individual markers with treatment outcomes. A recent genome-wide association study identified one variant that had not been previously implicated in 5-FU pharmacokinetic or pharmacodynamic pathways and failed to identify association signals in previously identified markers or their imputed proxys [21].

We have previously reported a meta-analysis on the clinical impact of TYMS and methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase (MTHFR) [22]. Data were synthesized from more than 2000 patients for the most commonly studied markers TYMS 5' UTR repeat polymorphism (rs45445694) and MTHFR 677 C>T (rs1801133). We found a statistically significant association between clinical response and the TYMS genotype associated with low protein expression only; however, the effect size is small (RR = 1.36 [1.11, 1.65] and RR = 2.04 [1.42, 2.95] for benefit and adverse events respectively) and therefore suggests limited clinical utility for this marker. Some SNPs of the DPYD gene have been strongly associated with severe toxicity; an exon-skipping mutation in intron 14 (rs3918290) has been shown to have a positive predictive value ranging from 46 % [23] to 100 % [17,24]. The benefit/harm ratio is likely to depend on a complex polygenic model where individual genotypes have only a small role. Analysis of multiple polymorphisms simultaneously will allow us to consider additive, synergistic and compensating variants of folate metabolism and anti-folate catabolism that may have clinical utility as predictive genetic signatures; but data from large cohorts will be needed. In this study we present individualised pharmacogenetic patient data that could potentially be pooled in meta-analyses of gene interactions. Our objectives were to test the clinical validity of previously identified markers of adverse events in a broad clinical setting; and to identify any novel associations between adverse events and candidate variants of proximal enzymes in the pharmacodynamic and pharmacokinetic pathways.

# **Materials and Methods**

#### **Study Design**

This is an observational pharmacogenetic cohort study of colorectal cancer patients treated with 5-FU or capecitabine.

#### Participants, Setting and Treatment Regimens

Peripheral blood samples were collected from two hundred and fifty-four CRC patients treated within the Oncology department of the Norfolk and Norwich University Hospital in Norfolk, England between 2008 and 2011. The Central Office for Research Ethics Committees approved the study protocol (REC reference 07/H0310/134) and written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

The patients, who had a World Health Organisation performance status of between 0 and 2, were treated in neoadjuvant, adjuvant and palliative settings with either intravenous 5-FU or capecitabine as monotherapy, or combined with other agents as dual therapy. The second chemotherapy agents were typically irinotecan (FOLFIRI, CAPIRI regimens) or the platinum salt oxaliplatin (FOLFOX, CAPOX regimens) [25]. Toxicities encountered with all agents used were assessed according to the Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE) Version 4.0. The standard departmental protocol was followed for dose modification and treatment withdrawal.

#### **Baseline Characteristics**

The age, sex, laboratory data for bone marrow and liver function, plasma levels of carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) and disease stage were recorded for each participant at the start of the treatment regime. The histological classification and grade of the primary tumour were also recorded.

#### Phenotypes

Relevant clinical data about adverse events were collected from patient records and laboratory charts for 12 weeks from the start of the treatment regime. Adverse events were graded in accordance with the CTCAE version 4.0 for gastrointestinal symptoms, mucositis/stomatitis, palmar-plantar syndrome, paraesthesia and cardiac toxicity, neutropenia, anaemia, thrombocytopenia and abnormal liver function tests.

1. Any delays or reductions in the administration of fluoropyrimidines due to adverse events were recorded as primary outcomes for the subsequent analyses.

2. Grade 3, 4 or 5 adverse events were analysed as secondary outcomes. Toxicity classified as paraesthesia was not included in the statistical analysis of patients treated with combination chemotherapies because the symptom is largely attributable to oxaliplatin therapy. Abnormal liver function tests were not included in the analyses for participants with liver metastases.

# Genes, Genetic Variants and Genotype Analysis and Analytic Validity

We used or developed allelic discrimination assays for the polymorphic forms of *MTHFR*, *TYMS*, *DHFR*, *MTHFD*, *SHMT*, *DPYD*, *UMPS*, *CDA* and *TYMP* described in Table 1. We identified the genetic variants of interest through the systematic extraction of data for polymorphisms of the genes described on the *NCBI* and *SNP* 500 databases or in publications, and their stratification was based on published data or sequence-based predictions about their functional impact [17,18,26-34].

DNA was extracted from whole blood using standard methods and sub-aliquoted onto 96 well plates at a concentration of approximately 100 ng  $\mu$ l<sup>-1</sup>. All subsequent reactions were also performed in 96 well plates and 8 channel automatic pipettes were used for all liquid transfers. Fifteen of the PCR reactions comprised 100 ng DNA, 200 nmol L<sup>-1</sup> of each primer and 1 x PCR Thermo Start Mastermix (ABgene UK, Epsom, England) in a 25  $\mu$ l volume. The PCR conditions for each assay varied according to cycle number and annealing temperature but in each case an initial denaturation was performed at 95 °C for 5 minutes and the PCR reaction was linked to a final extension step of 10 minutes at 70 °C.

Restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) analysis was used for 12 of the assays. In each case, 10 µl of PCR product was digested overnight at 37 °C in a 20 µl reaction volume. The enzymes used (New England Biolabs, Hitchin, UK) for each reaction are described in Table 1(a). The PCR products were electrophoresed on a 1 X Tris/borate/EDTA, 3% Metaphor agarose (FMC Bioproducts, Lichfield, UK) gel in a Stretch-wide apparatus (ABgene, Epsom, UK) at 80 V for 50 minutes.

For the *SHMT1* assay 'an Assay by Design' kit of primers and Taqman probes were used with Taqman mastermix (Applied Biosystems, Warrington, UK) and 100 ng of DNA in a 25µl volume. The Applied Biosystems standard minor groove binding PCR reaction conditions were used; 50 °C for 2 minutes; 95 °C for 10 minute followed by 40 cycles of 60 °C for 1 minute and 92 °C for 15 seconds.

A number of control steps were included in our standard operating procedures.

1. Genotype-specific and no DNA controls were used.

2. A minimum of 10% of the samples from each batch were genotyped a second time.

3. The 677C>T and 1298A>C alleles of the *MTHFR* loci have been shown to be in linkage disequilibrium because these variants are very rarely found in *cis* [33,35,36]. The c1129-5923C>G intronic SNP of *DPYD* is tightly linked to 1236G>A, and therefore these SNPs are expected to be found as a diplotype.

4. We used the exact test of Hardy-Weinberg proportions to analyse the frequencies of the genotypes detected for each locus for deviation from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE).

#### **Statistical Analysis**

The main aim of the study was to assess the association between individual candidate SNPs and toxicity. The adjusted test for trend was pre-specified as our method for statistical analysis but for completeness, and to make our data available for meta-analysis by others, we also calculated associations using dominant and recessive models.

For unadjusted results, we calculated odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) directly between the risk of both 5-FU dose modification and the risk of grade 3, 4 or 5 toxicity events and each SNP. We took homozygotes for the major allele as the reference category. We used the score test for trend of odds. For adjusted results, we used unconditional logistic regression to estimate the ORs and 95% CIs between risk of the two outcomes and each SNP, adjusted for age, sex, previous chemotherapy and treatment regime.

To calculate the adjusted test for trend, we fitted the SNP result (0, 1 or 2) as a continuous outcome. For completeness, we also calculated whether alleles increased disease risk under dominant (1+2 versus 0) and recessive (2 versus 0+1) models. Where there were small cell counts (expected value < 5) we used Fisher's exact estimate for the unadjusted results and we did not calculate the results for the adjusted model. We analysed the data using Stata version 12 (StataCorp, 2011). Many tests were carried out so, whilst not formally adjusting for multiple comparisons, we pre-specified that the results of the adjusted test for trend should be taken as the main results.

#### Results

#### Participants and treatment regimens

254 participants were recruited to the study. One participant was subsequently excluded who was undergoing combination chemo-radiotherapy for a squamous cell carcinoma of the anus.

Patient characteristics are summarised in Table 2. The median age of the participants was 67 years and the male to female ratio was 1.34: 1. Most participants (209, 82.60 %) were undergoing first-line chemotherapy.

#### Phenotype

109 of the 253 (43.08 %) participants included in the pharmacogenetic analysis had a dose delay or modification, and 44 (17.39 %) had a grade 3 or 4 adverse event; or died from causes (gastrointestinal symptoms, liver failure, cardiac symptoms and thromboembolic disease) that were considered unrelated to disease progression within 12 weeks of commencing their chemotherapy regime. Severe adverse events were observed more frequently for patients receiving fluoropyrimidine monotherapy (22 % versus 12 % respectively; see Table S1).

#### **Genotype Analysis and Analytic Validity**

Scoring of Genotypes was 99.5 % successful in the first round of assays and 100 % successful after any failed samples and repeats had been re-assayed.

The genotype frequencies are described in Table 1 (b). The most common minor allele (*DHFR*) had a frequency of 49 % and the rarest minor allele (*DPYD*, rs67376798) had a frequency of 0.4 %. These frequencies concurred with those

**Table 1.** The genetic markers analysed as predictive markers of adverse events and biochemical response to 5FU and Capecitabine treatment.

1 (a)	Gene symbol, locus	NCBI SNP I.D; Polymorphism; class of mutatior	n PCR primer pair; and Taqman probes	Enzyme used for RFLP analysis	1 (b) Genotype Frequency homozygotes for major allele/ heterozygotes/ homozygotes for minor allele
Markers of Pharmacodynamics	<i>TYMS</i> , 18p11.32	rs45445694; 5'UTR; Tandem repeat polymorphism (2R/3R)	AAAAGGCGCGCGGAAG and GCCGGCCACAGGCAT	Not applicable, gel analysis	84/116/53
		G>C in 3R alleles of rs45445694; SNP	AAAAGGCGCGCGGAAG and GCCGGCCACAGGCAT	Hae III	147/89/17
		rs16430; 3'UTR 1494 -6bp/+6bp; In/del	GCAGAACACTTCTTTATTATAGCAACATATAA and CGATCATGATGTAGAGTGTGGTTATG	Not applicable, gel analysis	124/103/26
	<i>MTHFR</i> , 1p36.3	rs1801133; 677C>T; A222V, Missense	GGGTCAGAAGCATATCAGTCATG and CACAAAGCGGAAGAATGTGTC	Hinfl	110/111/32
		rs1801131; 1298A>C; E429A, Missense	CTACCTGG*AGAGCAAGTCCCCCAA and GGATGAACCAGGGTCCCC	Mboll	117/119/17
	<i>DHFR</i> , 5q14.1	IVS1+59_60insACCTGGGCGGGACGCGCCA; 19 bp intron 1 in/del	ATGGGACCCAAACGGGC and CACCCTTCCTGCCAGCG	Not applicable, gel analysis	62/135/56
	<i>MTHFD1</i> , 14q24	rs2236225; 1958G>A; R653Q, Missense	TTCCAATGTCTGCTCCAAATCC and CCTTCCGATTCCAAATCAATTC	Msp1	81/123/49
	<i>SHMT1</i> , 17p11.2	rs1979277; 1420C>T; L474F, Missense	GCCCGCTCCTTTAGAAGTCA     an       CTCCGGGAGGAGGTTGAGA;     VIC       VIC     TTCGCCTCTTTCTTC     and       FAN     TTCGCCTCTCTCTTC	d Not applicable, 1 Taqman probes	125/107/21
Markers of Pharmacokinetics	<i>DPYD</i> , 1p22	rs3918290; IVS14+1 G>A; Exon-skipping SNP in intron 14	CCTCTGGCCCCATGTATG and AGCAACTGGCAGATTCTTTAATAAA	HpyCH4IV	250/3/0
		1236G>A; E412E, Synonymous SNP	CTATGCAGTTTGTTCGGACT*GA and GATGACCACATCGGCTTTCA	Ddel	243/10/0
		rs67376798; 2846A>T; D949V, Missense	TAGAGCAAGTTGTGGCTATGATC*G and GTCTCATAGCATTCTAATTCCAGCA	ΤαqαΙ	251/2/0
		c1129-5923C>G; intronic SNP creates splice site	TTTTATTTCACTCG*GCATCAGCC and CATTTGACAAATCAGGTTGTCACTT	Ddel	243/10/0
	<i>UMPS</i> , 3q13	rs1801019; 638G>C; G213A, Missense	TGTGGCAGCGAATCATAC*TG and GGATCCTGGGCAGCTCT	Bsrl	174/73/6
	<i>CDA</i> , 1p36	rs2072671; 258A>C; K27Q, Missense	GCTCCCA GGAGGT*CAAG and TTACCTTTGAAGATTCTCCCCT	Hpy188III	113/110/30
	<i>TYMP</i> , 22q13	rs11479; 1412C>T; S471L, Missense	GCAGGAGGCGCTCGT and CTGACAAGGTTTCGCGGC	Mnll	207/44/2
		rs112723255; 1393G>A; A465T, Missense	GCAGGAGGCGCTCGT and CTGACAAGGTTTCGCGGC	HinP1I	234/17/2

1 (a) The table describes the functional impact of each polymorphism and the oligonucleotides and restriction enzymes used in the assays.

1 (b) The distribution of genotypes for each marker is presented for the cohort of 253 colorectal cancer patients included in the pharmacogenetic analysis.

(\* - indicates a mismatch with the wild type sequence; introduced to eliminate/create enzyme sites for the assay)

doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0078053.t001

found on databases for other northern European populations which is in keeping with our previous observation that 94 % of the population served by the recruiting hospital are white and born in England [37].

There were no inconsistencies in the data analysis of the control markers included with each batch, or with duplicate analyses, or with observations about linkage disequilibrium. No significant deviations from HWE were observed.

**Table 2.** Demographic, clinical and pathological information

 for 253 colorectal cancer patients.

Characteristic	Number (%) 145 (57.31)	
Males		
Females	108 (42.68)	
Median age	67	
Age range		23 - 88
Histology	Adenocarcinoma	221 (87.01)
	Mucinous adenocarcinoma	25 (9.84)
	Other / Unknown	7 (2.76)
Modified Dukes Classification at diagnosis*	A	6 (2.36)
	В	56 (22.05)
	C1	121 (47.64)
	C2	26 (10.24)
First line Chemotherapy	Yes	209 (82.60)
	No	44 (17.39)
Treatment regime	5-FU monotherapy	63 (24.90)
	Combination chemotherapy with 5- FU	31 (12.25)
	Capecitabine monotherapy	58 (22.92)
	Combination chemotherapy with capecitabine	101 (39.92)

\*. Dukes score from post-surgical histopathology reports where available.

doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0078053.t002

#### **Statistical Analysis**

The relationships between each SNP and dose modifications or severe toxicity are presented in Table S2. Odds ratios (ORs) adjusted for age, sex, previous chemotherapy and treatment regime are presented. For *MTHFR* and *DPYD* SNPs, we have included an analysis of variants with the same functional effects as signatures of alternative polymorphisms.

The results of the adjusted test for trend were pre-defined as our main hypothesis-testing data. But for four loci there were zero observations of homozygotes for the minor allele, in which case we used the adjusted dominant model.

We found a significant association between early dose modifications and severe adverse events (adjusted OR = 2.02 [1.03; 4.00], p = 0.042, adjusted OR = 2.70 [1.23; 5.92], p = 0.013 respectively from the dominant model) and *TYMP* (rs11479). There was also a significant association between these phenotypes and a signature of *DPYD* mutations (Adjusted OR = 3.96 [1.17; 13.33], p = 0.03, adjusted OR = 6.76 [1.99; 22.96], p = 0.002 respectively). We also found a significant trend for *TYMP* (rs11479) based on two observed homozygotes for the minor allele.

Adjusted results that we do not emphasise (to avoid multiple comparisons and because a significant test for trend is the best signal of an effect) were (1) an association for dose modification and *DHFR* in/del heterozygotes; adjusted OR of 2.19 [1.12; 4.28], p=0.023, and OR of 2.15 [1.13; 4.08], p=0.020 in the dominant model (2). An association for severe toxicity and or *SHMT1* (rs1979277) heterozygotes; an adjusted OR of 0.40 [0.18; 0.88], p=0.023 compared to CC

homozygotes, and OR of 0.47 [0.23-0.97], p=0.041 in the dominant model. No other adjusted results were significant.

#### **Clinical Validity and Impact**

Table S1 shows individualised data for the markers associated with severe adverse events (*DPYD* and *TYMP* genotypes) and clinical phenotype data for the 44 participants who had severe adverse events. Nineteen of the 44 participants with severe adverse events carried at least one of the candidate predictive markers.

If detection of the combined SNP signature was used as a diagnostic procedure to identify those who would subsequently suffer severe adverse events, the sensitivity would be 45.5 %, with a positive predictive value of 33.9 %. The potential impact of testing for the combined SNP signature and then changing to a different chemotherapy regimen in affected individuals can be estimated in a hypothetical clinical population of 1000 patients. If those patients had similar characteristics to those within our cohort, 233 of them would be combined SNP signature positive. The impact of changing the regimen in these 233 patients would be 79 fewer severe adverse events. Here, 95 patients would still have severe adverse events (down from the original 174 with no testing performed). However, 156 patients with the combined SNP signature would have had their regimen changed for no specific benefit because they would not have gone on to develop severe adverse events.

#### Discussion

We present an analysis of functionally important genetic variants in the pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic pathways that influence response to fluoropyrimidines. The phenotypes examined were adverse events that were identified by a dose delay or dose modification within 12 weeks due to toxicity, and by CTCAE grade 3, 4 or 5 scores.

#### Pharmacokinetics

Each of the pharmacokinetic variants that we consider are compelling candidates as predictive markers because they have a known or putative functional impact on the enzymes needed for drug catabolism or their metabolism to an active form.

The *DPYD* variants analysed lead to enzyme deficiency or absence and their functional effects can be observed in heterozygous carriers. However, each *DPYD* SNP is rare, which reduces their potential clinical utility as predictive markers. In this study therefore we have also considered these *DPYD* variants as a signature of alternative polymorphisms, and found a strong association with early adverse events (see Tables S1 and S2).

*TYMP* encodes thymidine phosphorylase; the activity and expression of which has a reported role in tumorigenesis as well as activation of 5-FU and capecitabine [38]. We present a novel finding about a variant that should now be tested in an independent cohort. There is a significant association between early adverse events and the *TYMP* SNP rs11479 (see tables S1 and S2), the minor allele results in an amino acid substitution (e.g. NP\_001107227.1:p.Ser471Leu, though

alternate splice forms have been described). The importance of this particular variant is unclear; the amino acid substitution occurs just outside the pyrimidine nucleoside phosphorylase C terminal domain in most models and the Ser at this position is not widely conserved in mammals. However, the variant could also be in linkage disequilibrium with another polymorphism that is functionally important. One previous pharmacogenetic study of *TYMP* SNPs, including rs11479, failed to find an association with the adverse event palmar-plantar syndrome in a small (n = 130) mixed cohort of breast and colorectal cancer patients treated with capecitabine [39]. In another small study of colorectal cancer patients (n = 60) no association with survival was found for a synonymous *TYMP* SNP, rs470119 [40].

We found no significant associations or trends for early dose modifications or severe adverse events with the candidate variants of *UMPS* (rs1801019) and *CDA* (rs2072671). Genetic variants for these loci have previously been associated with severe neutropenia and diarrhoea in patients treated with 5-FU [41], and with palmar-plantar syndrome in patients treated with capecitabine [39].

#### Pharmacodynamics

Inhibition of thimydylate synthase is an important pharmacodynamic mechanism for fluoropyrimidines but the balance of folate species within the biochemical pathway may depend on the enzyme variants at key branch points [1]. We have therefore examined candidate polymorphisms for *MTHFR*, *DHFR*, *MTHFD1*, and *SHMT* in addition to *TYMS*. These are non-synonymous SNPs or variants that affect untranslated control regions; each polymorphism has a demonstrated or putative influence on gene expression or function.

No significant associations or trends were found for individual polymorphisms that have been classified as low activity thymidylate synthase variants and toxicity within 12 weeks (Table S2). In the analysis of TYMS genotypes, it has been suggested that haplotype rather than genotype analysis may improve the sensitivity and specificity of pharmacogenetic testing. The G>C polymorphism in nucleotide 12 of the TYMS 28 bp VNTR repeat elements has been proposed to affect both expression of TYMS in vitro and levels of 2'-deoxyuridine in vivo. However, the published data are inconclusive, the majority of the possible genotypes have not been examined in relation to 5-FU sensitivity; different methods have been used to test gene expression; and conclusions about the putative functional effects have not been congruent [28,42-45]. Another haplotype of clinical interest [46] comprises the 5' and 3' TYMS variants, rs45445694 and rs16430 (also referred to as rs34489327) for which there is linkage disequilibrium [9,12,32]; but again there have been conflicting findings about the functional impact of the 3' polymorphism [19,32,47].

In our previous systematic review and recent literature search for pharmacogenetic studies of colorectal cancer patients treated with fluoropyrimidines, no other studies were identified that included an analysis of *DHFR*, *SHMT* or *MTHFD1* genotypes. Associations with an increased risk of dose modification were identified for particular *DHFR* 

genotypes and decreased risk of severe adverse events with particular *SHMT* genotypes in this study. But we do not emphasise these results because they derive from the adjusted dominant model only and there was no concordance between the 2 phenotypes considered (Table S2).

In summary, we did not identify any significant or compelling associations between the individual candidate pharmacodynamic markers and toxicity. This may reflect the complexity of the intrinsic and extrinsic factors that affect fluoropyrimidine response including dietary folate; leucovorin provided as part of the therapeutic regime; and variability in folate uptake.

#### **Limitations of Observational Studies**

The observational nature of this study means that potential bias cannot be excluded. There are a number of factors that could bias towards the null. This may stem from incomplete recording of adverse events, missing data, or patients having early dose modifications and/or prophylactic interventions before higher grade events occurred. Small effect sizes, coupled with low allele frequency in some instances would have reduced the power of the study to detect any significant association. Conversely, type I error may also occur in erroneously reporting a significant finding when there is actually no true association. This can stem from multiple testing of a diverse range of genetic markers (particularly with posthoc or 'data trawling' analyses) and is a problem that may be ameliorated through a Bonferroni correction. However, Perneger highlights a number of methodological weaknesses with Bonferroni corrections (such as an increased risk of type II errors or false-negatives), and he recommends that Bonferroni correction 'should not be used when assessing evidence about specific hypotheses'[48]. This point is particularly relevant to our study because we have focused on the evaluation of prespecified variants selected through rigorous review of the literature, and we have only highlighted associations identified through adjusted tests for trend and a priori hypotheses. Equally, unmeasured or residual confounding may explain differences between groups, despite our statistical adjustments for known confounders such as treatment protocol; for example, the response to CAPOX, FOLFORI and FOLFOX protocols can also be influenced by variants of enzymes that are not part of fluoropyrimidine metabolism. However doctors, patients and researchers were all blinded to the genotype status of the patients throughout the study, thus making it less likely that patients with particular genotypes were selected, monitored or treated differently (selection, detection or performance biases being unlikely due to Mendelian randomization).

#### **Conclusion and Future Directions**

In conclusion, these data identify and confirm markers that predict toxicity but our analysis of their clinical validity indicates limited utility. This has important implications for helping clinicians and patients arrive at evidence-based decisions on the pros and cons of investing in commercially available genotyping tests for predicting 5-FU toxicity during treatment of colorectal cancer. Only a few pharmacogenetic studies of the genotypes described have considered the role of epistasis but some interactions have been identified that warrant further analysis [19,46,49,50]. Most studies to date have been under-powered to consider multiple pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic variants simultaneously but this (Table S3) and similar individualised data sets can be pooled in meta-analyses to resolve uncertainties about the potential clinical utility of these markers and their combined signatures.

### **Supporting Information**

Table S1. The genotypes at the loci DPYD and TYMP for 44 participants who had grade 3, 4 or 5 adverse events within 12 weeks of starting the chemotherapeutic protocol. Treatment regimes; 1 = 5-FU as monotherapy; 2 = 5FU in combination chemotherapy; 3 = capecitabine as monotherapy; 4 = capecitabine in combination chemotherapy.

For the genotype data; 0 = homozygous for the minor allele; 1 = heterozygous; 2 = homozygous for the major (wild type) allele. The genotypes 1236G>A and c1129-5923C>G are in linkage disequilibrium.

LFT; liver function tests.

(DOC)

TableS2.Analysesofassociationsbetweenfluoropyrimidinetoxicity and genotype.The results fromthe test for trend and from dominant and recessive geneticmodels are shown.

(a) Main effect of polymorphisms on fluoropyrimidine dose modification: markers of pharmacodynamics.

† Adjusted for age, sex, previous chemotherapy and treatment regime using logistic regression ‡Fisher's exact estimate used \*Test for trend could not be calculated because of 0 observations in one or both phenotype groups for homozygotes in the minor allele.

(b) Main effect of polymorphisms on fluoropyrimidine dose modification: markers of pharmacokinetics.

† Adjusted for age, sex, previous chemotherapy and treatment regime using logistic regression ‡Fisher's exact estimate used.

### References

- Thorn CF, Marsh S, Carrillo MW, McLeod HL, Klein TE et al. (2011) PharmGKB summary: fluoropyrimidine pathways. Pharmacogenet Genomics 21: 237-242. PubMed: 20601926.
- Longley DB, Harkin DP, Johnston PG (2003) 5-fluorouracil: mechanisms of action and clinical strategies. Nat Rev Cancer 3: 330-338. doi:10.1038/nrc1074. PubMed: 12724731.
- Van Cutsem E, Twelves C, Cassidy J, Allman D, Bajetta E et al. (2001) Oral capecitabine compared with intravenous fluorouracil plus leucovorin in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer: results of a large phase III study. J Clin Oncol 19: 4097-4106. PubMed: 11689577.
- Cassidy J, Twelves C, Van Cutsem E, Hoff P, Bajetta E et al. (2002) First-line oral capecitabine therapy in metastatic colorectal cancer: a favorable safety profile compared with intravenous 5-fluorouracil/ leucovorin. Ann Oncol 13: 566-575. doi:10.1093/annonc/mdf089. PubMed: 12056707.
- Zhang C, Wang J, Gu H, Zhu D, Li Y et al. (2012) Capecitabine plus oxaliplatin compared with 5-fluorouracil plus oxaliplatin in metastatic colorectal cancer: Meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Oncol Lett 3: 831-838. PubMed: 22741002.

(c) Main effect of polymorphisms on grade 3, 4 or 5 toxicity events: markers of pharmacodynamics.

† Adjusted for age, sex, previous chemotherapy and treatment regime using logistic regression ‡Fisher's exact estimate used.
(d) Main effect of polymorphisms on grade 3, 4 or 5 toxicity events: markers of pharmacokinetics.

† Adjusted for age, sex, previous chemotherapy and treatment regime using logistic regression ‡Fisher's exact estimate used \*Test for trend could not be calculated because of 0 observations in one or both phenotype groups for homozygotes in the minor allele.

(DOCX)

Table S3. Individualised data for each toxicity phenotype.(XLS)

#### Acknowledgements

We are very grateful to the clinicians, medical students and study participants from the Norfolk and Norwich University Hospital who contributed generously to this study; including Dr Andrew Bulman, Dr Gaurav Kapur, Dr Debashis Biswas, Dr Merran Baxter, Dr Jayne Crook, Dr Amy O'Connell, Dr Stephanie Miles, Mrs Katy Smith and Mrs Della Heron. We gratefully acknowledge the work of Mrs Mel Macrow, Mr David Tomlinson, Mrs Catherine Campbell and Mrs Melissa Campbell-Kelly (from the Clinical Research and Trials Unit) who recruited and cared for our study participants together with Karen Noonan-Shearer and the nursing staff of the Weybourne Unit.

## **Author Contributions**

Conceived and designed the experiments: BAJ YKL GW JS DE AB GC. Performed the experiments: GW JS BAJ MK RT. Analyzed the data: GW JS BAJ YKL DE. Contributed reagents/ materials/analysis tools: GW JS BAJ YKL MK DE. Wrote the manuscript: BAJ YKL GW JS DE AB GC MK RT.

- Zaniboni A (1997) Adjuvant chemotherapy in colorectal cancer with high-dose leucovorin and fluorouracil: impact on disease-free survival and overall survival. J Clin Oncol 15: 2432-2441. PubMed: 9196159.
- Scheithauer W, Rosen H, Kornek GV, Sebesta C, Depisch D (1993) Randomised comparison of combination chemotherapy plus supportive care with supportive care alone in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer. BMJ 306: 752-755. doi:10.1136/bmj.306.6880.752. PubMed: 7683942.
- André T, Boni C, Mounedji-Boudiaf L, Navarro M, Tabernero J et al. (2004) Oxaliplatin, fluorouracil, and leucovorin as adjuvant treatment for colon cancer. N Engl J Med 350: 2343-2351. doi:10.1056/ NEJMoa032709. PubMed: 15175436.
- Braun MS, Richman SD, Thompson L, Daly CL, Meade AM et al. (2009) Association of molecular markers with toxicity outcomes in a randomized trial of chemotherapy for advanced colorectal cancer: the FOCUS trial. J Clin Oncol 27: 5519-5528. doi:10.1200/JCO. 2008.21.6283. PubMed: 19858398.
- Derwinger K, Wettergren Y, Odin E, Carlsson G, Gustavsson B (2009) A study of the MTHFR gene polymorphism C677T in colorectal cancer.

Clin Colorectal Cancer 8: 43-48. doi:10.3816/CCC.2009.n.007. PubMed: 19203896.

- Fernández-Contreras ME, Sánchez-Hernández JJ, Guijarro M, Gisbert JP, Rivas N et al. (2010) Influence of thymidylate synthase DNA polymorphisms and gender on the clinical evolution of patients with advanced colorectal cancer. Oncol Rep 23: 1393-1400. PubMed: 20372856.
- Gusella M, Frigo AC, Bolzonella C, Marinelli R, Barile C et al. (2009) Predictors of survival and toxicity in patients on adjuvant therapy with 5fluorouracil for colorectal cancer. Br J Cancer 100: 1549-1557. doi: 10.1038/sj.bjc.6605052. PubMed: 19384296.
- Hitre E, Budai B, Adleff V, Czeglédi F, Horváth Z et al. (2005) Influence of thymidylate synthase gene polymorphisms on the survival of colorectal cancer patients receiving adjuvant 5-fluorouracil. Pharmacogenet Genomics 15: 723-730. doi:10.1097/01.fpc. 0000175598.42141.59. PubMed: 16141798.
- Ruzzo A, Graziano F, Loupakis F, Rulli E, Canestrari E et al. (2007) Pharmacogenetic profiling in patients with advanced colorectal cancer treated with first-line FOLFOX-4 chemotherapy. J Clin Oncol 25: 1247-1254. doi:10.1200/JCO.2006.08.1844. PubMed: 17401013.
- Ruzzo A, Graziano F, Loupakis F, Santini D, Catalano V et al. (2008) Pharmacogenetic profiling in patients with advanced colorectal cancer treated with first-line FOLFIRI chemotherapy. Pharmacogenomics J 8: 278-288. doi:10.1038/sj.tpj.6500463. PubMed: 17549067.
- Glimelius B, Garmo H, Berglund A, Fredriksson LA, Berglund M et al. (2011) Prediction of irinotecan and 5-fluorouracil toxicity and response in patients with advanced colorectal cancer. Pharmacogenomics J 11: 61-71. doi:10.1038/tpj.2010.10. PubMed: 20177420.
- Deenen MJ, Tol J, Burylo AM, Doodeman VD, de Boer A et al. (2011) Relationship between single nucleotide polymorphisms and haplotypes in DPYD and toxicity and efficacy of capecitabine in advanced colorectal cancer. Clin Cancer Res 17: 3455-3468. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-10-2209. PubMed: 21498394.
- Loganayagam A, Arenas-Hernandez M, Fairbanks L, Ross P, Sanderson JD et al. (2010) The contribution of deleterious DPYD gene sequence variants to fluoropyrimidine toxicity in British cancer patients. Cancer Chemother Pharmacol 65: 403-406. doi:10.1007/ s00280-009-1147-x. PubMed: 19795123.
- Vignoli M, Nobili S, Napoli C, Putignano AL, Morganti M et al. (2011) Thymidylate synthase expression and genotype have no major impact on the clinical outcome of colorectal cancer patients treated with 5fluorouracil. Pharmacol Res 64: 242-248. doi:10.1016/j.phrs. 2011.04.006. PubMed: 21536130.
- Boisdron-Celle M, Remaud G, Traore S, Poirier AL, Gamelin L et al. (2007) 5-Fluorouracil-related severe toxicity: a comparison of different methods for the pretherapeutic detection of dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase deficiency. Cancer Lett 249: 271-282. doi:10.1016/ j.canlet.2006.09.006. PubMed: 17064846.
- Fernandez-Rozadilla C, Cazier JB, Moreno V, Crous-Bou M, Guinó E et al. (2013) Pharmacogenomics in colorectal cancer: a genome-wide association study to predict toxicity after 5-fluorouracil or FOLFOX administration. Pharmacogenomics J 13: 209-217. doi:10.1038/tpj. 2012.2. PubMed: 22310351.
- Jennings BA, Kwok CS, Willis G, Matthews V, Wawruch P et al. (2012) Functional polymorphisms of folate metabolism and response to chemotherapy for colorectal cancer, a systematic review and metaanalysis. Pharmacogenet Genomics 22: 290-304. doi:10.1097/FPC. 0b013e328351875d. PubMed: 22388795.
- Schwab M, Zanger UM, Marx C, Schaeffeler E, Klein K et al. (2008) Role of genetic and nongenetic factors for fluorouracil treatment-related severe toxicity: a prospective clinical trial by the German 5-FU Toxicity Study Group. J Clin Oncol 26: 2131-2138. doi:10.1200/JCO. 2006.10.4182. PubMed: 18299612.
- Sulzyc-Bielicka V, Bińczak-Kuleta A, Pioch W, Kładny J, Gziut K et al. (2008) 5-Fluorouracil toxicity-attributable IVS14 + 1G > A mutation of the dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase gene in Polish colorectal cancer patients. Pharmacol Rep PR 60: 238-242. PubMed: 18443386.
- Goodwin RA, Asmis TR (2009) Overview of systemic therapy for colorectal cancer. Clin Colon Rect Surg 22: 251-256. doi:10.1055/ s-0029-1242465. PubMed: 21037816.
- Frosst P, Blom HJ, Milos R, Goyette P, Sheppard CA et al. (1995) A candidate genetic risk factor for vascular disease: a common mutation in methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase. Nat Genet 10: 111-113. doi: 10.1038/ng0595-111. PubMed: 7647779.
- Gellekink H, Blom HJ, van der Linden IJ, den Heijer M (2007) Molecular genetic analysis of the human dihydrofolate reductase gene: relation with plasma total homocysteine, serum and red blood cell folate levels. Eur J Hum Genet 15: 103-109. doi:10.1038/sj.ejhg.5201713. PubMed: 16969375.

- Horie N, Aiba H, Oguro K, Hojo H, Takeishi K (1995) Functional analysis and DNA polymorphism of the tandemly repeated sequences in the 5'-terminal regulatory region of the human gene for thymidylate synthase. Cell Struct Funct 20: 191-197. doi:10.1247/csf.20.191. PubMed: 7586009.
- Kaneda S, Takeishi K, Ayusawa D, Shimizu K, Seno T et al. (1987) Role in translation of a triple tandemly repeated sequence in the 5untranslated region of human thymidylate synthase mRNA. Nucleic Acids Res 15: 1259-1270. doi:10.1093/nar/15.3.1259. PubMed: 3029702.
- 30. Kawakami K, Salonga D, Park JM, Danenberg KD, Uetake H et al. (2001) Different lengths of a polymorphic repeat sequence in the thymidylate synthase gene affect translational efficiency but not its gene expression. Clin Cancer Res 7: 4096-4101. PubMed: 11751507.
- Mandola MV, Stoehlmacher J, Muller-Weeks S, Cesarone G, Yu MC et al. (2003) A novel single nucleotide polymorphism within the 5' tandem repeat polymorphism of the thymidylate synthase gene abolishes USF-1 binding and alters transcriptional activity. Cancer Res 63: 2898-2904. PubMed: 12782596.
- Mandola MV, Stoehlmacher J, Zhang W, Groshen S, Yu MC et al. (2004) A 6 bp polymorphism in the thymidylate synthase gene causes message instability and is associated with decreased intratumoral TS mRNA levels. Pharmacogenetics 14: 319-327. doi: 10.1097/00008571-200405000-00007. PubMed: 15115918.
- Weisberg I, Tran P, Christensen B, Sibani S, Rozen R (1998) A second genetic polymorphism in methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase (MTHFR) associated with decreased enzyme activity. Mol Genet Metab 64: 169-172. doi:10.1006/mgme.1998.2714. PubMed: 9719624.
- Johnson WG, Scholl TO, Spychala JR, Buyske S, Stenroos ES et al. (2005) Common dihydrofolate reductase 19-base pair deletion allele: a novel risk factor for preterm delivery. Am J Clin Nutr 81: 664-668. PubMed: 15755837.
- Peter L, Rady SKT, Hudnall S David, Vargas Trini, Kellner Leonard H, Nitowsky Harold, Matalon Reuben K (1999) Methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase (MTHFR): The incidence of mutations C677T and A1298C in the Ashkenazi Jewish population. Am J Med Genet 86: 380-384. doi: 10.1002/(SICI)1096-8628(19991008)86:4. PubMed: 10494095.
- Sharp L, Little J (2004) Polymorphisms in genes involved in folate metabolism and colorectal neoplasia: a HuGE review. Am J Epidemiol 159: 423-443. doi:10.1093/aje/kwh066. PubMed: 14977639.
- Willis G, Scott DG, Jennings BA, Smith K, Bukhari M et al. (2002) HFE mutations in an inflammatory arthritis population. Rheumatology (Oxf) 41: 176-179. doi:10.1093/rheumatology/41.2.176.
- Scartozzi M, Maccaroni E, Giampieri R, Pistelli M, Bittoni A et al. (2011)
   5-Fluorouracil pharmacogenomics: still rocking after all these years? Pharmacogenomics 12: 251-265. doi:10.2217/pgs.10.167. PubMed: 21332317.
- Caronia D, Martin M, Sastre J, de la Torre J, Garcia-Saenz JA et al. (2011) A polymorphism in the cytidine deaminase promoter predicts severe capecitabine-induced hand-foot syndrome. Clin Cancer Res Off J Am Assoc Cancer Res 17: 2006-2013. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-10-1741.
- Fariña-Sarasqueta A, van Lijnschoten G, Rutten HJ, van den Brule AJ (2010) Value of gene polymorphisms as markers of 5-FU therapy response in stage III colon carcinoma: a pilot study. Cancer Chemother Pharmacol 66: 1167-1171. doi:10.1007/s00280-010-1403-0. PubMed: 20665215.
- Ichikawa W, Takahashi T, Suto K, Sasaki Y, Hirayama R (2006) Orotate phosphoribosyltransferase gene polymorphism predicts toxicity in patients treated with bolus 5-fluorouracil regimen. Clin Cancer Res Off J Am Assoc Cancer Res 12: 3928-3934. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-05-2665. PubMed: 16818689.
- 42. Mandola MV, Stoehlmacher J, Muller-Weeks S, Cesarone G, Yu MC et al. (2003) A novel single nucleotide polymorphism within the 5' tandem repeat polymorphism of the thymidylate synthase gene abolishes USF-1 binding and alters transcriptional activity. Cancer Res 63: 2898-2904. PubMed: 12782596.
- 43. Kawakami K, Watanabe G (2003) Identification and functional analysis of single nucleotide polymorphism in the tandem repeat sequence of thymidylate synthase gene. Cancer Res 63: 6004-6007. PubMed: 14522928.
- 44. Yawata A, Kim SR, Miyajima A, Kubo T, Ishida S et al. (2005) Polymorphic tandem repeat sequences of the thymidylate synthase gene correlates with cellular-based sensitivity to fluoropyrimidine antitumor agents. Cancer Chemother Pharmacol 56: 465-472. doi: 10.1007/s00280-005-1018-z. PubMed: 15918040.
- 45. de Bock CE, Garg MB, Scott N, Sakoff JA, Scorgie FE et al. (2011) Association of thymidylate synthase enhancer region polymorphisms

with thymidylate synthase activity in vivo. Pharmacogenomics J 11: 307-314. doi:10.1038/tpj.2010.43. PubMed: 20531375.

- 46. Afzal S, Gusella M, Jensen SA, Vainer B, Vogel U et al. (2011) The association of polymorphisms in 5-fluorouracil metabolism genes with outcome in adjuvant treatment of colorectal cancer. Pharmacogenomics 12: 1257-1267. doi:10.2217/pgs.11.83. PubMed: 21919605.
- Pullmann R Jr., Abdelmohsen K, Lal A, Martindale JL, Ladner RD et al. (2006) Differential stability of thymidylate synthase 3'-untranslated region polymorphic variants regulated by AUF1. J Biol Chem 281: 23456-23463. doi:10.1074/jbc.M600282200. PubMed: 16787927.
- Perneger TV (1998) What's wrong with Bonferroni adjustments. BMJ 316: 1236-1238. doi:10.1136/bmj.316.7139.1236. PubMed: 9553006.
- Taflin H, Wettergren Y, Odin E, Carlsson G, Derwinger K (2011) Gene polymorphisms MTHFRC677T and MTRA2756G as predictive factors in adjuvant chemotherapy for stage III colorectal cancer. Anticancer Res 31: 3057-3062. PubMed: 21868559.
- Res 31: 3057-3002, Publiked: 21866359.
  50. Afzal S, Gusella M, Vainer B, Vogel UB, Andersen JT et al. (2011) Combinations of polymorphisms in genes involved in the 5-Fluorouracil metabolism pathway are associated with gastrointestinal toxicity in chemotherapy-treated colorectal cancer patients. Clin Cancer Res 17: 3822-3829. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-11-0304. PubMed: 21471424.