

Article



From evidence to practice:
Developing best practice
guidelines for the delivery of
activities to people living with
moderate to advanced dementia
using a pragmatic observational
study

Dementia 2021, Vol. 20(5) 1604–1616 © The Author(s) 2020



Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/1471301220957805 journals.sagepub.com/home/dem



### **Amanda Burke**

Norwich Medical School, University of East Anglia, Norwich, Norfolk, UK

# **Andy Jones**

Norwich Medical School, University of East Anglia, Norwich, Norfolk, UK

# Ryan Hughes

Active Norfolk, Easton Tennis Centre, Easton & Otley College, Norwich, UK

# Emily Player®

Norwich Medical School, University of East Anglia, Norwich, Norfolk, UK

#### **Abstract**

The benefits of physical activities for those living with moderate to advanced dementia are well documented and include improved well-being and quality of life. What is less well known is how best to deliver such activities to make them meaningful for those taking part and, more generally, how to develop good practice guidance for working with this group. This article reports on an observational study of a physical activity programme in a residential care setting, Mobile Me, and on the process used to develop good practice guidance from it, which included input from a range of stakeholders. Learnings from this study conclude that changes in delivery and setting can contribute to a difference in the quality of the experience for participants and their levels of well-being during sessions. The findings from the study were consolidated into four themes for disseminating best practice:

#### Corresponding author:

Emily Player, Norwich Medical School, University of East Anglia, Norwich Research Park, Norwich, Norfolk, NR4 7TJ, UK. Email: e.player@uea.ac.uk

promoting the right atmosphere, environment, communication, and adaptations. These form part of a new multimedia best practice guide for delivering physical activities to those living with moderate to advanced dementia.

### **Keywords**

advanced dementia, physical activity, dementia care mapping, best practice, evaluation

Contributions to the literature:

- 1. Small differences in the delivery of an activity such as bowling can affect the quality of the experience for participants with moderate to advanced dementia.
- Detailed, transferrable, best practice guidance for the delivery of such activities is rarely produced.
- This article describes a novel process for developing such guidance using observational methods and stakeholder consultation.

### Introduction

## Background

The United Kingdom currently has around 850,000 individuals living with dementia (Prince et al., 2014). This figure is estimated to rise to 2,000,000 by 2050, with a global 3-fold increase also predicted by this time (Livingston et al., 2017; Prince et al., 2014; World Health Organization & Alzheimer's Disease International, 2012). Management of dementia includes pharmacological and non-pharmacological treatments. A large meta-analysis identified minimal benefits of pharmacological treatment in moderate to advanced dementia but significant side effects including cardiovascular and neurological complications such as syncope (Buckley & Salpeter, 2015). As a result, the focus of treatment is often on improving well-being and physiological function using non-pharmacological management such as physical activities (Cheyne et al., 2013; Livingston et al., 2017; National Institute for Clinical Excellence, 2018; World Health Organization & Alzheimer's Disease International, 2012). Physical activities in moderate to advanced dementia may improve mood, social relations and balance and reduce restless behaviour and pressure sores (Alzheimer's Society, 2016; Edwards et al., 2008; Smit et al., 2016; Telenius et al., 2015).

Whilst the benefits of physical activities are well documented, the best way to deliver them for those with dementia is less well known. There is, however, evidence to suggest that delivering activities in a meaningful way can help address their psychological and social needs and that this is related to the quality of the experience (Harmer & Orrell, 2008). The need to research the context in which activities take place, along with training for those who lead such sessions, has also been emphasised (Heyn et al., 2004).

Undertaking the evaluation of activity programmes amongst those living with advanced dementia can be challenging due to the more complex processes for consent and challenges in finding suitable methods for gathering data (Mansbach et al., 2016). Observational methods, such as Dementia Care Mapping (DCM), have the potential to assist in the evaluation of such groups (Angrosino, 2007). DCM is an observation tool developed by Bradford University (Brooker, 2005) and endorsed by UK National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). It has been used in the care setting to

embed patient-centred care and to evaluate the quality and effectiveness of care (Khalid et al., 2019). DCM aims to gain, in so far as it is possible, the perspective of a person living with dementia when care, or an activity, is delivered to that individual (Kitwood & Bredin, 1992).

With an increasing incidence of dementia (Livingston et al., 2017; Pham et al., 2018; Prince et al., 2014; World Health Organization & Alzheimer's Disease International, 2012), it is important to ensure that interventions are developed that improve the quality of life for this group. Addressing this need forms the basis of this study which takes place within a wider evaluation of a physical activity programme called Mobile Me for older adults living in group settings, including care homes.

# Mobile Me and the best practice case study

Mobile Me was a 10-week, physical activity intervention delivered by the regional sport partnership (Active Norfolk) in 50 sheltered housing and care home units in the English county of Norfolk between October 2015 and December 2017. Two coaches ran sporting activities in weekly sessions of between one and 2 hours in duration. Both were experienced in adapting physical activities for older adults and were trained 'Dementia Friends'.

Evaluation of Mobile Me was carried out by the University of East Anglia (UEA), United Kingdom. Participation in the evaluation was initially limited to those who were able to give informed consent. However, as the intervention moved from sheltered housing to care settings, the delivery team observed anecdotal benefits to those living with advanced dementia; for example, increased engagement and attentiveness as a session progressed. The sports coaches had also developed some specific adaptations and approaches to deliver with the group. For these reasons, an additional study was proposed to build on the growing bank of knowledge being developed and with the aim of producing best practice guidance. This was conceptualised as practice that ensured that the sessions were as accessible and inclusive as possible (physically and cognitively), held participants' attention, engendered positive social interaction, encouraged physical activity and resulted in behaviours or signs consistent with well-being. The guidance was to be aimed at anyone who might wish to delivery physical activity sessions in group settings, including sports coaches, volunteers and care staff.

### Research methods

Study design was predicated on lessons learnt from the broader Mobile Me evaluation, where even simplified questionnaires or basic functional tests had been found not suitable for those living with advanced dementia. These individuals normally had very limited recall, difficulties following instructions and reduced ability to communicate. Many also had physical disabilities and sensory impairment. For this reason, observational methods were adopted.

A pilot observation was carried out of an activity session with individuals living with dementia with the capacity to consent (The local institution's ethics committee has approved the study); this helped establish what aspects of delivery might be further explored and the number and type of observation sessions this might involve. Ethical consent to carry out the observation with those considered unable to give informed consent was obtained from the local institution's ethics committee. Following this, observations were carried out with residents attending any of three activity sessions run within a large dementia care unit, where a consultee had granted permission and where the resident did express or exhibit any signs of not wishing to be observed. For this, two observers worked simultaneously: one carrying out DCM and the second carrying out semi-structured observation. The DCM observer coded behaviour, mood and engagement for between

four and five individuals at any one session. Whilst DCM produces quantitative results in the form of scores (Brooker, 2005), on this occasion, it was being used solely as a method of in-depth qualitative observation to tease out and capture what actions, or conditions, were associated with well-being and engagement of the participants. The second observer gathered broader contextual information about the sessions, such as reactions and interactions of the whole group using a framework developed for evaluating activities for people living with dementia (Sheard, 2008). The observers recruited were highly experienced in working within care settings and with people living with cognitive impairment; their expertise and judgement were considered by the research team to be an essential component of the research design. The observers were asked to consider a number of areas of interest that had arisen from the evaluation of Mobile Me thus far, including the pilot observation, for example how to encourage social interaction, the effect of room layout, to what degree staff participated and what affect this had.

The observations were carried out over 3 days in October 2017, including a morning and two afternoon sessions. Two sessions (1 and 3) were held in the residents' lounges, and one (session 2) in an unfamiliar environment (an activity room in another part of the facility). These variations were introduced to explore whether the time of day, or the type of space, influenced the experience. A total of 33 participants were observed; session 1–3 had 14, 9 and 10 participants, respectively. For each session, five participants were observed in the context of DCM coding. All participants were observed by the second observer.

Two coaches delivered each session. The game played was a variant of Boccia called 'New Age Bowls', which involves throwing soft balls onto a target wedge. Participants sat in a semicircle with care staff interspersed or standing nearby. After each session, the observers met with the sports coaches and a researcher to discuss their observations. After all three sessions, the observers discussed their findings prior to writing their separate reports.

Following this, the research team synthesised the observers' finding, alongside findings from the broader Mobile Me evaluation (which had included interviews with the sports coaches and a member care staff responsible for dementia care), to produce the guidance. A brief review of the literature was also carried out to identify any possible contradictions with this study, or omissions, and to help establish different approaches to layout, tone and content for the guidance. A set of overarching themes was developed inductively through reading and annotating the data, and through discussion with those involved in project evaluation and delivery. This secondary analysis was thus the interpretation of research findings, which are themselves interpretations of participants' behaviours or understandings, that is 'third-order constructs' (Atkins et al., 2008).

The draft guidance was presented to a consultative group in a workshop in January 2018. Attendees included health professionals (an occupational therapist, a physiotherapist and a general practitioner), academics from the UEA, a representative from Norfolk County Council Public Health and Active Norfolk staff (including a Mobile Me sport coach), as well as the two researchers who conducted the observation study.

Following this, the final guidance materials were produced. During this stage, the original data were revisited not only to source quotes and examples with which to illustrate findings, but as a reality check to ensure the message, and recommendations, remained consistent with the data.

It is recognised that the production of the guide was influenced by the context in which it was developed and the interpretations of those involved (Crossan, 2003). They may be considered however as 'instances of a broader set of recognisable features' (Williams, 2000, p. 215) and thus transferable across different settings and for different circumstances. The steps in the development of the guidelines are shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Steps in the development of the best practice guidance.

Activity	Informant	Information obtained	Interpretation and synthesis to produce guidance
Mobile Me evaluation	Internal to the project: Sports coaches and care setting	Information about best practice applicable to older people in group settings, including people living with moderate to advanced dementia	Guidance synthesised by the evaluation team in consultation along with activity deliverers
Specialist observation	External to the project: Specialist observers using two observation methods	Further information about delivery to people with moderate to advanced dementia that may not be obvious without specialist knowledge and without the focus of a structured observation	
Existing literature	External to the project	Information about best practice working with people living with dementia. Example best practice guidance	
Consultative workshop	Internal and external to the project: Stakeholders from the health, social care, academia and sports sectors	Feedback on the content of the draft guidelines. Multiple perspectives able to identify what's 'missing'. A reality check about dissemination	

### **Ethics**

The local institution's ethics committee has approved the study. This study has been checked against and meets the SRQR reporting standards for qualitative research (O'Brien et al., 2014).

# Learnings and results

# Developing the key themes

Four themes were developed from the data to group the recommendations in the best practice guidance. They are described below using extracts from the observation reports to illustrate how the themes relate to the practice observed. All names have been replaced by pseudonyms.

Theme 1: Environment. Observing the sessions in different spaces, and at different times, revealed how the environment could affect engagement and participation. For example in one session, walking frames and chairs cluttered the area, there were distractions from other activities taking place nearby and from staff conversations in the corridors. Another session worked well because chairs were placed close together in a small circle allowing better opportunities for interaction. However, whilst there were fewer distractions which helped keep the focus on the game, the room was unfamiliar, and this appeared to cause anxiety to some individuals. The last session observed,

which was considered the most successful, was in a familiar lounge which was free from clutter and distractions.

Tables and frames had been moved out of the circle, and this created a clear view and sense of space for all to focus on the game. Everyone seemed to be gathered in the semi-circle for the same purpose – the game!

This last session also had a clear structure, starting with an introduction from the coach. The refreshment breaks also had a defined start and end which meant that when play resumed all eyes were back on the game.

Theme 2: Atmosphere. Key to delivering the sessions that raised participants' well-being was to create an atmosphere that was relaxed, welcoming and fun, and where each member of the group felt included however they wished to participate (even if this was only watching). Residents often initially indicated they did not wish to play but eventually joined in after other people had played or after encouragement from sports coaches, care staff or relatives. This had been a learning from the broader Mobile Me evaluation and was also noted in the observation.

People may have got into the habit of saying 'No' when asked if they want a turn as a way of avoiding embarrassment about finding themselves in a situation they don't understand or anticipate that they won't be able to manage.

Whilst the sport coaches accepted a firm 'no' where it was clear a participant did not want to play, they had learnt to gently persist to encourage participation.

Jenny [sports coach] asks Connie 'Do you want to have a go?' Connie says 'No'. Jenny follows up with 'Are you sure? You're good at this'. Connie smiles and takes her turn, aiming deliberately as usual.

Care staff and sports coaches found small achievements for praise and encouragement, meaning that the sessions were failure free and that individuals were valued.

Jenny [sports coach] persists with Nora by saying 'You did very well last time'. Nora says, 'I don't' think so'. Jenny says, 'Have a go' in an encouraging way and Nora says, 'I haven't had one, yet which is working'. Jenny ignores it, brushes over it by saying 'That's OK'. Jenny shows her how to release the ball down the ramp. 'When you're ready let go of that one; let go of that one; let go of that one. Brilliant, well done!'

However, one observer reported that some players got less attention than others – those with less 'warm' personalities or those who appeared to be more able. An awareness of this could allow those delivering activities to consciously monitor their response to such individuals to avoid this.

In addition to ensuring that the players were positioned so that they could watch each other, one way of keeping the players engaged in the game was through competition. In this case, through writing scores on a whiteboard, through calling out and commenting on each player's score and through encouraging interaction within the group.

People respond to encouragement – it works. 'Oohs' when they miss, 'Aahs' when they get the ball in.

Stan [sports coach] offers the scores for people to add up, 220's and 230's. Jack adds them up correctly.

Banter and encouragement from staff and participants helped build a sense of fun.

'He was robbed!' A shout goes up from someone in the group .....full of humour and enjoyment.

Care assistant says, 'Go on Jane!' in encouragement.

Interaction between the players was encouraged. One player helped others with their play and was good humouredly referred to as 'coach'.

Tim talks tactics with Faith who laughs and holds her hands up in mock resignation when the balls don't quite go in. Someone calls out 'Coach Tim'

'Can you pass them to Jean' [the] sports coach [says] to the player, as he is established as an expert at the game and he is encouraged to help his neighbour get started.

The contribution of care staff was particularly evident in the last session observed, all of whom were evenly distributed around the group. Initially, this involvement took the form of encouraging and supporting residents verbally. Later in the session, the sport coaches asked care staff and others in the room (including the observers and UEA staff) to play, and this heightened the engagement and interaction of everyone, including residents.

Theme 3: Adaptations. Physical adaptations used in the sessions included a practical aid: a bowling ramp. In addition to this, the way the game was played was adapted to improve accessibility. For example the target wedge was moved from player to player for their turn; this was because moving players away from their seats had been found to be disorientating and to break the flow of play. This was an adaptation to the game that had been adopted by the sports coaches at an earlier point in the delivery of Mobile Me. The mat was also moved closer to players who were finding the game more difficult. Another example of adapting technique was to change from bowling underarm to overarm where this was more suited to a player with mobility issues, or where the player was impeded by a chair arm. Where adaptations were required, the sports coaches were observed to do this discretely and with little fuss.

Although many of the residents appeared to have mobility problems, some might have been capable of bowling standing up rather than sitting down. One observer noted that was not really encouraged in the sessions where it might have been; however, standing up, where it is safe to do so, may be beneficial to players to develop mobility and balance.

Theme 4: Communication. Some residents understood how to play the game, or took their cue from other players, other residents did not, or they forgot what to do between their turns. Communicating with these players could be challenging and required persistence and adaptability from the sports coaches, for example the use of repetition and the rephrasing of instructions.

Jenny offers a great range of ways of saying the same thing, demonstrating gentle persistence in supporting residents to have a go [for example] 'When you're ready throw the red ball; throw that one; throw that to the floor; see if you can; pop it in the ramp; let's do it together; pop it onto there then let go; take your hand away; little push little push; let's push it together'.

The coaches also used their physical position and body language to help participants understand what to do. Often, they would position themselves behind the target wedge and encourage the player to throw the ball to the target using gestures. Where this did not work, a coach was observed encouraging the participant to 'throw the ball towards me', holding his hand out and motioning down to the mat. On other occasions, the coaches stood next to a player to demonstrate a throwing motion or guided the player's hand.

## Consultation on draft guidelines

The first draft of the guidelines was presented to the consultative group and was reviewed in a facilitated discussion session led by UEA researchers. Whilst this group had only minor comments on the content and structure of the information, they were able to identify additional recommendations from their own perspectives and specialisms. This was useful as the evaluation had focussed on critiquing on what was already taking place, and this was an opportunity to consider what else might add value to the sessions. One recommendation, for example was to use the activity session to provide rehydration and a healthy snack. Another was to ensure that physical activities were integrated into the routine of a care setting. It was also recommended that the guide should include a section explaining why physical activity sessions in group setting might be beneficial.

There was strong agreement that the main guide should be produced as a video, with written materials to supplement it. A video would allow the recommendations to be illustrated and could include a level of detail that may be off-putting in the written format. The group also recommended that the guidance materials should be available online.

# Guidelines, final themes and outcomes

A video was developed (see <a href="https://www.activenorfolk.org/dementia1">https://www.activenorfolk.org/dementia1</a>) alongside a poster which was to act as an aide memoire. The video's structure follows the four themes described above, each of which contains several sub-themes, and these are shown in Table 2, column 2. The third column 'Outcomes Framework' links the themes to the outcomes outlined in the initial conceptualisation of

Table 2.	Themes	for good	practice	guidelines and	loutcomes	framework.
----------	--------	----------	----------	----------------	-----------	------------

Theme	eme Sub-theme		
Environment	Creating a suitable space	Engagement	
	Planning and organisation		
Atmosphere	Getting everyone involved	Inclusivity	
	Introducing challenge and competition	Engagement	
	Recognising small achievements	Social interaction	
		Recognition	
		Encouragement	
		Well-being	
Communication	Verbal communication	Inclusivity	
	Non-verbal communication	Social interaction	
	Encouraging interaction	Recognition	
Adaptions	Physical aides	Inclusivity	
·	Adapting techniques	Physical activity	
	Avoiding disorientation		

best practice for this project. Two additional outcomes for participants were identified, they are 'recognition' and 'encouragement' (for example recognising individuals through using their names and encouraging individuals through recognising small achievements), and have been included in the outcome framework.

### **Discussion**

This research was undertaken with the aim of producing best practice guidelines for the delivery of the physical activities for people with moderate to advanced dementia living in group settings. Our own observations indicate that the detail of delivery makes a difference to the quality of the experience for participants. This is supported by research with people living with dementia that indicates that the meaningfulness of activities for them is related to the quality of their experience (Harmer & Orrell, 2008). However, whilst there exists some general guidance on physical activity and dementia, for example information about suitable types of activities (Alzheimer's Society, 2015), more detailed guidelines for facilitating such activities do not appear to be available. These may be useful to those who are not used to working with this client group; for example sports professionals who have experience working with older people or people living with physical conditions but not those with more advanced dementia, or those with experience working with this group but who are unfamiliar with facilitating physical activity sessions. Recognising that delivery may be influenced by context, we have presented the guidance as 'what we found' rather than hard and fast rules and therefore as transferable, rather generalisable, to other settings (Lincoln & Guba, 1985).

Whilst such guidance may be useful, they are not always easy to produce, as ethical approval mechanisms for people without the capacity to consent are more complex and data collection methods must be suitable for people with reduced cognition (Mansbach et al., 2016). More generally, there is a lack of information on how to produce best practice guidance from evaluation findings, with the possible exception of guidance directed at clinical practice (National Institute for Health and Care Excellence, 2014; Shekelle et al., 1999). Whilst DCM may be used to develop and improve practice within care settings, for example quality monitoring (University of Bradford, 2018), it does not seem to be widely used for producing transferable best practice guidance across organisations and sectors. Yet, DCM is used in both evaluation and research to produce generalisable outputs (Brooker, 2005).

Whilst the method adopted to produce the guidance involved a range of data collection techniques and stakeholders, the process has weaknesses and omissions. One was that first-hand data were not gathered from residents or care staff. This may have been important because the involvement of care staff in sessions was found to be a critical success factor during the observation study. One of the reasons for not interviewing care staff was the difficulties around planning the consent process, as it was not known ahead of time which staff may be present at sessions. Future work might provide an understanding of carer staff's perceptions about activities on site and about potential barriers to their participation in them, along with first-hand feedback from participants where possible. The guidance has not been tested for efficacy in terms of how it may improve well-being or other outcomes; this may also be a further area for research.

It was also noted that those observed did little actual physical activity, as the sport was mostly played seated and the balls were retrieved by the sports coach; for these individuals, the game involved only gentle movement. However, the results of the observation suggest there were other benefits including social interaction, communication and increased well-being. This corroborates the findings of Friedman and colleagues, with communication and mood being the main measures of

improvement in observational reports (Friedman & Tappen, 1991). The government's physical activity recommendations also state that some activity is better than none in older people and recognise the implications of ill health and disability in limiting physical activity in this group (Department for Health, 2011).

Consideration about how to relay the learnings from this study into best practice has resulted in the production of a video, along with a quick-access guide in poster format. The development of the best practice guide has been multifaceted and has been undertaken in collaboration with a range of stakeholders, including researchers and clinicians working with individuals living with dementia. Nevertheless, the guidelines produced are not intended to be definitive, rather an attempt to capture and build on learning made in the delivery of an activity in order that these may provide some support, and act as a starting point, to anyone who wishes to deliver a similar activity in the future. This is with the aim of identifying the best ways to engage with, and provide a service for, an otherwise seldom heard from group.

### **Conclusions**

Previous research has highlighted some of the wider benefits (social and physical) of delivering a meaningful activity intervention to those living with moderate to advanced dementia; such activities are recommended by both World Health Organization (World Health Organization & Alzheimer's Disease International, 2012) and NICE (2018). Our research indicates that the way such activities are delivered may make all the difference to how an individual experiences them. This may be due to small changes in delivery such as the positioning of seating or communication methods. However, little detailed information exists on how to deliver such activities or how to develop guidance for practitioners using evaluation evidence. The research described here aimed not only to ensure that physical activities are delivered to optimise the suggested benefits but also to provide an example of how best practice guidance may be developed across other areas for those living with moderate to advanced dementia.

### Acknowledgements

Thank you to the residents and staff at Norse Care, in particular, Kate Grange (Dementia Care Lead) for enabling the observational study to take place. To Judith Farmer (Forget-Me-Not volunteer and independent DCM and CST practitioner) and Clare Pastorius (independent researcher) for carrying out the observation study. Also, to Active Norfolk, who agreed to undertake this additional evaluation as part of Mobile Me, the sports coaches who ran the sessions and all of those involved in the stakeholder meeting.

#### **Author Contributions**

Authors contributed equally to the study design, delivery and evaluation, and the writing of this paper.

#### **Declaration of Conflicting Interests**

The authors declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

#### Ethical Approval

Ethical consent was obtained from the National Social Care Research Ethics Committee (reference: 17/IEC08/0011). Consent for publication is not applicable in the context of this article; however, the video guide produced

(https://www.activenorfolk.org/demential) involved gaining written informed consent from the individuals filmed in the video.

### **Funding**

The authors disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: This study was supported by the following organisations who funded the Mobile Me project: Sport England's Get Healthy Get Active programme with matched funding from Norfolk County Council's Public Health and NHS Norwich Clinical Commissioning Group.

#### **ORCID iD**

Emily Player (b) https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7921-9272

#### References

- Alzheimer's Society. (2015). Exercise and physical activity. Retrieved from https://www.alzheimers.org.uk/sites/default/files/2018-10/529LP Exercise and physical activity.pdf
- Alzheimer's Society. (2016). Pressure ulcers (bed sores). Retrieved from https://www.alzheimers.org.uk/sites/default/files/2018-10/factsheet pressure ulcers bedsores.pdf
- Angrosino, M. (2007). Doing ethnographic and observational research. In *Sage qualitative research kit*. Retrieved from https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=cat01883a&AN=uea. 003933073&authtype=sso&custid=s8993828&site=eds-live&scope=site
- Atkins, S., Lewin, S., Smith, H., Engel, M., Fretheim, A., & Volmink, J. (2008). Conducting a metaethnography of qualitative literature: Lessons learnt. *BMC Medical Research Methodology*, 8(1), 21. DOI: 10.1186/1471-2288-8-21.
- Brooker, D.. (2005). Dementia care mapping: A review of the research literature. *The Gerontologist*, 45(Special Issue 1), 11-18. Retrieved from http://www.bradford.ac.uk/acad/health/dcm
- Buckley, J. S., & Salpeter, S. R. (2015). A risk-benefit assessment of dementia medications: Systematic review of the evidence. *Drugs & Aging*, 32(6), 453-467. DOI: 10.1007/s40266-015-0266-9.
- Cheyne, H., Abhyankar, P., & McCourt, C. (2013). Empowering change: Realist evaluation of a Scottish Government programme to support normal birth. *Midwifery*, 29(10), 1110-1121. DOI: 10.1016/j.midw. 2013.07.018.
- Crossan, F. (2003). Research philosophy: Towards an understanding. *Nurse Researcher*, 11(1), 46-55. DOI: 10. 7748/nr2003.10.11.1.46.c5914.
- Department for Health. (2011). Start active, stay active: A report on physical activity for health from the four home countries' chief medical officers. Retrieved from <a href="https://www.dh.gov.uk">www.dh.gov.uk</a>
- Edwards, N., Gardiner, M., Ritchie, D. M., Baldwin, K., & Sands, L. (2008). Effect of exercise on negative affect in residents in special care units with moderate to severe dementia. *Alzheimer Disease & Associated Disorders*, 22(4), 362-368. DOI: 10.1097/WAD.0b013e31818ecbbc.
- Friedman, R., & Tappen, R. M. (1991). The effect of planned walking on communication in Alzheimer's disease. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, *39*(7), 650-654. Retrieved from <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2061529">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2061529</a>
- Harmer, B. J., & Orrell, M. (2008). What is meaningful activity for people with dementia living in care homes? A comparison of the views of older people with dementia, staff and family carers. *Aging & Mental Health*, 12(5), 548-558. DOI: 10.1080/13607860802343019.
- Heyn, P., Abreu, B. C., & Ottenbacher, K. J. (2004). The effects of exercise training on elderly persons with cognitive impairment and dementia: A meta-analysis. Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, 85(10), 1694-1704. Retrieved from http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15468033
- Khalid, S., Surr, C., Neagu, D., & Small, N. (2019). Exploring the potential for secondary uses of dementia care mapping (DCM) data for improving the quality of dementia care. *Dementia*, 18(3), 1060-1074. DOI: 10. 1177/1471301217701275.

Kitwood, T., & Bredin, K. (1992). Towards a theory of dementia care: Personhood and well-being. *Ageing and Society*, 12(3), 269-287. DOI: 10.1017/S0144686X0000502X.

- Lincoln, Y. S., & Guba, E. G. (1985). *Naturalistic inquiry*. Retrieved from https://books.google.co.uk/books? hl=en&lr=&id=2oA9aWlNeooC&oi=fnd&pg=PA5&sig=GoKaBo0eIoPy4qeqRyuozZo1CqM&dq=naturalistic+inquiry&prev=http://scholar.google.com/scholar%3Fq%3Dnaturalistic%2Binquiry% 26num%3D100%26hl%3Den%26lr%3D&redir esc=y#v=onepage&q=natu
- Livingston, G., Sommerlad, A., Orgeta, V., Costafreda, S. G., Huntley, J., Ames, D., Ballard, C., Banerjee, S., Burns, A., Cohen-Mansfield J., Cooper C., Fox N., Gitlin L. N., Howard R., Kales H. C., Larson E. B., Ritchie K., Rockwood K., Sampson E. L., ... Mukadam N. (2017). Dementia prevention, intervention, and care. *Lancet*, 390(10113), 2673-2734. DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(17)31363-6.
- Mansbach, W. E., Mace, R. A., Clark, K. M., & Firth, I. M. (2016). Meaningful activity for long-term care residents with dementia: A comparison of activities and raters. *The Gerontologist*, 57(3), gnv694. DOI: 10. 1093/geront/gnv694.
- National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. (2014). Developing NICE guidelines: The manual. Retrieved May 2, 2019 from https://www.nice.org.uk/process/pmg20/chapter/introduction-and-overview
- National Institute for Clinical Excellence. (2018). Dementia: Assessment, management and support for people living with dementia and their carers. Retrieved from NICE website: https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng97/chapter/recommendations#cognitive-training
- O'Brien, B. C., Harris, I. B., Beckman, T. J., Reed, D. A., & Cook, D. A. (2014). Standards for reporting qualitative research. *Academic Medicine*, 89(9), 1245-1251. DOI: 10.1097/ACM.000000000000388.
- Pham, T. M., Petersen, I., Walters, K., Raine, R., Manthorpe, J., Mukadam, N., & Cooper, C. (2018). Trends in dementia diagnosis rates in UK ethnic groups: Analysis of UK primary care data. *Clinical Epidemiology*, 10, 949-960. DOI: 10.2147/CLEP.S152647.
- Prince, M., Knapp, M., Guerchet, M., McCrone, P., Prina, M., Comas-Herrera, A., & Salimkumar, D. (2014).
  Dementia UK: Overview. Retrieved from Alzheimer's Society website: https://www.alzheimers.org.uk/sites/default/files/migrate/downloads/dementia uk update.pdf
- Sheard, D. M. (2008). Enabling: Quality of life: An evaluation too'. Alzheimer's Society.
- Shekelle, P. G., Woolf, S. H., Eccles, M., & Grimshaw, J. (1999). Clinical guidelines: Developing guidelines. *British Medical Journal*, 318(7183), 593-596. DOI: 10.1136/bmj.318.7183.593.
- Smit, D., de Lange, J., Willemse, B., Twisk, J., & Pot, A. M. (2016). Activity involvement and quality of life of people at different stages of dementia in long term care facilities. *Aging & Mental Health*, 20(1), 100-109. DOI: 10.1080/13607863.2015.1049116.
- Telenius, E. W., Engedal, K., & Bergland, A. (2015). Long-term effects of a 12 weeks high-intensity functional exercise program on physical function and mental health in nursing home residents with dementia: A single blinded randomized controlled trial. *BMC Geriatrics*, 15(1), 158. DOI: 10.1186/s12877-015-0151-8.
- University of Bradford. (2018). Dementia care mapping. Retrieved September 29, 2018, from https://www.bradford.ac.uk/dementia/dementia-care-mapping/
- Williams, M. (2000). Interpretivism and generalisation. *Sociology*, 34(2), 209-224. DOI: 10.1177/S0038038500000146.
- World Health Organization, & Alzheimer's Disease International. (2012). *Dementia: A public health priority*. WHO.

**Amanda Burke** is a Senior Research Associate at Norwich Medical School, University of East Anglia. Amanda has an interest in the pragmatic evaluation methods and in applying evaluation findings to real world practice. Amanda has worked in the public sector in neighbourhood renewal, in the cultural sector and most recently in public health with a focus on older people and physical activity.

**Professor Andy Jones** is a Professor of Public Health at Norwich Medical School, University of East Anglia. He holds particular interests in the role of physical activity as a therapeutic intervention

amongst populations not typically reached by sport or exercise interventions. His programme of research also has a focus on the development of methods for the pragmatic evaluation of public health interventions being undertaken in community settings.

**Ryan Hughes** is the Active Ageing Project Officer at Active Norfolk and has a focus on increasing the accessibility to physical activity opportunities for older people, in particularly those who have increased risk of experiencing ill health as they age. Ryan has primarily worked in supporting vulnerable groups, having worked for community trusts, charities, within education and most recently for an active partnership.

**Dr Emily Player** is a GP in North Norfolk. She is studying for a Master's in Clinical Education at the University of East Anglia alongside her clinical work. Education interests include development and facilitation on massive open online courses, communication skills tutoring and the involvement of experts by experience in clinical education. Research interests include nutrition, physical activity in older people and inclusion health, working with those who are marginalised.