## Reviews

| 1      |   | 1      |
|--------|---|--------|
| 2      |   | 2      |
| 3      |   | 3      |
| 4      |   | 4      |
| 5<br>6 | Tom Woodin, Working-Class Writing and Publishing in the Late Twentieth              | 5<br>6 |
| 7      | Century: Literature, Culture, and Community. Manchester: Manchester                 | 7      |
| 8      | University Press, 2018. ix + 270 pp. £80.00 hb. ISBN 978-0-7190-9111-7.             | 8      |
| 9      | Offiversity 11633, 2010. IX + 270 pp. 4,00.00 lib. 10D14 770 0 7170 7111 7.         | 9      |
| 10     | The subtitle to this timely and important new account of postwar British            | 10     |
| 11     | writing, 'Literature, Culture, and Community', gives something of an idea           | 11     |
| 12     | of the scale and ambition of this work, as well as of its ultimately positive       | 12     |
| 13     | assessment of its subject, the Federation of Worker Writers and Community           | 13     |
| 14     | Publishers (FWWCP), affectionately dubbed 'the Fed'. Woodin's account is            | 14     |
| 15     | organised into three loose sections. The first chapter describes the origins        | 15     |
| 16     | of the diverse and dispersed groups that would come together in 1976 to             | 16     |
| 17     | form the Fed, including community organising groups such as Centerprise             | 17     |
| 18     | in London and QueenSpark in Brighton, adult education programmes such               | 18     |
| 19     | as the Scotland Road Writers' Workshop in Liverpool, literacy campaigners           | 19     |
| 20     | such as the Write First Time group, and schoolteachers such as Chris Searle,        | 20     |
| 21     | who was sacked for publishing his students' poetry as Stepney Words in 1971.        | 21     |
| 22     | Chapters Two to Five provide a broad survey of the writing itself: firstly that     | 22     |
| 23     | of young people, then older people, then adult literacy groups, then writing        | 23     |
| 24     | workshops. The third section, comprising Chapters Six to Ten, examines the          | 24     |
| 25     | Fed as an actual movement within society, looking more closely at individual        | 25     |
| 26     | writers' personal journeys, the Fed's readership, the realpolitik of community      | 26     |
| 27     | organisation, the complicated and often turbulent relationship between class        | 27     |
| 28     | and other aspects of identity, and finally the Fed as an institution working with   | 28     |
| 29     | and against Britain's cultural mainstream.  | 29     |
| 30     | This is clearly a lot of ground to cover, and for the most part Woodin does it      | 30     |
| 31     | well. The book is impeccably researched, with a bibliography of over 150 pieces     | 31     |
| 32     | of Fed writing and a further 'select' bibliography of over 400 items. 'Personal     | 32     |
| 33     | experience', Woodin writes, 'provided access to invaluable archival material        | 33     |
| 34     | and contacts' (10), and also included forty-two first-hand interviews with key      | 34     |
| 35     | figures such as Searle, literacy campaigner Sue Gardener, QueenSpark's Ken          | 35     |
| 36     | Worpole, and screenwriter Jimmy McGovern. The Fed writing itself is quoted          | 36     |
| 37     | at length throughout the book – in a manner reminiscent of the long blocks          | 37     |
| 38     | of direct quotation in Raymond Williams's Culture and Society (1958) – allowing     | 38     |
| 39     | us to see for ourselves the poetry and prose with which few will have been          | 39     |
| 40     | previously familiar. Alongside these, Woodin offers sympathetic close readings      | 40     |
| 41     | of this previously-dismissed writing; this is particularly useful in the chapter on | 41     |





## Reviews

| 1 | young writing, where shorter poems that seem naïve and straightforward, such           | 1 |
|---|--|---|
| 2 | as Colin Graves's 'Soldier' from Stepney Words (1971) and Paul George's 'Once          | 2 |
| 3 | Upon a Time' from his collection <i>Memories</i> (1975), are shown to be interrogative | 3 |

and ambiguous, with various unresolved tensions that the young writers handle with sophistication. Graves's 'Soldier', for example, is 'historically inaccurate' but 'nevertheless pinpoints sharp class differences exposed by the war' (39).

Woodin's critical generosity is a real strength.

The book is a real contribution to the study of working-class writing in that it addresses a definite gap in academic scholarship and the academy's understanding of postwar British literary culture. Woodin concludes rather coyly that the Fed's writing and workshops 'are an underexplored phenomenon that has featured very little in contemporary debates on culture' (193); this is, to be sure, the first major book-length study of the Fed's work. Although by now the Fed has all but fizzled out, our lack of knowledge of their work seems on the one hand bizarre, especially given the immense popularity of certain ventures like Centerprise's Hackney bookshop, established in 1971: 'the first year's turnover was £30,000, which represented sales of 75,000 books' (25). On the other hand, it can be explained as an unintentional by-product of the active decision made by these groups not only to promote writing by and about but also for the working class. As Woodin puts it, '[a] concern of "community publishing" was that writing should be returned to its originating constituency' (3). This is compared to 'the mass media, where stories about working-class people had been manipulated by the popular press, TV talk shows and reality TV in ways that discouraged serious reflection' (3). Curiously, Woodin does not here mention Williams, who had made a similar distinction in the conclusion to Culture and Society: 'we cannot fairly or usefully describe [the] material produced by the new means of communication as "working-class culture". For neither is it by any means produced exclusively for this class, nor, in any important

degree, is it produced by them' (419). Culture and Society is one of the ten works by Williams cited in the select bibliography; however, Woodin only ever mentions Williams in passing. Other thinkers, such as Antonio Gramsci, enjoy similar passing nods, but there is a sense in reading the book that Woodin's account would have been strengthened by a more sustained interaction with their ideas. The chapters comprising the second section, in particular, are mainly expository and each moves very quickly from one historical event, piece of writing, or section to the next with little analysis. Svetlana Boym's The Future of Nostalgia (2001) for example, is also mentioned in the select bibliography, but Woodin's use of the word is rather one-dimensional: the third chapter's survey of older people's autobiographical writing, titled 'The Good Old Days?', would have benefited









greatly from a more nuanced critical interrogation of nostalgia, namely the differences between reflective and restorative nostalgia described by Boym. This tendency towards exposition and description rather than a more extended analysis is the book's major shortcoming, but it is perhaps understandable given the ambitious scope of Woodin's project. The broader lack of scholarship about both the Fed and working-class writing and publishing in postwar Britain more generally makes Woodin's broad historical survey valuable indeed. A more fashionable book marketed at a wider, more general readership would have perhaps focused on a handful of key individuals, including perhaps Searle, Gardener, or Worpole, and telling the history of the Fed from and through their perspectives. Such an approach would be appropriate for a social movement like the Fed; as Woodin himself writes, '[t]he personal and political were intermixed. Writing, publishing, and organising were part of the personal relationships upon which, in reality, the networks were built' (34). But there is something to be said for breaking the scholarly ground with a wider, more general history of the movement, allowing for the possibility of more specific considerations of individuals figures or publications to follow. Working-Class Writing and Publishing in the Late Twentieth Century will nonetheless be a huge boon for the study of postwar British culture. Both for its well-organised historical account and its extensive bibliographies, it is an invaluable academic resource that will make an important addition to university libraries. A greater awareness of post-war worker writers and community publishers will be especially vital in 2020, not only to redress a significant gap in our academic understanding of the period, but also to inspire contemporary community action that again encourages extramural and extra-parliamentary alternatives which are both locally relevant and nationally coordinated. Such community action will prove vital for the left over the next four years, and the historical account that this book offers is a valuable first step towards that end. Joe Williams Freelance journalist 

