1	Metabarcoding, Stables Isotopes and Tracking: unraveling the trophic ecology of a winter-breeding
2	storm-petrel (Hydrobates castro) with a multimethod approach.

- 3
- 4 Carreiro, Ana Rita<sup>1</sup>, Vítor H. Paiva<sup>1</sup>, Renata Medeiros<sup>2</sup>, Kirsty A. Franklin<sup>3</sup>, Nuno Oliveira<sup>4</sup>, Ana Isabel Fagundes<sup>4</sup>,
- 5 Jaime A. Ramos<sup>1</sup>
- <sup>1</sup>MARE Marine and Environmental Sciences Centre, Department of Life Sciences, University of Coimbra, 3004-517 Coimbra,
   Portugal.
- 8 <sup>2</sup>Cardiff School of Dentistry, Cardiff University, Heath Park, Academic Av., Cardiff, CF14 4XY, UK.
- 9 <sup>3</sup>School of Biological Sciences, University of East Anglia, Norwich Research Park, Norwich, UK
- <sup>4</sup>Sociedade Portuguesa para o Estudo das Aves, Av. Columbano Bordalo Pinheiro 87, 1070-062 Lisboa, Portugal.
- 11 Correspending author:
- 12 Ana Rita Carreiro
- 13 E-mail: <u>carreiro.ar92@gmail.com</u>
- 14 Phone contact: +351 917568368
- 15 ORCID: 0000-0003-1300-1371
- 16
- 17 Key-words: *Hydrobates castro*, diet, HTS, trophic ecology, stable isotopes.
- 18

# 19 Abstract

20 Detailed information on diet and foraging ecology is scarce for most small seabirds such as storm-petrels. In this 21 study, we used molecular techniques, stable isotope analysis, and geolocators to study the diet, trophic ecology, and at-sea 22 distribution of Madeiran storm-petrels (Hydrobates castro) breeding in Farilhões Islet, Portugal, in 2015 - 2017. 23 The diet of Madeiran storm-petrels was dominated by fish for both sexes and study years, with Gadidae representing 24 the main prey family. In 2017, females also fed on Aulopiformes, Stomiiformes and Myctophiformes, which were not 25 identified in the other groups, suggesting some degree of inter-annual and intersexual plasticity in their diet. The carbon 26 isotopic ratios of birds during 2017 were significantly higher when compared to 2015, which might be related to foraging 27 near coastal areas in 2017. Indeed, tracking data for 2017 show that birds foraged near the colony and near the West 28 African coast. 29 Overall, both sexes of this species exhibited a similar trophic ecology and diet during the breeding season. However,

30 intersexual differences occurred during the non-breeding season, when females showed significantly lower nitrogen

- 31 isotopic ratios than males (in 2016), and the lowest niche overlap between sexes occurred. This, together with the fact that
- 32 environmental conditions appeared less favourable in 2016 suggests that intersexual differences in the foraging ecology
- 33 of this species may be related with environmental conditions.

### 35 Introduction

36 As marine top predators, seabirds reflect changes that occur at lower trophic levels. Physical and biological changes in 37 the ocean, such as differences in temperature and marine productivity, determine the distribution and abundance of 38 marine organisms, which can be reflected in dietary changes and abundance of predators (Springer et al. 1984). Overall, a 39 seabirds' trophic ecology gives relevant information about its relationship with lower trophic levels, providing essential 40 data for their conservation and ecosystem management (Iverson et al. 2007; Xavier et al. 2011). Seabird species from the order Procellariformes, such as the albatrosses, petrels, and shearwaters, have been used as sentinels of environmental 41 42 conditions (e.g. Paiva et al. 2015), since they present extreme life-history characteristics (Warham 1990; Granadeiro et al. 43 1998b), and their behaviour changes noticeably as a response to marine environmental variability. However, there is very 44 little information on the potential of smaller seabird species, such as the storm-petrels, to be used as sentinels of marine 45 ecosystems. As lower trophic level consumers, e.g. feeding on zooplankton, storm-petrels can alert to environmental 46 changes at a faster speed than comparatively larger seabirds (Grémillet et al. 2015). Additionally, some species of storm-47 petrels reproduce in winter, which makes them a potential sentinel for changes in environmental conditions during this 48 specific season (Gremillet and Charmantier 2010).

49 The diet and feeding ecology of storm-petrels is perhaps the least known of all seabird groups, partly because 50 traditional sampling methods are too invasive for these small seabird species. Some non-invasive techniques, such as 51 Stable Isotope Analyses (SIA), have been used to study the trophic ecology of several seabird species (e.g. Roscales et al. 52 2011), including storm-petrels (e.g. Gladbach et al. 2007). However, SIA by itself gives an unclear response of precise 53 trophic interactions. SIA rarely indicates which specific prey species are consumed by seabirds, giving instead 54 information on the trophic level of their prey (Iverson et al. 2007; Traugott et al. 2007). Complementary methods have 55 been used, namely a tracking system of seabird movements over long periods of time, like Global Location Sensing 56 (GLS) devices. The information gathered by these devices, together with data obtained from SIA, make it possible to 57 build biogeographic patterns of stable isotopes in the marine ecosystem. It is now known that isotopic ratios change 58 throughout different latitudes, depending on the distance to the shore or benthic habitats, providing an estimated 59 geographic gradient (i.e. isoscapes) of the ocean (Ramos et al. 2009; Graham et al. 2010; Ceia et al. 2018). As for the 60 majority of Procellariformes, which are known for having large foraging areas during the breeding season, with even 61 longer distant movements during migration, studies on storm-petrel distribution show that these birds, despite their small 62 size, also undergo long distance movements. For example, Leach's storm-petrels (Hydrobates leucorhous) breeding in 63 Canada, from two colonies located only 380 km apart, showed distinct foraging locations and ranges for each population 64 during the breeding season (Pollet et al. 2014b). Birds from these colonies were also tracked during the non-breeding

season. They showed distinct wintering distributions: when storm-petrels from one colony migrated to the Brazilian
coast, the others ventured to the African coast, surrounding Cape Verde (Pollet *et al.* 2014c). Although the combination
of these techniques shows a strong potential to study the trophic ecology of seabirds, it has only been used for a small
number of storm-petrel species (e.g. Pollet *et al.* 2014a; Halpin *et al.* 2018; Paiva *et al.* 2018).

69 Non-invasive molecular techniques such as DNA metabarcoding, have been used to study the diet of many vertebrate 70 species, including seabirds over the past 16 years, where prey DNA has been identified from faeces, vomit, and 71 regurgitations (Symondson 2002). This technique has been successfully used in the study of the diet of European storm-72 petrel's Hydrobates pelagicus, showing that this species has an opportunistic behaviour, feeding not only on abundant 73 prey in its habitat, such as fish, cephalopods, amphipods or isopods, but also on unexpected prey such as dolphins, 74 through scavenging (Medeiros-Mirra 2010). The great potential of these molecular techniques has led to rapid 75 development of more efficient methods, such as high-throughput sequencing (HTS) technologies (Valentini et al. 2009). 76 Most studies using HTS to infer seabird diet have been used on penguins, allowing DNA of several Osteichthyes and 77 Cephalopod's species to be detected in faeces of several species, such as Little Eudyptula minor, Adelie Pygoscelis 78 adeliae, Gentoo Pygoscelis papua, and Macaroni penguin Eudyptes chrysolophus (Deagle et al. 2010; Jarman et al. 2013; 79 Horswill et al. 2018; Xavier et al. 2018). Despite its potential, HTS techniques have never been used to study storm-petrel 80 diet, nor for detailed diet studies of winter breeding storm-petrels in the North Atlantic.

81 Several studies have reported sex-specific differences in seabird trophic ecology and behaviour (González-Solís et al. 82 2000; Kato et al. 2000; Lewis et al. 2005). Such differences normally occur in species with Sexual Size Dimorphism 83 (SSD), but in monomorphic species, where SSD does not occur, differences in trophic ecology between sexes are 84 expected to be smaller (Paiva et al. 2018). However, recent studies on monomorphic seabirds' species have shown sex-85 specific foraging patterns to occur (Welcker et al. 2009; Elliott et al. 2010), explained by the "intersexual competition 86 hypothesis". This hypothesis suggests that one sex may forage more efficiently, outcompeting the other and originating 87 different foraging niches, or even resulting in sexual segregation in foraging areas (Lewis et al. 2002; Peck and Congdon 88 2006). Also, the "energetic constraint hypothesis" suggests that the parents invest differently throughout breeding stages, 89 resulting in different self-provisioning effort between sexes (Elliott et al. 2010). In monomorphic storm-petrels, Phillips 90 et al. (2009) did not find any significant sex-specific differences in two species' trophic ecology. However, more 91 recently, intersexual differences have been found in the trophic ecology and distribution of Monteiro's Storm-petrel 92 during incubation and chick-rearing periods (Paiva et al. 2018), where females fed on lower trophic levels and foraged in 93 significantly higher latitudes than males.

94 This study investigates the diet, trophic ecology and at-sea distribution of the Madeiran storm-petrel Hydrobates 95 castro breeding in Farilhões Islet, Portugal. H. castro is a medium sized storm-petrel (Monteiro et al. 1996b) breeding in 96 oceanic islands from equatorial to subtropical latitudes, mostly in winter (Monteiro and Furness 1998). There are some 97 records of their distribution around the Portuguese coast and the archipelagos of Madeira and Azores throughout the year, 98 suggesting that this species does not migrate extensively (Meirinho et al. 2014). Very little is known about the feeding 99 ecology of this species; it is thought that their diet is based on zooplankton and small mesopelagic fishes, as are other 100 similarly sized storm-petrel species (Monteiro et al. 1996b), but so far there is no comprehensive information about the 101 diet of H. castro. A comparative study about the trophic ecology of Atlantic Procellariformes in several breeding sites at 102 the end of the breeding season showed that the Madeiran storm-petrel exhibits a small isotopic niche, displaying similar 103 isotopic ratios between different sites and years, with few spatial differences and little variability between years (Roscales 104 et al. 2011). Therefore, we expect Madeiran storm-petrels to: (1) show a generalist diet composition, not restricted to 105 zooplankton; (2) forage mainly over pelagic regions during the breeding period, with some individuals making longer 106 trips towards the African coast, as reported by at-sea census surveys (Meirinho et al. 2014) and the tracking of a single 107 individual (Oliveira et al. 2013). There are no clear expectations regarding sexual differences in trophic ecology and diet 108 composition, because most storm-petrel species do not exhibit such differences. However, given the close phylogenetic 109 proximity to the Monteiro's storm-petrel in which such differences occur (Paiva et al. 2018), our species may present 110 sexual segregation in its foraging ecology. To our knowledge, this is the most detailed and comprehensive study on the 111 foraging ecology of a winter breeding storm-petrel, as most studies are on summer breeding populations (e.g Pollet et al. 112 2014b). Overall, this study will not only present baseline information on the foraging ecology of this species, but also will 113 provide a comprehensive framework for the conservation and management of other winter breeding storm-petrels.

114

115 Methods

# 116 Study area and species

This study was conducted on Farilhão Grande Islet (39° 28' 31" N, 9° 32' 45" W), within Berlengas archipelago, offshore Peniche, Portugal. Farilhão Grande Islet is characterized by rocky substrate, with steep and vertical cliffs, where approximately 100 to 200 breeding pairs of Madeiran storm-petrels are estimated to breed (Mendes 2013). This species arrives at the islet to breed between August and September, nesting in cavities, and departs around February (Granadeiro *et al.* 1998).

- 122
- 123 Field Sampling

We captured Madeiran storm-petrels using mist-nets placed along the rocky shore; birds were not captured on the nest in order to avoid nest desertion (Rodway *et al.* 1996; Blackmer *et al.* 2004). Fieldwork was conducted over two breeding seasons (2015/2016 and 2016/2017), hereafter referred to as 2015 and 2017. In the first breeding season, fieldwork for sample collection was carried out on 10 November 2015 during the egg incubation period, and in the second breeding season on 18 January 2017, during the chick-rearing period. A total of 30 and 21 individuals were captured in 2015 and 2017, respectively. Differences in sampling methodology were related to poor weather conditions, which prevented access to the colony during the 2016 incubation period.

For all birds captured, their body mass, tarsus, and wing length were measured. Approximately 1 cm from the tip of the first primary and the eighth secondary feather were also collected and stored in polythene bags for SIA. A blood sample ( $\sim 50 \ \mu$ L) was taken from the brachial vein and stored in 2-mL tubes with 70% ethanol for both stable isotope analysis and molecular sexing. Birds were placed inside a box for a maximum of 15 minutes, in order to let the birds defecate naturally, obtaining a total of 28 and 21 faecal samples from 2015 and 2017, respectively. Faecal samples were stored in 2-mL tubes with 70% ethanol, and the bottom of the box was lined with plastic or tinfoil and replaced between each individual.

Six birds that were ringed in previous years and were known to nest in this colony were instrumented with Global Location Sensing (GLS) devices (model MK18L, BioTrack Lda.) in 2017 breeding season. Loggers were back-mounted with a cotton harness, in January 2017, and when birds were re-captured, the logger information was downloaded without taking the device off the bird. It was possible to get back the tracking information from four individuals during the early chick-rearing period (January-February 2017). GLS devices represented less than 1% of the bird's body mass in order to not impair the birds survival (Pollet et al. 2014c; Kürten et al. 2019).

144

145

## Sex and diet determination using molecular tools

146 Molecular sex determination was carried out using an individual's whole blood sample using an adaptation of the 147 Chelex DNA extraction method (Medeiros-Mirra 2010, see Online Resource 1). DNA from storm-petrel faecal samples 148 was extracted using the QIAamp DNA Stool Mini Kit (Qiagen), following Zeale et al. (2011). Four primer sets (Online 149 Resource 2) were used to target different prey types in order to ensure good coverage and resolution of the range of 150 potential prey consumed by the birds: Osteichthyes (mtDNA 12S), Cephalopoda (nuclear 28S rDNA), Amphipoda 151 (nuclear 18S rDNA) and general invertebrates (mtDNA COI). The 18S, 28S and 12S primer sets have been previously 152 used for seabirds (Deagle et al. 2007; Medeiros-Mirra 2010), whereas the COI general invertebrate primer has not been 153 used in prey detection of seabirds before, but has been shown to successfully amplify a wide range of target and nontarget species (Stockdale 2018). In this study, initial testing of the general invertebrate primer pair against reference marine invertebrate DNA and DNA from Madeiran storm-petrel showed positive results and confirmed that the primer was specific to invertebrates, with no amplification of predator's DNA (Online Resource 3). Each primer pair was labelled separately for males and females with a unique forward and reverse multiplex identifier (MID) tag. The PCR recipe and thermal profile were as described in Online Resource 2.

159 Samples were pooled by sample group (males and females for 2015 and 2017) and primer pair according to intensity 160 of the PCR product on a 1.5 % agarose gel stained with SYBR®Safe (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Paisley, UK) when 161 compared to a standardized 100-bp ladder. Only samples where a clear band was visible following electrophoresis were 162 processed further and thus purified using Qiagen kit (QIAquick PCR Purification Kit). Therefore, four pools (from the 163 four sample groups) were produced for all primer pairs, except for the Cephalopoda primers, where we only obtained 164 samples with clear bands for 2015 males and 2017 females, thus resulting in only two pools for this primer pair. The 165 DNA concentration of each pool was quantified using a Qubit (ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA), and pools were 166 subsequently combined in order to provide four final overall pooled samples with an approximately equal amount of 167 amplicon DNA from each faecal sample. Pooled samples of similar DNA concentration were purified using Agencourt 168 AMPure XP purification beads (Beckman Coulter, Pasadena, CA), and again quantified using a Qubit (ThermoFisher 169 Scientific, Waltham, MA). The four pools of tagged amplicons were used to prepare the libraries for paired-end 170 sequencing using the NEXTFlex Rapid DNA-seq Library Prep Kit for Illumina (Bioscientific, Austin, TX) and sequenced 171 on a MiSeq desktop sequencer (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA).

172

#### 173 Stable isotope analysis

In Madeiran Storm-petrels, primary feather moult starts at the end of January (Monteiro *et al.* 1996a). The isotopic ratios of these feathers taken during the breeding season represent the trophic ecology of the individuals when they were formed (Ramos and González-Solís 2012), so during the end of the previous breeding period, thus early-2015 and early-2016. Secondary feathers moult in August (Bolton *et al.* 2008), so they represent the end of the non-breeding season, thus summer of 2015 and 2016. Blood regenerates quickly, representing the season when collected, i.e. the breeding season in our study (October-November 2015 and December 2016-January 2017).

180 Feathers were cleaned of surface oils and contaminants using a 2:1 chloroform:methanol solution for 15 minutes
181 (three baths of 5 minutes each) and then oven dried at 60°C for 24 h. Once dried, feathers were cut into small fragments

- using stainless steel scissors, avoiding the shaft. Blood samples were firstly air-dried to remove excess ethanol, then oven
- dried at 60°C for 24 h. Approximately 0.35 mg aliquots of each sample, both feather and blood, were weighed into tin

184 capsules and isotopic ratios of carbon ( $\delta^{13}$ C (‰) =  $\left(\frac{(13_C/12_C)_{sample}}{(13_C/12_C)_{V-PDB}} - 1\right) x1000$ ) and nitrogen ( $\delta^{15}$ N (‰) =

185  $\left(\frac{(15_N/14_N)_{sample}}{(15_N/14_N)_{air}} - 1\right) x1000$  (Libes 2009; Wada 2009), were determined by continuous-flow isotope-ratio mass

186 spectrometry (CF- IRMS).

187

### 188 Tracking data processing

189 GLS data was analyzed using the BASTrack software suite (British Antarctic Survey, Cambridge, UK), using a light 190 threshold of 10 and an elevation angle of -4.0 (derived from calibration devices left at an open site without shading at 191 Berlenga Island, located ~7km away from Farilhão Islet). The quality of the light curves checked with TransEdit was 192 high, so the geolocation error was assumed to be similar to that estimated by Phillips et al. (2004). Locations derived 193 from curves with apparent interruptions around sunset and sunrise were removed. Erroneous locations were also excluded 194 for a week around the equinoxes, when latitude estimates are unreliable. Predicted locations of each bird were examined 195 under the adehabitatHR R package (Calenge 2006) generating kernel Utilization Distribution (kernel UD) estimates. The 196 most appropriate smoothing parameter (h) was chosen via least squares cross-validation for the unsmoothed GLS data 197 and then applied as standard for the other data sets, and grid size was set at  $0.25^{\circ}$ . Following previous authors (Paiva et al. 198 2010b), we considered the 50 % and 95 % kernel UD contours to represent the core foraging areas (FA) and the home 199 range (HR), respectively.

200

### 201 Data analysis

To test for possible intersexual differences in this population, body measurements (tarsus, wing and body mass) were compared between sexes with two independent samples t-test. Results are described as mean ± SD. To analyse diet detection, a similarity matrix was generated using the Bray–Curtis similarity measure. Adonis tests were run on the matrices using 999 permutations to test for differences in diet screening between sexes and years. However, it was detected that the amphipod primer pair was amplifying predator's DNA, therefore this set was withdrawn from this analysis as it was impossible to distinguish through electrophoresis which samples were amplifying DNA from prey or from predator.

The bioinformatic analysis of HTS data was carried out using a combination of USEARCH v10.0.240 (Edgar 2010)
 and the Cutadapt package (Martin 2011) on a python script. All commands are provided in the GitHub repository:
 <a href="https://github.com/AnaCarreiro/Carreiro">https://github.com/AnaCarreiro/Carreiro</a> et all MSP. Paired-end reads were merged and then de-multiplexed based on
 forward and reverse primers and MID tags, as well as stripped from all the adapters. Reads from 12S, 18S, 28S and COI

amplicons were filtered to lengths from 260 to 310 bp, 160 to 220 bp, 110 to 160 bp and 290 to 340 bp respectively, and
then merged into a master file for each prey target. All reads were filtered to a maximum of 1.0 Expected Errors (EE).
Reads were dereplicated, singletons removed, and clustered into OTUs (Operational Taxonomic Units). The UPARSE
pipeline was used for 12S and COI amplicons analysis with a 97% clustering (Edgar 2013) whereas 18S and 28S were
analyzed in the UNOISE algorithm (Edgar 2016) with a 99% clustering, as suggested in previous work for these target
groups (Bachy *et al.* 2013; Edgar and Flyvbjerg 2015). The total number of sequences retrieved, sequences lost, uniques,
singletons, and quimeras for each gene can be found in Online Resource 4.

220 To taxonomically classify OTUs, MegaBLAST from NCBI database was used (Zhang et al. 2000; Morgulis et al. 221 2008), and only results with 100% query cover were considered as matches. The resulting sequences were assigned to 222 taxonomic units using a cut-off of 90% sequence identity for 12S, 28S and COI genes, and 99% sequence identity for 18S 223 gene. These thresholds were based not only on each fragment size and their definitions in previous work using these 224 genes (e.g. Bachy et al. 2013), but also considering ecological data, since a lower sequence similarity threshold would 225 result in a mixing of different taxa with no ecological sense. For each OTU, all the reads matching the thresholds defined 226 were considered and analyzed together to classify each group to the lowest taxonomic level possible. Taxon was assigned 227 if the highest query sequences, with the same match, clustered monophyletically at that level. If the sequence matched 228 more than one species from the same genus or family, the lowest (most ancestral) common taxonomic rank was assigned. 229 Two multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA; Wilk's lambda statistics) were used to compare differences in 230 both the carbon and nitrogen stable isotopic ratios, as response variables, of (1) blood and (2) feathers (P1 and S8). Such 231 differences were analyzed between (1) years (2015 vs 2017, n=30 and n=21 respectively), (2) sex (Female vs Male, n=1232 26 and n=25 respectively), and (3) tissues for the comparison between feathers (P1 vs S8, n=51 each), as independent 233 variables. MANOVAs were followed by separated factorial ANOVAs for each stable isotope and post-hoc multiple 234 comparisons Tuckey test. In order to compare isotopic niches between sexes, years and periods, we used recent metrics 235 based in a Bayesian framework (Stable Isotope Bayesian Ellipses in R: SIBER; Jackson et al. 2011). The standard ellipse 236 area drawn using the stable isotopic ratios of nitrogen and carbon, corrected for small sample sizes (SEA<sub>c</sub>, an ellipse that 237 has 40% probability of containing a subsequently sampled datum) was used to quantify niche width and to compare it 238 between the two sexes among years and periods, and a Bayesian estimate of the standard ellipse and its area (SEA<sub>B</sub>) to 239 test whether group 1 is smaller than group 2 (i.e. p, the proportion of ellipses in group 1 that were lower than group 2, for 240 10<sup>4</sup> replicates; see Jackson et al. 2011 for more details). All former computations were performed under R environment 241 (R Core Team 2018).

## 243 **Results**

## 244 Sex determination and sexual dimorphism

Sex determination using blood extracts was successful for 51 out of 52 samples, resulting in a total of 13 females and 17 males for 2015, and 13 females and eight males for 2017. Two males and one female were re-captured in 2017, and so the first body measurements taken in 2015 were used in these analyses. Body measurements indicated that females had significantly longer wings than males (160.40 ± 3.89 mm, n = 25 vs 156.57 ± 2.86 mm, n = 23, t<sub>46</sub>= 3.86, P< 0.001,), but similar tarsus length (23.33 ± 0.79 mm, n = 25 vs 23.34 ± 0.66 mm, n = 23, t<sub>46</sub>= -0.03, P= 0.97) and body mass (55.31 ± 6.29 g, n = 25 vs 52.63 ± 6.30 g, n = 23, t<sub>46</sub>= 1.47, P= 0.15).

251

### 252 Diet determination

253 DNA amplification was successful for all 49 faecal samples, amplifying in at least one of the primer sets. Since the 254 Amphipoda primer was not considered for this analysis, the percentage of samples that were only amplified by this 255 primer (13.0 to 31.0%, Fig. 1) were considered to contain no prey DNA since they also amplify predator DNA. DNA 256 amplification results showed a predominance of fish (Osteichthyes) in the samples of both sexes and years (Fig. 1), with 257 occurrence ranging from 60.0% to 69.2%. Males in 2017 were an exception, where both fish and invertebrates were 258 equally detected (62.5%). However, the sample size for males in 2017 was small (n=8), which might have influenced 259 these results. The prey group with the lowest number of detections for both sex and year was Cephalopoda, ranging from 260 0% in 2017 males to 15.4% in 2015 females. There were no significant differences between the proportion of prey groups 261 between years (Adonis, R2 = 0.021, P=0.444), sexes (Adonis, R2 = 0.048, P=0.156), nor an interaction between these 262 two variables (Adonis, R2=0.041, P=0.227). Regarding the results of the HTS, the 18S and COI primers failed to 263 provide any meaningful results due to the low quality of sequences. However, UPARSE detected 15 OTUs for the 12S 264 fish primers, and UNOISE detected 10 OTUs for the 28S Cephalopoda primers (Table 1). The fish OTUs were distributed 265 across two main families, Gadidae and Myctophidae, with five and four OTUs, respectively. The proportion of sequences 266 comprising each OTU varies between the groups, but the largest proportion of sequences was found for Gadidae, with an 267 unknown Trisopterus sp. being the most represented in 2015 females (48.80%), while the blue whiting (Micromesistius 268 poutassou) comprised the greatest number of prey sequences in the remaining groups (from 38.53 to 62.44%). In 2017 269 females, although the majority of sequences were represented by Gadidae, other families weighted almost as equally 270 (57.58% vs 42.42%), specially a non-identified family from Stomiiformes (25.06%), and the lanternfish species 271 Myctophum punctatum (13.65%). These, together with Alepisaurus ferox and other Myctophidae species, were detected 272 exclusively in 2017 females, showing an evident difference between the fish prey consumed by this group compared to

the other groups. OTUs detected exclusively in the other groups, were the European pilchard (*Sardina pilchardus*) in

274 2015 females, *Trachurus* sp. in 2015 males and a non-identified Lampriform in 2017 males.

From the Cephalopoda primers, *Onykia* sp. from the Onychoteuthidae family represented the majority of sequences in
the 2015 male sequences (86.26%) while *Chiroteuthis* sp. had the greater number of sequences in 2017 females (90.07%).
Another unknown genus of Chiroteuthidae family, comprising four OTUs, was detected exclusively in 2017 females'
diet, as well as another non-identified family from Oegopsida, also comprising four OTUs, which was only detected in
2015 males' diet.

280

# 281 Stable Isotopes

The blood stable isotope values differed between years (MANOVA, Wilk's  $\lambda$ , F<sub>2,46</sub>= 4.68, P= 0.01), with carbon isotopic ratios significantly lower in 2015 compared to 2017 (ANOVA, F<sub>1,46</sub>= 9.38, P= 0.004, Table 2). The stable isotope values for feathers showed a significant sex and year interaction (MANOVA, Wilk's  $\lambda$ , F<sub>2,93</sub>= 3.44, P = 0.0363). Males presented higher nitrogen isotopic ratios than females (ANOVA, F<sub>1,93</sub>= 3.96, P= 0.0495, Table 2), and P1 feathers presented lower carbon isotopic ratios (ANOVA, F<sub>1,93</sub>= 4.80, P= 0.031, Table 2), and higher nitrogen isotopic ratios (ANOVA, F<sub>1,93</sub>= 4.00, P= 0.048, Table 2) when compared to S8 feathers.

288 SIBER analysis showed that the narrower isotopic niches occurred during the breeding season (Fig. 2a, Table 3), 289 while the widest isotopic niches occurred at the end of the breeding season (Fig. 2b, Table 3). Niche width pairwise 290 comparisons between sexes and years showed no differences in area during breeding season (SEA<sub>B</sub>; all P> 0.217, Online 291 Resource 5). However, when comparing the end of breeding season (feather P1) with the non-breeding season (feather 292 S8), differences in area were found between the two seasons, namely 1) for females in 2015, 2) between females 2015 293 and males 2017 and 3) between females and males in 2017 (SEA<sub>B</sub>; all P<0.021, Online Resource 5). The highest niche 294 overlap between sexes occurred during the 2015 non-breeding season (Overlap: 100%, Online Resource 5), while the 295 opposite occurred in 2017, in the same season (Overlap: 17.6%, Online Resource 5).

296

### 297 Tracking during the breeding season

Tracking data of the four individuals during the breeding period of 2016-2017 showed that Madeiran storm-petrels breeding in Farilhão have a large home range (95% kernel UD). Nevertheless, the tracked individuals concentrated their foraging activity (50% kernel UD) in two main areas; the colony surroundings, and foraging up to 650km south, close to the African coast (Fig. 3).

### 303 Discussion

304 This study is the most comprehensive work to date on the trophic ecology of winter-breeding storm petrels. It 305 integrated sexual, seasonal (breeding and non-breeding period), and temporal (two years) information on trophic 306 variability, to assess the foraging ecology of the Madeiran storm-petrel breeding on Farilhão islet, Berlengas archipelago, 307 Portugal. During the breeding season, males had a similar diet across both years, while a difference was detected in the 308 fish prey consumed by females between the two years of study, although no significant differences were detected in the 309  $\delta^{15}$ N values. This, together with the presence of a large overlap in the isotopic niche between sexes, suggests that the 310 foraging strategies of both males and females are rather similar during the breeding season. However, females had 311 significantly lower  $\delta^{15}$ N values than males during the nonbreeding season of 2016.

312

## 313 The diet of Madeiran storm-petrels

314 The molecular techniques used in this study allowed the identification of many prey taxa to the genus and species 315 levels, some of which would have been unlikely to be identified through traditional methods. However, issues 316 encountered during HTS analysis, specially the unsuccessful test of a new primer pair to identify marine invertebrates, led 317 to conclude that more optimized primers for identification of marine biodiversity must be used. The proportions of 318 samples that were considered having no prev DNA can also be related with: (1) absence or very low concentration of 319 DNA in the sample, possibly indicating a period of fasting from these individuals, (2) failure in detecting prev DNA, 320 potentially due to DNA degradation or the presence of PCR inhibitors, (3) primers' taxonomic resolution: although we 321 used primers that targeted a wide range of prey groups, it is likely that they do not amplify all desired target prey species; 322 (4) lack of specific primer sets to detect other prey groups, (e.g. cartilaginous fish or mammals obtained through 323 scavenging). Issues with failure to detect prey DNA in faecal samples are common across dietary studies (Deagle et al. 324 2007). Primer choice is unlikely to be the main explanation for this since the primers' specificity was tested in a wide 325 range of prey, as well as mammals or cartilaginous fish are unlikely to be important prey for these birds (Medeiros-Mirra 326 2010).

Due to the challenges faced with the amphipods results, we cannot conclude precisely on the importance of this prey group for Madeiran storm-petrels'. However, because the general invertebrates' primer also amplifies amphipods' DNA (Online Resource 3), which was detected at a lower proportion than fish in all groups except in males of 2017 (Fig. 1), we can conclude that fish is possibly the prey group with major importance for Madeiran storm-petrels during the breeding season. Gadiformes (cod fishes) was the predominant fish order detected in our samples, particularly *Trisopterus* sp. and *Micromesistius poutassou*. These are two species highly abundant in the Northeast Atlantic (Cunha 1992; Rogers *et al.* 

333 1998), and are also targeted by fisheries in Portugal. However, in the adult form these prey species are too large for 334 storm-petrels to consume, suggesting that Madeiran Storm-petrels either (1) prey on eggs or larvae of these species 335 (zooplankton), as previously described for this species (Monteiro et al. 1996b), or (2) they present an opportunistic and 336 scavenger behaviour by preying on leftovers by other predators or on fisheries discards, such as that described for the 337 European storm-petrel (Medeiros-Mirra 2010). It has been showed that other storm-petrel species feed primarily on adult 338 fish, as the Leach's storm-petrels in Canada (Hedd & Montevecchi 2006) which fed essentially on adult Myctophidae 339 fish. Furthermore, the high  $\delta^{15}$ N values presented by our sample were similar to those reported for Cory's shearwaters 340 (*Calonectris borealis*,  $\delta^{15}$ N values between 12.87 ± 0.26 and 13.34 ± 0.17) and the Macaronesian shearwaters (*Puffinus*) 341 *baroli*,  $\delta^{15}$ N values between 11.67 ± 0.5 and 12.97 ± 0.8) breeding in several Portuguese colonies, including in Berlengas 342 archipelago (e.g. Paiva et al. 2010a, 2016), and these species feed mainly on fish and cephalopod species. This suggests 343 that Madeiran storm-petrels may also feed on prey with higher nitrogen isotopic ratios and thus from higher trophic 344 levels, such as mesopelagic fish species and fisheries discards, rather than being an exclusively zooplanktivorous seabird. 345 This is further supported by  $\delta^{15}$ N data of zooplankton in our study area, which is around 5-6‰ (Graham et al. 2010). 346 Considering trophic enrichment factors (3 - 5‰ enrichment from prey to predators tissues, Forero and Hobson 2003), a 347 zooplanktivorous seabird in this study area would be expected to present nitrogen isotopic values around 8-11‰ in its 348 tissues. Madeiran storm-petrels showed average  $\delta^{15}$ N values of ~13‰, and such difference between zooplankton and our 349 species' tissues values lead us to assume that this is not a predominantly zooplancktivorous seabird species. 350 The diet of females for 2015 was similar to that of males for both years (Table 1), feeding mainly on Gadidae fish. 351 However, the diet of females for 2017 differed, because it also included Aulopiformes, Stomiiformes and 352 Myctophiformes, which suggests a certain level of inter-annual and intersexual plasticity in the diet of Madeiran storm 353 petrels. This can also be explained by an opportunistic foraging behaviour, taking advantage of the most common prey, a 354 strategy already described for other storm-petrel species such as the European storm-petrel, that seem to rely on sardines 355 and other common Cupleidae discarded from fisheries (Medeiros-Mirra 2010).

356

# 357 Trophic ecology and isotopic niche

Annual differences in the stable carbon isotope values of the birds for the breeding season, with higher values in 2017 than in 2015 could be a result of: 1) annual differences in marine productivity in the foraging area used by the birds (Ceia et al. 2018, Graham et al. 2010), 2) the differential timing of collection of blood samples (2015 samples were collected during incubation, while 2017 samples were collected during chick rearing) or 3) differences in their foraging grounds between years. However, the higher stable carbon isotope values in 2017, together with tracking data for 2017, which showed birds to forage near the colony and near the West African coast, suggests that annual differences in foraginggrounds may be important in explaining annual differences in stable isotope values.

A larger isotopic niche during the non-breeding season, compared to the breeding season, has already been reported for several other seabirds (Hedd et al. 2010; Ceia et al. 2014; Ramos et al. 2015). This is related to the fact that when seabirds are not breeding, and thus without the need to restrain their foraging area to the colony surroundings, they adopt different foraging strategies and may forage in wider oceanic areas. This larger isotopic niche is then a result of either the different individuals being spread out along different isotopic gradients in the ocean while foraging (Ceia et al. 2018), or by foraging on prey of different trophic levels (Hedd *et al.* 2010).

371 In our study, nitrogen isotopic ratios showed differences between sexes for the non-breeding period, when females showed lower nitrogen isotopic ratios. This might be related to 1) non-trophic level sources of  $\delta^{15}N$  variation, i.e. 372 373 intersexual differences in distribution during the non-breeding season, or be a result of 2) differences in diet between 374 sexes or 3) differences in the relative amount of different prey taken, since the difference in nitrogen isotope values 375 between males and females was from 1 to 1.5% (i.e. <1 trophic level). We did not detect differences between sexes in the 376 carbon isotopic ratios, and with very limited tracking data during this season, we cannot conclude if such differences in 377 nitrogen isotopic ratios were influenced by spatial differences between sexes during the non-breeding season. Preliminary 378 data shows that some individuals of this population foraged around the Gulf of Mexico during the non-breeding season, 379 where nitrogen isotopic gradients are very variable, influenced by both the Loop Current, from the east, and by 380 Mississippi and Atchafalaya rivers discharges, up in the north (Nürnberg et al. 2008). This might play an important role 381 on the nitrogen isotopic values in our data, and also explain why P1 feathers (representing the end of breeding season) 382 have lower  $\delta^{13}$ C and higher  $\delta^{15}$ N values than S8 feathers (representing the non-breeding season). On the other hand, this 383 intersexual difference was observed for other storm-petrel species, the Monteiro's storm-petrel (Paiva et al. 2018). This 384 study showed that, when compared to females, males preyed on organisms of higher nitrogen isotopic ratios during the 385 non-breeding period, therefore Madeiran storm-petrels might also forage on prey with different levels of nitrogen isotopic 386 values. Paiva et al. (2018) further concludes that Monteiro's storm-petrel sexual segregation could be influenced by 387 poorer environmental conditions. In 2013, the year when these intersexual differences were detected in Monteiro's storm-388 petrels, winter North Atlantic Oscillation index (wNAO) values were very low (-1.97). In 2015, the first year of our study 389 where no differences between sexes were detected, the wNAO was very high (3.56), while in 2016 it dropped to 0.98 390 (Hurrell, 2017). Around the Portuguese and African coastal areas, poor environmental conditions are depicted by negative 391 values of wNAO, which derives from storms and intense winds in these areas, leading to unusually strong upwellings in 392 these coasts (Sousa et al. 2008). This phenomenon drives plankton away from the shore, leading to its death (Robinson

2004; Santos *et al.* 2004), resulting in low abundance of prey for seabirds. These poor conditions may also lead to
differences between sexes in their foraging ecology (Phillips *et al.* 2011), since females and males might adopt different
feeding strategies to reduce competition. It seems that the feeding ecology of the Madeiran storm-petrel can be influenced
by environmental conditions as well, and this is further supported by the lowest niche overlap that was detected in this
season in 2016 (17,6%), where both sexes seem to avoid foraging in the same area, opposed to the previous year where a
complete niche overlap occurred (100%).

The sexual dimorphism presented by this species, with females exhibiting a significantly longer wing-length than males, might play a role on the dietary and trophic differences between sexes. Sexual dimorphism has also been reported for European storm-petrels (Medeiros-Mirra 2010) and Monteiro's storm-petrels (Paiva *et al.* 2018), and is considered the main driver of intersexual differences in the trophic ecology of Monteiro's storm-petrels during both the breeding (P1 feathers) and non-breeding (S8 feathers) periods. However, only collection of more data during subsequent years, along with complementary information on diet will allow us to better understand intersexual stable isotopic differences in the Madeiran storm-petrel.

406

#### 407 **Distribution**

408 Regarding the distribution of this species during the breeding season, only four individuals with tracking data were 409 retrieved. The difficulties in retrieving more individuals with tracking data limited the possibilities of explaining the 410 intersexual and inter-annual differences obtained in the Madeiran storm-petrel' diet in any more detail. The data retrieved 411 from this small sample size is not enough to make population-level conclusions, however, the results were in accordance 412 with those reported by Oliveira et al. (2013) from November of 2011. This suggests that Madeiran storm-petrels breeding 413 in Farilhões islet might adopt two foraging strategies: short distance trips near the colony, probably to feed their chicks, 414 and longer distance trips near the African coast, probably to restore their body condition. This is a strategy commonly 415 seen in other Procellariformes (Weimerskirch 1998), and it is understandable why this population of Madeiran storm-416 petrels could opt to forage in these main foraging areas. The West African coast is a hotspot of marine biodiversity, 417 exhaustively used by other top predators and by international fishery fleets, because it is an area with high marine 418 productivity (Paiva et al. 2015). On the other hand, the Portuguese coast is characterized by shallow foraging grounds, 419 with marine productivity being influenced either by cold northern or temperate southern winds (Sousa et al. 2008). 420

- 421
- 422

#### 423 Conservation implications

424 This work enabled us to describe the Madeiran storm-petrel diet and trophic ecology for the first time, and to our 425 knowledge, is the first detailed work studying trophic ecology of winter-breeding storm petrels. It seems that this species 426 uses highly productive at-sea areas for foraging, which may also be targeted by fisheries. This is a concern considering 427 that not only does this species seem to feed on higher trophic level prey than previously considered, and thus might 428 forage on prey discarded by fisheries, but also has obvious implications for the at-sea conservation of this species within 429 national and international waters. Such findings are important for the conservation of such small seabirds that reproduce 430 in winter, which when compared to summer breeders, might rely on different prey and experience different environmental 431 conditions. Furthermore, the combination of techniques applied in this work is a suitable framework to study the trophic 432 ecology of other storm-petrels during both the breeding and non-breeding periods.

433

### 434 Compliance with Ethical Standards

Fieldwork financial support was provided by project LIFE13 NAT/PT/000458 co-funded by the LIFE Programme of
 European Commission and Fundo Ambiental grant from the Portuguese Government. This research was co-sponsored by

437 the Foundation for Science and Technology (FCT; Portugal) and the European Social Fund (POPH,EU) through post-

438 doctoral grants to V.H.P. (SFRH/BPD/85024/2012) and the strategic program of MARE (MARE—

UID/MAR/04292/2019). All authors declare that they have no conflict of interests. All applicable international, national,
and/or institutional guidelines for the care and use of animals were followed. All animal handling and procedures in this
research were duly approved and licensed by permits from the Portuguese Institute for Nature Conservation and Forests
(Licenses number 174/2015, 8/2016, 177/2016 and 494/2016/CAPT), which follow the European Union Directive on the
protection of animals used for scientific purposes (Directive 2010/63/EU) and Portuguese laws No. 140/99, No. 49/2005,
No. 316/89, and No. 180/2008. Documentary evidence available under request.

445

#### 446 Acknowledgments

 447
 To all volunteers and colleagues who collaborate during the fieldwork. To Berlengas Natural Reserve - Portuguese

448 Institute for Nature Conservation and Forests and the Portuguese Maritime Authority by provide transport and

- 449 accommodation from Peniche to Farilhão Islet. To captaincy of Peniche and RNB-ICNF. We thank the reviewers that
- 450 contributed significantly to the improvement of the manuscript.
- 451
- 452

### 453 References

- 454 Bachy C, Dolan JR, López-García P, Deschamps P, Moreira D (2013) Accuracy of protist diversity assessments:
- 455 Morphology compared with cloning and direct pyrosequencing of 18S rRNA genes and ITS regions using the 456 conspicuous tintinnid ciliates as a case study. ISME J 7:244–255. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2012.106
- 457 Blackmer AL, Ackerman JT, Nevitt GA (2004) Effects of investigator disturbance on hatching success and nest-site
- 458 fidelity in a long-lived seabird, Leach's storm-petrel. Biol Conserv 116:141–148. doi: 10.1016/S0006-
- 459 3207(03)00185-X
- Bolton M, Smith AL, Gomez-Diaz E, Friesen VL, Medeiros R, Bried J, Roscales JL, Furness RW (2008) Monteiro's
  Storm-petrel *Oceanodroma monteiroi*: a new species from the Azores. Ibis (Lond 1859) 150:717–727. doi:
- 462 10.1111/j.1474-919X.2008.00854.x
- 463 Calenge C (2006) The package "adehabitat" for the R software: A tool for the analysis of space and habitat use by
  464 animals. Ecol Modell 197:516–519. doi: 10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2006.03.017
- 465 Ceia FR, Paiva VH, Garthe S, Marques JC, Ramos JA (2014) Can variations in the spatial distribution at sea and isotopic
  466 niche width be associated with consistency in the isotopic niche of a pelagic seabird species? Mar Biol 161:1861–
  467 1872. doi: 10.1007/s00227-014-2468-9
- 468 Ceia FR, Cherel Y, Paiva VH, Ramos JA (2018) Stable Isotope Dynamics ( $\delta^{13}$ C and  $\delta^{15}$ N) in Neritic and Oceanic Waters
- 469 of the North Atlantic Inferred From GPS-Tracked Cory's Shearwaters. Front Mar Sci. doi:
- 470 10.3389/fmars.2018.00377
- 471 Cunha M (1992) Análise histológica dos estados de maturaçã de verdinho (*Micromesistius poutassou* Risso, 1826) da
  472 costa continental portuguesa. Rel Téc Cient INIP 48:1–42.
- 473 Deagle BE, Gales NJ, Evans K, Jarman SN, Robinson S, Trebilco R, Hindell MA (2007) Studying Seabird Diet through
  474 Genetic Analysis of Faeces: A Case Study on Macaroni Penguins (*Eudyptes chrysolophus*). PLoS One 2:10. doi:
- 475 10.1371/journal.pone.0000831
- 476 Deagle BE, Chiaradia A, McInnes J, Jarman SN (2010) Pyrosequencing faecal DNA to determine diet of little penguins:
  477 is what goes in what comes out? Conserv Genet 11:2039–2048. doi: 10.1007/s10592-010-0096-6
- Edgar RC (2010) Search and clustering orders of magnitude faster than BLAST. Bioinformatics 26:2460–2461. doi:
  10.1093/bioinformatics/btq461
- 480 Edgar RC (2013) UPARSE: highly accurate OTU sequences from microbial amplicon reads. Nat Methods 10:996.
- 481 Edgar RC (2016) UNOISE2: improved error-correction for Illumina 16S and ITS amplicon sequencing. bioRxiv 081257.
- 482 doi: 10.1101/081257

- Edgar RC, Flyvbjerg H (2015) Error filtering, pair assembly and error correction for next-generation sequencing reads.
  Bioinformatics 31:3476–3482. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btv401
- Elliott KH, Gaston AJ, Crump D (2010) Sex-specific behavior by a monomorphic seabird represents risk partitioning.
  Behav Ecol 21:1024–1032. doi: 10.1093/beheco/arq076
- Forero MG, Hobson KA (2003) Using stable isotopes of nitrogen and carbon to study seabird ecology: applications in the
  Mediterranean seabird community. Sci Mar 67:23–32. doi: 10.3989/scimar.2003.67s223
- Gladbach A, McGill RAR, Quillfeldt P (2007) Foraging areas of Wilson's storm-petrel *Oceanites oceanicus* in the
  breeding and inter-breeding period determined by stable isotope analysis. Polar Biol 30:1005–1012. doi:
  10.1007/s00300-007-0258-2
- González-Solís J, Croxall JP, Wood AG (2000) Sexual dimorphism and sexual segregation in foraging strategies of
   northern giant petrels, *Macronectes halli*, during incubation. Oikos 90:390–398. doi: 10.1034/j.1600 0706.2000.900220.x
- Graham BS, Koch PL, Newsome SD, McMahon KW, Aurioles D (2010) Using Isoscapes to Trace the Movements and
   Foraging Behavior of Top Predators in Oceanic Ecosystems. In: Isoscapes. Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht, pp
   299–318
- 498 Granadeiro JP, Grade N, Lecoq M, Morais L, Santos C, Silva MC (1998a) Breeding Madeiran Storm-petrels
- 499 *Oceanodroma castro* on the Farilhões islands, Portugal. In: Proceedings of the IV Mediterranean Seabird

500 Symposium: Seabird Ecology and Coastal Zone Management in the Mediterranean.

- Granadeiro JP, Nunes M, Silva MC, Furness RW (1998b) Flexible foraging strategy of Cory's shearwater, *Calonectris diomedea*, during the chick-rearing period. Anim Behav 56:1169–1176. doi: 10.1006/anbe.1998.0827
- Gremillet D, Charmantier A (2010) Shifts in phenotypic plasticity constrain the value of seabirds as ecological indicators
  of marine ecosystems. Ecol Appl 20:1498–1503. doi: 10.1890/09-1586.1
- Grémillet D, Fort J, Amélineau F, Zakharova E, Le Bot T, Sala E, Gavrilo M (2015) Arctic warming: Nonlinear impacts
  of sea-ice and glacier melt on seabird foraging. Glob Chang Biol 21:1116–1123. doi: 10.1111/gcb.12811
- 507 Halpin LR, Pollet IL, Lee C, Morgan KH, Carter HR (2018) Year-round movements of sympatric Fork-tailed
- 508 (Oceanodroma furcata) and Leach's (O. leucorhoa) storm-petrels. J F Ornithol 89:207–220. doi:
  509 10.1111/jofo.12255
- 510 Hedd A, Montevecchi WA (2006) Diet and trophic position of Leach's storm-petrel Oceanodroma leucorhoa during
- 511 breeding and moult, inferred from stable isotope analysis of feathers. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 322:291–301. doi:
- **512** 10.3354/meps322291

- 513 Hedd A, Fifield DA, Burke CM, Montevecchi WA, Tranquilla LM, Regular PM, Buren AD, Robertson GJ (2010)
- 514 Seasonal shift in the foraging niche of Atlantic puffins *Fratercula arctica* revealed by stable isotope ( $\delta^{15}$ N and

515  $\delta^{13}$ C) analyses. Aquat Biol 9:13–22. doi: 10.3354/ab00225

516 Horswill C, Jackson JA, Medeiros R, Nowell RW, Trathan PN, O'Connell TC (2018) Minimising the limitations of using

517 dietary analysis to assess foodweb changes by combining multiple techniques. Ecol Indic 94:218–225. doi:

518 10.1016/j.ecolind.2018.06.035

- 519 Hurrell J (2017) The Climate Data Guide: Hurrell North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) Index (station-based).
- https://climatedataguide.ucar.edu/climate-data/hurrell-north-atlantic-oscillation-nao-index-station-based. Accessed
  17 Mar 2017
- 522 Iverson SJ, Springer AM, Kitaysky AS (2007) Seabirds as indicators of food web structure and ecosystem variability:

523 qualitative and quantitative diet analyses using fatty acids. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 352:235–244. doi:

- 524 10.3354/meps07073
- Jackson AL, Inger R, Parnell AC, Bearhop S (2011) Comparing isotopic niche widths among and within communities:
   SIBER Stable Isotope Bayesian Ellipses in R. J Anim Ecol 80:595–602. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2656.2011.01806.x
- 527 Jarman SN, McInnes JC, Faux C, Polanowski AM, Marthick J, Deagle BE, Southwell C, Emmerson L (2013) Adelie
- 528 Penguin Population Diet Monitoring by Analysis of Food DNA in Scats. PLoS One 8:11. doi:
- 529 10.1371/journal.pone.0082227
- Kato A, Watanuki Y, Nishumi I, Kuroki M, Shaugnessy P, Naito Y (2000) Variation in foraging and parental behavior of
  King cormorants. Auk 117:718–730. doi: 10.1642/0004-8038(2000)117[0718:VIFAPB]2.0.CO;2
- Kürten N, Vedder O, González J, Heiko S, Bouwhuis S (2019) No detectable effect of light-level geolocators on the
  behaviour and fitness of a long-distance migratory seabird. J Ornithol. doi: 10.1007/s10336-019-01686-3
- Lewis S, Benvenuti S, Dall'Antonia L, Griffiths R, Money L, Sherratt TN, Wanless S, Hamer KC (2002) Sex-specific
  foraging behaviour in a monomorphic seabird. Proc Biol Sci 269:1687–1693. doi: 10.1098/rspb.2002.2083
- 536 Lewis S, Schreiber EA, Daunt F, Schenk GA, Orr K, Adams A, Wanless S, Hamer KC (2005) Sex-specific foraging
- behaviour in tropical boobies: Does size matter? Ibis (Lond 1859) 147:408–414. doi: 10.1111/j.1474-
- 538 919x.2005.00428.x
- 539 Libes S (2009) Introduction to Marine Biogeochemistry, 2nd edditi. Elsevier Inc., California
- 540 Martin M (2011) Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads. EMBnet.journal 17:10.
  541 doi: 10.14806/ej.17.1.200
- 542 Medeiros-Mirra R (2010) The Migration Strategy, Diet & Foraging Ecology of a Small Seabird in a Changing

- 543 Environment. Cardiff University
- Meirinho A, Barros N, Oliveira N, Catry P, Lecoq M, Geraldes P, Granadeiro JP, Ramírez I, Andrade J (2014) Atlas das
  Aves Marinhas de Portugal. http://www.atlasavesmarinhas.pt.
- 546 Mendes ARN (2013) Status and conservation of Madeiran Storm-petrel Oceanodroma castro in Farilhão Grande,

547 Berlengas, Portugal: relevance to the management plan of this protected area . Universidade de Lisboa

- 548 Monteiro LR, Furness RW (1998) Speciation through temporal segregation of Madeiran storm petrel (Oceanodroma
- *castro*) populations in the Azores? Philos Trans R Soc London Ser B-Biological Sci 353:945–953. doi:
  10.1098/rstb.1998.0259
- Monteiro LR, Ramos JA, Furness RW, del Nevo AJ (1996a) Movements, morphology, breeding, moult, diet and feeding
  of seabirds in the Azores. Colon Waterbirds 19:82–97. doi: 10.2307/1521810
- Monteiro LR, Ramos JA, Furness RW (1996b) Past and present status and conservation of the seabirds breeding in the
   Azores Archipelago. Biol Conserv 78:319–328. doi: 10.1016/s0006-3207(96)00037-7
- Morgulis A, Coulouris G, Raytselis Y, Madden TL, Agarwala R, Schäffer AA (2008) Database indexing for production
   MegaBLAST searches. Bioinformatics 24:1757–1764. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btn322
- 557 Nürnberg D, Ziegler M, Karas C, Tiedemann R, Schmidt MW (2008) Interacting Loop Current variability and
- 558 Mississippi River discharge over the past 400 kyr. Earth Planet Sci Lett 272:278–289. doi:
- 559 10.1016/j.epsl.2008.04.051
- Oliveira N, Mendes AR, Geraldes P, Barros N, Andrade J, Ramírez I (2013) Monitorização da população reprodutora de
   Roque-de-castro *Oceanodroma castro* do Farilhão Grande, Berlengas.
- Paiva VH, Xavier J, Geraldes P, Ramirez I, Garthe S (2010a) Foraging ecology of Cory's shearwaters in different
   oceanic environments of the North Atlantic. doi: 10.3354/meps08617
- 564 Paiva VH, Geraldes P, Ramírez I, Meirinho A, Garthe S, Ramos JA (2010b) Oceanographic characteristics of areas used
- by Cory's shearwaters during short and long foraging trips in the North Atlantic. Mar Biol 157:1385–1399. doi:
  10.1007/s00227-010-1417-5
- 567 Paiva VH, Geraldes P, Rodrigues I, Melo T, Melo J, Ramos JA (2015) The Foraging Ecology of the Endangered Cape
- 568 Verde Shearwater, a Sentinel Species for Marine Conservation off West Africa. PLoS One 10:19. doi:
- 569 10.1371/journal.pone.0139390
- 570 Paiva VH, Fagundes AI, Romão V, Gouveia C, Ramos JA (2016) Population-scale foraging segregation in an apex
- 571 predator of the north Atlantic. PLoS One 11:1–19. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0151340
- 572 Paiva VH, Ramos JA, Nava C, Neves V, Bried J, Magalhães M (2018) Inter-sexual habitat and isotopic niche segregation

- 573 of the endangered Monteiro's storm-petrel during breeding. Zoology 126:29–35. doi: 10.1016/j.zool.2017.12.006
- 574 Peck DR, Congdon BC (2006) Sex-specific chick provisioning and diving behaviour in the wedge-tailed shearwater

575 *Puffinus pacificus*. J Avian Biol 37:245–251. doi: 10.1111/j.2006.0908-8857.03558.x

- 576 Phillips RA, Silk JRD, Croxall JP, Afanasyev V, Briggs DR (2004) Accuracy of geolocation estimates for flying seabirds.
  577 Mar Ecol Prog Ser 266:265–272. doi: 10.3354/meps266265
- 578 Phillips RA, Bearhop S, McGill RAR, Dawson DA (2009) Stable isotopes reveal individual variation in migration
- strategies and habitat preferences in a suite of seabirds during the nonbreeding period. Oecologia 160:795–806. doi:
  10.1007/s00442-009-1342-9
- Phillips RA, McGill RAR, Dawson DA, Bearhop S (2011) Sexual segregation in distribution, diet and trophic level of
  seabirds: Insights from stable isotope analysis. Mar Biol 158:2199–2208. doi: 10.1007/s00227-011-1725-4
- Pollet IL, Lancaster MB, Lightfoot HL, Vaasjo EJ, Shutler D (2014a) Fifty One Degrees and 14 Years of Separation: a
   Trans-Atlantic Recapture of a Banded Leach's Storm-Petrel. Wilson J Ornithol 126:166–169.
- Pollet IL, Ronconi RA, Jonsen ID, Leonard ML, Taylor PD, Shutler D (2014b) Foraging movements of Leach's stormpetrels *Oceanodroma leucorhoa* during incubation. J Avian Biol 45:305–314. doi: 10.1111/jav.00361
- 587 Pollet IL, Hedd A, Taylor PD, Montevecchi WA, Shutler D (2014c) Migratory movements and wintering areas of
  588 Leach's Storm-Petrels tracked using geolocators. J F Ornithol 85:321–328. doi: 10.1111/jofo.12071
- 589 R Core Team (2018) R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. Computing, R Foundation for
  590 Statistical, Vienna, Austria
- 591 Ramos JA, Isabel Fagundes A, Xavier JC, Fidalgo V, Ceia FR, Medeiros R, Paiva VH (2015) A switch in the Atlantic
- 592 Oscillation correlates with inter-annual changes in foraging location and food habits of Macaronesian shearwaters
- 593 (*Puffinus baroli*) nesting on two islands of the sub-tropical Atlantic Ocean. Deep Res Part I Oceanogr Res Pap
- 594 104:60–71. doi: 10.1016/j.dsr.2015.07.001
- Ramos R, González-Solís J (2012) Trace me if you can: The use of intrinsic biogeochemical markers in marine top
   predators. Front Ecol Environ 10:258–266. doi: 10.1890/110140
- Ramos R, Gonzalez-Solis J, Croxall JP, Oro D, Ruiz X (2009) Understanding Oceanic Migrations with Intrinsic
  Biogeochemical Markers. PLoS One 4:6. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0006236
- Robinson CJ (2004) Responses of the northern anchovy to the dynamics of the pelagic environment: Identification of fish
  behaviours that may leave the population under risk of overexploitation. J Fish Biol 64:1072–1087. doi:
- 601 10.1111/j.1095-8649.2004.00372.x
- 602 Rodway MS, Montevecchi WA, Chardine JW (1996) Effects of investigator disturbance on breeding success of Atlantic

- 603 puffins Fratercula arctica. Biol Conserv 76:311–319. doi: 10.1016/0006-3207(94)00118-9
- 604 Rogers SI, Rijnsdorp AD, Damm U, Vanhee W (1998) Demersal fish populations in the coastal waters of the UK and
- continental NW Europe from beam trawl survey data collected from 1990 to 1995. J Sea Res 39:79–102. doi:
  10.1016/S1385-1101(97)00021-X
- Roscales JL, Gomez-Diaz E, Neves V, Gonzalez-Solis J (2011) Trophic versus geographic structure in stable isotope
   signatures of pelagic seabirds breeding in the northeast Atlantic. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 434:1–13. doi:

609 10.3354/meps09211

610 Santos AMP, Peliz A, Dubert J, Oliveira PB, Angélico MM, Ré P (2004) Impact of a winter upwelling event on the
611 distribution and transport of sardine (*Sardina pilchardus*) eggs and larvae off western Iberia: A retention

612 mechanism. Cont Shelf Res 24:149–165. doi: 10.1016/j.csr.2003.10.004

- Sousa FM, Nascimento S, Casimiro H, Boutov D (2008) Identification of upwelling areas on sea surface temperature
  images using fuzzy clustering. 112:2817–2823. doi: 10.1016/j.rse.2008.01.014
- Springer AM, Roseneau DG, Murphy EC, Springer MI (1984) Environmental Controls Of Marine Food Webs FoodHabits Of Seabirds In The Eastern Chukchi Sea. Can J Fish Aquat Sci 41:1202–1215. doi: 10.1139/f84-142
- 617 Stockdale JE (2018) Using high-throughput sequencing to track habitat use by thrushes exploiting heterogeneous
  618 farmland landscapes. Cardiff University
- 619 Symondson WOC (2002) Molecular identification of prey in predator diets. Mol Ecol 11:627–641. doi: 10.1046/j.1365620 294X.2002.01471.x
- Traugott M, Pázmándi C, Kaufmann R, Juen A (2007) Evaluating <sup>15</sup>N/<sup>14</sup>N and <sup>13</sup>C/<sup>12</sup>C isotope ratio analysis to investigate
   trophic relationships of elaterid larvae (Coleoptera: Elateridae). Soil Biol Biochem 39:1023–1030. doi:
- 623 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2006.11.012
- Valentini A, Pompanon F, Taberlet P (2009) DNA barcoding for ecologists. Trends Ecol Evol 24:110–117. doi:
  10.1016/j.tree.2008.09.011
- 626 Wada E (2009) Stable  $\delta^{15}$ N and  $\delta^{13}$ C isotope ratios in aquatic ecosystems. 85:98–107. doi: 10.2183/pjab/85.98
- Walsh PS, Metzger DA, Higuchi R (1991) Chelex-100 as a medium for simple extraction of DNA for PCR-based typing
  from forensic material. Biotechniques 10:506–513. doi: 10.2144/000113897
- 629 Warham J (1990) The Petrels: Their Ecology and Breeding Systems. Academic Press, London
- 630 Weimerskirch H (1998) How can a pelagic seabird provision its chick when relying on a distant food resource? Cyclic
- 631 attendance at the colony, foraging decision and body condition in sooty shearwaters. J Anim Ecol 67:99–109. doi:
- 632 10.1046/j.1365-2656.1998.00180.x

- 633 Welcker J, Steen H, Harding AMA, Gabrielsen GW (2009) Sex-specific provisioning behaviour in a monomorphic
- 634 seabird with a bimodal foraging strategy. Ibis (Lond 1859) 151:502–513. doi: 10.1111/j.1474-919X.2009.00931.x
- 635 Xavier JC, Magalhães MC, Mendonça AS, Antunes M, Carvalho N, Machete M, Santos RS, Paiva V, Hamer KC (2011)
- 636 Changes in diet of Cory's Shearwaters Calonectris diomedea breeding in the Azores. Mar Ornitol 39:129–134.
- 637 Xavier JC, Cherel Y, Medeiros R, Velez N, Dewar M, Ratcliffe N, Carreiro AR, Trathan PN (2018) Conventional and
- molecular analysis of the diet of gentoo penguins : contributions to assess scats for non invasive penguin diet
   monitoring. Polar Biol. doi: 10.1007/s00300-018-2364-8
- Zeale M, Butlin R, Barker G, Lees D, Jones G (2011) Taxon-specific PCR for DNA barcoding arthropod prey in bat
  faeces. Mol Ecol Resour 11:236–244. doi: 10.1111/j.1755-0998.2010.02920.x
- 642 Zhang Z, Schwartz S, Wagner L, Mille W (2000) A greedy algorithm for aligning DNA sequences. J Comput Biol
- 643

645 Figures



Figure 1 - Proportion (%) of detected fish, cephalopods, general invertebrate's and no DNA per sex and year forMadeiran storm-petrels after DNA amplification.





Figure 2 - Annual comparison of isotopic niche space of Madeiran storm-petrel between females (filled lines and
symbols) and males (dotted lines and empty symbols), using a) whole blood, b) 1st primary feather and c) 8th secondary
feather. Ellipses represent the standard ellipses areas corrected for small sample size (SEAc), constructed using the Stable
Isotopes Bayesian Ellipses package in R (SIBER, Jackson et al. 2011).





Figure 3 - Home range (95% kernel Utilization Distribution (UD); dashed line) and foraging area (50% kernel UD; filled
line) of Madeiran storm-petrels from Farilhão Islet (Berlengas archipelago – identified with a star) during the early chickrearing periods (January - February 2017). Bathymetry represented in the background varying from 1m (pink) to 3800m
(blue) depth.

665 Table 1 - Taxa identified from high-throughput sequencing of both (F) female and (M) male scats of two years of 666 data (2015 and 2017) from Madeiran Storm-Petrels, using DNA fragments from two different genes. Percentages refer to proportion of sequences that comprise each prey type. Grey shading represent positive values, '-' represents groups that 667 668 were not analysed.

Target Gene	Class	Order	Family	Genus/Species	2015 F (%)	2017 F (%)	2015 M (%)	2017 M (%)
		Gadiformes	Gadidae	Trisopterus minutus	6.21	0.00	1.41	0.53
				other <i>Trisopterus</i> sp.	48.80	17.87	25.53	16.41
				Micromesistius poutassou	22.33	38.53	38.68	62.44
				Gadus sp.	7.91	1.19	12.15	10.52
				Gadiculus argenteus thori	1.48	0.00	14.87	0.00
		Clupeiformes	Clupeidae	Sardina pilchardus	11.43	0.00	0.00	0.00
128	Actinontervoii	Perciformes	Sparidae	Pagellus acarne	1.84	0.00	0.00	0.00
125	i ietinopter ygn		Carangidae	Trachurus sp.	0.00	0.00	7.35	0.00
		Lampriformes	unknown family		0.00	0.00	0.00	9.57
		Aulopiformes	Alepisauridae	Alepisaurus ferox	0.00	1.50	0.00	0.00
		Stomiiformes	unknown family		0.00	25.06	0.00	0.00
		Myctophiformes	Myctophidae	Myctophum punctatum	0.00	13.65	0.00	0.00
				Ceratoscopelus maderensis	0.00	1.61	0.00	0.00
				Protomyctophum sp.	0.00	0.60	0.00	0.00
				unknown genus	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.53
		la Oegopsida	Onychoteuthidae	<i>Onykia</i> sp.	-	4.91	86.26	-
			Chiroteuthidae	Chiroteuthis sp.	-	90.07	7.96	-
28S	Cephalopoda			unknown genus <sup>a</sup>	-	5.02	0.00	-
			unknown Family <sup>a</sup>		-	0.00	5.77	-
660	Classification contains 4 OUTs							

Classification contains 4 OUTs. 669

**Table 2** - Results of a factorial analysis of variance (ANOVA) showing multiple comparisons of  $\delta^{13}$ C and  $\delta^{15}$ N values for673female and male Madeiran storm-petrel for each year. Feathers were pooled together in the analysis. Post-hoc multiple

674 comparisons made with Tukey test. Significant effects are shown in bold.

	δ <sup>13</sup> C			$\delta^{15}$ N			
	F	Р	Main effects	F	Р	Main effects	
Blood							
Sex	F1,46= 1.52	0.224		F1,46= 1.65	0.206		
Year	$F_{1,46} = 9.38$	0.004	2017 > 2015	$F_{1,46}=0.04$	0.852		
Sex*Year	$F_{1,46}=0.02$	0.887		F1,46= 1.08	0.304		
Feathers							
Sex	F1,93= 0.05	0.828		F1,93= 3.96	0.050	Males > Females	
Year	F1,93= 0.88	0.350		F1,93= 0.25	0.620		
Tissue	F1,93= 4.80	0.031	S8 > P1	F1,93= 4.00	0.048	S8 < P1	
Sex*Year	F1,93= 0.45	0.506		F1,93= 3.01	0.086		
Sex*Tissue	F1,93= 0.03	0.853		F1,93= 1.51	0.223		
Year*Tissue	F1,93= 1.07	0.304		F1,93= 0.55	0.459		
Sex*Tissue*Year	F1,93= 0.02	0.889		F1,93= 1.03	0.313		

678 Table 3 - SIBER outputs: area of the standard ellipse (SEA<sub>C</sub>) for female and male Madeiran Storm-petrel for each

679 year.and the layman metric of convex hull area (TA).

		SEAC		ТА					
Blood: breeding season									
	Year	Female	Male	Female	Male				
	2015	0.10	0.11	0.21	0.25				
	2017	0.08	0.21	0.18	0.28				
P1 Feathers: end of breeding period									
	Year	Female	Male	Female	Male				
	2015	1.89	1.18	3.72	2.85				
	2017	1.01	2.33	1.86	3.43				
S8 Feathers: non-breeding period									
	Year	Female	Male	Female	Male				
	2015	0.87	1.37	1.85	3.80				
	2017	0.99	1.61	1.79	2.74				