Effects of ovarian fluid on sperm traits and its implications for cryptic female choice in zebrafish Federica Poli¹, Simone Immler², Clelia Gasparini¹ ¹ Centre for Evolutionary Biology, School of Biological Sciences, University of Western Australia Crawley, Australia ² School of Biological Sciences, University of East Anglia, Norwich Research Park, Norwich, NR4 7TJ, United Kingdom Corresponding author: Federica Poli current email address: federica.poli.1@unipd.it telephone number: +39 0498276194 mobile: +39 3387749816 **RUNNING TITLE** Effects of ovarian fluid on sperm traits in zebrafish

21 ABSTRACT

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

In polyandrous mating systems, females maintain the opportunity to bias male fertilization success after mating in a process known as cryptic female choice. Mechanisms of cryptic female choice have been described both in internal and external fertilizers, and may affect fertilization processes at different stages before, during and after fertilization. While in internal fertilizers, females have substantial control over sperm storage and fertilization, in external fertilizers, female control is limited. A key factor proposed to mediate cryptic female choice is the fluid surrounding the eggs, the ovarian fluid, as it may directly affect sperm performance. Here, we studied the role of ovarian fluid in post-mating sexual selection using the zebrafish, Danio rerio. In a first step, we assessed how ovarian fluid affects sperm swimming performance compared to freshwater. We focussed on sperm motility, velocity, swimming trajectory and longevity, all traits associated with competitive fertilization success in externally fertilizing fish. In a second step, we used a North Carolina II design to explore female, male, and female x male effects by testing sperm motility of two males in the ovarian fluid of two females in a total of eleven blocks. Our results suggest that the ovarian fluid affects sperm performance differently from freshwater. Specifically, sperm velocity, motility and longevity were higher in the ovarian fluid than in freshwater, whereas sperm linearity and beat cross frequency showed the opposite pattern. Moreover, these effects varied according to male and female identities, supporting the potential for cryptic female choice mediated by ovarian fluid in this species.

42 INTRODUCTION

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

Females mating with multiple males during a single reproductive cycle allows for sexual selection to continue after copulation in the form of post-mating (or post-ejaculatory) sexual selection (Birkhead and Pizzari 2002; Parker 2014). Theoretical studies suggest that this process is particularly intense in external fertilizers due to the co-occurrence of gametes of multiple individuals and is further exacerbated by the reduced opportunities for mate choice and/or the exclusion of rivals prior to gamete release (Birkhead and Møller 1998; Levitan 2010). Compelling evidence for evolutionary processes operating at the gamete level has fuelled studies on understanding mechanisms of post-mating processes such as sperm competition, where sperm of two or more males compete to fertilize the same set of eggs (Parker 1970). Sperm competition has largely been associated with increased investment in sperm production at interspecific and intraspecific levels (Birkhead and Møller 1998; Parker and Pizzari 2010). In more recent years, the role of sperm quality traits in determining fertilization success has been an increasingly recognised in a number of species (Firman and Simmons 2015; Simmons and Fitzpatrick 2012; Snook 2005). In particular, sperm competition favours the evolution of sperm quality traits that maximise sperm ability to outcompete rival ejaculates (Birkhead and Møller 1998; Fitzpatrick and Lüpold 2014; Pizzari and Parker 2009). Those traits include sperm velocity, sperm viability (the proportion of motile sperm in the ejaculate), sperm morphology, and sperm longevity. In particular, higher sperm velocity and sperm viability have been associated with increased sperm competition success in many species with both, internal and external fertilization (Simmons and Fitzpatrick 2012; Snook 2005). While the outcome of sperm competition has traditionally been associated with the intrinsic characteristics of competing ejaculates,

fertilization success also critically depends on post-mating interactions between males and females, and the ability of females to bias the outcome of sperm competition via mechanisms of cryptic female choice (Birkhead et al. 1993; Eberhard 1996; Thornhill 1983). Due to the challenges associated with studying these cryptic mechanisms and with effectively disentangling processes of cryptic female choice from those of sperm competition (Firman et al. 2017), we still know surprisingly little about the proximate mechanisms involved. Females employ a variety of mechanisms to select among sperm from different males (Birkhead et al. 1993). In internal fertilizers, these mechanisms include directional sperm ejection, control of copulation duration, changes in sperm swimming behaviour during sperm migration along the reproductive tract influenced by female reproductive fluids, and selective sperm storage (Pizzari and Birkhead 2000; Peretti and Eberhard 2010; Holman and Snook 2008; Friesen et al. 2016). In external fertilizers, the fluid surrounding the eggs, namely the ovarian fluid, has been suggested to be a possible mediator of sperm selection (e.g. Urbach et al. 2005; Rosengrave et al. 2016). Ovarian fluid has been shown to affect various sperm traits such as sperm activation, chemotaxis, longevity, swimming performance and trajectory across internally and externally fertilizing taxa (Bernasconi et al. 2002; Turner and Montgomerie 2002; Urbach et al. 2005; Elofsson et al. 2006; Oliveira et al. 1999; Rosengrave et al. 2009a; Rosengrave et al. 2009b; Gasparini et al. 2012; Gasparini and Evans 2013; Liberti et al. 2016). Interestingly, the ovarian fluid of one female does not seem to affect sperm of all males in the same way, but varies depending on male and female identity, indicating cryptic female choice (Firman et al. 2017). In the internally fertilizing guppy Poecilia reticulata for example, ovarian fluid has been shown to increase the velocity of sperm from unrelated males and by that favouring those males during fertilization over

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

related male as means of inbreeding avoidance (Gasparini and Pilastro 2011). In the externally fertilizing mussel, Mytilus galloprovincialis, chemoattractants in the ovarian fluid were proven to select for more genetically compatible ejaculates by differentially affecting sperm performance of competing males, which resulted in higher embryonic viability and offspring survival (Oliver and Evans 2014). Similar effects have been reported in studies in externally fertilizing fish species. In the Arctic charr, Salvelinus alpinus, for example, Urbach et al. (2005) found that the effect of ovarian fluid on sperm velocity varied across the different male-female crosses. Furthermore, in the Chinook salmon, Oncorhynchus tshawytscha, ovarian fluid differentially affected sperm swimming speed, which was positively correlated with embryo survival (Rosengrave et al. 2008; 2016). Similarly, in the ocellated wrasse, Symphodus ocellatus, the presence of ovarian fluid increased the relative importance of sperm number over sperm velocity, which provides nesting males producing less but faster sperm with an advantage over males adopting alternative reproductive tactics producing many but slower sperm (Alonzo et al. 2016). Finally, in the Atlantic salmon, Salmo salar, ovarian fluid selectively enhanced chemoattraction and motility of conspecific sperm to avoid hybridization with the brown trout, Salmo trutta, overlapping in breeding season and grounds (Yeates et al. 2013; Alonzo et al. 2016). In the present study, we tested the potential role of ovarian fluid in cryptic female choice in the zebrafish, Danio rerio, a tropical freshwater fish with external fertilization. We were interested in assessing if females can differentiate between ejaculates of different males through the effect of ovarian fluid on sperm traits. In the wild, female zebrafish ready to spawn rapidly dart multiple times into shallow water and are chased by one or multiple males (Engeszer et al. 2007; Spence et al. 2008), which often leads to multiple paternity in the resulting offspring (Paull et al. 2010; Watt et al. 2011). Post-mating sexual selection is

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

therefore likely to play a key role in this species. In a first step, we assessed sperm performance in a mixture of ovarian fluid and freshwater compared to pure freshwater by measuring a range of sperm motility traits and sperm longevity. In a second step, we explored whether the effect of ovarian fluid on sperm performance varies according to specific combinations of males and females. To this end, we employed a North Carolina II (NCII) design (Lynch and Walsh 1998) that allows the effective partitioning of variance in sperm performance attributable to female, male, and female x male effects (Lynch and Walsh 1998; Garcia-Gonzalez and Evans 2011).

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Fish maintenance

Zebrafish used in this experiment were AB wild-type descendants, which were raised and maintained at the Western Australian Zebrafish Experimental Research Centre (WAZERC, University of Western Australia). All fish were maintained at equal sex-ratio (10 males:10 females per tank, 3.5 L) in a recirculating rack system under standard laboratory conditions (14:10 light-dark cycle; water temperature $28 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C) and fed *ad libitum* twice per day with a mix of dry food and rotifers. Both, males and females used for the experiments were five months old. This study was approved by the Animal Ethics Committee at the University of Western Australia (approval number RA/3/100/1531).

Overview of the experimental design

To assess the effects of ovarian fluid on sperm traits compared to freshwater, we measured the swimming performance (velocity, trajectory and longevity) of sperm of 22 males in pure

freshwater (hereafter, 'water') and in a solution of water and ovarian fluid (see below "gamete and ovarian fluid collection"). In a second set of experiments, we used a North Carolina II (NCII) block design to test for female, male, and female x male effects on sperm performance (Lynch and Walsh 1998). In each block, we assessed the performance of sperm of two different males in the ovarian fluid of two females (2x2 full-factorial design, see Figure 1) with two replicates each for a total of 11 blocks resulting in a total of 44 unique combinations of male x female ovarian fluid (total of 88 replicates). The NCII design is a powerful tool to disentangle female- and male-driven effects and their interaction by overcoming variation due to male and female identity (Garcia-Gonzalez and Evans 2011). This design has been employed to discriminate between the male and female role in mechanisms of cryptic female choice and fertilization outcome in other species, including for example the Chinook salmon, *O. tshawytscha* (Evans et al. 2013), the sea urchin, *Heliocidaris erythrogramma* (Evans and Marshall 2005), and the mussel, *M. galloprovincialis* (Lymbery et al. 2017).

Gamete and ovarian fluid collection

One day before the experiment, two males and two females were selected from different tanks to avoid any potential effect of hierarchy (Paull et al. 2010). The selected fish were transferred into small tanks (1L), where males and females were separated by a transparent divider. Fish were not fed for 18 hours prior to the experiment to prevent faecal contamination during gametes collection. Gametes were collected following procedures described in Alavioon et al. (2017). Briefly, both males and females were first anesthetized in a water bath containing MS222 (tricaine methanesulfonate, Sigma Aldrich; 0.17 g/L), gently rinsed in water, and then placed under a dissecting microscope. The abdomen and

the genital area of the anaesthetised fish was carefully dried to avoid accidental activation of gametes by water. The ejaculate was collected using a glass capillary by gently squeezing the abdomen of males. The ejaculate was then diluted in 40 µL of Hank's solution, and maintained on ice until use (within one hour from collection; Hagedorn and Carter 2011; Jing et al. 2009). For ovarian fluid collection, the abdomen of the female was gently squeezed to release eggs along with the surrounding ovarian fluid onto a glass slide. The ovarian fluid was collected with a Drummond micropipette (equipped with a 34mmdiameter long plastic tip) by gently aspiring the fluid around the batch of eggs, and diluted to a final concentration of 20% in freshwater and kept on ice until use (within one hour from collection). The quantity of ovarian fluid present at spawning is difficult to estimate, as it continuously changes with time since egg release. The concentration also depends on the distance between sperm and eggs at release and on local and transient conditions of the water and ground. However, 20% dilution is likely to lie within the range of ovarian fluid concentration (between 0 to 50%) around the eggs during spawning in this species. In addition, 20% dilution was the concentration used in similar studies in other externally fertilizing fish species (e.g. Butts et al. 2012; Lehnert et al. 2016). After gamete collection, all individuals were placed in a tank with water and oxygen supply until they completely recovered. All experimental fish were used only once.

180

181

182

183

184

185

179

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

Sperm assays

For each assay, 0.5 μ L of ejaculate was transferred into a well on a multi-well slide (12 well multi-test slides, MP Biomedicals), activated, and immediately covered with a coverslip. In the first part of the study, the ejaculate of a male was activated in 2.5 μ L of water or of the ovarian fluid solution in random order. In the second part of the study (NCII block design),

the ejaculate of each male was activated in 2.5 μ L of the ovarian fluid solution of two different females in randomised order (see figure 1). Sperm motility was recorded through a digital camera (Thorlabs, DCC3240C) connected to a phase-contrast microscope (Olympus BX43). Videos were captured at 100 frames per second and analysed using ImageJ CASA (Computer Assisted Sperm Analyses) plugin (Wilson-Leedy and Ingermann 2007; Purchase and Earle 2012). For each sample, we assessed an average of 135.80 \pm 2.52 SE sperm cell tracks. The following velocity parameters were measured: curvilinear velocity (VCL, μ ms⁻¹), trajectory (measure of path curvature: LIN, linearity), beat-cross frequency (BCF), and motility (proportion of motile cells over the total). Sperm longevity was measured from the videos as the time from activation until \geq 80% of sperm in the field of view were immotile (Neff et al. 2003). Sperm motility parameters were assessed every 10 seconds for the first minute post activation resulting in six measurements for each sample (Wilson-Leedy and Ingermann 2007). We measured each sample twice for repeatability estimates.

Statistical analyses

Repeatability was tested using the 'rptR' package (Gaussian or Poisson distribution was used according to the error distribution of the different variables) based on 1,000 permutations. Linear mixed effect models ('Imer' function of the 'Ime4' package) were used to analyse VCL, LIN and BCF. Sperm motility was analysed using generalised linear mixed effect models ('glmer' function of the 'Ime4' package) assuming a binomial error distribution and logit link function. Sperm longevity was analysed using a generalised linear mixed effect model ('glmer' function of the 'Ime4' package) by specifying a Poisson distribution. To analyse the effect of water and ovarian fluid on sperm performance, mixed effect models included treatment (water and ovarian fluid) and time as fixed factors, and male ID as random factor.

Analyses performed at a single time point (e.g. at 10 seconds post activation, spa, 20 spa or 30 spa) were similar, but did not include time.

To assess the effect of male, female, and their interaction on sperm traits, mixed effect models included a fixed factor (time) and random factors (male ID, female ID and the male ID x female ID interaction term) fitted with random slopes and fixed intercept to account for the effect of time. Visual inspection of residuals in all models confirmed that the model assumptions were met. P-values for fixed effects were obtained from the F-statistic with the ImerTest package using Satterthwaite's approximation for the denominator degrees of freedom. P-values for random effects were obtained by likelihood ratio tests of the full model against the model with the specific random factor removed. All analyses were performed using R v 3.3 (R Core Team 2016).

RESULTS

Repeatability of sperm trait measurements

Repeatability was very high for all the parameters at all times in both water and ovarian fluid solution, with the exception of LIN measured at 40 spa, 50 spa and 60 spa (for repeatability estimates see Table S1 in Supplementary Materials). Sperm longevity showed significant repeatability both, in ovarian fluid solution (R=0.479, CI: 0.143, 0.674, P=0.013) and in water (R=0.625, CI: 0.501, 0.733, P<0.001).

Effect of ovarian fluid on sperm traits

233 VCL was significantly affected by treatment $(F_{1,742.32}=3.93, P=0.048)$, by time 234 $(F_{5,742.06}=805.65, P<0.001)$ with an interaction term between treatment and time 235 (F_{5.742.05}=7.73, P<0.001). As expected, VCL declined over time but at a different rate in water 236 compared to ovarian fluid solution (Figure 2A). Sperm measured in ovarian fluid solution 237 swam at a slower speed to begin with than sperm measured in water (at 10 spa water: 238 107.790 ± 36.952 , ovarian fluid: 95.577 ± 19.661 , $F_{1,106.53}$ =25.087, P<0.001; at 20 spa water: 239 88.152 ± 22.364 , ovarian fluid: 91.763 ± 8.029 , $F_{1.108.16} = 7.823$, P=0.006) but they declined at 240 a slower rate and ended up being faster at 60 spa (water: 60.564 \pm 6.367, ovarian fluid: 241 62.456 \pm 5.020, $F_{1,101.38}$ =6.609, P=0.012). LIN was significantly affected by treatment 242 $(F_{1.742.46}=401.34, P<0.001)$, by time $(F_{5.742.03}=8.90, P<0.001)$, and the interaction between 243 treatment and time was significant (F_{5,742.02}=10.92, P<0.001). LIN was significantly lower in 244 ovarian fluid compared to water at all times, indicating that sperm swam in a more 245 curvilinear trajectory in presence of ovarian fluid compared to pure water. LIN also 246 decreased over time in ovarian fluid (between 10 spa and 30 spa) but remained constant in 247 water (Figure 2B). Similarly, BFC was higher in water compared to ovarian fluid 248 $(F_{1,742.12}=335.07, P<0.001)$ and increased significantly over time $(F_{5,742.00}=501.22, P<0.001)$ 249 with a significant interaction between time and treatment ($F_{5.742.00}$ =10.02, P<0.001) 250 indicating that the increase in BCF over time was more rapid in water than in ovarian fluid 251 solution (Figure 2C). The proportion of motile sperm was affected by treatment 252 $(F_{1,753.20}=17.87, P<0.001)$, time $(F_{5,753.01}=229.35, P<0.001)$, and their interaction 253 $(F_{5,753.01}=5.167, P=0.796, P<0.001)$. The proportion of motile sperm was not significantly 254 different between the two treatments at 10 spa, 20 spa or 30 spa, but was significantly 255 higher in ovarian fluid at 40 spa (water: 0.666 \pm 0.173 ovarian fluid: 0.724 \pm 0.041 , 256 $F_{1,108.13}$ =10.977,Df=1, P=0.001), 50 spa (water: 0.535 \pm 0.204 ovarian fluid: 0.588 \pm 0.171,

 $F_{1,108.11}$ =17.067, P<0.001) and 60 spa (water: 0.393 \pm 0.230 ovarian fluid: 0.456 \pm 0.235, $F_{1,108.14}$ =21.761,P<0.001), suggesting that ovarian fluid is more successful in maintaining sperm motility over time compared to water (Figure 2D). Finally, sperm longevity was higher in ovarian fluid solution compared to water (ovarian fluid: 84.4 spa \pm 2.13 water: 75.4 spa \pm 2.03, X^2 = 34.104, Df=1, P<0.001).

Male-by-female interaction effects on sperm traits

In the analyses of the outcome of the NCII breeding design (Figure 1, N=11 blocks; Table 1), we found a significant effect of time on sperm velocity, linearity, BCF and motility (time effect in all models: P<0.001). We also found an effect of male ID only on sperm longevity (Table 1), which indicates that some males produced intrinsically longer-lived sperm than other males. Most importantly, we found a significant interaction between male ID and female ID for all traits considered (Table 1), indicating that the ovarian fluid of specific females differentially affected sperm of specific males.

DISCUSSION

Our findings showed that ovarian fluid affects sperm motility traits differently compared to freshwater alone. Sperm lived longer and swam in a more curvilinear path in ovarian fluid, and their velocity slowed down over time, although less abruptly in the presence of ovarian fluid compared to pure water. Moreover, the effect of ovarian fluid varied depending on specific male-female combinations, which suggests that ovarian fluid may play a role in mediating cryptic female choice in zebrafish through its effects on sperm performance.

Our results of an effect of ovarian fluid on sperm traits are in line with previous findings in external and internal fertilizing species (e.g. Oliveira et al. 1999; den Boer et al. 2009; Rosengrave et al. 2009a; Gasparini et al. 2012). The observed decline of sperm motility and velocity over time was expected and confirms previous observations in this species (Wilson-Leedy and Ingermann 2007) and other externally fertilizing fish (e.g. Cosson et al. 2008; Fauvel et al. 2010). Nevertheless, the patterns of sperm performance (swimming velocity and trajectory) in water and ovarian fluid over time observed in our study differ from the patterns found in the majority of the other externally fertilizing fish. Our finding, that sperm velocity during the first 30 seconds post activation was higher in water than in the ovarian fluid, is the opposite pattern of what has been described in other species (e.g. Turner and Montgomerie 2002; Rosengrave et al 2009a; Galvano et al 2013). We found no difference also in the proportion of motile sperm between the two treatments during the first 30 seconds. At 30 seconds post activation, neither sperm velocity or proportion of motile sperm no longer differed between treatments, but later than 30 seconds post activation both sperm velocity and the proportion of motile sperm decreased more rapidly in water than in the ovarian fluid. Similarly, the enhancing effects of ovarian fluid on sperm movement were found most pronounced 20-30 seconds post activation also in the Atlantic cod, Gadus morhua (Litvak and Trippel 1998) and in the Arctic charr, S. alpinus (Turner and Montgomerie 2002).

299

300

301

302

303

298

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

In contrast to straight line velocity, BCF increased over time both, in water and in ovarian fluid, but the increase was faster in water. Similar patterns of flagellar movement in ovarian fluid have been described in the Chinook salmon, *O. tshawytscha* (Butts et al. 2017). One possible explanation is that a higher metabolic rate associated with faster swimming speed

results in a shorter sperm lifespan. As BCF is related to the sperm propulsive energy, a higher BCF may reflect a higher rate of energy consumption (Cosson et al. 2008; Butts et al. 2017) at the potential expense of longevity (Cosson 2010). The fact that we found sperm longevity to be reduced in water compared to ovarian fluid further supports this idea and is in line with the findings in other species (e.g. Turner and Montgomerie 2002; Elofsson et al. 2003; Elofsson et al. 2006; Rosengrave et al. 2009a). In addition, our results show that some males produce intrinsically longer-living sperm than other males, which may reflect differences in quality or condition among males. Alternatively, males may invest differentially in sperm quality traits according to their role in sperm competition (Oliveira et al. 2008; Taborsky and Brockmann 2010; Taborsky et al. 2018). Finally, we showed that sperm trajectories were more curvilinear in ovarian fluid compared to water. A more curvilinear path in the presence of ovarian fluid has also been reported in the Atlantic cod, G. morhua (Beirao et al. 2015), and in the Pacific herring, Clupea pallasi (Cherr et al. 2008). Interestingly, contrasting patterns have been reported in the rainbow trout, Oncorhynchus mykiss, the lake trout, Salvelinus namaycush, and the Chinook salmon, O. tshawytscha, where sperm tested in ovarian fluid exhibited a straighter path trajectory than in pure water (Dietrich et al. 2008; Galvano et al. 2013; Rosengrave et al. 2009a). One possible explanation for the observed differences in sperm motility in water and ovarian fluid is that water may induce a reaction in fish sperm similar to a chemotactic reaction described in marine invertebrate spermatozoa (Miller RL. 1985) or hyperactivation in mammalian sperm (Suarez and Ho 2003). Contact with water and the resulting sperm activation may translate into an initial burst of sperm velocity (VCL), coupled with an increased energy demand (as indicated by the sharp increase in BCF) and subsequent decrease in sperm lifespan (as indicated by both longevity and proportion of motile sperm).

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

This pattern would suggest that sperm velocity and longevity trade off against each other (Levitan 2000) and perhaps this trade-off may be different in males with different reproductive tactics. However, possible trade-offs between sperm traits require further investigation, as a previous study in the zebrafish found no evidence for a trade-off between sperm swimming velocity and longevity measured for the same sperm (Alavioon et al. 2017). In contrast, ovarian fluid did not cause an initial burst in sperm velocity and hence no abrupt increase in BCF and decreased longevity as observed in water. Ingermann et al (2011) suggested that the ionic composition of the ovarian fluid accounts for the enhanced duration of sperm motility in zebrafish, consistent with findings in the freshwater sculpin, Cottus gobio (Lahnsteiner et al. 1997) and the three-spined stickleback, Gasterosteus aculeatus (Elofsson et al. 2006). The different patterns of sperm motility we found in water and ovarian fluid may be adaptive and reflect the proximity of sperm to the eggs. In other words, when sperm are released further away from the eggs (where the concentration of ovarian fluid is low), it may be advantageous to swim faster and in a straight path to quickly reach the eggs before the fertilization window runs out. But when sperm are released closer to the eggs, for example when females are spawning with the preferred male, ovarian fluid may adaptively prolong sperm lifespan and decrease sperm linearity to increase sperm ability to find the micropyle and fertilize the eggs (Turner and Montgomerie 2002). Similar variation in sperm-egg distance may be the result of males assuming different spawning tactics, where sneaker males produce faster sperm, whereas dominant/territorial males produce long-living sperm (Burness et al. 2004; Neff et al. 2003; Taborsky et al. 2018). The pattern we found for the linearity of the swimming trajectory may also be a consequence of the physical characteristics of the medium and in particular of the viscosity ovarian fluid. Nevertheless, the fact that we found evidence of male x female interaction

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

also for linearity suggests that this aspect of sperm motility contributes to the fertilization process. Distinguishing between the different hypotheses outlined above needs further investigation. A possible next step would be to include a gradient of the ovarian fluid along which to assess sperm motility to better understand and interpret the observed swimming patterns.

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

352

353

354

355

356

The second important insight gained by our results is the evidence that ovarian fluid differentially influences sperm of different males as indicated by the significant male-byfemale interaction. This finding supports the idea that the ovarian fluid may play a role in cryptic female choice in this species. Ovarian fluid has been shown to mediate increased genetic compatibility among partners, which in turn affected offspring survival in mussels, M. galloprovincialis (Oliver and Evans 2014) and Chinook salmon, O. tshawytscha (Rosengrave et al. 2016). In addition, cryptic female choice by means of the ovarian fluid specifically decreases the risk of inbreeding in guppies, P. reticulata (Gasparini and Pilastro 2011), and may be a potential mechanism explaining effects on offspring survival in the lake trout, S. namaycush (Butts et al. 2012) and in the house mice, Mus domesticus (Firman and Simmons 2015). Genetic compatibility and inbreeding avoidance are possible evolutionary forces driving cryptic female choice also in zebrafish. This hypothesis could be tested by performing competitive fertilization trials among individuals with different degrees of genetic compatibility. Furthermore, ovarian fluid may also differently affect sperm from males with diverse social status (associated with diverse sperm traits, as in many species with alternative reproductive tactics, e.g. Alonzo et al. 2016; Taborsky et al. 2018). In zebrafish, bigger males exhibit territorial-like behaviour, and those males, that are preferred by females are more likely to release sperm closer to the eggs and hence the ovarian fluid

(Pyron 2003; Skinner and Watt 2007; Spence et al. 2007; Uusi-Heikkila et al. 2012). The differences in sperm longevity we found among males (indicated by the male effect in the main model, see table 1) may reflect a differential investment according to the male social environment. Earlier studies in the zebrafish showed that sperm traits vary with the intensity of male-male competition and male social status and affect the survival and performance of the resulting offspring (Zajitschek et al. 2014; Zajitschek et al. 2017), possibly due to the higher mutational load in sperm of stressed males (Silva et al. 2019). In addition, longer-lived sperm selected within ejaculates sire embryos with higher survival and adult fitness in zebrafish (Alavioon et al. 2019) and the ovarian fluid might be reinforcing selection for longer-lived sperm phenotypes, exacerbating the existing variation among males and possibly facilitating fertilization of the preferred/dominant male.

Despite increasing attention on ovarian fluid as a mediator of cryptic female choice, its proximate mechanisms remain elusive. Several of its components have been suggested to affect sperm performance such as egg-derived soluble factors, proteins, peptides, and RNAs, which may interact with proteins and peptides expressed on the sperm surface or in the seminal fluid (Kekäläinen and Evans 2018). Soluble factors released by eggs such as peptides secreted by egg jelly drive sperm chemoattraction in echinoderms (Darszon et al. 2004) and in several species of externally fertilizing fish (Yanagimachi et al. 1992). Similarly, in the mussel, *M. galloprovincialis*, differential attraction of sperm from the most compatible male is attributable to egg-derived chemical factors (Evans et al. 2012; Lymbery et al. 2017; Oliver and Evans 2014). In the zebrafish, follicles containing mRNA and serpin-type protease inhibitors have been found in the ovarian fluid (Knoll-Gellida et al. 2006; Minin and Ozerova 2015), and such inhibitors have been identified as mediators of post-mating mechanisms in

other species (Dosselli et al. 2018). In addition, the osmolality of the ovarian fluid is critical for sperm functionality in the fertilization micro-environment and is comparable to that found in salmonids (Wilson-Leedy et al. 2009). Interestingly, in the chinook salmon the ionic composition and viscosity of the ovarian fluid have been found to vary among different females with correlated effects on sperm motility and longevity (Rosengrave et al. 2009b). Finally, variations in the rheological properties (i.e. viscosity) of the ovarian fluid might contribute to explain the effects of ovarian fluid on sperm traits, selecting among sperm or ejaculates exhibiting different motility traits (Lauga et al. 2007; Rosengrave et al. 2009b). Future studies in this species will help shed light on both evolutionary patterns and proximate mechanisms of the ovarian fluid effects in post-mating sexual selection. The range of molecular tools developed for zebrafish will help reveal which molecular factors in the ovarian fluid affect the sperm traits tested here.

In conclusion, our findings add robust data to the growing body of evidence for the role of ovarian fluid in post-mating sexual selection as a means of cryptic female choice. We showed that the ovarian fluid of individual females differentially affects sperm of individual males. What we currently do not know is how important the effects of ovarian fluid are in relation to processes of sperm competition. Competitive trials will answer this question and provide insights into the fitness consequences of these effects. Importantly, our study adds new aspects of sperm trait analysis that have not been investigated in previous studies. By comparing sperm traits in water and ovarian fluid, we were able to show patterns of sperm activity decline over time that cannot be captured by analyses including only one time point. These patterns measured for several sperm swimming traits over time suggest possible trade-offs among those traits and variation in the response of sperm to different media may

indicate adaptive condition-dependent responses of sperm (and male) to their different roles in sperm competition. In particular, ovarian fluid may help to exacerbate differences in sperm motility according to both quality of males, compatibility between partners, and the distance where sperm are released compared to eggs.

429	FUNDING
430	
431	This work was supported by a grant from the Australian Research Council (Discovery Project
432	no. DP170100840).
433	
434	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS
435	
436	We thank Craig Purchase for his precious help with the CASA plugin for sperm velocity
437	assays. We are grateful to Ben Ezzy and Wendy Hopper for their help with fish maintenance
438	at the Western Australian Zebrafish Experimental Research Centre (WAZERC, University of
439	Western Australia).
440	
441	DATA ACCESSIBILITY
442	
443	Analyses reported in this article can be reproduced using the data provided by Poli, F (2018)
444	10.6084/m9.figshare.7770056.
445	
446	

447	REFERENCES
448	Alavioon G, Cabrera Garcia A, Maklakov A, Immler Maklakov S. 2019. Selection for longer-
449	lived sperm within ejaculate reduces reproductive ageing in offspring. Evol Lett. In
450	press.
451	Alavioon G, Hotzy C, Nakhro K, Rudolf S, Scofield DG, Zajitschek S, Maklakov AA, Immler S.
452	2017. Haploid selection within a single ejaculate increases offspring fitness. Proc Natl
453	Acad Sci USA. 114:8053-8058.
454	Alonzo SH, Stiver KA, Marsh-Rollo SE. 2016. Ovarian fluid allows directional cryptic female
455	choice despite external fertilization. Nat Commun. 7:12452.
456	Beirao J, Purchase CF, Wringe BF, Fleming IA. 2015. Inter-population ovarian fluid variation
457	differentially modulates sperm motility in Atlantic cod Gadus morhua. J Fish Biol.
458	87:54-68.
459	Bernasconi G, Hellriegel B, Heyland A, Ward PI. 2002. Sperm survival in the female
460	reproductive tract in the fly Scathophaga stercoraria (L.). J Insect Physiol.
461	1;48(2):197-203.
462	Birkhead TR, Møller AP. 1998. Sperm competition and sexual selection. New York: Academic.
463	Birkhead T, Møller A, Sutherland W. 1993. Why do females make it so difficult for males to
464	fertilize their eggs?. J Theor Biol. 161:51-60.
465	Birkhead TR, Pizzari T. 2002. Evolution of sex: postcopulatory sexual selection. Nat Rev
466	Genet. 3:262.
467	Burness G, Casselman SJ, Schulte-Hostedde Al, Moyes CD, Montgomerie R. 2004. Sperm
468	swimming speed and energetics vary with sperm competition risk in bluegill

(Lepomis macrochirus). Behav Ecol Sociobiol. 56:65-70.

470	Butts IA, Johnson K, Wilson CC, Pitcher TE. 2012. Ovarian fluid enhances sperm velocity
471	based on relatedness in lake trout, Salvelinus namaycush. Theriogenology. 78:2105-
472	2109 e2101.
473	Butts IAE, Prokopchuk G, Kaspar V, Cosson J, Pitcher TE. 2017. Ovarian fluid impacts flagellar
474	beating and biomechanical metrics of sperm between alternative reproductive
475	tactics. J Exp Biol. 220:2210-2217.
476	Cherr GN, Morisawa M, Vines CA, Yoshida Y, Smith EH, Matsubara T, Pillai M, Griffin FJ,
477	Yanagimachi R. 2008. Two egg-derived molecules in sperm motility initiation and
478	fertilization in the Pacific herring (Clupea pallasi). Int J Dev Biol. 52: 743-752.
479	Cosson J. 2010. Frenetic activation of fish spermatozoa flagella entails short-term motility,
480	portending their precocious decadence. J Fish Biol. 76:240-279.
481	Cosson J, Groison AL, Suquet M, Fauvel C, Dreanno C, Billard R. 2008. Studying sperm
482	motility in marine fish: an overview on the state of the art. J Appl Ichthyol. 24:460-
483	486.
484	Darszon A, Guerrero A, Galindo BE, Nishigaki T, Wood CD. 2004. Sperm-activating peptides
485	in the regulation of ion fluxes, signal transduction and motility. Int J Dev Biol. 52:595-
486	606.
487	den Boer SP, Boomsma JJ, Baer B. 2009. Honey bee males and queens use glandular
488	secretions to enhance sperm viability before and after storage. J Insect Physiol.
489	55:538-543.
490	Dietrich G, Wojtczak M, Słowińska M, Dobosz S, Kuźmiński H, Ciereszko A. 2008. Effects of
491	ovarian fluid on motility characteristics of rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss
492	Walbaum) spermatozoa. J Appl Ichthyol. 24:503-507.

493	Dosselli R, Grassl J, den Boer SP, Kratz M, Moran JM, Boomsma JJ, Baer B. 2018. Protein-
494	Level Interactions as Mediators of Sexual Conflict in Ants. Mol Cell Prot.
495	Eberhard W. 1996. Female control: sexual selection by cryptic female choice. Princeton
496	University Press.
497	Elofsson H, McAllister BG, Kime DE, Mayer I, Borg B. 2003. Long lasting stickleback sperm; is
498	ovarian fluid a key to success in fresh water?. J Fish Biol. 63:240-253.
499	Elofsson H, Van Look KJ, Sundell K, Sundh H, Borg B. 2006. Stickleback sperm saved by salt in
500	ovarian fluid. <i>J Exp Biol</i> . 209:4230-4237.
501	Engeszer RE, Patterson LB, Rao AA, Parichy DM. 2007. Zebrafish in the wild: a review of
502	natural history and new notes from the field. Zebrafish. 4:21-40.
503	Evans JP, Garcia-Gonzalez F, Almbro M, Robinson O, Fitzpatrick JL. 2012. Assessing the
504	potential for egg chemoattractants to mediate sexual selection in a broadcast
505	spawning marine invertebrate. <i>Proc Royal Soc B</i> . 279:2855-2861.
506	Evans JP, Marshall DJ, 2005. Male-by-female interactions influence fertilization success and
507	mediate the benefits of polyandry in the sea urchin Heliocidaris erythrogramma.
508	Evolution. 59:106-112.
509	Evans JP, Rosengrave P, Gasparini C, Gemmell NJ. 2013. Delineating the roles of males and
510	females in sperm competition. <i>Proc Royal Soc B</i> . 280:20132047-20132047.
511	Fauvel C, Suquet M, Cosson J. 2010. Evaluation of fish sperm quality. J Appl Ichthyol. 26:636-
512	643.
513	Firman RC, Gasparini C, Manier MK, Pizzari T. 2017. Postmating Female Control: 20 Years of
514	Cryptic Female Choice. <i>Trends Ecol Evol</i> . 32:368-382.
515	Firman RC, Simmons LW. 2015. Gametic interactions promote inbreeding avoidance in
516	house mice. Ecol Lett. 18:937-943.

517	Fitzpatrick JL, Lüpold S, 2014. Sexual selection and the evolution of sperm quality. Mol Hum
518	Repr. 20:1180-1189.
519	Friesen CR, Uhrig EJ, Mason RT, Brennan PL. 2016. Female behaviour and the interaction of
520	male and female genital traits mediate sperm transfer during mating. J Evol Biol.
521	29:952-964.
522	Galvano PM, Johnson K, Wilson CC, Pitcher TE, Butts IA. 2013. Ovarian fluid influences
523	sperm performance in lake trout, Salvelinus namaycush. Reprod Biol. 13:172-175.
524	Garcia-Gonzalez F, Evans JP. 2011. Fertilization success and the estimation of genetic
525	variance in sperm competitiveness. Evolution. 65:746-756.
526	Gasparini C, Andreatta G, Pilastro A. 2012. Ovarian fluid of receptive females enhances
527	sperm velocity. Naturwissenschaften. 99:417-420.
528	Gasparini C, Evans JP. 2013. Ovarian fluid mediates the temporal decline in sperm viability in
529	a fish with sperm storage. PLoS One. 8:e64431.
530	Gasparini C, Pilastro A. 2011. Cryptic female preference for genetically unrelated males is
531	mediated by ovarian fluid in the guppy. Proc Royal Soc B. 278:2495-2501.
532	Hagedorn M, Carter VL. 2011. Zebrafish reproduction: revisiting in vitro fertilization to
533	increase sperm cryopreservation success. PLoS One. 6:e21059.
534	Holman L, Snook RR. 2008. A sterile sperm caste protects brother fertile sperm from female-
535	mediated death in Drosophila pseudoobscura. Curr Biol. 18:292-296.
536	Ingermann RL, Schultz CL, Kanuga MK, Wilson-Leedy JG. 2011. Metabolism of motile
537	zebrafish sperm. Comp Biochem Physiol A Mol Integr Physiol. 158(4):461-7.
538	Jing R, Huang C, Bai C, Tanguay R, Dong Q. 2009. Optimization of activation, collection,
539	dilution, and storage methods for zebrafish sperm. Aquaculture. 290:165-171.

540	Kekäläinen J, Evans JP. 2018. Gamete-mediated mate choice: towards a more inclusive view
541	of sexual selection. <i>Proc Royal Soc B.</i> 285:20180836.
542	Knoll-Gellida A, André M, Gattegno T, Forgue J, Admon A, Babin PJ. 2006. Molecular
543	phenotype of zebrafish ovarian follicle by serial analysis of gene expression and
544	proteomic profiling, and comparison with the transcriptomes of other animals. BMC
545	Genomics. 7:46.
546	Lauga E, Brenner M, Stone H. 2007. Microfluidics: the no-slip boundary condition. In:
547	Springer handbook of experimental fluid mechanics. Springer. p. 1219-1240.
548	Lehnert SJ, Heath DD, Devlin RH, Pitcher TE. 2016. Post-spawning sexual selection in red and
549	white Chinook salmon (Oncorhynchus tshawytscha). Behav Ecol. 28:1-10.
550	Lahnsteiner F, Berger B, Weismann T, Patzner RA. 1997. Sperm structure and
551	motility of the freshwater teleost Cottus gobio. J Fish Biol. 50: 564–574.
552	Levitan DR. 2000. Sperm velocity and longevity trade off each other and influence
553	fertilization in the sea urchin Lytechinus variegatus. Proc Royal Soc B. 267:531-534.
554	Levitan DR. 2010. Sexual selection in external fertilizers. Evol behav ecol. 365-378.
555	Liberti J, Baer B, Boomsma JJ. 2016. Queen reproductive tract secretions enhance sperm
556	motility in ants. Biol lett. 12:20160722.
557	Litvak MK, Trippel EA. 1998. Sperm motility patterns of Atlantic cod (Gadus morhua) in
558	relation to salinity: effects of ovarian fluid and egg presence. Can J Fish Aquat Sci.
559	55(8):1871-7.
560	Lymbery RA, Kennington WJ, Evans JP. 2017. Egg chemoattractants moderate intraspecific
561	sperm competition. Evol Lett. 1:317-327.
562	Lynch M, Walsh B. 1998. Genetics and analysis of quantitative traits. Sunderland, MA:
563	Sinauer.

564 Miller RL. 1985. Sperm chemo-orientation in the metazoan. In: Metz CB, Monroy A, editors. 565 Biology of Fertilization, Vol 2. New Yor: Academic Press. pp. 275-337 566 Minin AA, Ozerova SG. 2015. Fish ovarian fluid contains protease inhibitors. Russ J Dev Biol. 567 46:33-37. 568 Neff BD, Fu P, Gross MR. 2003. Sperm investment and alternative mating tactics in bluegill 569 sunfish (Lepomis macrochirus). Behav Ecol. 14:634-641. 570 Oliveira RF, Taborsky M, Brockmann HJ. 2008. Alternative reproductive tactics: an 571 integrative approach: Cambridge University Press. 572 Oliveira R, Tomasi L, Rovasio R, Giojalas L. 1999. Increased velocity and induction of 573 chemotactic response in mouse spermatozoa by follicular and oviductal fluids. J 574 Reprod Fert. 115:23-27. Oliver M, Evans JP. 2014. Chemically moderated gamete preferences predict offspring 575 576 fitness in a broadcast spawning invertebrate. Proc Royal Soc B. 281:20140148. 577 Parker GA. 1970. Sperm competition and its evolutionary consequences in the insects. Biol 578 Rev. 45:525-567. 579 Parker GA. 2014. The sexual cascade and the rise of pre-ejaculatory (Darwinian) sexual 580 selection, sex roles, and sexual conflict. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol. a017509. 581 Parker GA, Pizzari T. 2010. Sperm competition and ejaculate economics. Biol Rev. 85:897-582 934. 583 Pizzari T, Parker GA. 2009. Sperm competition and sperm phenotype. In: Sperm biology. 584 Academic Press. p. 207-245. 585 Pyron M. 2003. Female preferences and male-male interactions in zebrafish (Danio rerio). Can J Zool. 81:122-125. 586

587	Paull GC, Filby AL, Giddins HG, Coe TS, Hamilton PB, Tyler CR. 2010. Dominance hierarchies
588	in zebrafish (Danio rerio) and their relationship with reproductive success. Zebrafish.
589	7:109-117.
590	Peretti AV, Eberhard WG. 2010. Cryptic female choice via sperm dumping favours male
591	copulatory courtship in a spider. J Evol Biol. 23:271-281.
592	Pizzari T, Birkhead T. 2000. Female feral fowl eject sperm of subdominant males. Nature.
593	405:787.
594	Purchase CF, Earle PT. 2012. Modifications to the image J computer assisted sperm analysis
595	plugin greatly improve efficiency and fundamentally alter the scope of attainable
596	data. J Appl Ichthyol. 28:1013-1016.
597	R Core Team. 2016. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation
598	for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.
599	Ribou A-C, Reinhardt K. 2012. Reduced metabolic rate and oxygen radicals production in
600	stored insect sperm. Proc Royal Soc B. 279:2196-2203.
601	Rosengrave P, Gemmell NJ, Metcalf V, McBride K, Montgomerie R. 2008. A mechanism for
602	cryptic female choice in chinook salmon. Behav Ecol. 19:1179-1185.
603	Rosengrave P, Montgomerie R, Gemmell N. 2016. Cryptic female choice enhances
604	fertilization success and embryo survival in chinook salmon. Proc Royal Soc B.
605	283:20160001.
606	Rosengrave P, Montgomerie R, Metcalf V, McBride K, Gemmell N. 2009a. Sperm traits in
607	Chinook salmon depend upon activation medium: implications for studies of sperm
608	competition in fishes. Can J Zool. 87:920-927.
609	Rosengrave P, Taylor H, Montgomerie R, Metcalf V, McBride K, Gemmell NJ. 2009b.
610	Chemical composition of seminal and ovarian fluids of chinook salmon

611	(Oncorhynchus tshawytscha) and their effects on sperm motility traits. Comp							
612	Biochem Physiol A Mol Integr Physiol. 152:123-129.							
613	Silva WTAF, Espinosa PS, Boix ST, Romero A, Devaux C, Durieux M, Torres MJ, Immler S							
614	2019. The effects of male social environment on sperm phenotype and genome							
615	integrity. J Evol Biol. In press.							
616	Simmons LW, Fitzpatrick JL. 2012. Sperm wars and the evolution of male fertility.							
617	Reproduction. 144:519-534.							
618	Skinner AM, Watt PJ. 2007. Strategic egg allocation in the zebra fish, Danio rerio. Behav Ecol.							
619	18:905-909.							
620	Snook RR. 2005. Sperm in competition: not playing by the numbers. Trends Ecol Evol. 20:46-							
621	53.							
622	Spence R, Ashton R, Smith C. 2007. Oviposition decisions are mediated by spawning site							
623	quality in wild and domesticated zebrafish, Danio rerio. Behaviour. 144:953-966.							
624	Spence R, Gerlach G, Lawrence C, Smith C. 2008. The behaviour and ecology of the							
625	zebrafish, Danio rerio. Biol Rev Camb Philos Soc. 83:13-34.							
626	Suarez SS, Ho HC, 2003. Hyperactivated motility in sperm. Reprod domest anim. 38:119-124.							
627	Taborsky M, Brockmann HJ. 2010. Alternative reproductive tactics and life history							
628	phenotypes. Animal behaviour: evolution and mechanisms: Springer. p. 537-586.							
629	Taborsky M, Schütz D, Goffinet O, van Doorn GS. 2018. Alternative male morphs solve							
630	sperm performance/longevity trade-off in opposite directions. Sci Adv.							
631	4(5):eaap8563.							
632	Thornhill R. 1983. Cryptic female choice and its implications in the scorpionfly Harpobittacus							
633	nigriceps. Am Nat. 122:765-788.							

634	Turner E, Montgomerie R. 2002. Ovarian fluid enhances sperm movement in Arctic charr.					
635	Fish Biol. 60:1570-1579.					
636	Urbach D, Folstad I, Rudolfsen G. 2005. Effects of ovarian fluid on sperm velocity in Arctic					
637	charr (Salvelinus alpinus). Behav Ecol Sociobiol. 57:438-444.					
638	Uusi-Heikkila S, Bockenhoff L, Wolter C, Arlinghaus R. 2012. Differential allocation by female					
639	zebrafish (Danio rerio) to different-sized malesan example in a fish species lacking					
640	parental care. PLoS One. 7:e48317.					
641	Watt PJ, Skinner A, Hale M, Nakagawa S, Burke T. 2011. Small Subordinate Male Advantage					
642	in the Zebrafish. Ethology. 117:1003-1008.					
643	Wilson-Leedy JG, Ingermann RL. 2007. Development of a novel CASA system based on open					
644	source software for characterization of zebrafish sperm motility parameters.					
645	Theriogenology. 67:661-672.					
646	Wilson-Leedy JG, Kanuga MK, Ingermann RL. 2009. Influence of osmolality and ions on the					
647	activation and characteristics of zebrafish sperm motility. Theriogenology. 71:1054-					
648	1062.					
649	Yeates SE, Diamond SE, Einum S, Emerson BC, Holt WV, Gage MJ. 2013. Cryptic choice of					
650	conspecific sperm controlled by the impact of ovarian fluid on sperm swimming					
651	behavior. Evolution. 67:3523-3536.					
652	Yanagimachi R, Cherr GN, Pillai MC, Baldwin JD. 1992. Factors Controlling Sperm Entry into					
653	the Micropyles of Salmonid and Herring Eggs:					
654	(fish/sperm/egg/micropyle/fertilization). Dev growth diff. 34:447-461.					
655	Zajitschek S, Herbert-Read J, Abbasi N, Zajitschek F, Immler S. 2017. Paternal personality and					
656	social status influence offspring activity. BMC Evol Biol. 17: 157.					

Zajitschek, S, Hotzy, C, Zajitschek, F & Immler, S. 2014. Short term variation in sperm
competition causes sperm mediated epigenetic effects on early offspring
performance in the zebrafish. *Proc R Soc Lond Ser B*. 281: 20140422.

662	FIGURE LEGENDS
663	
664	Figure 1
665	Schematic representation of the North Carolina II experiment used to test for interactions
666	between sperm and ovarian fluid (for clarity only one block is depicted). Ejaculates of two
667	males (MA and MB) were tested with the ovarian fluid (OF) of two females (FA and FB), with
668	two replicates for each male-female pair.
669	
670	Figure 2
671	Effect of ovarian fluid (solid line) compared to freshwater (dashed line) on different sperm
672	traits measured every ten seconds for the first minute after sperm activation (means \pm SE):
673	(A) sperm curvilinear velocity VCL, (B) sperm linearity LIN, (C) sperm beat-cross frequency
674	BFC, and (D) sperm motility, proportion of motile sperm.
675	
676	

677 TABLES AND TABLE LEGENDS

678

Table 1. Estimates and significance levels for random factors from linear mixed effect models: male ID, female ID and their interaction are shown for all sperm traits. D.f. = 21. The interacting effect of male x female ID was significant for all traits considered. Male ID had a significant effect on sperm longevity, indicating that some males produced intrinsically longer-lived sperm than other males.

Consume tracit	Male ID		Female ID		Male ID x Female ID	
Sperm trait	χ^2	Р	χ^2	Р	χ^2	Р
velocity	29.905	0.094	0.420	~1	41.475	0.005
linearity	5.809	0.999	5.523	0.999	39.264	0.009
motility	19.151	0.576	1.703	~1	34.193	0.035
beat cross frequency	24.245	0.281	1.890	~1	40.346	0.007
longevity	6.311	0.012	0.577	0.448	5.035	0.025