

https://doi.org/10.1093/plcell/koae154 Advance access publication 4 June 2024 Large-Scale Biology

The NRCO gene cluster of sensor and helper NLR immune receptors is functionally conserved across asterid plants

Toshiyuki Sakai, ^{1,2} Mauricio P. Contreras, ¹ Claudia Martinez-Anaya, ^{1,3} Daniel Lüdke, ¹ Sophien Kamoun, ^{1,*} Chih-Hang Wu, ^{1,4,*} and Hiroaki Adachi^{1,2,5,*}

¹The Sainsbury Laboratory, University of East Anglia, Norwich Research Park, Norwich NR4 7UH, UK

²Laboratory of Crop Evolution, Graduate School of Agriculture, Kyoto University, Mozume, Muko, Kyoto 617-0001, Japan

³Instituto de Biotecnología, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Cuernavaca, Morelos 62110, México

⁴Institute of Plant and Microbial Biology, Academia Sinica, Nankang, Taipei 11529, Taiwan

⁵JST-PRESTO, 4-1-8, Honcho, Kawaguchi, Saitama 332-0012, Japan

*Author for correspondence: sophien.kamoun@tsl.ac.uk (S.K.); wuchh@gate.sinica.edu.tw (C.-H.W.); adachi.hiroaki.3s@kyoto-u.ac.jp (H.A.)

The authors responsible for distribution of materials integral to the findings presented in this article in accordance with the policy described in the Instructions for Authors (https://academic.oup.com/plcell/pages/General-Instructions) are: Sophien Kamoun (sophien.kamoun@tsl.ac.uk) and Hiroaki Adachi (adachi.hiroaki.3s@ kyoto-u.ac.jp).

Abstract

Nucleotide-binding domain and leucine-rich repeat-containing receptor (NLR) proteins can form complex receptor networks to confer innate immunity. An NLR-REQUIRED FOR CELL DEATH (NRC) is a phylogenetically related node that functions downstream of a massively expanded network of disease resistance proteins that protect against multiple plant pathogens. In this study, we used phylogenomic methods to reconstruct the macroevolution of the NRC family. One of the NRCs, termed NRC0, is the only family member shared across asterid plants, leading us to investigate its evolutionary history and genetic organization. In several asterid species, NRC0 is genetically clustered with other NLRs that are phylogenetically related to NRC-dependent disease resistance genes. This prompted us to hypothesize that the ancestral state of the NRC network is an NLR helper–sensor gene cluster that was present early during asterid evolution. We provide support for this hypothesis by demonstrating that NRC0 is essential for the hypersensitive cell death that is induced by its genetically linked sensor NLR partners in 4 divergent asterid species: tomato (Solanum lycopersicum), wild sweet potato (*Ipomoea trifida*), coffee (*Coffea canephora*), and carrot (*Daucus carota*). In addition, activation of a sensor NLR leads to higher-order complex formation of its genetically linked NRC0, similar to other NRCs. Our findings map out contrasting evolutionary dynamics in the macroevolution of the NRC network over the last 125 million years, from a functionally conserved NLR gene cluster to a massive genetically dispersed network.

Introduction

Plants have evolved an effective innate immune system that is activated by immune receptors upon sensing diverse pathogen molecules in either the extracellular or the intracellular space of host cells. One such immune receptor is the nucleotide-binding domain and leucine-rich repeat (LRR)-containing receptor (NLR) family, composed of intracellular immune receptors recognizing pathogen-secreted proteins called "effectors" (Jones et al. 2016). The evolution of NLRs is marked by a continuous arms race between plants and pathogens, leading to the rapid evolution and diversification of NLR genes even at the intraspecific level (Van de Weyer et al. 2019; Lee and Chae 2020; Prigozhin and Krasileva 2021). NLRs are known as the most diverse protein family in flowering plants, as many plants have hundreds to thousands of diverse NLR genes in their genomes (Shao et al. 2016; Baggs et al. 2017; Kourelis et al. 2021). This diversification and expansion of NLR genes in plants possibly occurred through genome rearrangements such as gene duplication, deletion, and conversion, as well as point mutations and domain insertions (Barragan and Weigel 2021). As a consequence of these events, NLR genes in plant genomes are often found in clusters. For example, in Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana) accessions, 47% to 71% of NLR genes form NLR gene clusters in their genomes (Van de Weyer et al. 2019).

Clustered NLR genes tend to have higher nucleotide sequence diversity than nonclustered NLR genes in Arabidopsis (Van de Weyer et al. 2019), thereby providing a reservoir of genetic variation for novel immune specificities against fast-evolving pathogen effectors. Understanding the macroevolutionary dynamics of NLRs across diverse plant species is crucial not only for unraveling the molecular mechanisms underlying plant immunity but also for providing genetic resources of disease resistance traits for global food security.

NLR and NLR-related proteins are key components of innate immunity and nonself recognition not only in plants but also in animals, fungi, and bacteria (Jones et al. 2016; Uehling et al. 2017; Kibby et al. 2023). Plant NLRs have a shared domain architecture of a central nucleotide-binding domain with an APAF-1, various R proteins, a CED-4 (NB-ARC) domain, and a C-terminal LRR domain (Kourelis et al. 2021). At the N-terminal region of plant NLRs, there is a variable domain that can be a coiled-coil (CC) or a toll/interleukin-1 receptor (TIR) domain. Based on the N-terminal domains, plant NLRs are broadly classified into 4 subfamilies: CC-NLRs (CNLs), G10-type CC-NLRs (CC_{G10}-NLRs), RESISTANCE TO POWDERY MILDEW 8 (RPW8)-type CC-NLRs (CC_R-NLRs or RNLs), and TIR-NLRs (TNLs; Lee et al. 2021). Phylogenomic studies revealed that the most widely conserved

Received November 13, 2023. Accepted May 1, 2024

[©] The Author(s) 2024. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of American Society of Plant Biologists.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

IN A NUTSHELL

Background: In plants, nucleotide-binding leucine-rich repeat receptors (NLRs) generally exhibit hallmarks of rapid evolution, even at the intraspecific level. While some plant NLRs operate as singletons, many have functionally specialized to recognize pathogen effectors (sensor NLRs) or to activate immune responses (helper NLRs). Interestingly, these functionally specialized NLRs often form gene pairs or clusters in plant genomes and function together in immune activation. In solanaceous plants, the NLR-REQUIRED FOR CELL DEATH (NRC) family genes form complex immune receptor networks composed of genetically dispersed sensor and helper NLRs.

Question: Can we trace an evolutionary trajectory of NRC networks evolved across asterid and other Solanaceae-related plant species?

Findings: We conducted phylogenomic analyses to reconstruct the macroevolution of the NRC family. Among the NRCs, NRC0 stands out as the only family member that has remained conserved across asterid species. NRC0 orthologs often form gene pairs or clusters with sensor NLR subclade genes. We experimentally validated the functional connections within NRC0 gene clusters for four distantly related asterid species. Our findings revealed that NRC0 is essential for the hypersensitive cell death response triggered by its genetically linked sensor NLR. In addition, activation of a sensor NLR induces high-order complex formation of its genetically linked NRC0. We propose that NRC0 emerged early in asterid evolution, and the NRC0 sensor-helper gene cluster may reflect an ancestral state predating the massively expanded immune receptor networks in the Solanaceae and related asterid species.

Next steps: It is crucial to identify the type of pathogen effectors recognized by the NRCO sensor-helper pairs. Furthermore, elucidating the protein structure of these NRCO pairs and understanding the determinants of functional specificity between NRCO sensor-helper proteins are important unanswered questions to map out the evolution of activation mechanisms of plant NLRs.

CC-NLR gene across flowering plant (angiosperm) species is HOPZ-ACTIVATED RESISTANCE1 (ZAR1), which was initially identified in Arabidopsis (Gong et al. 2022; Adachi et al. 2023). As there is no NLR gene genetically clustered to the ZAR1 locus across angiosperm species, ZAR1 is defined as a genetic singleton NLR gene throughout its evolution, and indeed, the ZAR1 protein functions as a singleton NLR that does not appear to require other NLRs to activate immunity (Adachi et al. 2023).

Upon effector recognition through its LRR domain and partner receptor-like cytoplasmic kinases, activated ZAR1 forms a homopentameric complex called "resistosome," which functions as a calcium ion (Ca²⁺) channel at the plasma membrane, resulting in induction of the hypersensitive cell death immune response (Wang et al. 2019a, 2019b; Bi et al. 2021). To make a pore on the plasma membrane and cause Ca^{2+} influx, the ZAR1 resistosome exposes a funnel-shaped structure formed by its first α helix (α 1 helix) of the N-terminal CC domain (Wang et al. 2019a; Bi et al. 2021). The α 1 helix is defined as the "MADA motif" that is conserved in about 20% of CC-NLRs from dicot and monocot species (Adachi et al. 2019a). The MADA sequence is functionally interchangeable between dicot and monocot CC-NLRs, suggesting a conserved immune activation mechanism by MADA-type CC-NLRs across angiosperms. Indeed, upon effector perception, the MADA-type CC-NLR Sr35 in wheat (Triticum monococcum) forms the homo-oligomerized resistosome complex and causes Ca²⁺ influx (Förderer et al. 2022).

Although some plant NLRs operate as singletons, many plant NLRs are functionally specialized to recognize pathogen effectors (sensor NLRs) or to activate immune responses (helper NLRs, also known as executor NLRs; Adachi et al. 2019b; Kourelis and Adachi 2022). Interestingly, these functionally specialized NLRs often form gene pairs or clusters in plant genomes and function together in immune activation and regulation. For example, in Arabidopsis, RESISTANCE TO RALSTONIA SOLANACEARUM1 (RRS1)/RESISTANCE TO PSEUDOMONAS SYRINGAE 4 (RPS4) (Narusaka et al. 2009; Le Roux et al. 2015; Sarris et al. 2015), Chilling Sensitive 1 (CHS1)/Suppressors of chs1-2, 3 (SOC3) and TIR-NB 2 (TN2) (Zhang et al. 2017; Liang et al. 2019),

CONSTITUTIVE SHADE-AVOIDANCE 1 (CSA1)/CHILLING SENSITIVE 3 (CHS3) (Xu et al. 2015; Yang et al. 2022), and SUPRESSOR OF NPR1, CONSTITUTIVE 1 (SNC1)/SIDEKICK SNC1 1 (SIKIC1), SIKIC2, and SIKIC3 (Dong et al. 2018) form genetically linked pairs or clusters that function together in immune responses. In rice (Oryza sativa), RESISTANCE GENE ANALOG 5 (RGA5)/RGA4 and PYRICULARIA ORYZAE RESISTANCE K-1 (Pik-1)/Pik-2 are 2 sensorhelper NLR pairs that are found in head-to-head orientation in the genome (Césari et al. 2014; Maqbool et al. 2015; Shimizu et al. 2022; Sugihara et al. 2023).

In addition to NLR pairs, genetically dispersed NLRs often function together and form complex immune receptor networks. In solanaceous plants, CC-NLR proteins known as NLR-REQUIRED FOR CELL DEATH (NRC) function as helper NLRs (NRC-H) for multiple sensor NLRs (NRC-S) to mediate immune responses and to confer disease resistance against diverse pathogens (Wu et al. 2017). Although NRC-H and NRC-S genes are phylogenetically linked and form a hugely expanded NRC superclade, NRC-H and NRC-S genes are scattered throughout the genome of solanaceous plants (Wu et al. 2017). Recent biochemical and cell biology studies revealed that activated NRC-S induces homo-oligomerization of NRC-H, and activated NRC-H forms punctate structures at the plasma membrane (Duggan et al. 2021; Ahn et al. 2023; Contreras et al. 2023a, 2023b). This suggests that in NRC networks, NRC-H proteins activated by NRC-S presumably trigger immune responses at the plasma membrane, as is the case in the ZAR1 resistosome model. Consistent with this view, NRC-H in the NRC superclade has the MADA motif at its N termini (Adachi et al. 2019a). In contrast, the N termini of NRC-S genes have diversified and/or acquired additional extension domains prior to the CC domain (Adachi et al. 2019a; Seong et al. 2020). Based on these findings, a current evolutionary model of the NRC network is that NRC-H and NRC-S evolved from a multifunctional singleton NLR and have functionally specialized into helper and sensor NLRs throughout evolution (Adachi et al. 2019b; Adachi and Kamoun 2022).

In a previous study, the NRC network was proposed to have evolved from a sensor-helper gene cluster (Wu et al. 2017).

Outside of the asterid lineages, the Caryophyllales species sugar beet (Beta vulgaris) genome encodes 1 NRC-H and 2 NRC-S genes that form a gene cluster (Wu et al. 2017). Therefore, the NRC superclade presumably emerged from a pair of genetically linked NLRs about 100 million years ago (mya) before the asterids and Caryophyllales lineages split. However, our knowledge of how NRC networks evolved across asterid and other Solanaceae-related plant species remains limited. In particular, the evolutionary dynamics of the NRC-Hs across the asterids have not been studied in detail. Here, we show that NRCO is the only NRC-H family member that has remained conserved across asterid species. NRCO orthologs form gene pairs or clusters with gene(s) from the NRC-S clade and are widely distributed in Cornales, campanulids, and lamiids. We experimentally validated the functional connections between NRC0 and genetically linked NRC-S (NRCO-S) in the Nicotiana benthamiana model system. Furthermore, activation of a tomato NRCO-S resulted in highorder complex formation of its genetically linked NRC0 similar to the oligomerization observed for other NRCs (Ahn et al. 2023; Contreras et al. 2023a, 2023b). We propose that the NRCO sensor-helper gene cluster may reflect an ancestral state of the NRC network; NRC0 emerged early in asterid evolution and has massively expanded into immune receptor networks in the Solanaceae and related asterid species. This study highlights contrasting evolutionary dynamics between the genetically and the functionally linked NRCO gene cluster and the massively expanded and genetically dispersed NRC network of lamiid plants.

Results

NRC0 is the most conserved NRC-H gene in asterids

We hypothesized that the most conserved NRC gene across asterid species is most likely to reflect an ancestral state of the expanded NRC networks. To determine the distribution of NRC-H genes across plant species, we first annotated NLR genes from reference genome databases of 6 representative plant species from asterids: carrot (Daucus carota, DCAR-), monkey flower (Mimulus guttatus, Migut-), coffee (Coffea canephora, Cc-), wild sweet potato (Ipomoea trifida, itf-), N. benthamiana (NbS-), and tomato (Solanum lycopersicum, Solyc-) by using the NLRtracker pipeline (Kourelis et al. 2021; Supplementary File S1). To classify NRC superclade genes from the asterid NLRome dataset, we performed a phylogenetic analysis using the NB-ARC domain sequences of 1,661 annotated NLRs and 39 functionally validated NLRs (Fig. 1A). In total, we identified 83 NRC-H genes from 6 plant species (1 gene from carrot; 11 genes from monkey flower; 13 genes from coffee; 36 genes from wild sweet potato; 13 genes from N. benthamiana; and 9 genes from tomato; Supplementary Fig. S1). While most of the NRC-H forms plant-lineage-specific subclades or clusters with previously defined Solanaceae (N. benthamiana and tomato) NRC-H subclade, there is 1 unique NRC-H subclade containing NRC-H sequences derived from 4 different plant species, carrot, coffee, wild sweet potato, and tomato (Fig. 1A; Supplementary Fig. S1). We named the subclade NRC0, with each of the 4 species having 1 or 2 NRC0 orthologous genes (DCAR_023561, Cc11_g06560, itf14g00240, itf14g00270, Solyc10g008220; Supplementary Data Set 1). In contrast to the 4 species, NRCO is not found in monkey flower and N. benthamiana.

To further evaluate NRCO conservation relative to other NRC-H, we used a phylogenetic tree of 805 NLR genes including NRC-H $\,$

and NRC-S from the 6 asterid species (carrot, monkey flower, coffee, wild sweet potato, N. *benthamiana*, and tomato) and 9 functionally validated NRCs to calculate the phylogenetic (patristic) distance between each of the 72 tomato NRC-H/-S and their closest neighbor gene from each of the other plant species. We found that NRC0 displays the shortest patristic distance to its orthologs compared with other NRCs (Fig. 1B). These phylogenetic analyses suggest that NRC0 is possibly the most widely conserved NRC-H gene in asterids.

Comparative analyses of NLR genes across asterid genomes identify a conserved NRCO gene cluster of candidate sensors and helpers

Plant sensor and helper NLRs often function in genetically linked pairs, while solanaceous NRCs such as NRC2, NRC3, and NRC4 form phylogenetically related but genetically dispersed NLR networks (Wu et al. 2017). To determine the degree to which helper NLRs, including NRC0, form NLR gene clusters in the genome, we conducted a gene cluster analysis of whole NLRomes annotated from 4 asterid species, carrot, coffee, wild sweet potato, and tomato. In this analysis, we extracted genetically linked NLRs that have genetic distances <50 kb. The gene cluster information was mapped onto the NLR phylogenetic tree of the 4 plant species. In total, we found 1,146 genetically linked gene pairs in NLRomes of the 4 plant species (141 gene clusters in carrot, 324 gene clusters in coffee, 536 gene clusters in wild sweet potato, and 145 gene clusters in tomato; Supplementary Fig. S2 and Data Sets 2 and 3).

In the NRC superclade, 438 genetically linked gene pairs exist in the genomes (3 gene clusters in carrot, 45 gene clusters in coffee, 347 gene clusters in wild sweet potato, and 43 gene clusters in tomato; Fig. 2A; Supplementary Data Sets 2 and 3). Out of 438 gene pairs, 378 are NRC-S gene pairs and 47 are NRC-H gene pairs. Notably, there are 13 genetically linked gene pairs that consist of both NRC-H and NRC-S genes (Fig. 2A). Among them, only 6 gene pairs are conserved in the 4 plant species and are formed by NRC0 and NRC-S clade genes (DCAR_023560 and DCAR_023561, Cc11_g06550 and Cc11_g06560, itf14g00240 and itf14g00250, itf14g00250 and itf14g00270, Solyc10g008220 and Solyc10g008230, Solyc10g008220 and Solyc10g008240) (Fig. 2, A and B). Although we found 7 additional gene clusters (6 gene clusters in wild sweet potato, 1 gene cluster in tomato), these gene clusters appeared to be plant lineage specific (Fig. 2A; Supplementary Data Set 2). CC_R-NLRs, ACTIVATED DISEASE RESISTANCE 1 (ADR1) and N REQUIREMENT GENE 1 (NRG1), are also known as conserved helper subfamily genes (Shao et al. 2016; Baggs et al. 2020; Liu et al. 2021). We noted that ADR1 and NRG1 in carrot, coffee, wild sweet potato, and tomato form gene clusters with their paralogs but not with genes from other NLR subfamilies (Supplementary Fig. S2 and Data Sets 2 and 3). Taken together, these findings suggest that NRCO stands out as a widely conserved gene cluster of candidate helper-sensor NLRs in asterids.

The NRCO gene cluster predates the NRC expansion in lamiids

To further examine the distribution of the NRCO gene cluster across plant species, we searched for NRCO orthologs by running a 2-stage computational pipeline based on iterated BLAST searches of plant genome and protein databases and phylogenetic analysis (Fig. 3A). First, we defined NRC-H genes as NRCO orthologs if the NRC-H genes belong to a phylogenetically well-supported clade with NRCO from carrot, coffee, wild sweet potato, and tomato (Fig. 3, A and B). Based on this definition, we identified 40 NRCO orthologs from 27



Figure 1. NRC0 is the most conserved helper clade NRC in asterids. **A)** A phylogeny of NLRs identified from asterids (carrot, monkey flower, coffee, wild sweet potato, *N. benthamiana*, and tomato). The phylogenetic unrooted tree was generated in RAxML version 8.2.12 with the Jones-Taylor-Thornton (JTT) model using NB-ARC domain sequences of 1,661 NLRs identified from carrot, monkey flower, coffee, wild sweet potato, *N. benthamiana*, and tomato or eference genome by using the NLRtracker and 39 functionally validated NLRs. The scale bar indicates the evolutionary distance in amino acid substitution per site. In the top left phylogenetic tree, the NRC superclades are described with different branch color codes. The bottom left phylogenetic tree describes the NRC-H subclade with different color codes based on plant species. The red arrow heads indicate bootstrap support >0.7 and are shown for the relevant nodes. **B)** The phylogenetic distance of 2 NRC-H and NRC-S nodes between tomato and other plant species. The phylogenetic color sased on plant species in the same way as **A**. Representative tomato NRC-Hs are highlighted. The most conserved NRC-H is highlighted by a black oval.

asterid species that classified in the NRC0 phylogenetic subclade (Fig. 3, A and B; Supplementary Data Set 1). In the second stage, we applied gene cluster analysis with a cutoff (genetic distances <50 kb) to identify NLR genes that are genetically linked to the obtained NRC0 orthologs (Fig. 3A). The 50 kb distance cutoff between adjacent NLRs was set by following the gene cluster analysis of Arabidopsis NLRome (Lee and Chae 2020). Among the 40 NRC0 ortholog genes, 20 NRC0 genes from 17 species are genetically linked with 23 NLR genes in NRC-S subclades (Fig. 3, A and B).

Based on our criteria for the NRCO phylogenetic and genetic cluster, NRCO orthologs and their genetically linked NLRs (referred to as NRCO-dependent sensor candidates: NRCO-S) are widely distributed in asterids, Cornales, campanulids, and lamiids but not

found in Ericales (Fig. 4A; Supplementary Data Set 1). Overall, these results suggest that the NRCO gene cluster emerged early in the asterid lineage.

In addition to the gene distribution analysis of NRC0, we investigated the number of other NRC-H and NRC-S genes across asterid species. We used NLRtracker to annotate NLR genes from 32 asterid, 1 Caryophyllales, and 1 Santalales species, and classified NRC-H and NRC-S genes based on phylogenetic analysis. We found NRC-H and NRC-S are drastically expanded in lamiids, compared with other plant orders in asterids (Fig. 4B; Supplementary Data Set 4). In Cornales, Ericales, and campanulids, the number of NRC-H genes ranges from 1 to 8 and that of NRC-S genes ranges from 0 to 31 across species in annotations and gene definitions



Figure 2. NRC0 forms a conserved gene cluster with members of the NRC-S clade in asterids. **A)** A phylogeny of NRC family genes from carrot, coffee, wild sweet potato, and tomato with NLR gene cluster information. The phylogenetic unrooted tree was generated in RAxML version 8.2.12 with the JTT model using NB-ARC domain sequences of 513 NRCs identified in Fig. 1. The scale bar indicates the evolutionary distance in amino acid substitution per site. The NRC subclades are described with different background colors. The connected lines between nodes indicate genetically linked NLRs (distance < 50 kb) with different colors based on plant species. The genetic link between NRC0 and NRC-S is highlighted. **B)** A schematic representation of NRC0 loci in carrot, coffee, wild sweet potato, and tomato. The red, blue, and gray arrows indicate NRC0, NRC-S genetically linked with NRC0, and other genes, respectively. The red and blue bands indicate phylogenetically related genes.

used (Fig. 4B; Supplementary Data Set 4). Notably, a Cornales species, Cornus florida, has 2 NRC0 genes (XP_059649788.1 and XP_059645235.1), but no other NRC-H (Fig. 4B). Although the Cor. florida NRC0 genes are not genetically clustered with NRC-S genes within <50 kb genetic distance, 8 and 4 NRC-S genes are located on the same scaffolds with XP_059649788.1 and XP_059645235.1, respectively (Supplementary Fig. S3). In lamiids, the number of NRC-H and NRC-S genes ranges from 7 to 39 and from 43 to 357, respectively (Fig. 4B; Supplementary Data Set 4). Taken together, the expansion of NRC genes occurred primarily in lamiid species, but not in other asterid plants.

NRCO orthologs carry the N-terminal sequence pattern required for cell death responses

In the Solanaceae NRC network, the MADA motif remains at the very N terminus of NRC-H, whereas NRC-S has distinct sequences at its N-terminal region (Adachi et al. 2019a). Therefore, we hypothesized that NRC0 orthologs carry the MADA motif at their N termini for induction of cell death responses. To test this, we first ran MEME (Multiple EM for Motif Elicitation; Bailey and Elkan 1994) to search for conserved sequence patterns among the 40 NRC0 orthologs and 23 NRC0-S. All NRC0 and 10 NRC0-S carry typical CC-NB-LRR domain architecture, while 13 NRC0-S lack either



Figure 3. Phylogenomic analyses identify 40 NRC0 orthologs from 27 asterid species that are linked to 23 NRC0-S in 17 species. **A)** A workflow for computational analyses in searching NRC0 orthologs and NRC0-S candidates. TBLASTN/BLASTP searches and subsequent phylogenetic analyses were performed to identify NRC0 orthologs from plant genome/proteome datasets. We extracted NRC0-S candidates by performing a gene cluster analysis, using the NLRtracker (Kourelis et al. 2021), and conducting a phylogenetic analysis. **B)** NRC0 orthologs exist in a subclade of the NRC-H clade. The phylogenetic unrooted tree was generated in RAXML version 8.2.12 with the JTT model using NB-ARC domain sequences of NRC0, NRC0-S, 15 functionally validated CC-NLRs, and 1,194 CC-NLRs identified from 6 representative asterids: Ny. sinensis, Cam. sinensis, Cy. cardunculus, D. carota, Se. indicum, and S. lycopersicum. The scale bar indicates the evolutionary distance in amino acid substitution per site. The red and blue branches indicate bootstrap support >0.7 and are shown for the relevant nodes.

the CC or the LRR domain (Supplementary Data Set 1). None of the NRCO or NRCO-S was annotated with integrated domains that can be found in sensor NLRs for effector recognition (Fig. 5; Supplementary Data Set 1).

A MEME analysis revealed 20 conserved sequence motifs that span across the NRC0 orthologs and 20 conserved sequence motifs that span across NRC0-S (Fig. 5; Supplementary Tables S1 and S2). Within the MEME motifs, 5th and 13th MEME in the NB-ARC domain of NRC0 and 5th and 11th MEME in the NB-ARC domain of NRC0-S match the p-loop and MHD motifs that

coordinate binding and hydrolysis of ATP (Fig. 5). Notably, in NRC0 and NRC0-S, we detected a MEME motif that is positioned at the very N terminus where the MADA motif is generally found (Fig. 5). Next, we used the HMMER software (Eddy 1998) to query the NRC0 orthologs and NRC0-S with a previously developed MADA motifhidden Markov model (MADA-HMM; Adachi et al. 2019a). This HMMER search detected the MADA motif in 90% (36/40) of NRC0 orthologs but in none of the NRC0-S (0/23) (Supplementary Data Set 1). Indeed, the sequence patterns of the N termini are different between NRC0 and NRC0-S. Instead of the MADA sequence, NRC0-S



⊢ Tree scale 20

Figure 4. The NRCO gene cluster predates the massively expanded NRC network of lamiids. A) A phylogeny of NRC-H subfamily defines NRCO orthologs and other NRCs. The phylogenetic unrooted tree was generated in RAxML version 8.2.12 with the JTT model using full-length amino acid sequences of 80 NRC-Hs. The scale bar indicates the evolutionary distance in amino acid substitution per site. The phylogenetically well-supported clade (bootstrap value >70) containing NRCO from Cornales, campanulids, and lamiids is defined as the NRCO subclade. B) Distribution of the number of NRC genes across asterids. The left phylogenetic tree of plant species was extracted from a previous study (Smith and Brown 2018). The scale bar indicates branch length in million years ago. The columns on the right indicate the number of NRCO, other NRC-H and NRCO-S genes, and other NRC-S genes from 32 asterid, 1 Caryophyllales, and 1 Santalales species. In phylogenetic trees, the branch (A) and background (B) colors indicate plant orders.

members have the "MAHAAVVSLxQKLxx" sequence at their N termini (Fig. 5).

To further investigate sequence conservation and variation among NRC0 and NRC0-S, we used ConSurf (Ashkenazy et al. 2016) to calculate a conservation score for each amino acid and generate a diversity barcode for NRCO and NRCO-S, respectively (Fig. 5). NRCO orthologs have highly conserved amino acid sequences across their entire domains and display a few variable regions (VRs) at the



Figure 5. NRC0 orthologs, but not their genetically linked sensors, carry the N-terminal MADA motif required for hypersensitive cell death response. A schematic representation of conserved sequence patterns across NRC0 orthologs and NRC0 sensor candidates (NRC0-S). Consensus sequence patterns were identified by using MEME with amino acid sequences of 40 NRC0 orthologs and 23 NRC0-S, respectively. Conservation and variation of each amino acid among NRC0 orthologs and NRC0-S were calculated based on amino acid alignment via the ConSurf server (https://consurf.tau.ac.il). The conservation scores were mapped onto each amino acid position in tomato NRC0 (XP_004248175.2) and tomato NRC0-S (XP_004248174.1).

middle of the CC domain (VR1), junction of the NB-ARC and LRR domains (VR2), and C-terminal end of the LRR domain (VR3; Fig. 5). In the case of NRC0-S, the amino acid sequence is variable in the CC and LRR domains (VR1 \sim VR4), although the NB-ARC domain sequence is highly conserved across NRC0-S members (Fig. 5).

Taken together, NRCO orthologs carry highly conserved sequences throughout the full protein and display a canonical N-terminal MADA motif. In contrast, NRCO-S sequences tend to be more variable especially in the CC and in parts of the LRR domains and lack a typical MADA motif.

NRC0 are helper NLRs functionally connected with their genetically linked NLR sensors

Since NRC0, but not NRC0-S, carries the MADA motif at its N termini, we hypothesized that NRC0 functions as a helper and NRC0-S relies on its genetic partner NRC0 to trigger immune responses. To experimentally validate this hypothesis, we first cloned NRC0 and NRC0-S genes from carrot (DcNRC0:

DCAR_023561, DcNRC0-S: DCAR_023560), coffee (CcNRC0: Cc11_g06560, CaNRC0-S: XM_027242939.1 in *Coffea arabica*, orthologous gene to Cc11_g06550), wild sweet potato (ItNRC0a: itf14g00240, ItNRC0b: itf14g00270, ItNRC0-S: itf14g00250), and tomato (SlNRC0: Solyc10g008220, SlNRC0-Sa: Solyc10g008230, SlNRC0-Sb: Solyc10g008240) as wild-type sequences (referred to as NRC0^{WT} or NRC0-S^{WT}; Fig. 6A). We introduced an aspartic acid (D) to valine (V) mutation in the MHD motif to generate autoactive mutants of each NLR (referred to as NRC0^{DV} or NRC0-S^{DV}) and tested the autoactive cell death activity in N. *benthamiana*, a species that does not have NRC0 in its genome (Fig. 4B).

Interestingly, 4 out of 5 tested NRC0, DcNRC0, CcNRC0, ItNRC0b, and SlNRC0 caused macroscopic cell death in *N. benthamiana* leaves when expressed as MHD mutants, but the NRC0-S did not (Fig. 6, B and C). As a control, we expressed the *N. benthamiana* NRC-H NRC4 MHD mutant NRC4^{D478V} (referred to as NRC4^{DV}) that causes autoactive cell death (Adachi et al. 2019a; Fig. 6, B and C). Although we occasionally observed weak



Figure 6. NRC0 is required for the genetically linked NRC-S to trigger the hypersensitive cell death response in N. *benthamiana*. **A)** A schematic representation of NRC0 loci in carrot, coffee, wild sweet potato, and tomato. **B)** Wild-type NRC0, NRC0-S, NRC4, and the MHD mutants were expressed in N. *benthamiana* leaves by agroinfiltration. Cell death phenotype was recorded 5 d after the agroinfiltration. **C)** Violin plots showing cell death intensity scored as an hypersensitive response (HR) index based on 18 replicates (different leaves from independent plants) in 3 independent experiments of **B**. Each experiment is visualized with different dot colors. **D)** Representative images of autoactive cell death after a coexpression of wild-type NRC0 (NRC0^{WT}) and MHD mutants of the NRC0 sensor (NRC0-S^{DV}) in the N. *benthamiana nrc2 nrc3 nrc4* mutant line. Empty vector (EV), wild-type NRC4 (NRC4^{WT}) and the MHD mutant of sensor Rx (Rx^{DV}) were used as controls. Photographs were taken at 5 d after agroinfiltration. **E)** Violin plots showing cell death intensity scored as an HR index based on 12 replicates (different leaves from independent plants) in 2 independent experiments of **D**. Each experiment is visualized with different dot colors.

cell death by expressing DcNRC0-S^{DV} and SlNRC0-Sb^{DV}, there was no visible cell death when expressing the majority of the DcNRC0-S^{DV} and SlNRC0-Sb^{DV} constructs, similar to the other NRC0-S (Fig. 6, B and C). This result suggests that the MADA-type CC-NLR NRC0 but not NRC0-S has the capacity to trigger hypersensitive cell death by itself.

Our observation that NRCO-S genes are genetically clustered with helper NRCO genes prompted us to determine whether

NRC0-S functionally connects with NRC0. To test this, we expressed NRC0-S MHD mutants with or without their genetically linked wild-type NRC0 in the *nrc2 nrc3 nrc4* knockout *N. benthamiana* line. Notably, we observed that some NRC0-S MHD mutants showed macroscopic cell death in the presence of their genetically linked NRC0 (Fig. 6, D and E). For instance, a coexpression of DcNRC0-S^{DV} and DcNRC0^{WT}, CaNRC0-S^{DV} and CcNRC0^{WT}, ItNRC0-S^{DV} and ItNRC0b^{WT}, SINRC0-Sa^{DV} and SINRC0^{WT} triggered

a cell death response (Fig. 6, D and E). In this experiment, Rx was used as a control of NRC-dependent sensor NLR functioning with NRC-H NRC2, NRC3, and NRC4 (Wu et al. 2017). NRC4 expression complemented the cell death response triggered by an autoactive MHD mutant of Rx (Rx^{D460V}; Bendahmane et al. 2002) in the *nrc2 nrc3 nrc4* knockout line (Fig. 6, D and E).

To further determine whether the NRCO/NRCO-S pair functions with other sensor or helper NLRs in the cell death response, we first validated functional connections between SINRCO and 6 representative NRC-S, Gpa2, and Prf (NRC2/NRC3-dependent sensor NLRs), R1 and Rpi-blb2 (NRC4-dependent sensor NLRs), and Rx and Sw-5b (NRC2/NRC3/NRC4-dependent sensor NLRs; Wu et al. 2017) in the N. benthamiana nrc2 nrc3 nrc4 knockout line. To activate the sensor NLRs, we coexpressed cognate effector genes with avirulence (AVR) activity or used the autoactive MHD mutant of Sw-5b (Sw-5b^{D857V}; Derevnina et al. 2021). In contrast to SINRCO-S, none of the 6 sensor NLRs signal through SINRCO to trigger significant cell death response (Supplementary Fig. S4; Huang et al. 2023). SINRC3 and SINRC4 were used as controls and complemented cell death response triggered by Gpa2, Prf, Rx, and Sw-5b^{D857V}, and R1 and Rpi-blb2, respectively (Supplementary Fig. S4). In this experiment, we observed mild autoactive cell death when Gpa2/SlNRC3 and Rx/SlNRC3 pairs were coexpressed in the absence of cognate AVRs (Supplementary Fig. S4, A, B, E, and F).

Next, we tested 9 tomato NRCs, SINRC1, SINRC2, SINRC3, SINRC4a, SINRC4b, SINRC4c, SINRC5, SINRC6, and SINRC7, in the coexpression experiment with SINRC0-S^{DV}. SINRC0-Sa, SINRC0, and 6 other NRCs (SINRC1, SINRC2, SINRC3, SINRC4a, SINRC4b, and SINRC7) were expressed in both tomato leaf and root tissues, while SINRC4c, SINRC5, and SINRC6 were highly expressed in tomato roots (Supplementary Fig. S5A). We observed that none of the tested tomato NRC-H facilitated SINRC0-S^{DV} in the cell death response (Supplementary Fig. S5, B, C, and F). In the control experiment, SINRC1, SINRC2, SINRC3, SINRC4a, and SINRC4b complemented the Rx-mediated cell death (Supplementary Fig. S5, D to F; Lüdke et al. 2023).

Taken together, our results indicate that NRCO-S requires the genetically linked NRCO to trigger an immune response. This sensor–helper functional connection is specific to the NRCO cluster genes, and this pairing is not connected to other sensor and helper nodes in the massively expanded Solanaceae NRC network.

Coexpression of mismatched NRC0 and NRC0-dependent sensor pairs from different asterid species reveals evolutionary divergence

Our finding that the NRCO cluster is conserved in asterid species suggests that NRCO and NRCO-S are functionally paired across asterids. However, the degree to which coevolution between sensors and helpers has resulted in functional incompatibilities over evolutionary time is unclear. We explored whether sensor-helper pairs have functionally diverged over evolutionary time by coexpressing mismatched pairs from different species, i.e. MHD mutants of each NRCO-S with wild-type NRCO from 4 asterid species (carrot, coffee, wild sweet potato, and tomato) in the *nrc2 nrc3 nrc4 N. benthamiana* mutant line.

We observed functional connections between NRCO-S and NRCO across asterids with different specificities (Fig. 7, A and B; Supplementary Fig. S6). For instance, a coexpression of either DcNRCO-S^{DV} or CaNRCO-S^{DV} and 4 tested NRCO, DcNRCO^{WT}, CcNRCO^{WT}, ItNRCOb^{WT}, or SINRCO^{WT} triggered cell death responses (Fig. 7, A and B; Supplementary Fig. S6). Unlike carrot

and coffee NRCO-S, ItNRCO-S^{DV} and SINRCO-Sa^{DV} triggered cell death responses only with ItNRCOb^{WT} or SINRCO^{WT} (Fig. 7, A and B; Supplementary Fig. S6). As a control, we coexpressed N. *benthamiana* NRC4^{WT} with DcNRCO-S^{DV}, CaNRCO-S^{DV}, ItNRCO-S^{DV}, and SINRCO-Sa^{DV} and 67% to 84% of the tested samples did not show macroscopic cell death response (Fig. 7, A and B; Supplementary Fig. S6). Taken together, NRCO-S in carrot, a species of campanulids, and coffee, a sister lineage of lamiids, showed functional connections across all of the tested NRCO, while NRCO-S in wild sweet potato and tomato was specifically functional only with NRCO from Solanales.

Activated NRC0-dependent sensor leads to high-order complex formation of its genetically linked helper NRC0

Given that the activation of NRC-dependent sensors induces homo-oligomerization of helper NRCs in the genetically dispersed NRC network (Ahn et al. 2023; Contreras et al. 2023a), we hypothesized that the activation of NRC0-S leads to oligomerization of its genetic partner NRC0. To test this hypothesis, we first generated a MADA motif mutant of tomato NRC0 (SINRC0^{L9E/L13E/L17E}, referred to as SINRCO^{EEE}; Fig. 8A). This mutation suppresses cell death induction by MADA-type NLRs without inhibiting their resistosome formation (Adachi et al. 2019a; Hu et al. 2020; Förderer et al. 2022; Ahn et al. 2023; Contreras et al. 2023a). We expressed SlNRCO^{EEE} in nrc2 nrc3 nrc4 knockout N. benthamiana lines with wild-type SINRCO-Sa or its autoactive mutant SINRCO-Sa^{DV} (Fig. 8A). In an inactive state with wild-type SlNRC0-Sa, SlNRC0^{EEE} was detected as a smear migrating mostly below ~480 kDa in the blue native polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (BN-PAGE) assay (Fig. 8B). Upon activation by coexpressing SlNRC0-Sa^{DV}, SlNRC0^{EEE} shifted to a slow-migrating higher-molecular-weight complex visible as a band above the 720 kDa marker (Fig. 8B). This higher-order complex band of SlNRCO^{EEE} was not observed in a sample coexpressing Rx and its cognate ligand Potato virus X coat protein (CP), while activated Rx and CP coexpression induced oligomerization of NRC2^{EEE} in the control treatment (Contreras et al. 2023a; Fig. 8B). In this BN-PAGE assay, activated SlNRC0 showed a relatively slow migration than the NRC2 oligomer (Fig. 8B). This result suggests that, like other NRC-Hs, activated NRC0 may form the ZAR1 resistosome-type high-order complex.

Contreras et al. (2023a) and Ahn et al. (2023) showed that NRC-dependent sensor NLRs are not present in the high-order complex of activated NRC, thereby proposing a model that the NRC resistosome is a homo-oligomeric complex. To investigate whether the NRCO-dependent sensor NLR is associated with the activated NRC0 complex, we immunoblotted SlNRC0-Sa in the BN-PAGE assay. Although protein accumulation of wild-type SINRCO-Sa and SINRCO-Sa^{DV} was confirmed in an immunoblot of the SDS-PAGE assay, both signals were not detected in the BN-PAGE assay immunoblotted by the anti-hemagglutinin (HA) antibody (Fig. 8B). In the control experiment, activated Rx appeared with 2 bands in the range of 146 to 480 kDa, as reported previously (Contreras et al. 2023a; Fig. 8B). In this study, we could not unambiguously determine whether an activated NRC0-dependent sensor integrates the resistosome complex together with its helper NRCO.

Discussion

NRC-H and NRC-S are phylogenetically related CC-NLRs that form a major superclade in asterid plants that originated from a common ancestor that predates the split between asterids and



Figure 7. NRCO sensors have different compatibilities in inducing the hypersensitive cell death with NRCO orthologs from across asterids. **A)** The photographs show representative images of autoactive cell death after a coexpression of MHD mutants of the NRCO sensor (NRCO-S^{DV}) with wild-type NRCO (NRCO^{WT}) from 4 asterid species (carrot, coffee, wild sweet potato, and tomato) in the *N. benthamiana nrc2 nrc3 nrc4* mutant line. EV and *N. benthamiana* wild-type NRC4 (NRC4^{WT}) were used as controls. Photographs were taken at 5 d after agroinfiltration. **B)** A matrix showing the cell death response triggered by NRC0 and NRCO-S^{DV}. The histograms describe cell death intensity scored in Supplementary Fig. S6.

Caryophyllales (Wu et al. 2017). In solanaceous plants, the monophyletic NRC proteins function as helper NLRs for multiple sensor NLRs in a sister clade and for cell-surface localized immune receptors (Wu et al. 2017; Kourelis et al. 2022; Zhang et al. 2024). In this study, we investigated the evolutionary and functional dynamics of NRC0, an atypical member of the NRC family. NRC0 is the only NRC family member that is conserved across asterid plants with orthologs in 27 species. NRC0 orthologs are often genetically linked to NRC-S subclade genes. We experimentally validated the functional connections within NRC0 gene clusters for 4 distantly related asterid species and revealed that NRC0 is essential for the hypersensitive cell death response triggered by its genetically linked partner NRC0-S. Furthermore, activated NRC0-S leads to the formation of an NRC0 high-molecular-weight complex similar to the model reported for other NRC-S/NRC-H pairings. We propose that the NRCO sensor/helper gene cluster may reflect an ancestral state that predates the massive expansion of the NRC network in the lamiid lineage of asterid plants. Our findings fill a gap in the evolutionary history of an NLR network in plants and illustrate contrasting patterns of macroevolution within this complex NLR network (Fig. 9).

The NRCO gene most likely emerged early in asterid evolution, which corresponds to about 125 mya based on the dating analyses of Wikström et al. (2015) (Fig. 9). A previous phylogenomic study proposed that the NRC superclade expanded from a genetically linked NLR pair over 100 mya before asterids and Caryophyllales lineages split, because an NRC gene cluster exists in sugar beet, a Caryophyllales species (Wu et al. 2017). Consistent with this, we identified 1 NRC-H and 3 NRC-S genes from *B. vulgaris*. However, the sugar beet NRC-H gene did not map to the NRCO



Figure 8. An autoactive NRCO-dependent sensor leads to the formation of an NRCO higher-order complex in N. *benthamiana*. **A)** A schematic representation of helper NRC activation by sensor NLRs. **B)** The detection of an activated NRCO complex in BN-PAGE. Each *Agrobacterium* strain carrying a wild-type SlNRCO sensor (SlNRCO-Sa), a SlNRCO-Sa MHD mutant (SlNRCO-Sa^{DV}), a MADA motif mutant of SlNRCO (SlNRCO^{EEE}), *Potato virus X CP*, a wild-type Rx (Rx), or a MADA motif mutant of NRC2 (NRC2^{EEE}) was inoculated to the leaves of an *N. benthamiana nrc2 nrc3 nrc4* mutant line. Total proteins were extracted from the inoculated leaves at 3 d after agroinfiltration. Extracts were run on native and SDS–PAGE gels and immunoblotted with anti-FLAG, anti-V5, and anti-HA antibodies, respectively. Loading control was visualized with Ponceau-S staining. The higher-order complex of activated NRC0 was detected in 3 independent experiments.

subclade, suggesting that the NRCO gene emerged in asterid plants after Caryophyllales and asterid lineages split (Fig. 4B). In this study, we further identified 2 NRC-S genes from a Santalales species, *Malania oleifera*, although we did not find any NRC-H gene in the *Ma. oleifera* reference genome (Fig. 4B) Therefore, the NRCO gene cluster probably originated from a common ancestral NLR pair that might be shared with the Caryophyllales NRC gene pair and possibly emerged in the superasterids before Santalales and Caryophyllales lineages split (Fig. 9).

Based on our finding that a Cornales species, *Cor. florida*, has only NRC0 in the NRC-H subfamily (Fig. 4B), we hypothesize that later during asterid evolution, NRC0 has duplicated and expanded into complex NRC networks across asterid genomes (Fig. 9). Alternatively, it is still possible that the NRC networks

have originated from other ancestral NRC gene pair(s), which have been lost in the asterid lineage. We note that the expansion and diversification of NRC networks are substantial in lamiids. In sharp contrast, Cornales, Ericales, and campanulids have experienced limited expansions of NRC-H and NRC-S genes possibly due to low levels of NRC gene duplications and frequent deletions.

The NRCO gene cluster was not found in the Ericales, tea (Camellia sinensis), Chinese gooseberry (Actinidia chinensis var. chinensis), and Rhododendron griersonianum and in some other asterid species such as monkey flower and N. benthamiana (Fig. 4B). NLRs are known to be costly genes to plants due to trade-offs between plant growth and NLR-mediated immunity and because they can cause severe autoimmune phenotypes triggered by NLR



Figure 9. Contrasting patterns of macroevolution in the NRC network of sensor and helper NLRs. The model maps out the key evolutionary transitions in the evolution of NRC-H and NRC-S throughout 125 million years of evolution. The NRC family gene emerged in superasterids possibly before Santalales and Caryophyllales lineages split. The NLR gene cluster of NRC0 and NRC0-S presumably originated from an ancestral NRC gene pair, which emerged before Caryophyllales and asterid lineage split. The NRC0 gene cluster may have been lost in the Ericales lineage during asterid evolution. The NRC-H and NRC-S genes have expanded and genetically dispersed in lamiid species, while NRC components faced limited expansion in Cornales, Ericales, and campanulids.

misregulation (Karasov et al. 2017; Adachi et al. 2019b). Thus, the NRC0 gene cluster may have been lost as a consequence of selection against potential autoimmunity. Notably, 5 campanulids [lettuce (Lactuca sativa), common sunflower (Helianthus annuus), bitter vine (Mikania micrantha), sweet wormwood (Artemisia annua), and horseweed (Erigeron canadensis)] and 4 lamiids [European olive (Olea europaea subsp. Europaea), wild olive (O. europaea var. sylvestris), trilobed morning glory (Ipomoea triloba), and chili pepper (Capsicum annuum)] have NRCO orthologs but no genetically linked NRCO-S encoded within 50 kb genetic distance. It is possible that NRCO and NRCO-S genes have been genetically dispersed in their genomes like in other sections of the NRC network. Indeed, as recently observed, lettuce NRC0 functions with 3 NRC-S, Ls124601, Ls123301, and Ls124100, whose genes are located on Chromosome 8, 60, 114, and 319 kb away from the NRCO gene, respectively (Goh et al. 2023). In addition, NRCO-S is phylogenetically diverse, compared with the well-supported NRC0 subclade (Fig. 3B). This suggests 2 alternative hypotheses: (i) NRCO-S genes are evolving faster than NRCO, and (ii) sensor NLR genes were repeatedly acquired at the NRC0 locus and became functionally connected to the NRCO as NRCO-S. A more precise identification and phylogenomic analyses of NRCO-S will provide further evolutionary insights into the NRCO sensor-helper gene cluster.

Both NRCO and NRCO-S across asterids have a typical CC-NB-LRR domain architecture. In the case of well-studied NLR pairs, Arabidopsis RRS1/RPS4, rice RGA5/RGA4, and Pik-1/Pik-2, the sensor NLRs acquired additional integrated domains that function as decoys to bait pathogen effectors (Césari et al. 2014; Le Roux et al. 2015; Maqbool et al. 2015; Sarris et al. 2015; Shimizu et al. 2022; Sugihara et al. 2023). Furthermore, in the Solanaceae NRC networks, about half of the NRC-S subclade members acquired N-terminal domain extensions that are

often involved in effector recognition (Saur et al. 2015; Adachi et al. 2019a; Li et al. 2019; Seong et al. 2020). Since NRCO-S does not have additional predicted domains, NRC-S likely recognizes pathogen effectors through its LRR domain as is the case for the ZAR1 and Sr35 CC-NLRs (Wang et al. 2019a, 2019b; Förderer et al. 2022). In terms of effector perception, it is intriguing that the NRCO gene cluster is conserved across asterid species over 100 mya. In particular, NLRs are known to exhibit rapid evolution through a birth-and-death model (Michelmore and Meyers 1998). NRCO-S might recognize pathogen effectors in an indirect manner, either monitoring key immune signaling components of the host or functioning with other decoy components.

Our sequence motif analysis revealed that NRC0 orthologs have the MADA motif at their N termini, but their genetically linked NRCO-S partners do not carry the canonical MADA-type sequences of CC-NLRs (Fig. 5). This pattern supports the "use-it-or-lose-it" model in which sensor NLRs lose the molecular signatures of the MADA motif over evolutionary time and instead rely on MADA-type helper NLRs for activation of downstream immune responses (Adachi et al. 2019a). We experimentally demonstrated that NRC0 orthologs can induce the hypersensitive cell death and are required for NRCO-S autoactive cell death (Fig. 6). Although NRCO-S is not predicted to have the MADA motif and does not induce cell death without an NRCO helper, the "MAHAAVVSLxQKLxx" sequence is conserved at its N termini across NRCO-S proteins (Fig. 5). This conservation pattern is striking because other CC domain sequences have been highly diversified among the NRCO-S (Fig. 5). The N-terminal MAHA-type sequence may have a role in the molecular function of NRCO-S and was, therefore, maintained at its N termini for over 100 million vears.

Our BN-PAGE assays revealed that activated NRCO-S induces the formation of NRCO high-order complexes (Fig. 8). This is consistent with previous findings that activated NRC-S proteins induce the formation of homo-oligomerized NRC2 resistosome (Ahn et al. 2023; Contreras et al. 2023a). In this study, we could not ascertain whether activated NRC0 forms a resistosome-like high-order complex on its own or together with its sensor partner. This was presumably due to protein stability issues with SINRCO-Sa under the BN-PAGE conditions (Fig. 8). Although further biochemical studies are needed for gaining further mechanistic insights into NRC0 activation, the current results are consistent with the activation-and-release model proposed by Contreras et al. (2023a). In the future, the NRC0 helper–sensor pairs will help map out the evolution of biochemical activation in the NRC network throughout asterid evolution.

In summary, our study helped reveal an ancestral state of the NRC network, resulting in an evolutionary model in which the massively expanded NRC networks evolved from a genetically linked NLR gene pair. As illustrated in Fig. 9, the NRC-type NLRs have experienced contrasting patterns of macroevolutionary dynamics over the last 125 million years from an NLR gene cluster, where the NRC-H is genetically and functionally linked to sensor NLR, to a massive genetically dispersed network. In Solanaceae, the NRC network evolved over tens of millions of years to confer resistance to pathogens and pests as diverse as viruses, bacteria, oomycetes, nematodes, and insects. However, the type of pathogen effectors that are recognized by NRCO cluster sensor-helper pairs remains unknown. Furthermore, the structure of paired or networked NLR proteins and the determinants of functional specificities between the sensor-helper NLRs are important unanswered questions in the plant NLR research field. The NRCO pairs will also help to map out the evolution of activation mechanisms across asterids. Future investigations that contrast the ancestral and modern states of NRC proteins will provide valuable insights into the biochemical function of NLR pairs and networks in plants and how these have evolved over 125 million years.

Materials and methods Phylogenetic analyses

For the phylogenetic analysis, we aligned NLR amino acid sequences using MAFFT v.7 (Katoh and Standley 2013) and deleted the gaps in the alignments by our own Python script. The script is available from GitHub (https://github.com/slt666666/NRCO). NB-ARC domain sequences of the aligned NLR datasets were used for generating phylogenetic trees. The maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree was generated in RAxML version 8.2.12 with Jones-Taylor-Thornton (JTT) model and bootstrap values based on 100 iterations. The best protein substitution model was selected by "m PROTGAMMAAUTO" option in RAxML. All datasets used for phylogenetic analyses are summarized in Supplementary Files S2 to S12, and raw phylogenetic tree files are summarized in Supplementary Files S13 to S18.

Patristic distance analyses

To calculate the phylogenetic (patristic) distance, we used Python script based on DendroPy (Sukumaran and Holder 2010). We calculated patristic distances from each NRC to the other NRCs on the phylogenetic tree (Supplementary File S13) and extracted the distances between the NRCs of tomato (S. lycopersicum) to the closest NRC from the other plant species. The script used for the patristic distance calculation is available at GitHub (https://github.com/slt666666/NRC0).

Gene cluster analysis

To calculate the genetic distances, we extracted gene annotation data from the NCBI database as gff3 format. The genetic distances between NLR genes were calculated by our own Python script. The script is available from GitHub (https://github.com/slt666666/NRCO). We defined NLR clusters as NLR sets that genetic distances are <50 kb apart from each other. NLR clusters were visualized in phylogenetic tree by iTOL (Letunic and Bork. 2021). To visualize phylogenetic relationships of clustered NLRs in each species, we developed a "gene-cluster-matrix" library (https://github.com/slt666666/gene-cluster-matrix).

NRC0 and its sensor candidate sequence retrieval

We performed BLAST (Altschul et al. 1990) using amino acid sequences of NRCO orthologs from carrot (*D. carota*; DCAR_023561), coffee (*C. canephora*; Cc11_g06560), wild sweet potato (*I. trifida*; itf14g00240.t1 and itf14g00270.t1), and tomato (Solyc10g008220.4.1) as queries to search NRCO-like sequences in NCBI nr or nr/nt database (https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ Blast.cgi). In the BLAST search, we used cutoffs, percent identity \geq 40%, and query coverage \geq 95%. NB-ARC domain sequences of the aligned sequences of the BLAST result were used for generating a phylogenetic tree and extracted NLRs located in the NRCO clade as NRCO-like sequences. The BLAST pipeline was circulated by using the obtained sequences as new queries to search NRCO-like sequences over the angiosperm species.

We also generated a phylogenetic tree of NLR dataset of each species, in which NRCO-like sequences were found and extracted NLRs located in the NRCO clade as NRCO-like sequences. Finally, we generated a phylogenetic tree of NRCO-like sequences and NLR dataset of 6 asterid species [Chinese tupelo (Nyssa sinensis), tea (*Cam. sinensis*), cardoon (*Cynara cardunculus*), carrot (*D. carota*), sesame (*Sesamum indicum*), and tomato (S. lycopersicum)] and defined the NRCO ortholog clade that includes NRCO sequences of carrot, coffee, wild sweet potato, and tomato based on phylogenetically well-supported bootstrap value. To extract the *NRCO-S* gene, we extracted genes located within 50 kb from the obtained NRCO genes and ran the NLR tracker pipeline (Kourelis et al. 2021) to annotate NLR genes among them.

Sequence conservation analyses

Full-length amino acid sequences of NRC0 or NRC0-S were subjected to motif searches using MEME (Bailey and Elkan 1994) with parameters "0 or 1 occurrence per sequence, top 20 motifs," to detect consensus motifs conserved in \geq 90% of the input sequences. The output data are summarized in Supplementary Tables S1 and S2.

To analyze amino acid sequence conservation and variation in NRC0 or NRC0-S proteins, aligned amino acid sequences of each NRC0 and NRC0-S datasets by MAFFT v.7 were used for the ConSurf pipeline (Ashkenazy et al. 2016). Tomato NRC0 (XP_004248175.2) or NRC0-Sa (XP_004248174.1) was used as a query for each analysis of NRC0 or NRC0-S, respectively. The output datasets of the ConSurf analyses are included in Supplementary Data Sets 5 and 6.

Plant materials and growth conditions

Wild-type and mutant N. benthamiana were grown in a controlled growth chamber with temperatures ranging from 22 to 25 °C, humidity 45% to 65%, and a 16/8 h light/dark cycle. Fluorescent light bulbs (Slyvania Gro—Lux F58W/Gro—T8 and Phillips master TL-D 58W84D) were used, and the light intensity was about $200 \,\mu$ m/ms².

The NRC knockout lines used have been previously described: nrc2 nrc3 nrc4-210.4.3 and nrc2 nrc3 nrc4-210.5.5 (Wu et al. 2020).

Transient gene expression and cell death assays

Transient gene expression in N. benthamiana leaves was performed by agroinfiltration according to methods described previously (Bos et al. 2006). Briefly, 4-wk-old N. benthamiana plants were infiltrated with Agrobacterium (Agrobacterium tumefaciens) Gv3101 strains carrying the binary expression plasmids. The Agrobacterium suspensions were prepared in an infiltration buffer (10 mM MES, 10 mM MgCl₂, and 150 μ M acetosyringone, pH 5.6). To overexpress NLRs for cell death assays, the concentration of each suspension was adjusted to OD₆₀₀ = 0.25. Macroscopic cell death phenotypes were scored according to the scale of Adachi et al. (2023). Raw hypersensitive response (HR) index scores can be found in Supplementary Data Set 7.

Plasmid construction

Wild-type and MHD-mutant variants of NRCO and NRCO-S from tomato (S. lycoperiscum, Sl-), wild sweet potato (I. trifida, Itf-), coffee (C. canephora or C. arabica, Cc- or Ca-), and carrot (D. carota, DCAR-) were synthesized through GENEWIZ Standard Gene Synthesis with synonymous mutations to BsaI and BpiI restriction enzyme sites. The synthesized genes were assembled into the binary vector pICH47732 or pICH47751 from the Golden Gate Modular Cloning (MoClo) kit (Weber et al. 2011) together with pICH51266 (35S promoter) and pICH41432 [octopine synthase (OCS) terminator] from the MoClo plant parts kit (Engler et al. 2014).

For BN-PAGE experiment, the MADA motif mutant of SlNRCO was amplified by Phusion High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase (Thermo Fisher) with forward mutation primer (AATGGTCTCTAATGGCTGATGCTGTTGTCGAATTTGAATTGTT AAATGAGAAACAACTAGAACTTTATCATGTGGATTTG) and reverse primer (AATGGTCTCTCGAACCAATATCTGGAGGATAGATG AC). The purified amplicon was used for the Golden Gate assembly with pICSL50007 (3xFLAG; Engler et al. 2014) into the binary vector pICH86988 (Level 1 acceptor with 35S promoter and OCS terminator; Weber et al. 2011). The synthetic SINRCO-Sa^{DV} was also assembled into the pICH86988 binary vector together with pICSL50009 (6xHA) (Engler et al. 2014). The Rx and NRC2^{EEE} constructs used were described previously (Contreras et al. 2023a, 2023b) and were assembled into the pJK268c vector (Kourelis et al. 2020) with C-terminal tag modules pICSL50012 (V5) and pICSL50007 (3xFLAG) (Engler et al. 2014), respectively.

Plasmid information, including constructs generated by previous studies, is described in Supplementary Data Set 8.

Transcriptome analysis

For the transcriptome analysis of tomato NRCs, raw RNA-seq reads extracted from leaf and root tissues of *S. lycopersicum* published in Lüdke et al. (2023) were used (accession number: SAMN38499990). The obtained RNA-seq reads were filtered and trimmed using fastp (Chen et al. 2018). The quality-trimmed reads were mapped to the reference *S. lycopersicum* genome (SL3.0, ITAG3.2 annotation) using STAR (Dobin et al. 2013). The number of read alignments in the gene regions were counted using featureCounts, and read counts were normalized by using a trimmed mean of M values (Liao et al. 2014).

Protein extraction and BN-PAGE assay

Four-week-old nrc2 nrc3 nrc4 plants were infiltrated with Agrobacterium suspensions, and the concentration of each

suspension is indicated in Supplementary Data Set 8. Leaf tissue was collected at 72 h after agroinfiltration in liquid nitrogen and was grounded in a Geno/Grinder homogenizer. Total proteins were extracted in the GTMN buffer [10% v/v glycerol, 50 mm tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 5 mm MgCl₂, and 50 mm NaCl], supplemented with 10 mm DTT, 1x protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma-Aldrich), and 0.2% v/v Triton X-100 (Sigma-Aldrich), and incubated on ice for 10 min. After centrifugation at 5,000 x g for 15 min, supernatants were used for the BN-PAGE and SDS–PAGE assays.

For BN-PAGE, 25 μ L of extracted protein samples were mixed with NativePAGE 5% v/v G-250 sample additive (Invitrogen). Five microliters of each sample were loaded to NativePAGE 4% to 16% w/v bis-tris gels (Invitrogen). Proteins were transferred to PVDF membranes with the NuPAGE Transfer Buffer (Invitrogen) in a Trans-Blot Turbo transfer apparatus (Bio-Rad) by following the manufacturer's instructions. The proteins were fixed to the membranes by incubating with 8% v/v acetic acid for 15 min and were left to dry. For SDS–PAGE, the extracted proteins were diluted in an SDS loading dye and incubated at 72 °C for 10 min and were loaded to 4% to 20% w/v Mini-PROTEAN TGX gels (Bio-Rad). Immunoblotting was performed using the antibodies, anti-HA (3F10) HRP (Roche), anti-V5 (V2260) HRP (Roche), and anti-FLAG (M2) (Sigma), in a 1:5,000 dilution ratio.

Accession numbers

Genome and gene information used in this study can be found in reference genomes or GenBank/EMBL databases with accession numbers listed in Supplementary Data Sets 1, 4, and 9.

Acknowledgments

The authors are thankful to their colleagues for valuable discussions and for sharing ideas.

Author contributions

Conceptualization: S.K., C.-H.W., H.A.; data curation: T.S., M.P.C., C.M.-A., D.L.; formal analysis, investigation, methodology, resources: T.S., M.P.C., C.M.-A., H.A.; software: T.S.; supervision, funding acquisition, and project administration: S.K., H.A.; writing the initial draft: T.S., H.A.; editing: T.S., M.P.C., C.M.-A., D.L., S.K., C.-H.W., H.A.

Supplementary data

The following materials are available in the online version of this article.

Supplementary Figure S1. A phylogenetic tree of an NRC-H clade of 6 asterid species.

Supplementary Figure S2. Clustered NLRs of 4 asterid species. Supplementary Figure S3. A schematic representation of NRC0 and NRC sensor gene loci in a *Cor. florida* scaffold.

Supplementary Figure S4. NRC0 does not function downstream of NRC2/NRC3-, NRC4-, and NRC2/NRC3/NRC4-dependent sensor NLRs in hypersensitive cell death.

Supplementary Figure S5. The NRC0 sensor requires the genetically linked NRC0 but not other NRC family members to trigger hypersensitive cell death.

Supplementary Figure S6. A quantification of the autoactive cell death response triggered by multiple combinations of NRC0 and NRC0-S.

Supplementary Table S1. A list of MEME motifs predicted from NRC0.

Supplementary Table S2. A list of MEME motifs predicted from NRC0-S.

Supplementary Data Set 1. A list of NRC0 and NRC0-S.

Supplementary Data Set 2. A list of the NLR gene cluster in 4 asterid species.

Supplementary Data Set 3. NLR gene cluster matrix files of 4 asterid species used for Supplementary Fig. S2.

Supplementary Data Set 4. A list of NRC in 32 asterids, 1 Caryophyllales, and 1 Santalales species.

Supplementary Data Set 5. The ConSurf conservation score among NRC0 proteins.

Supplementary Data Set 6. The ConSurf conservation score among NRC0-S proteins.

Supplementary Data Set 7. A summary of HR index scores in cell death assays.

Supplementary Data Set 8. A list of plasmids used in this study. Supplementary Data Set 9. Reference genome databases used for NLR annotations.

Supplementary File S1. Amino acid sequences of NLRs in 6 asterid species and functionally validated NLRs used for Fig. 1A.

Supplementary File S2. An amino acid alignment file of the NB-ARC domain of NLRs in 6 asterid species and functionally validated NLRs used for Fig. 1A.

Supplementary File S3. Amino acid sequences of NLRs in 4 asterid species and functionally validated NLRs used for Fig. 2A.

Supplementary File S4. An amino acid alignment file of the NB-ARC domain of NLRs in 4 asterid species and functionally validated NLRs used for Fig. 2A.

Supplementary File S5. Amino acid sequences of CC-NLRs in 6 asterid species, NRC0, and NRC0-S used for Fig. 3B.

Supplementary File S6. An amino acid alignment file of the NB-ARC domain of CC-NLRs in 6 asterid species, NRC0, and NRC0-S used for Fig. 3B.

Supplementary File S7. Amino acid sequences of NRC-Hs used for Fig. 4A.

Supplementary File S8. An amino acid alignment file of full-length NRC-Hs used for Fig. 4A.

Supplementary File S9. Amino acid sequences of NRCO.

Supplementary File S10. Amino acid sequences of NRCO-S.

Supplementary File S11. An amino acid alignment file of NB-ARC domains and phylogenetic tree file of each of 32 asterids, 1 Caryophyllales, and 1 Santalales species used for Fig. 4B.

Supplementary File S12. Amino acid sequences of NRCs in 32 asterids, 1 Caryophyllales, and 1 Santalales species.

Supplementary File S13. An NLR phylogenetic tree file in Fig. 1A. Supplementary File S14. An NLR phylogenetic tree file in Supplementary Fig. S1.

Supplementary File S15. An NLR phylogenetic tree file in Fig. 2A. Supplementary File S16. An NLR phylogenetic tree file in Supplementary Fig. S2.

Supplementary File S17. An NLR phylogenetic tree file in Fig. 3B. Supplementary File S18. An NLR phylogenetic tree file in Fig. 4A.

Funding

This work was funded by the Gatsby Charitable Foundation, Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council (BBSRC, UK, BB/WW002221/1, BB/V002937/1, BBS/E/J/000PR9795, and BBS/E/J/000PR9796) and the European Research Council (BLASTOFF). H.A. was funded by the Japan Science and Technology Agency, Precursory Research for Embryonic Science and Technology (JPMJPR21D1). C.-H.W. was funded by the 2030 Cross-Generation Young Scholars Program of the National Science and Technology Council, Taiwan (NSTC 112-2628-B-001-007). C.M.-A. is grateful to have enrolled in the DGAPA-PASPA UNAM Program, which financed a sabbatical year at The Sainsbury Laboratory.

Conflict of interest statement. S.K. receives funding from industry on NLR biology and is a cofounder of start-up companies that focus on plant disease resistance. M.P.C. and S.K. have filed patents on NLR biology. M.P.C. has received fees from Resurrect Bio Ltd, a start-up company related to NLR biology.

Data availability

All large-scale data are provided in the manuscript and supplementary datasets.

References

- Adachi H, Contreras MP, Harant A, Wu CH, Derevnina L, Sakai T, Duggan C, Moratto E, Bozkurt TO, Maqbool A, et al. An N-terminal motif in NLR immune receptors is functionally conserved across distantly related plant species. *Elife*. 2019a:8: e49956. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.49956
- Adachi H, Derevnina L, Kamoun S. NLR singletons, pairs, and networks: evolution, assembly, and regulation of the intracellular immunoreceptor circuitry of plants. Curr Opin Plant Biol. 2019b:50:121–131. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pbi.2019.04.007

Adachi H, Kamoun S. NLR receptor networks in plants. Essays Biochem. 2022:66(5):541–549. https://doi.org/10.1042/EBC2 0210075

- Adachi H, Sakai T, Kourelis J, Pai H, Gonzalez Hernandez JL, Utsumi Y, Seki M, Maqbool A, Kamoun S. Jurassic NLR: conserved and dynamic evolutionary features of the atypically ancient immune receptor ZAR1. *Plant Cell*. 2023:35(10):3662–3685. https://doi.org/10. 1093/plcell/koad175
- Ahn HK, Lin X, Olave-Achury AC, Derevnina L, Contreras MP, Kourelis J, Wu CH, Kamoun S, Jones JDG. Effector-dependent activation and oligomerization of plant NRC class helper NLRs by sensor NLR immune receptors Rpi-amr3 and Rpi-amr1. EMBO J. 2023:42(5):e111484. https://doi.org/10.15252/embj.2022111484
- Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ. Basic local alignment search tool. J Mol Biol. 1990:215(3):403–410. https:// doi.org/10.1016/S0022-2836(05)80360-2
- Ashkenazy H, Abadi S, Martz E, Chay O, Mayrose I, Pupko T, Ben-Tal N. ConSurf 2016: an improved methodology to estimate and visualize evolutionary conservation in macromolecules. Nucleic Acids Res. 2016:44(W1):W344–W350. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/ gkw408
- Baggs E, Dagdas G, Krasileva KV. NLR diversity, helpers and integrated domains: making sense of the NLR IDentity. Curr Opin Plant Biol. 2017:38:59–67. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pbi.2017.04.012
- Baggs EL, Monroe JG, Thanki AS, O'Grady R, Schudoma C, Haerty W, Krasileva KV. Convergent loss of an EDS1/PAD4 signaling pathway in several plant lineages reveals coevolved components of plant immunity and drought response. *Plant Cell*. 2020;32(7): 2158–2177. https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.19.00903
- Bailey TL, Elkan C. Fitting a mixture model by expectation maximization to discover motifs in biopolymers. Proc Int Conf Intell Syst Mol Biol. 1994:2:28–36.
- Barragan AC, Weigel D. Plant NLR diversity: the known unknowns of pan-NLRomes. Plant Cell. 2021:33(4):814–831. https://doi.org/10. 1093/plcell/koaa002

- Bendahmane A, Farnham G, Moffett P, Baulcombe DC. Constitutive gain-of-function mutants in a nucleotide binding site-leucine rich repeat protein encoded at the Rx locus of potato. *Plant J.* 2002:32(2):195–204. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-313X.2002. 01413.x
- Bi G, Su M, Li N, Liang Y, Dang S, Xu J, Hu M, Wang J, Zou M, Deng Y, et al. The ZAR1 resistosome is a calcium-permeable channel triggering plant immune signaling. *Cell*. 2021:184(13):3528–3541.e12. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2021.05.003
- Bos JI, Kanneganti TD, Young C, Cakir C, Huitema E, Win J, Armstrong MR, Birch PR, Kamoun S. The C-terminal half of Phytophthora infestans RXLR effector AVR3a is sufficient to trigger R3a-mediated hypersensitivity and suppress INF1-induced cell death in Nicotiana benthamiana. Plant J. 2006:48(2):165–176. https://doi.org/10.1111/ j.1365-313X.2006.02866.x
- Césari S, Kanzaki H, Fujiwara T, Bernoux M, Chalvon V, Kawano Y, Shimamoto K, Dodds P, Terauchi R, Kroj T. The NB-LRR proteins RGA4 and RGA5 interact functionally and physically to confer disease resistance. EMBO J. 2014:33(17):1941–1959. https://doi. org/10.15252/embj.201487923
- Chen S, Zhou Y, Chen Y, Gu J. Fastp: an ultra-fast all-in-one FASTQ preprocessor. *Bioinformatics*. 2018:34(17):i884–i890. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bty560
- Contreras MP, Pai H, Selvaraj M, Toghani A, Lawson DM, Tumtas Y, Duggan C, Yuen ELH, Stevenson CEM, Harant A, et al. Resurrection of plant disease resistance proteins via helper NLR bioengineering. Sci Adv. 2023b:9(18):eadg3861. https://doi.org/ 10.1126/sciadv.adg3861
- Contreras MP, Pai H, Tumtas Y, Duggan C, Yuen ELH, Cruces AV, Kourelis J, Ahn HK, Lee KT, Wu CH, et al. Sensor NLR immune proteins activate oligomerization of their NRC helpers in response to plant pathogens. *EMBO J*. 2023a:42(5):e111519. https://doi.org/10. 15252/embj.2022111519
- Derevnina L, Contreras MP, Adachi H, Upson J, Vergara Cruces A, Xie R, Skłenar J, Menke FLH, Mugford ST, MacLean D, et al. Plant pathogens convergently evolved to counteract redundant nodes of an NLR immune receptor network. *PLoS Biol.* 2021:19(8): e3001136. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.3001136
- Dobin A, Davis CA, Schlesinger F, Drenkow J, Zaleski C, Jha S, Batut P, Chaisson M, Gingeras TR. STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. *Bioinformatics*. 2013:29(1):15–21. https://doi.org/10.1093/ bioinformatics/bts635
- Dong OX, Ao K, Xu F, Johnson KCM, Wu Y, Li L, Xia S, Liu Y, Huang Y, Rodriguez E, et al. Individual components of paired typical NLR immune receptors are regulated by distinct E3 ligases. *Nat Plants.* 2018:4(9):699–710. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41477-018-0216-8
- Duggan C, Moratto E, Savage Z, Hamilton E, Adachi H, Wu CH, Leary AY, Tumtas Y, Rothery SM, Maqbool A, et al. Dynamic localization of a helper NLR at the plant-pathogen interface underpins pathogen recognition. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2021:118(34): e2104997118. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2104997118
- Eddy SR. Profile hidden markov models. Bioinformatics. 1998:14(9): 755–763. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/14.9.755
- Engler C, Youles M, Gruetzner R, Ehnert TM, Werner S, Jones JD, Patron NJ, Marillonnet S. A golden gate modular cloning toolbox for plants. ACS Synth Biol. 2014:3(11):839–843. https://doi.org/10. 1021/sb4001504
- Förderer A, Li E, Lawson AW, Deng YN, Sun Y, Logemann E, Zhang X, Wen J, Han Z, Chang J, et al. A wheat resistosome defines common principles of immune receptor channels. *Nature*. 2022:610-(7932):532–539. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-05231-w
- Goh FJ, Huang CY, Derevnina L, Wu CH. NRC immune receptor networks show diversified hierarchical genetic architecture across

plant lineages. bioRxiv 563953. https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.10. 25.563953, 27 October 2023, preprint: not peer reviewed.

- Gong Z, Qi J, Hu M, Bi G, Zhou JM, Han GZ. The origin and evolution of a plant resistosome. *Plant Cell*. 2022:34(5):1600–1620. https://doi. org/10.1093/plcell/koac053
- Hu M, Qi J, Bi G, Zhou J-M. Bacterial effectors induce oligomerization of immune receptor ZAR1 in vivo. Molecular Plant. 2020:13(5): 793–801. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molp.2020.03.004
- Huang CY, Huang YS, Sugihara Y, Wang HY, Huang LT, Lopez-Agudelo JC, Chen YF, Lin KY, Chiang BJ, Toghani A, et al. Functional divergence shaped the network architecture of plant immune receptors. bioRxiv 571219. https://doi.org/10. 1101/2023.12.12.571219, 13 December 2023, preprint: not peer reviewed.
- Jones JD, Vance RE, Dangl JL. Intracellular innate immune surveillance devices in plants and animals. Science. 2016:354(6316): aaf6395. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaf6395
- Karasov TL, Chae E, Herman JJ, Bergelson J. Mechanisms to mitigate the trade-off between growth and defense. *Plant Cell*. 2017:29(4): 666–680. https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.16.00931
- Katoh K, Standley DM. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: improvements in performance and usability. Mol Biol Evol. 2013:30(4):772–780. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/ mst010
- Kibby EM, Conte AN, Burroughs AM, Nagy TA, Vargas JA, Whalen LA, Aravind L, Whiteley AT. Bacterial NLR-related proteins protect against phage. Cell. 2023:186(11):2410–2424.e18. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.cell.2023.04.015
- Kourelis J, Adachi H. Activation and regulation of NLR immune receptor networks. Plant Cell Physiol. 2022:63(10):1366–1377. https://doi.org/10.1093/pcp/pcac116
- Kourelis J, Contreras MP, Harant A, Pai H, Lüdke D, Adachi H, Derevnina L, Wu CH, Kamoun S. The helper NLR immune protein NRC3 mediates the hypersensitive cell death caused by the cellsurface receptor Cf-4. PLoS Genet. 2022:18(9):e1010414. https:// doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1010414
- Kourelis J, Malik S, Mattinson O, Krauter S, Kahlon PS, Paulus JK, van der Hoorn RAL. Evolution of a guarded decoy protease and its receptor in solanaceous plants. Nat Commun. 2020:11(1):4393. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-18069-5
- Kourelis J, Sakai T, Adachi H, Kamoun S. RefPlantNLR is a comprehensive collection of experimentally validated plant disease resistance proteins from the NLR family. PLoS Biol. 2021:19(10): e3001124. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.3001124
- Lee RRQ, Chae E. Variation patterns of NLR clusters in Arabidopsis thaliana genomes. Plant Commun. 2020:1(4):100089. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.xplc.2020.100089
- Lee HY, Mang H, Choi E, Seo YE, Kim MS, Oh S, Kim SB, Choi D. Genome-wide functional analysis of hot pepper immune receptors reveals an autonomous NLR clade in seed plants. New Phytol. 2021:229(1):532–547. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph. 16878
- Le Roux C, Huet G, Jauneau A, Camborde L, Trémousaygue D, Kraut A, Zhou B, Levaillant M, Adachi H, Yoshioka H, et al. A receptor pair with an integrated decoy converts pathogen disabling of transcription factors to immunity. *Cell*. 2015:161(5):1074–1088. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2015.04.025
- Letunic I, Bork P. Interactive Tree Of Life (iTOL) v5: an online tool for phylogenetic tree display and annotation. Nucleic Acids Res. 2021:49(W1):W293–W296. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/ gkab301
- Li J, Huang H, Zhu M, Huang S, Zhang W, Dinesh-Kumar SP, Tao X. A plant immune receptor adopts a two-step recognition

mechanism to enhance viral effector perception. Mol Plant. 2019: 12(2):248–262. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molp.2019.01.005

- Liang W, van Wersch S, Tong M, Li X. TIR-NB-LRR immune receptor SOC3 pairs with truncated TIR-NB protein CHS1 or TN2 to monitor the homeostasis of E3 ligase SAUL1. *New Phytol.* 2019:221(4): 2054–2066. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.15534
- Liao Y, Smyth GK, Shi W. featureCounts: an efficient general purpose program for assigning sequence reads to genomic features. *Bioinformatics*. 2014:30(7):923–930. https://doi.org/10.1093/ bioinformatics/btt656
- Liu Y, Zeng Z, Zhang YM, Li Q, Jiang XM, Jiang Z, Tang JH, Chen D, Wang Q, Chen JQ, et al. An angiosperm NLR Atlas reveals that NLR gene reduction is associated with ecological specialization and signal transduction component deletion. Mol Plant. 2021:14-(12):2015–2031. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molp.2021.08.001
- Lüdke D, Sakai T, Kourelis J, Toghani A, Adachi H, Posbeyikian A, Frijters R, Pai H, Harant A, Ernst K, et al. A root-specific NLR network confers resistance to plant parasitic nematodes. bioRxiv 571630. https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.12.14.571630, 14 December 2023, preprint: not peer reviewed.
- Maqbool A, Saitoh H, Franceschetti M, Stevenson CE, Uemura A, Kanzaki H, Kamoun S, Terauchi R, Banfield MJ. Structural basis of pathogen recognition by an integrated HMA domain in a plant NLR immune receptor. *Elife*. 2015:4:e08709. https://doi.org/10. 7554/eLife.08709
- Michelmore RW, Meyers BC. Clusters of resistance genes in plants evolve by divergent selection and a birth-and-death process. *Genome Res.* 1998:8(11):1113–1130. https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.8. 11.1113
- Narusaka M, Shirasu K, Noutoshi Y, Kubo Y, Shiraishi T, Iwabuchi M, Narusaka Y. RRS1 and RPS4 provide a dual Resistance-gene system against fungal and bacterial pathogens. Plant J. 2009:60(2):218–226. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-313X. 2009.03949.x
- Prigozhin DM, Krasileva KV. Analysis of intraspecies diversity reveals a subset of highly variable plant immune receptors and predicts their binding sites. *Plant Cell*. 2021:33(4):998–1015. https://doi.org/ 10.1093/plcell/koab013
- Sarris PF, Duxbury Z, Huh SU, Ma Y, Segonzac C, Sklenar J, Derbyshire P, Cevik V, Rallapalli G, Saucet SB, et al. A plant immune receptor detects pathogen effectors that target WRKY transcription factors. Cell. 2015:161(5):1089–1100. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.cell.2015.04.024
- Saur IM, Conlan BF, Rathjen JP. The N-terminal domain of the tomato immune protein Prf contains multiple homotypic and Pto kinase interaction sites. J Biol Chem. 2015:290(18):11258–11267. https:// doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M114.616532
- Seong K, Seo E, Witek K, Li M, Staskawicz B. Evolution of NLR resistance genes with noncanonical N-terminal domains in wild tomato species. New Phytol. 2020:227(5):1530–1543. https://doi.org/10. 1111/nph.16628
- Shao ZQ, Xue JY, Wu P, Zhang YM, Wu Y, Hang YY, Wang B, Chen JQ. Large-scale analyses of angiosperm nucleotide-binding site-leucine-rich repeat genes reveal three anciently diverged classes with distinct evolutionary patterns. *Plant Physiol.* 2016:170(4):2095–2109. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.15.01487
- Shimizu M, Hirabuchi A, Sugihara Y, Abe A, Takeda T, Kobayashi M, Hiraka Y, Kanzaki E, Oikawa K, Saitoh H, et al. A genetically linked pair of NLR immune receptors shows contrasting patterns of evolution. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2022:119(27):e2116896119. https:// doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2116896119

- Smith SA, Brown JW. Constructing a broadly inclusive seed plant phylogeny. Am J Bot. 2018:105(3):302–314. https://doi.org/10. 1002/ajb2.1019
- Sugihara Y, Abe Y, Takagi H, Abe A, Shimizu M, Ito K, Kanzaki E, Oikawa K, Kourelis J, Langner T, et al. Disentangling the complex gene interaction networks between rice and the blast fungus identifies a new pathogen effector. PLoS Biol. 2023:21(1): e3001945. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.3001945
- Sukumaran J, Holder MT. Dendropy: a Python library for phylogenetic computing. Bioinformatics. 2010:26(12):1569–1571. https:// doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btq228
- Uehling J, Deveau A, Paoletti M. Do fungi have an innate immune response? An NLR-based comparison to plant and animal immune systems. PLoS Pathog. 2017:13(10):e1006578. https://doi.org/10. 1371/journal.ppat.1006578
- Van de Weyer AL, Monteiro F, Furzer OJ, Nishimura MT, Cevik V, Witek K, Jones JDG, Dangl JL, Weigel D, Bemm F. A species-wide inventory of NLR genes and alleles in Arabidopsis thaliana. Cell. 2019: 178(5):1260–1272.e14. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2019.07.038
- Wang J, Hu M, Wang J, Qi J, Han Z, Wang G, Qi Y, Wang H-W, Zhou J-M, Chai J. Reconstitution and structure of a plant NLR resistosome conferring immunity. *Science*. 2019a:364(6435):eaav5870. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aav5870
- Wang J, Wang J, Hu M, Wu S, Qi J, Wang G, Han Z, Qi Y, Gao N, Wang H-W, et al. Ligand-triggered allosteric ADP release primes a plant NLR complex. Science. 2019b:364(6435):eaav5868. https://doi.org/ 10.1126/science.aav5868
- Weber E, Engler C, Gruetzner R, Werner S, Marillonnet S. A modular cloning system for standardized assembly of multigene constructs. PLoS One. 2011:6(2):e16765. https://doi.org/10.1371/ journal.pone.0016765
- Wikström N, Kainulainen K, Razafimandimbison SG, Smedmark JE, Bremer B. A revised time tree of the asterids: establishing a temporal framework for evolutionary studies of the coffee family (Rubiaceae). PLoS One. 2015:10(5):e0126690. https://doi.org/10. 1371/journal.pone.0126690
- Wu CH, Abd-El-Haliem A, Bozkurt TO, Belhaj K, Terauchi R, Vossen JH, Kamoun S. NLR network mediates immunity to diverse plant pathogens. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2017:114(30):8113–8118. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1702041114
- Wu CH, Adachi H, De la Concepcion JC, Castells-Graells R, Nekrasov V, Kamoun S. NRC4 gene cluster is not essential for bacterial flagellin-triggered immunity. Plant Physiol. 2020:182(1):455–459. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.19.00859
- Xu F, Zhu C, Cevik V, Johnson K, Liu Y, Sohn K, Jones JD, Holub EB, Li X. Autoimmunity conferred by chs3-2D relies on CSA1, its adjacent TNL-encoding neighbour. Sci Rep. 2015:5(1):8792. https:// doi.org/10.1038/srep08792
- Yang Y, Kim NH, Cevik V, Jacob P, Wan L, Furzer OJ, Dangl JL. Allelic variation in the Arabidopsis TNL CHS3/CSA1 immune receptor pair reveals two functional cell-death regulatory modes. Cell Host Microbe. 2022:30(12):1701–1716.e5. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. chom.2022.09.013
- Zhang N, Gan J, Carneal L, González-Tobón J, Filiatrault M, Martin GB. Helper NLRs Nrc2 and Nrc3 act co-dependently with Prf/Pto and activate MAPK signaling to induce immunity in tomato. *Plant J.* 2024;117(1):7–22. https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.16502
- Zhang Y, Wang Y, Liu J, Ding Y, Wang S, Zhang X, Liu Y, Yang S. Temperature-dependent autoimmunity mediated by chs1 requires its neighboring TNL gene SOC3. New Phytol. 2017:213(3): 1330–1345. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.14216