1	Micro- and nano-plastics in the marine-atmosphere environment			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Deonie Allen ^{1*†} , Steve Allen ^{2,3*} , Sajjad Abbasi ^{4,5} , Alex Baker ⁶ , Melanie Bergmann ⁷ , Janice Brahney ⁸ , Tim Butler ⁹ , Robert A. Duce ¹⁰ , Sabine Echhardt ¹¹ , Nikolaos Evangeliou ¹¹ , Tim Jickells ⁶ , Maria Kanakidou ¹² , Peter Kershaw ¹³ , Paolo Laj ^{14,15} , Joseph Levermore ¹⁶ , Daoji Li ¹⁷ , Peter Liss ⁶ , Kai Liu ¹⁷ , Natalie Mahowald ¹⁸ , Pere Masque ^{19, 20, 21} , Dušan Materić ²² , Andrew G. Mayes ²³ , Paul McGinnity ²¹ , Iolanda Osvath ²¹ , Kimberly A. Prather ^{24,25} , Joseph M. Prospero ²⁶ ,Laura E. Revell ²⁷ , Sylvia Sander ^{28,29} , Won Joon Shim ³⁰ , Jonathan Slade ²⁵ , Ariel Stein ³¹ , Oksana Tarasova ³² , Stephanie Wright ¹⁶			
10 11 12 13	+ Corresponding author: <u>deonie.allen@strath.ac.uk</u> * Equally contributing authors			
14 15 16	¹ Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Strathclyde, , Glasgow, Scotland			
17 18	² School of Geography, Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK			
19 20	³ Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, Dalhousie University, Halifax, Canada			
21 22	⁴ Department of Earth Sciences, College of Science, Shiraz University, Shiraz, Iran ⁵ Department of Radiochemistry and Environmental Chemistry, Faculty of Chemistry, Maria Curie-Skłodowska University, Lublin, Poland			
23 24 25	⁶ School of Environmental Sciences, Centre for Ocean and Atmospheric Sciences, University of East Anglia, Norwich, UK			
26 27 28 29 30	 ⁷ HGF-MPG Group for Deep-Sea Ecology and Technology, Alfred-Wegener-Institut Helmholtz-Zentrum für Polar- und Meeresforschung, Bremerhaven, Germany ⁸ Department of Watershed Sciences, Utah State University, Logan, Utah, USA ⁹ Institute for Advanced Sustainability Studies e.V. (IASS), Potsdam, Germany ¹⁰ Departments of Oceanography and Atmospheric Sciences, Texas A&M University, 			
31 32	College Station, TEXAS, USA ¹¹ Atmosphere and Climate Department, Norwegian Institute for Air Research (NILU),			
33 34 35 36	Kjeller, Norway ¹² Department of Chemistry (ECPL),University of Crete, Heraklion, Crete ¹³ Independent Marine Environmental Consultant, Norfolk, England ¹⁴ University of Grenoble Alpes, CNRS, IRD, Grenoble, France			
37 38	¹⁵ Institute for Atmospheric and Earth System Research (INAR), University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland			
39 40	¹⁶ Environmental Research Group, Imperial College London, School of Public Health, Faculty of Medicine, London, UK			
41 42	¹⁷ State Key Laboratory of Estuarine & Coastal Research, East China Normal University, Shanghai, China			
43 44 45	 ¹⁸ Department of Earth and Atmospheric Sciences, Cornell University, Ithaca, USA ¹⁹ School of Science, Centre for Marine Ecosystems Research, Edith Cowan University, Joondalup, Western Australia, Australia 			
46 47	²⁰ Departament de Física & Institut de Ciència i Tecnologia Ambientals, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Bellaterra, Spain			

- ⁴⁸ ²¹ International Atomic Energy Agency, Principality of Monaco, Monaco
- ⁴⁹ ²² Utrecht University, IMAU, Utrecht, The Netherlands
- ⁵⁰ ²³ School of Chemistry, University of East Anglia, Norwich, UK
- ⁵¹ ²⁴ Scripps Institution of Oceanography, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, CA
 ⁵² USA
- ⁵³ ²⁵ Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of California San Diego, La
 ⁵⁴ Jolla, CA, USA
- ²⁶ Rosenstiel School, Department of Atmospheric Sciences, University of Miami, Miami,
- 56 USA
- ⁵⁷ ²⁷ School of Physics and Chemical Sciences, University of Canterbury, Christchurch,
- 58 New Zealand
- ⁵⁹ ²⁸ Department of Chemistry, University of Otago, Dunedin, New Zealand
- ⁶⁰ ²⁹ GEOMAR, Helmholtz Centre for Ocean Research Kiel, Kiel, Germany
- ³⁰ Risk Assessment Research Centre, Korea Institute of Ocean Science and
- ⁶² Technology, Geoje, Republic of Korea
- ⁶³ ³¹ National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Air Research Laboratory
- 64 (ARL), Maryland, USA
- ³² Atmospheric Environment Research Division, Science and Innovations Department,
- 66 World Meteorological Organisation, Geneva, Switzerland.
- 67 68

69 Abstract

The discovery of atmospheric micro(nano)plastic (MnP) transport and ocean-atmosphere 70 exchange points to a highly complex marine plastic cycle. Yet, observations are currently 71 limited. In this Perspective, we quantify marine-atmospheric MnP cycle processes and 72 fluxes, with the aim of highlighting the remaining unknowns in atmospheric MnP transport. 73 Up to 25 (0.013-25) million metric tons per year (Mt) of MnP are potenitally being 74 transported in the marine atmosphere and deposited in the oceans. However, the high 75 uncertainty in these marine-atmosphere fluxes is related to data limitations and a lack of 76 study inter-comparability. To address the uncertainties and remaining knowledge gaps in 77 the marine-atmospheric MnP cycle, we propose a future global marine-atmospheric MnP 78 observation strategy, incorporating novel sampling methods and the creation of a 79 comparable, harmonised and global data set. Together with long-term observations and 80 intensive investigations, this strategy will help define the trends in marine-atmospheric 81 pollution and any responses to future policy and management actions. 82

- 83
- 84
- 85

86 Website summary:

Atmospheric transport of microplastic could be a major source of plastic pollution to the ocean, yet observations currently remain limited. This Perspective quantifies the known budgets of the marine-atmospheric micro(to nano)plastic cycle, and proposes a future global observation strategy.

91 [H1] Introduction

Over 368 million metric tons of single-use plastic were created in 2019 (refs. ^{1,2}) and is 92 projected to increase further owing to rapid and inexpensive plastic production, non-93 circular economic models and a single-use plastic culture. Plastic pollution has been 94 evidenced across all environmental compartments, including aquatic, soil and air³⁻⁶. 95 Projections indicate plastic pollution will treble by 2040 under a business as usual 96 scenario, up to ~80 million metric tons of waste per year (based on 2016 environmental 97 plastic pollution estimates)⁷. Of the total managed and mismanaged plastic waste 98 created, ~12% is projected to enter the aquatic environment and ~22% to enter the 99 terrestrial environment, with an estimated ~60 million metric tons per year lost to just 100 aquatic and terrestrial environmental compartments by 2030^{7,8}. However, there is 101 currently a limited assessment of the atmospheric compartment. 102

103

The global oceanic microplastic cycle^{12,13} is currently guantified based on observational 104 and modelled data of microplastics in marine and fresh water, biota and sediments, as 105 these environments are frequently studied⁹⁻¹¹. Terrestrial runoff, river discharge and 106 marine currents carry micro(nano)plastic (MnP; see Box 1 for definitions) from terrestrial 107 sources to distal areas such as the Arctic, Antarctic and deep-sea locations over months 108 to years¹⁴. Whilst relatively slow, this mechanism is important in transporting MnP to 109 remote areas that can negatively impact marine life^{15,16}. Although studied less, 110 atmospheric transport research similarly illustrates that wind can transport MnP at trans-111 continental and trans-oceanic scales¹⁷⁻²⁰. Atmospheric transport is comparably much 112

faster than oceanic transport, as it can convey particles from sources to remote locations
 over a matter of days to weeks^{18,20,21}. Long-distance transport to remote and Polar
 Regions could occur through a combination of atmospheric and marine conveyance
 (Supplementary Note 1), enabling plastic pollutants to infiltrate and influence even the
 most remote and uninhabited ecosystems of Earth.

118

Atmospheric MnP can also affect surface climate, and therefore ecosystem health, via 119 theorised influences on surface albedo¹⁹, cloud formation²² and radiative forcing²³ 120 (Supplementary Note 2). Although MnPs have diverse colours, they are hypothesised to 121 influence surface albedo and accelerate cryosphere melting when deposited on snow and 122 ice^{19,26}. In addition, laboratory-based experiments demonstrate that atmospheric MnP 123 particles are effective ice nucleation particles, potentially influencing cloud lifetime and 124 albedo^{22,24,25}. Similarly, MnP have been modelled to cause positive and negative radiative 125 forcing via direct effects, depending on their size and vertical distribution²³. For example, 126 greater radiation absorption and resultant atmospheric warming occurs when MnP are 127 present throughout the troposphere²³. While these theories have been hypothesised or 128 modelled (with notable constraints and assumptions), physical monitoring and 129 observation studies are urgently needed to validate and quantify MnP atmospheric 130 influences. Critically, the only radiative forcing calculations performed to date were for 131 non-pigmented polymers²³. 132

133

Beyond ecosystem health, MnPs are also an emergent pollutant of human health concern 134 through ingestion and inhalation^{27,28}. Potentially comparable to soot or black carbon, 135 atmospheric MnP transported from proximal or distal sources can enter the human food 136 web through deposition on agricultural land or food preparation areas. This atmospheric 137 MnP is in addition to other sources of plastic widely used in agriculture, directly added to 138 soils, used in food packaging, or uptake by seafood)^{12,29-31}. As a result, atmospheric MnP 139 forms part of the threat to global sustainability and the ability of the global community to 140 implement all or most of the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals³². 141

142

In this Perspective, we synthesize current atmospheric MnP data and propose that the 143 atmosphere provides an important but unconstrained flux of marine MnP. While 144 atmospheric data is still limited, several studies have identified key processes that could 145 substantially promote global transport to the oceans. Modelling suggests that there is 146 considerable atmospheric transport of terrestrial MnP to marine environments^{18,19}. 147 Furthermore, the incorporation of atmospheric MnP transport processes into marine MnP 148 assessments indicates the export of MnP to the atmosphere and potentially to terrestrial 149 environments. Therefore, it is important to quantify the atmospheric compartment 150 (emission, transport and deposition) to obtain an accurate estimate of marine MnP fluxes. 151 A collective effort is needed to better quantify and characterise the marine atmospheric 152 MnP cycle, so that the roles of MnP in the atmosphere, ocean and land can be more fully 153 understood. 154

- 155
- 156

157 [H1] Marine plastic cycle processes

158

Micro and nano plastic that is atmospherically transported to and deposited on the ocean 159 surfaces can originate from a multitude of sources (both marine and terrestrial)⁵³ and can 160 be conveyed long distances. However, guantitative assessment of atmospheric emission 161 of MnP specific to land use type or activity is limited. This lack of quantification has 162 resulted in numerous assumptions and uncertainties in global modelling and estimation 163 of atmospheric MnP budgets and flux estimates. This section discusses what is known 164 and unknown regarding the sources, transport and deposition of marine-atmospheric 165 MnP. 166

167 168

169 170 [H2] Sources

Activities that result in atmospheric MnP creation and emission can generally be 171 characterised as terrestrial or marine. Marine emission of MnP to the atmosphere is an 172 emerging field of research and formative investigation in the field and laboratory point 173 towards MnP ocean-air interface exchange. As such, the coastal zone is thought to serve 174 as a source of MnP through beach sand erosion and entrainment, sea spray and bubble 175 burst ejection along the surf zone due to wind and waves^{58–60}. In the coastal and open-176 ocean environments, MnP particles could be scavenged from the water column by 177 bubbles and ejected into the atmosphere when the bubbles burst^{55,61}. As with coastal 178 zone processes, wind and wave action could increase the rate of ocean emission of MnP, 179 for example along the ever-changing boundary between Arctic and Antarctic sea water 180 and glacial ice or sea ice edge⁶². Aguaculture, coastal and offshore fishing have also 181 been identified as a source of marine MnP⁶³. 182

183

The emission and (subsequent) atmospheric entrainment (the transition from surface to 184 air followed by atmospheric transport) of agricultural soil MnPs have been guantified in 185 the field and estimated in specific soils conditions (well sorted quartz sand, poorly-sorted 186 organic soil, semi-arid soils)^{64,65}. These studies, which focused on specific processes 187 rather than the complex surface-atmosphere flux, suggest MnP emission of 0.08-1.48 188 mg/m²/minute for relatively large microplastic particles (generally 100-200µm in size)^{64,65}. 189 It is acknowledged that there might be local or immediate (re-)deposition, but this is 190 currently unguantified and requires further, focused research. However, if these values 191 are used without localised (re-)deposition considerations, and acknowledging that 11% 192 of habitable surface is agricultural (crop) land use (11 million km²)⁶⁶, this equates to 193 potential global emission of 0.0009 to 0.016 million metric tons (Mt) suspended per minute 194 when exposed to erosive wind (0.5–22m/s)⁶⁴. During strong wind events, there is potential 195 for atmospheric emission of agricultural MnP to extend to the region of million metric tons 196 per year. The wind erosion and emission rate of smaller MnP still needs to be determined. 197 198

Tyre and brake wear become atmospherically emitted and entrained through road use and vehicle movement^{67,68}. Early estimates suggested potential tyre emissions of ~6 tons/km/year⁶⁹. However, published studies acknowledge the highly variable concentrations of MnP in road dust due to spatial, temporal and meteorological characteristics, road and vehicle per year conditions (for example country, season, vehicle type and road maintenance). Current tyre and brake wear atmospheric emissions are suggested to be up to ≤40% of total tyre and brake wear emissions, amounting to 0.2-

5.5kg per capita for particles $\leq 10 \mu m^{19,68}$. Alternative emission estimations are based on 206 a constant tyre wear to CO₂ ratio (0.49 mg TWP g⁻¹ CO₂) or using the Greenhouse gas-207 Air pollution Interactions and Synergies (GAINS)⁷⁰ model estimations (<0.25-~32 tonnes 208 per year, based on region-specific, distance-driven and vehicle-type emission 209 information). These different estimation techniques result in a global atmospheric flux of 210 tyre and brake wear ranging from <0.15 to 4.3 million metric tons per year. It is important 211 to note that many atmospheric MnP findings (MnP per m³ or MnP per m²) do not include 212 tyre or brake wear particles due to analytical difficulties. 213

214

Cities and dense urban living are considered an atmospheric MnP source due to human 215 activities (for example commerce, industry, transport, household)^{67,71,72}, plastic use and 216 waste management (landfills, recycling centres, incineration)73-77. While there is a 217 arowing dataset of urban atmospheric MnP quantitative characterisation, the atmospheric 218 emission rates from specific materials, actions and environments are currently unknown. 219 Within urban environments, atmospheric MnP has been quantified from 0.9MP/m³ (Paris 220 outdoor air⁷⁸) to 5700 MP/m³ (Beijing outdoor air⁷⁹) (Supplementary Figure 1). However, 221 these estimates were reported without any differentiation to indicate the proportion of MnP 222 transported to each location from a local or distal source, or the proportion occurring as 223 local emission, or the quantity lost due to atmospheric transport away from the local urban 224 source. One study has used field data extrapolation and simple transport modelling to 225 estimate the indoor microplastic fibre contribution to marine MnP deposition, suggesting 226 a contribution of 7-33 metric tons per year⁸⁰. Due to the early stage in field observation 227 and MnP source emission research, urban atmospheric MnP emission rates are very 228 uncertain and currently based primarily on theoretical estimates. 229

230 231

[H2] Transport and deposition

There have been numerous quantitative observations of MnPs in remote locations where 233 plastic pollution is attributed to atmospheric transport. These include the Ecuadorian 234 Andes⁸¹, French Pyrenees¹⁷, Italian Alps⁸², US conservation areas⁸³, snow in the 235 Arctic^{62,84}, Nunavut (Canadian Arctic)⁸⁵, Isle of Helgoland (Germany)⁶², Austrian and 236 Swiss Alps^{20,62,86}, the Iranian Plateau⁸⁷, and the Tibetan Plateau⁸⁸. Atmospheric transport 237 of MnP particles is extensive, reaching hundreds to potentially thousands of kilometres 238 from major emission sources (for example, cities, intensive agriculture, industry). 239 Therefore, while there is limited quantitative field observation of atmospheric MnP, the 240 observed atmospheric transport and modelling suggest the atmosphere to contain, 241 transport and deposit MnPs throughout the marine environment. 242

There is a substantial body of literature on microplastics in the environment. However, 243 most research is focused on the aquatic or terrestrial environments (855 and 366 244 publications respectively in 2020)^{89,90}. In total, over 70 published scientific studies (field 245 or laboratory research) are on atmospheric MnP, of which only 6 focus on the marine 246 environment (Supplementary Data, Google Scholar, Web of Science and Scopus search). 247 The concentration of suspended microplastic particles in urban air range up to 5700 248 MP/m³ (in Beijing⁷⁹) and studies generally suggest that particle concentrations decrease 249 with distance from city centres⁹¹. 250

Marine air samples generally present lower atmospheric microplastic concentrations 251 compared to terrestrial levels. Marine atmospheric MnP concentrations of up to 0.06-1.37 252 MP/m³ have been reported over the North Atlantic Ocean, South China Sea, Indian 253 Ocean and Western Pacific Ocean (Figure 2). However, this marine sampling comprises 254 particles collected predominantly in the range of 20µm-5mm^{92–94} (limited focus or analysis 255 on the smaller particle size range, Supplementary Data) and is thus an underestimation. 256 Comparatively, the Beijing and other terrestrial studies extend down to 5µm (limit of 257 quantification), potentially resulting in relatively elevated particle counts given the 258 increasing particle count with decreasing particle size. However, it has been shown that 259 coastal air samples of wind in an onshore direction (blowing from the sea to the land) can 260 carry elevated microplastic concentrations of ~2.9 MP/m³, rising to 19 MP/m³ during 261 turbulent sea conditions⁵⁵. Bubble and sea spray studies of ocean chemical species 262 suggest that this increase in atmospheric microplastic could be due to the bubble burst 263 ejection process and spume entrainment^{95,96}, where the bubble source (horizontally within 264 the water column and spatially such as within a gyre or coastal environment) might be 265 particularly important^{18,97}. 266

267

The deposition of airborne MnP has been measured across a range of terrestrial 268 environments, but has only recently been measured in the offshore marine environment 269 in the form of deposited snow on ice floes⁶². MnP particles collected using passive 270 deposition sampling can present different particle counts and morphology compared to 271 active (pumped) air samples^{78,94,103,116,148}. This might be due to the different transport 272 processes in action (for example scavenging, settling, convective or advective transport) 273 or the sampling methodology (active versus passive sampling, deposition versus 274 suspended particle sampling), and is an important area of future investigation. 275

276

Thus, to quantify the marine atmospheric MnP flux, both air and depositional field studies must consider the full atmospheric transport process and quantify marine MnP flux. The morphology and quantitative characterisation of marine atmospheric MnP deposition beyond these polar regions are unknown, and thus marine deposition assessments are primarily theoretically modelled estimates due to lack of field data. The quantitative assessment of marine aquatic MnP particle ejection to the atmosphere and transport of these particles is also in its infancy, resulting in estimations based on limited field data.

284 285

[H1] Marine-atmosphere plastic flux

Atmosphere-ocean interactions are important to understand so that the particle sizes and 287 quantities can be identified. The atmosphere transports predominantly small micro- and 288 nano-plastics compared to fluvial processes, and is a notably faster transport pathway. 289 potentially resulting in substantial marine particle deposition and exchange between the 290 ocean and atmosphere. Smaller micro and nanoplastics are also of concern to species 291 and ecosystem health, therefore quantifying the marine atmospheric exchange and 292 transport process is necessary to monitor marine ecosystem health. Conversely, 293 quantifying the marine emission and atmospheric transport of MnPs to terrestrial 294 environments is necessary as many remote areas, distal from terrestrial micro and 295

nanoplastic sources, could be notably influenced by marine atmospheric MnP. In this
 section, the estimates, uncertainties and future improvements in marine-atmosphere
 fluxes are discussed.

299

301

300 [H2] Estimates

Early estimates of the atmospheric MnP within the marine environment have been 302 undertaken using simple extrapolation of continental data through to more dynamic 303 atmospheric process modelling. The 2017 IUCN report suggests 15% of marine plastic 304 pollution is wind transported (estimated primary microplastic marine pollution input of 0.8-305 2.5 million metric tons, therefore 0.12-0.38 million metric tons of atmospheric 306 deposition)¹⁴⁹. Acknowledging that both primary and secondary MnP particles are 307 atmospherically transported to the marine environment, simplistic extrapolation of 308 atmospheric MnP deposition onto the ocean surface has been carried out. Using the 309 reported remote area atmospheric MnP deposition quantities and the global ocean 310 surface area (3.6x10⁸ km²), microplastic deposition (particles between 1µm and 5mm in 311 size) on the marine environment has been estimated as 10 million metric tons per year⁵⁴. 312 New nanoplastic deposition analysis, considering only the <200nm particle fraction, 313 suggests that this smaller sized plastic pollution might result in up to 15 million metric tons 314 of nanoplastic deposition on the ocean surface per year²⁰. For context, 10 million metric 315 tons is equivalent to 3% of current annual global total plastic production (2018, 359 million 316 metric tons)^{54,150}, represents 11% of mismanaged plastic waste (2016, 91 million metric 317 tons/year)⁷, is comparable to the plastic (macro and micro) entering aquatic ecosystems 318 (11-23 million metric tons per year)^{7,8} and potentially transported to the marine 319 environment (4-13 million metric tons) (2010)⁵⁶ (Figure 1). 320

321

Global model estimations have been undertaken using estimated emission rates from 322 terrestrial (and marine) sources and current atmospheric MnP transport dynamics. 323 Lagrangian transport and dispersion modelling (FLEXPART) of tyre and brake wear MnPs 324 (high density polymers that form a fraction of the total atmospheric and marine plastic 325 pollution) illustrate that >30-34% of these continental MnP particles are atmospherically 326 transported and deposited on ocean surfaces (analysis of only MnPs $\leq 10 \mu m$, Figure 4)¹⁹. 327 FLEXPART modelling suggests that net tyre and brake wear MnP input into the oceans 328 via atmospheric transport and deposition could be ~ 0.14 million metric tons per year¹⁹. 329 This is comparable to the annual quantity of tyre wear reported to enter the oceans via 330 fluvial transport (0.064 million metric tons per year, tyres wear only)¹⁹. Gross atmospheric 331 deposition and marine microplastic flux has also been globally modelled (using the 332 Community Atmospheric Model, CAM)¹⁸. The CAM estimate incorporates land based 333 atmospheric microplastic emissions and as such has a high uncertainty due to data 334 availability and associated assumptions. The CAM model includes ocean ejection and 335 recirculation (resuspension) of microplastic particles, incorporating marine bubble burst 336 ejection and wave action into the marine microplastic cycle. Gross atmospheric deposition 337 to the ocean is estimated as 0.013 million metric tons¹⁸. It is important to note that the 338 CAM model microplastic particle size distribution is notably more coarse than the 339 FLEXPART tyre and brake wear modelling, adopting a particle size distribution generally 340 above 5µm and focused on particles 10-50µm in size. The model suggests that potentially 341 >11% of urban atmospheric deposition comes from sea spray or bubble burst ejection in 342

the marine environment and that up to 99% of the total marine microplastic ejection to the
 atmosphere (re)deposits within the marine environment (Figure 1, Supplementary Note
 3).

345 346

347 [H2] Uncertainties

These early marine flux and deposition estimates range from 0.013 to 25 million metric 348 tons per year, illustrating the uncertainty resulting from data and research limitations. 349 There is limited global representation of atmospheric MnP concentrations due to the 350 limited number of studies, limited parallel air concentration and deposition studies and the 351 limited global observation extent (Figure 2). Field data is especially scarce in the marine 352 atmospheric environment, a lack that constrains the capacity to accurately calculate and 353 validate estimated and modelled marine environment results of emission, deposition, 354 marine atmospheric burden and flux. As a result, current marine atmospheric MnP 355 understanding and flux estimations are based on available data and assumptions, 356 resulting in large uncertainties around calculated flux and transport results. 357

358

372

A primary knowledge gap is the quantitative assessment of source emissions to the 359 atmosphere, both marine and terrestrial. The quantitative characterisation of atmospheric 360 MnP primary and secondary source emission is needed across the full temporal (all 361 seasons and weather patterns) and spatial range (Arctic to Antarctic, remote to urban 362 areas). Currently, atmospheric emission rates (for example particles or mass released 363 per hour or m²) are assumed or estimated, both in models and flux calculations due to 364 the complexity of in field study assessment (specifically the disaggregation of background 365 atmospheric MnP presence from the source specific emission). To advance the 366 atmospheric flux accuracy and to understand key sources of atmospheric MnP, these 367 emission rates require field observation and validation using advanced field sampling 368 methods (for example horizontal and vertical array sampling across a prospective source 369 area to define upwind and local atmospheric MnP concentrations relative to emission 370 specific concentrations). 371

The understanding and experimental validation of wet removal (scavenging) of 373 atmospheric MnP is relatively unknown. While MnPs are often considered hydrophobic, 374 once within the environment it is unknown whether this hydrophobicity changes, for 375 example, due to corona effects, photodegradation and weathering, or leaching of 376 phthalates. Field and laboratory controlled studies are needed to describe changes to the 377 microphysical behaviour of environmental MnPs as a result of environmental exposure 378 and therefore corresponding changes to the emission, transport and deposition behaviour 379 of these particles. Furthermore, entrainment and turbulent mixing dynamics of MnP are 380 also poorly understood; they are generally modelled using proxies (for example Saharan 381 dust, or Cesium-137) or theoretical particle motions (based on particle mass, shape and 382 density). To improve flux estimates and model outputs, laboratory and field 383 experimentation and data are needed to adequately describe the emission, (re-384)entrainment, turbulent mixing and deposition dynamics (Figure 3) of these generally 385 negatively charged^{151,152}, low density, non-uniform MnP particles. 386

387

Comparability between studies is difficult at best. The wide range of sampling methods, analytical techniques and reporting standards has resulted in publication of MnP

observations with differing limits of detection (LOD) or quantification (LOQ), incomparable 390 size fractionation, differing particle characterisation (shape, polymer type) and sampling 391 of different processes (for example snow deposition versus pumped volume of air)^{3,153,154}. 392 Atmospheric (terrestrial and marine) MnP studies need to provide comparable results to 393 ensure data advances the understanding of source, transport, deposition and flux 394 guantification. To achieve this, inter-method comparison studies are needed to define the 395 method specific limitations and the relative uncertainties of each method, allowing 396 published findings to be directly compared. For example, a sample analysed by µRaman 397 and Nile Red fluorescence microscopy could provide similar MnP counts, but the relative 398 uncertainties for each analytical method have not been quantified to support effective 399 direct comparison. Early comparative studies have started to identify under or over 400 estimations relative to specific analytical methods but without direct comparison and 401 quantification of these uncertainties specific to particle shape, size and polymer 402 type^{155,156}. Similarly, there is an assumption that sample collection methods are accurate 403 and effective representations of the environment or medium they sample. However, the 404 respective comparable sampling efficiencies of deposition and air concentration 405 collectors, and the associated uncertainties, are unquantified. For example, deposition 406 sample collectors such as funnels connected to a collection bottle¹¹⁶, petri dishes with 407 double sided tape¹²⁶, NILU deposition collectors¹²⁵, or Brahney Buckets¹⁵⁷ (to name a 408 few) have different blow-by (particle not collected due to turbulence at sampler opening 409 resulting from sampler design or wind conditions), entrapment and retention efficiencies, 410 resuspension and sample losses. These comparative analysis and method unknowns 411 result in unquantifiable uncertainties in flux estimates. 412

413

Tyre and brake wear can comprise an important fraction of urban MnP pollution and might 414 be an important component of marine atmospheric MnP^{19,68}. However, in practice, these 415 black particles can be difficult to characterise by spectroscopic methods because of 416 limited signal due to absorption of input wavelengths and strength of vibrational response. 417 Therefore, type and brake wear particle chemical characterisation is often achieved with 418 destructive degradation thermal methods. without particle morphology 419 characterisation^{68,158}. As a result, many atmospheric MnP studies either focus on tyre and 420 brake wear or exclude these particle types and quantify classic plastics (for example 421 polyethylene, polypropylene, polyvinyl chloride, polyester, polyethylene terephthalate and 422 others). This has created a disjointed dataset of MnP that does not represent the total 423 (tyre and brake wear plus all other polymer types) MnP concentration, burden, emission 424 or deposition. This disjoin creates uncertainty in total MnP calculations and representation 425 (both atmospheric and marine). 426

427

[H2] Methods to advance the flux estimate

428 429

To advance the accuracy in the marine atmospheric MnP flux, greater understanding of atmospheric concentrations, deposition, emission and entrainment mechanisms and rates are needed across the global spatial and temporal range. There are numerous atmospheric processes that have not yet been quantitatively characterised or parameterised (orange processes highlighted in Figure 3) which need to be assessed to close the marine air mass balance, advance the particle flux estimation, and limit the uncertainty in flux and transport estimations. These include the vertical distribution of ⁴³⁷ MnPs both on the inshore and offshore, ocean ejection of MnPs offshore, and coastal ⁴³⁸ and offshore deposition.

439 440

453

It is a challenging task to properly sample atmospheric fluxes of MnP in any environment, 441 but it is particularly difficult in remote marine environments. Marine atmospheric sampling 442 (for dust and particulates, not plastic) has been undertaken using Modified Wilson and 443 Cook samplers (MWAC), which typically collect particles >50µm (losing the smaller 444 particle fraction)^{21,159}. In addition, pump sampling devices have been mounted on buoys 445 and ships^{61,92,93}. Modified versions of these methods can be included in the array of 446 sampling methods effective for MnP marine atmospheric research on ocean or coastal 447 platforms¹⁶⁰, but field testing is needed to ensure these methods provide appropriate MnP 448 data across the full particle size range and function in the complex marine climate 449 (inclement weather). Method advances and innovation are needed to sample the <50 µm 450 MnP particles, especially in open-ocean and remote locations, and to provide sample 451 methods close to the water surface. 452

While the study of marine MnP emission to the atmosphere via bubble-burst ejection and 454 sea spray processes is in its infancy^{55,59,60,97}, since the 2000's there has been extensive 455 research on the mechanism of sea-salt aerosol production and other materials involved 456 with ocean-atmosphere exchange^{96,161,162}. These provide a foundation on which to base 457 future research of ocean ejection of MnP to the atmosphere. To quantify ocean MnP 458 emissions via bubble-burst ejection, it might be possible to use sampling methods such 459 as the Bubble Interface Microlayer Sampler (BIMS)¹⁶³. The BIMS was originally designed 460 for sea salt aerosol studies, however its use is limited to calm seas. When used in 461 conjunction with deposition measurements and pumped air sampling campaigns, a BIMS-462 type device could effectively advance the quantification of ocean-atmosphere MnP 463 exchange in the field. In the laboratory, wave flumes and marine aerosol reference tanks, 464 extensively used in sea-spray aerosol research, could provide a tool to observe and 465 quantify the MnP wave and bubble ejection processes^{164,165}. 466

467

Atmospheric MnPs generally fall within the lower range of microplastics (<500µm) down 468 to nanoplastics, a complex particle size to analyse^{166,167} and within the range of concern 469 for environmental and human health. The majority of atmospheric MnP studies are 470 constrained by their particle counts, polymer type and shape, and limit of quantification 471 (published down to 11µm using an FTIR or 2µm using a µRaman, but with pixel size 472 limitations and in LOD of 10µm for FTIR, 1µm for Raman under standard analytical 473 setup)^{168,169}. Polymer identification analysis, across the full particle size range, is a vital 474 requirement for MnP analysis and reporting^{3,170,171}. Analysis of individual particles below 475 1µm can be achieved (for example using equipment such as Raman tweezers, AFM-476 IR)^{166,172,173} but is resource heavy and difficult to analyse a representative proportion of a 477 field sample. To advance the understanding and flux assessment of atmospheric marine 478 MnPs, new techniques and advancements in technology are needed to enable submicron 479 particle polymer analysis that provides comparable results to the micron particle studies 480 published to date. 481

482

There is limited testing or parallel analysis of mass and particle counts to date^{154,155}, 483 resulting in mass based results being mathematically converted to particle counts and 484 vice versa, and the uncertainty associated with this mathematical estimation. Mass 485 analysis of MnP using destructive methods (thermal degradation) is now possible for very 486 low concentrations of nanoplastics in environmental samples^{20,174}. While thermal 487 degradation methods do not have a theoretical size limit, these methods are constrained 488 by the minimum concentration (total mass) required to achieve detection. However, the 489 uncertainty associated with comparative mass to particle count and particle 490 characterisation analysis is unquantified for nano and micro plastic studies. To ensure 491 accurate conversion of mass-particle count ^{55,83} and the comparability of analytical results 492 using these different methods, comparative experimental analysis of spectroscopic and 493 thermal degrading methods is necessary for atmospheric MnP samples. 494

495

Within the research community, it is acknowledged that reporting must be prescriptive 496 and standardised. While it might not be possible to standardise the collection or analytical 497 methods across individual studies and institutions, future studies need to present the 498 following to ensure a comparable and consistent knowledge base and database of MnPs: 499 the limits of detection and quantification of studies (LOD and LOQ); a clear description of 500 analytical methods to support inter-study comparison; guality assurance and control (use 501 of field blanks and spiked sample recovery, positive and negative controls); 502 documentation of contamination controls (clean room use, field and laboratory 503 contamination prevention actions); method and calculations for blank correction of sample 504 results; sample replication and individual replicate results^{43,170,171,175}. While visual or 505 graphical representation of MnP findings can be done in coarse particle increments, it is 506 necessary for inter-study comparability that findings are presented in the smallest, 507 consistent particle size increments possible (for example, a table of 5 µm size increments 508 provided in a data repository or supplementary dataset). Similarly, MnP particle sizes 509 need to be presented as physical particle sizes for ecotoxicology assessment and also 510 as aerodynamic diameters for transport modelling and inhalation studies^{122,176}. Analytical 511 methods have advanced beyond visual identification (effective to ~500µm)¹⁷⁷⁻¹⁷⁹ and 512 while polymer identification by thermal degradation or spectroscopy (chemical 513 fingerprinting) methods for all particles is not always possible due to resource constraints, 514 a minimum of 10% (ideally 30%+) of reported particles must be validated using (at least 515 one) of these methods. 516

517 518

[H1] A global strategy

The oceans comprise over 70% of the Earth's surface, highlighting the global importance 520 of understanding the marine atmospheric MnP cycle, transport and exchange processes. 521 Knowledge of these processes is a prerequisite to assessing the risk posed by the 522 atmospheric transport of MnP on species, ecosystems, and human health¹⁸⁰. Individual 523 MnP studies undertaken suggest that MnP are omnipresent over the oceans and that 524 long-distance transport of atmospheric MnP could be a critical factor in supplying these 525 particles to the oceans. In order to quantify these processes, a comprehensive, formalised 526 global program is needed that follows a harmonised protocol of sampling and analysis. A 527

key objective is to provide comparable datasets that enable detailed characterisation of

- 529 MnP concentrations and properties over the ocean, their temporal and spatial variability,
- as well as the importance of the atmospheric compartment to marine plastic pollution.
- 531

Multi-year measurements at selected long-term observation sites will identify current state 532 and trends in atmospheric MnP concentrations. Such long-term observation activities are 533 usually a part of a globally coordinated research or monitoring network(s) due to cost and 534 to ensure data uniformity. We propose an organizational approach to address these 535 research needs (Box 2). These activities are broadly compartmentalized under 536 Measurement Studies and Modelling Studies. The objective of this research organization 537 is to ensure the identified data limitations, inter-study comparability issues and process 538 knowledge gaps are fully addressed with specific objectives in mind. However, there must 539 be cooperation and integration across all activities. 540

541 542

543 [H2] Global long-term observation network

Early modelling of atmospheric MnP gross deposition shows considerable atmospheric 544 deposition to the oceans, especially the Mediterranean Sea, and the North Pacific and 545 North Atlantic Oceans (Supplementary Figure 4)¹⁸. However, these estimates must be 546 used with caution because much of the deposition theoretically represents both MnP 547 ejected from the ocean surface and transported from the terrestrial environment^{18,55}. 548 Studies looking only at tyre and brake wear show substantial net atmospheric MnP 549 deposition in the mid-and high-latitude North Atlantic, North Pacific and the northern 550 Indian Ocean (Figure 4)¹⁹. These early findings, although limited to a subset of 551 microplastic types, provide guidance in establishing location priorities in studies of the 552 global MnP cycle. 553

554 555

To expedite these studies, it is recommend that the existing stations (Figure 4) in the 556 World Meteorological Organization (WMO) Global Atmosphere Watch (GAW) 557 program^{181,182} be used as the initial long-term monitoring platform network^{181,182}. The 558 proposed sites are non-prescriptive but form an effective basis for a long-term observation 559 network for atmospheric MnPs. GAW coordinates activities in a global array of fixed 560 platforms and follows a fully developed protocol of high-quality measurements of a wide 561 range of atmospheric composition variables, including aerosol properties¹⁸³ and of 562 atmospheric deposition¹⁸⁴. It is recommended that as part of the international effort all 563 observational sites adopt common measurement and quality assurance protocols and 564 centralized data reporting. At least two GAW stations have tentatively undertaken 565 microplastics measurements. As such, the WMO/GAW program presents an ideal and 566 cost-effective global monitoring network to commence long-term observation of 567 atmospheric MnP. 568

569

The sites (Figure 4) are suggested based on their capacity to create multi-year time series for extended sets of variables, ranging from atmospheric constituents to atmospheric dynamics, key to MnP variability analysis. Sites located on isolated coasts or islands are ideal in that they minimize the impact from local and regional sources of MnP. The

network configuration includes the most intense deposition areas as identified through 574 early modelling effort and published field data (Supplementary Note 4). A selection of 575 coastal and marine locations would ensure good coverage on a global scale (Figure 4), 576 including regions where transport is potentially weak. Atmospheric MnP modelling 577 suggests transport and deposition plumes downwind of North and South America, Africa, 578 Australia and Asia¹⁹. Long-term observation stations are scarce in these regions and 579 additional stations need to be added to the network (future network expansion) to 580 represent these areas. 581

[H2] Observation and sampling campaigns

Long-term observations and monitoring activities are designed to provide multi-year to 583 decadal datasets that can illustrate long-term and event specific trends and fluxes^{185–189}. 584 Past and currently active global monitoring networks studying non-plastic atmospheric 585 substances have used a variety of sampling platforms, sampling methods, observation 586 and monitoring campaigns. Building on this wealth of marine and atmospheric research 587 experience, the proposed coordinated research strategy incorporates a unified and 588 standardized long-term monitoring campaign. It is recommended weekly sampling (to 589 yield monthly mean MnP particle quantitative particle characterisation and mass 590 analyses), which could initially suffice for the gross characterisation of transport quantities 591 (although it is acknowledged this for such a novel global study, adjustments will be made 592 after initial datasets are created). 593

In addition to the long-term observations, complementary exploration and process studies 594 would occur within the network. These studies would create high resolution datasets 595 (minute, hour, daily sampling dependent on the research focus) undertaken through 596 shorter-term intensive research campaigns using specialized equipment and platforms 597 (for example, UAVs, BIMS). It is important that these exploration and process campaigns 598 create data comparable with the global long-term observation dataset, therefore following 599 (at an overview level) the basic observation outputs of the long-term dataset. The 600 intensive research campaigns will link detailed process and event specific data and 601 findings to specific source regions, synoptic conditions or transport processes. 602

The global observation network can take several years to develop a full description of the 603 atmospheric MnP burden, flux and trends due to annual and inter-annual variability of 604 conditions that affect entrainment, transport and deposition of atmospheric particles¹⁹⁰. A 605 fundamental aspect of such a monitoring network is that MnP measurements must be co-606 located with other observations, in particular aerosol chemical and physical properties 607 and meteorological conditions. In the long run, fixed-point observatories in the ocean 608 should become part of the observation network. As a part of the international efforts¹⁸², 609 the proposed observational sites will adopt centralized data reporting (similar to the World 610 Meteorology Organisation dataset management). 611

612

613 [H2] Proposed sampling platforms

Sampling strategies to achieve long-term observations are initially proposed for fixed stations (Figure 4) using both passive deposition and active (pumped air, such as Tisch

HiVol) sampling methods. These sites could include sampling towers similar to those

used in the SEAREX and AEROCE networks (17-20m walk-up scaffold sampling towers

equipped with elevated atmospheric samplers supported by temporary or permanent field

⁶¹⁹ laboratories located on both continental coast and islands at the terrestrial-marine ⁶²⁰ interface)^{185–188}.

621

It is proposed that the fixed (coastal and island) long-term observations will be augmented 622 by offshore long-term observations attained from repetitive research vessel campaigns. 623 Research vessels often carry out repeat transits and cruises to the Arctic, Atlantic, Pacific 624 and Antarctic waters (any sea or ocean)^{189,191,192}. Such campaigns are typically 20-40 625 days' duration and entail frequent location changes, which enable offshore sampling over 626 a wide spatial and temporal range (Supplementary Note 4). Offshore atmospheric 627 microplastic sampling has been limited to air filter sample collection^{61,92,93}. Future 628 campaign protocols must be extended to include deposition and nanoplastic sampling. 629 Intensive studies to quantitatively characterise the under-studied processes and 630 environmental conditions (Figure 3) will need to use novel and innovative sampling 631 methods, redesigned and validated specifically for MnP observation. It is expected these 632 will include platforms and methods based on research vessels, aircraft, UAVs, buoys, or 633 temporary sampling towers. Intensive offshore and coastal water interface sampling is 634 novel, and initially it is recommended that methodology such as the Bubble Interface 635 Microlayer Sampler (BIMS) (with advancements specific to MnP analysis) is used. 636

Low latitude air sampling, vertical and horizontal array sampling over coastal and offshore 637 environments, can be achieved through use of unmanned aerial vehicles. Unmanned 638 aerial vehicles (UAVs) have limitations on flight duration but can sample over extensive 639 vertical and spatial distances provided sampling payloads are kept minimal^{193,194}. UAVs 640 are cost-effective, they sample at low airspeed and can maintain a selected altitude and 641 location (for minutes to hours) to allow sampling of specific air masses. Furthermore, 642 UAVs can fly close to high-risk surfaces and locations (for example, sea surface and 643 urban areas, potentially high-emission activities) with fewer constraints. This level of 644 control in flight path and, therefore, sample precision could be very useful for intensive air 645 and emission source sampling in the marine environment (Supplementary Note 4). UAVs 646 will enable sampling in locations where access is limited. Use of UAV could improve 647 measurements of the overall marine atmospheric MnP burden and help to quantify ocean-648 atmosphere exchange. 649

650

[H1] Summary and future directions

There is consensus that microplastic and nanoplastic pollution can harm the environment 652 and, potentially, human health. However, despite the growing body of evidence of the 653 importance of atmospheric MnP, there is limited marine atmospheric MnP information. 654 MnP particles are emitted from primary and secondary sources and transported to the 655 marine atmosphere, but the atmospheric MnP burden is also comprised of resuspended 656 particles. Limited source emission and resuspension studies, alongside transport and 657 deposition studies, have resulted in high uncertainty in global-scale and marine MnP 658 burden and flux estimations. 659

660

Reviewing the current state-of-the-art sampling and analysis methods makes it evident that both sampling and analytical methodologies need to be advanced to incorporate the marine atmosphere in the plastic pollution cycle. Terrestrial atmospheric MnP sample

collection methods could be implemented to effectively collect coastal and high-altitude 664 samples but have limitations for deployment in the marine environment. Adaption and 665 advancement of marine and terrestrial sampling methods used in aerosol and 666 atmospheric chemistry research could provide an inroad to marine atmospheric MnP 667 collection but require field experimentation and transport process focused studies to test 668 their capabilities and effectiveness. Furthermore, research vessel studies currently 669 provide low altitude air MnP concentrations but have the potential to observe a greater 670 air column sample and ocean-atmosphere exchange if a wider range of sampling 671 methodologies are employed (for example, UAV, BIMS, deposition collectors). Future 672 sampling campaigns should incorporate a range of open-ocean sampling platforms and 673 sampling methods to help address the marine atmospheric MnP research gap. 674

675

In conjunction with the complexity of marine atmospheric MnP sampling, there is a need 676 to advance analytical methods to help quantify the marine MnP flux. Current analytical 677 methods have advanced to the point where these measurements can be reliably made, 678 however, a harmonised approach is fundamental. Despite an increasing particle count 679 with decreasing particle size, to date the majority of analysis has focused on larger 680 microplastic particles (>10µm), and there is limited nanoplastic analysis and unquantified 681 uncertainties surrounding the comparison of different analytical methods. Analytical 682 advances to enable both mass and particle characterisation of marine atmospheric MnP 683 are necessary, complemented by detailed studies to create an easy comparison between 684 different analytical results. This will enable future studies using particle characterisation 685 to be directly comparable to mass concentration studies and include the nano-sized 686 particle range. 687

688

Early estimates suggest that the atmospheric MnP influx to the oceans are comparable 689 to that from rivers. However, early model estimates show a huge range of uncertainty. 690 An expanded and coordinated global-scale research effort must be undertaken to 691 constrain the uncertainties and provide a clear representation of the marine MnP flux. We 692 propose a global observation network built upon existing long-term monitoring platforms 693 to create a baseline and trend analysis dataset, augmented with intensive, short-term 694 monitoring and experimentation research focused on specific processes, events or 695 locations. Looking forward, we recommend the global monitoring effort expands to include 696 research vessels and open-ocean observations, which will complement existing 697 monitoring in inland water bodies and estuary sites. 698

699

After several years of network operations, we expect that researchers will be able to 700 identify the key locations, processes, and sources of MnP that impact the marine 701 environment. Conversely, this research will also demonstrate the influence and relative 702 importance of emissions from the marine environment influencing the terrestrial 703 atmospheric MnP burden. This improved understanding of MnP flux and the global plastic 704 cycle will be vital for evaluating the success of urgently needed mitigation strategies 705 against plastic pollution. The information is also vital to inform risk assessments for 706 humans and the biosphere, which need to be based on realistic environmental micro- and 707 nanoplastic concentrations. 708

1 References

- 2 1. Association of Plastic Manufacturers. *Plastics the Facts 2020. PlasticEurope* (2020).
- Geyer, R., Jambeck, J. R. & Law, K. L. Production, uses, and fate of all plastics ever
 made. *Sci. Adv.* **3**, 5 (2017).
- 5 3. Zhang, Y. *et al.* Atmospheric microplastics: A review on current status and 6 perspectives. *Earth Sci. Rev.* **203**, 103118 (2020).
- Li, J., Liu, H. & Paul Chen, J. Microplastics in freshwater systems: A review on
 occurrence, environmental effects, and methods for microplastics detection. *Water Res.* 137, 362–374 (2018).
- Campos da Rocha, F. O., Martinez, S. T., Campos, V. P., da Rocha, G. O. & de Andrade,
 J. B. Microplastic pollution in Southern Atlantic marine waters: Review of current
 trends, sources, and perspectives. *Sci. Total Environ.* **782**, 146541 (2021).
- 6. Zhou, Y. *et al.* Microplastics in soils: A review of methods, occurrence, fate, transport, ecological and environmental risks. *Sci. Total Environ.* **748**, 141368 (2020).
- ¹⁵ 7. Lau, W. W. Y. *et al.* Evaluating scenarios toward zero plastic pollution. *Science (80-.).* **369**, 1455–1461 (2020).
- Borrelle, S. B. *et al.* Predicted growth in plastic waste exceeds efforts to mitigate
 plastic pollution. *Science (80-.).* 369, 1515–1518 (2020).
- Bergmann, M., Tekman, M. & Gutow, L. Sea change for plastic pollution. *Nature* 544, 207 (2017).
- Karbalaei, S., Hanachi, P., Walker, T. R. & Cole, M. Occurrence, sources, human health
 impacts and mitigation of microplastic pollution. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 25, 36046–
 36063 (2018).
- 11. Karbalaei, S. *et al.* Abundance and characteristics of microplastics in commercial
 marine fish from Malaysia. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 148, 5–15 (2019).
- GESAMP. Sources, Fate and Effects of Microplastics in the Marine Environment: Part 2
 of a Global Assessment. (IMO/FAO/UNESCO-IOC/UNIDO/WMO/IAEA/UN/
 UNEP/UNDP Joint Group of Experts on the Scientific Aspects of Marine Environmental
- Protection, 2016).
 13. GESAMP. Sources, fate and effects of microplastics in the marine environment: a
 global assessment. Rep. Stud. GESAMP vol. No. 90 (International Maritime
 Organization, 2015).
- Wichmann, D., Delandmeter, P. & van Sebille, E. Influence of near-surface currents on
 the global dispersal of marine microplastic. *JGR Ocean.* 1–18 (2018)
 doi:10.1029/2019JC015328.
- Rodrigues, M. O. *et al.* Impacts of plastic products used in daily life on the
 environment and human health: What is known? *Environ. Toxicol. Pharmacol.* 72,
 103239 (2019).
- Sebille, E. van *et al.* The physical oceanography of the transport of floating marine
 debris. *Environ. Res. Lett.* **15**, 023003 (2020).
- 4117.Allen, S. *et al.* Evidence of free tropospheric and long-range transport of microplastic42at Pic du Midi Observatory. *Nat. Commun.* (2021) doi:10.1038/s41467-021-27454-7.
- Brahney, J. *et al.* Constraining the atmospheric limb of the plastic cycle. *PNAS* 118,
 e2020719118 (2021).
- Evangeliou, N. *et al.* Atmospheric transport, a major pathway of microplastics to
 remote regions. *Nat. Commun.* **11**, 3381 (2020).
- 20. Materić, D., Ludewig, E., Brunner, D., Rochmann, T. & Holzinger, R. Nanoplastics

48		transport to the remote, high-altitude Alps. Environ. Pollut. 288, (2021).
49	21.	van der Does, M., Knippertz, P., Zschenderlein, P., Giles Harrison, R. & Stuut, JB. W.
50		The mysterious long-range transport of giant mineral dust particles. Sci. Adv. 4,
51		(2018).
52	22.	Ganguly, M. & Ariya, P. A. Ice Nucleation of Model Nano-Micro Plastics: A Novel
53		Synthetic Protocol and the Influence of Particle Capping at Diverse Atmospheric
54		Environments. ACS Earth Sp. Chem. (2019) doi:10.1021/acsearthspacechem.9b00132.
55	23.	Revell, L., Kuma, P., Ru, E. C. Le, Somerville, W. R. C. & Gaw, S. K. Direct radiative
56		effects of airborne microplastics. <i>Nature</i> 598 , 462–467 (2021).
57	24.	Lebedev, A. T. et al. Detection of semi-volatile compounds in cloud waters by GC×GC-
58		TOF-MS. Evidence of phenols and phthalates as priority pollutants. <i>Environ. Pollut.</i>
59		241 , 616–625 (2018).
60	25.	Vergara-Temprado, J. et al. Strong control of Southern Ocean cloud reflectivity by ice-
61		nucleating particles. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 115, 2687–2692 (2018).
62	26.	Zhang, Y. et al. Current status and future perspectives of microplastic pollution in
63		typical cryospheric regions. Earth Sci. Rev. (2022).
64	27.	Wright, S. L. & Kelly, F. J. Plastic and Human Health: A Micro Issue? Environ. Sci.
65		<i>Technol.</i> 51 , 6634–6647 (2017).
66	28.	Galloway, T. S. Micro- and Nano- plastics and Human Health. in Marine
67		Anthropogenic Litter (eds. Bergmann, M., Gutow, L. & Klages, M.) 343–366 (2015).
68		doi:10.1007/978-3-319-16510-3.
69	29.	Huerta Lwanga, E. et al. Field evidence for transfer of plastic debris along a terrestrial
70		food chain. <i>Sci. Rep.</i> 7 , 14071 (2017).
71	30.	Weithmann, N. et al. Organic fertilizer as a vehicle for the entry of microplastic into
72		the environment. <i>Sci. Adv.</i> 4 , 1–8 (2018).
73	31.	Amato-Lourenço, L. F. et al. An emerging class of air pollutants: Potential effects of
74		microplastics to respiratory human health? Sci. Total Environ. 749, 141676 (2020).
75	32.	Walker, T. R. (Micro)plastics and the UN Sustainable Development Goals. <i>Curr. Opin.</i>
76		Green Sustain. Chem. 30 , 100497 (2021).
77	33.	Hartmann, N. B. <i>et al.</i> Are We Speaking the Same Language? Recommendations for a
78		Definition and Categorization Framework for Plastic Debris. <i>Environ. Sci. Technol.</i> 53,
79	24	1039–1047 (2019).
80	34.	Frias, J. P. G. L. & Nash, R. Microplastics: Finding a consensus on the definition. <i>Mar.</i>
81	эг	Pollut. Bull. 138 , 145–147 (2019).
82	35.	Kooi, M. & Koelmans, A. A. Simplifying Microplastic via Continuous Probability Distributions for Size, Shape, and Density. <i>Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett.</i> 6 , 551–557
83		(2019).
84	36.	Horton, A. A. & Dixon, S. J. Microplastics: An introduction to environmental transport
85 86	50.	processes. Wiley Interdiscip. Rev. Water 5 , e1268 (2018).
87	37.	Hernandez, L. M., Yousefi, N. & Tufenkji, N. Are there nanoplastics in your personal
88	57.	care products? <i>Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett.</i> 4 , 280–285 (2017).
89	38.	Hassan, B., Islam, G. & Anma, H. Applications of Nanotechnology in Textiles : A
90		Review. Adv. Res. Text. Eng. Open 4 , 1038 (2019).
91	39.	Mustafa, K., Kanwal, J. & Musaddiq, S. Waste Plastic-Based Nanomaterials and Their
92		Applications. in <i>Waste Recycling Technologies for Nanomaterials Manufacturing</i> (eds.
93		Makhlouf, A. S. H. & Ali, G. A. M.) (Springer, Cham, 2021). doi:10.1007/978-3-030-
94		68031-2_27.

40. Scungio, M., Vitanza, T., Stabile, L., Buonanno, G. & Morawska, L. Characterization of 95 particle emission from laser printers. Sci. Total Environ. 586, 623–630 (2017). 96 Gigault, J. et al. Nanoplastics are neither microplastics nor engineered nanoparticles. 41. 97 Nat. Nanotechnol. 16, 501–507 (2021). 98 Kim, D. H., Lu, N., Ghaffari, R. & Rogers, J. A. Inorganic semiconductor nanomaterials 42. 99 for flexible and stretchable bio-integrated electronics. NPG Asia Mater. 4, 1–9 (2012). 100 GESAMP. Guidelines for the monitoring and assessment of plastic litter in the ocean. 101 43. Reports and Studies 99 (United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP), 2019). 102 44. Waldman, W. R. & Rillig, M. C. Microplastic Research Should Embrace the Complexity 103 of Secondary Particles. Environ. Sci. Technol. 54, 7751–7753 (2020). 104 45. Hadri, H., Gigault, J., Maxit, B., Grassl, B. & Reynard, S. Nanoplastic from mechanically 105 degrated primary and secondary microplastics for environmental assessments. 106 NANOIMPACT(2020) (2019) doi:10.1016/j.neubiorev.2019.07.019. 107 46. Zhang, W., Dong, Z., Zhu, L., Hou, Y. & Qiu, Y. Direct Observation of the Release of 108 Nanoplastics from Commercially Recycled Plastics with Correlative Raman Imaging 109 and Scanning Electron Microscopy. ACS Nano 14, 7920–7926 (2020). 110 47. Verschoor, A., Poorter, L. de, Roex, E. & Bellert, B. Quick scan and Prioritization of 111 Microplastic Sources and Emissions. 112 https://www.rivm.nl/bibliotheek/rapporten/2014-0156.pdf (2014). 113 48. Browne, M. A. Sources and Pathways of Microplastics to Habitats. in Marine 114 Anthropogenic Litter (eds. Bergmann, M., Klages, M. & Gutow, L.) 229–244 (Springer, 115 2015). doi:10.1007/978-3-319-16510-3_9. 116 49. Simmerman, C. B. & Coleman Wasik, J. K. The effect of urban point source 117 contamination on microplastic levels in water and organisms in a cold-water stream. 118 Limnol. Oceanogr. Lett. 5, 137–146 (2020). 119 50. Su, L., Nan, B., Craig, N. J. & Pettigrove, V. Temporal and spatial variations of 120 microplastics in roadside dust from rural and urban Victoria, Australia: Implications 121 for diffuse pollution. Chemosphere 252, 126567 (2020). 122 51. Campanale, C. et al. Microplastics pollution in the terrestrial environments: Poorly 123 known diffuse sources and implications for plants. Sci. Total Environ. 805, 150431 124 (2022). 125 52. Scheurer, M. & Bigalke, M. Microplastics in Swiss floodplain soils. (2018) 126 doi:10.1021/acs.est.7b06003. 127 Hann, S. et al. Investigating options for reducing releases in the aquatic environment 53. 128 of microplastics emitted by (but not intentionally added in) products. Report for DG 129 Environment of the European Commission (2018). 130 54. Liss, P. S. Microplastics: All up in the air? Mar. Pollut. Bull. 153, 110952 (2020). 131 55. Allen, S. et al. Examination of the ocean as a source for atmospheric microplastics. 132 PLoS One 15, e0232746 (2020). 133 56. Jambeck, J. R. et al. Plastic waste inputs from land into the Ocean. Science (80-.). 347, 134 768-771 (2015). 135 Weiss, L. et al. The missing ocean plastic sink: Gone with the rivers. Science (80-.). 57. 136 373, 107-111 (2021). 137 58. Lots, F. A. E., Behrens, P., Vijver, M. G., Horton, A. A. & Bosker, T. A large-scale 138 investigation of microplastic contamination: Abundance and characteristics of 139 microplastics in European beach sediment. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 123, 219–226 (2017). 140 59. Lehmann, M., Oehlschlägel, L. M., Häusl, F. P., Held, A. & Gekle, S. Ejection of marine 141

4.40		microplastics by raindrons (a computational and experimental study 9 , 1, 10 (2021)
142	60	microplastics by raindrops : a computational and experimental study. 8 , 1–19 (2021). Ferrero, L. <i>et al.</i> Airborne and marine microplastics from an oceanographic survey at
143	60.	
144		the Baltic Sea: an emerging role of air-sea interaction. <i>Sci. Total Environ.</i> 153709
145	64	(2022) doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.153709.
146	61.	Trainic, M. <i>et al.</i> Airborne microplastic particles detected in the remote marine
147		atmosphere. Commun. Earth Environ. 1, 1–9 (2020).
148	62.	Bergmann, M. et al. White and wonderful? Microplastics prevail in snow from the
149		Alps to the Arctic. <i>Sci. Adv.</i> 5 , eaax1157 (2019).
150	63.	Lusher, A. L., Hollman, P. C. H. & Mendoza-Hill, J. J. Microplastics in fisheries and
151		aquaculture: status of knowledge on their occurrence and implications for aquatic
152		organisms and food safety. FAO Fisheries and Aquaculture Technical Paper (2017).
153		doi:978-92-5-109882-0.
154	64.	Rezaei, M., Riksen, M. J. P. M., Sirjani, E., Sameni, A. & Geissen, V. Wind erosion as a
155		driver for transport of light density microplastics. Sci. Total Environ. 669, 273–281
156		(2019).
157	65.	Bullard, J. E., Ockelford, A., O'Brien, P. & McKenna Neuman, C. Preferential transport
158		of microplastics by wind. Atmos. Environ. 245 , 118038 (2021).
159	66.	Richie, H. & Roser, M. Land Use. <i>Our World in Data</i> https://ourworldindata.org/land-
160		use (2013).
161	67.	Vogelsang, C. et al. Microplastics in road dust – characteristics, pathways and
162	07.	measures. (2019).
163	68.	Baensch-Baltruschat, B., Kocher, B., Stock, F. & Reifferscheid, G. Tyre and road wear
164	00.	particles (TRWP) - A review of generation, properties, emissions, human health risk,
		ecotoxicity, and fate in the environment. <i>Sci. Total Environ.</i> 733 , 137823 (2020).
165	60	
166	69.	Verschoor, A., de Poorter, L., Dröge, R., Kuenen, J. & de Valk, E. Emission of
167		microplastics and potential mitigation measures. <i>Rep RIVM Rep. 2016-0026</i> 76
168	70	
169	70.	Klimont, Z. <i>et al.</i> Global anthropogenic emissions of particulate matter including black
170	- 4	carbon. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 17, 8681–8723 (2017).
171	71.	Kapp, K. J. & Miller, R. Z. Electric clothes dryers : An underestimated source of
172		microfiber pollution. <i>PLoS One</i> 1–17 (2020) doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0239165.
173	72.	Brien, S. O. et al. Airborne emissions of microplastic fibres from domestic laundry
174		dryers. <i>Sci. Total Environ.</i> 747 , 141175 (2020).
175	73.	Vega, G. C., Gross, A. & Birkved, M. The impacts of plastic products on air pollution - a
176		simulation study for advanced life cycle inventories of plastics covering secondary
177		microplastic production. Sustain. Prod. Consum. 28, 848–865 (2021).
178	74.	O'Brien, S. et al. Airborne emissions of microplastic fibres from domestic laundry
179		dryers. <i>Sci. Total Environ.</i> 747 , 141175 (2020).
180	75.	He, D. & Luo, Y. Microplastics in Terrestrial Environments: Emerging Contaminants
181		and Major Challenges. (Springer, 2020). doi:10.1007/978-3-030-56271-7.
182	76.	Xu, C. et al. Are we underestimating the sources of microplastic pollution in terrestrial
183		environment? <i>J. Hazard. Mater.</i> 400 , 123228 (2020).
184	77.	Thinh, T. Q., Tran, T., Sang, N. & Viet, T. Q. Preliminary assessment on the microplastic
185		contamination in the atmospheric fallout in the Phuoc Hiep landfill , Cu Chi , Ho Chi
186		Minh city. <i>Vietnam J. Sci. Technol. Eng.</i> 62 , 83–89 (2020).
187	78.	Dris, R. <i>et al.</i> A first overview of textile fibers, including microplastics, in indoor and
188		outdoor environments. <i>Environ. Pollut.</i> 221 , 453–458 (2017).

79. Li, Y. et al. Airborne fiber particles: Types, size and concentration observed in Beijing. 189 Sci. Total Environ. 705, 135967 (2020). 190 Liu, K. et al. Global inventory of atmospheric fibrous microplastics input into the 80. 191 ocean: An implication from the indoor origin. J. Hazard. Mater. 400, 123223 (2020). 192 Cabrera, M. et al. A new method for microplastic sampling and isolation in mountain 81. 193 glaciers: A case study of one antisana glacier, Ecuadorian Andes. Case Stud. Chem. 194 195 *Environ. Eng.* **2**, 100051 (2020). Ambrosini, R. et al. First evidence of microplastic contamination in the supraglacial 82. 196 debris of an alpine glacier. Environ. Pollut. 253, 297–301 (2019). 197 83. Brahney, J., Hallerud, M., Heim, E., Hahnenbergere, M. & Sukumaran, S. Plastic rain in 198 protected areas of the United States. Science (80-.). 368, 1257-1260 (2020). 199 84. Kim, S.-K. et al. Importance of Seasonal Sea Ice in the Western Arctic Ocean to the 200 Arctic and Global Microplastic Budgets. J. Hazard. Mater. (2021) 201 doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2021.125971. 202 85. Huntington, A. et al. A first assessment of microplastics and other anthropogenic 203 particles in Hudson Bay and the surrounding eastern Canadian Arctic waters of 204 Nunavut. Facets 5, 432–454 (2020). 205 86. Materić, D. et al. Micro- and nanoplastics in Alpine snow – a new method for 206 chemical identification and quantification in the nanogram range. Environ. Sci. 207 Technol. 54, 2353–2359 (2020). 208 87. Abbasi, S., Turner, A., Hoseini, M. & Amiri, H. Microplastics in the Lut and Kavir 209 Deserts, Iran. Environ. Sci. Technol. 55, 5993-6000 (2021). 210 Zhang, Y. et al. Microplastics in glaciers of the Tibetan Plateau : Evidence for the long-88. 211 range transport of microplastics. Sci. Total Environ. 143634 (2020) 212 doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.143634. 213 89. Bergmann, M., Gutow, L. & Klages, M. Marine anthropogenic litter. Marine 214 Anthropogenic Litter (Springer Open, 2015). doi:10.1007/978-3-319-16510-3. 215 90. He, D., Bristow, K., Filipović, V., Lv, J. & He, H. Microplastics in terrestrial ecosystems: 216 A scientometric analysis. Sustain. 12, 1–15 (2020). 217 91. González-Pleiter, M. et al. Occurrence and transport of microplastics sampled within 218 and above the planetary boundary layer. Sci. Total Environ. 143213 (2020) 219 doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.143213. 220 92. Wang, X. et al. Atmospheric microplastic over the South China Sea and East Indian 221 Ocean : abundance , distribution and source. J. Hazard. Mater. 389, 121846 (2020). 222 93. Liu, K. et al. Consistent transport of terrestrial microplastics to the ocean through 223 atmosphere. Environ. Sci. Technol. 53, 10612–10619 (2019). 224 94. Ding, Y. et al. The abundance and characteristics of atmospheric microplastic 225 deposition in the northwestern South China Sea in the fall. Atmos. Environ. 253, 226 118389 (2021). 227 95. Modini, R. L., Harris, B. & Ristovski, Z. The organic fraction of bubble-generated, 228 accumulation mode Sea Spray Aerosol (SSA). Atmos. Chem. Phys. 10, 2867-2877 229 (2010). 230 Cornwell, G. C. et al. Ejection of Dust From the Ocean as a Potential Source of Marine 96. 231 Ice Nucleating Particles. J. Geophys. Res. Atmos. 125, 0–3 (2020). 232 97. Lehmann, M. & Gekle, S. LBM Simulations of Raindrop Impacts demonstrate 233 Microplastic Transport from the Ocean into the Atmosphere. in MICRO 2020 334143 234 (2020). 235

98. Sebille, E. Van et al. A global inventory of small floating plastic debris. Environ. Res. 236 Lett. 10, 124006 (2015). 237 99. Liu, K. et al. Source and potential risk assessment of suspended atmospheric 238 microplastics in Shanghai. Sci. Total Environ. 675, 462–471 (2019). 239 Yurtsever, M., Kaya, A. & Bayraktar, C. A research on Microplastic Presence in 100. 240 Outdoor Air. in International Conference on Microplastic Pollution in the 241 Mediterranean Sea (ed. Cocca, M.) vol. 22 238 (Springer International Publishing, 242 2018). 243 101. Kaya, A., Yurtsever, M. & Bayraktar, S. Ubiquitous exposure to microfiber pollution in 244 the air. Eur. Phys. J. Plus 133, 1–9 (2018). 245 Asrin, N. & Dipareza, A. Microplastics in Ambient Air (Case Study : Urip Sumoharjo 102. 246 Street and Mayjend Sungkono Street of Surabaya City, Indonesia). IAETSD J. Adv. Res. 247 Appl. Sci. 6, 54–57 (2019). 248 103. Abbasi, S. et al. Distribution and potential health impacts of microplastics and 249 microrubbers in air and street dusts from Asaluyeh County, Iran. Environ. Pollut. 244, 250 153-164 (2019). 251 Gaston, E., Woo, M., Steele, C., Sukumaran, S. & Anderson, S. Microplastics Differ 252 104. Between Indoor and Outdoor Air Masses : Insights from Multiple Microscopy 253 Methodologies. Appl. Spectrosc. (2020) doi:10.1177/0003702820920652. 254 105. Syafei, A. D., Nurasrin, N. R., Assomadi, A. F. & Boedisantoso, R. Microplastic Pollution 255 in the Ambient Air of Surabaya, Indonesia. Curr. World Environ. 14, 290–298 (2019). 256 106. Akhbarizadeh, R., Dobaradaran, S., Torkmahalleh, M. A., Saeedi, R. & Ghasemi, F. F. 257 Suspended fine particulate matter (PM2.5), microplastics (MPs), and polycyclic 258 aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in air: Their possible relationships and health 259 implications. Environ. Res. 116544 (2020) doi:10.1016/j.envres.2020.110339. 260 107. Zhu, X. et al. Airborne Microplastic Concentrations in Five Megacities of Northern and 261 Southeast China. Environ. Sci. Technol. 55, 12871–12881 (2021). 262 Peller, J. R. et al. Tracking the distribution of microfiber pollution in a southern Lake 108. 263 Michigan watershed through the analysis of water, sediment and air. Environ. Sci. 264 Process. Impacts 21, 1549–1559 (2019). 265 109. González-Pleiter, M. et al. Occurrence and transport of microplastics sampled within 266 and above the planetary boundary layer. Sci. Total Environ. 761, 143213 (2020). 267 110. Trainic, M. et al. Airborne microplastic particles detected in the remote marine 268 atmosphere. Commun. Earth Environ. 1, 1–9 (2020). 269 Wang, X. et al. Efficient transport of atmospheric microplastics onto the continent via 111. 270 the East Asian summer monsoon. J. Hazard. Mater. 414, 125477 (2021). 271 112. Marina-Montes, C. et al. Characterization of atmospheric aerosols in the Antarctic 272 region using Raman Spectroscopy and Scanning Electron Microscopy. Spectrochim. 273 Acta Part A Mol. Biomol. Spectrosc. 266, 120452 (2021). 274 113. Kernchen, S. et al. Airborne microplastic concentrations and deposition across the 275 Weser River catchment. Sci. Total Environ. 1–15 (2021) 276 doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.151812. 277 Cai, L. et al. Characteristic of microplastics in the atmospheric fallout from Dongguan 114. 278 city, China: preliminary research and first evidence. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 24, 279 24928-24935 (2017). 280 Zhou, Q., Tian, C. & Luo, Y. Various forms and deposition fluxes of microplastics 115. 281 identified in the coastal urban atmosphere. Chinese Sci. Bull. 62, 3902–3909 (2017). 282

- 116. Dris, R., Gasperi, J., Saad, M., Mirande, C. & Tassin, B. Synthetic fibers in atmospheric
 fallout: A source of microplastics in the environment? *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 104, 290–293
 (2016).
- 117. Truong, T.-N.-S. *et al.* Microplastic in atmospheric fallouts of a developing Southeast
 Asian megacity under tropical climate. *Chemosphere* (2021)
 doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2021.129874.
- 289 118. Zhang, Q. *et al.* Microplastic Fallout in Different Indoor Environments. *Environ. Sci.* 290 *Technol.* 0–32 (2020) doi:10.1021/acs.est.0c00087.
- Catarino, A. I., Macchia, V., Sanderson, W. G., Thompson, R. C. & Henry, T. B. Low
 levels of microplastics (MP) in wild mussels indicate that MP ingestion by humans is
 minimal compared to exposure via household fibres fallout during a meal. *Environ. Pollut.* 237, 675–684 (2018).
- Thinh, T. Q., Tran, T., Sang, N. & Viet, T. Q. Preliminary assessment on the microplastic
 contamination in the atmospheric fallout in the Phuoc Hiep landfill , Cu Chi , Ho Chi
 Minh city. 62, 83–89 (2020).
- Stanton, T., Johnson, M., Nathanail, P., MacNaughtan, W. & Gomes, R. L. Freshwater
 and airborne textile fibre populations are dominated by 'natural', not microplastic,
 fibres. *Sci. Total Environ.* 666, 377–389 (2019).
- Wright, S. L., Ulke, J., Font, A., Chan, K. L. & Kelly, F. J. Atmospheric microplastic
 deposition in an urban environment and an evaluation of transport. *Environ. Int.* 136, (2020).
- 123. Klein, M. & Fischer, E. K. Microplastic abundance in atmospheric deposition within
 the Metropolitan area of Hamburg, Germany. *Sci. Total Environ.* 685, 96–103 (2019).
- Roblin, B., Ryan, M., Vreugdenhil, A. J. & Aherne, J. Ambient atmospheric deposition
 of anthropogenic microfibres and microplastics on the western periphery of Europe (
 Ireland). *Environ. Sci. Technol.* (2020) doi:10.1021/acs.est.0c04000.
- Allen, S. *et al.* Atmospheric transport and deposition of microplastics in a remote
 mountain catchment. *Nat. Geosci.* 12, 339–344 (2019).
- 126. Knobloch, E. *et al.* Comparison of Deposition Sampling Methods to Collect Airborne
 Microplastics in Christchurch , New Zealand. *Water Air Soil Pollut.* 232, 1–10 (2021).
- Hamilton, B. M. *et al.* Microplastics around an Arctic seabird colony : Particle
 community composition varies across environmental matrices. *Sci. Total Environ.* 773,
 145536 (2021).
- Szewc, K., Graca, B. & Dołęga, A. Atmospheric deposition of microplastics in the
 coastal zone: Characteristics and relationship with meteorological factors. *Sci. Total Environ.* **761**, (2021).
- 319129. Abbasi, S. & Turner, A. Dry and wet deposition of microplastics in a semi-arid region320(Shiraz, Iran). Sci. Total Environ. 786, 147358 (2021).
- 321130.Soltani, N. S., Taylor, M. P. & Wilson, S. P. Quantification and exposure assessment of322microplastics in Australian indoor house dust. *Environ. Pollut.* 283, 117064 (2021).
- 131. Yao, Y., Glamoclija, M., Murphy, A. & Gao, Y. Characterization of microplastics in
 indoor and ambient air in northern New Jersey. *Environ. Res.* 112142 (2021)
 doi:10.1016/j.envres.2021.112142.
- 132. Huang, Y. *et al.* Atmospheric transport and deposition of microplastics in a subtropical urban environment. *J. Hazard. Mater.* **416**, 126168 (2021).
- 133. Chen, Y. *et al.* Air conditioner filters become sinks and sources of indoor microplastics
 fibers. *Environ. Pollut.* 292, 118465 (2021).

330	134.	Purwiyanto, A. I. S. et al. The deposition of atmospheric microplastics in Jakarta-
331		Indonesia: The coastal urban area. <i>Mar. Pollut. Bull.</i> 174 , 113195 (2022).
332	135.	Abbasi, S. Microplastics washout from the atmosphere during a monsoon rain event.
333		J. Hazard. Mater. Adv. 4 , 100035 (2021).
334	136.	Yukioka, S. et al. Occurrence and characteristics of microplastics in surface road dust
335		in Kusatsu (Japan), Da Nang (Vietnam), and Kathmandu (Nepal). Environ. Pollut. 256,
336		113447 (2020).
337	137.	Wang, F. et al. Microplastic abundance and distribution in a Central Asian desert. Sci.
338		Total Environ. 149529 (2021) doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.149529.
339	138.	Abbasi, S. et al. Investigation of microrubbers, microplastics and heavy metals in
340		street dust: a study in Bushehr city, Iran. Environ. Earth Sci. 76, (2017).
341	139.	Dehghani, S., Moore, F. & Akhbarizadeh, R. Microplastic pollution in deposited urban
342		dust, Tehran metropolis, Iran. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 24, 20360–20371 (2017).
343	140.	Liu, C. <i>et al.</i> Widespread distribution of PET and PC microplastics in dust in urban
344		China and their estimated human exposure. <i>Environ. Int.</i> 128 , 116–124 (2019).
345	141.	Napper, I. E. et al. Reaching New Heights in Plastic Pollution — Preliminary Findings of
346		Microplastics on Mount Everest Reaching New Heights in Plastic Pollution —
347		Preliminary Findings of Microplastics on Mount Everest. One Earth 3 , 621–630 (2020).
348	142.	Roblin, B. & Aherne, J. Moss as a biomonitor for the atmospheric deposition of
349		anthropogenic microfibres. Sci. Total Environ. 715, 136973 (2020).
350	143.	Parolini, M. et al. Microplastic Contamination in Snow from Western Italian Alps. Int.
351		J. Environ. Res. Public Health 18, 768 (2021).
352	144.	Wang, Z., Saadé, N. K. & Ariya, P. A. Water Soluble Polymers and Plastic Fragments in
353		Fresh Falling Urban Snow: Advances in the Quantitative Analysis in the Environment.
354		<i>Environ. Pollut.</i> (2021) doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2021.116698.
355	145.	Gonzalez-Pleiter, M. et al. A pilot study about microplastics and mesoplastics in an
356		Antarctic glacier. <i>Cryosphere</i> 15 , 2531–2539 (2021).
357	146.	Abbasi, S., Alirezazadeh, M., Razeghi, N., Rezaei, M. & Pourmahmood, H.
358		Microplastics captured by snowfall : A study in Northern Iran. Sci. Total Environ. 822,
359		153451 (2022).
360	147.	Uddin, S. et al. A Preliminary Assessment of Size-Fractionated Microplastics in Indoor
361		Aerosol — Kuwait's Baseline. <i>toxics</i> 10, 1–16 (2022).
362	148.	Dris, R., Gasperi, J. & Tassin, B. Sources and Fate of Microplastics in Urban Areas: A
363		Focus on Paris Megacity. in Freshwater Microplastics The Handbook of Environmental
364		Chemistry (eds. Lambert, S. & Wagber, M.) 69–83 (2018). doi:10.1007/978-3-319-
365		61615-5.
366	149.	Boucher, J. & Friot, D. Primary microplastics in the oceans: A Global evaluation of
367		sources. (2017) doi:10.2305/IUCN.CH.2017.01.en.
368	150.	Garside, M. Production of plastics worldwide from 1950 to 2018. <i>Statistica</i> (2019).
369	151.	Sun, H., Jiao, R. & Wang, D. The difference of aggregation mechanism between
370		microplastics and nanoplastics: Role of Brownian motion and structural layer force.
371		<i>Environ. Pollut.</i> 115942 (2020) doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2020.115942.
372	152.	Fotopoulou, K. N. & Karapanagioti, H. K. Surface properties of beached plastic pellets.
373		Mar. Environ. Res. 81 , 70–77 (2012).
374	153.	dos Santos Galvão, L., Fernandes, E. M. S., Ferreira, R. R., dos Santos Rosa, D. &
375		Wiebeck, H. Critical steps for microplastics characterization from the atmosphere. J.
376		Hazard. Mater. 424 , (2022).

377	154.	Chen, G., Fu, Z., Yang, H. & Wang, J. An overview of analytical methods for detecting
378		microplastics in the atmosphere. Trends Anal. Chem. 130, 115981 (2020).
379	155.	Primpke, S., Fischer, M., Lorenz, C., Gerdts, G. & Scholz-Böttcher, B. M. Comparison of
380		pyrolysis gas chromatography/mass spectrometry and hyperspectral FTIR imaging
381		spectroscopy for the analysis of microplastics. Anal. Bioanal. Chem. 412, 8283–8298
382		(2020).
383	156.	Song, Y. K. et al. A comparison of microscopic and spectroscopic identification
384		methods for analysis of microplastics in environmental samples. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 93,
385		202–209 (2015).
386	157.	Brahney, J. et al. A new sampler for the collection and retrieval of dry dust deposition.
387		Aeolian Res. 45 , 100600 (2020).
388	158.	Goßmann, I., Halbach, M. & Scholz-Böttcher, B. M. Car and truck tire wear particles in
389		complex environmental samples – a quantitative comparison with "traditional"
390		microplastic polymer mass loads. <i>Sci. Total Environ.</i> 773 , 145667 (2021).
391	159.	Youssef, F., Erpul, G., Bogman, P., Cornelis, W. M. & Gabriels, D. Determination of
392		efficiency of Vaseline slide and Wilson and Cooke sediment traps by wind tunnel
393		experiments. Environ. Geol. 55, 741–750 (2008).
394	160.	Duce, R. A. SEAREX: the sea/air exchange program. in <i>Chemical Oceanography</i> vol. 10
395		(Academic Press, 1989).
396	161.	Berny, A., Deike, L., Séon, T. & Popinet, S. Role of all jet drops in mass transfer from
397		bursting bubbles. <i>Phys. Rev. Fluids</i> 5 , 33605 (2020).
398	162.	Sha, B., Johansson, J. H., Benskin, J. P., Cousins, I. T. & Salter, M. E. Influence of Water
399		Concentrations of Perfluoroalkyl Acids (PFAAs) on Their Size-Resolved Enrichment in
400		Nascent Sea Spray Aerosols. Environ. Sci. Technol. 55, 9489–9497 (2021).
401	163.	Fasching, J. L., Courant, R. A., Duce, R. A. & Piotrowicz, S. R. A new surface microlayer
402		sampler utilizing the bubble microtome. J. Rech. Atmos. 8, 649–652 (1974).
403	164.	Stokes, M. D. <i>et al.</i> A Marine Aerosol Reference Tank system as a breaking wave
404		analogue for the production of foam and sea-spray aerosols. Atmos. Meas. Tech. 6,
405		1085–1094 (2013).
406	165.	Prather, K. A. <i>et al.</i> Bringing the ocean into the laboratory to probe the chemical
407		complexity of sea spray aerosol. <i>Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.</i> 110 , 7550–7555 (2013).
408	166.	Schwaferts, C., Niessner, R., Elsner, M. & Ivleva, N. P. Methods for the analysis of
409		submicrometer- and nanoplastic particles in the environment. <i>TrAC - Trends Anal.</i>
410		<i>Chem.</i> 112 , 52–65 (2019).
411	167.	Mariano, S., Tacconi, S., Fidaleo, M., Rossi, M. & Dini, L. Micro and Nanoplastics
412		Identification: Classic Methods and Innovative Detection Techniques. <i>Front. Toxicol.</i>
413		3 , 1–17 (2021).
414	168.	Xu, J. L., Thomas, K. V., Luo, Z. & Gowen, A. A. FTIR and Raman imaging for
415		microplastics analysis: State of the art, challenges and prospects. <i>TrAC - Trends Anal.</i>
416		<i>Chem.</i> 119 , 115629 (2019).
417	169.	Zheng, Y. <i>et al.</i> Comparative study of three sampling methods for microplastics
418	200.	analysis in seawater. <i>Sci. Total Environ.</i> 765 , 144495 (2021).
419	170.	Cowger, W. Reporting requirements to increase the reproducibility and comparability
420	_,	of research on microplastics. Appl. Spectrosc. doi:10.1177/0003702820930292.
421	171.	Brander, S. M. <i>et al.</i> Sampling and QA / QC : A guide for scientists investigating the
422	_, _,	occurrence of microplastics across matrices. <i>Appl. Spectrosc.</i> 1–50 (2020)
423		doi:10.1177/0003702820945713.
120		

172. Ivleva, N. P. Chemical Analysis of Microplastics and Nanoplastics: Challenges, 424 Advanced Methods, and Perspectives. Chem. Rev. 121, 11886–11936 (2021). 425 173. Cai, H. et al. Analysis of environmental nanoplastics: Progress and challenges. Chem. 426 Eng. J. 410, 128208 (2021). 427 174. Materić, D., Ludewig, E., Xu, K., Röckmann, T. & Holzinger, R. Brief communication: 428 Analysis of organic matter in surface snow by PTR-MS - Implications for dry 429 deposition dynamics in the Alps. Cryosphere 13, 297–307 (2019). 430 Wright, S. L., Gouin, T., Koelmans, A. A. & Scheuermann, L. Development of screening 175. 431 criteria for microplastic particles in air and atmospheric deposition : critical review 432 and applicability towards assessing human exposure. 1–19 (2021) 433 doi:10.1186/s43591-021-00006-y. 434 176. Miyamoto, K., Taga, H., Akita, T. & Yamashita, C. Simple method to measure the 435 aerodynamic size distribution of porous particles generated on lyophilizate for dry 436 powder inhalation. *Pharmaceutics* **12**, 1–14 (2020). 437 177. Hidalgo-Ruz, V., Gutow, L., Thompson, R. C. & Thiel, M. Microplastics in the marine 438 environment: A review of the methods used for identification and quantification. 439 Environ. Sci. Technol. 46, 3060–3075 (2012). 440 Zarfl, C. Promising techniques and open challenges for microplastic identification and 178. 441 quantification in environmental matrices. Anal. Bioanal. Chem. 411, 3743-3756 442 (2019). 443 179. Stock, F. et al. Pitfalls and Limitations in Microplastic Analyses. in Plastics in the 444 Aquatic Environment - Part I. The Handbook of Environmental Chemistry (eds. Stock, 445 F., Reifferscheid, G., Brennholt, N. & Kostianaia, E.) 13-42 (Springer, Cham, 2020). 446 doi:10.1007/698 2020 654. 447 180. GESAMP. Proceedings of the Gesamp International Workshop on Assessing the Risks 448 Associated With Plastics and Microplastics in the Marine Environment. (United 449 Nations Environment Programme (UNEP), 2020). 450 Rose, C. et al. Seasonality of the particle number concentration and size distribution: 181. 451 a global analysis retrieved from the network of Global Atmosphere Watch (GAW) 452 near-surface observatories. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 21, 17185–17223 (2021). 453 182. World Meteorological Organisation. WMO Global Atmosphere Watch (GAW) 454 Implementation Plan: 2016-2023. (2017). 455 Laj, P. et al. A global analysis of climate-relevant aerosol properties retrieved from the 183. 456 network of GAW near-surface observatories. Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss. 1-70 (2020) 457 doi:10.5194/amt-2019-499. 458 Vet, R. et al. A global assessment of precipitation chemistry and deposition of sulfur, 184. 459 nitrogen, sea salt, base cations, organic acids, acidity and pH, and phosphorus. Atmos. 460 Environ. 93, 3-100 (2014). 461 185. Prospero, J. M., Savoie, D. L. & Duce, R. A. Particulate nitrate and non-sea-salt sulfate 462 in the boundary layer over the Pacific Ocean. Atmos. Environ. 2074–2075 (1986). 463 Gagosian, R. B., Peltzer, E. T. & Zafiriou, O. C. Atmospheric transport of continentally 186. 464 derived lipids to the tropical North Pacific. Nature 291, 312–314 (1981). 465 Uematsu, M. et al. Transport of mineral aerosol from Asia over the North Pacific 187. 466 ocean. J. Geophys. Res. 88, 5343-5352 (1983). 467 Uematsu, M., Duce, R. A. & Prospero, J. M. Deposition of atmospheric mineral 188. 468 particles in the North Pacific Ocean. J. Atmos. Chem. 3, 123–138 (1985). 469 189. He, Y. & Mason, R. P. Comparison of reactive gaseous mercury measured by KCl-470

coated denuders and cation exchange membranes during the Pacific GEOTRACES 471 GP15 expedition. Atmos. Environ. 244, 117973 (2021). 472 190. Collaud Coen, M. et al. Multidecadal trend analysis of in situ aerosol radiative 473 properties around the world. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 20, 8867-8908 (2020). 474 191. Baker, A. R. & Jickells, T. D. Atmospheric deposition of soluble trace elements along 475 the Atlantic Meridional Transect (AMT). Prog. Oceanogr. 158, 41–51 (2017). 476 Kanitz, T., Ansmann, A., Engelmann, R. & Althausen, D. North-south cross sections of 477 192. the vertical aerosol distribution over the Atlantic Ocean from multiwavelength 478 Raman/polarization lidar during Polarstern cruises. J. Geophys. Res. Atmos. 118, 479 2643–2655 (2013). 480 193. West, J. S. & Kimber, R. B. E. Innovations in air sampling to detect plant pathogens. 481 Ann. Appl. Biol. 166, 4-17 (2015). 482 Brosy, C. et al. Simultaneous multicopter-based air sampling and sensing of 194. 483 meteorological variables. Atmos. Meas. Tech. 10, 2773–2784 (2017). 484

485 486

487 Acknowledgements

This paper resulted from deliberations at the virtual workshop "The Atmospheric Input 488 of Chemicals to the Ocean" organized by Group of Experts on Scientific Aspects of 489 Marine Environmental Protection (GESAMP: www.gesamp.org) Working Group 38. 490 supported the World Meteorological (led and by 491 Organization, https://public.wmo.int/en), and GESAMP Working Group 40, (co-led and 492 supported by the Intergovernmental Oceanographic Commission of UNESCO, 493 https://ioc.unesco.org. and the United Nations Environment Programme. 494 http://www.unep.org). The authors thank the Global Atmosphere Watch and the World 495 Weather Research Programme of the World Meteorological Organization for their 496 workshop support. 497

498 ()

The International Atomic Energy Agency is grateful for the support provided to its Environment Laboratories by the Government of the Principality of Monaco.

501

⁵⁰² This publication is Eprint ID 54444 of the Alfred-Wegener-Institut Helmholtz-Zentrum ⁵⁰³ für Polar- und Meeresforschung.

504

DA was supported by the Leverhulme Trust through grant ECF-2019-306 and 505 Carnegie Trust (RIG009318) SA was supported by IGI funding through the University 506 of Birmingham and OFI fellowship. LER was supported by the Royal Society of New 507 Zealand Marsden Fund (Contract MFP-UOC1903).AGM was supported by NERC 508 through the Current and Future Effects of Microplastics on Marine Ecosystems 509 (MINIMISE) grant (NE/S004831/1).WJS was supported by the Korea Institute of 510 Ocean Science and Technology (PE99914).SW is funded by the Medical Research 511 Council (MRC), MRC Centre for Environment and Health (MR/R026521/1). This 512 work contributes to the Pollution Observatory of the Helmholtz Association-funded 513 programme FRAM (Frontiers in Arctic Marine Research). 514 515 516

517 Author contributions

The authors contributed equally to all aspects of the article.

519 **Competing interests**

520 The authors declare no competing interests.

521 Peer review information

- *Nature Reviews Earth & Environment* thanks [Referee#1 name], [Referee#2 name] and the
- ⁵²³ other, anonymous, reviewer(s) for their contribution to the peer review of this work.

524 Data Availability

525 The data for Figure 2 are supplied in the online Supplementary Data file.

526 **Publisher's note**

- 527 Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and 528 institutional affiliations.
- 529 Supplementary information
- Supplementary information is available for this paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/s415XX-XXX XXXX-X
- 532
- 533

535

534 Figure Captions

Figure 1. Atmospheric transport, potential annual flux, burdens and current knowledge gaps. 536 The atmospheric compartment of the total dynamic microplastic (MP) cycle (in million metric tons, Mt, 537 per year) can be divided into the marine and terrestrial burdens, which in turn are divided by a coastal 538 zone. Deposition, emmission and total burden values are compiled from model analyses^{18,19}, early flux 539 estimations⁵⁴ and reported field studies^{55–57}. *The coastal zone onshore emission estimate is for 540 localised coastal marine transport at low altitude (<200m above mean sea level)⁵⁵, and does not include long-distance transport microplastic or high altitude marine (secondary) sourced atmospheric 541 542 microplastic. Atmospheric micro and nano plastic is a key part of the marine (micro and nano) plastic 543 544 cycle and the calculation of the marine MnP flux.

The marine surface MnP results are reproduced from the Van Sebille model⁹⁸. The atmospheric MP values are derived from 73 research studies (full details of which are provided in the Supplementary Data). It is noted that these atmospheric studies are not directly comparable due to the range of methodologies and individual studies' limits of detection but are provided here for spatial information. The map shows the spatial limitations of atmospheric MnP research, which highlightsthe need for global, comparative and standardised sampling.

Figure 3. Critical known and unknown atmospheric processes . Specifically, MnP processes that have been ([†]) or have yet to be (*) observed (not modelled), quantified, characterised or parameterised for MnP either in the laboratory or in the field. The processes listed are indicative, considered to be 'unknowns' in atmospheric transport but given they are untested this list is not exhaustive or prescriptive. Understanding, quantitative characterisation and parameterisation of these atmospheric processes is vital for accurate modelling of atmospheric MnP transport and accounting for field MnP findings.

559

551

560

Figure 4. The proposed global observation network. Suggested potential sampling sites (primarily 561 taken from the established WMO and/or GAW networks or European Monitoring and Evaluation 562 Programme stations) illustrated on the map of FLEXPART modelled net deposition of tyre wear and 563 brake wear particles¹⁹ (gross global MP deposition CAM model output is provided in Supplementary 564 Figure 4). Locations identified with * are high altitude (tropospheric) sites, all other locations are coastal 565 monitoring sites. Potential sites are: ALT Alert (Canada); AMS Amsterdam Island (France); BHD Baring 566 Head (NZ); BMW Tudor Hill (Bermuda); BRW (Barrow, USA); CGO Cape Grim (Australia); CPT Cape 567 Point (South Africa); FKL Finokalia (Greece); GSN Gosan (Korea); IZO Izana (Spain, 2373 m); LLN 568 Lulin (Taiwan, China 2862 m); MHD Mace Head (Ireland); MLO Mauna Loa (USA, 3397 m); NEU 569 Neumayer (Antarctica); RPB Ragged Point (Barbados); RUN La Reunion (France, 2160m); SMO 570

571 American Samoa (USA); SPO South Pole (Antarctica, 2841 m); ZEP Zeppelin (Norway). Figure adapted 572 from ref. X, CC BY 4.0.

- 573
- 574
- 575

576 Boxes

577

578 Box 1| Key micro(nano)plastic terminology definition and descriptions

- 579 Microplastic (MP)
- ⁵⁸⁰ Plastic particles greater than 1µm and less than 5 mm (aerodynamic) diameter^{12,13,33,34}.
- 581 Nanoplastic (NP)
- 582 Plastic particles less than 1µm (aerodynamic) diameter^{12,13,33,34}.
- 583 Micro(nano)plastic (MnP)
- All plastic particles ≤5mm (both micro and nano plastic)^{33–35}. MP and NP are measured in the atmosphere as particles or mass per volume of sampled air, for example, MP/m³; and deposition as particles or mass per surface area sampled over a specified duration, for example, MP/m²/day.
- 587 Primary micro(nano)plastic
- MP manufactured to be 1 μ m-5mm (for example, nurdles³⁶, personal care products³⁷, textiles³⁸).
- NP manufactured to be $<1\mu$ m (for example, medical applications³⁹, printing ink⁴⁰, electronics⁴¹⁻⁴³).

590 Secondary micro(nano)plastic

- 591 MP or NP produced through mechanical, chemical or photodegradation (for example, plastic bottle 592 breakdown to MP and NP on a beach due to UV, salt and wave action)^{43–46}.
- 593 Source
- 594 An activity that results in MP or NP emission, described both in location and time and with reference to 595 the plastic particle emission characteristics (primary or secondary).

596 Point source

- ⁵⁹⁷ MP or NP emission from a defined location at specific times (for example, treatment plant wastewater
- release to receiving waterway, recycling plant emission due to mechanical plastic deconstruction,
- ⁵⁹⁹ plastic factory emission due to production activities)^{47–49}.
- **Diffuse source**
- MP or NP emission (and re-emission) from activities that have no single emission time and location (for example, road dust or agricultural emissions)^{47,48,50–52}.
- 603 604

605 606

615

616

617

618

623

624

625

626

Box 2| Proposed global network structure and coordinated international research

607 Measurement Studies

- 608 Monitoring Studies
- Long-term (multi-year) atmospheric concentration and deposition measurements of MnP at Global Atmosphere Watch (GAW) sites (weekly or monthly composite samples continuously collected using
- standardised sample collection and analysis methodology, standardised LOD/LOQ)
- 612 Exploration Studies
- 613 Site specific studies from coast to offshore across a wide range of platforms and analytical methods, 614 including:
 - Ship based atmospheric sampling offshore (north and southern oceans, Arctic and Antarctic)
 - Ice cores in Greenland, Antarctica, the Arctic (and other locations)
 - High altitude aircraft measurements, coastal and offshore
 - Marine air concentration buoy-type platform measurements
- 619 Process Studies
- Emission, deposition and transport process studies (potentially including degradation, leaching, Trojan horse and other studies) and to quantitatively characterise MnP marine atmosphere dynamics, including:
 - Assessment of the ocean as a source (emission and resuspension of MnP)
 - Differentiated wet and dry deposition on ocean and/or marine surfaces
 - Marine atmospheric MnP source identification
 - MnP particle count to mass comparative measurement technique development

627 Modelling Studies

- 628 Transport
- Modelling, built from the field study findings, to define the local, national, regional, and global transport of atmospheric MnP in the marine (and terrestrial) environment.
- 631 Sources
- Modelling to identify the potential (key) MnP sources of atmospherically transported particles found in
- the marine environment, remote and coastal areas.Process specific models are also needed to quantify
- and detail ocean-atmosphere exchange (ocean emission or ejection).
- 635 Flux
- Using global, comparable and uniform datasets that are temporally and spatially representative, global
- flux modelling will quantify the marine atmospheric MnP burden and flux through quantitative
- assessment of the full plastic cycle (emission, transport, deposition). Flux trends and responses to
- ⁶³⁹ policy or practice changes can be derived using these models (long-term data mining and modelled
- 640 forecasting).