Music to some Consequence: Reaction, Reform, Race

Philosophers have often been at a loss to explain the secret of the strange power which

patriotic tunes seem to exercise over the people . . . but the mystery is at an end if we

are willing to attribute to music the power which I have claimed for it, of pitching high

the plane of the emotions, and driving them home with the most efficacious and

incomparable energy.¹

When the cleric and musical theorist Hugh Reginald Haweis, writing in the 1870s, sought to

epitomise his belief in the emotional power of music, he turned to the sphere of national

politics. Eighty years earlier, loyalists reacting to the French Revolution turned just as

naturally to music: in a much-quoted letter to the activist John Reeves, "A friend to Church

and State" maintained that "[A]ny thing written in voice & especially to an Old English tune.

. . made a more fixed Impression on the Minds of the Younger and Lower Class of People,

than any written in Prose."² Across eight intervening decades these opinions were repeatedly

put into practice, as political actors sought to use music "as a powerful secondary agent to

deepen and intensify the emotion already awakened by the words of [their creed]."3 Music's

potency was understood to inhere in its ability to arouse and amplify the passions - and

though the underlying medical theories shifted over the century, the idea remained: music

had a power to mobilise, energise, even effect action by engaging the body and spirit as well

as the mind. I will discuss three cases when the emotional power of music, particularly song,

¹ Hugh Reginald Haweis, *Music and Morals* (London, 1871), 103.

² "John Reeves's correspondence", British Library Add. MSS. 16922, fol. 43.

³ Haweis, *Music and Morals*, 46–7.

1

had direct political consequences. The first, concerning the proposed use of counter-revolutionary music in the Royal Navy, was unintentional. The second, concerning the political activist Samuel Bamford, was highly purposeful. The third, concerning performances of the blackface song "Buffalo Gals," was a complex negotiation between ostensibly innocent intent and insidious consequence. Taken together, they bear out Haweis' belief in the political potency of music – whether by design or otherwise.

Reaction

In 1799, newly secure in the living of Bolam, Northumberland, a parish granted him by the Lord Chancellor,⁴ Reverend Alexander Duncan published, by subscription, his *Miscellaneous essays, naval, moral, political, and divine*, sold at London and Yarmouth at the optimistic price of three shillings.⁵ This he dedicated to "the right honourable Lord Duncan, Viscount Camperdown, Baron Lundie of Lundie, Admiral of the White Division, and Commander in Chief, in the North Seas, &c." This exalted personage was, unsurprisingly, Alexander Duncan's uncle, on whose flagships the *Venerable* and the *Kent* he served as chaplain between 1796 and 1800, seeing action at Camperdown in October 1797, the first major victory to follow the mass mutinies at Spithead and the Nore.⁶ It was in this context of personal nepotism and public unease that Duncan's *Essays* appeared – a bold if ill-advised

⁴ "Promotions from October to December," Naval Chronicle 1 (1799): 87.

⁵ See *A Biographical Dictionary of the Living Authors of Great Britain and Ireland* (London, 1816), 101.

⁶ "Naval Vicar," www.standrewsbolam.btck.co.uk/Stories/Camperdown, accessed 20 April 2017. Further information may be found in "Monthly Obituary," *European Magazine* 70 (1816): 181; John Hodgson, *A History of Northumberland, in three parts* (Newcastle, 1827), Part 2 vol. 1, 341; and Duncan's will: National Archives PROB 11/1696/384.

decision that may well have led to his retiring from the sea, never to write again, within months of publication.

In the first instance, Duncan presumed too much upon his position: naval chaplains were held in low regard, and two reviewers took exception to his presuming to write on naval matters at all. Set against this, Duncan advocated an uncompromising, reactionary, martial loyalism that toed the government line on the war with France: one otherwise hostile reviewer praised his "ardent spirit of patriotism," while the *Anti-Jacobin Review* allowed that: "The spirit of piety and loyalty that pervades these Essays, and the evident good intentions of the author, must soften the rigour of critical disquisition." Nonetheless, Duncan's patriotism was found wanting in his controversial second essay, in which:

To keep alive the courage of our seamen, Dr. D. proposes that "martial songs should be learned and sung occasionally." He remarks that "in going into action against an enemy, martial music has the finest effect possible."—"You can work more instantaneously upon the passions in this way than in any other almost you can name." .

. [W]e trust that the courage of our seamen will never be at so low an ebb as to need the assistance of an old song.⁹

⁷ "Miscellaneous List," *Critical Review, or, Annals of Literature* 27 (1799): 357 ("Our divine seems to consider the chaplain as the most important officer in a ship"); and "Miscellaneous," *Monthly Review* 30 (1799): 474–5 ("the remarks arrested our notice by the very uncouth appearance which they assume when falling from a person of Dr. D.'s profession").

⁸ "Miscellaneous," *London Review, and Biographia Literaria* 1–2 (1799): 398; *Anti-Jacobin Review and Magazine* 4 (1800): 458–9.

⁹ "Miscellaneous," *Monthly Review*, 474–5.

This suggestion, which Duncan defended as "calculated to preserve, and keep alive, a high spirit of magnanimity, heroism, and bravery, on board," had its parallels. Several contemporary clerics, such as James Plumptre of Hinxton, Cambridgeshire, turned to songs to improve popular conduct on both local and national levels, while the sea songs of Charles Dibdin the Elder were widely credited, not only with motivating sailors, but with actively discouraging mutinies. ¹⁰ Yet Duncan was not simply proposing "the assistance of an old song." He writes: "A band of music should be allowed each ship of the line, full and complete; even the smallest vessel in the service ought not to be without music of some kind or other." ¹¹ His reasoning is based upon the direct power of music, whether vocal or instrumental. Yet in so doing, Duncan unwittingly cites a highly problematic example:

We shall quote an instance . . . from the French. Few are ignorant of the wonderful effects produced upon their mad republican desperadoes, by their vocal and instrumental music, when going into battle. We have heard some of their tunes introduced into our service on shore.—The music is certainly enlivening and animating in a high degree, and might be useful in promoting our purpose . . . what might we not expect in a better cause, from similar martial songs and tunes, both vocal and instrumental . . . $?^{12}$

1

¹⁰ Oskar Cox Jensen, Napoleon and British Song, 1797–1822 (Basingstoke, 2015), 56–7;

Charles Dibdin, The Professional Life of Mr. Dibdin, 4 vols (London, 1803) vol. 1, 8.

¹¹ Alexander Duncan, *Miscellaneous essays, naval, moral, political, and divine* (London, 1799), 30.

¹² Ibid., 31. The precedent cited in the army is probably "Ça ira," which became the instrumental march "The Downfall of Paris."

Duncan seems oblivious to the dangers of his own reasoning. The last thing the strictly regulated Royal Navy would welcome, still reeling from the mutinies of 1797, was music along the lines of the "Marseillaise" and "Ça ira," calculated to work "upon the passions," to be "enlivening and animating." The two nations' military approaches were ideologically opposed: the French army consisting of relatively untrained conscripts formed into massed columns, metaphorically whipped into a fervour of fraternal feeling in order to sustain morale and compensate for a lack of professionalism, while the British forces were increasingly professionalised, their soldiers drawn up in thin red lines to maximise firepower at the expense of solidarity, their sailors drugged into a quiescent stupor by a daily ration of rum, and both soldiers and sailors literally whipped into a state of rigid discipline. The ideal British serviceman executed his duties, not with zeal, but mechanistically, and consequently the only music permitted on duty at sea was that of fife and drum, used purely for signalling purposes. While the army provided wider opportunities for musicians in the form of regimental bands, once on the field of battle these musicians would down their instruments and become auxiliary paramedics. 13 And throughout the nineteenth century, the great age of the sea shanty, the Royal Navy forbad its sailors to sing while at work. 14 The French were mobilised citizens, the British, enlisted subjects, by which rationale Duncan's naïve enthusiasm for inspirational music was not merely misplaced, but alarming: the very suggestion, treating sailors as autonomous men of feeling, smacked of democracy.

Duncan's was not quite a lone voice. A decade earlier a naval surgeon (a creature of similarly negligible status to a naval chaplain), Charles Fletcher, published a tract on the

-

¹³ Trevor Herbert and Helen Barlow, *Music & the British Military in the Long Nineteenth Century* (Oxford, 2013).

¹⁴ Marek Korczynski, Michael Pickering, and Emma Robertson, *Rhythms of Labour: Music at Work in Britain* (Cambridge, 2013), 44.

health of seamen, supported by his patron the Duke of Cumberland and dedicated to the Duke of Clarence (later William IV), himself a sailor. ¹⁵ In a chapter on "Chearfulness," he praises those few captains who have, at their own expense, provided "small bands for their [sailors'] entertainment," declaring that it would "be well in government to make some addition to the *Marine* music." ¹⁶ Yet Fletcher, though writing before the French Revolution, is more circumspect than Duncan:

It need hardly be mentioned, that the word chearful is not meant here, to imply *riot* or any kind of dissoluteness; which must be subversive of all order: but *that hilarity* of spirit, which is not only a preservative of health, but which may be made subservient to laudable action[.]¹⁷

He stresses this pragmatist position further: "I have remarked something like a superior degree of health and happiness in those people among whom this chearfulness has been most cultivated. And I have also observed those ships to which they belonged make *good battle*. Let it not therefore be said, that . . . a relaxation of discipline might ensue." The thrust of his argument concerns music as *recreation* rather than in combat. ¹⁹ Even in the 1780s, Fletcher

London, 1791, 1st edn 1786). Its proposals for victualling reform were largely welcomed by the *English Review* 9 (1787): 100–102.

¹⁷ Ibid., 176–7. Original emphasis.

 $^{^{\}rm 15}$ Charles Fletcher, A Maritime State considered, as to the Health of Seamen (2nd edn,

¹⁶ Ibid., 186–7.

¹⁸ Ibid., 179.

¹⁹ Ibid., esp. 189.

understood the danger that music was seen as posing to discipline, a necessary corollary to its putative advantages.²⁰

Fletcher's views are in accordance with the prevailing medical consensus on the power of music, described by fellow Scottish physician John Gregory as "perhaps greater than that of any of the fine arts. It is capable of raising every passion and emotion of the soul." Fletcher, Gregory, and their contemporaries Browne and Brocklesby, all wrote and lectured upon the potential of music as a stimulant, which worked upon the passions by altering the flow of bodily spirits, communicated to the body via vibrations passed from the external ear to the auditory nerve. This was understood as an embodied process, which led to obvious anxieties when applied to the mass of the people: the prospect of the irrational stimulation of the multitude in an age of revolution would naturally be distrusted by the British military authorities, as likely to result in precisely that "riot" and subversion of order that Fletcher decried. In the 1790s, Duncan's proposal of animating the British sailor in battle with instrumental music was tantamount to giving him a liberty cap and asking him to sing the "Marseillaise," so invidious was music held to be as a stimulant – or, put another way, the addition of music to the body under arms transformed it into the body politic, by dint of playing upon its passions. In what follows, we shall see that the authorities were right to

_

²⁰ Ibid., 187–8.

Address to the Aberdeen Philosophical Society, cited in Penelope Gouk, "Music's Pathological and Therapeutic Effects on the Body Politic: Doctor John Gregory's Views," in Penelope Gouk and Helen Hills (eds), *Representing Emotions: New Connections in the Histories of Art, Music and Medicine* (Aldershot, 2005), 191–207, 191.

²² Ibid., esp. 200; James Kennaway (ed.), *Music and the Nerves*, *1700–1900* (Basingstoke, 2014), 9, 49–50, 129; James Kennaway (ed.), *Bad Vibrations: The History of the Idea of Music as a Cause of Disease* (Farnham, 2012), 38.

reject Duncan's suggestions: music that animated organised bodies of men could have powerfully subversive consequences.

Reform

It took twenty years for these anxieties to be realised. In 1819, Samuel Bamford stood trial as an organiser of Manchester's St Peter's Field demonstration, already acquiring the infamous nickname "Peterloo." The government's reputation depended upon proving that the protest had been militant, therefore justifying its suppression. Music was central to their case. Bamford's own account did not deny the event's martial overtones, in preparation for which ten thousand protestors practiced drills to the directions of a bugle, used "to sound his orders." Marchers assembled into square and column – military formations – and moved to fife and drum. In his defence, Bamford sought: "To prove the object of the music, and the use made of it, in playing national and loyal airs." Elsewhere, he stresses the innocuousness of their "band of music," claiming that "A hundred or two of our handsomest girls . . . danced to the music, or sung snatches of popular songs," adding:

At Newtown we were welcomed with open arms by the poor Irish weavers . . . Some of them danced . . . We thanked them by the band striking up, 'Saint Patrick's day in the

²³ Samuel Bamford, *Passages in the Life of a Radical* (London, 1884 [written 1842], repr. Oxford, 1984), 133, 146.

²⁵ Ibid., 237, 257. Numerous witnesses testified to the performance of both "Rule, Britannia!" and "God save the King," which Kate Bowan and Paul Pickering interpret as "an insistence on a higher loyalty expressed within a monarchical framework." See their *Sounds of Liberty: Music, radicalism and reform in the Anglophone world, 1790–1914* (Manchester, 2017), 199.

²⁴ Ibid., 146–7, 151, 154, 156.

morning;' they were electrified; and we passed on, leaving those warm-hearted suburbans capering and whooping like mad.²⁶

If this formed part of Bamford's testimony, it cannot have endeared him to the judge. This was the spectacle feared during the 1790s: the people "electrified," their passions worked upon through martial musical mobilisation. Nor was Bamford's point about "loyal and national airs" disingenuous, for as early as 1815, he had made a habit of writing parodies of these airs, putting new lyrics to tunes such as "God save the King" that subverted their message.²⁷

A facility for seditious parody ran deep in his family: Bamford's grandfather had refashioned the ballad "Sally in our Alley" into a treasonable Jacobite song. ²⁸ Bamford also remembered his own father as an excellent flautist and singer with "a deep taste for melody [who] introduced several of his pieces amongst the religious body with which he was connected." Faith did not preclude superstition, however: Bamford senior "seldom forgot to hum a psalm or hymn tune" when crossing a "haunted field" – an early lesson to his son in the *functional* capacity of music. ³⁰ Brought up not only on hymns but on the "numerous

²⁶ Ibid., 147, 149.

²⁷ Cox Jensen, *Napoleon*, 11, 121. Bamford would later rework the song in defence of Queen Caroline: see Paul Pickering, "Confound Their Politics': The Political Uses of 'God Save the King-Queen', in Paul Watt, Derek B. Scott, and Patrick Spedding (eds), *Cheap Print and Popular Song in the Nineteenth Century: A Cultural History of the Songster* (Cambridge, 2017), 112–37, 127.

²⁸ Samuel Bamford, *Early Days* (London, 1849), 21.

²⁹ Ibid., 2.

³⁰ Ibid., 28.

songs [and] ballads" of the local book shop, the infant Bamford began his career of musical demagoguery by teaching his fellow children "a rude rhyme" which they sung together in "the yard." By fourteen, when Bamford joined the volunteers and first heard the famous local song "Jone o' Grinfilt" – besides learning the value of fife and bugle – his musical education was complete. 32

Music informed Bamford's activism beyond Peterloo. His chief memoir contains eleven instances of the political application of named music during 1816–21. This account, itself a functional text making an intervention in reformist politics, deploys music on two levels: within the historical narrative, and as rhetoric aimed at its readers. As such, I will discuss it in the present tense: as a literary account of the past, not as that past itself. But I shall focus upon the first level: upon three degrees of political utility to which Bamford or his comrades apparently bent musical performance.

Most obviously, the Bamford of the memoir uses music to perform defiance. The first time he is arrested and carried off in a coach, Bamford sings a verse of his own "The Farewell" – a pastoral composition that performs, to both his close-pressed captors and his later readers, Bamford's self-possession in the face of adversity.³⁵ On a second coach journey Bamford is bound with leg-irons alongside three comrades, enduring a bumpy, sleepless night ride. In

³¹ Ibid., 53, 90, 64.

³² Ibid., 176, 227–8.

³³ Bamford, *Passages*, 57–8, 66–7, 76, 79 (thrice), 80, 85, 123–5, 170–1, 207. See also Samuel Bamford, *An Account of the Arrest and Imprisonment of Samuel Bamford, Middleton, on suspicion of High Treason. Written by Himself* (Manchester, 1817), 5, 24–5, 32, 41–2.

³⁴ For the latter, see Martin Hewitt, "Diary, Autobiography and the Practice of Life History," in David Amigoni (ed.), *Life Writing and Victorian Culture* (Aldershot, 2006), 21–39.

³⁵ Bamford, *Passages*, 66–7.

response, they sing "Glory to thee, my God, this night," which "brought the singing parties of our own homes to our recollection." Not only would this have kept them occupied and their guard awake; the very singing of a hymn – then restricted to Dissenters and forbidden in the Anglican service – was a subtle, because pious, demonstration of resistance. ³⁶ This is followed by one of their number, O'Connor, singing "that mournful lament, 'Where is my cabin-door fast by the wild wood?' . . . To which, we all responded as chorus."³⁷ The verse is the fourth of Thomas Campbell's "Exile of Erin."³⁸ Here, the work is done by omission: Bamford does *not* quote the first, second, or fifth verses, which end "Erin go bragh" ("Ireland Forever") – a pro-Independence slogan that frequently attracted the wrath of the law. ³⁹ Bamford's party will have known the rest of the song; we may suppose that his readers did too, and a point is made in the text without opening the moderate, middle-aged Bamford-asauthor to censure. Looking back, the question becomes whether their guards knew the song: if so, this was a way to invoke "Erin go bragh" without culpability; if not, then it was an injoke at their captors' expense.

Conversely, Bamford also notes the use of music in fraternisation with the "enemy." All three instances take place in drinking establishments. First, Bamford and his companion Healey are suspected of being excisemen by the disreputable patrons of a rural alehouse near Bury, and are at risk of "certain manglement or murder." In order to diffuse the tension, "Healey made himself agreeable by singing, in his best manner, '*The deil cam fiddlin' thro*'

³⁶ Bamford, *Passages*, 79. See

www.hymnary.org/text/all_praise_to_thee_my_god_this_night, accessed 24 April 2017.

www.oldbaileyonline.org/browse.jsp?div=t18440205-798, accessed 24 April 2017.

³⁷ Bamford, *Passages*, 79.

³⁸ British Library Music Collections G.426.dd. (34) [1805].

³⁹ See e.g. Old Bailey case of George Gifford at

the toon, / An' danc'd awa wi' th'exciseman." ⁴⁰ The song was well chosen: besides expressing antipathy to all excisemen, it was set to a convivial fiddle tune, and written by Robert Burns – Healey was aligning himself with both the North and the rural labourer, removing any taint of officialdom. ⁴¹

Two later examples achieve the same effect: we are not so different, you and I. A fellow captive, "the doctor," takes advantage of a stop at an inn to ingratiate himself with their guards. "An hour or two were passed in jocular entertainment – the doctor spouted and sung for them as was his wont – and a verse of a fine old song, on a genial subject, made his captors almost as jovial as himself." This was the ancient drinking song "I cannot eat but little meat," set to a melody of extreme simplicity affording the soldiers ample scope for participation. Bamford must have learnt from this example, for during a later arrest, while being held at Sam Ogden's hostelry, Harpurhey, with an escort: "more ale was brought, and being willing to improve our acquaintance, I sung, in my way, that fine old piece known as 'General Wolf's [sic] Song.' . . . The jugs were again replenished; the soldiers . . . asked me to drink with them, – I did so, and gave them a toast." This song, better known as "How Stands the Glass Around?" or "Why, soldiers, why?", was a drinking song given in compliment to Bamford's martial company, and moreover a glee performed by two voices: it is reasonable to suppose that some of his escort may have sung the counterpoint, putting Bamford literally in harmony with his captors. These performances, besides affording their

⁴⁰ Bamford, *Passages*, 57–8.

⁴¹ See James Johnson, *The Scots Musical Museum*, 6 vols (Edinburgh, 1787–1839), vol. 4 (1792), 412.

⁴² Bamford, *Passages*, 76.

⁴³ William Chappell, *Popular Music of the Olden Time*, 2 vols (1855–9), vol. 1, 72.

⁴⁴ Bamford, *Passages*, 170–1. See British Library Music Collections I.530. (76) [1765?].

singers a more pleasant journey, have a deeper purpose: in using a shared musical culture to reinforce bonds between working-class reformer and working-class representatives of the state, Bamford and "the doctor" are proselytising for their cause, reminding the soldiers that a uniform is no barrier to sympathy, and that – to invoke Burns \grave{a} la Healey – a man's a man for a' that.

It is no coincidence that these musical fraternisations take place in public houses. Yet communal singing could strengthen bonds even in gaol. As Bamford relates in his prison narrative: "We now began to be much better satisfied with ourselves and each other . . . striving to amuse each other, we had no lack of songs [and] hymns." Hymns figure prominently in Bamford's account, fit both to his self-fashioning as a God-fearing reformer, and for communal rendition in straitened circumstances. As one of six in Coldbathfields prison, Bamford recalls: "we stirred up the fire, drew our seats to the hearth, and . . . concluded by singing "The Union Hymn," which I led for that purpose." In an earlier version Bamford adds, "Thus we made the very walls of our prison to vibrate with the shout of liberty; and ever after, so long as we continued together, we sung the above as our evening hymn."

Bamford is most forthcoming concerning his "Lancashire Hymn:"

I often said to my companions; "observe our neighbours, the Church-folks . . . what charms they add to their religious assemblages by the introduction of vocal music. Why has such an important lesson remained unobserved by us? Why should not we add

_

⁴⁵ Ibid., 93.

⁴⁶ Ibid., 85. See also Bowan and Pickering, *Sounds of Liberty*, 203–6.

⁴⁷ Bamford, *An Account*, 42.

music, and heart-inspiring song to our meetings? and then I wrote my "Lancashire Hymn," to one of the finest trumpet strains I ever heard.⁴⁸

Expanding on the setting in *Homely Rhymes*, he notes that "The Lancashire Hymn. For Public Meetings" is set to "Falmouth—1st vol. Harrison's Collection." This is the clearest instance of Bamford's insisting upon the music of his verses, extended to this bibliographic detail by the tune's obscurity. You can sing this hymn, Bamford says; do so.

The setting is Bamford's most complex, extending to twenty bars with extensive counterpoint. 50 Bamford alters both meter and rhyme, yet the result remains musically coherent. Evidently, he was familiar with the opening essay of Harrison's collection, an introduction to "The Art of Singing" that contains specific advice concerning hymn tunes:

[T]unes in the Sharp Key or series are naturally expressive of cheerfulness and joy, and should therefore be adapted to psalms of Praise and thanksgiving; and that tunes in the Flat Key are naturally expressive of humility, sorrow and the tender affections, and should be sung to . . . subjects Mournful and Pathetic. This rule is too commonly violated, & with the most unhappy effect; for hereby the sentiments, and the tune, are at variance with each other . . . [W]hen the tune and the sentiments properly accord, they

⁴⁸ Bamford, *Passages*, 123–4.

⁴⁹ Samuel Bamford, *Homely Rhymes, Poems, and Reminiscences* (London, 1843, repr. revised edn, London, 1864), 195.

⁵⁰ Ralph Harrison, Sacred Harmony. A Collection of Psalm Tunes, Ancient & Modern, 2 vols (Manchester, 1784 and 1811), vol. 1, 107.

mutually assist, & animate each other; the music acquires superior energy and expression, and adds vigour and delight to the sacred exercises of devotion.⁵¹

We return here to the doctrines of natural philosophy outlined in relation to Duncan and Fletcher, and later propounded by Haweis as an explanation of musical affect. Harrison, a headmaster and a clergyman, was presumably up to date with such matters. ⁵² Following Harrison's advice, Bamford has selected a tune in A major (a "sharp key") for this most rousing of his communal productions.

Harrison's favourite maxim, "Let then the melody of song be accompanied by the melody of the heart," was prescriptive: one should only sing sacred music in a state of sincere piety.⁵³ Yet in following this direction, Bamford claims that he and his fellow inmates also felt the reverse: music began to influence the passions, not only of themselves, but of their listeners:

We also made it a rule to sing "The Lancashire Hymn," every evening before locking-up time. We closed the door of our day-room during this piece of devotion – for we always sung in the true spirit of devotion – and surprised, at first, our almost insensible turnkeys, by the awakening of tones of sublime and heart-stirring music.⁵⁴

Here was that triple function of music encapsulated in a single act: to unite, to defy, and to reach out. Bamford was clearly a master of directing music to harmonious political

-

⁵¹ Ibid., vol. 1, 12.

⁵² David L. Wykes, "Harrison, Ralph (1748–1810)," *ODNB*, oxforddnb.com/view/article/12441, accessed 26 April 2017.

⁵³ Harrison, *Sacred Harmony*, vol. 1, 15.

⁵⁴ Bamford, *Passages*, 207.

consequences. But, as the final case study will show, the heightened experiences afforded by music could just as easily result in violence and division.

Race

One night in November 1847, Inspector Campbell of the Dublin police was on patrol. Passing the Ha'penny bridge: "his attention was drawn to the bridge by rather unusual sounds, and on approaching 'loud resounded mirth and dancing.' . . . on closer examination he discovered the tune to be 'Buffalo Gals won't you come out to night?" The inspector broke up the meeting and charged three "persons" with selling whiskey. Yet this was only the first in a series of encounters between "Buffalo Gals" and the law, in which the song quite literally enjoyed the last laugh.

"Buffalo Gals" (Example 1) remains well-known across a variety of contexts, but in the nineteenth century its indelible identity was as a blackface minstrel song: named after the New York town where the original Christy's Minstrels formed in c.1842; first published by John "Cool White" Hodges in 1844; and forming part of the core repertoire introduced to England by the "Ethiopian Serenaders" in 1846.56

[INSERT EXAMPLE 1 HERE]

November 1847.

Brooks McNamara (eds), Inside the Minstrel Mask: Readings in Nineteenth-Century

Blackface Minstrelsy (Hanover: NH, 1996), 67-85, 69;

www.contemplator.com/america/buffgals.html, accessed 3 May 2017.

^{55 &}quot;Law Intelligence," Freeman's Journal and Daily Commercial Advertiser [Dublin], 27

⁵⁶ Alexander Saxton, "Blackface Minstrelsy," in Annemarie Bean, James V. Hatch, and

While much has been written on the Victorian craze for blackface, relatively little has focused upon the music,⁵⁷ attention centring on the visual, so that – while academics have recognised the importance attributed by contemporaries to dance,⁵⁸ or minstrels' facility for self-promotion⁵⁹ – there remains no explanation that does not collapse into anxieties around racism and historical relativism.⁶⁰ I would therefore like to attend to the properties of a single song, not as a means of whitewashing the politics at play, but both to add specificity to those politics and to tease out an intersection between race and gender that furthered the manifestation of not one but two forms of violent prejudice.

Early reviews of the Ethiopian Serenaders were overwhelmingly positive. Even the correspondent for the abolitionist *Daily News* "confessed" to being won over by "a fund of melody and a real and stable excellence, as well of voice instrumentation and harmony." Both the *Morning Post* and *Lloyd's* focused on the instruments, the former describing: "banjoes, tambourine, accordion, and bones, the latter instrument being used after the manner of castanets, with a forty-horse power . . . Never were bones denuded of their covering so

⁵⁷ But see Derek B. Scott, "Blackface Minstrels, Black Minstrels, and their Reception in England," in Rachel Cowgill and Julian Rushton (eds), *Europe, Empire, and Spectacle in Nineteenth-Century British Music* (London and New York, 2006), 265–80.

⁵⁸ Douglas C. Riach, "Blacks and Blackface on the Irish Stage, 1830–60," *Journal of American Studies* 7 (1973): 231–241, 232.

⁵⁹ Richard Waterhouse, "The Internationalisation of American Popular Culture in the Nineteenth Century: The Case of the Minstrel Show," *Australasian Journal of American Studies* 4 (1985): 1–11, 6.

⁶⁰ See especially Michael Pickering, "John Bull in Blackface," *Popular Music* 16 (1997): 181–201.

⁶¹ "Ethiopian Serenaders—St. James's Theatre," *Daily News*, 18 March 1846.

eloquent."⁶² The latter agreed that "the performer on the bone castanets . . . played with surprising execution."⁶³ Critical excitement was prompted by skilled performances upon novelty instruments, or as a "comic" song of the following year put it: "Nothing now goes down / But blackeys, bones, and banjoes," musical interest being inextricable from, but not identical to, the performance of race.⁶⁴

Audiences clearly responded to the rhythmic properties of these performances. I do not think I am falling back on a lazy association between Afro-American music, rhythm, and the body – for one thing, this is not "black" music – but rather charting that stereotype's consolidation. While the contemporary focus upon rhythm, dance, and body may have been prompted by racialised assumptions, it was also fair comment on a musical tradition rooted in the appropriation of a longstanding fusion between Anglo-Saxon dance music, played upon fiddles, and Afro-American percussive techniques involving everything from hand claps, foot stamps, and thigh slaps, to triangles, sticks, and bones. Both the bones and the tambourine (which was larger with fewer cymbals than today and therefore more of a hand-drum) were percussive instruments employed to facilitate dancing, and scholarship on the plantation music subsequently exploited by minstrelsy indicates that, functionally, this was indeed

^{62 &}quot;Ethiopian Serenaders," Morning Post, 20 January 1846.

^{63 &}quot;Ethiopian Serenaders," Lloyd's Weekly London Newspaper, 25 January 1846.

⁶⁴ John Balern, "Niggermania," quoted in Pickering, "John Bull," 181.

⁶⁵ For a wider context, see Kofi Agawu, "The Invention of 'African Rhythm," in Simon Frith (ed.), *Popular Music Analysis* (London, 2004), 103–17.

⁶⁶ Paul F. Wells, "Fiddling as an Avenue of Black-White Musical Interchange," *Black Music Research Journal* 23 (2003): 135–47.

dance music.⁶⁷ Early blackface playbills even found it necessary to request that "gentlemen" auditors refrain from stamping their feet in time.⁶⁸

On its introduction to England, "Buffalo Gals" swiftly passed beyond its first venue, St James's Theatre. Writing around five years later, the journalist Henry Mayhew presents us with a six-piece blackface band who claimed that, of their repertoire, "the 'Buffalo Gals' was best liked" in markets and squares across London. As late as 1879, an article describing the continued appeal of "Buffalo Gals" summarised this "kind of music" as "the rattle of the bones, the turn turn of the banjo." We the "Buffalo Gals" was not dependent on instrumentation. An 1846 edition of the music declared: "The sprightly strains of Negro minstrelsy never fail to excite enthusiasm in the dance . . . The serenade "Buffalo Gals," is the subject of the finale, and forms an excellent galop . . . easy, yet effective, the time well marked." Though rooted in a racist imaginary, the account draws attention to equally significant features of simplicity and danceability. Five years later in Preston, the song was performed by "glee-singers" at a benevolent dinner, where "a portion of the tables, &c., were removed" prior to the performance, the better to allow for dancing. In neither context was the song accompanied by the costumes or instrumentation of blackface, yet it remained, functionally, dance music.

⁶⁷ Robert B. Winans, "Early Minstrel Show Music, 1843–1852," in Bean et al., *Inside the Minstrel Mask*, 141–62, 142. Eileen Southern, *The Music of Black Americans: A History* (3rd edn, New York, 1997), 92–3, 168–72, 190–95.

⁶⁸ Winans, "Early Minstrel Show Music," 150.

⁶⁹ Henry Mayhew, *London Labour and the London Poor*, 4 vols (1860–1), vol. 3, 190–1.

⁷⁰ "Music and Mirth in the Hop-Gardens," *Era*, 21 September 1879.

^{71 &}quot;New Music," Caledonian Mercury, 3 December 1846.

⁷² "Dinner to Workpeople at the Belgrave Mill," *Preston Guardian*, 11 January 1851.

This porosity agrees with an 1859 article in *Household Words*: "The soot I shall not enlarge upon; but the melodies themselves, and the genuine songs, are very taking and spirited." Conforming with Eileen Southern's characterisation of plantation songs, the melody of "Buffalo Gals" is simple, with one note per syllable and a range of just one octave, the most basic of time signatures, and an extreme degree of repetition. This tune, as catchy as a nursery rhyme and called "childish" by contemporaries, is split equally between verse and chorus, the latter boasting a novel use of syncopation that distinguished it among the early blackface repertoire. The tune is a palpable kinetic hit – yet it is the interaction of music with lyrics that makes it of real interest; lyrics that allowed, in the aggrieved words of *The Times*, "a parcel of Ethiopian serenaders [to] assume that you are a Buffalo girl, and persist in the inquiry if you can't 'come out to-night?"

Like many blackface songs, "Buffalo Gals" objectifies a woman, the verse narrating an encounter and the chorus exhorting all such "gals" to come out tonight, and "dance by the light ob de moon." Childlike words are inflected by this "negro" dialect, a trope that infantilised the imagined black narrator while implying a sexual dimension, due to contemporary associations between blackness and lust. Eric Lott has written of the misogyny enacted through minstrelsy, the violent objectification of each subaltern group – people of colour and women – "lending the other [prejudice] emotional and political force." As Mike

_

⁷³ William Henry Wills and Eliza Lynn Linton, "Street Minstrelsy," *Household Words* 478 (21 May 1859): 577–80, 579.

⁷⁴ Southern, *Music of Black Americans*, 190–91, 195, 201.

⁷⁵ Wills and Linton, "Street Minstrelsy," 580.

⁷⁶ "News," *The Times*, 2 July 1860.

⁷⁷ Eric Lott, "Blackface and Blackness: The Minstrel Show in American Culture," in Bean, *Inside the Minstrel Mask*, 3–32, 21.

Pickering notes, "blackface discourse did not operate in isolation from other discourses, such as those of gender, religion and class" – and in this case, it was racial prejudice that heightened the sexism of "Buffalo Gals." If one viewed women of colour as availably promiscuous, then singing the song and thereby inhabiting its perspective allowed one to make that judgement about any woman.

In 1851, a mob gathered in Finsbury to disrupt a meeting of "Bloomer" feminists.⁷⁹ Of this crowd, "five to one were men."⁸⁰ The speakers, intimidated by the "disorderly" throng, were late in taking the stage. "[S]ome very coarse remarks were made at the expense of the dress reformers. As the principal performers were after time, it was suggested that a grand chorus of 'Buffalo gals, can't you come out to-night,' would be an appropriate invitation." This was music as weapon, a modern form of *charivari* put to essentially chauvinistic, intimidating ends.⁸¹ Yet in relating this detail, the previously censorious journalist seems amused by the idea of a rowdy group of hostile men singing a provocative blackface song in a space intended for political discussion. Indeed, amusement seems to have been a recurrent motivation for reporters. Three years earlier in Southampton, a convicted felon was taken up for trespassing at night. He protested that he was hiding in order "to evade the notice of the

⁷⁸ Pickering, "John Bull," 190.

⁷⁹ Bloomerism as a movement was reified in the loose, practical trousers its proponents wore in preference to restrictive dresses. See most recently Ana Stevenson, "Bloomers' and the British World: Dress Reform in Transatlantic and Antipodean Print Culture, 1851–1950", *Cultural and Social History* 14 (2017): 621–46.

^{80 &}quot;Bloomerism in Finsbury," Morning Chronicle, 30 September 1851.

⁸¹ On weaponised music, see Bowan and Pickering, *Sounds of Liberty*, 166–73.

master of the hand-maidens whom he was serenading with 'Buffalo Gals." The following year in Nottingham, two lace-makers were charged with disorderly conduct. "About 2 o'clock on Sunday morning, policemen Cliff and Roberts heard a great noise in Woolpacklane, and on proceeding to the place they found the prisoners kicking at a house door, and asking some women, whom they called 'buffalo gals,' if they were coming out that night." In both cases, the song appears to have justified both the criminal behaviour and its subsequent reporting.

One case, from York in 1858, is worth quoting at length:

Jefferson Wright (22), shoemaker, was charged with having stolen a quantity of wearing apparel . . . [Wright] was drinking at the house of a publican named Westoby, at Atwick. During the night, the prosecutrix [Harriet Barff], who is Mr. Westoby's servant, was awoke by hearing the prisoner in her bedroom, whereupon she sprang out of bed and ran to her mistress. The prisoner followed, and put his hand on Mrs. Westoby's mouth, whereupon she screamed, and he ran away . . . The defence was that the present case, instead of being a felony, was a piece of folly. The fact was he was courting the girl . . . He therefore, after singing "Buffalo gals come out to night," got into the girl's room, and ran away with her crinoline and odd stocking by way of a lark. The case, which excited infinite amusement in a crowded court, ended in the prisoner's acquittal, a verdict which was hailed with loud applause. 84

_

⁸² "Southampton, Saturday, Aug. 5," *Hampshire Advertiser & Salisbury Guardian*, 5 August 1848.

^{83 &}quot;Police News," Nottinghamshire Guardian and Midland Advertiser, 26 July 1849.

^{84 &}quot;The Trial of Prisoners," York Herald, 23 October 1858.

In short, a drunken man broke into a house in circumstances that could have escalated to attempted rape, before assaulting another woman and stealing some clothing – yet, framed as a jest by the crucial detail of the song, the whole thing was excused as a bit of fun. Court, community, and readership were all turned against Barff and Mrs Westoby – who were legally in the right – by the performance of the song. 85 "Buffalo Gals," as promised at the outset, had the last laugh.

There may be something of the killjoy spirit of Dublin's Inspector Campbell in these readings. The incidents fit Pickering's judgement that: "The blackface mode allowed white men and women of all social classes an indulgence in fun." Yet "fun" often seems a cipher for something more troubling, in which blackface permitted white men to indulge in acts of misogyny that would otherwise contravene social codes. I also think it significant that, although no visual signifiers were used, "Buffalo Gals" still *meant* blackface. Firstly because, at this early date, any rendition must have carried racialised connotations. But more importantly because of the song's musical properties: its syncopated chorus and associations with dance and the body. It is not as if indigenous repertoires lacked songs about moonlit courtship: as the *Household Words* article observes, "The Buffalo Gals who are incited to come out to-night, are no whit worse than [the songwriter Thomas] Moore's lady who desired

_

⁸⁵ See also Katie Barclay, "Singing, Performance, and Lower-Class Masculinity in the Dublin Magistrates' Court, 1820–1850," *Journal of Social History* 47 (2014): 746–68.

⁸⁶ Pickering, "John Bull," 196.

⁸⁷ As Pickering has recently elaborated, blackface "allowed access to intervals of licence, display and release that were not otherwise readily available to many people in Britain as it became increasingly steeped in bourgeois values" – "The Blackface Songster in Britain", in Watt et al., *Cheap Print*, 184–204, 202.

to take advantage of the young May Moon which is beaming love."88 There was something distinctive about "Buffalo Gals," which both lent itself to and enabled these disruptive, sexualised performances. Music was not merely an outlet for less than tender passions, but both a conceptual framework and a kinetic catalyst, encouraging one set of prejudices in the articulation of another, with highly unsavoury consequences.

Coda

We will never know what Alexander Duncan would have made of "Buffalo Gals." Haweis, however, took a surprisingly positive view of the early blackface songs: "The sensation which they produced was legitimate, and their success was well deserved. The first melodies were no doubt curious and original." Looking back from the 1870s, he admired "the distinctive charm and original pathos" of this repertoire, approving its "wild tenderness and passionate sweetness, like music in the night." For Haweis, any music produced (as he thought) in conditions of captivity and suffering, expressive of true sentiment, was true music: "The negro is more really musical than the Englishman. If he has a nation emerging into civilisation, his music is national."

Though Haweis here exhibits a condescending, essentialising perspective, he also reveals a concern typical of his generation: the perceived lack of an English national school of music. "The influence of music is every day becoming more widespread; but is it an influence which soothes, relieves, recreates, and elevates the people? . . . before the musical art accomplishes this its high mission amongst us, it must become a real, not an artificial, expression of the

⁸⁸ Wills and Linton, "Street Minstrelsy," 580.

⁸⁹ Haweis, *Music and Morals*, 499.

⁹⁰ Ibid., 500.

emotions as they work in English hearts and English homes." Yet if this Forum has demonstrated anything, it is that Haweis' worries were misplaced. For music to exert a strong influence over the emotions and thereby the actions of the people, no such precondition needed to be met. It is in performance that music engages with the emotions, and therefore *any* musical performance was potentially politically affective. Taken together these three cases enjoin us, as historians, to think about music, less as individual works requiring close reading, and more as a form of performance that occurred in historical times and places that informed its potential: atop a revolutionary powder-keg; coordinated by an expert political agitator and songwriter; in a repressive society subject to the dual prejudices of racism and misogyny. Music had political consequence by virtue of its ubiquity, not its exceptionalism, and it worked upon the emotions: not by being *good* music, but simply by being music at all.

-

⁹¹ Ibid., 553.