Spring-summer net community production, new production, particle export and related water column biogeochemical processes in the marginal sea ice zone of the Western Antarctic Peninsula 2012-2014.
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ABSTRACT

New production (New P, the rate of net primary production (NPP) supported by exogenously supplied limiting nutrients) and net community production (NCP, gross primary production not consumed by community respiration) are closely related but mechanistically distinct processes. They set the carbon balance in the upper ocean, and define an upper limit for export from the system. The relationships, relative magnitudes and variability of New P (from $^{15}$NO$_3^-$ uptake), O$_2$:Argon-based NCP and sinking particle export (based on the $^{238}$U:$^{234}$Th disequilibrium) are increasingly well documented but still not clearly understood. This is especially true in remote regions such as polar marginal ice zones. Here we present a three year dataset of simultaneous measurements made at ~50 stations along the western Antarctic Peninsula (WAP) continental shelf in midsummer (January) 2012-14. Net seasonal scale changes in water column inventories (0-150 m) of nitrate and iodide were also estimated at the same stations. The average daily rates based on inventory changes exceeded the shorter-term rate measurements. A major uncertainty in the relative magnitude of the inventory estimates is specifying the start of the growing season following sea ice retreat. New P and NCP(O$_2$) did not differ significantly. New P and NCP(O$_2$) were significantly greater than sinking particle export from Thorium-234. We suggest this is a persistent and systematic imbalance and that other processes such as vertical mixing and advection of suspended particles are important export pathways.
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1. Introduction

The carbon cycles of ecosystems, including the ocean, are driven by the balance between two opposing metabolic processes: gross primary production (GPP) and community respiration (R) (Figure 1). The net community production (NCP, [1]), defines the nature of that balance between production and destruction of organic matter [1-5]. When GPP>R, the NCP is positive and the accumulated material is available for export and harvest [6]. At steady state (which most ocean ecosystems approach under most conditions, [7]), the rate of new production, i.e., primary production supported by exogenous inputs of a limiting nutrient, approximates the NCP and sets an upper limit for export from the euphotic zone [8]. However, in spite of their frequently observed quantitative similarity, new and net community production are mechanistically distinct processes [9]. As Laws [10] states, it is conceptually and practically difficult to equate the two concepts.

Further hindering understanding of these processes is a lack of comparison of estimates in different systems and over a range of time and space scales [11, 12]. Systematic observations in polar, marginal sea ice zones are particularly poorly represented. Here we present observations of NPP ($^{14}$C), NCP(O$_2$), nitrate-based New Production and particle export made along the western Antarctic Peninsula (WAP) in January (midsummer) 2012-14, along with measurements of physical and biogeochemical properties. These three years were selected for analysis because
of the availability of $^{15}\text{NO}_3^-$ uptake rate estimates of New Production (New P) (2012-14) and water column iodide accumulation (2012 only), accompanying NPP($^{14}\text{C}$) measurements from $^{14}\text{C}$-bicarbonate uptake, Oxygen/Argon-based estimates of NCP(O$_2$) and $^{234}\text{Th}$-Thorium-based estimates of particle export (2012-present). Net removal of water column nitrate (NO$_3^-$ removal or DNO$_3$) was estimated to provide a larger scale NCP estimate.

Aspects of the ecology and biogeochemistry of the WAP are presented in this issue and other publications (e.g., [13-15]). The marine ecosystem of the WAP extends over 1000 km along the peninsula and includes nearshore coastal, continental shelf and deep Slope regions, all of which experience an annual period of sea ice cover (SIC, [16]). The SIC is subject to significant regional and interannual variability, as addressed by the sampling grid used in this study. The uncertainty of specifying the initiation and duration of the illuminated production season imposed by variability in SIC at any given location is shown to be a major challenge in understanding the relationship between processes manifested over different scales of measurement. In comparing these production and removal processes, we test the null hypothesis that there was no difference among New P, NCP(O$_2$) and Export ($^{234}\text{Th}$), within years and averaged across the study region. From this it follows that, if export and new (net) production did not differ within the time frame of our observations, there would be insignificant accumulation of organic matter. We suggest that these processes with characteristic time scales of hours to seasons, and associated space scales, are best compared over a regional scale, such as the LTER study region (Figure S1).

2. Methods and Materials

We provide brief descriptions of the methods used for estimating each process. Details and references of individual process measurements are given in Table S1. The start of the growing season was initially taken as the last day on which SIC exceeded 15% at each sampling station [17]. Sea ice measurements are described in Stammerjohn et al. [16]. Sampling for this study was conducted during annual Palmer, Antarctica Long Term Ecological Research cruises in January (midsummer), 2012, 2013 and 2014 at 15-17 regular LTER Grid stations per cruise [18], from the northern, central and southern, and coastal, shelf and slope regions along the WAP (e.g., references [16, 19-21] Figure S1 and Tables S2-S5). O$_2$/Ar samples for estimating NCP(O$_2$) were taken from the uncontaminated underway seawater supply intake at a depth of six meters. These estimates were included at fewer stations due to the timing of instrument calibration and when the mixed layer depth (MLD) was <6 m. For other estimates, five discrete depth water samples were collected in the euphotic zone (defined as the depth of 1% surface irradiance, 30-75 m) and one or two deeper samples were obtained in the upper 150-200 m from CTD-Rosette hydrocasts using ten-liter Niskin bottles.

We calculated the net seasonal removal of nitrate + nitrite from the water column at each station (DNO$_3$) as an estimate of seasonal-scale NCP [22]. NO$_2^-$ + NO$_3^-$ (hereafter NO$_3$) inventories were integrated to 150 meters, as concentrations approximated the observed wintertime value of 33 mM below that depth (Figures 2, S2). Net seasonal iodide accumulation was similarly estimated from the 150 m inventory on the sampling day, assuming a 7 mMol m$^{-3}$ starting concentration equivalent to the deep-water concentration [23]. See the Supplementary Materials for additional details.
Particulate organic carbon and nitrogen export were estimated using the observed $^{238}$U-$^{234}$Th disequilibrium over 0-150 m at each station. $^{234}$Th concentrations were measured using standard small volume methods [24-26]. $^{234}$Th flux was computed using a one-dimensional steady-state equation and converted to C and N flux using a power-law relationship between C:$^{234}$Th and depth determined from previous measurements [26, 27] (see Supplementary Materials). NCP(O$_2$) was derived from oxygen budgets calculated for the mixed layer at each station using dissolved oxygen normalized to argon as determined by equilibrator inlet mass spectrometry (EIMS) following Eveleth et al. [28, 29]. We used the continuous underway NCP-O$_2$/Ar data of Eveleth et al. [28], averaged over a 15 minute period around each CTD-Rosette station at which bottle sampling was also conducted. Eveleth et al. [28] did not include in their dataset negative NCP(O$_2$) results, because of the possibility of advection or mixing of low oxygen water into the mixed layer contaminating the biological signal. These results, including NCP(O$_2$) < 0 are reported in Tables S3-S5. Presence or absence of negative NCP(O$_2$) values did not alter the statistical relationships between NCP(O$_2$) and the other processes. Inclusion or exclusion of one extremely high NCP(O$_2$) value (36.5 mmolN m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$ at Station 600.040 in 2014; Table S5 and Figure 7) did not change the statistical results. New production rates (New P) were estimated in 24-hr deck incubations with $^{15}$NO$_3$ [27]. Net primary production rates (NPP $^{14}$C) were estimated by 24-hour deck incubations with $^{14}$C-bicarbonate [30]. For comparison, all values were converted to nitrogen based units using the Redfield ratio 106 C: 16 N: -138 O$_2$ [27].

3. Results and discussion.

**Sea ice cover and net changes in the Nitrate and Iodide inventories.** As the sun ascends in spring, and as sea ice begins to melt and retreat, solar irradiance and stratification increase in the upper water column, triggering phytoplankton blooms and initiating net removal of dissolved inorganic carbon [22], nitrate, silicate and phosphate [31], and net production of oxygen and iodide (see below, [23]). At some point following the ice retreat, particulate matter export builds to its annual peak [32]. Unlike Sweeney et al [22], we do not have early-season observations and had to estimate a date when net production started. Sweeney et al.’s observations were made just prior to the opening of the Ross Sea Polynya in Oct-Nov 1996, providing start dates and direct observations of early season nitrate and DIC inventories to use as start values for seasonal-scale inventory changes. In our study, retreating sea ice passed 50 and 15% SIC on average on Days 330±28 and 346±27, respectively in 2012-14 (averaged S2). The 15 and 50% SIC days at our stations, Tables 3-5, are significantly correlated (Day 50% = 0.84*Day 15% + 39.03, r=0.83; p<0.001, n=49 stations). The number of days from ice retreat (15% SIC) until sampling averaged 51, 37 and 6 in 2012, 2013 and 2014 respectively (Table S3-S5). 2014 was the largest positive ice anomaly since 1987-88. Late ice retreat in 2014 (Figure S3), left many stations still ice-covered at the time of sampling and prevented access to some stations. At 6 of 17 stations, the subsequent ice retreat yielded negative days since retreat (e.g., Table S5).

Vertical profiles of NO$_3$ show 10-15 mM reductions in surface concentration (Figures 2, S2), and some stations were nearly depleted at the surface in 2013. The average inventories (0-150 meters) were 4203, 4386 and 4229 mmol N m$^{-2}$ in 2012-14, representing a 12-15% depletion below the average winter inventory of 4977 mmol N m$^{-2}$. Using the 15% SIC criterion for the
date of ice retreat and the assumed start of net utilization gave an average nitrate removal rate of 13.5 (range 9-18) and 20 (range 9-32) mmol N m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\) in 2012 and 2013. In 2014, the heavy ice cover retreated from many stations only shortly before or even after our occupations, yielding either very high positive rates, when ice retreat was only a few days prior to sampling, or negative rates if it followed. However, the observed inventories in 2014 were actually the same as in the preceding years. This observation suggests that our assumed starting value for NO\(_3\) removal was in error, and that net removal started some time before the 15% SIC criterion, i.e., under heavy ice cover. To take account of this possibility, we used Oct 1 as a date for the presumed start of the net growing season, based on time series observations of sea ice cover, chlorophyll and nutrients near Palmer Station [31]. Rates of nitrate removal derived using days since Oct 1 were similar in the three years, and lower than the 15 and 50% SIC rates (Tables S3-S5).

**Iodate reduction.** Iodate reduction to iodide in the euphotic zone is a biogeochemical process that is related to primary production, although not necessarily directly driven by it. Once formed, iodide is only very slowly oxidised on timescales of months or longer [33]. The build-up of iodide in the surface mixed layer has therefore been proposed as a means of estimating seasonally integrated productivity, or related parameters such as nitrate uptake, in the sense of integrating since the water column was last zeroed by vertical mixing, typically in winter [23, 33-36]. The conversion of iodate to iodide is associated with phytoplankton growth and primary production and is the major iodine transformation pathway in the ocean. In comparison, uptake of iodine into phytoplankton cells and subsequent export by sinking is a secondary pathway accounting for only a small fraction of the ocean iodine cycle. It has been suggested that the reduction of iodate to iodide takes place outside the cell, likely on the cell surface. It is not clear if the build-up of iodide will reflect new, net or gross productivity [33]. We therefore obtained a new set of samples in 2012 to evaluate and calibrate the iodide productivity proxy against the other processes.

Vertical profiles of iodide demonstrated a general pattern of accumulation above the deep-water background (Figure 2, S2). Discrete depth iodide concentrations are significantly correlated with nitrate and also weakly but significantly correlated with the \(^{234}\)Th deficit (Figure 3). The iodide vs nitrate plot indicates a good correlation with a slope and intercept similar to that derived from a global scale data set for waters with surface nitrate concentrations above 2\(\mu\)M [33], suggesting the global relationship applies in the WAP. The better correlation of iodide accumulation with nitrate rather than \(^{234}\)Th deficiency suggests that the mechanisms controlling iodine removal and the associated carbon biogeochemistry processes are related to those that directly cycle carbon and nitrogen via primary production rather than export.

The average integrated iodide build-up through the top 150 m of the water column is 4.6±0.8 mmol m\(^{-2}\). The average iodide concentration at 200 m is low, 7.4 ±3.5 nM, but well above the detection limit and we assume this represents the winter surface water iodide background concentration (see Supplementary Materials). We therefore correct the upper 150 m integrated iodide inventory for this background to give a value of the seasonal iodide build-up between winter mixing and the time of sampling of 3.5mmol m\(^{-2}\). Chance et al [23] measured the build-up of iodide at the Rothera site in Marguerite Bay in the southern part of the station grid (near Station line 200, cf. Fig S1) and over one year found a good relationship (although substantially lagged in time) with integrated \(^{14}\)C-based PP and an I/C assimilation ratio of 0.16×10\(^{-3}\) mol/mol. The use of the 0.16×10\(^{-3}\) I/C ratio results in an estimate of seasonal
production of 3.3 mol N m\(^{-2}\) that is much higher than the other estimates made here and so instead we use the conversions based on the observed iodide nitrate relationship (-266 mol N:mol l; Figure 3).

Comparison of Production and Removal processes. Here we compare the magnitudes and variability among the different production (NCP(O\(_2\)), NPP (\(^{14}\)C), New P) and particle export rates (\(^{234}\)Th) measured in the region during 2012-14. We address two main issues: first, the comparison between shorter-term processes (hours-days) and longer-term (weeks-months) nitrate removal estimates (Table S1); and second, the grid-scale balance between New P or NCP(O\(_2\)) and Export (\(^{234}\)Th) in the midsummer period. Comparison of the measurements (as contrasted with the processes) is addressed in the Supplementary Materials.

NPP rates as estimated by 24-hr deck incubations with \(^{14}\)C were moderate in 2012 (7.8 mmol N m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\)) and over twice as high in 2013 and 2014 (Tables S3-S5). Corresponding rates of Export (\(^{234}\)Th), NPP (\(^{14}\)C), New P and NCP(O\(_2\)) are reported in Tables S3-S5 and their spatial and interannual (2012-14) variability within the original sampling grid are depicted in Figures 4-7. Within-year variability was moderately high for all the rate processes: the average of the mean coefficients of variation (Stdev/mean) for each of the four rate processes was 1.2 over the three years of this study. This within-year variability is some combination of spatial (10–100 km) and temporal (1-20 d) variability within the sampling grid in the midsummer period. Sampling was conducted over 12-15 days each January (Tables S3-S5). This is about the time scale of a phytoplankton bloom and of the Export (\(^{234}\)Th) (~20 d) and NCP(O\(_2\)) (~10 d) estimates, and similar to the time scale of sea ice retreat from 50% to 15% ice cover (Table S2). NPP (\(^{14}\)C) and New P measurements are made over 24h and are subject to shorter-term forcing. Rates will likely be higher on sunny than cloudy days, making it hard to disentangle temporal and spatial variability. We believe that we achieve reasonable “snapshots” of grid-averaged rate processes characteristic of early to midsummer in the WAP region. As a result of within-year spatiotemporal variability, New P, NCP(O\(_2\)) and Export (\(^{234}\)Th) did not differ significantly across years (i.e., estimates of each individual process did not differ across the three years 2012-14; t-tests, all > 0.05, data collated from Tables S3-S5).

These variables did, however, differ from one another within years. Export (\(^{234}\)Th) was consistently low across the study area in 2012-14 relative to our other measurements (Figure 4). The positive export values ranged from 0.2 to 3.9 mmol N m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\) with most values clustering around 1 mmol N m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\) (Tables S3-S5). Similar to other observations [37], the deep, “oceanic” off-shelf stations did not have lower export rates than the coastal and shelf stations when integrated to 150 m. The 150 m integration depth masks a pattern of higher mixed layer Export (\(^{234}\)Th) near the coast with higher subsurface \(^{234}\)Th remineralization above 150 m (Figure 2). In contrast, on-to-offshore and north to south gradients are apparent for new and net community production rates (Figure 4; see also Fig 3 in [28]). North to south gradients are associated with ice edge blooms encountered in the south part of the study area later in the summer cruises (cruises proceed from north to south). Ice retreat also has a north-to-south progression [16], and thus it is more likely that ice edge blooms triggered by ice retreat will be encountered to the south [38]. Continuous NCP(O\(_2\)) estimates reveal high NCP rates in the south in 2013-14 and in the coastal region in all three years [28].

We expected that the longer-timescale estimates of seasonal net nitrate removal (normalized to per d units) would be lower than the other estimates, especially the short-term, biological uptake rate measurements (\(^{14}\)C, \(^{15}\)NO\(_3\)) made in midsummer. This is because the
seasonal-scale estimates include the beginning of the season when rates are lower due to low solar irradiance. This is not what we observed [28]. Rate comparisons are shown in Figures 7-9. In each year, the seasonal net nitrate depletion rate derived from the 50% SIC retreat criterion (which is lower than the rate derived from the 15% SIC criterion) were not significantly different from the short-term $^{14}$C-based rates of primary production, and greater than the rates of new production, Export ($^{234}$Th) and NCP(O$_2$) in all three years. Using Oct 1 as the start of the production season gave lower daily rates than the 50% SIC based estimate; however these too were greater than the rates of new production, NCP(O$_2$) and Export ($^{234}$Th) in 2012 and 2013. Considering the ice retreat timing, in 2012 and 2013, the peak of the bloom likely occurred >10 days prior to the cruise. Short-term O$_2$/Ar based estimates would not capture that productivity, while nitrate removal would. The results in Fig 9 (2014) suggest that there must have been bloom activity significantly prior to the 50% ice retreat and that the NCP(O$_2$) rate remained consistently high over the growing season.

Net nitrate removal is often used as a larger-scale or longer-term estimate of new production and NCP [39, 40]. Seasonal estimates of DIC removal were about 3 times greater than January O$_2$/Ar-based NCP estimates [28], consistent with our results for the NO$_3$ inventory. One interpretation of the high daily nitrate removal rates is that the greater part of the bloom activity was completed before the cruise, and the net removal rates reflect sustained high rates of removal during blooms in the weeks to months preceding the cruises. Indeed, in a 5-month study including NO$_3$ removal and uptake near Palmer Station (northeastern portion of our study region) in 2012-2013, Stukel et al. [27] found excellent agreement between NO$_3$ removal and uptake, although both peaked in late November; hence measurements of net seasonal NO$_3^-$ removal made only in January (but integrating over the productive early season) would overestimate contemporaneous NO$_3$ uptake measurements. Similarly, Bowman et al. [41] found that phytoplankton blooms occurred at Palmer and Rothera Stations in December, 2011 and 2012, prior to the January 2012 and 2013 cruises (see also Fig. 2 in [28]). The bloom phenologies at these shallow nearshore sites may not reflect the timing of blooms on the offshore grid. A corollary of this interpretation is that the shorter-term estimates made during the cruises mainly represent post-bloom conditions with lower average rates and possibly greater reliance of the production system on regenerated nutrients, and with lower f-ratios. The nearshore bloom was 15-30 days later (Dec-Jan) in 2013-14, coinciding with the cruise, and when ice cover retreated later than in the preceding two years (Figure S3, [28]).

Balance between production and export. Next, we compare the January estimates of NCP(O$_2$), New P and Export ($^{234}$Th), the balance between production and removal, and temporal offsets between these processes. It is important to understand that, when making these comparisons, the $^{234}$Th-based estimates of particle export only address sinking particles, and do not include other export processes such as mixing of DOM or suspended particulate matter [42]. Estimates of sinking particle export derived from the $^{239}$U:$^{234}$Th disequilibrium were lower than new production rates in all three years (2012-14) and were less than NCP(O$_2$) in 2012 and 2014. The relative magnitudes of these rates varied greatly at individual stations (Tables S3-S5). Any of the three processes could exceed the other two at any given station. On average however, $^{234}$Th-based export was about one-fifth to one-half of the other two rate estimates. The consistency of these grid-average estimates, in the face of high spatial (station to station) variability, and across years differing very greatly in sea ice cover, suggests a significant perennial imbalance between production and sinking particle export during the midsummer period. We consider potential reasons for this imbalance below.
Observations of production-export imbalances are not uncommon in aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems [2, 12, 43]. Most such cruise-based estimates are made over relatively brief time periods of a few weeks at most. A steady-state balance should exist over some suitably large time and space scales, but there is no a priori reason that these rates have to balance over periods shorter than about a year, or over areas smaller than an ocean basin. Before pursuing temporal imbalance further, we briefly address the possibility of systematic over- or underestimation of new production and export. Estapa et al. [12] tested the hypothesis that spatial offsets at submesoscales could lead to imbalances between production (NCP) and particle export. They observed that densely sampled NCP and export (~2 km station spacing) were correlated over larger spatial scales (30-40 km), but paired observations at individual stations were not. Our data are reported at widely spaced intervals (100 by 50 km in a 400 x 200 km domain; Fig. 5), so we believe that spatial offsetting is likely to be averaged out in our sampling design. Eveleth et al. (27) showed that the decorrelation length scales for biological properties over the shelf is quite short, on the order of 5 km.

The f-ratios (ratio of New P/NPP) and e-ratios (Export/NPP) constitute a check on the relative magnitudes of the New P and Export (234Th) rates (Table S6). The geometric mean f- and e-ratios for 2012 were 0.37±0.32 and 0.18±0.67, not unusual values for polar seas [27, 44, 45]. The e-ratios were lower in 2013 and 2014 (0.05±0.05 and 0.11±0.18). The measured Export (234Th) rates were 1.4±0.3, 1.1±0.6 and 1.8±1.2 mmol N m⁻² d⁻¹ in 2012-14, respectively; and not significantly different between years (t-tests, p>0.05). On the other hand, the NPP (14C) rates were very high in 2013 and 2014 (27±23 and 25±26 respectively). The e-ratios were low in 2013 and 2014 because the primary production rates were very high, not because the export rates were unusually low. Whether or not the export rates were lower than expected given the high NPP (14C) rates is an interesting question that cannot be answered with this data set. The f-ratios exhibited the same temporal pattern as the e-ratios: relatively high in 2012 (mean ratio 0.37±0.32 in 2012 and lower in 2013 and 2014 (0.11±0.11 and 0.24±0.13, respectively; Table S6).

There are few measurements of e- and f-ratios in the WAP or other Antarctic marginal ice zones for comparison with these observations. Huang et al. [46] estimated f-ratios ranging from 0-0.83, based on discrete O₂/Ar and triple oxygen isotope measurements. e-ratios derived from moored sediment traps are not directly comparable because even the shallowest collections are often from greater depths and do not directly address export from the productive surface layer. Such estimates are usually low [32], and it has been shown that moored traps tend to suffer from an undercollection bias [47]. Another possible reason for low f-ratios could be that NO₃ uptake was iron-limited rather than nitrate-limited in midsummer in the WAP [48]. Available dissolved iron concentrations are variable on the WAP shelf [49].

Finally, we estimate turnover rates for the standing stock of accumulated particulate organic nitrogen (PN) in 2012, from PN measurements and the New P rates (PN data are not available for 2013-14). Both particulate and semilabile dissolved organic carbon (DOC) accumulated in the upper 150 m (Table S7). PN accumulations averaged 115±46 mmol N m⁻² d⁻¹ (range 41-184 mmol N m⁻² d⁻¹). We estimated semilabile DON from DOC using a DOC:DON molar ratio of 11.5 [50]. Semilabile DON accumulation averaged 39±12 mmol N m⁻² d⁻¹ (range 18-60), or 37% the stock of PN. The size of the accumulated PN pool can be scaled by normalizing to the rate measurements. For example it represents about 17 days of primary production at the average level observed in 2012 (with one high value of 200 removed, Table S7). Similarly, this accumulated stock would take on average 84 days to be removed at the
observed rates of Export ($^{234}$Th) (range 33-159 days). Similar comparisons can be made using new production and NCP(O$_2$). This suggests a substantial imbalance in the production/export system along the WAP.

Our measurements are snapshots, and do not address the issue of temporal imbalance or horizontal advection. The Palmer LTER sediment trap moored at 170 meters depth near station 600.100 reveals strong seasonality in particle flux, in common with earlier observations at this site [51]. The peak flux captured by the LTER trap occurs anytime between mid-December and mid-February [32], so our observations in 2012-14 could be anywhere along the bloom-sequence. In 2012 there was a broad flux peak between 06 January and 16 March. In the 2012-13 season corresponding to the January 2013 observations, a very brief flux peak occurred in December 2012 (unpublished data in Palmer Datazoo, dataset 26; 2014 data not yet available).

Temporal imbalance was likely partially responsible for the large New P-Export ($^{234}$Th) imbalance measured during the 2014 cruise, which was sampled during a delayed spring bloom. In contrast to the annual offshore cruises each January that comprise these datasets, daily to weekly estimates of nitrate removal, New P and NCP(O$_2$) and Export ($^{234}$Th) were made throughout the growing season in the nearshore region at Palmer Station. Stukel et al. [27] observed a good temporal correspondence between $^{15}$NO$_3$ uptake (New P) and net removal of the NO$_3$ stock during the 2012-13 season, but Export ($^{234}$Th) was much lower. Similarly, Tortell et al. [52] obtained continuous estimates of NCP(O$_2$) over the same period. Both sets of observations captured the spring bloom in November-December. Even when integrated over the full October to March productive season, there was a large excess of production over export by sinking particles. Stukel and Ducklow [42] suggested that nearly half of the organic nitrogen exported from the WAP surface ocean by the biological pump may be transported by vertical mixing of suspended particles that would not be measured by $^{234}$Th disequilibrium approaches. Furthermore, their results showed that vertical mixing was more important in the productive shelf regions, where we find greater imbalance between new and export production.

**Conclusion.** Taken together, our results add to a growing body of literature showing that new and net community production are high in the WAP, but that they are not balanced by the sinking flux of particles measured by sediment traps or $^{238}$U:$^{234}$Th disequilibrium [27, 44, 47]. Our specific result of good agreement between $^{15}$NO$_3$ uptake new production measurements and O$_2$:Ar-based NCP measurements (cruise averages always agreed to within a factor of 2), give us good confidence that the new production-export imbalance results not from a methodological bias, but in fact reflects the reality of an ecosystem in which other processes (vertical mixing, active transport by vertically-migrating zooplankton, removal by migratory whales and seabirds) are important components of the biological pump. Quantifying these alternate mechanisms of export, along with their spatiotemporal variability and differential responses to secular warming in the WAP, should be considered a priority for further research.
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FIGURE CAPTIONS

Figure 1. Surface ocean metabolic processes driving the balance between gross primary production and respiration. This balance is the net community production, or NCP. GPP and NPP are gross and net primary production, respectively and R is community respiration by autotrophic, heterotrophic and mixotrophic plankton. Dissolved organic matter (DOM) is produced by phytoplankton and consumers and respired by heterotrophic bacteria. Exogenous (new) nutrients (e.g., nitrogen and iron) support a varying fraction of the GPP (new production) and can be supplied or removed by both vertical and horizontal processes. The new production and NCP are available for export from the system. Atmospheric exchanges are additional source and sink terms for nutrients and organic matter supporting new production and/or export. The figure is not meant to convey the exact vertical distribution of the processes. Figure modified from Ducklow & Doney (1).

Figure 2. Vertical profiles of Nitrate, Iodide and the Thorium-234 deficiency at at the four corners of the sampling region in January 2012. A, B: northern stations 600.040 and 600.200. C, D: southern stations 200.040 and 200.200. The .040 (A, C) stations are coastal; the .200 stations are deep (>3000 m) offshore stations.

Figure 3. Scatterplots of discrete depth [NO2+NO3], Iodide concentrations and Thorium-234 deficiencies relative to Uranium-238 in January 2012. The Iodide-nitrate relationship is discussed in the text.

Figure 4. Distribution of rates of Export, New production (Nitrate uptake) and NCP(O2) along the WAP in January, 2012-2014.

Figure 5. Box plots of production and export rates along the WAP shelf in January 2012. Each box represents the water column integrated data from all the stations as given in Table S3. (NPP (14C): rates of daily net primary production; ΔNO3: average daily rates of NO3 removal for the specified ice retreat criteria; ΔNO3-I: NO3 removal converted from iodide inventory accumulation; Export (234Th): daily export rate from 234Th; New Prod: daily rate from 15NO3 incorporation; NCP(O2): daily rate of NCP from mixed layer O2 budget as measured by EIMS. The top and bottom of the boxes show the 75% and 25% percentiles, respectively. The capped vertical lines indicate the 90th and 10th percentiles and symbols indicate outliers. The line inside the box is the median. Rates sharing letters are not statistically different averaged over the sampling region within each year (T-test, p>0.05). Rates with different letters are significantly different (p<0.05). All values were converted to Nitrogen equivalents as described in Methods.

Figure 6. Box plots of production and export rates along the WAP shelf in January 2013 as in Figure 5 with data from Table S4. Iodide was not measured in 2013.

Figure 7. Box plots of production and export rates along the WAP shelf in January 2014 as in Figure 6, with data from Table 5. Iodide was not measured in 2014.
Figure 1. Surface ocean metabolic processes driving the balance between gross primary production and respiration. This balance is the net community production, or NCP. GPP and NPP are gross and net primary production, respectively and R is community respiration by autotrophic, heterotrophic and mixotrophic plankton. Other metabolic modes such as chemoautotrophy and anoxygenic photosynthesis are not included. Dissolved organic matter (DOM) is produced by phytoplankton and consumers and respired by heterotrophic bacteria. Exogenous (new) nutrients (e.g., nitrogen and iron) support a varying fraction of the GPP (new production) and can be supplied or removed by both vertical and horizontal processes. New production is quantitatively equivalent to the NCP over suitably large time and space scales. Organic matter imported into the system can fuel net heterotrophy. The new production and NCP are available for export from the system. Atmospheric exchanges are additional source and sink terms for nutrients and organic matter supporting new production and/or export. Figure modified from Ducklow & Doney (1).
Figure 2. Vertical profiles of Nitrate, Iodide and the Thorium-234 deficiency at the four corners of the sampling region in January 2012. A, B: northern stations 600.040 and 600.200. C, D: southern stations 200.040 and 200.200. The .040 (A, C) stations are coastal; the .200 stations are deep (>3000 m) offshore stations.
Figure 3. Scatterplots of discrete depth [NO2+NO3], Iodide concentrations and Thorium-234 deficiencies relative to Uranium-238 in January 2012. The Iodide-nitrate relationship is discussed in the text.
Figure 4. Distribution of rates of Export, New production (Nitrate uptake) and NCP along the WAP in January, 2012-2014.
Figure 5. Box plots of production and export rates along the WAP shelf in January, 2012. Each box represents the water column integrated data from all the stations as given in Table S3. (NPP (\textsuperscript{14}C): rates of daily net primary production; DNO3: average daily rates of NO3 removal for the specified ice retreat criteria; DNO3-I: NO3 removal converted from iodide inventory accumulation; Export (\textsuperscript{234}Th): daily export rate from \textsuperscript{234}Th; New Prod: daily rate from \textsuperscript{15}NO_3 incorporation; NCP(O_2): daily rate of NCP from mixed layer O_2 budget as measured by EIMS. The top and bottom of the boxes show the 75% and 25% percentiles, respectively. The capped vertical lines indicate the 90th and 10th percentiles and symbols indicate outliers. The line inside the box is the median. Rates sharing letters are not statistically different averaged over the sampling region within each year (T-test, p>0.05). Rates with different letters are significantly different (p<0.05). All values were converted to Nitrogen equivalents as described in Methods.
Figure 6. Box plots of production and export rates along the WAP shelf in January, 2013 as in Figure 5 with data from Table S4. Iodide was not measured in 2013.
Figure 7. Box plots of production and export rates along the WAP shelf in January, 2014 as in Figure 6, with data from Table 5. Iodide was not measured in 2014.