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Key points:

- Third wave mindfulness-based CBT for late life depression is moderately effective.
- Observed efficacy of third wave mindfulness-based CBT on late life anxiety may not be robust.
- There is an urgent need to conduct RCTs comparing ACT and MBCT with other types of psychotherapy in older people to provide up-to-date evidence on psychological treatment options for older clients.
Abstract

Objectives: To review the effectiveness of third wave mindfulness-based cognitive behavioral therapies (CBT) for depressive or anxiety symptomatology in older adults across a wide range of physical and psychological conditions.

Methods: Electronic literature databases were searched for articles and random-effects meta-analysis was conducted.

Results: Ten studies met the inclusion criteria, of which nine reported the efficacy of interventions on depressive symptoms and seven on anxiety symptoms. Effect-size estimates suggested that mindfulness-based CBT is moderately effective on depressive symptoms in older adults ($g = 0.55$). The results demonstrated a similar level of overall effect size for anxiety symptoms ($g = 0.58$). However, there was a large heterogeneity and publication bias was evident in studies reporting outcomes on anxiety symptoms and thus this observed efficacy for late-life anxiety may not be robust. The quality of the included studies varied. Only one study used an active psychological control condition. There were a limited number of studies that used an intent-to-treat (ITT: last observation carried forward method) analysis and reported appropriate methods for clinical trials (e.g., treatment-integrity reporting).

Conclusions: Third wave mindfulness-based CBT may be robust in particular for depressive symptoms in older adults. We recommend that future studies a) conduct RCTs with ITT to compare mindfulness-based CBT with other types of psychotherapy in older people; and b) improve study quality by using appropriate methods for checking treatment adherence, randomization, and blinding of assessors.
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Introduction

Most countries in the world today face rapidly ageing populations, and growth in numbers of older individuals is projected to be even faster in coming decades (United Nations, 2015). Generally, affective disorders, such as depression and anxiety, are less prevalent among older than younger people (e.g., Kessler et al., 2010; Scott et al., 2008). However, certain subgroups of older people such as those with Parkinson’s disease (e.g., Pontone et al., 2009) or dementia (e.g., Ballard et al., 2000), or residents of long-term care homes (e.g., Seitz et al., 2010) are at relatively greater risk of developing psychological difficulties. With increased longevity, there will be an increasingly large population of older adults needing psychological therapies to maintain higher levels of quality of life in the face of age-related challenges.

Standard Cognitive Behavior Therapy (CBT) is an acceptable treatment for older people with depressive and anxiety disorders and is significantly more effective than treatment as usual or being on a waiting list (Gould et al., 2012a; Gould et al., 2012b; Krishna et al., 2011). However, the between-group difference favoring CBT for older adults is not statistically significant when CBT is compared with an active control condition (e.g., pharmacotherapy or other psychotherapies) (Gould et al., 2012a; Gould et al., 2012b; Krishna et al., 2011). A recent review of a series of meta-analyses (Cuijpers et al., 2011) demonstrated that all psychotherapies (e.g., CBT, psychodynamic therapy, interpersonal psychotherapy) are more or less equally effective in treating depression, and although there may be small differences, such differences are not very stable. This suggests we need to investigate other psychological approaches that may augment CBT to increase the efficacy of interventions for older clients.

Previous meta-analyses of CBT with older adults also indicated methodological limitations of included studies such as inadequate randomization and completer samples
suggesting the potential risk of selection bias. In addition, considering that most evidence on CBT with older people is from the United States (Krishna et al., 2011) and that early studies recruited relatively younger-old participants (i.e., individuals at 55 years and over) (Gould et al., 2012a; Gould et al., 2012b), it is unclear to what extent findings are generalizable to countries under a different health care system or to the current cohort of older people.

There is growing evidence that so-called 'third wave' mindfulness-based CBT approaches have positive effects on a wide range of physical conditions and psychological disorders. These approaches include Acceptance and Commitment Therapy (ACT; Hayes et al., 1999), Mindfulness-Based Cognitive Therapy (MBCT; Segal et al., 2002), and Dialectical Behavior Therapy (DBT; Linehan, 1993) (Hayes, 2004).

The focus of ACT is to help clients develop psychological flexibility—the ability to contact the present moment more fully as a conscious human being, and to change or persist in behavior when doing so serves valued ends (Hayes et al., 2006). ACT interventions focus around three main processes: 1) facilitating nonjudgmental present-focused awareness in interacting with private experiences (i.e., thoughts, images, emotions, memories) which are out of personal control, 2) facilitating detachment from negative or critical self-thoughts, and greater acceptance and kindness toward oneself, and 3) discovering what is most important to one’s true self and building larger patterns of effective action based on such values.

MBCT is a group intervention recommended by the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE, 2009) as an intervention to prevent relapse in people who have experienced three or more episodes of depression. MBCT combines elements of mindfulness practice with techniques drawn from cognitive therapy (Segal et al., 2002). It focuses on helping individuals to become more aware of thoughts and feelings and to relate to them in a wider, decentered perspective as "mental events" rather than as aspects of the self or reflections of reality (Teasdale et al., 2000).
Although distinct approaches, ACT and MBCT share common processes. Both pay greater attention to the context and functions of private events and emphasize helping individuals respond to them with greater flexibility through strategies such as acceptance and mindfulness rather than directly modifying or removing them per se. These approaches may offer particular utility for older people given the types of issues (e.g., loss of family and physical independence) they face as they age (Karlin et al., 2013). A traditional CBT approach that might challenge the validity of older people’s thoughts concerning loss or disablement, may be less beneficial because such thoughts, while excessive and maladaptive, may not be unrealistic (Petkus and Wetherell, 2013). An acceptance approach in which individuals learn to focus on their remaining resources may be better. Furthermore, Geiger et al. (2016) note that interventions emphasizing the willingness to accept and experience the present moment may be consisted with older adults’ natural emotion regulation strategies as they tend to demonstrate greater emotional resilience and are likely to be more accepting than younger adults when faced with emotional conflicts (e.g., Charles and Carstensen, 2010).

Despite growing interest in third wave mindfulness-based CBT for older adults, no previous review has examined efficacy in this context. The current meta-analysis, therefore, aims to provide evidence on the effectiveness of mindfulness-based CBT (i.e., ACT and MBCT) on depressive and anxiety symptoms in older adults. DBT was excluded from the current review as this approach has been mainly tested in RCTs for the treatment of borderline personality disorder (Panos et al., 2014). The current study also reviews the methodological quality of the protocols used, to identify directions for future research.

Methods

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria
We included studies that (a) examined the pre-post or controlled effects of a manualized mindfulness-based CBT (i.e., ACT, MBCT) for depressive or anxiety symptoms with a wide range of physical and psychological conditions; (b) recruited participants aged 60 and older (e.g., through health care services for older people); (c) reported sufficient information to compute an effect size; (d) were published in peer-reviewed journals; and (e) were written in English. Studies were excluded if they (a) included participants with severe cognitive impairments; (b) did not aim to examine treatment effects; or (c) reported data that overlapped with data from other included studies (e.g., secondary analysis of existing data).

**Search Strategies**

We searched electronic databases MEDLINE (all text), PsycINFO (all text), Scopus (title, abstracts, keywords), and Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (title, abstracts, keywords) on March 7, 2016. We used terms for mindfulness-based CBT (acceptance and commitment therapy, acceptance-based, acceptance based, mindfulness, mindfulness-based, MBCT), and the older adult population (older adult* [people, person], old adult* [people, person], elder*, late-life, geriat*, senior*). We also examined a list of publications relevant to mindfulness-based interventions on the website of the Association for Contextual Behavioral Science (https://contextualscience.org/publications). Manual searches of references of individual empirical trial papers were also completed to detect any potential missing references.

**Coding Procedure**

Information was extracted independently by two authors (NK and YT) using an electronic data extraction sheet purposely designed for the current meta-analysis. Agreement between the two coders was 94%. Disagreements were resolved through discussion and consensus was obtained.
For each included study, information was recorded on (a) the country where research was conducted; (b) the main treatment target (e.g., chronic pain); (c) the type of sample collected (e.g., nursing care home residents); (d) participants’ age range and mean age; (e) type of treatment condition (i.e., ACT or MBCT); (f) the treatment manual used; (g) ACT or MBCT training experiences of therapists; (h) gerontological training experiences of therapists; (i) age-specific modifications made to the standard treatment protocol; (j) format of therapy (i.e., individual or group); (k) number of sessions; (l) outcome measure of depressive and/or anxiety symptoms used; and (m) means, standard deviations, and sample size for the outcome measures in the treatment condition at pre- and post-test. For randomized controlled trials (RCT), we also extracted data on (n) the type of control condition (e.g., waiting list); and (o) means, standard deviations, and sample size for the outcome measures in the control condition at pre- and post-test.

Means and standard deviations were extracted from intent-to-treat (ITT: last observation carried forward method) samples when available. We chose symptom-specific anxiety scales as the primary outcome measures of anxiety symptoms (e.g., the Pain Anxiety Symptoms Scale for studies targeting chronic pain, the Penn State Worry Questionnaire for studies targeting generalized anxiety disorder). General measures of anxiety symptoms (e.g., Depression Anxiety Stress Scales) were chosen as the main outcome measure for studies without a symptom-specific anxiety scale.

For the purpose of assessing quality of each included study, the following information was also extracted: (a) method of treatment adherence reporting; (b) administration of measures at follow-up; and (c) use of validated mindfulness or acceptance measures (e.g., Mindfulness Attention and Awareness Scale). For controlled studies, we also extracted data on (d) type of data analyses (i.e., intention-to-treat, completers only); (e) randomization
method; (f) whether assessors were blind regarding allocation to group; and (g) whether amount of time in treatment was equal across groups.

Statistical Methods

All data were analyzed using Comprehensive Meta-Analysis software (Borenstein and Rothstein, 1999). Using means and standard deviations, we calculated the effect size (Hedge’s $g$), its 95% confidence interval, and the associated $z$ and $p$ values for each included study. In pre-post studies, when correlations between pre- and post-treatment outcomes were not available, a conservative estimate ($r = .7$) recommended by Rosenthal (1993) was used. As indicators of heterogeneity, the $Q$-statistic and the $I^2$-statistic were computed.

Duval and Tweedie’s trim-and-fill approach, which allows imputation of missing studies, was used to calculate the adjusted effect size estimate, allowing publication bias. Rosenthal’s Fail-safe N was used to compute the number of missing studies needed to be retrieved and incorporated in the analysis to reduce the overall effect size to a non-significant level. If only a few studies are required to nullify the observed effect, this suggests that the observed overall effect may not be robust.

Results

Study Selection

Figure 1 presents a flow diagram illustrating the study selection process. Our search yielded 489 citations and the abstracts of 329 were examined after removing duplicate publications. The first author excluded 307 articles based on abstract as clearly irrelevant to the present research question. Two authors (NK and YT) reviewed the remaining 22 full articles independently. Applying the inclusion criteria resulted in the identification of 10 studies. One study which recruited participants through health care services for older people (Splevins et al., 2009) included four individuals aged under 65; however, the majority of
participants (81%) were over the age of 65 and thus we decided to retain this study. There were no disagreements on the included studies.

**Characteristics of Included Studies**

Characteristics of the studies included in the meta-analysis are presented in Table 1 and 2. A total of 330 older adults participated in mindfulness-based CBT studies (Treatment \( n = 248 \), Control \( n = 82 \)). Five ACT studies (RCT \( n = 3 \), pre-post study \( n = 2 \)) and five MBCT studies (RCT \( n = 2 \); pre-post study \( n = 3 \)) were identified. Of the five RCTs, one study compared the efficacy of treatment condition (i.e., ACT) with an active psychological control treatment (i.e., standard CBT). The other four studies used either a waitlist control condition or a non-psychological treatment condition.

Nine studies reported the mean age of participants; this was above 70 in seven studies and 64 in the remaining two. Most studies recruited community-dwelling individuals and only three included nursing home and aged care service residents. The primary treatment target in included studies was psychological distress (anxiety, depression, stress, bereavement) with only three addressing efficacy for physical distress (i.e., chronic pain).

Two ACT studies delivered interventions in an individual format, but all others used a group format. Eight studies reported that all therapists involved had received training in ACT or MBCT. Only three studies employed therapists with training in geriatric psychology. Five studies indicated that they made some age-specific modifications to the standard treatment manual to meet the needs of older people. These amendments were mainly procedural such as shortening the session length, providing the option of sitting on a chair to do an exercise rather than lying on the floor, and simplifying walking meditation to avoid compromising balance. Two studies reported employing additional treatment components based on a gerontological theory (i.e., selective optimization with compensation) to overcome barriers in
taking committed actions toward one's values when faced with age-related losses (e.g., of physical independence).

**Synthesis of Results**

*Effect on late-life depression.* Nine studies included a measure of depressive symptoms among the outcome measures. Effect sizes varied among these studies from not effective ($g = 0.14$) to large and positive ($g = 1.63$) (Figure 2). The random effect model showed an overall effect size of $g = 0.55$ (95% CI = 0.34-0.75). This indicates a significant moderate effect of mindfulness-based CBT for depressive symptoms among older adults ($Z = 5.26, p < .01$). There was significant moderate heterogeneity between study effect sizes ($Q (8) = 16.82, p < .05; I^2 = 52.48$). Subgroup analysis showed no significant difference in controlled effect size between ACT and MBCT ($p = 0.15$).

*Effect on late-life anxiety.* Seven studies included a measure of anxiety symptoms among the outcome measures. Effect sizes varied from not effective ($g = 0.23$) to large and positive ($g = 1.90$) (Figure 3). The random effect model showed an overall effect size of $g = 0.58$ (95% CI = 0.27-0.88), indicating a significant medium effect of mindfulness-based CBT for anxiety symptoms among older adults ($Z = 3.69, p < .01$). There was significant high heterogeneity between study effect sizes ($Q (6) = 23.06, p < .01; I^2 = 73.98$). Subgroup analysis demonstrated no significant difference in controlled effect size between ACT and MBCT ($p = 0.25$).

**Publication Bias**

*Studies on late-life depression.* Duval and Tweedie’s trim-and-fill approach suggested one potentially missing study which, if imputed, would increase overall effect size to $g = 0.59$ (95% CI = 0.47-0.71). Rosenthal’s Fail-safe N approach indicated 143 failed trials would be needed before the combined two-tailed p-value would exceed .05, suggesting the observed effect sizes may be robust.
Studies on late-life anxiety. Duval and Tweedie’s trim-and-fill approach suggested two potentially missing studies which, if imputed, would decrease the overall effect size to $g = 0.56$ (95% CI = 0.43-0.70). Rosenthal’s Fail-safe N approach indicated 86 failed trials would be needed before the combined two-tailed p-value would exceed .05, suggesting the observed effect sizes may not be robust.

Study Quality

Only three of 10 studies conducted a treatment adherence check by using video or audio recordings of treatment sessions. Five studies administrated measures at follow-up. The follow-up period varied between one and six months. Of these five studies, four conducted a statistical analysis on the follow up data and demonstrated that the effects were maintained over time. These maintenance effects were observed in both anxiety and depressive symptoms. Five studies used validated measures of acceptance or mindfulness for evaluating the process of change in mindfulness-based CBT. All studies demonstrated statistically significant improvements in process measures either from pre to post intervention or pre to follow-up time periods.

For controlled studies, two of five studies used ITT. Two studies performed randomization using an appropriate method (e.g., a random number table generated by an independent researcher) and the assessors were blind to participant allocation in two studies. Two studies showed time equalization across treated and untreated conditions.

Discussion

The current meta-analysis found mindfulness-based CBT to be an effective treatment for depressive symptoms among older people ($g = 0.55$). Results showed a similar level of overall effect size for late-life anxiety ($g = 0.58$). However, there was a large heterogeneity
and publication bias for studies reporting outcomes on anxiety symptoms and thus the observed overall effect on late-life anxiety may not be robust.

A recent meta-analysis of RCTs on the efficacy of ACT involving adults of all ages demonstrated that ACT was significantly superior to control conditions (e.g., waitlist, psychological placebo) for anxiety/depression ($g = 0.37$), addiction ($g = 0.40$), somatic complaints ($g = 0.58$), and other mental disorders ($g = 0.92$) (A-Tjak et al., 2015). A meta-analysis of RCTs on the efficacy of MBCT for all ages demonstrated that MBCT for depression was significantly superior to treatment as usual when measured using the Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression (weighted mean difference: WMD = -4.31) and Beck Depression Inventory (WMD = -7.33) (Galante et al., 2012). In the study of Galante et al. (2012) between group differences were not significant for anxiety symptoms.

The current meta-analysis did not focus on RCTs only due to the limited number of relevant studies and comparing the efficacy of treatments using separate meta-analyses is problematic given variances in methodology and levels of heterogeneity. However, the results suggest that there is a potential benefit from mindfulness-based CBT in older adults which warrants further investigation to provide a more definite conclusion.

One of the strengths of the current meta-analysis was that the average age of participants was relatively high; for example, it was above 80 years for three studies and above 70 years for four studies. Three studies recruited participants from residential aged care services. Some earlier studies of traditional CBT recruited older people from the age of 55 into the studies (e.g., Stanley et al., 1996; Wetherell et al., 2003) and participants in such studies tend to be relatively healthy older adults without multimorbidity. These samples may not reflect the characteristics of clients whom therapists typically see in the services today. Because third wave mindfulness-based CBT is an emerging area of research and most of the
studies in the current meta-analysis were conducted within the last five years, participants in
these studies are likely more similar to the current cohort of older people.

The quality of the included studies was not optimal. The number of RCTs was limited
and only two studies employed ITT analysis which more closely reflects real-life in which
not every client fully adheres to treatment (i.e., more realistic estimate of the outcome).
Only one study compared the efficacy of interventions with another type of psychological
therapy (Whetherell et al., 2011). This study showed a significant large effect of ACT on
late-life depression compared to standard CBT (g = 1.63). There were no significant group
differences for anxiety symptoms (g = 0.23). There is little evidence of the superiority of
third wave mindfulness-based CBT over traditional CBT or other forms of psychotherapy
among older adults and thus no conclusion can be drawn without further research directly
comparing treatment options for older clients.

All studies except for one used the standardized treatment manual or a manual
developed specifically for the study concerned. However, only three studies used audiotaped
or videotaped sessions to monitor treatment adherence. The majority of therapists in the
included studies had received training in ACT or MBCT prior to the trial but only three
studies recruited therapists experienced in gerontological practices. This is particularly
important when working with older clients as psychological problems they experience may
be qualitatively different from those of younger people (e.g., physical comorbidity, loss
experiences). Karel et al. (2012) note that to meet the needs of an aging population, therapists
need to become more familiar with methods relevant to geropsychological practice.

Finally, two studies reported that they used treatment components based on a
gerontological theory (i.e., selective optimization with compensation: SOC) in addition to the
standard mindfulness-based CBT interventions. The SOC model provides a general
theoretical framework for understanding processes of developmental regulation over the
entire life span (Baltes and Baltes, 1990). The theory outlines a restriction of involvement in activities in response to lost capacity and how an individual can augment their reserves in order to continue functioning and meet goals by new means (Freund and Baltes, 2002). Previous studies demonstrated that the use of SOC strategies in the context of illness and disability such as osteoarthritis (e.g., Gignac et al., 2002) and memory decline (e.g., Hahn and Lachman, 2015) may help buffer the negative effects of these chronic conditions on everyday functioning. Whether these theoretical changes can provide additional benefits in the treatment of older people when using mindfulness-based CBT is still unknown; this might be investigated in future studies.

Conclusion

This meta-analysis provided preliminary support for third wave mindfulness-based CBT as an intervention for the treatment of depression or anxiety symptoms among older adults. The findings demonstrated that the effect size of these new forms of CBT may be robust in particular for depressive symptoms in older adults. Although there are a growing number of studies of ACT and MBCT, the empirical evidence favoring its application with older people is still scarce and thus more research is clearly needed. We recommend that future studies a) conduct RCTs involving ITT to compare ACT and MBCT with other types of psychotherapy in older people; b) improve study quality by using appropriate methods for checking treatment adherence, randomization, and blinding of assessors; and c) explore factors that might augment treatment outcomes with older clients such as components of treatment manual and therapist training (e.g., geropsychological training).
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**Table 1. Selected characteristics of studies examining the efficacy of ACT and MBCT in older people.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study First-named author</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Treatment target</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Age range (Mean)</th>
<th>Treatment (N)</th>
<th>Control (N)</th>
<th>Therapy format</th>
<th>Anxiety measure</th>
<th>Depression measure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alonso-Fernández, 2015</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>Chronic pain</td>
<td>Nursing care home residents</td>
<td>65+ (83.0)</td>
<td>ACT (27)</td>
<td>Support (26)</td>
<td>Group</td>
<td>PASS</td>
<td>GDS-30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alonso, 2013</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>Chronic pain</td>
<td>Nursing care home residents</td>
<td>78-91 (85.4)</td>
<td>ACT (5)</td>
<td>Waitlist (5)</td>
<td>Group</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>GDS-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wetherell, 2011</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>GAD</td>
<td>Community</td>
<td>60+ (70.8)</td>
<td>ACT (7)</td>
<td>CBT (7)</td>
<td>Individual</td>
<td>PSWQ</td>
<td>BDI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karlin, 2013</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>Veterans</td>
<td>65-90 (NA)</td>
<td>ACT (57)</td>
<td>- a</td>
<td>Individual</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>BDI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McCracken, 2012</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>Chronic pain</td>
<td>Community</td>
<td>60+ (64.3)</td>
<td>ACT (40)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Group</td>
<td>PASS</td>
<td>BCMDI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helmes, 2015</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>Anxiety</td>
<td>Aged care service residents</td>
<td>NA (85.0)</td>
<td>MBCT (26)</td>
<td>Activity (26)</td>
<td>Group</td>
<td>GAI</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O'Connor, 2014</td>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>Bereavement-related distress</td>
<td>Community</td>
<td>NA (76.9)</td>
<td>MBCT (18)</td>
<td>Waitlist (18)</td>
<td>Group</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>BDI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meeten, 2015</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>Community</td>
<td>65-78 (71.3)</td>
<td>MBCT (9)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Group</td>
<td>DASS</td>
<td>DASS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foull, 2014</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>Depression, Anxiety</td>
<td>Community</td>
<td>61-89 (72.9)</td>
<td>MBCT (37)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Group</td>
<td>HADS-A</td>
<td>GDS-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Splevins, 2009</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>Depression, Anxiety, Stress</td>
<td>Community</td>
<td>49-79 (65.0)</td>
<td>MBCT (22)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Group</td>
<td>DASS</td>
<td>DASS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** ACT = Acceptance and Commitment Therapy; Activity = Activity-based control treatment focused on personal experiences and reminiscing (e.g., role of weddings, nautical life, air travel); BCMDI = British Columbia Major Depression Inventory; BDI = Beck Depression Inventory-II; Community = Community-dwelling individuals; GAI = Geriatric Anxiety Inventory; GDS = Geriatric Depression Scale (10-, 15-, and 30-item versions); DASS = Depression, Anxiety and Stress Scales; HADS-A = Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale Anxiety subscale; MBCT = Mindfulness-Based Cognitive Therapy; PASS = Pain Anxiety Symptoms Scale; PSWQ = Penn State Worry Questionnaire; Support = Minimal support condition (a 2 h educational group session about factors that can influence pain conditions and pain perception and information about Selective Optimization with Compensation strategies).  

a) The age range of participants in the original study published in 2010 was 65-80. Participants were recruited through a 4-year follow-up to this original questionnaire study.  
b) Four out of 22 participants were aged under 65. They were carers for someone over 65.  
c) N at post-test.  
d) This study compared the efficacy of ACT among younger (18-64) versus older (65+) veterans. The pre- and post-data for the older veteran group was used for the purpose of the current meta-analysis.
Note. ACT-SOC = Interventions based on Acceptance and Commitment Therapy (ACT) and on the Selective Optimization with Compensation (SOC) model (Baltes et al., 1999) proposed by Alonso et al. (2013); BS = Booster sessions; Geropsy = geropsychology; pw = per week.

a) This study did not provide the information regarding the length of each session.

b) For one of the five MBCT groups only, one-day retreat was added. The duration of the retreat was shortened to 5 hours from the recommended 6 hours to accommodate this aging population.

c) All six therapists involved had at least 2 years’ experience in providing CBT. Only one had 2 years’ experience with ACT.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study First-named author</th>
<th>Treatment protocols</th>
<th>No. of sessions</th>
<th>Training experiences of therapist(s) reported</th>
<th>Age-specific modifications made to the standard manual</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alonso-Fernández, 2015</td>
<td>ACT-SOC (Alonso et al., 2013)</td>
<td>2h x 9</td>
<td>Y Y</td>
<td>Training in SOC strategies was added to help individuals to continue engaging in committed actions despite the presence of age related losses (e.g., physical independence).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alonso, 2013</td>
<td>Protocol developed based on Hayes et al. (1999), Hayes &amp; Duckworth (2006), and Baltes et al. (1999)</td>
<td>2h x 10</td>
<td>Y Y</td>
<td>Training in SOC strategies was added to help individuals to continue engaging in committed actions despite the presence of age related losses (e.g., physical independence).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wetherell, 2011</td>
<td>Protocol developed based on Hayes et al. (1999)</td>
<td>1h x 12</td>
<td>Y* -</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karlin, 2013</td>
<td>ACT for depression in veterans (Walser et al. in press)</td>
<td>12-16h</td>
<td>Y -</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McCracken, 2012</td>
<td>ACT for chronic pain (McCracken, 2005)</td>
<td>6.5h x 5 days pw over 3-4 weeks</td>
<td>- -</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helmes, 2015</td>
<td>MBCT manual (Segal et al., 2002)</td>
<td>1.5h x 8</td>
<td>Y -</td>
<td>The length of each session was reduced from 2.5 h to 1.5 h.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O’Connor, 2014</td>
<td>MBCT manual (Segal et al., 2004)</td>
<td>2h x 8 + 2 BS</td>
<td>Y -</td>
<td>The length of each session was reduced from 2.5 h to 2 h. The psycho-educational parts focused on general negative affect instead of depressive symptoms.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meeten, 2015</td>
<td>MBCT manual (Segal et al., 2002)</td>
<td>2h x 8 + 1-3 BS</td>
<td>Y -</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foulk, 2014</td>
<td>MBCT manual (Segal et al., 2002)</td>
<td>2.5h x 8 + 1 BS</td>
<td>Y Y</td>
<td>Some procedural modifications were made to overcome barriers for participants experiencing functional limitations (e.g., providing the option of sitting on a chair to do an exercise rather than lying on the floor).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Splevins, 2009</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2h x 8</td>
<td>Y -</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1. Flow chart showing the process of selection of studies of ACT and MBCT for older people.
Figure 2. Effect sizes (Hedge’s g) derived from studies examining the efficacy of ACT/MBCT in older people – Depression –
Figure 3. Effect sizes (Hedge’s g) derived from studies examining the efficacy of ACT/MBCT in older people – Anxiety -