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Abstract

The catalytic ozonation of VOCs is a promising approach for degradation of indoor VOCs, such as gaseous toluene. However, the mechanism and relevant kinetic steps involved in this reaction remain unclear. In this study, the catalytic ozonation of toluene over MnO$_2$/graphene was investigated using the empirical power law model and classic Langmuir-Hinshelwood single-site (denoted as L-H$_s$) mechanism. The apparent activation energy determined using the power law model was 29.3±2.5 kJ mol$^{-1}$. This finding indicated that the catalytic ozonation of toluene over MnO$_2$/graphene was a heterogeneous reaction, and the Langmuir-Hinshelwood mechanism was applicable. However, the L-H$_s$ mechanism did not fit the experimental data, suggesting that the reaction was non-single-site governed. A novel Langmuir-Hinshelwood dual-site (denoted as L-H$_d$) mechanism was then proposed to explain the experimental observations of the catalytic ozonation of toluene over MnO$_2$/graphene through a steady-state kinetic study. This mechanism was based on the hypothesis that MnO$_2$ was responsible for ozone decomposition and toluene adsorption on graphene; these two types of adsorption were coupled by an adjacent attack. Furthermore, XPS results confirmed the presence of a strong connection between MnO$_2$ and graphene sites on the surface of MnO$_2$/graphene. This connection allowed the adjacent attack and validated the dual-site mechanism. The L-H$_d$ model was consistent with the predicted reaction rate of toluene removal with a correlation coefficient near unity ($r^2 = 0.9165$). Moreover, the physical criterion was in accordance with both enthalpy and entropy of toluene adsorption constraints. Fulfillment of mathematical and physical criteria indicated the catalytic ozonation of toluene over MnO$_2$/graphene can be well described by the L-H$_d$ mechanism. This study helps understand the catalytic ozonation of toluene over MnO$_2$/graphene in a closely mechanistic view.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nomenclature</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$X_{tol}$</td>
<td>conversion of toluene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{tol,0}$</td>
<td>concentrations of toluene at the feed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{tol}$</td>
<td>concentrations of toluene at outlet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r_{tol}$</td>
<td>steady-state reaction rates of toluene,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{O_3}$</td>
<td>steady-state concentrations of ozone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$W$</td>
<td>catalyst weight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$v$</td>
<td>total gas flow rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_a$</td>
<td>activation energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A$</td>
<td>pre-exponential factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta S_{tol}$</td>
<td>toluene adsorption entropy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta S^0$</td>
<td>standard gas phase entropy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta H_{tol}$</td>
<td>toluene adsorption enthalpy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R$</td>
<td>universal gas constant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T$</td>
<td>temperature in Kelvin (K)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_i$</td>
<td>reaction rate constant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_{tol}$</td>
<td>adsorption equilibrium constant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r_{cal}$</td>
<td>predicted reaction rates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r_{exp}$</td>
<td>experimental reaction rates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r^2$</td>
<td>correlation coefficient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$s_1$</td>
<td>MnO$_2$ active sites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$s_2$</td>
<td>graphene active sites</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1. Introduction

Toluene, a volatile organic compound (VOC), is carcinogenic and harmful to human health [1-4]. Catalytic ozonation is a promising approach used to degrade VOCs [5-9]. The catalytic ozonation of VOCs is considered a surface reaction, which follows the Langmuir-Hinshelwood mechanism and comprises adsorbed VOC molecules and atomic oxygen species; this process was originally proposed by Oyama et al. [10] to investigate the kinetics and mechanism of the catalytic ozonation of acetone over MnOx/SiO2 by combining in situ Raman spectroscopy with transient kinetics techniques. To the best of our knowledge, Oyama et al. study is the only systematic study on the kinetics and mechanism of the catalytic ozonation of VOCs, which has been widely used to explain the experimental results of the catalytic ozonation of several VOCs, such as toluene [11, 12], propanol [13], benzene [14], and cyclohexane [15]. Moreover, the Langmuir-Hinshelwood dual-site (L-Hd) mechanism was proposed to explain the elementary steps involved in the reaction [10], in which the SiO2 support was applied for acetone adsorption, whereas ozone was adsorbed on MnOx. However, only one site (MnOx) was involved in the derivation of the proposed kinetic model; thus, the derived kinetic equation was actually based on the Langmuir-Hinshelwood single-site (denoted as L-Hs) mechanism.

Although numerous studies were conducted on the catalytic ozonation of VOCs [16-23], the underlying mechanism and its relevant kinetic steps remain unclear [24]. Other than the recent study by Rezaei and Soltan [24], no studies were performed to test the validity of the L-Hs mechanism on the catalytic ozonation of toluene over alumina-supported manganese oxide catalysts. The toluene activation step in this model differs from that proposed by Oyama et al. [10]. Moreover, the model was established based on the assumption that toluene is activated by extracting hydrogen from the methyl group of toluene, and C–H bond cleavage is
the relevant kinetic step for catalytic ozonation of toluene. The derived rate expression from this assumption is identical to that based on the power law reported in the same study [24]. The researchers also suggested that additional techniques, including in situ spectroscopy, isotopic exchange techniques, and density functional theory (DFT) calculations, must be performed to validate the proposed mechanism [24]. Nevertheless, positive adsorption entropy (100 J mol\(^{-1}\) K\(^{-1}\)) and enthalpy (8 kJ mol\(^{-1}\)) values were obtained, thereby indicating the occurrence of endothermic adsorption, which is uncommon for catalytic reactions [24, 25].

Two models [10, 24] were developed based on the L-H\(_d\) mechanism, in which surface reactions occurred only on active metal oxide sites. Supports, such as SiO\(_2\) and alumina, served as platforms for active site dispersion, which were meaningless from the kinetic point of view of rate expression development.

In our previous study, we reported the reaction performance of MnO\(_2\)/graphene catalysts with different MnO\(_2\) loading for catalytic ozonation of toluene [26]. On the basis of the reaction performance data and from the chemical point of view, the function of graphene was proposed not only as support for MnO\(_2\) dispersion, where ozone adsorption occurred, but also as a toluene adsorption site because of the \(\pi\)-electron coupling between toluene and graphene.

From the kinetic point of view, this dual role played by graphene, especially the latter, could be attributed to the non-competitive dual-site mechanism. In this mechanism, the MnO\(_2\) sites are reserved for ozone adsorption according to a classical ozone decomposition mechanism [27, 28], whereas graphene offers sites for toluene adsorption. The L-H\(_d\) mechanism has been commonly used to understand catalytic reactions [29-32]; however, to the best of our knowledge, no studies were reported on understanding catalytic ozonation of VOCs by the L-H\(_d\) mechanism.
In this paper, we reported a kinetic study for catalytic ozonation of toluene over MnO$_2$/graphene. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) analysis was conducted to investigate the catalyst surface structure, and the results confirmed our previous study based on bulk phase characterization [26]. Well-designed kinetic reaction tests were also performed to collect reaction rate data under the steady state at three temperature points. Three kinetic rate models were then developed based on power law, Langmuir-Hinshelwood single-site mechanism, and Langmuir-Hinshelwood dual-site mechanism. These models were validated by statistically fitting the kinetic data obtained and were differentiated using both mathematical and physical criteria. Kinetic parameters, including apparent activation energy, activation energy, reaction rate constant, pre-exponential factor, adsorption equilibrium constant, and adsorption entropy and enthalpy, were determined and discussed. Based on the kinetic modelling results, one promising L-H$_d$ mechanism was proposed for catalytic ozonation of toluene over MnO$_2$/graphene.

2. Experimental and data analysis

2.1. MnO$_2$/graphene preparation and characterization

The details of sample preparation were reported in previous study [26]. Briefly, 64.6 wt% MnO$_2$/graphene composite was synthesized using a redox reaction between the carbons of graphene oxide and potassium permanganate and the reduction of graphene oxide sheets to graphene sheets under hydrothermal conditions. This reaction can progress completely because MnO$_4^-$ ions can interact with graphene oxide layers given the good hydrophilicity of graphene oxide [33].

Several characterization techniques are used to examine the bulk structure of the MnO$_2$/graphene catalyst in our previous work [26]. In this study, we investigated the surface
structure of MnO$_2$/graphene by XPS analysis and confirmed the connection between surface MnO$_2$ and graphene support, which renders the dual-site mechanism as feasible. XPS analysis was performed on ESCALab 220i-XL (VG Scientific) equipped with Ar$^+$ sputtering (5 keV) for depth profile analysis. Monochromatic Al Kα was used as radiation source, with the energy scale calibrated and corrected for charging using the C 1s (284.9 eV) line as the reference binding energy.

2.2. Steady-state kinetic study

All conversion data were collected with values less than 15% under all reaction conditions to obtain reliable data for reaction kinetics. The schematic of the setup was reported in our previous studies [26, 34, 35]. Briefly, the inlet section consisted of a specific concentration of toluene gas produced from a VOC generator (VICI Metronics Dynacalibrator, model 150, USA), with N$_2$ as carrier gas, as well as a specific concentration of ozone (volume flow rate of 50–250 mL/min) produced from O$_2$ (99.99%) through a silent discharge ozone generator (MEDOZONS Ltd., Medozons-BM-02, Russia) and a mixer. The inlet gas mixture was fed into a tube fixed-bed micro-reactor with a temperature controller (Harrick, model: HVC-DRP-3, USA) loaded with approximately 80 mg (volume of 0.11 cm$^3$) of the samples. To eliminate the mass-transfer effect, we selected a sample size of 100–150 mesh by providing the catalyst bed height to a catalyst particle size ratio of L/D$_p \geq 50$ and reactor internal diameter to a catalyst particle size ratio of D/D$_p \geq 30$ [36]. Catalytic ozonation of gaseous toluene over the MnO$_2$/graphene sample was performed at varied temperatures (295, 313, and 333 K) under atmospheric pressure. The total flow rate was set at 150 mL/min (i.e., 2.5×10$^{-6}$ m$^3$ s$^{-1}$) for all runs with different toluene concentrations (300–800 ppm, i.e., 0.0124–0.0329 mol m$^{-3}$) and fixed ozone concentration (1600 ppm, i.e., 0.0659 mol m$^{-3}$).
Reactants in the inlet stream and products in the effluent stream were analyzed online by using a Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectrometer (Bruker, Model Tenser 27) equipped with a 10 cm path-length gas cell (Infrared Analysis, model: SP-10 cm, optical length = 10 cm, volume = 100 mL). The recorded spectra were the average of 16 scans at a resolution of 0.5 cm\(^{-1}\). The conversion of toluene (X\(_{\text{tol}}\)) was calculated using Eq. (1), where C\(_{\text{tol,0}}\) and C\(_{\text{tol}}\) represent the concentrations of toluene at the feed and outlet of the reactor, respectively, and the subscript “0” represents the feed condition. All data were collected under the steady-state condition (i.e., when the concentration of the outlet gas is kept constant). The average value of three sets of independent experiments (deviations within ±5%) was reported using three different batches of the sample. The steady-state reaction rate was calculated using Eq. (2), where r\(_{\text{tol}}\), C\(_{\text{tol,0}}\), v, X\(_{\text{tol}}\), and W are the steady-state reaction rates of toluene, toluene feed concentration, total gas flow rate, steady-state toluene conversion, and catalyst weight, respectively.

\[
X_{\text{tol}} = \frac{C_{\text{tol,0}} - C_{\text{tol}}}{C_{\text{tol,0}}} \times 100\% \quad (1)
\]

\[
r_{\text{tol}} = \frac{C_{\text{tol,0}} v X_{\text{tol}}}{W} \quad (2)
\]

2.3. Data analysis

Three kinetic models were developed based on different mechanisms (3.2 Kinetic Study for details). Kinetic parameters (reaction rate constant k\(_i\) and adsorption equilibrium constant K\(_{\text{tol}}\)) were obtained by fitting each model to the experimental results by combining MATLAB R2014a by using curve-fitting applications with the Levenberg–Marquardt method and the Excel optimizer solver for estimated initial values [37-39]. For each model, after the kinetic
parameters were calculated for each temperature, Arrhenius and Van’t Hoff equations were employed to determine the pre-exponential factor $A$, activation energy $E_a$, toluene adsorption enthalpy $\Delta H_{tol}$, and adsorption entropy $\Delta S_{tol}$, if applicable by using linear regression with Eqs. (3) and (4). $R$ is the universal gas constant $8.314 \text{ J K}^{-1} \text{ mol}^{-1}$, and $T$ is the reaction temperature in Kelvin (K).

\[
\ln k_i = \ln A + \left( \frac{-E_a}{R} \right) \frac{1}{T} \\
\ln K_{tol} = \left( \frac{-\Delta H_{tol}}{R} \right) \frac{1}{T} + \frac{\Delta S_{tol}}{R}
\]

Criteria must be met for the models to mathematically converge with the experimental results and render the derived kinetic parameters as physically meaningful. First, the sum of squared errors between the measured data and the values derived from the kinetic models should be minimized as much as possible. As such, nonlinear least squares regression was used to calculate the reaction rates that would yield the minimum total squared error between the predicted and experimental data, as determined from Eq. 5 at each temperature point. The correlation coefficient ($r^2$) was then used to intuitively distinguish the derived model [40, 41].

\[
\min \sum_{i=1}^{n} (r_{\text{exp.}} - r_{\text{cal.}})^2
\]

where $r_{\text{exp.}}$ and $r_{\text{cal.}}$ represent the experimental and predicted reaction rates based on the kinetic model. The index $n$ refers to the $n$th reaction rate at a specific temperature point and corresponds to specific toluene and ozone concentrations.

Second, satisfying the mathematical constraint was the first step for model discrimination. The physical meaning of the derived parameters was considered to select the optimal reaction model. Adsorption entropy and enthalpy should meet the following list of
criteria in Eqs. (6) and (7) for catalytic reactions [42-45].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Equation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$41.9 &lt; -\Delta S^0_{\text{ads}} &lt; \Delta S^0_g$</td>
<td>(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$-\Delta S^0_{\text{ads}} \leq 51.1 - 1.4\Delta H^0_{\text{ads}}$</td>
<td>(7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Eq. (6) indicates that the loss of entropy $-\Delta S^0_{\text{ads}}$ in the adsorption onto the catalyst should be higher than 41.9 J mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$ but lower than the standard gas phase entropy (320.7 J mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$ for toluene) [46]. From Eq. (7), when a molecule is strongly bound to the catalytic surface, $-\Delta H^0_{\text{ads}}$ is highly negative and surface motion becomes restricted, indicating a high negative loss of entropy. Violation of either physical criteria indicated that the derived value for the adsorption parameter was meaningless in terms of physical perspective, thereby suggesting that an improper kinetic model was selected.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Surface structure of the MnO$_2$/graphene sample

XPS was used to obtain the surface structure information of the MnO$_2$/graphene composite. The O1s spectrum was deconvoluted into four distinct curves, as shown in Fig. 1. These curves include the peaks of anhydrous compounds (Mn-O) at 529.31 eV and water molecule (H-O-H) peak at 532.40 eV. These findings agree with the literature values of 529.3–530.3 eV for MnO$_2$ and 531.8–532.8 eV for water [47]. The intensity of the 530.40 eV peak can be attributed to the presence of C-O [48]. The fourth peak exhibited a binding energy of 529.46 eV. Meng et al. [49] assigned a new binding energy peak to Mn-O-Si which was found on manganese containing zeolite synthesized using the hydrothermal method. Considering the similar synthesis method used in the present study, the new 529.46 eV peak can be reasonably ascribed to Mn-O-C. The presence of the Mn-O-C bond was also proven by
the FTIR results in our previous work [26]. The presence of Mn-O-C confirms that the active sites of graphene and the dispersed MnO$_2$ are strongly connected on the surface, thereby confirming the dual-site mechanism. In this mechanism, the two sites must be located sufficiently close to the surface to allow their adsorbed species to easily react with one another [50-52].

3.2. Steady-state kinetic study

High-temperature reactions would achieve high conversion for catalytic ozonation of toluene over the MnO$_2$/graphene composite [53]. Therefore, relatively low temperatures (295, 313, and 333 K) and high gas flow rate were selected for the reaction tests. All conversions of the toluene data were less than 15%, whereas those of ozone were typically more than 30% under the tested reaction condition. For reliability, the resulting kinetic data were only used to determine the kinetic parameters for toluene. Three kinetic models were developed based on the power law, L-H$_s$ mechanism, and L-H$_d$ mechanism.

3.2.1. Power law model

The power law model contained three unknown parameters ($k$, $\alpha$, and $\beta$), as shown in Eq. (8) [54, 55].

$$r_{tol} = kC_{tol}^\alpha C_{O_3}^\beta$$

where $r_{tol}$ is the steady-state reaction rate of toluene. $C_{tol}$ and $C_{O_3}$ are the steady-state concentrations of toluene and ozone, respectively. $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are the orders with respect to toluene and ozone concentrations, respectively. Reaction data at each temperature point in Table 1 were fit to an expression as shown in Eq. (8) by using nonlinear least squares
regression analysis explained in Section 2.2 Data analysis to determine the rate expression. The results of the fitting are shown in Table 2. The fitting analysis obtained an adjusted $R^2$ degree of fit values of 0.9, 0.96, and 0.96 for the three selected temperature points (295, 313 and 333 K). These results indicated that the power law model was well fitted. Both $\alpha$ and $\beta$ values for different temperatures slightly differed, which could be due to experimental and statistical errors. The similar results were also found in the study on steady-state kinetic analysis of catalytic ozonation of acetone [10]. The apparent activation energy ($E_a$) of the reaction was determined to be $29.3\pm2.5$ kJ mol$^{-1}$ based on the derived reaction rate constant of each temperature point with Eq. (3) through linear regression (Fig. S1 for details). This value is close to the value (31 kJ mol$^{-1}$) obtained by Rezaei and Soltan [24] for catalytic ozonation of toluene over alumina-supported manganese oxide catalysts. The determined activation energy of $29.3\pm2.5$ kJ mol$^{-1}$ in the present study was lower than that for the homogeneous reaction (55.6 kJ mol$^{-1}$) between toluene and ozone, as reported by Toby et al. [56]; thus, under the current reaction condition, the catalytic ozonation of toluene over MnO$_2$/graphene was considered a heterogeneous reaction that occurred on the catalyst surface. Therefore, the Langmuir-Hinshelwood mechanism could be utilized to explain experimental observations [57-59].

3.2.2. L-H kinetic model development

In our previous work [26], we found that pristine graphene showed some activity for ozone decomposition while MnO$_2$ exhibited much higher decomposition rate than that on graphene (around 10 times), which was attributed to its superior ability to adsorb ozone [27]. Based on this, we concluded that MnO$_2$ would be much more active for ozone adsorption than graphene and serve as the active site for ozone adsorption when MnO$_2$/graphene composite
was used for catalytic ozonation. Therefore, in the present work, we proposed that MnO₂ (instead of graphene) was the active site for ozone adsorption during the kinetic modelling. Two kinetic models were developed based on L-Hₙ and L-Hₜ mechanisms. The elementary steps involved for both mechanisms are presented in Table 3. For the L-Hₙ mechanism, the proposed steps by Oyama et al. [10] as well as Rezaei and Soltan [24] were fully adopted and listed in the left of Table 3. Briefly, the L-Hₙ mechanism consists of two cycles: ozone decomposition, as shown in steps (1) to (3), and toluene equilibrated adsorption [step (4)], migration [step (5)], and activation [step (6)], which are involved in one another. Further steps after step (6) were assumed to be not significant from the kinetic point of view [10]. Given the presence of the migration step, active site balance only occurred on one type of active site (s₁; i.e., MnO₂), whereas the other site (s₂; i.e., graphene) was dismissed for kinetic expression development. Thus, the developed equation [Eq. (9)] [10, 24] could be considered as actual L-Hₙ mechanism-based kinetic expression.

\[ r_{\text{tot}} = K_{\text{tot}} \frac{k'_1 [C₇H₈][O₃]}{(1 + k'_2 [O₃] + K_{\text{tot}}[C₇H₈])^2} \]  

where \( r_{\text{tot}} \) is the toluene reaction rate, \( K_{\text{tot}} \) is the product of two equilibrium adsorption constants in steps (4) and (5) [10]. \( k'_2 \) is set as \( \frac{k_4}{k_3} \), which is the reaction rate constant in step (1) divided by that of step (3). \( k'_1 \) is defined as \( k_3k'_2 \) which is the reaction rate constant in step (5) multiplied by \( k'_2 \).

We propose a novel kinetic model based on L-Hₜ mechanism, other than the L-Hₙ mechanism. The elementary steps involved in the former mechanism are shown in the right column of Table 3. The L-Hₜ model also consists of two cycles, which follow the conclusion
from the systematic study by Oyama et al. [10, 28], particularly with regard to the results of in situ Raman spectroscopy. The ozone decomposition cycle in the present study [i.e., steps (1)–(3)] is similar to a previously reported cycle [27, 28], and the difference originates from toluene. In the present study, the s2 (graphene) site can be used for toluene adsorption because two active sites exist [step (4)]. The adsorbed toluene molecule on the s2 (graphene) site can react with the adjacent atomic oxygen species [step (5)] formed by ozone decomposition; this process occurred in the first ozone cycle on the s1 (MnO$_2$) site, and step (5) in L-H$_s$ was skipped in the proposed L-H$_d$ mechanism. The well-designed catalyst renders the L-H$_d$ mechanism as reasonable because of two advantages. First, the inherent $\pi$ orbitals in graphene allow the toluene molecule to be adsorbed easily on the graphene surface by $\pi$-electron coupling between graphene and toluene. Lazar et al. [60] reported similar results, that is, toluene exhibits the highest affinity to graphene through combined experimental and computational (DFT) studies of seven organic molecules on graphene. These studies aimed to identify the magnitude and nature of the interaction.

Second, adsorbed toluene molecule can be activated by neighboring atomic oxygen species because of the strong connection between graphene and MnO$_2$. The presence of this connection was confirmed by the XPS results. With this strong connection and following the adjacent attack, the migration step shown in the L-H$_s$ mechanism [step (5)] could be dismissed. As a result, the dual-site mechanism should be utilized to explain the surface reaction. On the basis of this L-H$_d$ mechanism, both s1 and s2 active sites should be considered for the kinetic model development. The detailed derivation procedure is shown in later section. However, before the derivation, three assumptions were made and clarified.

First, the last step in the L-H$_d$ mechanism can be considered a slow step for catalytic ozonation of toluene, and the following steps were kinetically meaningless [10, 24]. Therefore,
Eq. (10) was used to develop the rate of toluene removal, which includes both $s1$ (MnO$_2$) and $s2$ (graphene) sites. The concentration of both intermediates, $[C_7H_8 * s2]$ and $[O* s1]$, in the reaction rate expression could not be measured. These two variables need to be replaced by known or determinable ones.

$$r_{tot} = r_5 = k_4[C_7H_8 * s2][O* s1]$$

(10)

Second, step (4) is under the equilibrium adsorption state, which indicates that the forward reaction proceeds at the same rate as the reverse reaction [Eq. (11)].

$$k_4[C_7H_8][s2] = k_4[C_7H_8 * s2]$$

(11)

where $k_4$ and $k_4$ represent the forward and reverse reaction constants in step (4), respectively. $[s2]$ is the concentration of vacant sites of $s2$ (graphene). $[C_7H_8]$ and $[C_7H_8 * s2]$ are the concentrations of toluene in the gas phase and adsorbed toluene on the active site $s2$ (graphene).

Third, the pseudo-steady-state hypothesis (PSSH) [61, 62] can be used to derive rate law, given that all data were collected under the steady-state conditions in the present study. Each species adsorbed on the surface is assumed to be a reactive intermediate. Consequently, the net rate of formation of species adsorbed on the surface is zero, as shown in Eqs. (12) and (13).

$$\frac{d[O* s1]}{dt} = r_1 - r_2 - r_5 = 0$$

(12)

$$\frac{d[O_2 * s1]}{dt} = r_2 - r_3 = 0$$

(13)

where $[O* s1]$ is the concentration of the atomic oxygen species intermediate adsorbed on
s1 (MnO₂) active sites. [O₂ \cdot s1] is the concentration of peroxide species adsorbed on s1 (MnO₂) active sites. \( r_i \) is the reaction rate corresponding to step (i) reaction listed in the right part of Table 3.

Two unknown variables, namely, \([C_7H_8 \cdot s2]\) and \([O \cdot s1]\), need to be replaced by other measurable factors to achieve the reaction rate expression for toluene removal. After starting with Eqn. (11), \([C_7H_8 \cdot s2]\) can then be expressed as Eq. (14).

\[
[C_7H_8 \cdot s2] = K_{tol}[C_7H_8][s2]
\]

where \( K_{tol} = \frac{k_2}{k_4} \) is the equilibrium adsorption constant of toluene on active site s2. The site balance on s2 was performed with \([s2]\) and \([C_7H_8 \cdot s2]\), that is \([s2] + [C_7H_8 \cdot s2] = 1\).

Substituting Eq. (14) to the s2 site balance equation, the concentration of vacant s2 site can be determined to be:

\[
[s2] = \frac{1}{1 + K_{tol}[C_7H_8]}
\]

By combining Eqs. (14) and (15), we can determine \([C_7H_8 \cdot s2]\), the concentration of adsorbed toluene species on the s2 site (graphene), with measurable factor, concentration of toluene in the gas phase.

\[
[C_7H_8 \cdot s2] = \frac{K_{tol}[C_7H_8]}{1 + K_{tol}[C_7H_8]}
\]

In the next step, we go back to Eq. (12) to replace \([O \cdot s1]\). The concentration of the adsorbed atomic oxygen species can be expressed using two measurable factors ([O₃] and
Similarly, the concentration of adsorbed peroxide intermediates can be expressed using two measurable factors ([O₃] and [C₇H₈]) and one undeterminable variable [s1], as shown in Eq. (18) by combining Eqs. (13) and (17),

\[
[O₂ * s1] = \frac{k_3k_5[O₃]^2}{k_2k_3[O₃] + k_3K_{tot}k_5[C₇H₈]} [s1] + 1 \frac{k_3K_{tot}k_5[C₇H₈]}{1 + K_{tot}[C₇H₈]} [s1]
\]

(18)

The concentration of vacant sites [s1] can be eliminated by performing a total site balance on [s1] with [s1], [O * s1], and [O₂ * s1], that is, [s1]+[O * s1]+[O₂ * s1] = 1.

Substituting Eqs. (17) and (18) to the site balance equation, we have

\[
[s1] = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{k_3[O₃]}{k_2[O₃] + k_3K_{tot}k_5[C₇H₈]} + \frac{k_3k_5[O₃]^2}{k_2k_3[O₃] + k_3K_{tot}k_5[C₇H₈]} + \frac{k_3K_{tot}k_5[C₇H₈]}{1 + K_{tot}[C₇H₈]}}
\]

(19)

By combining Eqs. (17) and (19), we can derive one expression for the concentration of atomic oxygen species intermediate with measurable factors, as shown in Eq. (20).

\[
[O * s1] = \frac{k_i[O₃]}{k_2[O₃] + k_3[O₃] + \frac{k_3k_5[O₃]^2}{k_3}} + \frac{k_3k_5[O₃]^2}{k_3} + \frac{k_3K_{tot}k_5[C₇H₈]}{1 + K_{tot}[C₇H₈]}
\]

(20)

Substituting Eqs. (16) and (20) to Eq. (10), the reaction rate expression for toluene
removal under steady-state conditions can be expressed by the following equation:

\[
\tau_{\text{tol}} = \frac{k_1 k_{\text{tol}} k_2 [C_7 H_8] [O_3]}{(1 + K_{\text{tol}} [C_7 H_8])(k_2 [O_3] + \frac{K_{\text{tol}} [C_7 H_8]}{1 + K_{\text{tol}} [C_7 H_8]} + k_1 [O_3] + \frac{k_1 [O_3]^2}{k_3})}
\]  

(21)

3.2.3. Model discrimination and parameter estimation

The rate expressions derived from the L-H\textsubscript{s} and L-H\textsubscript{d} mechanisms, i.e., Eqs. (9) and (21), were fitted to kinetic data in Table 1 by using the method mentioned in 2.3. Data analysis. The results are given in the parity plots, as shown in Fig. 2. The power law-based model results were also included in Fig. 2. Both the power law and the L-H\textsubscript{d} model showed a reasonable agreement of the predicted reaction rate of toluene removal and the experimental reaction rate with a correlation coefficient near unity (\(r^2 = 0.8995\) and 0.9165). This finding indicated that the proposed L-H\textsubscript{d} mechanism with MnO\textsubscript{2} for ozone adsorption and toluene adsorption on graphene were consistent with kinetic measurements and may be used to represent the pattern of the experimental observations. The residual plot for the L-H\textsubscript{d} model is presented in Fig. 3 to discriminate the selected mechanism and the derived model expression. The error was found to be randomly distributed, indicating that the correct rate expression should be chosen [57]. By contrast, the expression based on the L-H\textsubscript{s} mechanism did not fit the experimental data, indicating that the catalytic ozonation of toluene over the MnO\textsubscript{2}/graphene catalyst is non-single-site-governed.

Thus far, the L-H\textsubscript{d} kinetic expression satisfied the mathematical criteria mentioned in Section 2.3. Data analysis. The next criterion was evaluated from the physical point of view, which would be assessed based on the entropy and enthalpy of toluene adsorption. The estimated kinetic parameters for the L-H\textsubscript{d} model are listed in Table 4. The reaction rate and equilibrium constants are listed in the left part by fitting Eq. (21) to the experimental results in
Table 1. Pre-exponential factors, activation energy, and entropy and enthalpy of toluene adsorption are shown in the right part, and these factors were determined by linear regression with the Arrhenius or Van’t Hoff equation and the details of the derivation shown in Figs. S2–S6. As shown in Table 4, the pre-exponential factor for step (1) held a very large number, $1.50 \times 10^{19} \pm 1.2 \times 10^{5}$, whereas the values for the following two steps involved in the ozone decomposition are low, namely, $4.4 \pm 2.1$ and $26.0 \pm 18.8$, indicating that the first step was much faster than the subsequent two steps. These results are consistent with the conclusion by Oyama et al. [27, 28] that step (1) by itself is faster than steps (2) and (3). Step (6) (the reaction between adsorbed intermediates) was considered a slow step for the catalytic ozonation of acetone [10]. In the present study, the same assumption was proposed. The activation energy for step (5), attack by intermediates on adjacent foreign sites, was found to be $43.9 \pm 14.3$ kJ mol$^{-1}$, which is close to the value (48 kJ mol$^{-1}$) determined by Rezaei and Soltan for step (6), activation with intermediates on adjacent native sites (left part of Table 3) with the L-H$_d$ mechanism [24]. This result suggested that the activation of adsorbed toluene on graphene by neighboring atomic oxygen species on MnO$_2$ was true in MnO$_2$-graphene dual-site catalyst. The pre-exponential factor for step (5) was relatively high ($5.77 \pm 0.04 \times 10^3$ mol kg$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$), leading to a relatively high reaction rate. However, the high activation energy ($43.9 \pm 14.3$ kJ mol$^{-1}$) for this step may partially cancel the accelerating influence from the pre-exponential factor on the reaction rate. In Table 4, the rate constants for step (5) were very low at all three measured temperature points ((9.79±0.13) $\times 10^{-5}$, (2.34±0.08) $\times 10^{-4}$, and (1.05±0.06) $\times 10^{-3}$ mol kg$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$, respectively); hence, this step was the rate-determining step for the proposed L-H$_d$ mechanism and confirmed the aforementioned assumption that step (5) could be considered a slow step for the catalytic ozonation of toluene. The activation energy of ozone adsorption in step (1) is higher than that of the surface reaction between the ozone
and adsorbed atomic oxygen species in step (2) or that of desorption of oxygen molecule in step (3). These findings may be attributed to the high activity of atomic oxygen species intermediates [63, 64]. The entropy and enthalpy of toluene adsorption were negative, which are common in adsorption. The estimated value for the entropy of toluene adsorption was -158.5±2.2 J mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$, which satisfied Eq. (6). The calculated value for the enthalpy of toluene adsorption was -57.5±1.3 kJ mol$^{-1}$, which is consistent with the reported value (−13.5 kcal mol$^{-1}$) of the adsorption enthalpy of toluene to graphene flakes by inverse gas chromatography measurement and further DFT calculation [60]. This finding confirmed our hypothesis that toluene is adsorbed on the graphene site in the MnO$_2$/graphene catalyst. Furthermore, the derived enthalpy satisfied the requirements of Eq. (7). Both physical constraints were met, indicating that the proposed model was physically meaningful. Therefore, the proposed L-H$_d$ mechanism could be used to explain the catalytic ozonation of toluene over the MnO$_2$/graphene catalyst, that is, the entire reaction consists of two cycles: ozone decomposition over MnO$_2$ sites, which was the same as the classical explanation proposed by Oyama et al. [27, 28]; and toluene adsorption, which occurred on graphene sites. The two cycles were coupled by adjacent attack between adsorbed atomic oxygen species formed on the MnO$_2$ site in the first cycle and adsorbed toluene molecule on the graphene site in the second cycle. The strong connection between MnO$_2$ and graphene facilitated the occurrence of surface reaction between neighboring sites.

4. Conclusion

In this work, other than the classic Langmuir-Hinshelwood single-site-based model, a novel Langmuir-Hinshelwood dual-site-based mechanism was proposed to explain the experimental observation in the catalytic ozonation of toluene over the MnO$_2$/graphene
catalyst. Steady-state kinetic study was conducted to validate the hypothesis. The strong connection between MnO$_2$ and graphene sites on the surface facilitated adjacent attack and enabled the dual-site mechanism. Low apparent activation energy was determined by the empirical power law model, indicating that the catalytic ozonation reaction was heterogeneous and could be explained by the Langmuir-Hinshelwood mechanism. The L-H$_s$ mechanism did not fit the experimental data, indicating that the reaction was non-single-site-governed. With the proposed L-H$_d$ mechanism, the mathematical and physical criteria can be met, suggesting that the reaction can be well described by the L-H$_d$ mechanism. This mechanism consisted of two cycle–ozone decomposition over MnO$_2$ sites and toluene adsorption on graphene sites. The two cycles were coupled by adjacent attack between adsorbed atomic oxygen formed in the first cycle and adsorbed toluene molecule from the second cycle. This study provides new insights into catalytic ozonation of toluene over the MnO$_2$/graphene catalyst in a closely mechanistic view.
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Table 1
Experimental conditions and results of the steady-state kinetic study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature (K)</th>
<th>C_{i,0} (mol m^{-3})</th>
<th>C_i (mol m^{-3})</th>
<th>X_{tol} (%)</th>
<th>r_{tol} (mol kg^{-1}s^{-1})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Toluene</td>
<td>O_3</td>
<td>Toluene</td>
<td>O_3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>295</td>
<td>0.0124</td>
<td>0.0659</td>
<td>0.0112</td>
<td>0.0270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>295</td>
<td>0.0165</td>
<td>0.0659</td>
<td>0.0149</td>
<td>0.0379</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>295</td>
<td>0.0206</td>
<td>0.0659</td>
<td>0.0188</td>
<td>0.0451</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>295</td>
<td>0.0247</td>
<td>0.0659</td>
<td>0.0229</td>
<td>0.0477</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>295</td>
<td>0.0288</td>
<td>0.0659</td>
<td>0.0270</td>
<td>0.0503</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>295</td>
<td>0.0329</td>
<td>0.0659</td>
<td>0.0308</td>
<td>0.0512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.0124</td>
<td>0.0659</td>
<td>0.0108</td>
<td>0.0433</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.0165</td>
<td>0.0659</td>
<td>0.0148</td>
<td>0.0461</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.0206</td>
<td>0.0659</td>
<td>0.0185</td>
<td>0.0476</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.0247</td>
<td>0.0659</td>
<td>0.0225</td>
<td>0.0491</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.0288</td>
<td>0.0659</td>
<td>0.0261</td>
<td>0.0498</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.0329</td>
<td>0.0659</td>
<td>0.0301</td>
<td>0.0517</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.0124</td>
<td>0.0659</td>
<td>0.0109</td>
<td>0.0179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.0165</td>
<td>0.0659</td>
<td>0.0147</td>
<td>0.0176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.0206</td>
<td>0.0659</td>
<td>0.0176</td>
<td>0.0187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.0247</td>
<td>0.0659</td>
<td>0.0211</td>
<td>0.0232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.0288</td>
<td>0.0659</td>
<td>0.0247</td>
<td>0.0187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.0329</td>
<td>0.0659</td>
<td>0.0286</td>
<td>0.0231</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(a\) The reaction test was conducted on 64.6 wt% MnO_2/graphene catalyst with a weight of 8.36×10^{-5} kg and total gas flow rate of 2.5×10^{-6} m^3 s^{-1}; The average value of three sets of independent experiments (deviations within ±5%) was reported using three different batches of the sample.

\(b\) Feed concentration of toluene and ozone, C_{i,0}, i is tol or O_3, which refers to toluene or ozone;

\(c\) Outlet concentration of toluene and ozone, C_i, i is tol or O_3, which refers to toluene or ozone;
d Toluene conversion, \( X_{tol} = \frac{C_{tol,0} - C_{tol}}{C_{tol,0}} \times 100\% \) \( C_{tol} \) is toluene concentration in outlet.

e The toluene reaction rate under the steady-state conditions (mol kg\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\)), \( r_{tol} = \frac{C_{tol,0} v X_{tol}}{W} \),

\( C_{tol,0} \): feed toluene concentration (mol m\(^{-3}\)); \( v \): total gas flow rate (m\(^3\) s\(^{-1}\)); \( X_{tol} \): toluene conversion; and \( W \): catalyst weight (kg);
Table 2

Results of nonlinear least squares regression based on power law expressions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T (K)</th>
<th>k</th>
<th>α</th>
<th>β</th>
<th>Adj. R²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Power law rate expression: ( r_{\text{nl}} = kC_{\text{tot}}^\alpha C_{O_i}^\beta )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>295</td>
<td>( (3.25 \pm 0.71) \times 10^{-3} )</td>
<td>0.6334 \pm 0.062</td>
<td>0.5509 \pm 0.061</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>313</td>
<td>( (6.18 \pm 1.03) \times 10^{-3} )</td>
<td>0.6983 \pm 0.058</td>
<td>0.5980 \pm 0.047</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>333</td>
<td>( (1.27 \pm 0.25) \times 10^{-2} )</td>
<td>0.6699 \pm 0.049</td>
<td>0.5839 \pm 0.039</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3

Elementary steps in the catalytic ozonation of toluene over the MnO\textsubscript{2}/graphene catalyst, based on the Langmuir-Hinshelwood single-site (L-H\textsubscript{s}) and dual-site (L-H\textsubscript{d}) mechanisms\textsuperscript{a}.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step no.</th>
<th>L-H\textsubscript{s} mechanism elementary steps\textsuperscript{b}</th>
<th>Step no.</th>
<th>L-H\textsubscript{d} mechanism elementary steps</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>O\textsubscript{3} + s\textsubscript{1} \rightarrow O\textsubscript{2} + O * s\textsubscript{1}</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>O\textsubscript{3} + s\textsubscript{1} \rightarrow O\textsubscript{2} + O * s\textsubscript{1}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>O\textsubscript{3} + O * s\textsubscript{1} \rightarrow O\textsubscript{2} + O\textsubscript{2} * s\textsubscript{1}</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>O\textsubscript{3} + O * s\textsubscript{1} \rightarrow O\textsubscript{2} + O\textsubscript{2} * s\textsubscript{1}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>O\textsubscript{2} * s\textsubscript{1} \rightarrow O\textsubscript{2} + s\textsubscript{1}</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>O\textsubscript{2} * s\textsubscript{1} \rightarrow O\textsubscript{2} + s\textsubscript{1}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>C\textsubscript{7}H\textsubscript{8} + s\textsubscript{2} \Leftrightarrow C\textsubscript{7}H\textsubscript{8} * s\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>C\textsubscript{7}H\textsubscript{8} + s\textsubscript{2} \Leftrightarrow C\textsubscript{7}H\textsubscript{8} * s\textsubscript{2}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>C\textsubscript{7}H\textsubscript{8} * s\textsubscript{2} + s\textsubscript{1} \Leftrightarrow C\textsubscript{7}H\textsubscript{8} * s\textsubscript{1} + s\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>C\textsubscript{7}H\textsubscript{8} * s\textsubscript{2} + O * s\textsubscript{1} \rightarrow products</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>C\textsubscript{7}H\textsubscript{8} * s\textsubscript{1} + O * s\textsubscript{1} \rightarrow products</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a} s\textsubscript{1} refers to MnO\textsubscript{2} sites, and s\textsubscript{2} denotes graphene sites on the catalyst surface.

\textsuperscript{b} L-H\textsubscript{d} mechanism elementary steps were adopted from reference [10, 24].
Table 4

Estimated kinetic parameters with their 95% confidence intervals for the L-H_d model.\(^a\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rate Constant</th>
<th>Temperature (K)</th>
<th>(A_i)(^b) (mol kg(^{-1}) s(^{-1}))</th>
<th>(E_a)(^c) (kJ mol(^{-1}))</th>
<th>(\Delta H)(^d) (kJ mol(^{-1}))</th>
<th>(\Delta S)(^e) (J mol(^{-1}) K(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>295</td>
<td>313</td>
<td>333</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(k_1 / 10^6)</td>
<td>1.37±0.19</td>
<td>26.4±3.4</td>
<td>45.2±5.1</td>
<td>1.50×10^9±1.2×10^7</td>
<td>72.6±56.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(k_2)</td>
<td>0.80±0.03</td>
<td>0.88±0.01</td>
<td>0.97±0.03</td>
<td>4.4±2.1</td>
<td>4.2±0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(k_3)</td>
<td>0.27±0.01</td>
<td>0.44±0.01</td>
<td>0.48±0.01</td>
<td>26.0±18.8</td>
<td>10.9±11.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(K_{tol})</td>
<td>80.4±5.2</td>
<td>21.6±2.7</td>
<td>5.5±0.47</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(k_5 / 10^{-5})</td>
<td>9.79±0.13</td>
<td>23.4±0.81</td>
<td>105±6.3</td>
<td>5.77±0.04×10^3</td>
<td>43.9±14.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(a\) Rate constant attained by fitting Eq. (21) to reaction data in Table 1;

\(b\) Pre-exponential factor \(A_i\), and \(c\) activation energy \(E_a\) were determined by Eq. (3) with linear regression;

\(d\) Enthalpy \(\Delta H\) and \(e\) entropy \(\Delta S\) of toluene adsorption on the MnO\(_2\)/graphene catalyst were determined by Eq. (4) with linear regression;
Fig. 1 XPS spectra at the binding energy range for O1s. Small, open circles in black are the measured experiment points. The solid line in yellow is the sum of all the peak fittings.
Fig. 2. Parity plot of the experimental and the predicted reaction rates. Experimental condition and the rates were given in Table 1. Predicted rates were obtained by fitting experimental data in Table 1 with Eqs. (8), (9) and (21) for power law, L-H$_s$ and L-H$_d$ model, respectively. The corresponding parameter estimates were given in Table 2 and 4.
Fig. 3. Residual plot of the model based on the L-H mechanism.
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