Winter wren populations show adaptation to local climate
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Most studies of evolutionary responses to climate change have focused on phenological responses to warming, and provide only weak evidence for evolutionary adaptation. This could be because phenological changes are more weakly linked to fitness than more direct mechanisms of climate change impacts, such as selective mortality during extreme weather events which have immediate fitness consequences for the individuals involved. Studies examining these other mechanisms may be more likely to show evidence for evolutionary adaptation.

To test this, we quantify regional population responses of a small resident passerine (winter wren Troglodytes troglodytes) to a measure of winter severity (number of frost days). Annual population growth rate was consistently negatively correlated with this measure, but the point at which different populations achieved stability ($\lambda = 1$) varied across regions and was closely correlated with the historic average number of frost days, providing strong evidence for local adaptation. Despite this, regional variation in abundance remained negatively related to the regional mean number of winter frost days, potentially as a result of a time-lag in the rate of evolutionary response to climate change. As expected from Bergmann’s rule, individual wrens were heavier in colder regions, suggesting that local adaptation may be mediated through body size. However, there was no evidence for selective mortality of small individuals in cold years, with annual variation in mean body size uncorrelated with the number of winter frost days, so the extent to which local adaptation occurs through changes in body size, or another mechanism remains uncertain.

1. Background

Species’ distributions and range boundaries are widely regarded to be closely related to climate [1–3], indicative of limits in the evolutionary potential of species to adapt to climatic
variation. Accordingly, species’ range margins have generally shifted poleward or upward as climates have warmed [4–6]. Within their ranges, however, species vary in their phenotypic expression of traits across a gradient of environmental conditions as a result of local adaptation and/or plasticity [7–9]. Projected impacts of climate change upon species’ extinction risk [10–12] have stimulated much interest in the potential for populations to exhibit evolutionary responses to climate change [13]. To date, this has particularly focused on phenological responses to changing environmental conditions, such as timing of breeding and migration, which are relatively easily measured traits with some fitness consequences [14]. In this case, the majority of studies suggest that much of the phenotypic responses observed results from individual plasticity [15–18], with relatively few studies providing evidence for local adaptation (but see [19,20]). Given that recent studies imply that the fitness consequences of mistimed breeding may be less severe than previously thought, at least in birds [21,22], there is a need to consider the evidence for populations exhibiting local adaptation to climate with respect to other mechanisms and traits.

Some of the closest links between climate and fitness are likely to operate through the frequency or magnitude of severe weather events that cause widespread mortality. For example, morphological changes that are consistent with directional selection occurring have been recorded in a number of populations in response to extreme events [23–27], although the evidence for consistent selection remains unclear [28]. The population abundance of many small resident passerines in temperate and boreal regions is influenced by winter weather severity, with cold weather associated with increased mortality and population decline [29–31]. As many of these species are relatively short-lived, with rapid generation times, we might therefore expect population responses to winter weather severity to be a good model with which to test evidence for local adaptation to climatic conditions. Given that body size is also related to tolerance to low temperatures, with smaller bodied individuals more susceptible for physiological reasons [23,25], we might also expect that any such adaptation is mediated through variation in individual body size.

Here, we use large-scale citizen-science population monitoring data of winter wren *Troglodytes troglodytes* populations along a strong climatic gradient in the UK to test whether spatial variation in population responses to winter weather severity shows evidence for local adaptation, and whether any such adaptation may be related to body size. The wren is a good model species in which to explore these relationships, as, being resident, annual wren survival is closely associated with winter cold [32,30], the main driver of annual variation in population growth [29]. Further, given low natal dispersal rates [33], which are likely to limit the exchange of individuals between populations over large spatial scales and short lifespans (approx. 2 years [30]), they are a species with high potential for relatively rapid evolutionary adaptation to occur.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Data collection

2.1.1. Abundance data

This study used data from the BTO/JNCC/RSPB Breeding Bird Survey (BBS), which has been operating since 1994 with a mean of more than 2600 1 km² squares surveyed per annum, selected according to a stratified random sampling protocol [34,35]. Each square is surveyed twice, once in the early breeding season (April to mid-May) and again in the late breeding season (mid-May through June) with all encounters recorded along two 1 km line-transects through the square. We used the maximum of the two counts within each 1 km² as our measure of wren abundance for each year. To ensure that the models describe temporal, and not spatial, variation in population growth, BBS squares where wrens were recorded in fewer than 3 years (and therefore that cover only one temporal change) were removed from the dataset. As counts show considerable stochasticity in each square, we analysed data across 10 regions for which contemporary climate data were also available (electronic supplementary material, figure S1) and which provided sufficient samples for robust estimates of annual abundance and biometric data.

2.1.2. Climate data

Previous analysis has demonstrated a strong link between annual wren survival and the number of winter frost days [30]. Therefore, we created a winter frost day (FD) index (1994–2011) for each of the 10 regions (electronic supplementary material, figure S1) by taking an average of the number of frost
days per month across the autumn and winter (October to March) from http://www.metoffice.gov.uk/climate/uk/summaries/datasets. We calculated the regional mean number of winter frost days (FD_C), as a measure of current climate, by taking the mean of the FD indices (1994–2011) for each region, and the historical regional climate (FD_H), as the regional mean of the FD indices for the World Meteorological Office’s international standard reference period (1961–1990).

2.1.3. Biometric data

Wren biometric data were derived from individuals trapped by ringers as part of a national ringing (banding) programme [36]. Body mass (to the nearest 0.1 g) is routinely obtained by weighing individuals using spring or electronic balances, while wing length is measured using the maximum chord method from the carpus to the tip of the longest primary feather (millimetres). An individual’s body mass varies dynamically in response to continually changing temperatures and other factors [37]. As we are interested in long-term responses across the population rather than direct plastic phenotypic responses to variation in winter weather conditions, we calculated annual mean weight and wing length from adult individuals caught only during the breeding season (April to June) in each region.

2.2. Statistical analysis

2.2.1. Wren abundance and winter frost days

In order to examine the regional variation in annual population growth rate ($\lambda$) from 1994 to 2011 in relation to FD, a Poisson generalized linear mixed model (GLMM) was fitted using the glmer function in the lme4 package [38] in R v. 3.1 [39]. Annual counts were modelled as a function of region, FD and the interaction between these terms. Site (BBS square) and year were included as random effects in order to account for differences in coverage between years and the model was offset by the log of the count in the previous year to model population growth, rather than abundance. The count from the previous year was also included in the model to account for potential density-dependence in population growth rate [40]. To test the explanatory power of each of the fixed effects parameters in the model and their interaction, we used Akaike’s information criterion (AIC); models with lower AIC were taken to provide a better explanation of the variation in the data. Thus, a large increase in AIC on variable removal ($\Delta$AIC > 2, following Burnham & Anderson [41]) represents a notable deterioration in the model, providing ‘support’ for the importance of the variable that should be retained in the model. The output from this model was used to estimate a value for the number of winter frost days when population growth rate in each region ($\lambda$) was equal to 1 (i.e. population stability), as a single measure of population sensitivity to winter severity.

To test for evidence of local adaptation, this value was then correlated with FD_H as a measure of historic regional climate experienced by the population prior to the study, using a Pearson correlation. To confirm that our results are not an artefact of using this two-step approach, we repeated our analysis modelling the regional variation in annual population growth rate ($\lambda$) as a function of FD_H, FD and the interaction between these terms. Site, year and region were included as random effects in order to account for differences in coverage between years, previous year’s count was included as a fixed effect, and the model was offset by the log of the count in the previous year to model population growth, rather than abundance. Although this second model cannot be readily used to describe the close link between the measure of population sensitivity to winter severity (the number of frost days at which $\lambda = 1$) and historic regional climate (FD_H), which is a function of both region-specific intercept and slope values, the output from this alternative model was analogous to the main results (electronic supplementary material, table S1).

In addition to modelling the effect of frost on population growth, we examined whether regional variation in mean abundance was also related to FD, again using a Poisson GLMM with a log link. Counts were modelled as a function of region, with site and year included as random effects in order to simply generate regional abundance estimates. These means were then correlated with the FD_C, using Pearson correlation, using the same two-step approach described above.

2.2.2. Wren biometrics and winter frost days

Finally, we examined the relationship between wren morphology and climate in an attempt to find a mechanistic link between population sensitivity to the number of winter frost days and regional climate. We first correlated regional variation in body mass and wing length of individuals caught in the breeding
3. Results

From 1994 to 2011, both the mean number of frost days across the UK and the national wren population index were highly variable. Wren populations declined in the last years of the study, during three cold winters (electronic supplementary material, figure S2), prior to which the population had increased, coincident with a period of mild winters. There was no significant trend in either the mean number of frost days across the UK (slope = 0.07 (±0.08 s.e.), p = 0.44) or the national wren population index (slope = 0.24 (0.76), p = 0.70), during this time period.

As expected, there was a strong negative relationship between annual population growth rate (1994–2011) and FD across all 10 regions (mean slope = −0.045 (±0.003 s.e.); figure 1a and table 1). Critically, the number of winter frost days at which \( \lambda = 1 \) varied between regions (range = 6.9 (south-west) to 11.5 (east Scotland); figure 1), due to variation in the region-specific intercepts and the region x winter FD interaction. This FD value at stability was strongly correlated with FD\(_H\) (\( r = 0.93, n = 10, p < 0.001; \) figure 1b), with a close to 1 : 1 relationship; wren populations were stable if they were exposed to a winter of equal severity to the long-term average. Consequently, the effect of the winter FD index upon population growth varied significantly with FD\(_H\) (electronic supplementary material, table S1).

Despite this strong evidence for local adaptation, wrens were less common in colder regions of the UK (\( r = -0.78, n = 10, p = 0.009; \) figure 2). These effects were not a consequence of spatial variation in habitat composition between regions, as the same pattern was observed if data were included only from woodland sites, which represent wren core habitat [42] (electronic supplementary material, figure S3).

As expected, wren body mass was negatively correlated with FD\(_c\); wrens became heavier (\( r = 0.69, n = 10, p = 0.026; \) figure 3; electronic supplementary material, table S2) and had longer wings (electronic supplementary material, figure S4 and table S2) as FD\(_c\) increased. However, we found no evidence of a relationship between the annual relative change in mass (table 2) or wing length (electronic supplementary material, table S3) and FD; mean mass did not increase following frosty winters as would
Figure 2. Relationship between population abundance and the regional mean number of winter frostdays (FD\textsubscript{C}; slope = \(-0.98 \pm 0.27\) s.e.). Each data point corresponds to a regional mean, with FD\textsubscript{C} calculated as the overall mean of the winter FD indices from 1994 to 2011, while the mean population abundance from 1994 to 2011 (number of wrens per BBS site) was predicted from the results of a GLMM (see Material and methods). Colours follow figure 1.

Figure 3. Relationship between mass and the regional mean number of winter frostdays (FD\textsubscript{C}; slope = 0.12 \((\pm 0.04\) s.e.)). Each data point corresponds to a regional mean, with mass calculated as a mean of the annual means and the number of winter FD (FD\textsubscript{C}) calculated as the overall mean of the winter FD indices from 1994 to 2011. Colours follow figure 1.

Table 1. Results of a GLMM of association between wren population growth rate and the winter FD index (average number of frostdays per month (October to March) in 1994–2011) in each climate region (figure 1a). Count in the previous year was included in the model to account for potential density-dependence in population growth rate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>parameter</th>
<th>(\chi^2)</th>
<th>d.f.</th>
<th>(p)-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>region</td>
<td>114.2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FD</td>
<td>50.8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>count in previous year</td>
<td>5151.0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>region (\times) FD</td>
<td>85.5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
be expected if there were differential mortality of small individuals. There was also no evidence that the relationship between body size change and FD varied regionally \( (p = 0.76, \text{table 2}) \).

### 4. Discussion

We identified the expected strong negative effect of winter severity (average number of winter frost days) upon wren populations, which was consistent across regions and matches previous studies \[29,43\]. The most likely environmental driver for this is reduced survival in cold winters \[30,32\]. Although the form of this relationship was broadly consistent between regions, there were significant differences which meant that the number of winter frost days at which individual populations achieved stability varied, with northern populations resilient to winters with up to 70% more frost days than southern ones. Crucially, there was a close correlation between historic regional climate (FD\textsubscript{H}) and the level of frost days at which each population is stable, indicating that each wren population was closely adapted to its local climate, as measured by the 1961–1990 mean.

Ours is one of only a small number of studies whose results are consistent with local adaptation occurring in population responses to climate (e.g. \[18,19,44\]). Previous studies of adaption in response to climate change, which have focused on the relationship between temperature and phenology \[16–19,45\], have found little evidence for evolutionary adaptation driving population-level responses to warming \[16,17\]. This could be due to methodological difficulties in identifying such local adaption \[46\], or because phenological expression has a relatively weak link to individual fitness and population-level responses \[21,22\]. It seems likely that we have identified an adaptive response in wrens to local climate because we have been examining variation in an environmental trait that is closely linked to survival, and therefore to selection potential. Where phenological change has been shown to have a close link to fitness, as in the winter moth \textit{Operophtera brumata}, then evolutionary responses have also been identified \[20\].

As expected and in accordance with Bergmann’s rule, we found that wren body mass was approximately 5% lower in the warmest (south-west) than in the coldest (east Scotland) region. Large individuals are likely to be favoured in colder regions due to the thermal advantage of larger size and their ability to store more fat \[25,47,48\]. Despite this spatial link between climate and body size, we found no evidence for significant changes in body size to occur in relation to the number of winter frost days, suggesting that differential mortality of small individuals did not occur in cold winters. This may simply result from a high noise-to-signal ratio in the annual biometric data for such a small species (with therefore little variation to measure) collected by multiple individuals; there is evidence from both cliff swallows \textit{Petrochelidon pyrrhonota} \[25\] and sand martins \textit{Riparia riparia} \[24\] that extreme weather events do result in differential mortality of individuals in relation to body size. Our hypothesis that the observed spatial variation in wren body size may underlie the local adaptation of wrens to climate therefore has some support from our analysis, and from other studies. However, given the lack of a significant interaction between the change in mass and region \( (\text{table 2}) \), more detailed work examining how the impact of severe weather events on the survival of wrens varies with body size is required to confirm this. The fact that variation in the number of frost days at which wren populations reach stability between regions correlates with 1961–1990 regional climate (FD\textsubscript{H}) suggests that at least part of the mechanism underpinning adaptation may operate over a longer timescale than through relatively rapid changes in body size in response to cold winters. This could include variation in behaviour, diet, physiology or other mechanisms \[49\].

### Table 2

Results of a GLMM of association between annual change in the mean body mass and the winter FD index (average number of frost days per month (October to March) in 1994–2011) in each climate region. Abundance was included in the model to control for possible population density effects on body size.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>parameter</th>
<th>( \chi^2 )</th>
<th>d.f.</th>
<th>( p )-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>region</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>abundance</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FD</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>region ( \times ) FD</td>
<td>4.94</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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For the spatial gradient in wren body size to exist, there must be a cost to being too large in areas of milder climate that will provide counter-selection in warmer climates (although see [28]). This could result from the greater maintenance costs associated with larger body size [50], larger predation risk due to slower flight speeds and lower agility [51–53] or increased resource requirements of nestlings in order to develop large body size [54,55]. Further work is required to identify this cost.

Our finding that wrens were less common in regions of the UK where the number of winter frost days are higher might seem counterintuitive given the evidence for populations being adapted to local climate. However, such an effect may occur through a number of possible mechanisms. Firstly, spatial variation in density may not be limited by winter climate influencing survival, but other demographic parameters, such as spatial variation in reproductive success, which could be linked to climate through variation in food resources or other ecological parameters. Certainly, there is likely to be latitudinal variation in reproductive outputs, which have not yet been examined in wrens, but do occur in other passerines (e.g. [56]), and could result in an apparent correlation between abundance and winter temperature, even if not causal. Secondly, if there is a lag in the time it takes for a population to adapt to a changing climate, which seems likely, then the observed pattern between abundance and mean winter severity could simply be a consequence of that lag. Thus, a reduction in winter severity would lead to a wren population increase until counter-selection stabilized the population at a higher level. Conversely, any increase in winter severity would reduce the population until locally adapted to the new climate, when again, it would stabilize at a lower level.

Evolution is one of the three mechanisms by which a population can persist in response to climate change (the others being dispersal and phenotypic plasticity) [13]. The extent to which populations may adapt to climate change through evolution will depend upon trait heritability, the strength of selection and the rate of environmental change. Although we have not quantified these parameters, we present evidence that is consistent with winter wren populations being locally adapted to their climate, and partial evidence that this is driven by spatial variation in body size. Relatively few studies have identified genetic responses to climate change [57,58]. Wrens may show local adaptation to climate because there is a strong relationship between climate (winter cold) and fitness coupled with a high potential for local evolution to occur through fast generation times and low dispersal. This suggests that although much research on evolutionary adaptation to climate change has focused on phenological change, studies considering the selection of traits, such as body size, which affect individual fitness in response to climate-driven mortality, such as extreme events, may be equally or more useful. In such instances, work to quantify heritability and the strength of selection associated with these traits will be required to quantify the extent to which evolution may help species adapt to future climate change.
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