Assessment of pulmonary artery pressure by echocardiography—a comprehensive review
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ABSTRACT

Pulmonary hypertension (PHT) is a pathological haemodynamic condition defined as an increase in mean pulmonary arterial pressure (mPAP) ≥ 25 mmHg at rest, assessed using gold standard investigation by right heart catheterisation. Pulmonary hypertension could be a complication of cardiac or pulmonary disease, or a primary disorder of small pulmonary arteries. Transthoracic echocardiography (TTE) can be used to investigate and quantify pulmonary artery pressure (PAP). Elevated pulmonary pressure (PAP) is associated with increased mortality, irrespective of the aetiology. The gold standard for diagnosis is invasive right heart catheterisation, but this has its own inherent risks. In the past 30 years, immense technological improvements in echocardiography have increased its sensitivity for quantifying pulmonary artery pressure (PAP) and it is now recognised as a safe and readily available alternative to right heart catheterisation. In the future, scores combining various echo techniques can approach the gold standard in terms of sensitivity and accuracy, thereby reducing the need for repeated invasive assessments in these patients.

© 2016 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ireland Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Pulmonary hypertension (PHT) is a pathological haemodynamic condition defined as an increase in mean pulmonary arterial pressure (mPAP) ≥ 25 mmHg at rest, assessed using gold standard investigation by right heart catheterisation [1]. Pulmonary hypertension could be a complication of cardiac or pulmonary disease or a primary disorder of small pulmonary arteries. Transthoracic echocardiography (TTE) can be used to investigate and quantify pulmonary artery pressure (PAP). Elevated pulmonary pressure (PAP), measured by echocardiography, is associated with increased mortality, irrespective of the aetiology [2]. In addition, TTE can be used to assess the contribution of left ventricular systolic and diastolic dysfunction, valve function, and congenital lesions to the aetiology of PHT. Assessment can be challenging due to the complex pyramidal shape and retrosternal position of the right ventricle (RV) anatomy and load-dependent nature of the RV functional indices [3, 4]. While TTE is not the gold standard, it is a readily available bedside technique accepted as the primary non-invasive tool in the assessment of PAP [5]. We present the common techniques currently in use, their advantages, disadvantages, and pitfalls in the echocardiographic measurement of pulmonary pressure.

1. Pulmonary artery systolic pressure by TR peak velocity

Continuous wave (CW) Doppler of the tricuspid regurgitation (TR) trace is used to measure the difference in pressures between the right ventricle and right atrium. The simplified Bernoulli equation (P = 4[TRmax]²) is used to calculate this pressure difference using peak TR velocity. This method correlates well with PASP on right heart catheterisation [6, 7]. A peak TR velocity value of ≤ 2.8 m/s is considered normal.

1.1. Method

A coaxial TR jet is identified in parasternal long axis (RV inflow), parasternal short axis, or apical 4-chamber view with the help of colour Doppler. Continuous wave Doppler is used with a sweep speed of 100 mm/s to achieve a satisfactory envelope (Fig. 1). The peak velocity of the envelope is then measured (TRmax). A value of ≤ 2.8 m/s suggests low probability, a value of 2.9–3.4 m/s indicates intermediate probability, and a value > 3.4 m/s suggests a high probability for pulmonary hypertension [1]. Traditionally, right atrial pressure (RAP) is assumed by the size and distensibility of inferior vena cava (IVC) during inspiration at rest and during forced inspiration, and this value is added to the peak TR velocity [8]. However, recent ESC guidelines suggest just using the TRmax without...
additional RAP, as IVC assessment is error prone [1]. Mean PAP can be approximated from the systolic PAP (SPAP) using the following formula: mPAP = 0.61*SPAP + 2 mmHg [9].

If there is marked sinus arrhythmia, the trace should be obtained at expiratory apnoea. If the patient is in atrial fibrillation, then 8 consecutive TR velocities are averaged to give the best estimate [7]. In case of pulmonary valve or right ventricular outflow tract (RVOT) stenosis, this method overestimates the PASP; then the peak pressure gradient across the valve or RVOT should be subtracted from the measured PASP.

### 1.2. Common pitfalls

A lesser degree of TR may occur in a compensated right ventricle (due to elevated ventricular pressure) and this could lead to underestimation of PASP. Similarly, severe TR could cause equalisation of right atrial and ventricular pressures which may cause the TR Doppler envelope to be cut short, leading to underestimation of PASP (Fig. 2-C) [8]. RAP is often overestimated if IVC measurement is used, leading to overestimation of PASP [10]. Calculations using the TR trace assume that there is no pulmonary valve stenosis and may be inaccurate in the presence of RV systolic dysfunction. TR signal could be poor in a good proportion of patients with lung disease, and TRmax measurement should be avoided in the absence of a good Doppler envelope (Fig. 2) [11].

### 1.3. Tricks

- The best TR signal is often “off-axis,” in-between parasternal and apical windows. An RV-focussed or fore shortened 4-chamber view might give the best signal [12]. Sometimes, subcostal long and short axis windows provide the optimal signal and incident angle.
- The frame rate should be optimised to ≥20 Hz with colour Doppler.
- The faster the heart rate, the higher the frame rate needed to assess regurgitant jets.
- If the TR signal is poor, consider intravenous agitated saline.

### 2. Mean pulmonary artery pressure from peak PR Doppler signal

#### 2.1. Method

A pulmonary regurgitation (PR) signal is obtained in the parasternal short axis view using colour Doppler. CW Doppler at a sweep speed of 100 mm/s is used to measure the peak PR velocity (Fig. 3). Peak pressure difference (measured by the Bernoulli equation) is then added to the RAP. This method has been validated against gold standard catheter-measurements [13,14]. Mean PAP can be approximated from the peak PR Doppler signal using the following formula: mPAP = 4(PRpeak velocity)² + RAP.

---

**Fig. 2.** Pitfalls in TR peak measurement. A, B—Peak TR measurement with incomplete trace could lead to underestimation. C—Amputated jet could occur in severe TR that could lead to underestimation.
2.2. Common pitfalls

The PR signal may be poor or parallel alignment of the Doppler signal may not be possible. In the presence of constrictive or restrictive RV physiology, PR Doppler signals could provide a valuable clue towards the diagnosis but may be unreliable in the calculation of pulmonary artery pressure [15]. In constrictive physiology, there is dissociation of intracardiac from intrathoracic pressure, resulting in early equalisation of PA and RV pressures with inspiration. This results in shorter and steeper PR signal [16].

2.3. Tricks

• Use multiple views to obtain the PR signal.
• PR is present in most patients with pulmonary hypertension although the converse is not true [14].
• Agitated saline can improve PR signal.

3. Pulmonary artery diastolic pressure measured by PR-end velocity

3.1. Method

A PR signal is obtained as above. End PR velocity is measured in multiple (non-continuous) traces and averaged. Pulmonary artery diastolic pressure (PADP) is calculated from the following equation: 4(PR-end velocity)$^2$ + RAP. Mean pulmonary artery pressure can be calculated from systolic (by TRmax method) and diastolic (by PR-end velocity method) pulmonary artery pressures:

$$mPAP = \frac{2}{3} \text{rd of PAPD} + \frac{1}{3} \text{rd of PASP}.$$  

3.2. Common pitfalls

In severe pulmonary regurgitation, due to a rapid deceleration slope, PR-end velocity may underestimate the pulmonary artery diastolic pressure [14]. As mentioned earlier, this technique may not be useful in the presence constrictive or restrictive physiology [15,16].

3.3. Tricks

• Use the mean of several measurements.

4. Mean pulmonary artery pressure from right ventricular outflow tract (RVOT) acceleration time

Pulse wave of RVOT normally produces a dome shape, but in patients with pulmonary hypertension, there is rapid rise to peak, resultsing in shorter acceleration time [17], and mid-systolic notching could also indicate pulmonary hypertension [17].

4.1. Method

A pulse wave signal of pulmonary forward flow is obtained at end expiration, just proximal to the pulmonary valve in the parasternal short axis view. The Doppler sample is placed in such a way that the obtained signal has a closing snap but not an opening snap. The quality of the signal is very important and maximum sweep speed must be used to increase accuracy. Furthermore, there should not be spectral broadening.

Right ventricular outflow tract (RVOT) acceleration time is measured from the beginning of the flow to the peak flow velocity (Fig. 4). It is important that the marker is placed at the peak first and then tracked back to the onset of flow, as the aim is to measure time taken to peak velocity and not the propagation. A value of >130 ms is normal, while <100 ms is highly suggestive of pulmonary hypertension [18]. Mean pulmonary pressure is calculated by the formula: $mPAP = 90 - (0.62*AT_{RVOT})$. For example, if the $AT_{RVOT}$ is 80 ms, the $mPAP = 90 - (0.62*80)$, that is 40.4 mmHg (normal < 25 mmHg). On the other hand, if the $AT_{RVOT}$ is 137 ms (as in Fig. 4), then the calculated $mPAP$ is $90 - (0.62*137) = 5.06$ mmHg.

4.2. Common pitfalls

Heart rates outside of the normal range (<60 or >100 bpm) may reduce the accuracy of this technique. However, when the mean PAP exceeds 25 mmHg, RVOT acceleration time is accurate even in tachycardia [19,20]. More often, the slope of the pulse wave Doppler trace is measured, rather than the time taken from onset to peak velocity. This usually leads to underestimation of the RVOT acceleration time.

4.3. Tricks

• Start from the peak of the envelope and measure the time to the onset. Starting from the onset could lead to measurement of the slope and consequently underestimate the time duration.
During scanning, an easy estimate of mean pulmonary pressure could be made using the formula $mPAP = 80 - (0.5 \times AT_{RVOT})$; this can be used to corroborate the calculated value. For example if $AT_{RVOT} = 120$ ms, it will be easy to work out $mPAP = 80 - 60$, i.e. 20 mmHg.

- Mid-systolic notching may also suggest increased pulmonary vascular resistance [21].

5. Mean pulmonary artery pressure from TR velocity-time integral

5.1. Method

In this fairly new technique, CW Doppler of the TR jet is traced and the mean pressure difference is measured from the velocity-time integral (VTI) (Fig. 5). RAP is then added to calculate the mPAP. Mean PAP measured by this method correlates closely with catheter-measured mPAP [22]. The mPAP from TR VTI can be calculated using the following formula: $mPAP = \text{mean} \Delta P + \text{RAP}$.

5.2. Common pitfalls

A complete TR envelope may not be possible in all patients. Inaccurate RA pressure measurement leads to over or underestimation of mPAP.

5.3. Tricks

- Use agitated saline to improve CW Doppler signal.

6. RV free wall strain $S_m$, $S_m \text{VTI}$

6.1. Method

Tissue Doppler imaging (TDI) is used on the RV free wall in the apical 4-chamber view, and tricuspid annular systolic myocardial ($S_m$) velocity is recorded. The maximal $S_m$ velocity and the $S_m \text{VTI}$ are then measured (Fig. 6). $S_m$ velocity $< 12$ cm/s and $S_m \text{VTI} < 2.5$ are highly suggestive of elevated PASP [23].

6.2. Common pitfalls

This method correlates well with TR measured PASP but is yet to be fully validated against the gold standard-invasive right heart catheterisation [23,24]. Although the technique helps to identify patients with pulmonary hypertension, it cannot accurately quantify pulmonary artery pressure.
6.3. Tricks

- Obtain maximum TDI frame rate by narrowing the sector width.
- An RV-focussed apical 4-chamber view might reduce the incident angle on the tissue Doppler.
- A larger pulse gate might provide a complete signal, by capturing some of the myocardium throughout annular descent.

7. Right ventricular isovolumic relaxation time (rIVRT)

7.1. Method

TDI is deployed at the lateral tricuspid annulus with a sweep speed of 100 mm/s. Pulse wave (PW) Doppler with a 6 mm sample window is obtained. Right ventricular isovolemic relaxation time (rIVRT) is measured from the offset of the S’ wave to the onset of the E’ wave (Fig. 7). rIVRT of >75 ms reliably predicts pulmonary hypertension while an rIVRT of <40 ms has a high negative predictive value for pulmonary hypertension [25,26].

7.2. Common pitfalls

Coaxial tricuspid TDI is may not be possible in all patients and incident angle should be no more than 15°. The technique may become unreliable in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, right bundle branch block and right ventricular dysfunction because the rIVRT is prolonged for other reasons. On the other hand, rIVRT is pseudo-normalised in the presence of elevated RAP and significant TR [27].

7.3. Tricks

- When the heart rate is high, use the rate corrected rIVRT (r_rIVRT; which i.e. rIVRT/RR interval on ECG) [20].
• Increase the pulse gate as mentioned earlier, to obtain a complete signal

8. Tei index and TR measured PASP

Tei index was introduced in 1990s as a Doppler-derived marker of ventricular function. Vonk et al. showed that combining TR-measured PASP (≥35 mmHg) with Tei index (>36) improves echocardiographic sensitivity for the diagnosis of pulmonary hypertension [28].

8.1. Method

In the apical 4-chamber view, TDI is deployed on the RV free wall and a 3–5 mm pulse wave Doppler is obtained approximately 1 cm from the tricuspid annulus. Isovolemic contraction time (IVCT), isovolemic relaxation time (IVRT), and ejection time (ET) of the RV are then measured (Fig. 8). Alternatively, these measures could be obtained from CW Doppler across the RV inflow/TR jet. Tei index is measured by the formula: Tei Index (RV) = IVRT + IVCT/ET.

8.2. Common pitfalls

The method was studied in small groups of patients and has not yet been validated in larger sample sizes [28,29]. Tei index is, however, proven to prognosticate patients with pulmonary hypertension [30].

9. Pulmonary vascular resistance

An elevated pulmonary vascular resistance (PVR) in a patient with PHT suggests that the primary pathology to be the pulmonary vasculature rather than the left heart. A value of >3 Wood units measured by gold standard technique-right heart catheterisation (RHC) indicates raised PVR [1]. While RHC should be performed for conclusive diagnosis, ratio of Peak TR velocity to VTI_RVOT measured by echocardiography could provide a valuable clue in PVR assessment.

9.1. Method

A TR trace (CW Doppler) is obtained from several views. If needed, agitated saline is administered intravenously to improve the envelope and the maximal TR velocity is measured. A 1–2 cm PW Doppler is then placed in the RVOT (parasternal short axis view), just within the pulmonary valve. The sample volume is placed so that only the closing click of the pulmonary valve is visualised, and the VTI of the RVOT (VTI_RVOT) Doppler signal is measured. A ratio of Peak TR velocity to VTI_RVOT of ≤0.15 is considered normal. Pulmonary vascular resistance (PVR) is measured by the formula: PVR = (Peak TR velocity (m/s)/VTI_RVOT (cm)*10) + 0.16 [32]. TTE measurement of PVR is not fully validated to initiate or monitor treatment of PHT [33]. This method should not replace invasive measurement by right heart catheterisation.

10. Other observations on TTE during assessment of pulmonary hypertension

Table 1 shows the other echo observations that are useful in assessing patients for pulmonary pressure.

11. PAP measurement during exercise

Bourlag et al. and Nagel et al. showed that pulmonary artery pressure rises significantly during exercise in patients with latent PHT compared to healthy subjects [34,35]. This increase occurs early during loaded exercise making it amenable to measurement. TR V_max measured PASP of >45 mmHg or a rise of >20 mmHg during low-intensity exercise (while not exceeding a cardiac output of 10 l/min) is diagnostic for latent PHT with moderate sensitivity and specificity [35,36]. However, this cut-off should not be applied to athletes and the elderly who may reach a PASP of 55–60 mmHg on exercise [3].

Talreja et al. demonstrated that elevated mitral E/E’ during exercise as another non-invasive measure of elevated left atrial and thereby pulmonary capillary wedge pressure (PCWP) [37]. An E/E’ value of >15 during exercise predicted an elevated catheter-measured PCWP. Ha et al. also showed that exercise mitral E/E’ is a reliable measure in predicting indolent PHT due to left heart disease in patients with normal resting pulmonary pressure [38]. Other methods are not validated for the evaluation of pulmonary pressure during exercise.
Echocardiography can be used to diagnose pulmonary hypertension with good accuracy. With recent advances in the field, it is of paramount importance that the cardiologist is aware of the nuances in the echo measurement of pulmonary artery pressure. In future, a scoring system combining various echo-derived measurements of PAP in conjunction with exercise testing, might more accurately identify and assess progress of PH in patients, and reduce the need for invasive assessments.

Conflict of interest

The authors certify that they have no affiliations with or involvement in any organisation or entity with any financial interest in the subject matter or materials discussed in this manuscript.

References


