Structure of a shear-line polar low
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During March 2013 a series of polar lows originated in a high-vorticity ($\gtrsim 10^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$) shear zone that was associated with a prolonged marine cold-air outbreak over the Norwegian Sea. A detailed analysis of one shear-line polar low at the leading edge of the outbreak is presented using comprehensive observations from a well-instrumented aircraft, dropsondes, scatterometer and CloudSat data, and numerical modelling output from a convection-resolving configuration of the Met Office Unified Model. The maximum low-level wind gradient across the shear line was 25 m s$^{-1}$ over 50 km. High winds to the north and west were within the cold air mass and were associated with large surface turbulent heat fluxes and convective clouds. Low wind speeds to the south and east of the shear line were associated with low heat fluxes and a clear ‘eye’ in the polar low. Shear-line meso-gamma-scale instabilities merging into the polar low appeared important to its structure and development. The model captured the shear line and the polar low structure very well—in particular the strength of the horizontal shear and the mesoscale thermodynamic fields. The spatial structure of convective cloud bands around the polar low was simulated reasonably well, but the model significantly underestimated the liquid water content and height of the cloud layers compared to the observations. shear-line polar lows are relatively common, however this case is arguably the first to be examined with a wide range of in situ and remote observations allied with numerical model output.
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1. Introduction

Myriads of mesoscale vortices emerge over the Norwegian Sea during the extended winter period (November–April) (Kolstad, 2011; Rojo et al., 2015). The life cycle of each of these vortices is uniquely shaped by its environment and by complex interactions between different physical processes such as baroclinic instability, latent heat release, and surface heat exchange. Under favourable conditions, some of these vortices gain sufficient energy to produce near-surface gale force winds and are referred to as polar lows (PLs)—some of the most extreme weather events of the high latitudes. With their intensity, they are a threat to coastal and maritime socio-economic activities (Hamilton, 2004). The chronic lack of in situ observations at high latitudes makes it challenging to forecast these small-scale and explosive weather phenomena accurately, even for modern numerical weather prediction (NWP) systems (Kristiansen et al., 2011). This shortcoming is especially true at the early stages, when incipient perturbation PLs can be embedded in convergence zones with large horizontal wind shear. We present the evolution of such a shear-line PL using comprehensive observations obtained during the Aerosol–Cloud Coupling And Climate Interactions in the Arctic (ACCACIA) field campaign, in conjunction with satellite data and high-resolution model simulations performed with the UK Met Office’s Unified Model (MetUM)—their operational NWP model.

Several modelling studies have focused on the dynamics of PLs over the Nordic Seas, e.g. Adakudlu and Barstad (2011), Nordeng and Røsting (2011) and Claud et al. (2004). To improve our theoretical concepts and to verify numerical models, it is necessary to gather detailed information about the anatomy and life cycles of archetypal PLs, ideally with high-quality airborne observations. However, aircraft field campaigns are expensive, with the additional impediment that it is difficult to have the aircraft in the right place at the right time to obtain the most useful observations. Consequently, less than a dozen PLs have been investigated by research aircraft.

The classic case-study by Shapiro et al. (1987) of a Norwegian Sea PL provides a vivid picture of a meso-alpha-scale vortex with well-defined mesoscale fronts and strong winds. Using data from
an extensive range of observations, they conclude this PL was induced by a propagating upper-level trough and intensified due to baroclinic instability and convection. The wind speeds exceeded 30 m s$^{-1}$ and were observed below 2 km at a radius of 100 km, with relative vorticity above $2 \times 10^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$, representing the ‘truly mesoscale’ nature of the PL. Subsequent studies report similar PL structures with diameters typically in the range 300–700 km and maximum wind speeds usually above 20–25 m s$^{-1}$ (e.g. Douglas and Shapiro, 1995; Brüninger et al., 2009; Fore et al., 2011). Estimated latent and sensible heat fluxes range between 200 and 500 W m$^{-2}$ with the Bowen Ratio close to unity.

Modern aircraft observations of PLs can be substantially complemented by airborne lidar. For example, Wagner et al. (2011) used two lidars, including a Doppler wind lidar, to provide high-resolution cross-sections of water-vapour mixing ratio, backscatter ratio, and horizontal wind speeds through the inner part of a PL. These observations provide a very thorough description of a PL ‘eye’ and were essential to compare against the authors’ axisymmetric and NWP models.

In the absence of in situ observations, satellites provide a sweeping source of observational data for PL detection and analysis, for instance through cloud imagery from the Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer (AVHRR; Harold et al., 1999). Ocean wind vectors from scatterometers are among the spaceborne data assimilated by forecasting centres (Chelton et al., 2006). A few case-studies also pinpoint the benefits of using synthetic aperture radar (SAR) images to examine the fine-scale (resolution of 100–200 m) features of near-surface winds within PLs (Moore and Vachon, 2002; Furevik et al., 2015). A new powerful tool to study the internal properties of PL clouds has become available with the launch of NASA’s CloudSat in 2006. CloudSat measures radar reflectivity versus altitude in a nadir slice along the satellite track. Unlike hurricanes (Tourtillé et al., 2015) and midlatitude cyclones (Field et al., 2011), to the authors’ knowledge there are no detailed PL investigations based on CloudSat data except for a short note by Forsythe and Haynes (2015), who briefly illustrate CloudSat’s intersection of a PL that developed over the Labrador Sea in November 2013.

There were multiple PL events around the Svalbard archipelago during the ACCACIA intensive observational period in March–April 2013, and one of the most intense was investigated by our research aircraft on 26 March. The objective of this study is to scrutinise the structure of this PL, which developed along a shear line south of Svalbard. We focus specifically on the mesoscale features of the wind and temperature fields and on the cloud properties of the PL, assessing the liquid water and ice concentration at different levels. We use a wealth of observations, including direct measurements and dropsondes from the research aircraft, CloudSat radar data and MetOp-A’s scatterometer products. In addition, the MetUM is run at convection-permitting 2.2 km grid spacing, in order to to deepen our analysis of the PL and validate this state-of-the-art operational model against the aforementioned observations. The choice of this PL is dictated by the uniqueness of the successful aircraft observations and their coincidence with appropriate satellite overpasses.

Sections 2 and 3 describe the observational data and the model set-up respectively. Section 4 presents the large-scale synoptic conditions before and during the PL development. Section 5 discusses the evolution of the PL and section 6 describes the mesoscale structure of the PL, while both evaluate the performance of the model. Section 7 synthesises and concludes this study.

2. Observational data

2.1. Direct observations

Our domain of interest is shown in Figure 1. Data were gathered by the BAE-146 aircraft of the Facility for Airborne Atmospheric Measurements (FAAM) during flight B763 on 26 March 2013. The on-board instruments provided standard meteorological variables, including the three components of wind velocity, pressure, temperature, and humidity (e.g. Renfrew et al., 2008). Cloud droplet and ice crystal concentrations were sampled by the Cloud Droplet Probe (CDP) and the Two-Dimensional

![Figure 1](image-url). Observational and modelling domains. Cloud cover is shown as AVHRR channel 4 image (1220 UTC 26 March 2013). The modelling domains with 2.2 and 0.5 km grid spacing are marked by the red and orange boxes, respectively. The flight track (1016–1405 UTC) is shown by the purple line and dropsonde locations are marked as numbered triangles. The CloudSat (cyan) and ASCAT (blue) swaths are also overlaid. Model topography is shaded (m). [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com].
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Stereoscopic Probe (2D-S) respectively; both are optical scattering probes. The particles were categorised by size and shape, and their number densities were converted to liquid (LWC) and ice water content (IWC). In addition, estimates of LWC and total (ice plus liquid) water content (TWC) were provided by the hot-wire deep cone Nevzorov probe (Korolev et al., 1998).

For convenience, all aircraft measurements are shown at 1 Hz resolution, which corresponds to a resolution of $\approx 100$ m in the horizontal.

In addition, 11 GPS dropsondes (Vaisala RD93) were released sequentially every $\approx 5$ min to obtain the vertical structure of the atmosphere. With typical terminal velocities of about $10$ m s$^{-1}$ (Petersen et al., 2009), they provide vertical profiles of wind speed and direction, pressure, temperature, and humidity.

The B763 flight took place in the northern part of the Norwegian Sea, approximately within the area of $4^\circ$–$10^\circ$ E, 73–75$^\circ$N with the flight track following a ‘butterfly’ pattern (A–B–C–D–A). The period of measurements lasted from 1100 to 1400 UTC. Two legs (A–B, B–C) were made at an altitude of $\approx 6000$ m above sea level (asl) when the dropsondes were released and their data sent to the Global Telecommunication System (GTS). The descent from C to D gave information about clouds on the northern side of the PL. The low-level quasi-horizontal run from D to A (with partial legs from A back towards D) was mainly at 35 m asl to allow estimates of surface layer characteristics. However, due to low visibility, the aircraft ascended to 300 m asl for 15 min. The mission finished with an ascending profile, in calm conditions close to point A. Overall, the flight was successful as both sides of the shear line were probed with profiles and legs at several altitudes.

### 2.2. Satellite data

Satellite remote-sensing instruments provide estimates of cloud composition, surface winds, and radiative fluxes.

Near-surface wind speed was obtained by the Advanced Scatterometer (ASCAT) installed on the polar-orbiting MetOp-A satellite. The dataset used in this study has 12.5 km resolution and covers the ocean surface in two 550 km-wide swaths. The applicability of ASCAT data to PL studies is discussed by Zabolotskikh et al. (2013) and Furevik et al. (2015). Although the satellite orbit goes through the same high-latitude region several times a day, only a few of the overpasses fully captured the observed shear line. We will focus on the 1300 UTC overpass.

Another satellite product comprises snapshots of top-of-atmosphere outgoing long-wave radiation (TOA OLR) retrieved by NOAA’s Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer (AVHRR) instrument. Observations are made in the thermal infrared channel (10.3–11.3 $\mu$m) with 1.09 km spatial resolution and give an excellent overview of the cloud features associated with the cold-air outbreak (Figure 1).

Until recently, the vertical structure of high-latitude marine weather phenomena could only be sampled by direct airborne observations or, rarely, by a research vessel’s radar (e.g. Shapiro et al., 1987). With the advent of CloudSat, carrying a highly sensitive 94 Hz cloud profiling radar, it has become possible to dissect and study the internal structure of such phenomena more comprehensively. Being a polar-orbiting satellite with a sun-synchronous orbit, CloudSat provides a good coverage of high-latitude regions. It repeats the same ground track every 16 days, meaning that the Norwegian Sea, for example, is probed on average 4 times per day. Fortunately, the CloudSat orbit passed exactly over the area of interest within the period of aircraft observations (around 1130 UTC), allowing us to complement the observational dataset with radar reflectivity and other derived quantities sampled at 240 m vertical resolution and with $1.4 \times 1.7$ km footprint size. The reflectivity is measured within a $\approx 30$ to 40 dBZ range (Touville et al., 2015).

### 3. Numerical model

We use one of the latest versions (v. 10.2) of the UK Met Office’s Unified Model (MetUM) in atmosphere-only mode for this study. The model has been used several times in previous PL studies (e.g. Bracegirdle and Gray, 2009; Irvine et al., 2011). The basic equations of the model are described in Davies et al. (2005) and essentially represent the atmosphere as a deep non-hydrostatic fully compressible fluid. The equations are discretised on an Arakawa C-grid in the horizontal and a Charney–Phillips grid in the vertical. Recently, significant improvements to the numerical schemes have been implemented in the model’s dynamical core, now referred to as ‘ENDGame’ (Wood et al., 2014). Changes to the model’s physics, amongst many, included a new orographic drag scheme, a corrected convection entrainment scheme, and revised turbulent mixing for stable, unstable, and shear-dominated boundary layers, which improves the representation of clouds in polar cold-air outbreaks (Brown et al., 2008).

The MetUM cloud microphysics scheme is a single-moment three-phase representation based on Wilson and Ballard (1999), with the use of multiple sub-time stepping and extensive modifications (e.g. the particle size distribution is described in Abel and Boutle, 2012). The parametrization uses prognostic cloud water and rain mixing ratios for the liquid phase. For ice there is a prognostic variable that represents all ice in the grid box. Production or loss of cloud water, ice and rain is governed by such processes as condensation, evaporation autoconversion, accretion, droplet settling, freezing and riming. The maximum ice nucleation temperature was set to $-10$ °C. For the large-scale cloud, the Smith scheme was used, which depends on the diagnostic cloud fraction and condensate variables, based on a symmetric triangular PDF of subgrid variability. Table 1 shows key model specifications. More details of the MetUM can be found in Walters et al. (2014) and references therein.

A global MetUM simulation with N768 resolution (17 km) was initialized using the operational analysis and generated boundary conditions for a nested model (Nesting Suite version u-a753). The horizontal grid spacing of the limited-area model was $\approx 2.2$ km, while the time step was 60 s. The domain was centred at 74°N, 15°E to capture the movement of the shear line and the emerging PL, as well as the northerly cold-air outbreak flowing around the Svalbard archipelago (Figure 1). Surface boundary conditions for sea-ice and sea-surface temperature were derived from the Operational Sea Surface Temperature and Sea Ice Analysis (OSTIA) at 17 km resolution and automatically downscaled to the nested model resolution.

We tested the impact of the initialization time, starting the simulations at 0600, 1200, 1800 UTC 25 March, and 0000 UTC 26 March. The majority of the analysis focuses on the midday conditions on 26 March. An additional experiment was performed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Specification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dynamical core</td>
<td>Fully compressible non-hydrostatic Navier–Stokes equations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advection scheme</td>
<td>Semi-implicit, semi-Lagrangian predictor–corrector scheme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cartographic projection</td>
<td>Rotating pole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horizontal grid spacing</td>
<td>2.2 km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horizontal domain</td>
<td>$1300 \times 1300$ km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vertical grid</td>
<td>70 levels, including 16 levels below 1 km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time step</td>
<td>60 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turbulence closure</td>
<td>Unstable conditions: non-local closure with entrainment fluxes, Stable conditions: SHARPST scheme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microphysics</td>
<td>Single-moment 3-phase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convection</td>
<td>Explicit</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. MetUM control configuration.
with even smaller horizontal grid size (0.5 km) for the central part of the coarse-resolution domain (orange box in Figure 1).

The model output frequency was set to 10 min during the aircraft observations period and to one hour for the remaining time. All variables were interpolated to the middle points of grid boxes, to avoid problems with data analysis on the staggered grid. We use the Python packages Iris (Met Office, 2016) and Matplotlib (Droettboom et al., 2016) for analysis and visualisation. All the code used in this study is publicly available on GitHub: https://github.com/dennissergeev/structure-of-a-shear-line-polar-low-notebooks (accessed 28 September 2016).

4. Synoptic overview

To understand the background state of the atmosphere prior to and during the PL event, we examined the 6-hourly ECMWF ERA-Interim reanalysis. Although there are notable constraints on how accurately PLs are represented in this dataset (Zappa et al., 2014; Laffineur et al., 2014), its purpose here is to give a general picture of the large-scale circulation over the North Atlantic. At the end of March 2013, polar maritime air masses over the western part of the Norwegian Sea were swept away by colder Arctic air during an intense cold-air outbreak. An upper-level ridge shifted southwestwards, and the study area began to be dominated by a cold trough, which stretched from the eastern Barents Sea with core temperatures below −45 °C (Figure 2(a)). By the time of the PL event, the temperature at 500 hPa had fallen by ≈8 K over the Norwegian Sea. Upper-level forcing appears important due to a large potential vorticity (PV) anomaly on the 285 K isentropic surface (not shown). The PV maximum reached values of 4–4.5 PVU and was located to the north (upstream) of the developing PL.

The 850 hPa thermal field reveals an intensification of the baroclinic zone (Figure 2(c, d)). Shaped by the cold-air outbreak to the west and warm sector advection to the east, it spreads all the way from Iceland to the eastern coast of Svalbard, and its orientation slowly became more meridional. The northern part of the baroclinic zone was characterized by a vertical velocity dipole with maximum amplitude at the height of 700 hPa, about 5° to the east of the observed PL position (not shown).

In the lower troposphere, a stationary large-scale depression prevailed over the area of interest, as shown by 850 hPa geopotential height (Figure 2(d)) and also evident in the mean-sea-level pressure (MSLP) simulated by the MetUM (Figure 3(a, c, e)). The synoptic depression slowly deepened, and the cloud bands of several mesoscale vortices can be clearly

Figure 2. ERA-Interim air temperature (colour shading, K) and geopotential height (contours, × 10 m) at (a, b) 500 hPa and (c, d) 850 hPa for (a, c) 0000 UTC and (b, d) 1200 UTC 26 March 2013. The location of the PL (from subjective satellite analysis) is marked.
distinguished on its periphery, including the one that was probed by the aircraft (Figures 1 and 3(e)). Originating in the cold-air outbreak in the rear part of the synoptic low, the vortices were steered by the cyclonic flow and formed a typical ‘merry-go-round’ pattern (Forbes and Lottes, 1985).

The cold-air outbreak appears in the cloud imagery as an area of shallow cellular convection, which again indicates significant surface–atmosphere temperature contrasts. In fact, the so-called marine cold-air outbreak (MCAO) index, which is proportional to the potential temperature difference between surface skin temperature and 700 hPa height (Bracegirdle and Kolstad, 2010), on 26 March was at its highest of the whole ACCACIA campaign period, implying that the observed PL developed during the strong advection of an Arctic air mass over the relatively warm

![Figure 3](image-url)
ocean surface. These conditions often result in PL propagation being opposite to the thermal wind, i.e. reverse shear conditions (Terpstra et al., 2016).

Given the outlined synoptic conditions, it is not surprising that PLs developed. The observed PL of interest started to grow on a convergence line (a trough in the MSLP field, Figure 3(a)) in the lee of Svalbard. The convergence line, discernible in the relative vorticity field (Figure 3(b, d, f)) at the leading edge of the trough, folded into the PL of interest and spawned chains of mesoscale waves along the northwest and northeast shear lines (section 5.2). In the satellite image at 1220 UTC (Figure 1), when the PL was centred at 73°N, 5°E, it can be pinpointed as a beam-like signature of bright (and hence, deep convective) cloud bands. The large-scale circulation during the PL event is common in this region: for example, the PL of 3–4 March 2008 developed in a similar background (Kristjánsson et al., 2011).

5. Polar low simulation

Several model experiments have been conducted before the analysis of the weather phenomenon in detail. The set of experiments with 2.2 km grid spacing simulates the flow evolution skillfully, even with the default parametrization settings, usually applied for operational NWP over the British Isles. We carry out the MetUM validation primarily for wind velocity (u and v components), air temperature (T), air pressure (p), specific humidity, LWC and IWC, as well as sea-surface temperature (SST), and surface sensible (SHF) and latent (LHF) heat fluxes.

5.1. Sensitivity to grid spacing and initialisation time

Perhaps for the first time, a PL was simulated using a horizontal grid size of 0.5 km. The corresponding domain (500 × 500 km in size) was nested within the 2.2 km domain (Figure 1). Despite a finer representation of discrete convective cells, a qualitative comparison of wind speed and surface pressure revealed that this reduction in grid spacing did not give a remarkable improvement in model performance. This finding agrees with McInnes et al. (2011) for the same numerical model, who found that going from 12 km to 4 km in horizontal spacing led to considerably better results, while going further to 1 km was less fruitful.

Forecasting such rapidly evolving PLs is known to be sensitive to the numerical model’s initialisation time (Irvine et al., 2011). Comparing the satellite data with the modelled total cloud amount, we noticed that the model reproduces the cloud patterns accurately both when initialised at 0000 UTC 26 March or 12 h earlier (not shown). On the other hand, the cloud bands surrounding the PL eye in the forecast from 0000 UTC mismatch reality as observed by AVHRR and the 1200 UTC 25 March simulation, e.g. the cloud-free gap between the two main cloud bands is missing in the 0000 UTC forecast (Figures 1 and 3(c)). In terms of MSLP, the PL minimum is slightly deeper in the later forecast (0000 UTC), leading to a stronger pressure gradient and higher than observed wind speeds. In longer lead-time simulations, the model skill deteriorates significantly, e.g. in the experiment starting at 0600 UTC 25 March, the wind maximum has a different shape and is located quite far from the observed shear line (not shown).

The initialisation time experiments expose a degree of sensitivity in the location and shape of the shear line. The simulation initialized at 1200 UTC 25 March best matches the observations, particularly of low-level winds. This lead time (≈24 h) is probably not significant, as the size of the limited-area domain was a factor, as well as the atmospheric state at initialisation time.

To sum up, the forecast run starting at 1200 UTC 25 March was chosen as the control experiment, as it qualitatively resembled the observations best, and thus was used for the further analysis of the internal structure of the PL.

5.2. Life cycle of the polar low

The results of high-resolution numerical experiments in conjunction with satellite imagery provide the opportunity to scrutinise the PL development in great detail. On 25 March, the northeasterly flow of the cold-air outbreak from the Barents Sea converged with the main branch of the northerly flow, forming a high relative vorticity banner with maxima of order 10⁻⁵ s⁻¹ (Figure 3(b)). The banner was oriented from north to southeast and had a silhouette resembling the Svalbard coastline, while almost the whole area of the Norwegian Sea was speckled with smaller vortical disturbances. Starting from 1800 UTC (forecast time 6 h), the positive vorticity band began to bend and undulate, generating mesoscale shear-instability waves. The most unstable of these developed into a quasi-axisymmetric cyclonic disturbance at 0200 UTC 26 March, at the forecast time of 14 h (Figure 3(d)). The vorticity band continued to roll up, and smaller waves merged into the dominant mesocyclone, increasing its radius and pressure deficit.

In the morning of 26 March, even though the associated cloud structures do not stand out in the satellite imagery, they are discernible in the simulated top-of-atmosphere outgoing long-wave radiation field, as well as in the surface pressure field (Figure 3(c)). Over the next 14 h, the mesocyclone steadily deepened, dominating the vorticity field and maintaining a cloud-free core (Figure 3(e, f)). At midday, the PL centre is clearly seen as a MSLP minimum (closed 1008 hPa isobar) and as a dark patch in the OLR field. The main cloud bands have relatively low OLR (≈140 W m⁻²), highlighting deep convection, and concur with the high-vorticity banners. Further to the southeast, another band of shallow convection is located (grey colours in the satellite imagery). The simulated OLR generally corresponds very well with the AVHRR image (compare Figure 3(e) to Figure 1), as does the simulated surface wind and scatterometer data (section 6.1).

At this time (midday, 24 h of the simulation), another mesoscale cyclone developed on a similarly folding vorticity banner a few hundreds of kilometres from the continental coast (Figure 3(f)) and became deeper in MSLP than the observed PL (Figure 3(e)). The new vortex moved northward, creating a large spiral cloud band and developing a much deeper trough within its core. Meanwhile, the observed PL continued to travel southeastward following the large-scale cyclonic flow. The PL grew in diameter, deepened to ≈1000 hPa and formed a more spiraliform cloud signature, but soon started to disintegrate into smaller disturbances that are visible both in the real and simulated cloud imagery (not shown). These disturbances filled the inner region of the PL, which can no longer be identified by a clear eye. Eventually the PL ceased to exist as a separate vortex and its remnants were absorbed into the new, stronger cyclone.

6. Mesoscale structures

The morphology of the shear-line PL is investigated via a combined analysis of aircraft flight-level observations, dropsonde profiles, ASCAT wind estimates, radar measurements from CloudSat and model results. The analysis here is confined to 1100–1400 UTC 26 March 2013. Note the PL translation velocity was about 10 m s⁻¹ from the northwest at this time, subjectively determined from AVHRR imagery.

6.1. Horizontal structure

In the lower troposphere, the horizontal wind reached values of almost 25 m s⁻¹ within the northeast shear line (Figure 4). The second shear line with wind speeds up to 10 m s⁻¹ is related to northwesterly flow and separated from the former shear line by a thin trail corresponding to the cloudless gap seen in Figures 1 and 3(e). The scatterometer data generally confirm the model results, but the gradients are weaker than in the model and not
all the shear-line waves are well captured, most likely due to the relatively coarse spatial resolution (12.5 km). The northwest shear line and the convergence zone are less sharp in ASCAT data. Quantitatively, one can see the scatterometer’s estimate is larger by 2–3 m s\(^{-1}\), especially closer to the PL centre (Renfrew et al., 2009). Finally, scatterometer retrievals do not provide a well-defined circulation centre in that region.

The horizontal wind structure is very similar at 300 m asl, but the magnitude is several m s\(^{-1}\) higher than at 40 or 10 m (cf. Figure 4(b, c, d)). The PL core appears as an area of calm wind conditions, surrounded by shear lines. At this time its diameter is \(\approx 100–150\) km, so it can be classified as a meso-\(\beta\)-scale cyclone. In fact, the core of the PL consists of several small and weak vortices rotating around the main circulation axis (Figure 3(f)). Animations of the wind field suggest that shear instability waves feed the growing PL, while the vorticity source is concentrated upstream within the northeast shear line. The shear line is comprised of typically 5–6 meso-\(\gamma\)-scale undulations with wavelengths ranging from 20 to 100 km. With velocity exceeding 27 m s\(^{-1}\), horizontal gradients are sharper across the shear line than within the PL core.

One of the most active ‘wavy’ parts of the northeast shear line was the area of our airborne observations, which are illustrated in Figure 4(c, d) by scattered circles. Note that the markers show not only the aircraft data sampled within the 40 ± 10 and 300 ± 30 m layer, but also the vertically averaged dropsonde measurements, although these are from 1.5 h earlier. Both levels demonstrate an excellent match between the numerical simulation and the observations in terms of the location, shape, and amplitude of shear instability waves.

### 6.2. Vertical structure

The shear line was penetrated several times during the research flight. The first two times occurred during high-altitude aircraft legs when the dropsondes were released (Figure 1), providing snapshots of the atmosphere below. As shown in Figure 5, the vertical cross-sections along the two flight legs, from southeast to northwest (A–B, (a, c)) and back to the southsoutheast (B–C, (b, d)), present the shear line as a zone with a very sharp wind speed gradient with a typical width of about 50 km, where the wind speed changes by more than 25 m s\(^{-1}\), yielding a...
Figure 5. Vertical cross-sections of wind speed (colour shading, m s\(^{-1}\)) along the two flight legs across the shear line based on (a, b) interpolated dropsonde soundings and (c, d) model output. The simulated vertical velocity (blue contours, m s\(^{-1}\)) and tangential wind speed (black vectors) are overlaid on (c, d). Numbered triangles mark sounding locations. The dropsonde data in (a, b) were smoothed using a 25 s 6-order Butterworth filter.

Figure 6. Vertical cross-sections of water vapour mixing ratio (log-scaled colour shading, g kg\(^{-1}\)) and potential temperature (red contours, K), as in Figure 5.
horizontal shear of $0.5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$. The low-level jet is located to the northwest of the shear zone and was captured by dropsondes 4 and 9. The jet core is encircled by the $24 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ contour and is confined to the lowest 1000 m, while the horizontal shear remains large up to about 3000 m. Northward of the jet, the shear is confined to the lowest 1000 m, while the horizontal shear is confined to the lowest 1000 m, capped by enhanced stratification of 3 K per 50 km in the lower 500–1000 m which appears to be in balance with the wind shear.

The MetUM skilfully reproduces the temperature field, with the exception of the very edge of the cold-air outbreak where the slope of isentropes is smoothed too much. In addition, the model makes the warm air too stable and colder than the in situ measurements. In terms of atmospheric moisture, the model over-amplifies the boundary-layer water vapour mixing ratio at the shear line, which is linked with the strong LHF (next section) and coincident with high vertical velocity (Figure 5(d)) associated with strong convection. Quantitatively, our results are similar to those obtained for similar PL cases (Shapiro et al., 1987; Brümmer et al., 2009; Wagner et al., 2011) where in the cloud bands near the PL core the water vapour mixing ratio is usually observed to be of the order of $2–3 \text{ g kg}^{-1}$. The $\theta_e$ field is also simulated well, though at the shear line, due to the overly humid air, the $\theta_e$ maximum is larger than in the dropsonde data.

**Figure 7.** Time series of aircraft observations from low-level flight legs (D–A) and corresponding MetUM output: (a) wind speed and direction (bars in standard meteorological notation) at aircraft altitude (m) measured by radar altimeter; (b) wind speed (m s$^{-1}$); (c) potential temperature (K); (d) total specific humidity (g kg$^{-1}$); (e) cloud LWC (g kg$^{-1}$) and (f) cloud IWC (g kg$^{-1}$). Thin curves show the original measurements, while thick curves show time series smoothed by 50 s 6-order Butterworth filter.

The calm region to the south of the shear line has continuous downward motions with a minimum of $\approx –0.10 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ (along the B–C cross-section).

Two different boundary layers can be identified in the cross-sections of potential temperature and water vapour mixing ratio (Figure 6). The marine boundary layer of the warm air mass appears weakly stable and contains more moisture. Due to the high humidity, the vertical gradient of the equivalent potential temperature ($\theta_e$, not shown) reveals that the boundary layer is conditionally neutral to $\approx 1500 \text{ m}$, while below 100–200 m there is a conditionally unstable surface layer. On the other hand, in the colder and drier Arctic air mass a neutrally stratified boundary layer develops to $\approx 1000 \text{ m}$, capped by enhanced stratification above. The isentropic surfaces slant at a relatively small angle with respect to the surface, forming a thermal front with a $\theta$ gradient of 3 K per 50 km in the lower 500–1000 m which appears to be in balance with the wind shear.

The MetUM skilfully reproduces the temperature field, with the exception of the very edge of the cold-air outbreak where the slope of isentropes is smoothed too much. In addition, the model makes the warm air too stable and colder than the in situ measurements. In terms of atmospheric moisture, the model over-amplifies the boundary-layer water vapour mixing ratio at the shear line, which is linked with the strong LHF (next section) and coincident with high vertical velocity (Figure 5(d)) associated with strong convection. Quantitatively, our results are similar to those obtained for similar PL cases (Shapiro et al., 1987; Brümmer et al., 2009; Wagner et al., 2011) where in the cloud bands near the PL core the water vapour mixing ratio is usually observed to be of the order of $2–3 \text{ g kg}^{-1}$. The $\theta_e$ field is also simulated well, though at the shear line, due to the overly humid air, the $\theta_e$ maximum is larger than in the dropsonde data.

__c__ 2016 The Authors. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of the Royal Meteorological Society.

6.3. Surface layer characteristics

The high-frequency data collected during the low-level flight legs (D–A) at \( \approx 35 \) m asl allow us to study the shear zone boundary layer in great detail. The first part of the flight leg (1240–1258 UTC) took place within the cold-air outbreak, where the steady northnorthwesterly wind rose from 15 to 26 m s\(^{-1}\) towards the jet (Figure 7). The time series of potential temperature also indicates a gradual warming of the surface layer towards the shear line. At about 1300 UTC, the visibility severely worsened, and the aircraft had to climb to a higher altitude of \( \approx 300 \) m asl for safety reasons. As evident in Figures 4 and 5, the boundary-layer wind field was well-mixed and the change in altitude does not affect the representation of the shear line greatly.

Corroborating the dropsonde data from an hour earlier, the horizontal shear line appears as a dramatic fall in wind speed over a distance of about 20–30 km. The atmospheric conditions within the shear zone are also characterized by a peak of water content. The specific humidity (Figure 7(d)), for instance, reaches 4–5 g kg\(^{-1}\), while the ambient values for the surrounding air mass are 1–2 g kg\(^{-1}\). The peaks in specific humidity, as well as in liquid water and ice particles (two bottom panels, respectively), are related to the shear-line cloud wall. The cloud base resides very close to the sea surface, as is evident in the peaks of cloud water content; cloud characteristics are presented in more detail in section 6.4.

In the tranquil zone southeast of the jet core (starting at 1307 UTC), wind speeds are 5 m s\(^{-1}\) or less; while the direction changes from northeasterly to southeasterly, a transition that is not well captured by the simulation. The near-surface measurements reveal cloud-free atmospheric conditions with higher potential temperature. The low-level boundary-layer stratification is close to neutral with \( \theta = 268.5 \) K, at least within the lowest 300 m.

After the aircraft descended, it took a U-turn to head northwestwards in the hope of probing the shear line again, but this time close to the sea surface. This accounts for the shear line appearing for the second time at the far right of Figure 7. This second encounter with the shear zone is valuable as it confirms the observations of the dramatic wind speed gradient, concomitant temperature gradient, and the cloud wall.

It is obvious that the MetUM capably reproduces the conditions within the surface layer in and around the shear zone, particularly the wind field, where the average error is only about 2 m s\(^{-1}\), and the match in gradients is almost perfect. The structure of the temperature field is predicted with high skill, although the frontal zone in the model is steeper than in reality.

An intensive surface heat exchange is maintained by turbulent mixing underneath the strong low-level jet. Heat transfer from the ocean to the atmosphere exceeds 500 W m\(^{-2}\) and it is most intensive within the developing PL, where the wind speed also has the largest values. The SHF topped the LHF by at least a factor of 2 and reached \( \approx 350 \) W m\(^{-2}\) (Figure 8). The SHF has two distinct maxima, one to the northwest of the observed shear line and the other one to the south of the second shear-dominated flank of the PL. The LHF is largest to the south of the PL, where the wind velocity is smaller, though the moisture deficit is larger. Along the northeast shear line, the LHF has values \( \approx 220 \) W m\(^{-2}\). Both the SHF and LHF patterns follow the northeast shear line waves (cf. Figure 4(d)).

The MetUM’s representation of the boundary-layer fluxes is supported reasonably well by in situ observations. SHF and LHF were calculated along the 35 m flight legs from measurements of wind, temperature, water vapour mixing ratio, and radiometer measurements of SST using the COARE\(^\ast\) 3.0 algorithm as well as the eddy-covariance technique (methodology is described in Cook and Renfrew, 2015). Only the bulk fluxes are shown here. The circles in Figure 8 show where the flux estimates were made at a flight altitude of 35 m and before the aircraft encountered the cloud wall of the shear line. Compared to the observations, the model overestimates the SHF by \( \approx 30 \) W m\(^{-2}\), a discrepancy which can be explained by a mismatch in temperature differences. Indeed, \( \theta_{sea} - \theta_{air} \) temperatures are 2–3 K greater in the model than in observations. Scaled by the temperature difference factor only, the modelled values almost exactly match the observed ones. LHF, on the other hand, is underestimated by the MetUM by \( \approx 65 \) W m\(^{-2}\) and this is most likely due to the overestimated values of specific humidity in the atmosphere (Figure 7). The absolute values of SHF and LHF stay roughly the same throughout the PL evolution and are close to some previous observational estimates.

\(^\ast\)Coupled Ocean–Atmosphere Response Experiment.
6.4. Cloud structure

CloudSat measurements taken at around 1130 UTC elucidate the large-scale vertical cloud structure across the shear line and close to the PL centre. Radar reflectivity, as well as IWC and LWC are shown in Figure 9 and a corresponding cross-section from the MetUM simulation is given for each of these parameters. To obtain radar reflectivity, we used the Cloud Feedback Model Intercomparison Project Observation Simulator Package included in the MetUM (Bodas-Salcedo et al., 2011). Note that the x-axes of Figure 9 are reversed so the plots run northwest to southeast.

The strongest radar echo (> 20 dBZ) concurs with the shear line location, giving further evidence for the vigorous wall of clouds which the aircraft encountered carrying out low-level measurements (Figure 7). The high-reflectivity patch is identified as a cumulus-type cloud tower ≈4 km tall and ≈20 km wide, embedded in a conglomerate of shallow convective cells within the frontal part of the cold-air outbreak. The calm zone to the southeast, which can be labelled as a polar-low eye, is decently captured by the MetUM, although the location of individual clouds seems to be misplaced and underdeveloped. Large amounts of IWC are found within the cloud bands. In the

Figure 9. Cloud structure across the PL core region at 1130 UTC: (a) CloudSat radar reflectivity (dBZ); (b) MetUM radar reflectivity (dBZ); (c) CloudSat radar IWC density (g m\(^{-3}\)); (d) MetUM IWC density (g m\(^{-3}\)); (e) CloudSat radar LWC density (g m\(^{-3}\)) and (f) MetUM LWC density (g m\(^{-3}\)).

(Brümmer et al., 2009; Føre et al., 2011), but lower than others (Shapiro et al., 1987; Wagner et al., 2011).
The model has similar values but places the IWC peak ≈ 1 km too high. Underestimating the amount of ice crystals and water droplets in the southeast stratocumulus bands, the model contaminates the PL eye with low-level clouds mainly due to water droplets in the southeast stratocumulus bands, the model contaminates the PL eye with low-level clouds mainly due to high IWC concentrations (Figure 9(d)). This substantiates the mismatch between the predicted and observed in situ values of specific humidity and LWC, shown in the bottom plots of Figure 7.

The CloudSat estimate of LWC is shown in Figure 9(e) in the same units but over a different range than for IWC. The liquid water is confined to the lowest 2 km and its concentration exceeds 1 g m\(^{-3}\). The modelled LWC is more vertically spread than CloudSat records, and generally has lower values. The integral measurement of water content—liquid water path—is drastically mismatched. Ice water path, on the other hand, displays a better agreement, particularly in the shear-line region. In the northernmost segment of the track (left part of Figure 9(a)), CloudSat detected several bands of strong convection, which are visible in the AVHRR infrared imagery too (Figure 1). The presence of the convective bands indicates another region of instability due to the bending of a vorticity filament tail (Figure 3(f)) which grew upstream of the observed PL and was not well reproduced.

To further analyse the intricate structure of the cloud bands in the vicinity of the PL, we present the unique set of cloud microphysical equipment on board the FAAM aircraft (Figure 10; section. 2.1). These measurements were taken from ≈6 km to ≈40 m asl along the descending leg (C–D), when the aircraft was piercing the shear line in the southeast–northwest direction (1214–1238 UTC). A moist well-mixed subcloud layer is present up to about 600 m asl, followed firstly by tenuous layers of frozen water and then by a peak in liquid water at 1 km. The main cloud tower extends from 1.2 km up to the wind steering level at about 4.5 km, manifested by the IWC of at least 0.2 g kg\(^{-1}\) (Figure 10(e)). The clouds are densest at ≈1.8–2 km, where both ice crystals and water droplets have maximum concentrations and which also contribute to the peak in total humidity (1.6 g kg\(^{-1}\); Figure 10(c)).

The smooth CDP and Nevzorov data consistently show that the LWC reaches 0.05 g kg\(^{-1}\). Within the main cloud layer, the MetUM does not reproduce the increase in liquid droplets, but reproduces the IWC peak to a better degree, especially when compared to Nevzorov estimates, albeit placing it 500 m too low. Above 2 km, the cloud particle concentration is 2–3 times lower than the maximum, and the cloud consisted mostly of ice crystals. The LWC has a distinct ‘lid’ at a temperature of ≈ −20°C, which is lower than reported in other ACCACIA flights (Lloyd et al., 2015) and model studies (Field et al., 2014a). This distinct top in LWC is also seen in the CloudSat data and to an extent in the MetUM (Figure 9(e,f)).

Overall, the clouds along the descending profile are characterized by the ratio of IWC and TWC fluctuating near 0.8, according to the Nevzorov probe. This demonstrates that the ice phase prevails over the liquid phase, although the cloud can be still categorized as mixed-phase (Korolev et al., 2003). Meanwhile, the MetUM’s IWC/TWC ratio stays around unity throughout the whole troposphere, only dropping to 0.6 within the lowest mixed-phase cloud layer at ≈1 km. It is worth noting that the CloudSat postprocessing algorithm appears to need some adjustment, because the IWC/TWC ratio is higher than that retrieved by the in situ observations.

The cloud structure of the shear line was measured twice during the horizontal flight legs, firstly at 300 m from southeast to northwest (1300 UTC, +1.5 h after CloudSat; Figure 7) and then at 860 m from northwest to southeast (1330 UTC, +2 h after CloudSat; Figure 11). Since the time gap between these legs is only ≈30 min, we can assume that the aircraft was in the same cloud band. Total specific humidity (q) exhibits a maximum of >3 g kg\(^{-1}\) within the shear-line cloud wall and does not change significantly between the two legs. The cloud wall is associated with an increase in LWC: smoothed CDP data give 0.02 g kg\(^{-1}\) at 300 m and almost 0.1 g kg\(^{-1}\) at 860 m. The spatial distribution of liquid droplets is in a good agreement between CDP and the Nevzorov probes, although the latter tends to overestimate absolute values of LWC due to a residual effect of ice on the LWC sensor (Korolev et al., 1998). This effect is likely to be at work during the descending profile (above 2 km) and during the

---

**Figure 10.** Time series of aircraft observations along the descending profile (C–D) and corresponding MetUM output: (a) wind speed and direction; (b) potential temperature (K); (c) total specific humidity (g kg\(^{-1}\)); (d) cloud LWC (g kg\(^{-1}\)) and (e) cloud IWC (g kg\(^{-1}\)).
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Figure 11. Time series of aircraft observations at about 860 m altitude and corresponding MetUM output, as Figure 7.

The MetUM shows a prominent peak of IWC that collocates with the shear line and extends throughout the boundary layer, with values exceeding 1 g kg\(^{-1}\) (Figures 7 and 11). Nevertheless, the cloud wall is characterised by mixed-phase conditions. Within the cold air mass (within the first 50 km in Figure 11(e, f)), the clouds are weakly glaciated. There, the MetUM performs decently in representing the smoothed IWC time series. Although the 2D-S probe shows consistently lower estimates than the Nevzorov probe and the MetUM, the LWC is still substantially underestimated by the model, which also fails to accurately simulate several convective clouds to the northwest of the shear line, visible as peaks and troughs in water content a few kilometres in diameter. Recent investigations of mixed-phase cloud formation in turbulent environments suggested that changing one of the key parameters in the non-local boundary-layer scheme might be beneficial by allowing for disruption of cumulus formation through vertical wind shear (Field et al., 2014b). However, in our sensitivity runs, the MetUM generated too many ice particles in one cloud layer, and too few in another, so the ‘simple fix’ of adjusting the boundary-layer parametrization seems dubious.

One of the most important findings revealed by the cloud analysis is the high intensity of convective cells in the forefront of the cold-air outbreak, indicating the importance of latent heat release in fuelling the PL. The radar echo pattern resembles that reported by Forsythe and Haynes (2015). In their brief note the reflectivity values also reach 20 dBZ within a cloud band of a Labrador Sea PL. The same values were reported by Kawashima and Fujiyoshi (2005), who studied meso-\(\gamma\)-scale snow bands over the Japan Sea using two Doppler radars. When compared to a tropical cyclone, PLs have similar radar reflectivity, but with maxima confined to the lowest 5 km (Tourville et al., 2015).

7. Synthesis and conclusion

We examined the structure of a meso-\(\beta\)-scale shear-line PL which developed over the Norwegian Sea on 26 March 2013 using a combination of \textit{in situ} and remote observations and convection-permitting numerical modelling.

In late March 2013, the synoptic situation was favourable for PL generation, with an intense marine cold-air outbreak bringing Arctic air masses over the relatively warm Norwegian Sea in the rear sector of a large-scale low. The northerly air streams were apparently deflected by the orography of the Svalbard archipelago, leading to convergence lines—vorticity bands—and the formation of mesoscale cyclones.

Broadly speaking, the observed PL was successfully simulated by the MetUM. The airborne observations demonstrate that the shear-line shape and magnitude were successfully captured. The ASCAT ocean wind vector estimates did not resolve the meso-\(\gamma\)-scale features, resulting in positive and negative wind speed biases. The dropsondes released across the shear line suggest that the horizontal shear zone was 3000 m deep and less...
than 50 km wide. The wind speed within the low-level jet reached 27 m s$^{-1}$, yielding relative vorticity of more than $10^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$ along the shear line and near the PL centre. Similar values have been found in chains of mesocyclones over the Japan Sea (Nagata, 1993; Watanabe and Niino, 2014). The vertical cross-sections demonstrate a decrease of wind speed with height which is typical for a reverse-shear PL (Terpstra et al., 2016). Representative of PL eye conditions, the cloud-free zone southeast of the shear line has relatively high temperatures ($\approx 268$ K), low wind speed ($<5$ m s$^{-1}$) and low total specific humidity ($\approx 1.5$ g kg$^{-1}$).

Analysis of the low-level aircraft measurements sheds light on the boundary-layer structure inside the cold-air outbreak. The atmosphere near the ocean surface appears to have a neutral to slightly unstable stratification. In the model, the surface layer is insufficiently mixed, leading to a 3 K error in the vertical difference of potential temperature, and consequently an overestimation of the SHF of roughly 30 W m$^{-2}$. The model also features too humid Arctic air, which results in an unduly low LHF. However, within the shear zone, $\theta$ is close to the observed values, and we expect the total turbulent heat exchange, exceeding 500 W m$^{-2}$, to be close to reality.

As far as cloud structure is concerned, the shear line is concomitant with a mixed-phase cloud band. The MetUM recreates the total humidity profiles reasonably well, but struggles to balance the ice crystal and water droplet concentrations, which is in accordance with the recent study of cold-air outbreaks by Field et al. (2014b). The clouds appear over-glaciated, suggesting the model is too efficient at removing liquid water: the simulated LWC is at least one order of magnitude smaller than that observed.

A novel approach presenting the PL structure via CloudSat data is used. Its radar provided a cloud profile across the shear line and the PL. Supporting the airborne observations, the shear line corresponded to intense convection with vertical velocity $>0.5$ m s$^{-1}$ and radar reflectivity exceeding 20 dBZ. Both the MetUM and CloudSat reveal that the convective clouds around the PL centre are mostly mixed-phase below 2.5 km asl and glaciated above, where IWC reaches 1.5 g m$^{-3}$. The model does not fully reproduce the southern cloud wall of the PL, as well as some parts of the cold-air outbreak cloud bands. Overall, the CloudSat data were corroborated by the aircraft observations and proved to be useful in model verification—a great asset for PL research.

Further research is needed to understand the evolution and dynamics of this and similar shear-line PLS and their sensitivity to the upstream orography. In particular, future studies could aim to quantify contributions of different energy sources for the PL development. This might elucidate the role of barotropic instability within the vorticity filaments, which could be as important as convection and latent heat release.
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