The (Fe) species Fe(N₂)(dmpe)₂ exists in equilibrium with the previously unreported dimer, [Fe(dmpe₂)₂(N₂)]. For the first time these complexes, alongside Fe(N₂)(depe)₂, are shown unambiguously to produce N₂H₄ and/or NH₃ upon addition of trifluoroacetic acid; for Fe(N₂)(depe)₂ this represents one of the highest electron conversion efficiencies for Fe complexes to date.

Homogeneous catalysts capable of fixing N₂ to NH₃ under mild conditions have been researched for over 50 years. Fe, which catalyses the industrial Haber–Bosch process (as Fe metal), is also considered to perform a crucial role in biological N₂ fixation, performed at the Fe–Mo cofactor of the most abundant nitrogenase enzyme and mediated by successive proton-coupled electron transfers. Whilst the active site for N₂ binding and reduction at the Fe–Mo cofactor is contest, less common nitrogenases with closely related Fe–V and Fe-only cofactors implicate the importance of Fe₃, and a mechanism for Fe-mediated N₂ fixation has been proposed.

The first major breakthrough in N₂ fixation by a homogeneous Fe complex was reported in 1991 by Leigh et al., utilising chelating Me₆PCH₂CH₂PMe₆ (dmpe) as an ancillary ligand. In the eponymous reaction cycle, the Fe(II) complex [trans-Fe(H)(N₂)(dmpe)]⁺ was reductively deprotonated to form the Fe(0) intermediate Fe(N₂)(dmpe)₂ (1) which, upon in situ acidification of the reaction mixture using various strong proton sources, was documented to produce NH₃ (isolated as NH₄⁺ via a base distillation onto fresh acid and quantified using the spectrophotometric indophenol method); the highest yields were obtained using HCl. Since Fe was recovered as Fe(dmpe), the yields of NH₃ (up to 20%) were calculated based on each Fe providing a maximum of 2 electrons (out of a total of 6) to reduce N₂; accordingly Fe(0) must be consumed as the sacrificial reductant. Analogous deprotonation/reprotonation experiments performed on related phosphine complexes – [trans-Fe(H)(N₂)(depe)]⁺ (depe = Et₂PCH₂CH₂PET₂), [cis-Fe(H)(N₂)(E(CH₂CH₂PH₂)]⁺ (E = N, P), and [trans-Fe(H)(N₂)(DMeOPrPE)]⁺ (DMeOPrPE = [(CH₃OCH₂CH₂)₂PCH₂]₂) – have also been shown to generate similar yields of NH₃ and/or N₂H₄. However, in all of these experiments the Fe(0) species were not isolated; in the case of the archetypal dmpe system, 1 was reported to be unstable with respect to dissociation of N₂ in vacuo, leading to its decomposition.

In contrast with these findings, Komiya et al. successfully synthesised pure Fe(N₂)(depe)₂ (2) using an alternative route and discovered that only N₂ and H₂ were produced upon treatment with HCl; this result cast uncertainty on the candidacy of Fe(N₂)L₄ (L = 2 electron donor) complexes being the active NH₃ producing species in Leigh-type experiments (Fig. 1). Furthermore, Field et al. recently showed that the positive detection of NH₃ (as NH₄⁺) using the indophenol method can arise from interference caused by free phosphine ligands, which may contaminate the analyte during the base distillation step;14 this was corroborated by the absence of resonances for NH₄⁺ in the ¹H and ¹⁴N{¹H} NMR spectra of the analyte from the Leigh reaction of [trans-Fe(H)(N₂)(dmpe)]⁺. Clearly, the isolation of pure samples of such species, and their subsequent reaction...
with acids to assess their capability of producing reduced forms of \( \text{N}_2 \), is crucial to clarifying this long-standing conundrum.

Recently we reported convenient multi-gram syntheses of dmpe and depe,\(^{13}\) and sought to reinvestigate the historically curious \( \text{N}_2 \)-fixation chemistry mediated by their \( \text{Fe(N}_2) \) complexes. Herein we report the synthesis and characterisation of the Fe(0) species, \([\text{Fe(dmpe)}_2\beta](\mu-\text{N}_2)\) (3), which reacts with \( \text{N}_2 \) cleanly to produce \( \text{N}_2\text{H}_4 \) and/or \( \text{NH}_3 \), thus unambiguously confirming that these complexes are active for the fixation of \( \text{N}_2 \), for the first time.

\( \text{KC}_8 \) reduction of \( \text{trans-Fe(Cl)}_2(\text{dmpe})_2 \) under a \( \text{^15N}_2 \) atmosphere in hexane (Scheme 1), as previously described by Field \textit{et al.},\(^{14}\) generates solutions of 1–\( \text{^15N}_2 \) in \textit{situ} \(^{31}\text{P}^{(1)}\text{H} \) NMR: \( \delta \) (ppm) = 63.3 ppm (s, fwhm = 6 Hz); \(^{15}\text{N}^{(1)}\text{H} \) NMR: \( \delta \) (ppm) = 48.8 (d), 47.0 (d), \(^J_{\text{N-N}} = 5.9 \text{ Hz} \) along with a trace amount of the known decomposition product \([\text{Fe(dmpe)}_2\beta](\mu-\text{dmpe})\) (4) \(^{31}\text{P}^{(1)}\text{H} \) NMR: \( \delta \) (ppm) = 61.4 ppm (d), 8.2 ppm (m); see Fig. 2. However, another broader singlet was also observed downfield in the \(^{31}\text{P}^{(1)}\text{H} \) NMR spectrum \([\delta \text{ (ppm) = 66.0 ppm, fwhm = 14 Hz} \), in addition to an upfield singlet (\( \approx 54.9 \text{ ppm} \)) in the \(^{15}\text{N}^{(1)}\text{H} \) NMR spectrum of this solution. To assess the reported instability of 1 in the absence of \( \text{N}_2 \), the solvent was removed in vacuo and the remaining oily solid dried for several hours at ca. 10\(^{-3}\) mbar pressure. Unexpectedly, subsequent dissolution of this solid in hexane under Ar revealed 1 to still be present by \(^{31}\text{P}^{(1)}\text{H} \) NMR spectroscopy, albeit in a lower ratio relative to the unassigned resonances. Curiously, the amount of 4 remained almost unchanged. Gratifyingly, slow evaporation of the solvent (Ar atmosphere) yielded large, deep red crystals whose solution-phase \(^{31}\text{P}^{(1)}\text{H} \) and \(^{15}\text{N}^{(1)}\text{H} \) NMR spectra corresponded to the aforementioned unidentified resonances, and which were solved by single crystal X-ray diffraction as the new compound \([\text{Fe(dmpe)}_2\beta](\mu-\text{N}_2)\) (3, Fig. 3).

The solid-state structure shows the independent \([\text{Fe(dmpe)}_2\beta]\) fragments in 3 both adopt near ideal trigonal bipyramidal coordination, with the two equatorial Fe(1)P(4) P(14) and Fe(2)P(24)P(34) best planes bisecting one another almost perpendicularly [82.08(4)\(^\circ\)]. The bridging \( \text{N}_2 \) ligand is approximately linear, exhibiting typical bond lengths for both the single Fe–N and triple N–N bonds; the latter is comparable with the previously reported structure of 2 [1.139(13) \text{ Å}].\(^{15}\) and indicates weak activation of the \( \text{N}_2 \) unit in both complexes. The bond lengths and angles seen in 3 are in close agreement with the geometry optimised structure reported by Tyler \textit{et al.} in their theoretical study of \( \text{N}_2 \) fixation mediated by various Fe(dmpe)\(_2\) intermediates, in which dimerisation of 1 (with concomitant loss of \( \text{N}_2 \)) to form 3 was calculated to be unfavourable by 20 kcal mol\(^{-1}\).\(^{16}\) Furthermore, a low energy barrier of only 6 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) was calculated for the dissociation of 3 to 1 and \([\text{Fe(dmpe)}_2]\). Despite this, it has been possible to prepare 3 on a multi-gram scale (using \(^{14}\text{N}_2\); see ESI for further details): after generating a crude solution of 1, the hexane solvent was mostly removed \textit{in vacuo} until a slurry of solid (mixture of 1 and 3) in a small volume of solvent remained, after which this suspension was stirred for several days under Ar. Using this protocol, less soluble 3 selectively crystallises as \( \text{N}_2 \) is slowly depleted upon condensation of 1, and residual 1 and 4 are subsequently removed by rinsing with additional cold hexane. The resulting sample was then rapidly recrystallised (redissolved in hexane, filtered and cooled to \(-35^\circ \text{C} \)) yielding a microcrystalline solid of \( \geq 98\% \) purity \((^{31}\text{P} \text{NMR spectroscopy}) \) that provided satisfactory elemental (CHN) analysis. Crystalline 3 is thermally unstable and is best

![Scheme 1 Synthesis of 1 and 3.](image-url)

![Fig. 3 Solid-state structure of 3; H atoms omitted for clarity; ellipsoids shown at 30% probability. Selected bond lengths (\text{Å}) and angles (\(^\circ\)):](image-url)
stored under Ar at ≤−30 °C; under these conditions decomposition (to a mixture of 1, 4, and Fe metal) appears to be minimal after several months.

Solutions of 3 prepared under an Ar atmosphere decompose to 4 \textsuperscript{12} and Fe metal; this occurs relatively slowly in non-polar alkane solvents (pentane, \(t_{1/2} = 13\) d) yet more readily in donor solvents (THF, \(t_{1/2} ≈ 1.5\) d; \(e_2 = 7.52\)). Dissolution of 3 in \(N_2\)-saturated solutions quantitatively generates 1, which proceeds more slowly in aliphatics than ethereal donor solvents (THF, 0.25 M, 2 d), whilst in the highly polar non-donor organic solvent 1,2-difluorobenzene (\(e_2 = 13.8\)), \(18\) conversion to 1 is almost instantaneous. Thus, it would appear that a large solvent polarity facilitates dissociation, rather than the donor ability of the solvent.

The Raman active \(\nu(N\text{-}N)\) stretch of solid 3 (1933 cm\textsuperscript{−1}) indicates a significant increase in the activation of the \(N_2\) ligand compared to the monomeric complex 1 [IR(KBr): \(\nu(N\text{-}N) = 1975\) cm\textsuperscript{−1}].\textsuperscript{5} In fact, neutral 3 has one of the lowest \(\nu(N\text{-}N)\) stretches recorded for a low-spin Fe system, which is comparable with those found in the anionic complexes \([P,\text{Fe}(P_3B)\text{Fe}(N_2)][Na(12\text{-crown-4})_2]\) (\(P = 2\text{-Pr}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\), E = B, Si; IR(THF): \(\nu(N\text{-}N) \cong 1918, 1920\) cm\textsuperscript{−1})\textsuperscript{19} reported by Peters et al. [\((P,\text{Fe}(P_3E)\text{Fe}(N_2)][Na(12\text{-crown-4})_2]\) is notable for being the first synthetic Fe complex able to catalyse the fixation of \(N_2\) to \(NH_3\) from proton and electron equivalents, demonstrating the feasibility of a single Fe site to perform this fundamental transformation;\textsuperscript{20} here, a very strong reductant (KC\textsubscript{8}) and a powerful acid \([H(OEt)\textsubscript{2}J(BarF\textsubscript{24})]\; BarF\textsubscript{24} = B(3,5\text{-}(CF\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{3}]\textsubscript{4}\) were in excess. In contrast, for Leigh-type chemistry, electron equivalents for the \(N_2\) reduction are ultimately supplied by Fe(0) species, generated \textit{via} reductive deprotonation of a Fe–H bond in the [Fe(n)] precursor. Thus, to assess the reducing power of such Fe(0)[N\textsubscript{2}]-phosphine complexes, cyclic voltammetry measurements were performed on 1 (generated from 3/N\textsubscript{2}), 2, and 3 (2 mM in Et\textsubscript{3}O; \([\text{Bu}_4\text{N}][\text{BarF}\textsubscript{24}]\) electrolyte; Cp\textsubscript{2}Fe\textsuperscript{2+} reference). For both compounds 2 and 3 a reversible one-electron oxidation was observed at various scan rates which can be assigned to the [Fe(0)/Fe\textsuperscript{I}] redox couple (centred at \(-2.03\) V and \(-2.23\) V, for \(2 \leftrightarrow 2\textsuperscript{+}\) and \(3 \leftrightarrow 3\textsuperscript{+}\) respectively; see ESI). Conversely, the cyclic voltammogram of 1 revealed a single irreversible oxidation at \(-2\) V \([Fe(0) \rightarrow Fe\textsuperscript{I}]\), and three smaller unassigned reduction processes between \(-2.0\) and \(-2.4\) V. Accordingly it appears that [1\textsuperscript{+}]\textsuperscript{2+} is unstable under these conditions, and the additional reduction processes may involve highly reactive [Fe(dmpe)\textsubscript{2}]\textsuperscript{2+} \textit{via} \(N\textsubscript{2}\) dissociation from [1\textsuperscript{+}], or an Et\textsubscript{3}O adduct, or solvent-activation product(s) thereof. Nonetheless, the neutral Fe(0) compounds 1–3 are notably powerful reducing agents, and considerably stronger than the commonly employed CoCp\textsubscript{3} and CoCp\textsubscript{2} \((\sim 1.33\) and \(-1.84\) V vs. Cp\textsubscript{2}Fe\textsuperscript{2+} \(2+\)) in 1,2-dimethoxyethane,\textsuperscript{21} which have been used as external reductants in catalytic \(N\textsubscript{2}\) fixation by Mo complexes.\textsuperscript{22,23}

In the knowledge that 1–3 are potent reductants, we sought to establish conclusively whether they are able to convert \(N\textsubscript{2}\) to the reduced forms \(N\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{4}\) and \(NH\textsubscript{3}\) in the presence of protons, and furthermore in the absence of any potential contaminants (synthetic by-products/decomposites) from Leigh-type deprotonation reactions. Our protocol (see ESI) for the quantitative assay of \(NH\textsubscript{3}\) used the relative integration of the \(NH\textsubscript{3}\) resonance in the \(\text{H}^\text{1}\) spectrum \textit{vs} a calibrated insert. Quantitative analysis of \(N\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{4}\) employed a spectrophotometric method which relies on reaction with acidic \textit{para}-dimethylaminobenzaldehyde indicator solution;\textsuperscript{24} by performing thorough control experiments we found, crucially, that neither \(NH\textsubscript{3}\), nor dmpe, nor depe interfered with the results.\textsuperscript{18} Using HCl to acidify pristine solutions of 1, we detected only trace amounts (<0.5% per Fe) of \(NH\textsubscript{3}\) and no \(N\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{4}\), including when 1 was prepared \textit{in situ} from [trans-Fe(H)[(N\textsubscript{2})(PP)]\textsuperscript{+}] \((PP = \text{dmpe, depe})\) using the original method of Leigh \textit{et al.}; the latter results corroborate those of Field \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{12} Identical results were also obtained for pure 2 and 3 which confirms that, under this acidification protocol (whether formed under Leigh-type conditions or using isolated pure samples), neither of these dmpe/depe complexes can produce the yields of \(NH\textsubscript{3}\) previously reported.

Tyler \textit{et al.} reported a marked difference in the yields of \(NH\textsubscript{3}\) upon acidification of their Leigh-type prepared Fe(N\textsubscript{2})\textsubscript{(DMeOpPrPE)}\textsubscript{2} complex with the following acids: HCl (4%), HBF\textsubscript{4} (7%), and TfOH (up to 15%); in the latter case they showed, using a phenanthroline spectrophotometric test, that after acidification all Fe species are present as Fe(n), thus verifying the hypothesis that each Fe(0) can only supply a maximum of two electrons for the reduction of \(N\textsubscript{2}\) (or \(H^+\) to \(H\textsubscript{2}\)). These yields were suggested to reflect increasing favourability of \(NH\textsubscript{3}\) formation with decreasing coordination/ion-pairing of the anion of the acid. It should be noted that whilst \(NH\textsubscript{3}\) was quantified either by NMR spectroscopy\textsuperscript{10} and the indophenol test,\textsuperscript{25} the DMeOpPrPE ligand is expected to be far less volatile than dmpe/depe and thus unlikely to interfere with the latter method. To our delight, when using TfOH to acidify 1–3, we were able to detect significant amounts of \(N\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{4}\) and/or \(NH\textsubscript{3}\), which showed a marked dependence on solvent and/or temperature; these data are reported in Table 1, alongside other reported Fe(N\textsubscript{2})\textsubscript{L\textsubscript{4}} Leigh-type experiments for comparison. Historically, yields of \(NH\textsubscript{3}\) from Leigh-type experiments are quoted per Fe centre, however since we have mixtures of \(N\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{4}/NH\textsubscript{3}\) products we have also included two other measures in order to resolve the efficiency of the ability of Fe(N\textsubscript{2})\textsubscript{L\textsubscript{4}} species to produce these azanes: (1) a combined fixed-N electron yield was calculated on the basis that reduction of \(N\textsubscript{2}\) to \(N\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{4}/NH\textsubscript{3}\) requires four/three electrons (per mol of product), which takes into account that each Fe provides a maximum of two electrons;\textsuperscript{7,25} (2) a fixed-N atom yield, calculated by the fraction of N atoms from the starting material that end up as \(N\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{4}\) or \(NH\textsubnormal{}3\). Clearly the yields for these reactions may be interpreted in several ways, and all may be worth considering in the absence of greater mechanistic understanding of these rapid, and complex, transformations.

Using TfOH, the highest electron yields were obtained for 2 (entries 5–9; ≤55%), followed by 1 (entries 1–4; ≤18%) and 3 (entries 10–11; ≤11%); these yields, in particular for 2, are amongst the highest reported for complexes of Fe, and high-
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light the delicate dependence on the acidification conditions, which is typical for N₂ fixation chemistry.²⁰,²³,²⁶ In these reactions initial protonation of the N₂ ligand is a critical step, thereby triggering subsequent electron transfer; the efficacy of this process will presumably depend primarily on the strength of the H⁺ source employed. Previous calculations have shown that protonation at Fe is more thermodynamically favourable than at the terminal N atom in Fe(N₂)(dmpe)₂ by some 40 kcal mol⁻¹, and it is expected that the latter process would result from kinetic factors, such as the use of a strong and sterically bulky acid source.¹⁶ The effect of solvent on the yields obtained for 2 is conspicuous, which generally decrease in the order: pentane > Et₂O > THF. Whilst TfOH is insoluble in pentane and mass transfer effects may explain the high yields obtained from this medium, in both Et₂O and THF [pKₐ(H₂O) = 3.59 and 2.08, respectively]²⁷ it is expected that acidity of TfOH [pKₐ(H₂O) = −12]²⁸ will be levelled to the donor solvent, hence the protonating power of TfOH in the solvents used is expected to follow the same order, correlating with a greater efficiency of H⁺ attack on N₂. Another factor may be the aggregation of TfOH due to strong intermolecular H-bonding,²⁹ with a bulkier proton source favouring protonation at the exposed N₂ ligand over the Fe centre.

Curiously, when H(OEt₂)₂[BArF₂₄] in Et₂O is employed as the acid source, only trace amounts of N₂H₄ and NH₃ are observed; since TfOH and HCl [pKₐ(H₂O) = −8] are expected to be levelled to protonated Et₂O, taken together these experiments provide a situation where the solution pH can be viewed as approximately constant, and hence the effect of the anion on these reactions can be resolved. It is envisaged that strongly coordinating anions may bind/ion-pair more favourably to protonated intermediates along the N₂-fixation pathway, which could sequester their reactivity and hence inhibit the formation of N₂H₄ or NH₃; is it therefore surprising that both HCl and H(OEt₂)₂[BArF₂₄] are ineffective, since the coordinating ability of the counteranions follows the order Cl⁻ > TfO⁻ > [BArF₂₄]⁻.³⁰ This trend has been previously observed in the catalytic reduction of N₂ to NH₃ by Mo PNP-pincer complexes, where proton sources incorporating TfO⁻ as the counteranion were privileged in their activity in comparison with either Cl⁻ or [BArF₂₄]⁻.²³ In our study, it is possible that the intermediate coordinating ability of TfO⁻ strikes the best balance of liability properties to facilitate proton-coupled electron transfer events during N₂ fixation mediated at the Fe centre. We have also probed the use of the weaker acid 2,6-dimethylpyridinium (lutidinium) triflate used. NR = not reported. Yields are averaged over all runs (see ESI for more details).

All reactions performed at 25 °C using TfOH, unless stated otherwise. a Yields per mol Fe. b Yield assuming each Fe supplies a max. of two electrons. ć Performed at −78 °C. d This work. e Performed under Ar. f From deprotonation of [trans-Fe(H)(N₂)(DMeOPrPE)₂]⁺. g [H(OEt₂)₂][BArF₂₄] used. h 2,6-Dimethylpyridinium (lutidinium) triflate used. In situ - Feₐ(H)(N₂)(DMeOPrPE)₂⁺. In situ - Feₐ(H)(N₂)(DMeOPrPE)₂⁺.

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>N₂H₄ (%)</th>
<th>NH₃ (%)</th>
<th>N-atom yield (%)</th>
<th>e⁻ yield (%)</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>THF</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>ć</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Et₂O</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Pentane</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Pentane⁶</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>THF</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Et₂O</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>31.5</td>
<td>d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Et₂O⁶</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>28.3</td>
<td>d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Pentane</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>53.5</td>
<td>d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Pentane⁶</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>54.8</td>
<td>d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Pentane⁶</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Pentane⁶</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Fe(N₂)(DMeOPrPE)₂⁺</td>
<td>Et₂O/THF</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>1, prepared in situ</td>
<td>Hexane</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>2⁺</td>
<td>Et₂O</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>2⁺</td>
<td>Et₂O</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>d</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In conclusion, we have finally verified that simple Feₐ(N₂) (dmpe/depe)₂ complexes, previously synthesised in situ from Leigh-type deprotonations, are capable of producing appreciable amounts of N₂H₄ and NH₃ using TfOH as the acid source. In the case of the Feₐ(N₂)(depe)₂ the reaction is particularly efficient based on the number of electrons available, and represents one of the highest conversions (55%) to date. The significant proportion of N₂H₄ produced in these reactions
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suggests that NH₃ formation may proceed via N₂H₄ intermediates; further reduction may occur on Fe and/or via an outer sphere pathway. Mechanistic investigations into understanding this reactivity are currently underway.
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Notes and references

†We do not see any solution-phase spectroscopic evidence ([31P, 15N, 1H NMR spectroscopy) for the formation of the depe analogue of θ, and we believe that the increased steric impact of replacing Me with Et in the ligand backbone is sufficient to preclude the formation of a dimeric species [Fe(depe)_2](μ-N₂).

§NH₃: 1H NMR (DMSO-d6: δ = 3.3 ppm, t(1 : 1 : 1); 15N, 1H NMR (DMSO-d6: δ = 5.83 ppm).

¶This methodology was validated by acidification experiments on authentic samples of NH₄Cl or N₂H₄·2HCl, in the presence of trans-FeCl₂(PP)₂ (PP = dmpe, depe).